UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (AMS)
NATIONAL ORGANIC PROGRAM (NOP)

+ + + + +

MEETING OF THE NATIONAL ORGANIC STANDARDS BOARD (NOSB)

+ + + + + + + THURSDAY,

APRIL 29, 2010

+ + + + +

The National Organic Standards Board convened at 8:00 a.m. in the Heidrick Ag History Center located at 1962 Hays Lane, Woodland, California, Daniel G. Giacomini, Chairperson, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

DANIEL G. GIACOMINI, Chairperson

TRACY MIEDEMA, Vice-Chairperson
TINA ELLOR, Secretary
STEVE DeMURI
JOE DICKSON
JAY FELDMAN
BARRY FLAMM

JOHN FOSTER
WENDY FULRIDER
JENNIFER M. HALL
KATRINA F. HEINZE
JEFFREY W. MOYER
ANNETTE RIHERD
JOE SMILLIE

STAFF PRESENT:

MILES McEVOY

JUDY RAGONESI

VALERIE FRANCES

ARTHUR NEAL

MARK BRADLEY

LARS CRAIL

SHANNON NALLY

DR. KERRY SMITH

DR. LISA BRINES

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	
Recommendations of Corps Committee	
2011 Sunset Recommendation for Ferric	
Phosphate	9
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	. 13
2012 Sunset recommendation for 205-601	. 14
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes	. 20
2012 Sunset Recommendations for 205.601:	
Non-synthetic Substances Prohibited for Use	in
Organic Corp Production	
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	
List 3 and List 4 in Inerts	. 24
Vote: 1 no 13 yes, 1 absent	. 64
Production Standards for Terrestrial Plants	;
and Containers and Enclosures	. 65
Vote: 1 no, 12 yes, 2 absent	. 73
Presentation of Plaques for New Members	. 75
Recommendations of Livestock Committee	
Petition from the Methionine Task Force	. 79
Vote: 12 no, 2 yes, 1 absent	
December det ien from Committee en Methierine	. 00
Recommendation from Committee on Methionine	. 92
Vote: To table until after lunch	110
5 no, 9 yes	
Vote: 10 no, 4 yes, 1 absent	
Vote: 4 no, 10 yes, 1 absent	
Vote: 4 no, 10 yes, 1 absent	. 493
Sunset substances on 205.603	.118
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	.120
205-604 materials, strychnine	.123
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	.124
Animal health care products definition	.126
Withdrawn from voting docket	

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	
Animal Health Care Products 205.238(c)(1)	127
Vote: 1 no, 13 yes, 1 absent	149
Recommendations of Handling Committee	
Sunset recommendation 205.605(a)	153
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	157
Sunset recommendation 205.605(b)	159
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	164
Sunset recommendation 205.606	166
Vote: 0 no, 13 yes, 1 absent, 1	
recusal	177
Wendy Fulwider recusal on vote on 205.606	178
Joint Materials & Handling Committees	
Addendum to November 6, 2009 Recommendation of	n
Classification of Materials	189
Vote: 2 no, 12 yes, 1 absent	215
Compliance, Accreditation, and Certification	
Committee	
Guidelines for the Use of Inert Atmospheric	
Gases with Products Labeled and Sold As 100%	
Organic	218
Vote: 1 no, 13 yes, 1 absent	243
Policy Development Committee	
Materials Petitioned for Addition to the	
National List Two Tiered Voting	295
Vote: 0 no, 14 yes, 1 absent	299
Committee Workplans	302
Other Business	321
Adjourn	332

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 8:07 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Final session of this National Organic Standard Board Meeting is now back in session. Board members are seated and we have the high five from Valerie and the sound man, so we're all ready to go.

Today's the final day for final discussion and voting on the Board recommendations brought forth at this meeting by the various committees. The committees have worked for months on these recommendations and now after respectfully weighing the public comments submitted both online and then in person at this meeting, we are ready for final consideration and vote.

It is always impressive to me at the responsiveness of the Committee to public comment. We work very hard, and sometimes very late in the evening, to make any modifications and changes that we can to make

corrections and make the document more responsive to the industry.

And again, we thank the public for their input.

So we ask the audience as we proceed through this process to please refrain. While we don't have email this time, but in this modern age we do have cell phone text and different things. Please refrain from contacting members of the Board during this process. And we thank you all for consideration and fairness to all parties involved.

We would like to make a special request and remind the Board members Vice Chairman of the committees working on the recommendations as we take votes, please Vice Chairman, make special attention to any changes, any modifications, anything that is altered in your documents before final vote so that we make sure we're all on the same page when it comes to posting the final documents.

So, Vice Chairs, please have your pencils ready.

Regarding today's vote, the substance of votes as required by OFPA require a two-thirds vote of this Board. Abstentions are handled as no votes, essentially. They are counted towards the quorum, but are not counted as part of the vote. They're considered the essential of absent, but they are counted towards the quorum.

Fourteen active votes. Members voting requires a ten vote to pass. Thirteen votes requires nine votes to pass. Twelve members voting requires eight votes to pass. Eleven voting requires eight. And ten votes require seven. I ran that list in consideration of any recusals, abstentions or other reasons that someone may not be voting.

All the Board should have the voting documents. I will be starting on the side with Barry and working this way through the members. If you'd please enter your

1 initials of everyone at the top of that page.

2 Still have a pair of reading 3 glasses here if anyone can't see their

4 documents.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

5 And we have blank voting sheets 6 for anyone who may need them.

Any other housecleaning before we start? Joe?

MR. SMILLIE: I'd just like to thank the volunteers who had the coffee available and the people at the Heidrick Center for helping us get through these meetings. I think that was really a wonderful thing. I think it was Kim Dietz, Kelly Shea, Gwen whoever you were thank you very much. It really made it much hospitable for everybody to have coffee and drinks. And the Heidrick Center people went out of their way to accommodate us. So I'd just like to thank all of you for that wonderful, gracious gesture.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you,

Joe. And that is just centrally extended from

all of us. And all of you have helped make this meeting a wonderful experience with the consideration of things we'd have to deal with. And we apologize for any inconvenience with the wi-fi. And we hope that won't happen again.

Okay. Anything else before we get started? All right.

The first one up is the Crops
Committee and Chairperson Tina Ellor.

SECRETARY ELLOR: Thank you, Dan.

The first item we have up this
morning for a vote, and you know it's been six
months so I might be a little vague about the
process, I am aging, is the 2011 Sunset
Recommendation for ferric phosphate. And as
we discussed yesterday, we do have a petition
in front of the Board to remove. We had only
favorable public comments to keep this on.
And if we don't act on it, it will go off.
So do I make a motion or --

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Yes, you

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI:

		Page 10
1	make a motion to accept the recommendation.	
2	SECRETARY ELLOR: Okay. I'll make	
3	a motion that we accept the recommendation to	
4	keep ferric phosphate on List 205.601.	
5	MR. MOYER: I'll second that	
6	motion.	
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion has	
8	been made by Tina Ellor, seconded by Jeff	
9	Moyer to accept the recommendation from the	
10	Crops Committee regarding the relisting of	
11	ferric phosphate on 601.	
12	Madam Maker of the motion, do you	
13	have any further debate?	
14	SECRETARY ELLOR: I do not.	
15	Oh, Jeff.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
17	MR. MOYER: Dan, just a point of	
18	clarification. The petition was to remove.	
19	SECRETARY ELLOR: Right.	
20	MR. MOYER: So we have to be	
21	careful how we vote. Because if we vote yes,	
22	it will be removed.	

- SECRETARY ELLOR: No, no. We're 1 2 not voting on the petition. 3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No, that's 4 not correct. 5 SECRETARY ELLOR: We're voting on 6 the Sunset. 7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: This is 8 Sunset. The affirmative vote is for 9 relisting. 10 MR. MOYER: So we're not voting on 11 the petition? 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No. 13 SECRETARY ELLOR: We're not voting 14 on the petition to remove. We are voting on relisting. 15 16 Sorry. Thank you, Jeff. MR. MOYER: Yes, I just want to 17 18 clarify it.
 - CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: This is a Sunset vote to relist. An affirmative vote asserts and relists this substance on the National List.

19

20

21

22

1	SECRETARY ELLOR: The next item on
2	the agenda is our low hanging fruit, 2012
3	Sunset recommendation for 205.601 and no,
4	we're going to do that separation. Okay.
5	205.601 since synthetic substances
6	allowed for use in organic crop production,
7	and I'm going to go quickly through the
8	category use and the material. And all votes
9	from the Crops Committee were unanimous to
10	keep these on the List. So a yes vote would
11	be to relist all of the following:
12	Category use as algicides
13	disinfectives and sanitizers including
14	irrigation system cleaning systems: Hydrogen
15	peroxide, soap-based algicide de-mossers.
16	Category use as herbicides, weed
17	barriers as applicable. Herbicide soap-based
18	for use in farms as maintenance.
19	Category use as animal repellents:
20	Soaps, ammonia soaps.
21	Category use as insecticides:
22	Ammonium carbonate, boric acid, elemental

sulfur, lime sulfur including calcium polysulfide, oils, horticultural oils - narrow range oils as dormant, suffocating and summer oils, insecticidal soils, sticky traps and barriers, sucrose octanoate esters,

Category use as plant disease

control: Hydrated lime, hydrogen peroxide,

lime sulfur, horticultural oils - narrow range

oils as dormant, suffocating and summer oils,

potassium bicarbonate and elemental sulfur.

Category use as plant or soil
amendments: Aquatic plant extracts, elemental
sulfur, humic acids, micronutrients, soluble
boron products, sulfates, carbonates, oxides
or silicates of zinc, copper, iron, manganese,
molybdenum, selenium and cobalt, liquid fish
products, vitamin B1, C and E.

And I believe I captured that list accurately.

And I would encourage you to read the recommendation because we did have some concerns with some of these, and we did send

- 1 an awful lot of materials back for technical
- 2 review, which we certainly hope to get back by
- 3 the fall. But these are the ones we
- 4 considered.
- 5 Yes, Valerie?
- 6 MS. FRANCES: You forgot mulches.
- 7 You didn't mention that. Just for the record.
- 8 | SECRETARY ELLOR: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes, for
- 10 the record --
- 11 SECRETARY ELLOR: Oh, okay. All
- 12 | right. Very important.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: -- please
- 14 refer to the actual document.
- 15 | SECRETARY ELLOR: Okay. Yes, I
- 16 | did. I just can't read, Dan. Okay.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: That's why
- 18 I asked them to please refer to the document.
- 19 SECRETARY ELLOR: Okay.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: For all of
- 21 our humanity involved.
- 22 | SECRETARY ELLOR: Mulches:

Newspaper, other recycled paper or plastic 1 2 mulching covers. Although, no. Actually, no. We sent those back for TR because of new 3 4 advances in inks and coatings and because of 5 the nanotechnology. So, no, I should not have 6 read those. Those should not be on our list. 7 Yes. 8 And the plastic mulching covers we 9 sent back to look at alternatives. That's not 10 on our voting docket for this morning. 11 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you. As we said, please refer to the document. 12 13 SECRETARY ELLOR: Okay. 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Was our 15 motion there or do you still need make a 16 motion? SECRETARY ELLOR: So, I would like 17 18 to make a motion that we -- oh no. Not yet. 19 Yes. I would like to make a motion 20 that we accept for relisting those materials 21 that I just listed for 205.601 that they are

accepted as allowed for use in organic crop

22

1 production.

2 So I made the motion.

3 MR. MOYER: I'll second that

4 motion.

7

8

22

5 SECRETARY ELLOR: Is there any--

6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The motion

has been made and seconded to accept the list of substances for relisting on Section 601.

9 Madam Maker of the motion, do you

10 have any further comments?

11 | SECRETARY ELLOR: I do not.

12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any

13 | further debate? Steve?

MR. DeMURI: Just a question of

15 clarification. The votes that I see up there

on the board apply to all those items? It was

unanimous, basically, for everything?

18 | SECRETARY ELLOR: It was

19 unanimous, yes.

20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further

21 debate? Seeing none, proceed to vote.

For the new members, the process

		Page	19
1	that we proceed through is we start at one end		
2	of the table for the first vote and we go		
3	around the table, we proceed in that same		
4	fashion moving one person each vote for the		
5	starting person. So, the first vote is now		
6	Annette.		
7	MS. RIHERD: Yes.		
8	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?		
9	MR. HALL: Yes.		
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?		
11	MS. FULWIDER: Yes.		
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?		
13	MR. MOYER: Yes.		
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?		
15	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.		
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?		
17	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.		
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?		
19	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.		
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe?		
21	MR. SMILLIE: Joe.		
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John?		

		Page	21
1	unanimously on this particular category to		
2	relist as prohibited for use in organic		
3	agriculture: Ash for manure burning, arsenic,		
4	lead salts, potassium chloride, sodium		
5	fluoaluminate, strychnine and tobacco dust.		
6	So I would like to make a motion		
7	that we maintain those prohibitions on the		
8	list.		
9	Did I say that correctly.		
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The way		
11	you said it.		
12	SECRETARY ELLOR: Okay.		
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Second.		
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion has		
15	been made and seconded to relist the items in		
16	the recommendation to maintain those		
17	prohibitions on the list for 601.		
18	Maker of the motion, do you have		
19	any further comment?		
20	SECRETARY ELLOR: No, I do not.		
21	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any		
22	further debate? Seeing none, we'll proceed to		

lot of discussion on yesterday, the mechanism to handle the Sunset of List 4 and List 3 inerts as they appear in our standard.

While Valerie brings that up, we did have the opportunity to meet briefly with the program last evening. And taking into consideration the discussion we had yesterday, we did make some changes to the list for inerts document which we think are quite substantive, but still heading in the same direction we were heading yesterday. So we haven't changed our overall goals and objectives.

You'll see there that we added to the sentence about creating a memorandum of understanding with the EPA. We added another sentence to the end of that that says "This MOU should serve as a platform for an implementation strategy that may include an official task force or some other structure to achieve the following objectives."

So the idea would be that there

would still be the creation of a memorandum of understanding between the Program EPA and this Board, so all three of us would work together in a triumvirate fashion bring industry into the process as needed to make sure that we get everything right as we go through this process.

We have not changed the overall strategy or the objectives for that task force, or whatever structure the Program deems would be most beneficial to the process. So we included that sentence in there.

Moving down -- question?

MR. SMILLIE: Yes. This may be a minor point, but the official task force, I just want to probe into that. We've done this in the past and there's been a differentiation between task force and working group.

MR. MOYER: That's right.

MR. SMILLIE: Working group is formally assembled and certain people are invited. It's not necessarily inclusive of

1 everyone.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I just want to make sure that when we structure this task force that it is open to all sectors to be involved.

MR. MOYER: Yes. My understanding in the discussion with the Program, and if Arthur wants to comment here or jump in that's fine. We were leaving the actual title of that working group/task force a little bit We used the word "task force" since it came from the program yesterday in this discussion, but it's really a working group, task force, whatever you want to call it, that's officially organized under this memorandum of understanding with these targeted objectives. And at that point we're assuming in the MOU there will be a timeline and the time frame for which this to operate.

We have seen working groups go on for seven years; that's not the time frame we're hoping this takes. But that'll all be worked out in the Memorandum of Understanding,

- which is really the platform that encompasses
- 2 this process.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: It does
- 4 say official task force or other structure.
- 5 MR. MOYER: Right. Or other
- 6 structure.
- 7 MR. SMILLIE: Right. I'm not
- 8 arguing with the wording. I want
- 9 clarification on it.
- 10 So the MOU is the trigger to
- 11 create the official working group?
- MR. MOYER: That's correct.
- MR. SMILLIE: So the MOU is
- 14 established first --
- MR. MOYER: That's correct.
- 16 | MR. SMILLIE: -- between the three
- 17 parties?
- 18 MR. MOYER: That's correct.
- MR. SMILLIE: Okay.
- MR. MOYER: Thank you, Joe, for
- 21 the opportunity to clarify that.
- 22 Moving down in the document,

1 paragraph 1 or objective 1 we did not change.

That was the objective that working with the EPA to split the list into the naturals or the non-synthetics and the synthetics.

Moving into objective 2, paragraph 2 there. We did at the recommendation of this Board remove or suggesting that we remove what's highlighted in yellow there; at least yellow on my computer. Green on the wall.

We took out any reference to specific days for implementation. So we were suggesting we take out the 180 days of posting and replace it with a phrase that says "An established time frame" giving the task force for other structure under that MOU the opportunity to create that established time frame. We're not doing it with this document.

A little hard to see up there. On my computer, unfortunately or fortunately for me, it shows up in red.

But the words right before "180 days of posting," it says "an established time

frame." That piece is new. That's the replacement and we're suggesting we strike the "180 days of posting." Again, based on comments that we got from this Board yesterday in our discussion.

Moving down to paragraph 3, objective 3 there. We did the same thing with this paragraph. Again, at the end where it says " 1 year," we replaced that with the word "designated." So "there will be designed grace period" but we are not determining that with this document. It could be one year, it could 18 months; I don't know what it'll be. Again, the task force operating under that MOU will determine that.

Objective 4, again removing any reference to time period, we're suggesting we remove both references to the 6 month time period, one for reformulation and one a grace period and replace those with the same verbiage that we used in the previous paragraphs. The one saying "an established

1 time period" in 2.

I don't know if you can capture that, Valerie. Yes, perfect.

The gray area would be the replacement language, the green would be stricken from the document.

And then at the end there "designed" again would replace the text that says "6 months."

Paragraph and objective 5. This one we did not change the language, but what our intention to do with this paragraph is basically we cannot delete "EPA List 4 and EPA List 3" as they're shown in the standard or it would Sunset and we would lose all these materials. So we didn't formulate the language there and we're hoping we can do that in a brief discussion here to make sure that those materials do not come off the list while this process takes place. Otherwise, they would Sunset.

So whether we vote to relist

these, I guess that would be the official 1 2 terminology, we have to utilize vote to relist. So a vote for this document would be 3 4 to basically to relist those two categories in 5 the rule so that we don't lose them in the 6 interim where the original language there says 7 to "delete them." So we got to change that 8 language. Just so you know what you're voting 9 for. Objective 6. And this one, again, 10 was totally rewritten based on the -- so if 11 12 you can highlight all of number --13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 14 should be a six, not a five. MR. MOYER: That should be a six, 15 16 yes. 17 We're replacing six -- five with 18 language to relist. Okay. Okay. 19 And I'm going to read all of six 20 because we changed it completely from

As you recall, yesterday the

21

22

yesterday.

language said to list each item individually in the rule and to put them under Sunset.

Here what we're doing is we're giving ourselves some options. One of the options is to list them as individual items, but I'll just read it as its stated here. And this was late at night, like 11:00 at night. So if I get things wrong, I'm sure you'll correct me.

"Based on the results of the MOU established task force, the National Organics Standards Board will either list the specific inert ingredient components recommended for inclusion on 205.601 and by the NOSB subject to the current Sunset process, or post the list as a single material listing as a subset list managed by the EPA under" -- and the title that we talked about was List of Inerts Suitable for Organic Production. No matter how we list it in our rule, this document is suggesting that whichever listing format we utilize, the materials will be subject to the

Sunset process already established under National Organic Standards Board policy manual.

So each of these materials would still fall under the Sunset process, no matter how we list them.

We could choose, or the task force recommend that we list them as a subset of 40 CFR 180, which the EPA would us manage under the title "List of Inerts Suitable for Organic Production," which is a totally separate list from what they manage today. And, again, that was based on the discussion we had yesterday.

Madam Chairman, back to you.

SECRETARY ELLOR: I do have one little bit of confusion. On item 5 we're going to delete item 5 completely? Yes, that's what I'm curious about.

And a vote for this would leave it open for us to relist on the November meeting.

Because that item we decided to defer until the November meeting. So I think essentially

1 that's what we would be doing.

2 MR. MOYER: That's fine. I'm

3 comfortable with that. I just highlighted the

4 whole box and didn't relight it because I

5 | wasn't sure how you wanted to handle that or

6 how the Committee wanted to handle that, or

7 the Board.

8 | SECRETARY ELLOR: Right.

9 MR. MOYER: But I did want to

10 highlight it to make sure that we don't lose

11 it.

12 I'm open to suggestions from

anybody.

14 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Arthur?

MR. NEAL: Good morning.

16 This is my perspective of the

17 recommendation.

To me the most critical part of

19 the recommendation would be the establishment

of the MOU and the potential task force. The

21 remaining pieces or items that are listed to

22 me kind of express the Board's desire.

1 MR. MOYER: Correct.

MR. NEAL: Because our discussion and dialogue, that whole thing could change. So I really wouldn't spend a lot of time debating those things. It just may be the Board, you know has a desire to see these types of things happen. Because I guarantee you it's going to change through the dialogue and discussion with one another, and with the industry and with the EPA.

MR. MOYER: Yes.

MR. NEAL: Because we don't really know what's the best way to list these inerts right now. So to prescribe, you know, the EPA inerts, I mean the Listing in 205.601 may not be the best to handle them or not. I think that all of this will get flushed out if you dialogue more about how to handle this issue.

That's just my perspective on it.

MR. MOYER: Yes. I think based on our discussions, that's correct. However, we the Committee still thinks it's important that

we post these objectives because it's our perspective and point of view on how we -- we felt it was important for us to give guidance to the Program and the EPA on what we want them to work on and accomplish. So these are our Committee's objectives.

MR. NEAL: Right. And I don't disagree. And as I said earlier, I think it's the Board's desire to see something like this happen. And what I want to make sure that everybody understands, too, that it's a guide for us but it may not look anything like that after we all dialogue.

MR. MOYER: Yes. Sure. I understand that.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We just need a quick decision within the Committee of what they want to do with that paragraph.

MR. MOYER: That's correct.

Madam Chairperson, Committee

21 Chair?

SECRETARY ELLOR: Well, what I'm

not clear about, or to seems to me what are 1 2 the consequences if we leave it exactly as it 3 Because it's going to go through the 4 major objective first, which is the task 5 force. So for me it's a matter of timing. 6 Eventually these will come off the list. 7 MR. MOYER: Correct. 8 SECRETARY ELLOR: But, you know --9 right? 10 MR. MOYER: Okay. I think what I hear you saying, Tina, is that we could leave 11 12 it the way it is because that's one of our 13 objectives, but the reality is we're deferring 14 the inerts --15 SECRETARY ELLOR: Right. 16 MR. MOYER: -- until November where we will vote to relist them? 17 18 SECRETARY ELLOR: Right. So until 19 these processes --20 MR. MOYER: Take place. 21 SECRETARY ELLOR: -- grind through

And

the process, we are going to continue.

22

five years from now if this thing isn't complete, you know then the Board will have decide again whether to relist as it is. But I think that there won't be terrible consequences if we leave that just the way it is. And Arthur's --

MR. NEAL: No. Right now we kind of, we frozen what we had before time with the former EPA List 4 and List 3 designations that were approved by the Boar for use in organic agriculture.

MR. MOYER: Correct.

MR. NEAL: So right now we're okay. We're going to be working on this and dialoguing about it, though, to find out how we tackle the issue in the future.

MR. FELDMAN: Just a point of information.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?

MR. FELDMAN: You raised the issue of frozen in time. We're frozen as the 2004
List but there have been additional inerts

- 1 approved since that time. So when we talk
- 2 about "frozen about time," we have to
- 3 reference what's happened since 2004.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: That's the
- 5 question that I asked yesterday. Point 2 says
- 6 currently."
- 7 MR. FELDMAN: Right.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Not the
- 9 2004 List.
- 10 MR. MOYER: No. It says the ones
- 11 that are currently allowed. It doesn't say
- 12 the current List. So it's the ones that are
- 13 frozen in time.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: They're
- 15 product ingredients currently listed.
- 16 MR. MOYER: Currently. Item
- 17 | number 2 it says "currently allowed." So it's
- 18 | not the current List.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.
- 20 MR. MOYER: It's the one that are
- 21 currently allowed under our List --
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

1 MR. MOYER: -- which is frozen in

2 time.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

4 Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

If there's no debate on the

6 language, Arthur or --

MR. NEAL: Well, one point of clarification on the things that have been reclassified. Those inerts that have been reclassified, just like prior to EPA's reclassification, you know those inerts that wanted to be included on the National List that there were not an EPA List for, they had to be petitioned.

MR. MOYER: That's correct.

MR. NEAL: And that process is still the same. It hasn't changed. Yes, they've been reclassified, but at the same time EPA's definitions have not remained the same regarding inerts. So what we've done is that we've said that if anybody wants to have a reclassified material add on a National

List, they would petition to have those included until we work out this new process in terms of accessing what the Program is now going to allow based on the reclassification of EPA inert ingredients.

MR. MOYER: That's the way I view this, Arthur. And what we're suggesting in these objectives is that moving forward, this Board sees all the materials that gets added to that list, whether the EPA manages it or, whatever the Task Force decides; we still review those materials because that's our obligation as Jay read yesterday in OFPA. And they would fall then under our Sunset process as individual items, not as the List in whole.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Miles?

MR. McEVOY: That's what the current policy says.

MR. MOYER: Yes.

MR. McEVOY: Any additional materials have to be gone through the petition process, you would have full review of those

in deciding in whether to add them to the List.

MR. MOYER: Correct.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

MR. McEVOY: And this is pretty

clear on the website under policy 5008. So I

think we're pretty solid on this one.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Valerie?

MS. FRANCES: I just want a clarification. Did I hear a subtext kind of going on in the conversation regarding the List of materials that have not made it on the 2004 EPA List of Materials formally but were allowed for use? I don't know if that's clear from this discussions, but I sort of hear that.

MR. MOYER: Your question, I think, is less clear to me than my answer might be. So I'm not sure what you're looking for.

20 MS. FRANCES: There is the List 21 for Materials --

MR. MOYER: Right.

		Page 43
1	MS. FRANCES: that the EPA had	
2	allowed for use that were not in that sort of	
3	link on that we have in the Appendix	
4	MR. MOYER: Correct.	
5	MS. FRANCES: in the document	
6	that we then excluded by way of policy.	
7	MR. MOYER: Correct.	
8	MS. FRANCES: So I'm making sure	
9	what are you doing with that list?	
10	MR. MOYER: Nothing. It stands as	
11	is; it's frozen in time. Any new materials	
12	have to be petitioned.	
13	MS. FRANCES: Okay.	
14	MR. MOYER: And that's what our	
15	language here says as well, moving forward any	
16	new materials have to be petitioned.	
17	MR. NEAL: And, just those	
18	reclassified substances or as we dialogue	
19	about them through the task force processing,	
20	that may be some of the things that we talk	
21	about.	
22	MR. MOYER: Sure.	

1 Katrina's been waiting.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

3 Jennifer?

4 MR. HALL: Okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

Jay?

MR. FELDMAN: Yes. I just want to make sure that we capture that list you're referencing, Valerie, that conforms to the criteria of the previous list for, the frozen static list in time. And that the NOP is aware of what those ingredients are and that we don't inadvertently disallow those if they are in conformance with the previous list for while we're going through this task force process.

And the other issue is as things come up, prior to full disclosure and, you know dispensing with the CBI issues around inerts, once we have this policy in place how will we as a Board evaluate those ingredients, the inerts, outside the context of their use

patterns and the products they're in? How will we as a Board be able to do that?

MR. NEAL: I guess the question is why would you want to evaluate them outside their use pattern?

MR. FELDMAN: We wouldn't, but they're CBI currently. You made the comment that they would come before the Board through the approval process and be petitioned. But if they're not attached to a specific product, how would we as a Board know what we are approving?

MR. NEAL: Well, this is my take.

MR. FELDMAN: Okay.

MR. NEAL: If someone is petitioning a substance, an inert substance, and they want it to be used in organic agriculture production and the Board can't make a determination on its use because you don't have enough information, how can you then make a clear determination as to whether or not it could be allowed? So it comes to a

		Page 46	
1	point of, you know if the petitioner wants		
2	their substance allowed, they're going to have		
3	to provide enough information that the Board		
4	can make an intelligible decision that proves		
5	the applicable criteria.		
6	MR. FELDMAN: Okay.		
7	MR. NEAL: That's my take on it.		
8	And we have to be reasonable with respect to		
9	the sharing of the information.		
10	MR. MOYER: Katrina's been		
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina.		
12	MR. MOYER: Thank you.		
13	MS. HEINZE: I have a procedural		
14	question. Are we in Committee discussion or		
15	Board discussion?		
16	MR. MOYER: We're in Board		
17	discussion.		
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Committee		
19	discussion.		
20	MR. MOYER: No.		
21	MS. HEINZE: Committee discussion?		
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion has		

- 1 not been made presenting to this to the Board.
- 2 This is --
- 3 MS. HEINZE: So the rest of us are
- 4 just hanging?
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You can
- 6 participate, but this is officially Committee
- 7 discussion.
- 8 MS. HEINZE: Okay. Can I ask a
- 9 question then?
- 10 MR. MOYER: Committee invites you
- 11 to participate.
- MS. HEINZE: Thank you. Okay. So
- here's my understanding of the list for EPA's
- 14 List for Inerts. That after 2004 they stopped
- 15 maintaining the list, is that correct?
- 16 MR. MOYER: Correct. That is
- 17 correct.
- 18 MS. HEINZE: Okay. Since then -
- 19 MR. MOYER: Clarification. They
- 20 changed their designation and they still
- 21 manage the list. This list is in their hands
- 22 but frozen in time.

		Page	48
1	MS. HEINZE: Gotcha. So this List		
2	for Inerts as it exists and as we use it is		
3	frozen as of 2004?		
4	MR. MOYER: Yes.		
5	MS. HEINZE: Okay. Since then		
6	there are materials that are on that list		
7	MR. MOYER: Yes.		
8	MS. HEINZE: that EPA has		
9	reviewed and taken off the list?		
10	MR. MOYER: That's correct. Eight		
11	of them.		
12	MS. HEINZE: And there are		
13	materials that weren't on the list		
14	MR. MOYER: That is correct.		
15	MS. HEINZE: that they have		
16	reviewed and approved through their process?		
17	MR. MOYER: That is correct.		
18	MS. HEINZE: Okay. So it seems		
19	that your recommendation can ignore the ones		
20	they've allowed because they're not on the		
21	list?		
22	MR. MOYER: That is correct.		

MS. HEINZE: So then, therefore, 1 2 they're not allowed in organic? That is correct. 3 MR. MOYER: 4 MS. HEINZE: Okay. 5 MR. MOYER: Unless they petition 6 them as individuals materials. 7 MS. HEINZE: Right. But for the 8 purposes of this, we can ignore them unless 9 the petitioner petitions and they get listed 10 separately? That is correct. 11 MR. MOYER: 12 MS. HEINZE: Therefore, it seems 13 like somewhere in your recommendation --14 MR. MOYER: Yes. MS. HEINZE: -- but I'll have a 15 16 question after this, you need to do something 17 with the ones that have been prohibited, otherwise they're still allowed with the 18 19 listing? 20 My understanding MR. MOYER: No. 21 is because of the way our rule is written,

those eight were removed from the static list.

22

1 MS. HEINZE: Gotcha.

MR. MOYER: So we can remove, but

3 | we cannot add.

4 MS. HEINZE: Okay.

5 MR. MOYER: Removing is easy. We

don't have to review the removal. But we

7 | would have to review --

8 MS. HEINZE: An addition?

9 MR. MOYER: Through a petition

10 process, yes.

6

11 MS. HEINZE: Okay.

MR. MOYER: Yes.

13 MS. HEINZE: So then I have one

14 final question. It seems that this

recommendation is focused on how do we want to

16 manage inerts going forward.

MR. MOYER: Yes.

18 MS. HEINZE: And it's perhaps

19 separate from what to do with the Sunset in

20 the interim?

21 MR. MOYER: That is correct. And

22 that was the point of discussion on item 5.

I want to make sure we handled that in the most proper manner. But since this is a document that's going to go into the hands of the task force, we can leave it as is because our goal is to do this. We're going to have to do it anyway.

MS. HEINZE: Okay.

MR. MOYER: But as Tina mentioned, we are deferring the vote today on EPA List 3--

MS. HEINZE: On Sunset.

MR. MOYER: -- or on Sunset until the November meeting in which place -- unless this all gets done between now and then, we will vote to relist.

MS. HEINZE: I understand.

Then a final question. We didn't spend that much time at the language right at the time where it talks about MOU.

MR. MOYER: Yes.

MS. HEINZE: If as a Committee you could look at that again and just make sure

that it's clear that 1 through 5 or 6 are goals but not requirements.

MR. MOYER: I don't think we stated in that MOU specifically that either one of those was the case.

MS. HEINZE: I just didn't have a chance to see how that might be --

MR. MOYER: We're just saying that, if you look at the next down, we're calling this a recommendation. So these six items are our Committee's recommendation to the MOU established group.

MS. HEINZE: I guess the question is would the NOP see those as requirements --

MR. MOYER: No.

MS. HEINZE: -- or desires?

MR. MOYER: My understanding from our conversations yesterday is that these are our originally Committee and as soon as we vote on these, the Board recommendation to them and to this MOU created group, committee, task force.

		Page !	53
1	MS. HEINZE: I'm just keeping some		
2	of our discussion on classification. You		
3	know, and the recommendation can have a lot of		
4	different meanings.		
5	MR. MOYER: Yes.		
6	MS. HEINZE: So if the		
7	recommendation is thou shalt versus we would		
8	like you to consider, I don't know if we need		
9	to use different words or not.		
10	MR. MOYER: I don't think we have		
11	to. I mean, our discussion again and what		
12	Arthur was saying is this is to give direction		
13	to the MOU and to the Program.		
14	Arthur?		
15	MR. NEAL: Yes. Oh, go ahead,		
16	Miles.		
17	MR. McEVOY: Yes. This, you are		
18	making a recommendation to the Program and the		
19	Program will take a look at it and respond		
20	back.		
21	MR. MOYER: Yes.		
22	MR. McEVOY: So you have specific		

objectives that you're outlining here that you'd like to see in the agreement in our work with EPA, and we'll take a look at that and we'll get back to you.

So I think it's clear that this is a recommendation of your intent. And I think it'll work.

MR. MOYER: And we got word from the Program yesterday that they would expedite this in a timely fashion, as Arthur said that the MOU should not take long to write and get this. Now how long it takes the task force to work, or whatever you call it, we don't know.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. I think we've moved from the discussion of the things you would like to change in the Committee structure into Board discussion.

I'd like to entertain the motion so that if there's any further debate, it really is Board debate if you're done making all your little amendment changes.

MR. MOYER: I am, Mr. Secretary,

- 1 thank you. The little changes were made.
- 2 And I make a motion that we accept
- 3 this document as written.
- 4 MR. FELDMAN: I second.
- 5 MR. MOYER: And now it's yours,
- 6 Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.
- 8 Motion has been made, properly made by Jeff.
- 9 | Seconded by Jay to accept this document.
- 10 You have first discussion, maker
- of the motion. Did you have any further
- 12 debate?
- MR. MOYER: I do not.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.
- 15 Joe?
- 16 MR. MOYER: Prepared to answer
- 17 questions.
- 18 MR. SMILLIE: Yes. But we're
- 19 voting on this package.
- MR. MOYER: That's correct.
- 21 MR. SMILLIE: What is being
- 22 deferred until the November meeting?

1	MR. MOYER: Okay. Good question,
2	Joe. Just to clarify that, what's being
3	deferred you'll see when we get to our
4	materials vote, we are deferring the Sunset
5	item of EPA List 4 Inerts of Minimal Concern,
6	EPA List 3 Inerts of Unknown Toxicity Allowed
7	until the fall meeting. So the vote on that
8	as a Sunset item is being deferred. What
9	we're voting on here and the reason for this
10	to be included is, as one of the objectives
11	we're going to have to strike this eventually
12	from our document because they no longer
13	exist. So one of the processes that will be
14	what happens.
15	MR. SMILLIE: I got it.
16	MR. MOYER: Thank you for that
17	opportunity.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?
19	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes, and just to
20	make that clear. In Committee we had already
21	decided not to act on the inerts Sunset at
22	this meeting. We decided as a Committee in

our Committee deliberations to act on that, along with a lot of others, at the fall meeting so that we could have this discussion before we decided on what to do with that particular Sunset.

So we've already been through all the material votes, and that was not part of our Sunset vote for this meeting. But you'll see it --

MR. MOYER: You'll see that if you look at your other documents and you go further along, you'll see that List 3 Inerts was deferred. The only way that that will change in fall is if the Program gets the MOU, EPA they work out all the details with the task force before November and we know what's going to happen, so you know the chances of that.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Well, we could also extend into spring.

MR. MOYER: You could.

22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I don't

know the exact date on this, but most of these could allow us -- we generally allow three meetings to try and accomplish all of our Sunsets.

So, Steve?

MR. DeMURI: So has the Committee voted on the reworked recommendation?

MR. MOYER: Yes. The Committee voted this morning on this recommendation and anonymously approved it.

MR. SMILLIE: Okay. Good. It's not listed on the bottom of the recommendation.

MR. MOYER: No, we didn't change that. That was the original Committee vote.

But we did vote on it this morning and it was unanimous. We had one absent.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?

MR. HALL: Jeff, would you be kind enough to restate the motion?

MR. MOYER: Sure. The motion is to accept the document on the changes on the status of List 4 and List 3 Inerts as posted.

1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further
2	debate of questions?
3	I would just like to add that as
4	we've added the initiation of this group, task
5	force, whatever, I just want to make sure we
6	have it in the record that that group needs to
7	be as inclusive as possible. It needs to
8	include the crop side, it needed to include
9	the farmer. This will also have implication
10	on livestock. And from what I understand on
11	the processing side in handling, when they're
12	dealing with their pest issues they generally
13	look to 601, if that's correct. So everybody
14	needs to be involved and we want to ask that
15	we make sure that we are extremely inclusive
16	in that endeavor.
17	Further debate? Katrina?
18	MS. HEINZE: I'm still confused.
19	Are we having Board debate or Committee
20	debate?
21	MR. MOYER: Board.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Board.

1 MS. HEINZE: Okay. Could we scroll 2 through the document one more time? 3 MR. MOYER: Is there a place you 4 want to stop? 5 MS. HEINZE: Yes. The MOU part. 6 Okay. 7 Valerie, can you go back to that 8 MOU part? And you scroll faster than I can read. Okay. You can scroll. Thank you. Can 9 10 you scroll? Okay. Thank you. MR. MOYER: Except for the time 11 12 designation changes, you have the document on 13 your desk pretty much intact, except for that 14 language with the MOU. And we did change 6 dramatically. 15 16 MS. HEINZE: And then is 5 in or 17 out? 18 MR. MOYER: Five is staying in. 19 MS. HEINZE: Okay. 20 As part of this MR. MOYER: 21 document, yes.

Thank you.

MS. HEINZE:

22

1 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?

SECRETARY ELLOR: At the risk of bloviating here, I have to say, to have to put on public record that the work that Jeff and Jay, and the EPA in the Program and the consideration put into this document has been extraordinary. And I think that this is not just a, whatever, better getting in the way of best or whatever. This is a great document.

And I just wanted to say thank you.

MR. MOYER: We learned a lot,

12 right Jay?

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

Also finally before we move to vote, I just want to say that in response to some of the way the discussion was being -- some of the words used yesterday in talking about whether things would be added to the list, what we're all considering here is taking a single line existing in the list and expanding it to all the things that are included. Nothing would be added to the list. If anything, even if we

added -- even if we included all the items
that we want, it would be a reduction in the
number of allowed substances currently
allowed.

So, this is going to end up being a reduction in the number of substances allowed in organic production and processing.

And it is not adding anything to the list.

There may be -- if there's 800 on this list, there could be 1600 items listed in the list, it is not adding a single item. So I just want to be very clear on that.

MR. MOYER: Thank you, Dan, for that clarification. I can't tell you how important it is for the folks in the audience to carry that message back to the world that we are working diligently to reduce the number of materials that are allowed in organic production, and this goes a long way to doing that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. Are we ready for the question?

ı			
		Page	64
1	MS. HEINZE: Yes.		
2	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe		
3	Dickson?		
4	MR. DICKSON: Yes.		
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?		
6	MR. FLAMM: Yes.		
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?		
8	MR. RIHERD: Yes.		
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?		
10	MR. HALL: Yes.		
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The Chair		
12	votes yes.		
13	And was there any conflict of		
14	interest? Seeing none, we will keep the		
15	count.		
16	One no, one absent, 13 yes.		
17	Could you track these with us?		
18	No conflict of interest.		
19	Motion passes.		
20	One more for Crops.		
21	SECRETARY ELLOR: Thank you, Mr.		
22	Chairman.		

The last we have on our workplan 1 2 for Crops is the Production Standards for Terrestrial Plants and Containers and 3 4 Enclosures. 5 And I would like to make a motion 6 that we accept this document as up on the 7 board with the changes that we made. 8 haven't made any changes since discussion 9 yesterday. So it's the --CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 10 What 11 presented yesterday? 12 SECRETARY ELLOR: It was what was 13 presented yesterday. So I would like to make 14 that motion. MR. MOYER: I'll second that 15 16 motion. 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: It's been 18 moved and seconded to accept the document on 19 the Production Standards for Terrestrial 20 Plants and Containers and Enclosures presented 21 by the Crops Committee, and properly seconded. 22 Madam maker of the motion, do you

1 have any further debate?

2 | SECRETARY ELLOR: I do not.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any

further debate on this matter? Seeing none,

5 | we'll proceed to vote.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Is there any conflict of interest?

Any conflict of interest? Any further debate?

I'll do it one more time.

Joe?

MR. SMILLIE: Once again, one of the criticisms of the document is not the intent of the document, or even some of the substance. But it's like the multiple points that the document is trying to make. I'd just like to ask you to just go through because it's kind of scattered. So could you, Tina, just summarize the document as the points of principle of your intent? If you know what I mean.

SECRETARY ELLOR: I do.

MR. SMILLIE: Okay.

22 | SECRETARY ELLOR: And I think that

John expressed some of these concerns in Committee.

And essentially it came about, I think, as Jerry explained yesterday because of the Equivalency Agreement with Canada was part of it, and the very strong public sentiment that hydroponics and aeroponics should not be allowed in organics. So we're covering that issue in this document.

And we were asked by the Program to set forth some standards for container grown greenhouse, you know, enclosure.

And this document has been through now at least three groups of public opinion and we responded to every bunch of public.

But I know that I sat in meetings in 2000 where this was in discussion. So essentially, you know through all the public comment and all the mutations of the Committees, which of course and have a great deal changeover, this is the document that we came up with.

And I know that there is some

concern about that it being overly

prescriptive. And we had comments on both

sides of that. That, you know, people wanted

to really tighten it down even more. And then

we had pretty clear comments it was over

prescriptive.

We kind of figured that would shake out in rulemaking and that there's further opportunity for work, you know, within the community on this document. So this is sort of, you know, our best effort after all of that input and time.

MR. SMILLIE: So it clarifies our stand on aeroponics or hydroponics, number one?

SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.

MR. SMILLIE: It creates a format that will be a longer discussion, really, in rulemaking on what constitutes container growing? I hesitate to call it pot growing, so we'll call it container. Couldn't resist it.

1	And number	

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2 MR. MOYER: You didn't hesitate 3 too long, Joe.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We'd have
to transfer the document to the Joint
Committee in order to do that.

MR. SMILLIE: I knew you were going to do it. I thought I'd get it before you, but you beat me to it. It's definitely a Joint Committee discussion.

But no, seriously. So the second objective is there and it does correct the allowance for sprouts? So these are the three take homes I have when I vote yes on this document?

16 SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.

MR. SMILLIE: Okay.

18 SECRETARY ELLOR: Thank you for

19 that clarification.

MR. SMILLIE: Thank you.

MR. MOYER: That's correct. But it

22 further outlines some of the objectives within

1 those three --

2 MR. SMILLIE: Right.

MR. MOYER: Like split operations

4 and all those things --

5 MR. SMILLIE: Yes.

6 MR. MOYER: -- that will need to

7 be flushed out.

8 MR. SMILLIE: Right. Okay.

9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further

10 debate? John?

11 MR. FOSTER: Just very briefly.

12 If the intent was to address hydroponics in

Canadian, then that's what it should be about,

14 right. I think this is reaching much farther

15 than that in a way that can be improved with

16 further discussion.

22

17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

18 | Further debate? Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: Hey, John, could you

20 elaborate on what you just said? I'm not sure

21 what that means; what you're thinking.

MR. FOSTER: That if the main

intent was to address Canadian implementation and its aversion to hydroponics, then calling this Production Standards for Terrestrial Plants in Containers and Enclosures I think was drifting farther than it needed to. If it wanted to be a prohibition of hydroponics, that can actually be done very, very easily in the context of existing crops production.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I understand that the goals were kind of amalgamated over time, and that's very complicated particularly when Committee members change over time. I totally get the challenges that were in play. But to Joe's question: What's the main point? If the main point was hydroponics in Canada, that's very discreet and I think this is not a discreet solution.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: MS. HEINZE: So do you object to that content or do you just object that it all got muddled together?

MR. FOSTER: A little bit of both.

Katrina?

	F	age	/ ᠘
1	MS. HEINZE: Are there specific		
2	things in the content to which you object?		
3	MR. FOSTER: Not that I feel can		
4	be effectively dealt with in the context of		
5	this meeting. I think it's a long much		
6	longer discussion.		
7	MS. HEINZE: Okay. Well they be		
8	addressed in their rulemaking process?		
9	MR. FOSTER: I think they can be.		
10	MS. HEINZE: Thank you.		
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?		
12	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes. And I'd		
13	just like to make clear it wasn't the main		
14	intent just to be compatible with Canada's		
15	thought on hydroponics. We had an		
16	overwhelming response from the public that		
17	they did not want organic hydroponics.		
18	So however I feel about it		
19	personally, our community spoke loud and clear		
20	over many years on that issue.		
21	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Other		
22	comments or questions? Seeing none.		

		Page 73
1	Any conflict of interest?	
2	Proceed to the vote.	
3	Jeff?	
4	MR. MOYER: Yes.	
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
6	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.	
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?	
8	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.	
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy is	
10	absent for this motion.	
11	Joe Smillie?	
12	MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	
13	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John	
14	Foster?	
15	MR. FOSTER: No.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?	
17	MR. DeMURI: Yes.	
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?	
19	MS. HEINZE: Yes.	
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
21	Dickson?	
22	MR. DICKSON: Yes.	

		Page	75
1	SECRETARY ELLOR: That concludes		
2	the Corp Committee official business for this		
3	meeting. Thank you very much.		
4	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.		
5	Okay. We are currently ahead of		
6	schedule, so we've been looking for a place to		
7	slide something in. Miles has a presentation,		
8	please.		
9	MR. McEVOY: We have some plaques		
10	for the new members. So thank you very much		
11	for your service. I don't know if you knew		
12	what you were getting yourselves into, but		
13	here you go. And you get a nice plaque to		
14	welcome you to the National Organics Standards		
15	Board. Thank you very, very much for your		
16	public service.		
17	So there are plaques for Annette		
18	Riherd, Wendy Fulrider, Jay Feldman, John		
19	Foster and Joe Dickson.		
20	So thank you very much. And here		
21	we go.		
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Can the		

new members please come up so that we can have everybody getting their plaques and we can get some pictures for you guys.

We'll take a break after this.

MS. HEINZE: Welcome to the team.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

It's almost 9:15. Break until

9:30.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Whereupon, at 9:14 a.m. the above-entitled matter went off the record and resumed at 9:35 a.m.)

12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

We're back from break a few minutes late.

I realized in the middle of that first session that while I had already checked out of my room and I realized that I left something in my room. So I had to run back over to the hotel before my room got cleaned.

So we have an announcement here.

This evening from 6:30 until 11:00 there will

be post-NOSB meeting party to celebrate

another successful organic week. The dinner

dance will be in Sacramento. For details and directions please see Katherine DiMatteo or Bill Wolf.

I was asked if this would be appropriate yesterday and I said we would only announce it if everyone was invited.

Katrina, I'm going to finish

passing this around. Hopefully, I will have

a chance to look at this at the next break.

Okay. Anything else? Any other housekeeping we need before we proceed further with the voting part of our meeting?

All right. Next up is Livestock Acting Chair Jeff Moyer.

MR. MOYER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Again, standing in for Committee
Chairperson Kevin Engelbert. I would be amiss
if I didn't thank Kevin for all of his hard
work in pulling this together. It's a
privilege for stand in for Kevin on this at

this meeting and to conduct the voting.

Hopefully throughout the course of

- 1 this part of the discussion you'll hear
- 2 Kevin's voice in most of the things that we've
- 3 done.
- 4 Our first item of business, Mr.
- 5 Chairman, is the petition material methionine.
- 6 So I'd like to bring that up for discussion
- 7 here.
- 8 The Livestock Committee met
- 9 briefly yesterday and this morning to discuss
- 10 these documents, all the documents we're
- 11 looking at. And the methionine document we
- 12 have not made any suggested changes from
- 13 yesterday. So the document you see today in
- 14 front of as a Board for voting --
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Mr
- 16 Chairman?
- MR. MOYER: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The first
- 19 thing we need to do is vote on the petition
- and then our recommendation.
- 21 MR. MOYER: That's correct. There
- 22 are two votes.

		Page	79
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.		
2	MR. MOYER: There will be two		
3	votes, that's what I was getting to.		
4	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.		
5	MR. MOYER: The documents that you		
6	see in front of you are exactly as they were		
7	presented yesterday. There are two documents		
8	that we'll be voting on.		
9	The first document is the petition		
10	from the Methionine Task Force, who again I		
11	want to thank them publicly for all the hard		
12	work that they've done throughout the course		
13	of this lengthy multi-year discussion.		
14	The first document we'll be voting		
15	on is their petition. And then there's a		
16	possibility we'll be having a second vote		
17	based on the outcome of that vote.		
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Make for		
19	the motion for that.		
20	MR. MOYER: There's a question.		
21	Proceed to the question.		
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We'll need		

- 1 to make two lines. We'll have a methionine
- 2 petition line and a methionine LC
- 3 recommendation line.
- 4 MR. MOYER: Right.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Depending
- on the result of the first one.
- 7 MR. MOYER: It's on the outcome of
- 8 the first one.
- 9 MR. MOYER: Mr. Chairman, the
- 10 | Committee's recommendation regarding the
- 11 petition of methionine, and it was --
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: To lists.
- MR. MOYER: -- unanimous --
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: But to
- 15 list.
- 16 MR. MOYER: Right. The vote would
- 17 be to list this material with the
- 18 recommendation by the Livestock Committee at
- 19 the rate of, and if you want I can read the
- 20 exact petition. It's amending the annotation
- 21 for synthetic methionine on the National List
- 22 | 205.603 to read: DL-Methionine, DL-Methionine

hydroxy analog and DL-Methionine hydroxy 1 2 analog calcium for use only in organic poultry production until October 1, 2015 provided that 3 the total amount of synthetic methionine in 4 5 the diet remains below the following levels 6 calculated as an average pounds per ton of 7 1000 percent synthetic methionine in the diet over the life of the bird. 8 9 Laying chickens 4 pounds, broiler chickens 5 pounds, turkeys and other poultry 10 11 6 pounds. 12 I move that we -- I'm not sure how 13 to make my motion for this petition. 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We need to 15 accept the annotation change --16 MR. MOYER: I move that we accept 17 the annotation change. Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second? 19 MR. HALL: Second. 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: It's been 21 moved and seconded to accept the annotation

change as listed in the petition.

22

1 We'll get to debate in a minute.

2 I just want to take this minute to clarify 3 especially for the new members and the 4 audience, the way we've evolved in dealing 5 with these issues with our Board and in 6 Robert's Rules of Order the closest thing that 7 we have found, and now with Jay's experience 8 in parliamentary procedure maybe we can find 9 something else. But the closest thing we've found to the petition process is the 10 procedures in Robert's Rules of Order 11 12 following submission of bylaw change. That is where recommendations are submitted from the 13 14 public, which are then reviewed and analyzed 15 by Committee and then presented in the form 16 that they were originally presented to the Board for vote. That's the structure of what 17 18 we're dealing with here. 19 So we list these in an affirmative

vote.

20

21

22

The other reason we do that that's very pertinent to the Board is that OFPA calls

for all substantive action on this Board to be a two-thirds vote. So if something is to be listed, it requires a two-thirds vote.

In the essence of time a negative motion that fails does not list -- it's not just a simple majority. The motion to not list that fails, you could follow that up with a motion to list that would also fail.

Because neither, if the vote was 8 to 7 on a 15 member Board, neither would pass.

The motion of importance is the motion to list. That is the substantive action that this Board needs to take. The alternative of a motion to not list passing doesn't accomplish anything, but could add time to the process.

So we're not meaning to appear to bait and switch. We're not meaning to appear deceptive. There's foundation in Robert's Rules of Order for the way we proceed. And for the expediting the work of this Board according to the votes that are required in

OFPA, this is the process that we proceed 1 2 with.

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

3 So, this motion is to accept the recommendation of --4

5 MR. MOYER: Yes.

> CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: -- and the petition for the annotation change, the Committee's recommendation on that vote was to fail.

10 MR. MOYER: Thank you for that clarification. 11

12 Thank you for the MR. FLAMM: motion. 13

14 Any further debate?

> MR. MOYER: No. I appreciate that clarification because it is confusing. That and three hour's sleep was why I wasn't sure how to make the motion. But I appreciate your help on that and clarification.

And the Committee's recommendation is to not approve that motion.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further

1 debate? Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: So I was thinking about this last night. I just want to make sure I'm clear on these points.

So the kind of historic aversion to methionine is not necessarily the material, but because its use compensates for production standards that we're not comfortable with.

But even what I heard yesterday was even with the right production standards it's possible the animal might not get enough methionine.

And then the other thing I heard was the material has a hard cut-off date in October. So if we don't do something at this meeting, there's no refining at all?

MR. MOYER: That's absolutely correct.

MS. HEINZE: Okay. There's a lot of natural alternatives in the works, but not for act over?

MR. MOYER: That's also correct.

MS. HEINZE: Okay. So as I

- understand it, even with the right production 1 2 standards, natural alternatives for methionine 3 are still going to be necessary? MR. MOYER: 4 That's our 5 understanding from the lengthy discussion and 6 in put from the public, and particularly the 7 poultry produces, and the Methionine Task 8 Force. 9 MS. HEINZE: So listing but with 10 the step down, is that a way to encourage those natural alternatives? 11 12 MR. MOYER: Yes, but that's the 13 second vote. 14 MS. HEINZE: I get that. MR. MOYER: Okay. 15
- 16 MS. HEINZE: I wanted to make sure
- 17 I was clear on this vote.
- 18 MR. MOYER: Yes. You have it
- 19 exactly correct.
- MS. HEINZE: Okay. Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe?
- 22 | MR. SMILLIE: Again, I'm not sure

- Page 87 if it's proper to address the possible second 1 2 vote, or probable second vote. But --I'm fine with it. 3 MR. MOYER: You're fine with it? 4 MR. SMILLIE: 5 Okay. 6 MR. MOYER: Yes. 7 MR. SMILLIE: I'd just like to 8 explore why there wasn't a middle ground found 9 between the petitioner and the Committee recommendation? I'd been lead to believe that 10 11 there was going to be some middle ground on --12 MR. MOYER: Let's do that part. 13 IF that's the amount that you want to do with 14 the next vote, let's do that then. 15 MR. SMILLIE: Okay.
- MR. MOYER: I thought your point
- was to discuss that in relationship to this.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: If this
- 19 motion passes, we don't go to the second vote.
- 20 MR. MOYER: That's right.
- 21 MR. FELDMAN: I'm sorry. I got a
- 22 little distracted during your questioning,

which was the exact question that I wanted to ask. So the first vote is on the --

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay.

4 Tina, please.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

5 Go ahead.

SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes, I wanted to bring up something Jennifer brought up during the break and I thought it was a really good point that two no votes and methionine goes away, right? Am I correct?

MR. MOYER: That is correct. If you voted no on this first vote on the petition, and then also no on the Committee recommendation, October whatever the date is 2010 October 1st, it goes away. 2010, yes. It would go away.

17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?

18 MR. FELDMAN: Thanks. I'm fine.

19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further

debate? Questions? Ready for the question?

21 Katrina?

22 MS. HEINZE: So if you don't want

		Page	89
1	the industry to lose methionine		
2	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You have		
3	to vote for one of them.		
4	MS. HEINZE: But you also have to		
5	hope that the second one is going to pass,		
6	right?		
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.		
8	MS. HEINZE: So if the second one		
9	doesn't pass, is there an opportunity to come		
10	back and revisit?		
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: There is		
12	always the potential motion to reconsider.		
13	MS. HEINZE: Okay. Thank you.		
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further		
15	questions, comments?		
16	MR. MOYER: I would just mention,		
17	Mr. Chairman, that the Committee did try to		
18	seek compromise between the many public		
19	comments that we heard regarding the idea that		
20	some folks want no methionine, some people say		
21	we need some. So when we get further down the		

road to address what Katrina had to do, there

22

		Page 91
1	MS. HEINZE: No.	
2	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
3	Dickson?	
4	MR. DICKSON: No.	
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No.	
6	Barry?	
7	MR. FLAMM: No.	
8	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
9	MR. RIHERD: No.	
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
11	MR. HALL: No.	
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?	
13	MS. FULWIDER: No.	
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
15	MR. MOYER: No.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The Chair	
17	votes no.	
18	We have one absent, no abstain, no	
19	conflict. And two yes. I hate the way we do	
20	this. 12 no, 2 yes, 1 absent. Motion fails.	
21	MR. MOYER: Next item, Mr.	
22	Chairman, is the recommendation from the	

Livestock Committee on methionine, and I'll read the recommendation as proposed by the Committee, and I'll read the recommendation as proposed by the Committee.

The recommendation is amend 7 CFR 205.603(d)(1) as follows: DL-Methionine, DL-Methionine hydroxy analog and DL-Methionine hydroxy analog calcium for the use in organic poultry production until October 1, 2012 at the following maximum levels per ton of synthetic methionine in the feed ration.

Laying chickens, 4 pounds per ton, broiler chickens 5 pounds per tons, and turkey and all other poultry 6 pounds per ton.

After October 1, 2012 the following maximum levels per ton:

Laying and broiler chickens 2 pounds per ton, and turkeys and all other poultry 3 pounds per ton.

I make the motion that we accept this recommendation from the Livestock Committee.

		Page	93
1	SECRETARY ELLOR: Second.		
2	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second		
3	Tina.		
4	Okay. It's been moved and		
5	seconded to accept the recommendation from the		
6	Livestock Committee in the listing of		
7	methionine.		
8	Maker of the motion, do you have		
9	any further debate?		
10	MR. MOYER: No, Mr. Chairman.		
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?		
12	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.		
13	First of all, thank you to the		
14	Committee for an incredible incredibly		
15	important and good work on this.		
16	I'm glad to hear you were thinking		
17	about it last night, Katrina. because it kept		
18	me all night.		
19	So I wanted to raise with four		
20	issues that I've been struggling with. And		
21	starting with the fact that I support a phased		

down and with the hope that this would become

22

a phase out. And so I'm looking for that in this Committee recommendation.

Number 2, it's hard for me, given that I view the Sunset process as needing repair, as agreeing to something that goes back into the Sunset process, which I understand to be the process here.

MR. MOYER: That's correct.

MR. FELDMAN: It's very difficult to get out of the Sunset process at this point. Now that may change, and my hope is it will change. Very difficult to re-annotate through the Sunset process. I know we can use the petition process to do that. So I'm troubled by the fact that we're not going from phase down to phase out.

As we heard, there is very promising research on the horizon. I think we as a Board need to do everything we can, especially -- and this is somewhat systemic or this is a systemic question for us as a Board. How do we create those proper incentives to go

from phase down to phase out rather than phase down to evergreen without any real incentive there for either changes in practices, changes in breeds, changes in methodology of how we view organic production in this arena.

So, my goal here is to not be disruptive, but my goal here is to create the proper incentives to get to where we want to be. And I say that with utmost respect for you, Wendy, and others that have so elegantly articulated the need here. It's a catch-22 for me.

I also think we really need to have a clear legal reading from the NOP on what our authority is on this area. And I think the Board, you know historically has wanted to codify practices that are conceived to be accepted practices of the industry. And our role as a Board, I believe, is to challenge those practices constantly and work with industry to find -- you know, this is an evolutionary process. We're always

transitioning to something better, hopefully. But I would ask the NOP to look at and give us, if possible, an assessment or an interpretation of 6517(c)(1)(b)(i) or little one, which is the exemption for prohibited substances in organic production and handling operations, and the categories of substances for which the NOSB is allowed to issue those exemptions for.

So, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the work, I do. I don't want to be disruptive to organic productionists, and then I know the Board doesn't want to be in this arena. I think we need to know our legal standing here, and I was hoping we could do a phase down to phase out and put the burden back on the user community to relist at that point, rather than put the burden on the NOSB to find, which we will never find because we typically don't in my experience, to find that there is a problem and then be told that previous Boards have not found a problem, so why can we find a problem.

1 It's a catch-22.

So I would urge that this proposal be amended to be a phase down, as you propose to a phase out, which will shift the burden to the industry to come up with an alternative, or support.

This will -- this will I guarantee

-- I mean I don't guarantee. But I suspect

this will open the flood gates for research in

this arena because they will know, the

community will know we are serious about

moving to a better day.

So thank you. And thank you again for your work on this. Appreciate it.

15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff, do
16 you have a response?

MR. MOYER: I guess I'm trying to find out if in your comment there was a question to the Program that you would like addressed right now.

MR. FELDMAN: Well, I'm not sure.

MR. MOYER: Or is that -- I wasn't

Page 98 sure what your question was. What is your 1 2 question? 3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I think 4 part of that answer is in the fact that it 5 keeps getting relisted and it's gone through 6 Federal Register notice a number of times to 7 do that. MR. FELDMAN: 8 Right. 9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: One of 10 your issues addressed was an effort to pinch 11 the industry to prompt further research. 12 was part of the basis for the original writing 13 of this document to have an additional step 14 down. 15 MR. MOYER: Right. 16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The 17 Committee felt that it took the step down 18 process to take -- it would take too long. 19 MR. MOYER: Right. 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Because 21 we'd have to give a couple of years at each

They didn't like the length of time

22

step.

that it was going to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Also, when you come in with the next amount of step down, it had no scientific basis for those amounts to be used. And it was felt that it was an effort to pinch, but we didn't have any of the basis to create those numbers.

So, that was some of the intent along the way of how we ended up. But the original idea of this, number one, is even though there is a certain part of the industry that wants methionine completely off the List, there's an even greater part of the industry that they don't want to have to deal with a repetition process everything two to four This puts it on Sunset, puts it in years. that process. We'll always have that to fall back on. But instead of just giving them methionine to use at the full amounts that anybody wants to, it does start putting some fences around it.

Jennifer?

	Page 100
1	MR. HALL: Jay, just a question.
2	Am I hearing part of your comments that
3	potentially be a friendly amendment?
4	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.
5	MR. HALL: Okay. Do you want to
6	suggest that?
7	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, I would. I like
8	to propose that we amend this proposal to
9	require a phase out at the end of the phase
10	down period. And I don't know, I mean you can
11	play with the wording on that.
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: If we just
13	go back the wording of how many years would
14	you like the second phase to be?
15	MR. FELDMAN: Well, you had in
16	there 2012, and what was your second time
17	frame?
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second
19	time frame puts it on Sunset.
20	MR. MOYER: Right.
21	MR. FELDMAN: Right.
22	MR. MOYER: Which is five years.

	Page 101
1	MR. FELDMAN: I would like to go
2	for the phase down and make that the phase out
3	period will require a relisting at that point
4	and see where we are with the research and
5	whether we have made any progress.
6	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So you
7	would like to add to the motion of adding that
8	it
9	MR. FELDMAN: I guess it's to
10	phase down during the period from 2010 to
11	2012, at which point methionine would phase
12	out.
13	MR. MOYER: Yes, the
14	recommendation.
15	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. So
16	your motion would be use in organic poultry
17	production until October 1, 2017? Or how many
18	years? How many years? Two more years?
19	MR. FELDMAN: Two more years.
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Two more.
21	So 2014. I'm trying to get this straight,
22	folks.

Page 102 1 MR. FELDMAN: Sorry. 2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: '15, '17, 3 yes. MR. MOYER: Well, '17 is what we--4 5 if it's under Sunset, but I don't know what 6 he's proposing. 7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Well, he's 8 asking for two more years. 9 MR. FELDMAN: No. I'm asking for 10 two -- if we do nothing --11 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Right. 12 MR. FELDMAN: -- this phases out 13 when? 14 MR. MOYER: 2010. 15 MR. FELDMAN: 2010. CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Right. 16 17 MR. FELDMAN: So I'm saying two

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Well this recommendation puts the -- the recommendation is to put the first fence around their use until October 1, 2012.

years beyond that, which is 2012.

18

19

20

21

22

		Page	103
1	MR. MOYER: And the rates standard		
2	for clarification are the rates that we asked		
3	for		
4	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: For four,		
5	five and six as asked for by the petitioner.		
6	MR. MOYER: Correct.		
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. The		
8	second step down after October 1, 2012, the		
9	following maximum levels per ton: Laying and		
10	broiler chicks 2 pounds per ton, turkey and		
11	all poultry I'm sorry. Laying and broiler		
12	chickens 2 pounds per ton, and turkeys and all		
13	other poultry 3 pounds per ton until October		
14	1, 20		
15	MR. MOYER: Fifteen he said.		
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You want		
17	three years?		
18	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. So that's		
19	what I would suggest instead of a phase down		
20	a phase out at that second phase.		
21	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.		
22	MR. FELDMAN: Okay.		

	•
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So the
2	motion, if I'm allowed to paraphrase
3	you're making it the form of a motion.
4	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, correct. Thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The motion
7	is to amend the recommendation to add the
8	words until October 1, 2015.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Right.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Is there
11	MR. MOYER: I think you have to
12	add the word at which time it phases out to
13	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No, we
14	don't. By the expiration date that is a
15	that's it.
16	MR. MOYER: That is an expiration
17	date? Okay.
18	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Point
19	of order. When we have a motion and it's been
20	seconded and it's on the table, can we have a
21	second motion?
2.2	MR. MOYER: You can have a motion

- 1 to amend. It's a motion to amend.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: It's a
- 3 secondary motion to the primary.
- 4 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Okay.
- 5 So in both the original maker of the motion
- 6 and the second would have to agree to this
- 7 amendment.
- MR. MOYER: That's correct.
- 9 That's what we're getting to now.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: For it to
- 11 be friendly not to require a vote, it would
- 12 be.
- Jeff, maker of the motion, would
- 14 you accept that amendment? Would you accept
- 15 that --
- 16 MR. MOYER: He has a question.
- 17 MR. FELDMAN: Excuse me. I mean,
- 18 I believe I can propose an amendment on which
- 19 the Board can vote.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
- 21 MR. FELDMAN: If Tracy wants to
- 22 amend my motion, I would have to accept that

- 1 as a friendly motion.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No. You
- 3 | weren't looking to amend anything Jay said,
- 4 were you?
- 5 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: No. I
- 6 want to be able to vote on the motion that was
- 7 | --
- MR. MOYER: No. But you have to
- 9 first vote on my motion.
- 10 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: -- the
- 11 first motion?
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Right.
- 13 Right.
- 14 MR. MOYER: But we first have to
- 15 address his.
- MS. HEINZE: We'll have to address
- my motion first.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.
- MR. MOYER: Sorry.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So we have
- 21 the motion to amend.
- 22 MR. FELDMAN: I need a second,

1 though.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Well, no.

3 If Jeff and Tina accept that as a friendly

4 amendment, historical preference of the Board

5 has been to absorb it into the motion.

6 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Okay.

7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff, do

8 you accept that?

9 MR. MOYER: I do not.

10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

11 That answers that.

Do we have a second on the

13 amendment?

14 MR. FLAMM: I'll second the

amendment.

16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

17 It's been moved and seconded to amend the main

motion by adding the words until October 1,

19 2015, is that correct?

MR. FELDMAN: Correct.

21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. Any

22 discussion on the amendment? Katrina?

	Page 108
1	No, I'm sorry. Maker of the
2	motion, do you have any further discussion on
3	this?
4	MR. FELDMAN: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?
6	MS. HEINZE: So I want to be
7	clear. We're voting on the addition of the
8	words until October 2, 2015?
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
10	MS. HEINZE: If we vote no, we go
11	back to the original and vote yes it stays?
12	MR. FELDMAN: Correct.
13	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
14	Correct. Correct.
15	MR. FELDMAN: Just got all our
16	member, we want to make sure everyone is clear
17	on what they vote.
18	MR. MOYER: Correct. It's always
19	better to be clear.
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?
21	MR. HALL: I would just like to
22	clarify with the Program that that wording

- does actually establish an expiration?
- MR. McEVOY: Yes. The wording is
- 3 awkward.
- 4 MR. HALL: Yes.
- 5 MR. McEVOY: I think there is a
- 6 | lack of clarity in what you're proposing here.
- 7 So I'm not exactly sure how you should word
- 8 it, but so you're pretty much everything is in
- 9 place and then on October 1, 2015 methionine
- 10 is no longer allowed. I would add that.
- 11 Until October 1, 2015, methionine comes off
- 12 the list.
- MR. MOYER: Thank you.
- 14 MR. FELDMAN: I think that needs
- 15 to be there, yes.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. The
- current listing, if we could refer to that, is
- 18 for use only in organic poultry production --
- 19 it finishes. For use in only in organic
- 20 poultry production until October 1, 2010. We
- 21 | would be consistent with the current listing
- 22 to add for use only in organic poultry

production and then finishing with October 1, 2015. That would be consistent with what it is now. Is that okay.

MR. McEVOY: The clarification is what is valid until October 1, 2015? Is it the annotation or is the substance? So I think that's why you have to clarify it.

MR. FELDMAN: That's it.

MR. NEAL: This is Arthur.

This is why annotations are so critical. The longer the annotation, the more susceptible it is to abuse. So you want to make sure that you're writing clearly and in short statements if possible, because you want people to be very clear in terms of what the expectation is. So the inclusion of so many dates in this annotation, you probably want to make sure that you break those up.

Don't know exactly how, I'm like
Miles right now, because there's a lot we're
trying in that annotation. But some
additional thought just may want to be given

to communicating that annotation clearly so that it doesn't get twisted and provide an opportunity for somebody to exploit it.

MR. FELDMAN: I think we can add the phrase if you think this is appropriate, as of which date the use of methionine would phase out. Then there's no question of whether phasing -- expired. Then there's no question as to whether we're talking about the annotation or the product.

MR. McEVOY: Yes. I would use the term phase out.

MR. FELDMAN: Expired. Expired.

MR. McEVOY: But expired is much better. Yes.

MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.

And just again so the Board realizes here, I'm not suggesting that there wouldn't be a case that could be made for it's continued use at that point. But, again, it shifts the burden to the industry to show us that a product that no one it seemed -- even

- those who use it, no one is that happy with
- 2 it. That it gets a really rigorous review at
- 3 that point.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. I'm
- 5 just making a note here so I can --
- 6 MR. NEAL: Arthur again.
- 7 Just another thought.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.
- 9 MR. NEAL: Potentially putting a
- 10 period at the end of the 3 pounds per ton, the
- 11 last line and then just beginning a new
- sentence saying that on October 1, 2015 the
- use of methionine will expire. Instead of
- 14 putting another comma there.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Would that
- 16 be acceptable to the maker of the motion?
- 17 Okay.
- 18 Maker of the second?
- 19 Barry, would that be acceptable to
- 20 you?
- 21 Okay. So the amendment is to add
- the words at the end of the current

1 annotation. The new sentence, on October 1,

2 2015 the use of methionine in organic poultry

3 production expires. Is that acceptable to

4 both of you?

5

7

Berry?

MR. FLAMM: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: Yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So the

10 maker of the motion and the maker and the

11 second have accepted this. So this is now the

12 amendment that we are discussing, addition of

the sentence at the end of the current

14 annotation, October 1, 2015 the use of

methionine in organic poultry production

16 expires.

17 Katrina?

18 MS. HEINZE: I think this is a

19 very important discussion. I am concerned

about exactly what wording we're voting on.

I'm not sure that I'm ready to do this. I was

22 going to suggest that perhaps we take a

		Page	$\perp \perp 4$
1	breather on this. Let the folks really look		
2	at the wording, and then we come back after		
3	lunch and regroup on it do we really get the		
4	wording right. Because what you're reading		
5	doesn't match the screen and there's periods.		
6	And I'd rather that we really get the working		
7	right and then come back and really be able to		
8	vote.		
9	So I believe I make a motion to		
10	defer. Is that the proper to pause?		
11	What's my right thing to do here?		
12	MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Chairman, I		
13	would accept that as a friendly amendment.		
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Well,		
15	okay. Could you make the motion to table		
16	until after lunch?		
17	MS. HEINZE: I make a motion to		
18	table until after lunch.		
19	MR. HALL: Second.		
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second.		
21	Okay. Help me out. Table two-		
22	thirds or majority?		

		Page 116
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.	
2	MR. SMILLIE: I was curious as to	
3	why there's vote opposing it, the people that	
4	are opposing them or opposing this.	
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The Chair	
6	was not sure, let's do a voice vote.	
7	Barry, on the motion to table?	
8	MR. FLAMM: Yes. I agree to	
9	table.	
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
11	MR. RIHERD: Yes.	
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
13	MR. HALL: Yes.	
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?	
15	MS. FULWIDER: Yes.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
17	MR. MOYER: No.	
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
19	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.	
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?	
21	SECRETARY ELLOR: No.	
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?	

substances on 205.603. It's a rather lengthy list. I will go through the list reading only the main materials, not all of the annotations as we go through them.

Under 205.603(a) disinfectants sanitizers and medial treatments as applicable. We have atropine, biologics - vaccines, butrophanol, chlorahexidine, electrolytes, flunixin.

And the Committee, as discussed yesterday, is setting aside furosemide, which was on our list yesterday but we're setting it aside deferring it to fall, the fall meeting. So, you know, you see it on your list. It is being deferred. We voted on that as a Committee.

Nitrogen peroxide, iodine,
magnesium hydroxide, oxytocin, paraciticides,
invermectin, peroxyacetic/peracetic acid,
phosphoric acid, poloxalene, tolazoline,
xylazine, and that completes that list.
We're also adding under 205.603(b)

topical treatment, external parasiticide or 1 2 local anesthetic, iodine, lidocaine, lime 3 hydrated, mineral oil, procaine, sucrose 4 octanoate esters. 5 And then under 205.603(d) as feed 6 additives we have trace minerals and vitamins. 7 And under 205.603(f) excipients. 8 I make a motion that we approve 9 that recommendation. SECRETARY ELLOR: Second. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion 12 made by Jeff. Seconded by Tina to accept the 13 Committee's recommendation on 603. Been 14 properly seconded. That is the statement of the 15 16 motion, and that includes the withdrawal of 17 the one item no longer being in that list, 18 which is not part of the motion itself but 19 just making sure we all recognize that. 20 Maker of the motion, do you have

I do not.

MR. MOYER:

21

22

any debate?

MR. DICKSON: Yes.

22

1		1
		Page 121
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?	
2	MR. FLAMM: Yes.	
3	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
4	MR. RIHERD: Yes.	
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
6	MR. HALL: Yes.	
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?	
8	MS. FULWIDER: Yes.	
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
10	MR. MOYER: Yes.	
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
12	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.	
13	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any	
14	conflicts of interest?	
15	MR. MOYER: You didn't vote.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I know.	
17	No, I haven't yet.	
18	Any conflicts of interest?	
19	MR. MOYER: No.	
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.	
21	Seeing none.	
22	Chair votes yes.	

Page 122 One absent, zero no's, 14 yes. 1 2 Motion passes. 3 Next one, Mr. Livestock Chairman. 4 MR. MOYER: Thank you, Mr. 5 Chairman. 6 The next item on the Livestock 7 Committee's voting schedule is section 205-604 8 materials. These are non-synthetic substances 9 prohibited from use in livestock production. We have one material in this category under 10 section (a) strychnine. 11 12 The Committee's recommendation is 13 that we continue to not allow strychnine to be 14 used in organic production. 15 I make a motion that we accept the 16 Committee's recommendation 17 MR. HALL: Second. CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 18 Okay. 19 Chairman, could you please rephrase that as 20 accepting the motion to relist? 21 MR. MOYER: Yes. I make a motion 22 that we accept the Committee's recommendation

-		7 ' '		
- 1	Ι ΓΩ	religt	thig	material.
		$\perp \cup \perp \perp \cup \cup$		IIIQ CCT TGT •

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.
- Been moved and seconded. Jeff
- 4 moving, Jennifer seconding to accept the
- 5 | Committee's recommendation to relist the
- 6 substance in 604.
- 7 Maker of the motion, do you have
- 8 any further debate? Jeff?
- 9 MR. MOYER: No, I do not.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any other
- 11 further debate on this topic? Seeing none,
- 12 proceed to vote.
- 13 Tracy?
- 14 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe
- 16 | Smillie?
- 17 MR. SMILLIE: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John
- 19 Foster?
- MR. FOSTER: Yes.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?
- MR. DeMURI: Yes.

		Page	125
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Chair		
2	votes yes.		
3	One absent, zero no, 14 yes.		
4	Motion passes.		
5	Mr. Chairman, your next item,		
6	please.		
7	MR. MOYER: The next item, Mr.		
8	Chairman, on the Livestock's voting docket is		
9	the animal health care products definition.		
10	The Livestock Committee met and has asked to		
11	table that		
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Please		
13	don't move to table. Just withdraw it.		
14	MR. MOYER: We're going to		
15	withdraw it. Sorry. We're not putting it on		
16	the table. We are withdrawing that from the		
17	voting docket.		
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.		
19	MR. MOYER: There's questions why		
20	we're withdrawing it. We're withdrawing that		
21	from the voting docket because the way it was		
22	presented to the Board we don't have it in the		

1 right context of where it belongs.

We positioned as being part of the Animal Welfare document, it actually belongs in the excipients document. So the language that we had isn't appropriate. If we vote on it, it won't be right. And so it does impact the industry at all to defer this to a November vote. So the Committee has withdrawn it from the voting docket and you will see it again in its proper context.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Livestock Committee hugely apologizes for this, the way it was structured.

Your next item.

I'm on Livestock, so I can --

MR. MOYER: Yes. I'm not sure how we didn't catch that, but we didn't. We did have a lot of work. And that's not an excuse, just a reason.

The Livestock Committee's next item on the agenda is the Animal Health Care Products classification of 205.238(c)(1). The

- 1 Committee has met and has not made any changes
- on that document from what you saw yesterday.
- That's the one that I have the minority
- 4 opinion.
- I make the motion that we accept
- 6 the Committee's recommendation as written.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second?
- 8 Is there a second?
- 9 MR. HALL: Second.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion
- 11 from Jeff seconded by Jennifer to accept the
- 12 recommendation from the Livestock Committee
- regarding an amendment to section 238(c)(1).
- Mr. Maker of the motion, do you
- 15 have any further discussion?
- MR. MOYER: I do not.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any
- 18 further debate?
- MR. FELDMAN: Yes.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?
- MR. FELDMAN: I just want to make
- 22 sure I've got this right in terms of the

- 1 public comments we've received. There is a
- 2 disagreement within the industry on how we
- 3 | should handle this, is that fair?
- 4 MS. FULWIDER: Yes.
- 5 MR. FELDMAN: Okay.
- 6 MR. MOYER: Well, I'm not sure if
- 7 you mean there's a disagreement in -- I guess
- 8 in the industry, but also between the public
- 9 and the industry as well.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No,
- 11 there's --
- MR. MOYER: There's some in the
- industry as well.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
- 15 There's portions of the farmer industry.
- MR. MOYER: Right.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The
- 18 processor industry that has differences of
- 19 agreement.
- MR. MOYER: Right.
- 21 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. I just wanted
- 22 to just make sure that was true.

MR. MOYER: Okay. Thank you. And we heard that public comment several times since we made our language recommendation in November.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?

MR. FLAMM: Thank you.

I guess this one has me confused, especially the very clear and strong minority opinion by the Chair of the Committee. And so I have to respect those opinions. And also the comments made by the Board on this issue, and the divided comments among the public. And not being on the Committee or very closely involved, I'm not real clear what's happening here.

I understand the explanation for taking out the line that it was put it under - - you know, without maybe full consideration.

But I'm particularly -- you know, I'm persuaded by that minority opinion. So I don't know if anybody can help me through my own dilemma here on the proper course of

The

action. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: amendment suggested is to change what we passed at the November meeting. A no vote keeps in the sentence that we are recommending to delete. So a no vote keeps the sentence and 100 percent completely supports the minority opinion.

A no vote completely supports the minority opinion. We don't even need to go back and revote anything. That just reasserts the existing language in the recommendation we had in November and completely supports the minority opinion.

Tina?

SECRETARY ELLOR: And here's the difficulty and the reason why we decided to take this action. Because this was put in in one of those late night meetings by the person who wrote the minority opinion. And without any opportunity for -- you know, it was not in response to public comment. It was not

subject to any public comment or public input when it was put in.

So, you know, we did get a lot of feedback and people very clearly explained to us what the ramifications of this can be. And we discussed some of those yesterday in terms of beef out on the range, piglets. And, you know, it's not like if you have one sow and you have piglets, those piglets have to be on the sow. I mean, there's a knock on effect to this beyond the dairy and it's the dairy that people mostly, I think, had in mind when they were recommending against this.

And for me, personally, it's an animal welfare issue. So that's why I would support this particular document.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I have Jay and then Wendy. But I'd like to further respond to Jay's question earlier. I don't think we gave Jay a full and complete answer.

There is also debate within the regulatory part of our group as to whether

this recommendation is completely legal. The issue of whether this action would allow the feeding of a prohibited substance to a nonsick animal is part of the regulatory debate. If they were to decide that that was the case, I'm sure the Program would come back to us and say that they could not accept that recommendation.

MR. MOYER: That's correct. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: If they do come back with that recommendation we will also or they will also then need to look at what they are going to do with this milk because the comment from Kevin making the minority opinion is that you just go out and dump it on your ground. Well, that would be putting the synthetics, an unlisted synthetic substance on organic crop ground also. So the full ramifications in both directions will need to be evaluated.

But to complete the answer for your question, there's even regulatory

- 1 consideration.
- 2 MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
- MR. MOYER: That's correct, Dan.
- 4 But if we vote affirmative on the
- 5 recommendation by the Committee, it does put
- 6 it in the hands of the Program to address
- 7 that.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Correct.
- 9 Correct. Correct.
- 10 MR. MOYER: Not in the hands of
- 11 the Board.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Of what's
- 13 legal?
- MR. MOYER: Of what's -- yes, of
- 15 what's legal and what's not.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: What's
- 17 legal within OFPA and the rest of the
- 18 regulation.
- Jay, did you have any --
- MR. FELDMAN: Yes. I was actually
- going to ask about the prohibited material
- 22 issue. And thank you for clarifying that.

Because I think that's very helpful.

In deliberations on this issue did
the Committee evaluate the range of synthetic
materials that are used in this context? I
guess these are therapeutic uses. And whether
there's any distinction that the Committee
could have made among the therapeutic uses,
say between parasiticides or antibiotics, or
types of antibiotics? Was there any
discussion regarding the type? You know,
because this seems pretty broad. This seems
all inclusive as opposed to limiting, to
zeroing in more on certain conditions and some
certain subset of those therapeutic drugs?

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?

MS. FULWIDER: I believe this primarily addresses things that would not routinely be used, like the pain meds and the Flunixin. And the pain meds would be, you know if the animal needed surgery for some reason. You know, and so therefore it's a humane issue and we don't want to, you know

just be restraining the animal and tying her down and, you know, doing surgery.

And the other one is the Flunixin.

And that particular product, you know brings
down fevers. It's an anti-inflammatory. And
it prevents septic shock. And this is
particularly important that we have this
particular tool available to farmers that are
transitioning and have not learned all the
proper and appropriate organic tools that are
at their disposal. Because there is a
learning process for these folks to learn how
and when to administer these organic
medicines.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?

MR. FELDMAN: Do you feel that this wording is sufficiently narrow to not exclude or to exclude other treatments that might go beyond the two you just described; the pains meds and the --

MS. FULWIDER: Flunixin.

MR. FELDMAN: -- Flunixin? My

question is, is the wording sufficiently 1 2 narrow to pertain solely to those uses as opposed to something broader something? 3 4 MR. MOYER: Yes, Jay. Those are 5 the only materials that we have at our 6 disposal on the National List --7 MR. FELDMAN: Right. 8 MR. MOYER: -- that have the 9 withholding times. 10 MR. FELDMAN: Right. MR. MOYER: So it includes all of 11 12 We did not go specifically into each them. 13 material to determine. 14 Okay. MR. FELDMAN: That's --That was already done 15 MR. MOYER: in the review of those materials and the 16 17 withholding times are established. 18 MR. FELDMAN: Right. 19 This point of MR. MOYER: 20 discussion was whether or not that milk 21 retains its organic character and can be feed 22 as 100 percent feed to organic livestock or

2 MR. FELDMAN: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?

4 MR. FLAMM: Has the Committee

5 considered postponing a decision on this until

6 this regulatory issue is cleared up?

7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: They're

8 shaking their heads. I think this

9 recommendation would put it right in the lap

10 for them to make that regulatory decision and

11 either accept or reject the document.

12 Valerie?

13 MS. FRANCES: Wasn't the intention

of this vote just to correct essentially kind

of a mistake in the previous recommendation

and really just keep things as the status quo

in the recommendation as they are right now?

18 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I think

19 that's the way some of the members would feel

and others would disagree.

MR. MOYER: Yes. Certainly the

22 writer of the minority opinion would not

consider it as a correction of a mistake but a reaffirmation of the statement that they thought was correct.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

22

Kevin writing the minority opinion was the person who wrote that sentence --

MS. FRANCES: Yes. I didn't mean to characterize it really as a mistake. I'm sorry.

10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

MS. FRANCES: But just wasn't it

intended to just remove what was added?

13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

MS. FRANCES: It would just keep the regulation as it is right now.

16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. Part

question and part of the Committee really sat

of the industry that came to us with the

down and read what that sentence was that we

20 put in on that last day. We felt that we had

gone farther than we intended.

MR. MOYER: That's correct. And

it wasn't up for community debate at that 1 2 point or public comment. And some of the 3 comment that we got subsequent to that 4 sentence being added encouraged us to go back 5 and maybe retract that sentence. 6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Again, to 7 Kevin would say it says exactly what 8 we have intended. 9 MR. MOYER: Right. 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe? I apologize, but I 11 MR. SMILLIE: 12 just want to be really clear. I missed the 13 previous recommendation possibly. But this 14 wording limit to analgesics, like you couldn't 15 use a parasiticide, for example? 16 Following the same question as 17 Jay's, but I didn't really catch the definition answer. 18 19 MS. FULWIDER: Well, the 20 parasiticides are not allowed in this 21 discussion.

MR. SMILLIE:

Right.

22

	Page 140
1	MS. FULWIDER: I mean, this is
2	just about the pain meds and the Flunixin.
3	MR. SMILLIE: Okay. Absolutely
4	restricted to those two items?
5	MR. MOYER: Yes, that's my
6	understanding. And only to be used in
7	emergency situations.
8	MR. SMILLIE: Right.
9	MR. MOYER: This is not routinely
10	on just animals.
11	MR. SMILLIE: Okay. That's what I
12	thought, but I just wanted to be clear.
13	MR. MOYER: And only under a
14	veterinarian's care
15	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?
16	MS. HEINZE: I have two questions.
17	A follow-up on that one. How would a non-
18	expert know that? Because what it says is
19	right. How would we know that? So if it's
20	prohibited, you can't sell it. So presumably
21	these are allowed non-synthetics?
22	MS. FULWIDER: Allowed synthetics.

		Page 141
1	MS. HEINZE: Allowed synthetics?	
2	MR. MOYER: Allowed synthetics.	
3	MS. HEINZE: So when that was on	
4	the list, there's a withholding time?	
5	MR. MOYER: They're allowed	
6	synthetics with a withholding time and	
7	previous Boards have established a two times	
8	the FDA withholding period for those	
9	materials. Those are the materials we're	
10	talking.	
11	MS. HEINZE: Okay. And my second	
12	question much more pragmatic is, my	
13	understanding is this is current practice. So	
14	this is what	
15	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Some.	
16	MS. HEINZE: Some.	
17	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: In some	
18	cases it's current practice, it some cases the	
19	more restrict language is their current	
20	practice of what's being enforced.	
21	MR. MOYER: Both are current	
22	practices.	

Let me

1 MS. HEINZE: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: just read 238 Livestock Health Care Standards. (b) When preventative practice and veterinary biologics are inadequate to prevent sickness. And this gets to both sides of your issue. producer may administer synthetic medications provided that such medications are allowed under 205.603. Parasiticides allowed under 205.603 may be used on to dairy stock when used a minimum of 90 days prior to the production of milk or milk products that are to be sold, labeled or represented as organic. So there is a specific statement in the regulatory language of the rule identifying the milk of the parasiticide treatment animal as not organic. Other discussion? Wendy?

MS. FULWIDER: We're not requiring, you know that the farmers use the pain meds when they do surgery, but we certainly don't want to discourage them from

doing this because this will further label us as being inhumane. And so we have the science, you know and morally and ethically I mean we should not be doing surgery on animals without pain meds.

MR. MOYER: As a follow-up representing Kevin, Kevin would say that that's true, he would agree with that statement. But then he would go further to say that the milk that comes out of whatever animal it is could not be fed to the young stock. He would still treat his animals with the pain meds, but he would dump that milk.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Is that new or a follow-up, Katrina? New statement or a follow-up to what Jeff just said?

Jay?

MR. FELDMAN: So, Dan, the point of view of reading the annotation on paraciticides is to suggest that the language before us does not allow -- would not allow the use of paraciticides? I mean, I guess I'm

1 having trouble --

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No. It

3 | would --

4 MR. FELDMAN: I mean in this

5 context?

6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

7 MR. FELDMAN: Right. Yes. And

8 substance that contains a synthetic not

9 allowed under 205.603. That's a huge --

10 that's the language here as proposed.

11 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: As

12 prohibited?

13 MR. FELDMAN: Right. So the

14 presumption is -- there's no reference in here

to pain meds and referencing surgery, or that

16 sort of thing?

17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No. No.

18 The reference there is the excising out

19 specifically or of milk from cows treated with

20 the parasiticide as opposed to the pain meds

or other items with -- actually, it's not

22 limited to pain meds. Currently the only

thing on the List with or withholding

additional withholding time is pain meds, but

it's not limited to pain meds. It's other

substances on the List with a withholding time

which could change in the future.

MR. FELDMAN: Right. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: So clearly we want to encourage farmers to use the pain medications when they need to. We don't want to discourage that.

So if we leave the sentence in, we might be encouraging them to wean the young from their mother too early, right? Because they treat -- is that really what it comes down to?

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Or not using pain meds.

MS. HEINZE: Or not using pain meds. It could be perceived that that's what we're doing?

MR. MOYER: We don't know that for

a fact. But what you're saying is, yes, some farmers could.

MS. HEINZE: Right.

MR. MOYER: In order to not dump their milk, they could say we're not going to use these materials.

7 MS. HEINZE: I'm just trying to 8 understand, right?

MR. MOYER: I don't want to characterize farmers as not treating their animals correctly, that's --

MS. HEINZE: Totally get that.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No, It's going to end up coming down to what is legal within the rule, you know. Is it legal to do this? Is it legal to give this to the calves or not. If it's not, I think someone's going to have to look at well what can we do with that milk? Because --

MR. MOYER: Right. And that's why an affirmative vote here puts it in their camp, not ours to decide that.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Miles?

MR. McEVOY: Or the suggestion that you made a lot of questions basically about this, that maybe you should just ask a question of the Program and we can get back to you on this. I'm clarifying --

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The intent of the majority vote of the Livestock

Committee was to alter what was the final vote of what was included in the recommendation in November and bring it back to what the majority of the Livestock Committee fully intended that section to say when we were going through all of our discussions in reviewing it as opposed to the next step that was taken on the last day when that sentence was included.

MR. MOYER: As a point of reference, Dan, all we're doing is if we make that change, the full recommendation, the final recommendation is on the plate of the Program.

	Page 148
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
2	MR. MOYER: This just clarifies
3	that paragraph.
4	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
5	MR. MOYER: And keeps it on their
6	plate so they can act on it.
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. Yes.
8	Arthur, did you have a statement?
9	MR. NEAL: I'm okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe?
11	MR. SMILLIE: Call the question.
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Objection
13	to calling the question? Seeing no objection
14	and no further debate, call for the vote on
15	accepting the recommendation from the
16	Livestock Committee on the amendment to
17	section 238(c)(1). So an affirmative vote
18	accepts the deletion of that sentence and as
19	an amendment to the previous recommendation we
20	passed in November. A negative vote keeps
21	that sentence and completely supports the

opinion expressed in the minority opinion.

			Page 149
1		MR. MOYER: That's correct.	
2		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
3	Smillie?		
4		MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	
5		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John	
6	Foster?		
7		MR. FOSTER: Yes.	
8		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?	
9		MR. DeMURI: Yes.	
10		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?	
11		MS. HEINZE: Yes.	
12		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
13	Dickson?		
14		MR. DICKSON: Yes.	
15		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?	
16		MR. FLAMM: A reluctant yes.	
17		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
18		MR. RIHERD: Yes.	
19		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
20		MR. HALL: Yes.	
21		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?	
22		MS. FULWIDER: Yes.	

promise he made yesterday. I fully intend to

1 vote on that. So find an appropriate time.

MR. MOYER: I was going to suggest earlier, but didn't think it appropriate, Joe, that we just pretend that Kevin is sitting here and that my voice is coming out of him.

MR. SMILLIE: I want a vote on that. I want to vote,

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We did do a straw pull at dinner, so I know who is leading.

MR. MOYER: Mr. Chairmen, until we return to the methionine question, that is the end of the Livestock Committee.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So to deal with that issue, I would request that at a break or at lunch we have the maker and person making the second of both the original motion and the amendment, just so we have all four parties involved, so -- I'm asking them to be there just so everybody understands what the language is that we're dealing with. They don't have the exact say, but I'd like them

1 aware.

2 So we have Jeff, Tina and Barry.

3 It's mainly Jay and Berry that need to approve

this language, but just so everyone is

5 involved.

4

10

11

12

13

14

What time are we at? 10:45.

7 Okay. We're ahead schedule.

8 And that concludes Livestock.

9 Moving on to Handling, Steve, please.

It is the request of the Chair for the Handling Committee to possibly consider-- and I expressed this to Steve early, so it's not news to him. It's news to you. To consider consolidation of their

recommendations so that we could vote 605(a),

16 605(b) and 606 as three separate motions

17 rather than the individual groupings that they

18 did by members of the Committee.

MR. DeMURI: Yes. And I am fine

20 with that. As I mentioned yesterday the group

21 things that we put together for ease of

22 presentation yesterday, not necessarily for

voting. So we will be voting the three separate groups in. So the first group will be all the 605(a) items, which I'll through in a minute. And then we'll vote on all the 605(b) items. And then all the 606 items. So there will be three separate votes for the Handling Committee Sunset items.

So I think we went through a pretty thorough review of all the items yesterday. I'll start with the 205.601(a) items. I'll just read them at this point. If anybody has any specific questions, we can get to that during the discussion after I go through these.

So the first group is Sunset

materials for section 205.605(a) which are

nonagricultural, non-organic substances

allowed as ingredients in or on processed

products labeled as organic or made with

organic. And these are the non-synthetics.

So they are: acids, bentonite, calcium carbonate, calcium chloride,

		Page	154
1	carrageenan, dairy cultures, diatomaceous		
2	earth - food filtering aid only, kaolin,		
3	nitrogen - oil-free grades, oxygen - oil-free		
4	grades, perlite for use only as a filter aid		
5	in food processing, potassium chloride, sodium		
6	bicarbonate, sodium carbonate and waxes none		
7	synthetic, carnauba wax and wood whip resin.		
8	So those are the 605(a) items we'd		
9	be voting on right now.		
10	I'd like to make a motion that		
11	these Sunset items be accepted as re-listed.		
12	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Second.		
13	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion		
14	made by Steve DeMuri, seconded by Tracy to		
15	relist the items listed under 605(a) for		
16	relisting in the Sunset Review process.		
17	Mr. Maker of the motion, do you		
18	have any further debate on this?		
19	MR. DeMURI: No.		
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any other		
21	debate? Ready for the vote?		
22	MR. DeMURI: Conflict.		

1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Conflict
2	of interest? Thank you.
3	MR. DeMURI: I do. Let me mention
4	that not only for the 605(a) item, but 605(b)
5	and some of the 606 items the company that I
6	work for does use some or all of these items
7	in our processes.
8	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Chair does
9	not that find that as a reason to recuse. But
10	I would like everyone with those similar
11	conflicts to reveal or state them.
12	Katrina?
13	MS. HEINZE: I also work for a
14	food manufacturer who may use some or all of
15	the items on 605(a), 606(b) and 606.
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.
17	Joe?
18	MR. DICKSON: Yes. I also work for
19	a retailer and a food manufacturer that uses
20	all or some of the items on 605(a), 605(b) and
21	606.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

	Page 156
1	John?
2	MR. FOSTER: Not surprisingly,
3	work for a manufacturer that may use some or
4	all of the items on 605 and 606.
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.
6	Okay.
7	MR. FELDMAN: I eat those things.
8	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So what
9	you're telling me then is they do have a
10	specific finance or impact on you, but we will
11	allow it at this point.
12	MR. DeMURI: We'll let you do it
13	then.
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any
15	further? Thank you.
16	Proceeding with the vote.
17	John?
18	MR. FOSTER: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?
20	MR. DeMURI: Yes.

MS. HEINZE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?

21

1	Dickson?	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	Page 157
	2 2 3 1 3 3 1 1		
3		MR. DICKSON: Yes.	
4		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?	
5		MR. FLAMM: Yes.	
6		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
7		MR. RIHERD: Yes.	
8		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
9		MR. HALL: Yes.	
10		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?	
11		MS. FULWIDER: Yes.	
12		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
13		MR. MOYER: Yes.	
14		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
15		MR. FELDMAN: Yes.	
16		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?	
17		SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.	
18		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?	
19		VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.	
20		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
21	Smillie?		
22		MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: And the Chair votes yes.

One absent, zero no, 14 yes. Is that what everyone else has? Of course that was an easy one that I asked. Motion passes.

Your next item, Mr. Chairman?

MR. DeMURI: The next group would be the 205.605(b) items. Again, all lumped together for the vote. These are non-air cultural, non-organic substances allowed as ingredients in or on processed products labeled as organic or made with organic. These are the synthetics that are allowed.

And they are: Calcium phosphates

(monobasic, diabasic, tribasic), carbon

dioxide, ethylene - allowed for post-harvest

ripening of tropic fruit and de-greening of

citrus, glycerides - mono and did for use only

in drum drying of food, glycerin produced by

hydrolysis of fats and oils, hydrogen

peroxide, magnesium carbonate for use only in

agricultural products labeled "made with

organic" prohibited in agricultural products labeled "organic," magnesium chloride derived from sea water, magnesium stearate for use only in agricultural products labeled "made with organic" prohibited in agricultural products labeled "organic," ozone, potassium acid tartrate, potassium carbonate, potassium citrate, potassium hydroxide prohibited for use in live peeling of fruits and vegetables except when in used for peeling peaches during the IQF, individually quick frozen, production process; potassium phosphate for use only in agricultural products labeled "made with organic" prohibited in agricultural products labeled "organic," xanthan gum, alginates, ammonium bicarbonate for use only as a leavening agent, ammonium carbonate for use only as a leavening agent, ascorbic acid, calcium citrate and calcium hydroxide. I move for relisting of these items just read off to Sunset.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Second.

MR. SMILLIE:

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Been moved 1 2 and seconded by Joe. Moved an seconded to relist the items and the recommendation from 3 the Handling Committee for relisting on 4 5 605(b). 6 Maker of the motion, do you have 7 any further debate? 8 MR. DeMURI: I don't. 9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 10 further debate and questions, comments on this? 11 12 Tracy? 13 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: I have 14 a clarification. I misspoke yesterday, so I 15 would like to make the correction and put that 16 on the record. 17 When I present potassium hydroxide 18 I stated that the uses were limited strictly 19 to the peeling of IQF peaches. And what the 20 Listing actually says according to Codex when 21 this was originally put on, is that all food 22 uses are okay unless there are annotations

that limit it. So the limitation actually has 1 2 to do with the peeling of fruits with the exception of IQF peaches, which are allowed. 3 Other food uses are also allowed. And I 4 5 needed that to be clearly stated in the record 6 and then, of course, enforcement, everything 7 else falls under enforcement and outside of 8 our purview. 9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe, did you have -- Joe? 10 11 MR. SMILLIE: Yes, I've got a

MR. SMILLIE: Yes, I've got a question concerning the List and especially placement on the list. As we know, we've got a number of materials that may not be on the right Lists, which is not a controversial one, but it's come to my attention that glycerin can also be produced, not necessarily by hydrolysis in fats and soil. That it can actually be extracted and certified as organic and put on the marketplace.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I'm just wondering when we put something down like glycerin that can be

Page 162 produced in two dramatically different ways, 1 2 how are we going to deal with that in the long 3 run, or do you just want to say --CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 4 There's a 5 number of ways to deal with that. Number one 6 right now is the Sunset Review Relisting as 7 it's printed. 8 MR. SMILLIE: As it's printed? 9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: As the annotation reads. 10 Number two, there's always the 11 petition process to change an annotation. 12 13 Number three, as we proceed 14 through the classification, the material 15 process a reevaluation of anything that may 16 need to be shifted is part of that road that 17 that group plans to go down. 18 MR. SMILLIE: Thanks. 19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you. 20 Katrina, did you have anything 21 else on that?

MS. HEINZE:

No. I agree with what

1 you said as cannot be on your --

them around on the List.

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
- 3 Steve?

- MR. DeMURI: I agree with you what
 you said, Dan. And just to reiterate that, I
 think it's cleaner if we go ahead and Sunset
 these as written and then go through a
 petition process to either move them or change
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?
- MS. HEINZE: As Joe said, I just
- wanted to make sure the original Board review
- for potassium hydroxide considered all those
- 14 uses, correct?
- 15 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: All of
- 16 what uses?
- MS. HEINZE: The other three uses?
- 18 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: As
- 19 standard practice, yes.
- MS. HEINZE: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further
- 22 debate? Ready for the question?

1	Page 164 For the relisting of 605(b) in
2	Sunset.
3	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?
4	Any additional conflicts on this
5	items from all those that were previously
6	disclosed?
7	Steve?
8	MR. DeMURI: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?
10	MS. HEINZE: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe
12	Dickson?
13	MR. DICKSON: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?
15	MR. FLAMM: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?
17	MR. RIHERD: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?
19	MR. HALL: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?
21	MS. FULWIDER: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI:	Jay?
--------------------------	------

- MR. FELDMAN: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?
- 5 SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?
- 7 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe?
- 9 MR. SMILLIE: Yes.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John?
- MR. FOSTER: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Chair
- 13 votes yes.
- 14 One absent. Was there a no?
- 15 Fourteen yes. Motion passes.
- 16 Next item, Mr. Chairman.
- MR. DeMURI: Okay. The last group
- are the 606 items, non-organically produced
- 19 agricultural products allowed as ingredients
- in or on processed products labeled as
- 21 | "organ." And if I've said it once, I've said
- 22 it a million times: These are subject to the

commercial availability statutes, scrutiny by certifiers, but these would be agricultural nonorganic ingredients that would be sunsetting.

So, they are: Casing from process intestines, celery powder, chia, dillweed oil, fish oil stabilized with organic ingredients or only with ingredients on the National Lists 205, 605 and 205.606, galangal frozen, gelatin, gums - water extracted only (Arabic, guar, locust bean and carob bean, konjac flour, lemongrass frozen, orange shellac unbleached, pepper chipotle chile, kelp for use only as a thickener and/or dietary supplement, sweet potato starch for bean thread production only, Turkish bay leaves and wakame seaweed.

I move for the relisting of these items on 205.606.

MR. SMILLIE: Second.

21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion has

been and seconded to relist these items as

- 1 stated in the recommendation on 606.
- Joe Smillie?
- 3 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Mr. Maker
- 4 of the motion, do you have any further debate?
- 5 MR. DeMURI: I do not.
- 6 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further
- 7 debate, any questions? Jay?
- 8 MR. FELDMAN: This, I may not have
- 9 heard this, but you're including in this
- 10 | lemongrass?
- MR. DeMURI: Frozen.
- 12 MR. FELDMAN: Frozen? And I guess
- sweet potato starch, although the whole List
- is -- you didn't leave any off? Okay.
- MR. DeMURI: No. They're all on
- 16 it.
- 17 MR. FELDMAN: Okay.
- 18 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further
- 19 | discussion? Jeff?
- MR. MOYER: Yes. Steven, this
- also has the animal casings on this list as
- 22 | well, right?

1 MR. DeMURI: That was the first 2 one I mentioned.

MR. MOYER: Yes. I guess I'm going back to the discussion that we had when we first put that on the List. There was a lot of discussion about that, and I know several of us in the Livestock Committee have been talking about the fact that the livestock industry has grown tremendously since that was first put on the list. And I'm just curious why — it would seem like there would be enough to make a difference now, and I'm wondering why no one's responded or put up any information. And I don't know, maybe this is mentioned more to the audience.

MR. DeMURI: Well, we do have a casings guy in the audience, I believe. Is he here, the one that talked to me yesterday.

No, I guess not. He's out playing somewhere.

He mentioned to me yesterday that beef intestines are not suitable for sausage making. They have to use hog intestines and

organic hog availability for intestines for sausage making would not be able to supply the organic sausage market. He had told me that earlier when I had contacted them sometime ago when we were looking at this. But he reiterated that to me yesterday.

MR. MOYER: I guess I always feel like we're in the same dilemma that we get into. I mean, there's a certain amount of organic hog intestine, obviously not enough to satisfy the whole market. But it seems like people who buy this stuff, if they can't get it all, they don't want any. So now that we get -- it just doesn't seem to be working the way the system --

MR. DeMURI: The way our

17 testimonies do?

MR. MOYER: Yes. The way the system's designed, maybe Joe.

MR. SMILLIE: There's probably enough, but they all don't go to the same slaughter house. It's a question of how much

processing goes on at the slaughterhouse and how they have to be certified as an organic slaughterhouse, have segregation. So the potential to segregate hog intestine is there, but the volume's not, as I understand it. And the petitioner, as I understand it, at least one of the petitioners was Organic Prairie, not Organic Valley, but the meat division of crop cooperative. And that petitioner is totally, you know I would expect industry being on top of the availability of organic when that volume reaches a point that the process could occur.

So, I'd fully expect once we hit that number that there will be a petition because it's in the interest of the organic industry to do so, to make use of their currently non-saleable intestines.

MR. FELDMAN: Well, I guess my question is how do we -- and we probably can't answer it here. Is how do we encourage the industry to buy what's there before they go

somewhere -- I mean, they want it all at one place, this one-stop shopping. I know that to me -- but it's frustrating from my perspective when I'm looking at I know there's organic hogs out there and they are growing, but that segment doesn't move -- hopefully in the future it will.

MR. SMILLIE: Well, again what

Steve said for the millionth time, you know

it's like commercial availability. The

certifier has to be on top of making sure that

every year when they use -- and that's one of

the things that I think was asked for, one of

the public, is you know a database where 606

items that are requested are posted so that we

get a more transparent database of how many

606 items are being granted. You know, so we

get a good handle on that and what's

available.

I think it is definitely in the financial interests of the organic hog producers to get this off the List once

Page 172 they've got their volume. But perhaps Wendy 1 2 could add more detail to that. 3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy? 4 MS. FULWIDER: I'm sorry, I 5 haven't worked that much on that side of it. 6 MR. SMILLIE: But when we go 7 around again, I'm sure we'll have this. 8 MS. FULWIDER: I'll make a point 9 of knowing. 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay? 11 MR. FELDMAN: Do we as a Board 12 have a good sense of how well that system is working, Joe? in terms of certifiers directing 13 14 the organic production side toward that element of the community that is producing 15 16 organic products on the 606 List?

MS. FULWIDER: You know, it's not perfect but I think it's good. I mean, the ACA, the Accredited Certification Association, has got a 606 List and they are making that known to their members and to other people.

So I think the certifiers are actively

17

18

19

20

21

reaching out to the community.

And again, one of the best ways, and I don't want to get into all of our secrets, but enforcement, you know competition is a great enforcer. And if you are using something organic and your competition is sourcing conventional, you have a financial interest into making that known to certifiers and to the Program.

And so through the complaint process, through again the active outreach of ACA on the 606 List. But competitors are driving that, Jay.

And I think it functions pretty well. We've seen a lot of things. So we saw it, and we just went through it. You missed the wonderful lessons and the debates, which hopefully we won't return to, but we had some really classic examples of some of the industry using organic lecithin and some of them not using it. And that drove us to remove lecithin from the thing.

So it's not perfect, but I think it is working. I think that the commercial availability rules are getting better and better understood. And as we are now in the age of enforcement, I think that it will only improve.

I would also like to see that database for all the -- I don't want to call them exceptions because that's the wrong word. But for all the 606 conventional materials that are allowed be posted so it becomes even more transparent.

MR. McEVOY: From the Program's perspective, I would say that ACAs and certified operations are doing a great job at using organic seeds and using organic when available. And ACAs are doing a great job at doing their best to be consistent in terms of how they evaluate the firm's efforts around commercial availability. There's certainly room for improvement. We're planning on clarifying requirements for certifiers to

determine commercial availability on seeds to implement the NOSB recommendations in draft guidance this summer. But I think it's a challenging area to be consistent through all the certifiers and all the certified operations worldwide. But I think that there are very good efforts going on and also room for improvement.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

Further discussion?

Yes, Steve, I would have totally been behind dropping the casings from the List today. I very much appreciate your statement on the need for the hog casings. I don't think that there's any question that this is probably the least mature of all the major livestock industries that we have developing an organic. I would just ask you to very much keep track of the ANPR Notice of Public Comment and see if there's much at all there, new information of any significance, to justify reviewing this one.

i		
		Page 177
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
2	MR. MOYER: Yes.	
3	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
4	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.	
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?	
6	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.	
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?	
8	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.	
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
10	Smillie?	
11	MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John?	
13	MR. FOSTER: Yes.	
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?	
15	MR. DeMURI: Yes.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Chair	
17	votes yes.	
18	One absent, zero no, 14 yes.	
19	Motion passes.	
20	Okay. Does that complete your	
21	work, Mr. Chairman?	
22	MR. DeMURI: We are done.	

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 1 Okay. 2 are slightly ahead of schedule. We have a little bit of work that we have to do within 3 4 one Committee before we bring that information 5 up for a final vote. And so we're going to slightly change and take our break now. We're 6 7 slightly ahead. Not bad. Slightly ahead. 8 So let's go 11:25 to bring it back 9 to order. Lunch will be when we fit in, 10 closer to 12:30 after the meals arrive. 11 12 (Whereupon, the above-entitled 13 matter went off the record at 11:10 a.m. and 14 resumed at 11:25 a.m.) 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. 16 Thank you. 17 We had a slight extended break. 18 We are way ahead of schedule and we had plans 19 of things that we need to do as Board business 20 relevant to some of these recommendations at 21 the break. So as far ahead in the schedule we 22 are, we're going to be moving some people so

that they were missing the breaks that we were

2 planning to work on those information. So

3 that was the cause for coming back a little

4 bit late.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let's see, we have one bit of

business to backtrack on. Annette? I mean

Wendy.

Sorry Annette.

I was going to ask for a ChapStick demonstration, but we'll just move on with Wendy.

MS. FULWIDER: Yes. I need to change my vote on the 606 to an abstain because of connection to Organic Prairie. I was unaware of their petition.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Recused.

I think the fact that you were unaware that
the company that you fairly recently started
working for only the last couple of years was
the petitioner of this substance reveals how
close you are to it and how much it effects
your life. We probably would not have

requested that, but because of the nature of the way this has folded out, we appreciate your voluntary action on that matter.

MR. SMILLIE: Five Our Fathers and two Hail Mary's.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You've just brought religion into government, Joe.

Okay. We now have that vote on 606 as zero no, 13 yes, one absent and one recusal.

We are now moving on to something that is on the agenda 2:00, folks. We are doing amazingly well. Yes, we do have to go back on methionine. But we can make it feel like we're ahead. Okay.

The Joint Materials and Handling Committee, Katrina Chairperson.

MS. HEINZE: Thank you, Mr.

19 Chairman.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: One 21 second. This is actually a joint Committee.

22 It actually has Co-Chairs. Steve is also the

Chairman on this. But through this classification process issues, Katrina is generally. But it does actually have two Chairs. I don't want to shortchange Steve's participation, addition and level of authority with that Committee.

MS. HEINZE: Thank you.

I've been informed that sometimes
I'm a little bit quiet. So if that's the case
if someone on the Board could just wave at me
and I will reposition my microphone.

Okay. I'm not going to go through everything that I summarized yesterday, but obviously we'd be happy to have discussion on that.

What I did want to do is update the Board on updates to the document that we noted on this morning. So, Valerie, if you could scroll down to section IV, the Rule Change.

So yesterday there was some discussion about whether the changes that we

recommended in November and tweaking with this addendum, whether they could be done through a guidance document or whether rule change was required. There was some preference by some on the Board that if we could do it through guidance, that would be more flexible and certainly more timely.

So working with the Program, we've approved a change to this Rule Change language. So now this document is coming out of Committee with nine yes votes, two no votes, no absent.

Our previous document said that we asked the NOP to begin the process of rule change and know that they would prioritize it as appropriate. The new language says: "In our November recommendation we asked the NOP to begin the process for rule change when they received recommendation from the NOSB." With this addendum we are instead asking the NOP to begin the process of reviewing this recommendation for the purpose of facilitating

a relevant rule change and/or developing the industry guidance. Where possible we would like this recommendation to be implemented in industry guidance for some period of time prior to rule change.

The NOSB asks that the NOP provides feedback to the NOSB regarding its review and works collaboratively with its numbers to address any areas of concern. We asked the NOP to prioritize the review of in response to this recommendation so that increased consistency and classification of materials can be implemented quickly.

So in conversations with Arthur, really the intent, if this addendum passes, is if they will take this and our November recommendation, they will take it back for review, identify any areas of regulatory concern, identify where there may be a need for more immediate rule change and then work with us as we develop the guidance document.

So it will really be a

collaborative process to bring the changes
that we recommended into place for the
industry. They understand that our preference
would be the guidance document because of the
flexibility that that allows us. So this
language, I think, captures that.

Then I just wanted to highlight a couple of other things in the addendum so you're fully aware of what you're voting on.

Valerie, on section III right above this. the last sentence. There you go.

I just wanted to highlight for everyone that we have requested that the NOP continue to be aware of the public and NOSB's desire to explore this topic, this topic being the applicability of commercial availability to 605.

So then finally, Valerie, if you could just look at the chemical change definition, which is above. There you go.

Oh, unfortunately it's on 2 pages. Maybe put in some spaces or something so it ends up.

Bravo. Okay.

So everything I just mentioned is really procedural, how we want to go forward. This is really the key change that you'll be voting on.

So in November the Board passed a definition of chemical change which says "An occurrence whereby the identity of a substance is modified, such that the resulting substance possesses a different distinct identity (see related definition of "substance").

And you know just to summarize, there's considerable public comment that that went too far with regards to agricultural products. So after all the debate in public comment that I summarized yesterday, the Joint Committee is recommending the addition of the underlined language which reads: "Allowed processing, as defined in ^U205.2 of agricultural products using materials allowed on the applicable section of the National List, that is: ^U205.601 for crops, ^U205.603

	Page 186
1	for livestock and ^U205.606 for handling does
2	not result in chemical change as it applies to
3	classification of materials."
4	So with that, I move that the
5	Board accepts this document as an amendment to
б	the November recommendation.
7	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Second.
8	MR. SMILLIE: Second.
9	MS. HEINZE: Questions,
10	discussion?
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
12	Questions and discussion? Properly been moved
13	and seconded of this document from the Joint
14	Materials and Handling Committee. That was
15	Katrina's motion and Tracy's second.
16	Madam Maker of the motion, do you
17	have any further discussion?
18	MS. HEINZE: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further
20	debate? Jay?
21	MR. FELDMAN: I've already told
22	you this, Katrina. Thank you for all your

work on this. It's been an extraordinary effort. Very fair, open. And you've really sought out everybody's opinion in putting this stuff together. But, so none of this is a surprise to you and I just want to get this out there so people are clear on where I'm coming from on this.

This is a scenario I see, and I don't want to mischaracterize it. But I hope we have agreement on what's happening here from a practical standpoint. We're approving a synthetic as part of our listing process that we're approving their list, and then we use that synthetic to make another material that we then call non-synthetic. And that's the process we're engaged in here.

I understand what part of the community wants to do that. I think it at the end of the day is going to be a problem for the Board and the organic community. I would prefer if we could have taken an approach that would have recognized its synthetic nature of

the material we've approved or are considering and recognize, as we have to date, that these materials are necessary, essential and important to organic under the guidelines that we have embraced.

And so I think we're at a crossroads that we're not wanting to put more synthetics on the List wanting to be able to point to materials as being non-synthetic even though they may have synthetic components to them. And hat is not the road that we should follow I don't believe at this time.

Now as you know, Katrina, part of my concern as well as the underlying document the past November on the issue and the challenge of defining significant versus insignificant. And I think having been then ---yes I'll refer to it at as another rabbit hole but I think I should have characterized it as a rat hole. Having been down that rat hole on numerous pesticides on the conventional side as to what is an allowable

level that represents a reasonable exposure or a reasonable certainty of no harm, or de minimis risk, there are never really good answers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So it is my hope that at some point we can return to the statute here and really recognize that we are about process, we are governed by a process-driven law and we should seek to identify those materials that we believe are necessary and essential to that process, and live up, own them, embrace them, explain to the public why we think they're necessary and essential. And then take whatever heat comes from that process. recognize that to do otherwise takes us into a realm of exposure patterns, sensitivities among the consuming public, ecological fate issues, health outcomes around issues around endocrine disrupting chemicals for which we have not yet established an acceptable level of exposure and for which we're seeing no longer classical toxicology having impact on

significance, such as dose makes the poison.

And a dose response code that is linear.

We're now seeing inverted use where we see nonlinear exposure responses to synthetic chemicals. You've heard about the hermaphroditic frogs, you've heard about the impacts at very low levels of exposures to synthetic materials for which we have no testing.

Now, I'm not saying that is relevant or irrelevant to the kinds of low level exposure to synthetics we're talking in the context of this effort. But we just don't know and we want to precautionary. So we want to ensure that when we do allow synthetic, we have subjected it to the most thorough review even at minuscule and so called insignificant levels.

And I know everybody wants to do that and balance that against what we view as a burdensome process. And I don't think we've yet found that balance in this definition. I

1 think we're avoiding something.

And last point. We're looking to organic to be leading the curve on these sorts of issues. Leading the curve rather than pointing to definitions in FDA and EPA and other institutions that have expressly taken a different viewpoint this question of process driven. They are risk-mitigation driven. It's a totally different realm. And to point to those statutes and to those other venues really does a disservice to those who have embraced the organic concept.

Again, a tremendous effort.

Really, I mean that sincerely and I think that some day we'll find the balance here. But I don't think this is it. Thank you.

MS. HEINZE: Thank you. I certainly do respect your opinion. Although, I respectfully disagree with one point that you made, which is that we are at a crossroads.

We very studiously in our November

recommendation worked to classify materials as they have traditionally and historically been classified by previous Boards and by our certifiers. So the definition that we passed in November effects very, very few materials with regards to how their classified.

So I do not believe this is a crossroads for organic, or changes how we've historically behaved. That was the November recommendation.

So what I want to emphasize is we are not voting on the first sentence. All we are voting is the second sentence which corrects an intended consequence that our November vote had with regards to classification of very large numbers of agricultural products.

So just for everyone else. I know, Jay, that that won't change how you're feeling about it. But I just want to be clear that folks know what they're voting on. We're not voting on November.

The other thing is, I think your comments on significant and insignificant are very valuable and are going to be critical to our discussions on that topic as we write up that document. And I'm very grateful to have your expertise on that. It will be a challenge. I know we've gotten a lot of public comment, but that is work still ahead of us, and also not being voted on today.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe?

MR. SMILLIE: Well, there's two issues we can talk about. We can talk about this document and the specifics of this document, or we can color that discussion with our philosophical differences. And some of the comments you made, Jay, are putting this document in the context of following the path of the conventional industry. I don't believe that's accurate.

I believe that we are processdriven, and that's one of our beauties. And
I believe we are finding the balance. I think

that this document is actually living proof of the search for that balance.

And I also think that it's a philosophical difference that's been playing out for the last -- well, since I can remember. And it's that same, we keep coming to the same point. Is the point to change agricultural in the United States to make organic profitable so that we can get farmers to convert or is the point to create the purest food possible? And we always are trying to find the difference between those two.

I believe that this Board, the entire history of this Board has been searching for, you know, the Buddhist middle way on this. And it's an ongoing exercise.

It's never going to end. And I believe that this document is a process-driven document where as what you would be proposing would be the endless petition process for anything that could possibly be considered synthetic --

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: T think 1 2 the impact of what he's proposing would be 3 subject to how it was applied for this Committee. I think that would be way down the 4 5 road. I'd like to kind of -- let's see if we 6 can bring the discussion back specifically to 7 this document. 8 MR. SMILLIE: I started my remarks 9 by saying we can go down two roads on this discussion. And Katrina stuck to the 10 11 document. I just want to point out that Jay 12 made it into a bigger context, and I'm simply 13 not -- I respectfully retreat back to the 14 specific comments that relate to the document. 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We've allowed Jay's --16 17 MR. SMILLIE: That's right, 18 soapbox. 19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We've 20 allowed Jay's philosophy, we've allowed Joe's 21 counter-philosophy. Both of those would have

been more appropriate yesterday in the

22

Committee discussion. Today we are looking at the discussion for any amendments and changes to this document as it has been presented by the Joint Committee and the vote by the Board on that document.

MR. SMILLIE: I concur.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any

further statements, questions relevant to this document and its implications, application not to the alternatives? John?

MR. FOSTER: So this is a very complicated situation. And I've admired the previous work on it tremendous. A lot of ins, a lot of outs, a lot of what have yous.

At the risk of clouding Katrina's very clear statement, my point is my hat the changes here don't exist in isolation. They exist in a context that has pretty firm footing in the industry, I think, and is relatively noncontroversial.

I think the language here does two things. One, it makes our definition

consistent across multiple applications. And I think that's good because it's based in what exists in reality and is independent really from philosophical opinion, I believe.

It's a little bit counter to other comment. I think we're always at a crossroads everyday. And so the fact that we might here today is no different than any other day. I think everyday is a crossroads in a relatively young industry.

I'll leave it there. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?

MR. FLAMM: Question. Katrina, could you for my benefit simply say what problem this addition is intended to solve that the original definition created, please?

MS. HEINZE: It's simple as I used the example that I used yesterday, which is that if you take a wheat kernel and you toast it under the definition of chemical change as it stands from November, that wheat kernel once toasted is now synthetic. That's a very

simplistic example, and I get that. There are a myriad of other agricultural products that we have a similar effect. And it's maybe less simplistic is any 95 percent certified organic product that has as an ingredient a 605(b) item and is nixed could be a certified organic synthetic.

You could take two agricultural imports and mix them together and have chemical, and that's chemical change. And that would be synthetic. And that was not our intention.

And we heard very clearly from our public that they thought that was way too far and very inconsistent with how we have the classified the materials in the past.

MR. FLAMM: I have had --

MS. HEINZE: Hold on just a

second. Does that answer your question,

Barry?

21 MR. FLAMM: What effect does it

22 have on crops in the field? There's where

1 I'm--

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. HEINZE: I am, as everyone in
this room knows, not a crops person. And I
haven't asked him to do this, but I'm
wondering, John, would you be able to address
that better than I can?

7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Or the 8 Corps Committee, Tina or Jeff, or anyone.

MS. HEINZE: I think I can do this one, John, if you're not comfortable.

MR. FOSTER: No, I'm fine. I just
wanted Dan to okay it.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I think we should send it to the Crops Committee first.

We'll come to you if they're not responding.

The question is the impact of this Listing, this potential amendment on crops in the fields? Tina?

SECRETARY ELLOR: It would have an impact on inputs, if I have that right. It would have a impact on inputs to crops on the field. Not directly to the crops themselves,

but to the inputs on the crops. Is that okay?

2 MR. FLAMM: But what?

MS. HEINZE: I guess what I would add, but only for synthetics that have been reviewed by this Board as allowed for crops.

So it's not like you could use a 605 input. It would only be crops inputs.

MR. FLAMM: But does it make a difference? I guess this change does it make a difference to the inputs to crops in the field on how -

SECRETARY ELLOR: It would have an impact in that in the determination of what's applied to crops. So in the determination of whether something is synthetic or not synthetic according to whether a chemical change has or has not taken place. And I guess -- you know, it's just in determining what's synthetic and not synthetic to be applied to crops, that's where it would have an impact.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

Although I am unaware 1 MS. HEINZE: 2 in public comment of an example that it would 3 apply to. But again, I'm not a crops expert. 4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. 5 This is the Chair taking their term. 6 In the November document one of 7 the -- and we all come from our -- we're 8 victimized by our experience and a big part of 9 my experience is livestock. In the November document one of 10 the things we did was eliminate the step for 11 12 agricultural products to become nonsynthetic before becoming synthetic. 13 14 In the definition that we had at that time it was viewed that the mechanism of 15 16 that change was too liberal and that it would 17 create too many synthetics. We have now 18 changed that to decrease the number of synthetics created in this step. 19 20 Now processing in 205(2) cooking, 21 baking, curing, heating, drying, mixing,

grinding, churning, separator, extracting,

22

slaughtering, cutting, fermenting, distilling, preserving, dehydrating, freezing, chilling or otherwise manufacturing blah, blah, blah.

Okay.

If you're taking out a product and you use an allowed synthetic on 601 in crops, 603 in livestock, 605/606 in handling it would not create a synthetic. That's a lot of stuff. We've really cut back on the number of things that are becoming synthetic now.

Have we gone too far the other way?

MS. HEINZE: My understanding is that this definition much more -- I don't have the right verb. Much better matches the current practice. So it is from a principle that has guided our work for the last two years on this, because that we did not want to cause the mass reclassification of materials.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI:

21 Absolutely.

22 MS. HEINZE: We were trying to

come up with definitions that matched the current matched the current practice in the industry to aid in consistency. We're not trying to change, and have no intention of changing how people are doing it. It's just about consistency.

So my belief and the majority of the Committee's belief is that this does a better job of that.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

Jay?

MR. FELDMAN: I was trying to address Barry's issue. You know, first of all, I think I have to agree with the comments that we got that most of our discussion was processing-centric. So in that context, where we're talking about materials that essentially become ingredients in the processing world. And if those materials are developed with an agricultural material and a nonsynthetic material, nonagricultural material, in our view as we discussed it in the Committee, in

our view that if you applied to normal practices of heating, baking, churning all those things Dan mentioned in the definition, that you wouldn't by definition end up with a synthetic product.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The problem was when you say that an organic product for which we have allowed, this is an agricultural commodity, say, or it could even be an allowed synthetic under 605(b), but once you take that allowed synthetic material and mix it with all that other nonsynthetic stuff that we understand to be nonsynthetic by virtue of the fact that we call it an agricultural commodity or we call it a nonsynthetic nonagricultural commodity; that when you mix in that admittedly acceptable synthetic material that we have approved, that somehow we can ignore the fact that that ingredient we're creating, not that overall product. I'm not talking about calling macaroni and cheese produced with grain products, we're not calling that a synthetic

product. We're calling that a processed food that it contains different ingredients.

We're talking about creating a material. Creating an ingredient essentially by putting in some agreed upon 605(b) synthetic and not calling that a synthetic ingredient. It's not logical.

And I understand why we want to do that because we've approved that ingredient.

We believe it's not harmful. We believe it's acceptable. And I concur with all that. But we can't logically not call it synthetic because we have done something to that product, either by adding it or by virtue of changing some chemical bonds; we've done something to that ingredient that now makes it synthetic.

I mean, I don't know how much that's going to change the list. I guess you have a better feel for that than I do. But I think that we have to, again, own up to what's happening here and then just deal with the consequences.

1 MS. HEINZE: In many cases --

actually in probably most cases that would be
certified organic ingredient. So the objection
that the public had was to certified organic
synthetic.

MR. FELDMAN: I'm sorry. Say that again. A certified --

MS. HEINZE: Certified organic corn and I -- this is one that Katrina's made up. And I -- okay, how about this? This is relevant to this week.

I take my certified organic grapes and I add sulphur dioxide to them, and I have certified made with organic grape synthetic wine.

MR. FELDMAN: No. No. What you have if you create an ingredient, you're creating a material. We're not talking about a product, we're talking about a material that would be added to wine, is that material, the sulfites synthetic. The product can still be based on the percentage 95/6, 70/30 can still

be classified as an organic product that has
a synthetic ingredient.

MS. HEINZE: And in fact last
November going into our deliberations the
Committee agreed with you.

MR. FELDMAN: Yes.

MS. HEINZE: Which is why we

didn't have the second sentence.

this makes it more consistent.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. FELDMAN: Right.

MS. HEINZE: The public disagreed.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John.

MR. FOSTER: I haven't been successful in making my point, apparently, that I think this does the opposite. I think

We have the one example I've used before is bread. We recognize bread is not synthetic, even though we've added synthetics to it and we've baked it, we all accept, and I've embarrassed myself more than once making the point that wine is wine even those it has sulphur dioxide; bread is bread even though it

has synthetics in it, leavening agents in it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And my hope was that this made things, the definition of what is synthetic or not, more consistent across ingredients and inputs. If at a later time, this is what I was trying to get at with the difference between definitions and sensibilities. If our sensibilities are such that we want to change what's allowed in organic, that's one thing. But I think what this does is make the determination about what's synthetic more consistent. And I think more consistent with what we all think of right now with what's okay to put in our mouths; wines, bread, for example, cheese, many, many things. recognize those things as being nonconsistent. And if we discovered that wine was a fantastic fungal control agent or better fertilizer which would make a lot of people in this room rally happy, I think, that wine would be allowed as a fertilizer as is because it's non-synthetic.

So my point is -- my thought is that we already do this. We've already said if there's a synthetic on the National List and we take an egg product, add something on the list, use it if approved practices as defined in 205(2) that product is not synthetic. And that is a definition -- that's the difference between the definition versus the allowability.

2.0

So I think I see this as just kind of codifying that and allowing wine to be used as a fertilizer if we find it works. And I would be thrilled with that, actually.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I think we have beaten this section of this pretty well.

I would certainly if there's any new consideration from this part of it, but there are some other issues in the document that I would like us to get to if there is any discussion on.

MS. HEINZE: Can I just wrap up?

22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

MS. HEINZE: I appreciate, Jay,

Berry you guys asking the questions and helping bring the conversations we've had for two years to light.

It is my personal opinion that this is the very best that we can do at this point and that industry asked us 3« years ago to do something, and our time has come. And it is time to give this to the Program, give them a chance to chew on it, to work with us and to get this out there to industry to use.

And, like someone so elegantly said, the matter of classification for the National Organic Program will never really be done, it just needs to be done right now.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further debate any other of the other issues in the document?

MR. FELDMAN: Can I just ask one more question of you, Katrina? You know, in the crops community we've been dealing a lot with extraction, extraction of elements with

the synthetic process. Can you envision a day 1 2 when where we're be sitting in the Crops Committee and will be told that you've got an 3 4 agricultural product all natural, we've 5 extracted the portion of that that we want. 6 You know, it could even be an enzyme, a 7 bacteria or whatever it is through some 8 process. It has not left any residues in the 9 final product, and therefore we want you to 10 classify this as a nonsynthetic. Could you envision that day? Would it change what we're 11 12 doing in our Committee work presently? And 13 how do we control that down the road, or do we 14 need to? 15 MS. HEINZE: It looks like, Tina, 16 do you have a comment on that? SECRETARY ELLOR: We do that now. 17 18 There's lot of extracts used as botanical 19 controls, essential oils; those things are 20 extracted. 21 MR. FELDMAN: Right. So I think we do 22 SECRETARY ELLOR:

1 that now.

2 MR. FELDMAN: Right.

3 | SECRETARY ELLOR: Right.

MR. FELDMAN: So I'm asking what
the effect of this classification would be
where we're told you can extract, chelate,
whatever you do and not result in it being a
significant residue or having a synthetic
component either because that is on the
acceptable List as an acceptable synthetic or
somehow it's determined that the residues
remaining are de minimis or insignificant?

MS. HEINZE: So I understand your question, the addition of this sentence I do believe, I'll one of the Committee members speak if they want, doesn't change that. It's the first sentence.

MR. FELDMAN: Okay.

MS. HEINZE: So in an extraction if you use -- because this happens today.

Botanical pesticides are extracted with hexana, as I understand.

1 MR. FELDMAN: Right. Yes.

2 MS. HEINZE: There is no chemical

3 change. There's no change in identity. That

4 | first sentence. And there is, as currently

5 understood, no significant residue of the

6 hexane. Adding this sentence so what's being

7 voted on today is not affected, or does not

8 affect that.

9 MR. FELDMAN: So I'm referring to

10 the November decision. Okay.

11 MS. HEINZE: Right. Yes.

MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Also, Jay,

there's a place for everything on Handling.

15 There's essentially a place for everything in

16 crops. The biggest impact on this is on

17 livestock feed of what has changed. I mean,

18 I don't want to get into this whole

19 discussion.

MR. FELDMAN: Right.

21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We've had

22 it. But an agricultural product is it changed

to a nonsynthetic? Well, we don't do that 1 2 anymore. Does it go all the way to synthetic? Then it would need to be on the list. 3 4 doesn't go all the way to synthetic, it's 5 required by statute or by the regulation that 6 it had to be organic. And that is the place 7 where this whole discussion I believe has the 8 biggest impact. Because everywhere else, wherever it falls, there's a place to put it. 9 If it falls a certain place on the 10 11 livestock side and it's something that goes in 12 the cow's mouth, there's no place to put it depending on where it falls. 13 14 MS. HEINZE: And then if you 15 remember yesterday I asked the Livestock 16 Committee to look at that in more detail --17 MR. FELDMAN: Right. MS. HEINZE: -- and see if they 18 19 wanted to make recommendation to address

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Ready for the question? All right. On the motion to

20

21

22

that.

	Page 217
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Sorry.
2	Okay.
3	Does that conclude the work of
4	MS. HEINZE: It does. I am very
5	grateful for such a fabulous discussion. I
6	think future Boards will benefit from having
7	all that in the transcript, and I really
8	appreciate it.
9	So thank you.
10	That concludes the work of the
11	Joint Materials and Handling Committee.
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Somehow
13	I've lost my pages here.
14	Okay. We're at 12:15. We have
15	one CAC. Do we have a lunch in. Lunch is in?
16	Have the lunches arrived? We're not sure.
17	We're going to go with the CAC.
18	We already said.
19	Go, Joe.
20	MR. SMILLIE: We're not ready?
21	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You're not
22	ready?

1 MR. SMILLIE: Well, I'm ready.

The document being presented is Guidelines for the Use of Inert Atmospheric Gases With Products Labeled and Sold As 100% Organic.

Just a couple of things. In struggling to find the FDA information on this, it isn't under packaging aids, although it is referred to as packaging aids in the FDA CFR. It's under -- oh, gee. I forgot it again. Steve? Oxygen displacement. So that's where the information on the use of these materials as approved by FDA can be found. Again, it's one of the FDA rabbit holes. Not that it affects things, but that information is there on how the FDA considers it.

So we're not changing the document whatsoever. The changes that were made yesterday stay the same, but the backup information is available.

I'd like to move that the NOSB accept the document, Guidelines for the Use of

- Inert Atmospheric Gases With Products Labeled
 and Sold As 100% Organic
- 3 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: I
- 4 second.
- CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: It's been
 made and seconded to accept the recommendation
 from the CAC on 100% label with use of inert
 atmospheric gas.
- 9 Maker of the motion, do you have 10 any further debate on this?
- MR. SMILLIE: Nope.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any other
- debate, comments or questions?
- I have one clarification that I'd
- 15 like to ask. Does the use of the term being
- 16 | "oxygen displacement" change the potential
- 17 answer that we've discussed yesterday with the
- 18 | carrot juice?
- 19 MR. SMILLIE: Thank you. I
- 20 believe it does. I believe it does. Because,
- again, it's complicated. Our recommendation
- is to regard it as a packaging aid, not a

processing aid; that stays as our recommendation. But the fact that the FDA calls it is effect is not as a packaging aid, it's effect is oxygen displacement would lead me to change the opinion that I gave on the spur of the moment to Steve that during the processing of the carrot juice at an intermediate period, this inert gas was used as oxygen displacement would take it out, once again, from the processing equation and hence, I think it would be allowed.

Again, to be fair to the negative commentator, this does add a layer -- pun intended -- of complexity to the decision making process. But nonetheless, I would change my opinion I gave Steve yesterday based on the information data in FDA.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: And I
think it's always important to remember that
the Program holds final authority on
interpretation. But it is important that with
the proper language and the intent of this

- Board being on the public record, I felt that
 that was something I wanted to add.
- 3 MR. SMILLIE: I thank you for 4 that. I think you that, Mr. Chair.

5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John?

MR. FOSTER: I'm quite confident
that ACAs would consider this a processing aid
if it was used in the application that Steve
brought up yesterday. It fits the definition
quite well. I mean, I don't know how a
certifier could call it anything other than a
processing aid in the context that Steve

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

brought it up.

MR. SMILLIE: But not in the context of the flush at the end of processing.

MR. FOSTER: Okay. Regardless. I mean, I'm as you know --

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You're expressing an difference of opinion and the opinion as the opinion of the Board then, from what Joe just stated?

MR. FOSTER: I don't know that.

1 If you say, I'll accept it.

valve is odd to me.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: But my

point being that it would be a processing aid

out of the context of a processing plant. And

that it's something different in a bottle and

a jar with a cap as opposed to a tank with

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

10 Jeff?

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MOYER: I guess my question,
Joe, I see a difference between the two. And
I was inclined to originally consider this as
a packaging aid, that made sense to me. But
what you just said infers that anywhere along
the processing chain if you used it for the
same use then it's -- so if you used it as the
first step in the processing, you would still
call that a packaging aid; and then I have a
problem with it. I do see differences.

MR. SMILLIE: You're agreeing with John, what John just said?

I think John sees the use, he doesn't care

where it is in the step of the process if I'm

reading your comment correctly, even at the

end in a bottle as a packaging aid, you see

that as being the same?

MR. FOSTER: No.

MR. MOYER: Isn't that what your comment just was?

MR. FOSTER: I think we ought toif it's used in a tank, it's the same material
for the same purpose as it is in a bottle with
a cap. I think we ought to treat it the same
way. That's all I'm saying. And in a
processing facility it's a processing aid, so
it would discount the 100 percent claim on
anything after that point.

So if that carrot juice is in a tank and you get the nitrogen displacement in the tank, then at that moment that carrot juice loses its ability to be labeled a 100 percent anywhere else down the chain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So the assumption is is that

process had not been used in the tank in the processing facility, in the case of being allowed 100 percent claim in the finished product of this carrot juice with the nitrogen flush, in that case so apparently the processing plant that ended up producing the finished product that Joe's talked about, a bottle with a cap, you know a retail package, had not used that same material in that same way for the same purpose anywhere in the processing facility. What I don't see a way around is why you can treat a material for a single use in one case, differently just because it's in a bottle with a cap as compared to a tank in a processing facility. I don't see -- that doesn't add any clarity to I don't understand the rational to allow that inconsistency.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. I apologize to the readers in the transcript in the future not to be able to get John's hand

1 message. But to Jeff --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 MR. FELDMAN: Interpretive dance.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. To

Jeff, does this part of the discussion of

whether it's one or the other effect your

consideration of this document, or is this

just something --

MR. MOYER: Yes. No, it does. It clearly does.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. I'm happy to continue it then. If not, and it's just -- when I initially introduced the question to Joe was to get -- if that change in language changed his interpretation of what it mean as the Board's thinking in presenting this document to the program, I wanted that on the record. It's obvious now that it has -- that the Board is undecided on that influence. So I'm happy to get that on the record.

MR. MOYER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I think we only need to continue this part of it of that

influence if it's going to effect your vote on this document.

MR. MOYER: Correct. And I do think it will as a point of clarification.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

MR. MOYER: Because even though
the same material is used at different stages,
I guess I'm inclined to say if it's a
packaging aid, I'm approving of it. But if
it's used as a processing aid even though it's
the same material, atmospheric gases along the
way, then it's processing. But if you're
saying with this vote it's going to be allowed
anywhere along the chain, as it what John is
suggesting that they be equal, then I have a
problem with it.

MR. SMILLIE: Well once again, we have the beautify and the luxury of not making the regulation. We just make our recommendation and the Program will determine how they will deal with it and what guidance they will give to certifiers if we do adopt

1 it.

So I believe that that issue can be clarified.

To me processing means that you're using something in a process to create something. There's a reason for it. It's an anti-foaming agent, it's a filtering agent; it's doing something to move the process forward. That's what processing aids do.

This doesn't do that.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I think
this relates sort of to the diatomaceous earth
in grain tanks. To say that this is as
wondrous as the other would be like to say
only when you use the diatomaceous earth in
the last tank before it's feed to the cows
would cover for this, but other times it would
different. I don't understand that.

Tracy?

VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: I think the argument could be made that it's a processing aid right there in the bottle. It's

doing something. It's keeping the oil fresher longer. In a drum it's keeping the carrot juice fresher longer.

The recommendation as we put it forth attempts to make some more fairness, creates some more fairness. The rick is that it creates inconsistency. So we're kind of taking making a decision of more fairness, less consistency, I believe, by voting yes on this document. And I don't think it's a very powerful argument to say that there's no processing function.

And I think it's a problem to start introducing more layers of certification and more terms. We haven't explored all the other types of packaging aids, even though we would be introducing the term.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?

MR. DeMURI: I forget the

Committee's feeling on some wording change in

21 this.

Instead of calling a packaging

- age, call it an oxygen displacer. Wherever

 packaging aid shows up in your document, refer

 to it as an oxygen displacement gas.
- CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Would you

 like to present that in the form of a motion?

 MR. DeMURI: I would like to make

 a motion for a friendly amendment.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: To make
 9 the motion to change --
- MR. DeMURI: To change the
 language in the recommendation from "packaging
 aid" to "oxygen displacement" anywhere in the
 process.
- CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe,

 Tracy, would you accept that as a friendly

 amendment?
- MR. SMILLIE: I do.
- 18 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: I do
- 19 too. And I think it --
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Just yes
- 21 or no right now.
- 22 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Okay.

	Page 230
1	Yes, and may I have a turn?
2	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. It's
3	been accepted as a friendly amendment to
4	change the language in this recommendation
5	from wherever it says "processing aid"
6	MR. SMILLIE: Packaging aid.
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: "packaging
8	aid" to "oxygen displacer."
9	Steve, maker of the motion, do you
10	have any further debate?
11	MR. DeMURI: No.
12	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: I think
14	that's a pretty nice elegant solution and
15	would keep us from having to decide between
16	the fairness and the consistency. So I'd vote
17	for that.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?
19	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Yesterday, we
20	questioned what materials we were talking
21	about. And I'm trying to use this handy dandy

thing to figure out on the web what we're

22

1 actually talking about.

2 So what I come up with, and 3 Katrina you may be able to correct me, is that 4 the inert atmospheric gases include helium, 5 neon, argon, krypton, xenon, radon -- it 6 sounds like Superman's involved with this one. 7 But I don't know -- I'm not sure about 8 nitrogen in that context. And if you just 9 define it as inert, whether you're going to capture nitrogen, which is one of the 10 11 materials I understand you want to get to. It's the main 12 MR. SMILLIE: 13 material. 14 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. But you've 15 researched that apparently. I mean, you came 16 up with inert as including that, right? 17 MR. SMILLIE: I've never seen any

MR. FELDMAN: Okay. I guess when you're strictly talking about the elemental table, it may not be there, but maybe in the

reference otherwise that N2 isn't an inert

18

19

20

21

22

gas.

1 trade it's referred to as inert. I don't know.

MS. HEINZE: I cannot help. I'm

3 sorry.

2

4

MR. FELDMAN: Okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I know

6 there are strict terminology in -- I

7 understand. There's strict terminology in

8 chemistry for the use of this term. There's

9 also use strict terminology in chemistry for

10 the use of the term "organic." And we've

11 slightly modified from that one.

12 I think whether the N2 form is

reactive or not is the pertinent information

14 here. And I think the intent of the document

is very clear, and I would hope that the

16 | Program would evaluate the list to make sure

17 that whatever is listed there, is not a

18 nonreactive atmospheric gas and take any

19 guidance or rulemaking appropriately.

Is that reasonableness?

MR. FELDMAN: Yes.

22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So -- Jay?

One more question. 1 MR. FELDMAN: 2 Can you give me an example? Maybe you did, John, and I just missed it, where in the 3 4 processing would this oxygen replacement as 5 opposed to packaging as the layperson 6 understands packaging, where in processing, 7 what would you use it? MR. SMILLIE: Well until 8 yesterday, my understanding as that it was the 9 final cap fill. 10 11 MR. FELDMAN: Right. 12 MR. SMILLIE: That's where it's 13 mostly used, that's what we are talking about. 14 I hadn't realized that it was used during the 15 processing -- I got to be careful here. 16 wasn't used during the process. 17 apparently it is. And for the same exact 18 reason; to prevent rancidity and not to change 19 anything. 20 Right. MR. FELDMAN: 21 Just to keep what MR. SMILLIE: 22 you got.

1 MR. FELDMAN: Okay.

react. And in the bigger picture of things,

Jay, you know there's a lot of packaging

MR. SMILLIE:

5 materials that we allow that we're starting to
6 find out do react.

MR. FELDMAN: Right.

MR. SMILLIE: And this doesn't.

Without having it

MR. FELDMAN: Right.

MR. SMILLIE: So we're allowing

more things, to go back to back to your

philosophic dictum, this is just a much better

solution. And then you've got the food safety

aspect that the sole purpose is to prevent

15 rancidity.

2

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?

MR. DeMURI: Yes, Joe pretty said

18 what I was going to say.

Its used quite often in tanks to push the oxygen out and put a nitrogen blanket on top of the product, just on top. There'd be an interface there. But just on top of the

	1 49
1	tank to keep oxidation from occurring before
2	that juice, for instance, goes further into
3	the process. It might sit in a tank for two
4	or three hours, so you don't want oxidation to
5	occur during that period of time.
6	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John?
7	MR. FOSTER: In terms of full
8	disclosure I should note we do a product,
9	Earthbound does where we use nitrogen flush in
10	the finished packaging actually for the
11	purposes of displacing of oxygen. And we do
12	that.
13	MR. SMILLIE: But you're not
14	making a 100 percent claim.
15	MR. FOSTER: That is correct.
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: This is
17	affecting the 100 percent claim.

MR. FOSTER: Yes. Okay. I wanted

19 to make it clear that --

22

20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You're

21 reminding me of conflict of interest.

MR. SMILLIE: So you're not

1	getting	off	on	recusal	on	this	one,	John.
---	---------	-----	----	---------	----	------	------	-------

2 MR. FOSTER: I wanted to make

3 clear that despite the fact that we use it and

4 may in fact at some point in the future be

5 able to benefit from making a 100 percent

6 claim, we would choose not to.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff.

MR. MOYER: I have a question, I'm

9 not sure who it's for. But --

10 MR. SMILLIE: Well, I'll take it.

MR. MOYER: Thank you.

12 Last night sitting in the

13 restaurant I learned a little trick from Dan

14 that by -- yes, I talk more than I more

15 learn.

7

MR. SMILLIE: Too much

17 information.

18 MR. MOYER: That if you blow

19 through a straw into a glass of wine and put

20 CO2 into it, you can change --

21 MR. SMILLIE: The taste?

22 | MR. MOYER: The -- completely the

- 1 | flavor and the texture of that wine.
- 2 MR. SMILLIE: Correct. Yes.
- 3 That's CO2.
- 4 MR. MOYER: I got you. But are
- 5 there opportunities where inert atmospheric
- 6 gases would be infused into a product that
- 7 | would somehow change it if --
- 8 MR. SMILLIE: The answer almost a
- 9 100 percent, and Steve might know more, is no.
- 10 if you put it in, it comes up. That's what it
- does. It's consistent behavior, nonreacting
- 12 consistent behavior, as far as I know.
- MR. MOYER: So bubbling through
- 14 and infusing in there --
- MR. SMILLIE: It wouldn't matter.
- 16 Actually if you would allow me the indulgence,
- 17 I would like --
- 18 MR. MOYER: And I apologize. It's
- 19 an area of expertise of which --
- 20 MR. SMILLIE: But we need an
- answer. I'd like to ask Gwen to come to the
- 22 mic to clarify it. If you don't mind.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes, Gwen?

MS. WYARD: Gwendolyn Wyard.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

ahead.

accused of having great control, but sometimes

I'm getting around it. That's okay. Go

7 MS. WYARD: So this might be some 8 useful information, and it does sound like

9 hair splitting, but there is a distinction

10 that Oregon Tilth makes. And we make this

11 distinction because we're trying to hang our

hats on the word "processing aid." And the

requirement in the regulation that you have to

have an organic processing aid in order to

15 label something 100 percent. We're trying to

prevent going down the rabbit of hole at what

point along the process whether you're using

a sanitizer in the field that might knock

something out of the 100 percent category, to

20 something that you're using post-harvest

21 handling and something that you're using

22 during processing. We want to make a

distinction. We want it to be a processing aid.

So when it comes to the use of nitrogen under 834.1520 the ingredient is used as a propellant aerating agent in gas as defined in 173.025. Now pointing out it just referred to it as an ingredient, however it will do that throughout the regulation. The point here is that 170.3025 describes the technical functional effect of oxygen displacement versus 21 CFR 173.24 that describes the technical functional effect of processing aids.

So the FDA makes a distinction between an oxygen displacer and a processing aid. And it doesn't matter whether you're using it during processing or during packaging. In fact, that definition of processing includes packaging.

So whether you're flushing a tank or whether you're adding it to a bag, the technical functional effect is oxygen

- 1 displacement. And that could be in the case
- 2 whether it's going to be aerating or
- 3 | propelling, or displacing oxygen; it's all
- 4 under that 170.3025 different than the
- 5 technical effect of processing aid.
- 6 So that's a distinction that we
- 7 make.
- 8 MR. SMILLIE: Could you speak to
- 9 the other issue? Does it react if you bubble
- 10 it through --
- MS. WYARD: Blowing -- bubbling
- 12 into a line? I did that last night.
- MR. SMILLIE: It's only 70 percent
- 14 nitrogen, though.
- MS. WYARD: Right. So what the
- 16 question there again?
- 17 MR. SMILLIE: If you bubble into a
- 18 | solution will it react differently?
- 19 MS. WYARD: No. Nitrogen
- 20 wouldn't.
- 21 MR. SMILLIE: Then would if you
- 22 lay it out?

Page 241 MS. WYARD: Right. Versus carbon 1 2 dioxide or --MR. SMILLIE: 3 Right. 4 MS. WYARD: Right, but nitrogen 5 would not. 6 MR. SMILLIE: It doesn't react? 7 MS. WYARD: Would not react. 8 MR. SMILLIE: Thank you. 9 MR. MOYER: Thank you. That was 10 very helpful for me. 11 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Oh boy. 12 Oxygen displacement, Joe or Steve, whichever 13 of you, the oxygen displacement issue I was 14 pretty comfortable with. But when Gwen then 15 reads the rest of that, I think super loading 16 that to use as a propellant is an entirely 17 different issue. Would that be part of this 18 document? 19 MR. DeMURI: No. 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you. 21 Thank you.

Is that clear to the Program that

22

1 it wouldn't be? Thank you.

2

Further debate?

3 MR. SMILLIE: I'm not sure how to 4 proceed now. Because there are some place --

5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We'll take

6 the vote, that's the best way to proceed.

7 MR. SMILLIE: Yes, I know that.

8 But I want to be clear. I don't want to --

9 you know. There may have to be some

10 wordsmithing because if you do a direct

11 replacement, it creates some non-English

sentences in there. It doesn't change the

intent of the document. But there has to be

some minor wordsmithing when you change from

packaging aid to oxygen displacement. But I

do not believe it affects the document. It

doesn't affect the intent of the document

18 whatsoever.

19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Was it

20 | "displacement," or "displacer?"

21 MR. SMILLIE: Well that's what I

22 mean. It will depend on the context of the

_	
7	~~~+~~~
- 1	sentence.

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Right.
- Right. I think the appropriate one is valid.
- 4 MR. SMILLIE: Thank you. Just want
- 5 to be clear.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Ready for
- 7 the question?
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?
- 9 MR. FLAMM: Yes.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?
- MR. RIHERD: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?
- MR. HALL: Yes.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?
- MS. FULWIDER: Yes.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Conflicts
- of interest outside of John's statement?
- 18 MR. SMILLIE: I do not believe I
- 19 have a conflict of interest. We rule on this
- 20 everyday, but I have no interest in nitrogen
- gas sales or -- but we have to rule on that.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

1	The	Chair	does	not	view	that	as	а	conflict.	
---	-----	-------	------	-----	------	------	----	---	-----------	--

- 2 MR. SMILLIE: Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We're
- 4 getting to far down the page to follow my
- 5 line.
- 6 Jeff?
- 7 MR. MOYER: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?
- 9 MR. FELDMAN: Yes
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?
- 11 SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?
- 13 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe
- 15 Smillie?
- MR. SMILLIE: Yes.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John
- 18 Foster?
- 19 MR. FOSTER: Have you determined
- 20 whether or not I have a conflict of interest?
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You're
- 22 allowed to vote.

	Page 246
1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	1:57 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay are
4	you ready? The rest of the Board all
5	completed our lunchtime. We're a little late,
6	but we're fairly well ahead of schedule, and
7	hopefully that will continue.
8	Valerie, are you ready? Okay.
9	All the sound?
10	We had the motion earlier to table
11	the discussion on methionine until after lunch
12	for the makers of all the various motion to
13	get the language together for the amendment to
14	the main motion. So, Jay, could you please
15	read the agreed upon language to amend the
16	main motion?
17	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Thank you.
18	We're really only adding a
19	sentence or a portion of a sentence that would
20	affect the expiration of the
21	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Let's just

start by reading it.

22

I'm reading the last 1 MR. FELDMAN: 2 sentence here. 3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. 4 MR. FELDMAN: On October 1, 2015, 5 allowed uses of the synthetic methionine in organic poultry production expires. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. And 8 that's agreed to by the second. Thank you. 9 So that has been properly moved and seconded? 10 11 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: This is 13 the language. This is where we tabled, this 14 is where we're coming to with the exact 15 language that they're looking for. 16 Jay, please, proceed with any debate. 17 18 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Again, just to review quickly. The point of this was to make 19 20 sure that everybody understands the 21 seriousness of this issue and it's tacked onto 22 the original motion because the step down

process is one that the Board would be endorsing. It's just at the end of that step down process this amendment would require those that feel its continued use is essential and necessary, would have to repetition to retain that use.

My feeling is that this sends a stronger message than putting it into the Sunset process. And, hopefully, will contribute to the ability to do more research, generate more interest to support research that would help facilitate the transition to another source of methionine or other practices that might help in this regard.

Thank you. That's all I have to

say.

17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

18 Jeff?

MR. MOYER: Just to try and represent the Livestock Committee's perspective, and the reason that we had chosen in our original recommendation to not have an

expiration date on methionine was because we had already gone through a process where we've had two, if not three, expiration dates on this material and each time the Methionine

Task Force has repetitioned to the Committee and to this Board to have it reinstated on the List.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The Livestock Committee felt by taking the drastic step down measure that down and putting it into the Sunset process still enables us to review the material every five years, but frees up the slate of work on the Livestock Committee to move on to other items. Because this continuously keeps coming up and I can almost guarantee you that you will see a petition in before the Livestock Committee that sits at that point, we'll see a petition to reinstate again and again. And our goal is to move beyond that. Not that we didn't think research was important. We think we're sending a fairly strong message with the drastic step down that we already gave them.

That was kind of our position from 1 2 the Livestock Committee. CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 3 John? MR. FOSTER: I think the Livestock 4 5 Committee's work has been really good, really 6 strong. I think they did the hard work and 7 continue to do it. 8 I also think that the participants 9 in the Methionine Task Force and associates 10 have already shown very clearly that they get this is an important thing and have made as 11 12 significant movement in research and ponying 13 up money as much more than any other interest 14 group out there. I think they clearly 15 understand this already. 16 And I think for those two reasons, 17 I think the original Livestock Committee's 18 recommendation I think is good. CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff? 19 20 MR. MOYER: Point of clarification 21 for the Board, Dan. A no vote on this 22 particular amended language would send it back

- 1 to the original recommendation of the
- 2 Livestock Committee, and we would vote on
- 3 that, is that correct?
- 4 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. This
- 5 is to amend the motion.
- 6 MR. MOYER: But if we vote yes on
- 7 this the Livestock Committee's is a dead
- 8 issue? And this is what we would be
- 9 accepting?
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No. We
- 11 | would then vote on this as the amended motion.
- MR. MOYER: Right.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: So first
- we vote to amend the motion. If that fails,
- 15 we vote on the original motion. If that
- passes, we vote on the amended motion.
- MR. MOYER: I gotcha.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We're
- 19 going to have two votes either way.
- 20 MR. MOYER: Thank you very much
- 21 for that clarification.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?

1 MS. HEINZE: I'm having a dej... vu 2 I'm vaguely remembering that perhaps moment. 3 my first or second meeting we had this 4 conversation as the result of a petition to 5 methionine because their cut off date was coming. And there were some who wanted to --6 7 we had the same debate: Should we put it into 8 Sunset or should we have a cut off date. 9 there were some Board members who felt we had to have the cut off date to be able to 10 communicate to future Boards the concern. 11 12 Am I imagining things or am I 13 correct? 14 MR. MOYER: I don't know if you're 15 imagining things, but in this particular point 16 you are correct. 17 MS. HEINZE: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. As 19 I've stated before when we originally -- an 20 early draft of this recommendation had one 21 more step down period, which was the full 22 intent of, for lack of a better word, pinching the industry to really go after and initiate all possible avenues to that research. could not find a scientific justification for the numbers we picked, so we backed up one, we settled on these numbers with the one step We had a number of public comments from one side of the issue wanting the finding to be completely off. We had a number of comments from the other side issue requesting us to transfer the young chickens in the step down to the larger amount of methionine. Because of all the debate requesting that methionine come off, we decided to stay with this recommendation and not agree to the request from the poultry community to move the young chickens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In my mind the effect of that is essentially that it's going to continue to spur that research because some of that community is right now saying they'll have an extremely, extremely hard time if possible to achieve it.

So I think the demand for pushing

for research will continue. And it was a
great desire of the Program, the Livestock

Committee and a large part of the public over
three times in my time of being on this Board,

I believe, to please get this onto Sunset so
we don't have to be continuing to deal with

Jay?

the petition process.

MR. FELDMAN: All this amendment does is gives the ability of future Boards to address the annotation issue. It ensures the ability to address the annotation issue.

If the Sunset process doesn't change and we go with the original amendment, then the Board does not have the authority to change the annotation, as we all know, unless we can encourage someone to petition, which is somewhat of an artificial process. Because, you know, here we already have something that's controversial. The Committee in its wisdom has created a step down process that

the industry seems to think is probably viable. But there are still unknowns associated with that

The controversy on the chemical has not gone away. All this amendment does is ensure that we as a Board, as we vote on this thing, guarantees us the opportunity to address the annotation process, or address the annotation. No other process guarantees us that opportunity because we cannot as a Board be ensured that anybody would petition or we would artificially ask someone to petition who would, or might or might or not. You know we just have no control over that.

So this is a guarantee on a chemical that's controversial. It's an attempt to compromise among those who think this a bad idea to institutionalize and others who recognize they don't want to be disruptive to the industry, but want to help to facilitate a transition, this is an attempt to create a middle ground so that there's some

assurance and guarantee to future Boards that they will have the opportunity to do what you all did on the Committee, and that is craft an annotation that reflects your best sense of what needs to be done as of today.

Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?

VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Mr.

Chair, I'd like to call a question on this amendment.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any

further debate? Tina?

SECRETARY ELLOR: I still feel like the original Livestock Committee recommendation is the best of all worlds, and this is why. It puts it into straight Sunset at a defined and reduce usage to spur further developments of alternatives, which we know are on the way. It also provides a great incentive for those people who have invested in these alternatives to come forward at Sunset and say we don't need this anymore.

And I know that that's not how it always works, but I think there's strong and focused incentive built into this for those people to come forward at Sunset. But this puts into straight Sunset and off this perpetual petition cycle.

original.

And I'd also like to point out, I love my birds. Birds aren't meant to be vegetarian. And the way the office set up this is sort of forcing birds to become vegetarians. And methionine is important.

Once again, I don't want to see naked chickens, absolutely not. Whether in the future there will be a continued need for a small anti-synthetic methionine, that is yet to be determined. We know there's alternatives in the development. I think that the Livestock Committee recommendation is the best of all worlds to forward that agenda.

And I'd like to go back to the

Thank you.

	Page 256
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further
2	debate? Seeing none, proceed to vote on the
3	motion to amend the motion. So the motion is
4	to accept this language.
5	I'm trying to figure out where to
6	put it. Okay.
7	So an affirmative vote accepts
8	this new language into the motion, which we
9	would then vote on that. A negative vote
10	retains the original motion and we would vote
11	on that.
12	Annette?
13	MR. RIHERD: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?
15	MR. HALL: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes?
17	MR. HALL: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Conflicts?
19	MS. FULWIDER: Organic Prairie
20	does have hen houses. I don't make money on
21	chickens.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I have no

MR. DICKSON: No.

22

1 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?
2 MR. FLAMM: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No.

4 Ten no, 4 yes, 1 absent. Motion

5 fails.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Chairman of the Livestock
Committee, Acting Chairman, Jeff?

MR. MOYER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The debate is now reopened on the existing motion. Is there any further debate on the recommendation from the Livestock Committee to relist methionine with the step down putting it back onto Sunset.

Joe?

MR. SMILLIE: Yes. There will be a question. Originally the Methionine Task

Force came back and asked you to change the number somewhat. This is the question about the numbers. I just wanted to hear what your reasoning for not boosting the methionine levels in pullets and broilers is.

Differentiating it from layers and turkeys, I think.

MR. MOYER: Okay. I'll attempt to answer it, but other Livestock Committee members please feel free to jump in.

For broilers, for example, the difference would have been for the entire life span of the bird because they asked for 27 weeks.

Pullets we did discuss but felt that in talking to industry people in the hallways and privately, that it wasn't absolutely necessary.

To follow-up on what Jay was saying, we wanted to make sure that the industry certainly felt the need to pursue other avenues, to work on research, and in counsel with Wendy and other people on the Committee felt that scientifically the birds would be okay at those lower levels.

Our goal is to use this as a tool to also help modify production practices.

Because we know that production practices are also related directly to the bird's need for methionine.

So we thought we struck a good balance when we originally discussed this in Committee. We did take into account the public's comment and the comments from people in the Methionine Task Force to include those birds. But again, our recommendation was to not accept that.

Is that helpful? But maybe
Wendy--

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any other
Livestock Committee members?

MS. FULWIDER: I agree with what he's saying.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The reason I've decided to stay with this was twofold.

Again, I'm a firm believer in the petition process. This is now an annotation on Sunset that's allowable for a petition to change the annotation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

focus the problem that Tina just expressed where, unfortunately, OFPA has created an abnormal diet for poultry. And I'm hoping it will give the opportunity for the Livestock Committee to work with the program to explore possible potential ways that that issue can be addressed to meet within the need. And I understand that's in OFPA, which makes it very difficult. But to also, though, meet the needs and demands of all of the rest of the industry that would be concerned, depending on how that language is written, that would be concerned with any liberalization of the regulation that would be ensued.

Number two, this brings into great

So that's the process I would like to encourage to proceed as well.

Joe?

MR. SMILLIE: Yes. One other thing. You know, the vegetarian diet is one thing, and that's an important thing which in my understanding is in Europe they don't have

1	that	same	constriction.

My understanding also is that
Canada, who we have mentioned briefly in our
talks as influencing us on hydroponics, have
no restrictions on methionine, and we have an
equivalency agreement with Canada. So that
means that American consumers can eat USDA
chicken that has methionine.

9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: They can

10 now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR. SMILLIE: They can now.

12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Even in

our regulation.

MR. SMILLIE: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We just

16 have it --

MR. SMILLIE: Well, you're

18 stepping it down.

19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

MR. SMILLIE: Okay. Just wanted

21 to make those two points.

Would the Committee, in your

recommendation, consider a friendly amendment to split the difference and boost for broiler chickens and pullets up to 2.5 pounds per ton for broilers and pullets? In other words, a slight boost for those two categories. Would the Committee consider that as a friendly amendment? I'm proposing an amendment.

I would propose that we move to replace, after October 1st, 2012, the following maximum levels per ton. Laying and broiler chickens 2 pounds per tons ending there, and replacing with, after October 1, 2012, the following maximum levels per ton:

Laying chickens 2 pounds per ton, the same; broiler chickens and pullets 2.5 pounds per ton.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: And

18 turkeys?

MR. SMILLIE: The same. That's

20 continued.

21 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Arthur?

MR. NEAL: Please forgive me. I'm

just coming back. Don't know the full history on how we came to our numbers. But I want to caution us all on how do we justify the numbers that we've established for this annotation?

I mean, I'm not saying that you have not done it.

MR. MOYER: Right.

MR. NEAL: But even in making changes to it, what's the rationale that we're using to make the changes? Because it needs to be documented. Because when we go to rule-making, we've got to put it in the Federal Register.

MR. MOYER: And that was going to be my answer to you, Joe, that the Committee, while we haven't had a chance to discuss your friendly amendment here among ourselves, my reaction is to say no we would not accept that because it's an arbitrary number just pulled out of thin air, which Arthur is just alluding to. The Committee itself did do its due

diligence in terms of searching through the industry to come up with the numbers that we came up with looking at, as Dan indicated yesterday, talking to feed mills, talking to producers and folks who are raising chickens to come to the numbers that we came with. And as the Acting Chair of the Committee, I would be reluctant to come up arbitrary numbers to plug in today sitting here at this meeting.

So my answer to you is no, we would not accept that friendly amendment.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I would respectfully disagree with your statement there, Jeff, of his arbitrariness. Without extensive writing in our recommendation on the process of the step down, it could be easily said we cut the numbers in half but we decided to do more than that on broilers without justifying why were doing that to broilers.

I don't think putting that in at 2.5, which is half of what the broilers are in the earlier part of the recommendation, would

- be considered anymore arbitrary than anything
- 2 else.
- 3 Tracy?
- 4 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Mr.
- 5 Chair, we need to --
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We had one
- 7 -- for the Livestock Committee comment. I'm
- 8 sorry.
- 9 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Mr.
- 10 Chair, we need to --
- 11 SECRETARY ELLOR: This is in
- 12 response to Joe's comment.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Right.
- 14 | SECRETARY ELLOR: I did raise my
- 15 hand. Honest to God I did.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.
- 17 SECRETARY ELLOR: The usage is
- 18 only half the concern about methionine. There
- 19 are other concerns around methionine besides
- 20 just the use of it. And that's my point.
- MR. MOYER: Right.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?

1	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA:
2	Procedurally we can't ask the Livestock
3	Committee whether they would make an
4	amendment. This is out of Committee now and
5	a motion before the full Board. And so any
6	amendment proposed would be to the Board's
7	motion. And if there is no second, then
8	there's no amendment being discussed right
9	now.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Well, he
11	asked if the Committee would accept it as a
12	friendly amendment in making it.
13	MR. SMILLIE: I asked if the
14	motioner would accept it.
15	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
16	MR. SMILLIE: And no second.
17	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: And he
18	said no.
19	MR. MOYER: And I said no.
20	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Okay.
21	Thanks.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Valerie

MR. SMILLIE: So it's off the 1 2 floor now, right? 3 MS. FRANCES: I do want to remind 4 the Committee that there is all the backup 5 criteria when you make your recommendation, 6 all the little checklists under each category. 7 And you don't really capture your discussion 8 well there in terms of the bases for your 9 numbers. I think it certainly is reflected in your various Livestock Committee minutes and 10 all the discussion that you did have. 11 12 would, I think, would be helpful when you actually submit this final document to make 13 14 sure you do that in here. 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you. 16 MR. MOYER: I acknowledge that on behalf of the Committee. And when we do submit 17 the final documentation we will document all 18 19 those numbers, the way we came to those 2.0 numbers. 21 MS. FRANCES: Do you have any of 22 your notes on that here that you could add it

- 1 into the record right now?
- 2 MR. MOYER: I'm going to say no.
- I probably do, but I'm not going to spend an
- 4 hour finding them.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe, would
- 6 you like to make that in the format of a
- 7 motion to amend?
- MR. SMILLIE: Yes.
- 9 | CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Did you do
- 10 it in that form before, or did I just miss it?
- MR. SMILLIE: I thought I did.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You did?
- 13 Okay.
- 14 Is there a second to Joe's motion
- 15 to amend? Yes, unfriendly.
- MR. SMILLIE: No.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: No, not
- 18 | making it. Okay.
- 19 MR. SMILLIE: Just one last
- 20 question instead of the unfriendly amendment.
- 21 Isn't there a difference between
- 22 the methionine needs of a pullet and an layer,

although the pullets will become layers or 1 2 they'll become broilers, right? But isn't there a difference in their methionine needs? 3 4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy? 5 MR. SMILLIE: Wendy. 6 MS. FULWIDER: Yes. Well, the 7 younger the bird is when they're growing and 8 they're feathering, there is a greater need. 9 And then, of course, after they molt, you know 10 they have a greater need when they're 11 refeathering. So, I mean, it's not a simple 12 issue. 13 MR. SMILLIE: Want it to be. 14 Okay. I'll accept it. 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further 16 debate? Ready for the question? 17 To take your statement of 18 potential conflict of interest, Wendy, the 19 Chair does not feel that that justifies you 20 not to vote on this unless there's any 21 significant objection from any other members 22 of the Board. We'll proceed with that.

Program, Arthur?

MR. NEAL: Mr. Chair, just thinking through the process and the time line that has to be met for methionine, providing the justification is going to pass the muster test. I'm concerned.

If the recommendation is not thoroughly fleshed out as to how we came up with this, this annotation, which it may be, our ability to explain it so the industry and those who will be participating in the formal public process can understand it as well as OTC and whoever else has to review it internally, we're probably going to have an issue. That's my personal concern.

I think some of us on the Program probably feel the same way, especially those of us who have to write it.

And just for future references, any decision -- one of the things that distinguishes this Board from some other organic programs across the world is that I

think we document our decisions such that people can follow how we came to where we ended. And I'll never forget in Codex one time we were being challenged on a materials decisions that our Board had made, and there was not any documentation that they had. But we did have some.

And so if we're going to make these types of recommendations, we want to make sure that how we make them can be defensible when we're challenged.

And so I just highly recommend that for future references whatever decisions we make, they're thorough with respect to the criteria sheets, that we have, all of the information that's necessary to fill in the gaps or provide it because we have to write the rules based on what you all provide us.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

We ready for the question?

MR. McEVOY: I'd like to add a

22 little bit to that.

I've already been through the federal rule-making process, well only once with a major rule. And when I first got there in October the idea is we were going to get that Access to Pasture final rule published by the end of December. It came out in mid-February.

There are lots and lots of questions, lots of economics questions that come up during the review process.

Seeing this complicated
annotation, it's very different from
annotations in the past with this very, very
tight time line that we have in front of this
to do this before October. I definitely have
concerns that this going to be challenges.

I hope that the background that you have, the justification that you have for this annotation is very solid because we'll probably need it as we move forward.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The time line of this is certainly unfortunate. We

requested this petition pretty much when we made the previous recommendation. We received it, I believe, like the last week in August, a week before we were supposed to post recommendations for the fall meeting. And we had no time to act on it then.

I understand your concern. I am very concerned with the potential disruption to the industry. Is there a process that you would recommend that we can follow? Can we split this into two or what can we do right now that would help you not disrupt methionine in October, but maintain the intent?

Steve?

MR. DeMURI: Could we go back and rebuild on the Sunset? We considered the Sunset for another year or two years while they have time to flesh out this petition?

MR. MOYER: Well, Dan, in response to Steve, if we were to vote yes on this, nothing does change for two years. They

maintain their current levels that they

already have through 2012. So this puts that into play. We're not stepping anything down at this point in time. There should be at least, according to what we're saying here, no disruption in the industry for a minimum of two years, which is when we requested the step down. But we would be able to get before our final recommendation to the Program, all the documentation that we used to come up with the numbers that we came up.

The industry, of course, in that two years could repetition to change that annotation if there was a problem. But it would not fall off in October and it would disrupt the industry.

MR. SMILLIE: Is that true, though? Aren't they going to be able to not use it in October of 2010? Because this petition won't be in effect yet.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: If it doesn't get listed, it will go off the List and they won't be able to use it.

I'll get to you, Katrina.

If that motion is made, Steve, we have to proceed but it would not be my preference until we find out the response from the Program.

It would take extreme cooperation from this Board to do what I'm thinking. But there is a possibility, I think, and we would ask the Program if this were appropriate also, if it would be appropriate at this time that we could split this into two recommendations, one that can be posted to save methionine for October and a second one that would put in the step down and switch it over to Sunset that could be advanced later on.

So I would like to know what the Program -- if you want to make that motion, we will accept it and proceed. But I would like to see what the Program has to say.

Katrina, did you have anything?

MS. HEINZE: I was thinking the same thing you were. If we split it with the

first one, have a cut off date of the October

1, 2012?

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

Okay.

5 MR. McEVOY: We would recommend 6 because of the tight time frame that you split

MS. HEINZE:

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

this into different recommendations. And if you pass both of them, we can try to do it as one rule-making action. But if we start to run into problems with the step down part of this, we can just do that as a separate item.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

MR. McEVOY: So that we can move forward and extend the expiration date to 2012.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Valerie, are you going to be able to manage this?

Doing this on the -- okay.

Livestock Committee is that reasonable to you?

21 MR. MOYER: The Livestock 22 Committee has no objection to that.

	Page 280
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. I
2	think the best action would be for the
3	Livestock Committee to withdraw their current
4	motion, or the maker, who was Jeff, I believe.
5	MR. MOYER: I'm willing to
6	withdraw the motion.
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: You're
8	just withdrawing your motion?
9	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. I'm saying I'm
10	to withdraw the motion.
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second?
12	MR. MOYER: Tina.
13	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina
14	seconded that. Do you agree?
15	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes. That would
16	be fine.
17	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. All
18	right. Jeff, do you have a motion regarding
19	methionine that would deal with the first half
20	of this up through the period of 6 pounds per
21	ton?
22	MR. MOYER: Yes. I would like to

	Page 281
1	make a motion to amend 7 CFR 205.603(d)(1) as
2	follows: DL-Methionine, DL-Methionine hydroxy
3	analog and DL-Methionine hydroxy analog
4	calcium for the use only in organic poultry
5	production until October 1, 2012 at the
6	following maximum levels per ton: Synthetic
7	methionine in the food ration; Laying
8	chickens, 4 pounds per ton, broiler chickens
9	5 pounds per tons, and turkey and all other
10	poultry 6 pounds per ton.
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Second?
12	Is there a second.
13	SECRETARY ELLOR: Second.
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: It's been
15	moved and seconded to amend the annotation for
16	methionine as stated. Again, the way you have
17	it in front of you in the documents to cutting
18	it off after the 6 pounds per ton for turkeys
19	and all other poultry.
20	Mr. Maker of the motion, do you
21	have any further debate?
22	MR. MOYER: I do not.

	Page 282
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any
2	further debate? We ready for the question?
3	Beginning with Jennifer?
4	MR. HALL: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I stand by
6	the same Chair decision regarding any conflict
7	with Wendy.
8	And does everyone understand what
9	we're voting on right now?
10	MR. SMILLIE: I beg your
11	indulgence. Could you just recalculate what
12	an affirmative means and what a negative
13	means?
14	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Affirmative
15	means that we are relisting methionine for the
16	use in poultry until October 1, 2012 at the
17	levels of 4 pounds for layers, 5 pounds for
18	broilers, 6 pounds for turkeys and all other
19	poultry.
20	Jennifer, your vote was no.
21	Wendy?
22	MS. FULWIDER: Yes.

i			
			Page 283
1		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
2		MR. MOYER: Yes.	
3		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
4		MR. FELDMAN: No.	
5		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?	
6		SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.	
7		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?	
8		VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.	
9		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
10	Smillie?		
11		MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	
12		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John	
13	Foster?		
14		MR. FOSTER: Yes.	
15		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?	
16		MR. DeMURI: Yes.	
17		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?	
18		MS. HEINZE: Yes.	
19		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
20	Dickson?		
21		MR. DICKSON: Yes.	
22		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?	

1 MR. FLAMM: No.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?

3 MR. RIHERD: No.

4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Chair

5 votes yes.

6 One abstention, we have 14 voting.

7 Four nos, 10 yes, 14 vote, one absent, with 14

8 voting, it takes ten to pass. Motion passes.

9 To complete the rest of the second

10 half of that -- yes, Valerie?

MS. FRANCES: I only got three

12 nos. Did I miss somebody.

13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry,

14 Annette, Jennifer and Jay.

MS. FRANCES: Okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff,

17 | would you please with what we were doing

there, please make the second motion for this

19 process?

20 MR. MOYER: Yes, Mr. Chairman,

21 I'll do my best.

22 After October 1st, 2012 I move

that we amend 7 CFR 205.603(d)(1) as follows: 1 2 DL-Methionine, DL-Methionine hydroxy analog and DL-Methionine hydroxy analog calcium for 3 4 the use in organic poultry to be at the 5 following levels per ton: 6 Laying and broiler chickens, 2 7 pounds per ton, and turkey and all other 8 poultry 3 pounds per ton. 9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Nobody 10 second. 11 Can I make a suggestion, Jeff? 12 That's fine. MR. MOYER: 13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Could you 14 please just change that motion to amend the 15 annotation on poultry -- oh, we have to do it 16 this way because the other one is not yet 17 fully in place. Okay. So we have to reread 18 the entire thing --19 MR. MOYER: That's what I thought. 20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 21 full complete. Okay. Second?

I second.

SECRETARY ELLOR:

22

1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. Mr.
2	Maker of the motion, any further debate? Does
3	he have any further debate? Jeff?
4	MR. MOYER: No, I do not.
5	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?
6	MR. SMILLIE: Maybe it's a mute
7	point and I'm just tired and can't think
8	straight. But how is this going to affect
9	Sunset, the two petitions? Are they both
10	going to Sunset in five years?
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The first,
12	the one we just voted on, has a drop dead
13	date. It's in this current process we have
L4	been in since methionine was put on. It would
15	need a petition to relist because of the drop
L6	dead date.
17	This motion would amend that
18	annotation to drop to a lower level and put it
19	onto Sunset. The second one was Sunset.
20	Valerie, are we straight over
21	there? I think so. Good.
22	Program?

MR. NEAL: I'm sorry, but I missed this earlier on the first vote, and I know we're on the second portion of the vote. This is a complexity of what we're talking about.

To justify the annotation by

October 1, 2010, it has to be a solid

justification. Because it's very different

from what's currently in the regulations.

If you're confident that the justification is there, no snags, no people are going to fly with it or be able to delete it and get their questions answered, then we can probably make that October date. If we can't, we do run the risk of disrupting the industry.

The one option would be to end the first portion of the recommendation at the date October 12, 2012, or October 1, 2011, something of that nature. And work to try to get the other piece, the step down in, when you're comfortable with the justification if you're not already comfortable with the

1 justification.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The amounts set in the first vote we have already taken, are the exact amounts taken from the petition that came from the industry with only one slight change. They asked for it to be an average over the life span of the bird and we took that off to just be each ton that's made. There was absolutely no objection from the poultry industry to the first half of this recommendation. The only comment that we received was on the second half.

Is that substantive to your concern?

MR. NEAL: It is. And I think that that would probably and should be sufficient if that's the case.

MR. McEVOY: What is involved here is that you're putting in a whole bunch of new information, and we'll have to justify it so it's going to take longer because there's going to be questions: Where did you come up

with these numbers? Do we have the research?

Is it supported by evidence by the community of poultry producers? You know, do we have that background to justify that. It's going to slow down the process, I'll put it that way, because we have to justify --

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We have the structure place for the Methionine Task Force as well. We have the --

MR. McEVOY: Okay. So if you have all that information, then we'll use that. It is going to open up more questions why you putting in all these additional restrictions or annotations for that given material. Since we're in such a tight time frame that will potentially impact our ability to get this done by October. But if you have lots of good information, we'll use that and we'll do the best we can.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina? She called point of order.

SECRETARY ELLOR: Point of order.

We are responding to a petition from the industry, that's what we're doing at this meeting. So we're not -- this isn't being pulled out of thin air. This is in response to a petition.

So what we're basically doing is pretty much giving them what they petitioned for with that first vote.

With the second vote then we introduce the more complicated step down process.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further debate on the question of the second motion? Steve?

MR. SMILLIE: A question for the Program. The people that are going to be asking the questions, will they take the opinion of the groups that were against this into consideration as well?

MR. NEAL: We do have to provide it. We have to provide all positions that were presented on this particular petition.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annotation

22

		Page 292
1	change to add the step down and convert it to	
2	Sunset.	
3	MS. HEINZE: Without a cut off?	
4	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We are	
5	voting on this entire language right now. An	
6	annotation with this entire language.	
7	MS. HEINZE: But there's no cut	
8	off, right?	
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Sunset.	
10	MS. HEINZE: Okay. Thank you.	
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. So	
12	a yes vote is to accept the motion to change	
13	the annotation to this entire language.	
14	Wendy?	
15	MS. FULWIDER: Yes.	
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?	
17	MR. MOYER: Yes.	
18	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?	
19	MR. FELDMAN: No.	
20	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?	
21	SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.	
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?	

			Page 293
1		VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.	
2		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
3	Smillie?		
4		MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	
5		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John	
6	Foster?		
7		MR. FOSTER: Yes.	
8		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?	
9		MR. DeMURI: Yes.	
10		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?	
11		MS. HEINZE: Yes.	
12		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
13	Dickson?		
14		MR. DICKSON: Yes.	
15		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?	
16		MR. FLAMM: No	
17		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
18		MR. RIHERD: No.	
19		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
20		MR. HALL: No.	
21		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. The	
22	Chair votes	yes.	

	Page 294
1	One absent, 4 no's, 10 yes.
2	Motion passes.
3	Does that conclude the matters
4	before this Board from the Livestock
5	Committee?
6	MR. MOYER: I certainly hope so.
7	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you
8	MR. MOYER: And I appreciate
9	everybody's indulgence.
10	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I
11	appreciate everyone's hard work. I appreciate
12	the inputs from the Program, the efforts that
13	Valerie, obviously, has endured and
14	everybody's working concern on this.
15	And we now move to the Policy
16	Committee, Chairman Barry with your
17	recommendation for this meeting.
18	MR. FLAMM: We have a
19	recommendation on the two tier process, and
20	Steve will present that as he explained
21	yesterday.
22	MR. DeMURI: Thank you, Barry.

1 We went through this yesterday.

The Committee did not meet and did not make any changes to it since the last time you've seen it.

So, at this point I want to explain real quick that it is a two tier voting process to reinstate that process as it relates to materials that are petitioned to the Board to be added to the National List.

And as I mentioned yesterday, in the past the Board used to vote twice on each material whether or not is was synthetic, and a yes or no vote. That practice was stopped at some point. We are just putting language back into the policy manual to reestablish that procedure.

So it was unanimous in the Committee. And we made no changes to what you saw yesterday.

So with that, I'd like to move that this two tier voting procedure be made a part of the Policy Manual for NOSB.

	Page 296
1	VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Second.
2	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Been moved
3	and seconded to accept the recommendation from
4	the Policy Committee regarding two tiered
5	voting.
6	Steve made the motion, Steve's
7	first. Steve, do you have anything further?
8	MR. DeMURI: I do not.
9	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any
10	further comments and debate? Barry, do you
11	have anything? Joe?
12	MR. SMILLIE: Would the Policy
13	Committee considering referencing the new
14	document on Classification of Materials in
15	their manual?
16	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: How is
17	that in regard to this motion for two tiered
18	voting?
19	MR. SMILLIE: I think it directly
20	affects
21	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?
22	MS. HEINZE: I guess I'm not sure

Page 297 why you're asking for this. Because this --1 2 you mean just for the historical that they're doing it because we have that document? 3 4 MR. SMILLIE: No. That they would 5 use that document now as part of the two 6 tiered voting system as a vote on whether 7 it's synthetic or not using this --8 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: That would 9 not be appropriate until it comes back to the 10 Program as --11 MS. HEINZE: Yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: --13 accepted. 14 MS. HEINZE: I agree. MR. SMILLIE: Okay. 15 MS. HEINZE: I don't think that's 16 17 appropriate we have the guidance document in 18 place. 19 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Oh, I'm 20 Were you done, Katrina? sorry. 21 MS. HEINZE: Yes, thank you.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI:

22

1 Sorry.

2 MR. DeMURI: Joe, I did reference

3 the classification materials work in the

4 narrative prior to the recommendation. So it

5 is in there.

6 MR. SMILLIE: Oh, okay.

7 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Further

8 debate?

9 I can't imagine, but conflict of

10 interest? I finally remembered on one that

11 | could never matter.

Seeing no further debate, we'll

13 call for the vote.

14 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jeff?

MR. MOYER: Yes.

16 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jay?

17 MR. FELDMAN: Yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tina?

19 SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes.

20 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Tracy?

21 VICE-CHAIRPERSON MIEDEMA: Yes.

22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe

			Page 299
1	Smillie?		
2		MR. SMILLIE: Yes.	
3		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John?	
4		MR. FOSTER: Yes.	
5		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Steve?	
6		MR. DeMURI: Yes.	
7		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Katrina?	
8		MS. HEINZE: Yes.	
9		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe	
10	Dickson?		
11		MR. DICKSON: Yes.	
12		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Barry?	
13		MR. FLAMM: Yes.	
14		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Annette?	
15		MR. RIHERD: Yes.	
16		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Jennifer?	
17		MR. HALL: Yes.	
18		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Wendy?	
19		MS. FULWIDER: Yes.	
20		CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Chair	
21	votes yes.		
22		One absent, zero no, 14 yes.	

1 Motion passes.

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Any

3 further work, Mr. Chairman from the Policy?

4 MR. FLAMM: That completes our

5 work, Mr. Chairman.

6 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: That

7 completes all of the recommendations for

8 voting that we had at this meeting.

9 Well done, everyone, Public,

10 Program, Board, Valerie, the sound man,

11 everybody. Thank you.

MR. FLAMM: The internet guy.

13 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Even

14 without the internet.

Next on the agenda, one request I

16 think we would like to make, though, is

17 regarding particularly the second vote that we

18 took on the methionine is for the Program to

19 stay in touch with us and to give us feedback

as quickly as possible on whether that ship

21 | could be sailing or whether we're having an

22 extreme crash and burn. So that the industry

and this Board would be aware of it and be able to deal with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Plans.

We only gave that until 2012. If the next step is not going to go through, that is putting the industry again in a petition position of an extremely compromising nature.

MR. McEVOY: We will keep you informed through monthly report and other ways.

10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

11 Okay. We are now up to the point

12 and time of the meeting for the Committee Work

If the Committee Chairman would please get their work plans ready. The practice of the Board is for each Committee to put forth the expected work plan for the next meeting. And we would like to do that at this time.

First on the list is, in the order we've going for this meeting, first is Crops with Tina?

SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes. We have work, and we plan to do it. We have a lot of work and we did in our last Crops Committee meeting briefly go over a work plan.

So in addition to the remaining 2012 Sunsets, which we have on our work plan for the fall, and in a perfect world we would get all of that done in the fall. We have some petitions we have some comments on. So for petitioning material that we need to act on, we have ethylene glycol, tetramethyl, polycaprolactone. For that we had a draft TR received and we sent it back with additional questions.

Tall oils, actually I think that we found TR to be sufficient and we can start to look at that material.

Ethylene DDA, difluoroethane we've sent forward for a TR.

PGML, propylene glycol monolaurate. We have sent that one forward for a TR.

Ferric phosphate to remove. We'll be acting on that petition. And petitions to remove do take priority, so we fully expect to have that ready for the fall. We have tons of information on that.

Indole-3butyric acid. We still have not yet received that petition. We received the checklist, but we still don't have that petition in our hand. So that's where we stand on that one.

Corn steep liquor, we've talked a lot about that. We found the TR to be insufficient, and we have additional questions to send forward for that. Although they might have already answered those questions, you know, that is a possibility.

We have received a petition for nickel which we will review for sufficiency and decide whether we want to send it for TR.

And the same for ammonium nonanoate, if I said that correctly.

I've already mentioned the Sunset

1	materials.

6

13

14

2 And boy, that's pretty much it.

3 It's an awful lot, but that's what I have

4 unless anyone can remind me of other things.

5 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Nothing

for your spare time?

SECRETARY ELLOR: We might take
on, who knows, food safety, mushroom
standards. You just never know.

10 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. So
11 those are potentials: Food safety and
12 mushrooms standards. Thank you. Having them

on your list and not getting to them, it may be better than not listing them and doing it.

15 SECRETARY ELLOR: Yes. It takes a

long time to scroll down to get to those.

17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

18 Livestock Committee. Jeff has been acting as

19 Chair. We'll have you proceed.

MR. MOYER: Thank you, Mr.

21 Chairman.

The workplan for the Livestock

Committee leading up to the fall meeting will include Sunset materials that were deferred from this meeting for which we are awaiting TRs, the alcohols, aspirin, the chlorine materials, glucose, glycerin, magnesium sulfate, copper sulfate and then as of today fusoremide.

The apiculture, we'll be taking that up.

We'll also be revisiting the stocking rate chart that we had, the paper that we had at this meeting. And possibly including a hybrid of that system based on our conversations here that would include maybe some basic minimum numbers and then outcomebased guidelines for that as well.

And then we will also be taking up the discussion document that we had here -
I'm sorry. Not the discussion document, but the item that was pulled, which is the definition of animal health care products so we can reformulate that and get that where it

1 belongs.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

Would all the Chairmen please forward a copy

of these work plans to Valerie as soon as

possible so we can make sure we cross

reference the two lists in case she happens to

MR. MOYER: Yes.

miss one as she's furiously typing?

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

Handling, Steve.

MR. DeMURI: Yes. We have quite a few petition materials that are in various stages of work at this point. We have received tech reviews for a few here in the last month or so.

Glucosamine. These are 605 items.

Calcium acid pyrophosphate and sodium acid

pyrophosphate, have not received those TRs

yet. But if we do, here shortly we'll try to

get those in for the fall.

Two fairly new ones, attapulgite and silicone dioxide to remove.

and hops which are being worked on. Yeast would be a big one. That's a petition to move from 205.605 to 205.606. So that one will get a lot of attention by the Committee over the

And we have four fairly new petitions that we received recently:

next few months.

Caramunich malt. barley beta fiber, beta carotene and sugar beet fiber. And those would all be related in some respect to the nutrient vitamins and minerals work that we'll be doing.

We do have 43 materials for Sunset in fall 2000 that we need to continue on in addition to any comments we might get on what we recommended for this meeting.

We have a five 605 items, 12
605(b) items and 26 606 items; 19 of those are
colors and the colors will take an little
extra time because we also do have a petition
on colors to change annotation, which

Katrina's working on for the Committee. So that will take up quite a bit of time.

And then, of course, we have the nutrient vitamins and minerals guidance or recommendation that we're working with the Program on as we get more information on that.

So we have a full docket.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: I'm going to ask you to include one more.

MR. DeMURI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The policy put forth presented by the Program regarding certification of yeast is out. It presents a far number -- it doesn't present the questions, but it certainly raises some questions that may or may not be fully answered within that document. It refers to production standards for yeast, but it doesn't quite explain what they are. It includes that they be following the National List but it doesn't say what section is followed. And it justifies the inclusion the continuance of

yeast to be feed to livestock according to its existing Listing on 605 and that would influence if you changed it to 606, the impact of that effect. That makes the Handling Committee doing a bit of an issue regarding the impact on livestock, which I'm not sure what the best way to handle is. But there are a number.

There's also some specific references, at least one I know in the classification of materials documents regarding yeast that I would ask the Committee to include when they're making these considerations, both for petition to change the Listing and the other issues.

MR. DeMURI: What would be real helpful for us is if you would put your questions in writing and them to us, and we'll consider those as we go through all this.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Joe, do you have those? Did that send that list to you?

1 MR. SMILLIE: You did, but not

2 formally.

3 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. I

4 can do it formally. Okay.

5 MR. SMILLIE: Because some of what

6 you said is going to be part of our

7 deliberation on either the switch for the

8 Listing and some of it isn't.

9 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

10 MR. SMILLIE: And as far a the

11 livestock, I think what would be -- I don't

want to do another Joint Committee because

those just play hell with our schedule, you

14 know.

15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.

16 Agreed.

17 MR. SMILLIE: Those are deadly,

18 deadly things. No offense, Katrina. No

offense. I mean, it's just like scheduling-

20 wise, joint committees are really rough.

But I would propose that we get a

22 | representative from the Livestock Committee to

join us when that issue is being discussed. I think that would be a quick efficient way so you guys can decide in the Livestock Committee who you would nominate to be your point liaison when we deal with that issue.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: We'll talk to Kevin on that issue, since he's officially Livestock Chairman.

MR. SMILLIE: Yes. Whatever you guys decide is fine.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes. I just think that with these questions that are raised I'm very uncomfortable proceeding with the change of petition ahead of those issues. If they can be done concurrently, that would be great. But the questions that were raised I think are fairly significant. So --

MR. SMILLIE: Well, we also might consider making sure we had the Program involved in that discussion, too, on the specific issue of what their guidance policy is.

	1 3.50 0 2 2
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes.
2	MR. SMILLIE: So we'll have to
3	look at that and get it all lined up properly
4	because it's a multifaceted issue, as you have
5	pointed out.
6	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Is that
7	okay, Program, for us to request that you
8	MR. McEVOY: Yes, that sounds
9	great. Input into the yeast policy would be
10	very helpful.
11	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.
12	Okay. That was Handling. Joint
13	Materials and Handling, Katrina?
14	MS. HEINZE: I could not be
15	happier to say that the Joint Committee of
16	Materials & Handling is no longer required.
17	Any work they have left to do will be rolled
18	into the Materials Committee.
19	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: The Chair
20	concurs.
21	MS. HEINZE: Ay.
22	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Materials,

1 Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: Okay. Well, we're short-lived. But I know speaking probably for 11 people on the NOSB, we will all be happy to have that extra hour back every week.

Okay. The Materials Committee work plan.

We have nanotechnology on our work plan. We are hoping to have a recommendation on how to appropriately prohibit nanotechnology in organic for the NOSB's consideration in the fall. So we, hopefully, will have the TR back in time that we can accomplish that, but that is our goal.

On classification of materials we will continue work on the draft guidance document taken up from the Joint Committee and with all the useful public comment that we had at this meeting.

We will also be working with the NOP on implementation of our November recommendation and the addendum that passed at

this meeting.

We have, obviously, quite a bit of work on materials. First we'll be working with the Material Committees; Crops, Livestock and Handling as well as the NP on petitions and TRs just to make sure that everyone's on the same page with what's in queue and to make sure everything's on track and prioritized the way everyone wants it to be.

So I run the master list, so the master list will get updated and we'll try to stay on track with that.

On Sunset 2012 we'll be monitoring the public comments to that Federal Register notice in collaboration with, again, the Corps, Livestock and Handling Committees.

There is a take from the table petition that the NOSB passed several meetings ago that found materials that in times past the NOSB had tabled materials during Board discussion, but had never finished the discussion. So we'll be digging all those out

and figuring what needs to happen with those.

Similarly, there were materials that were never on the table but were petitioned and have kind of gotten lost. We need to refine them. We have public comments that we've received over the last several years. And so we'll be collecting the list and working on those, which I know you all are very excited about.

On those last two topics, I do envision we'll have to work with the Program on the right way to do this. That our first step would be to contact the petitioners and make sure that they still want us to be working on those materials.

And then finally, it is my understanding that the NOP is working on a number of materials related items that they want us to work with them. There is a criteria for a material review program, improvements on the petition process, the quality and timeliness of TRs and then should

any of their guidance work deal with materials.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So we will, obviously, be available to work with them as needed on those topics and other material topics as they come up.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

Let's see CACC, Joe.

MR. SMILLIE: Current work plans, which can always change, would include further guidance. We did, was it two years ago, published a guidance on retailer recertification. standards for retailer recertification. And we're going to enlarge upon that document. That document was designed originally to be the first step and now we're going to take the second step. Getting more specific about how guidance for the current regulation to improve both the retailer certification and the understanding of retailer certification and now retailer certification is marketed among other topics.

And the second item is it sounds 1 2 like, although I haven't had a chance to 3 confer with my Committee, but sounds like 4 we're ready to take on round two probably I 5 would think in a recommendation, some guidance 6 on the made with label claim. I think there's 7 enough in that. And again, I didn't say seal. 8 I said just the made with label claim and how 9 we'd like to create some guidance for that label claim. 10 And, I should add, I have no 11 12 intention of taking on the 100 percent claim. So forget about it if you're going to try to 13 14 get it on our work plan. 15 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: John? 16 MR. FOSTER: I would ask that you 17 look into the transcript and public comment 18 from Patti Bursten about organic integrity 19 opportunities to enhance it. Take that 20 comment and consider adding it to the work 21 plan. 22 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

Miles?

2.0

MR. McEVOY: We're looking forward to working with all the various committees on the guidance documents that we'll be putting out this summer. There's a lot of NOSB recommendations that you all have made. We're moving towards putting that in the Program Handbook. And we imagine that we would like to confer with the committee from time-to-time to clarify your recommendations.

In addition, I would request that the CACC put on their work plan pesticide residue testing, unannounced inspections, or parameters around unannounced inspections and probably material evaluation programs is mostly relevant to that Committee.

These are things that the Program will be working on and we'd really like to work closely with the NOSB as we develop these initiatives.

MR. SMILLIE: Could you run through that list again? Pesticide residue

1 testing?

MR. McEVOY: Material evaluation programs, the procedures for what's an acceptable material evaluation program that's evaluating substances and putting them on a list. For instance, the OMRI program, WSTA program. We're getting a lot of requests from various entities. Some are certifiers, some are not certifiers of what makes an appropriate material evaluation program.

We have the new California

Fertilizer law that's being implemented. They

want some kind of official recognition from

the NOP for reviewing organic input materials.

We have foreign entities that are looking at approving materials, and they want some kind of acceptance from the NOP that their evaluation programs are sufficient.

Long term I think we got to look at adding material evaluation as one of the scopes. We have four scopes currently.

So it's just more the long term

initiative, but it's a very important part of this whole organic integrity piece of what materials are approved. Not just on the List but how does an organization evaluate it to see that it actually meets the criteria.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MR. SMILLIE: So you're asking us actually to develop some sort of matrix to judge the program it's evaluating, not evaluating the material. We're evaluating the evaluators.

MR. McEVOY: Right. Kind of an accreditation criteria for material evaluation program.

In addition we would request the Livestock Committee in their animal welfare deliberations to --

MR. SMILLIE: You did have -- I thought you had three.

MR. McEVOY: Oh, unannounced inspections.

MR. SMILLIE: Okay.

MR. McEVOY: Is that enough?

1 Okay.

Livestock Committee, we'd ask the
Livestock Committee to in their animal welfare
deliberations to look at slaughtering
transport in terms of including that in a
comprehensive welfare recommendation.

And I think that's it.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay. And we have one more, Policy. Barry?

MR. FLAMM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

The Policy Committee has a draft work plan that the Committee has discussed.

And it consists of one new item plus a follow-up on the discussion items that we presented this week.

The new item is a request by the Board Chair for the Policy Committee to look at the ability of the Chair, the Board Chair to establish to create ah hoc committees as the Chair feels necessary. And we have agreed to examine that in the context of our current policy and see whether we think that is a

desirable thing to do.

So that's on the top of our list since it came from the Board Chair.

But very importantly, and I think
Miles' request touches on a topic that was one
of our discussion items, that is a portion of
our policy and procedure manual dealing with
the NOP/NOSB working together or collaboration
as it's being called. And we've had some
discussions at this meeting with Miles about
that and coordinating work plans in a better
fashion is one we've already discussed.

Another item, and he's already implemented, that was in his having contact points for the committee. But we'll do more work on that and have more discussions and welcome further feedback.

The Committee hasn't received very much either from the public or the rest of the Board. So we plan to wrap that up and have a recommendation for the fall meeting.

I'm not doing this in the order we

presented it because I'm going to leave a major item to last so we don't squeeze out these other things.

But the next item was one that's been raise by a number of people on the previous Board and at various times requested I think by the Program, or at least sort of agreed to by the Program to look into it, and that's the question of whether the rotation or replacement of Board members is now occurring due to events that may have not been fully anticipated when the appointment schedule was first set up.

We got almost no public comment on this. About the only ones that do anything, and we didn't get a whole lot more than that from the Board discussion. So it leaves a little dilemma. So I think we need some direction from the Board Chair and the rest of the Board whether we should pursue it.

It seemed like coming out of discussion, if I got it right and Tina,

correct me, it seemed like the more concern with how we going forward if there isn't a system to replace people who leave the Board prematurely, rather than trying to fix the schedule now. I sort of got that impression. I may be wrong.

But anyway, Mr. Chair, I think we need some direction on whether you want us to pursue that because it will take some time.

And whether or not the Board really thinks it is an issue that is important. I don't know whether you want to take the time to address that now or we'll just pass on it and maybe at Executive Committee meeting or something, pursue it. But I think our Committee will need some direction on it.

And then finally what we consider very important that we have on our draft work plan is the follow through taking the comments we've received on the Sunset policy issues and try to frame that into a recommendation to the Board. You know, we're not pointing him and

suggesting any sort of option right now. 1 The 2 questions were raised about the Policy Committee doing that. And I don't know, Mr. 3 Chair, whether a joint committee is something 4 5 you want to do, or an ad hoc committee. 6 right now it's on our work plan to follow up, 7 and we think it's really an important thing to 8 follow up on and to clarify. 9 So that is the status of our draft 10 work plan at this time. 11 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you, 12 Barry. 13 Miles, did you have a comment? 14 MR. McEVOY: Yes. I would say 15 that OFPA is pretty clear about the 16 appointment schedule, that it's a five year 17 appointment. There's only allowed staggered 18 terms when the Board was initially set up. So 19 that I would suggest that you shouldn't spend 20 a lot of time on that since that's a statutory

Unless you can get really

requirement that you are appointed to five

21

22

year terms.

creative of working with the statute, it might not be worth the Policy Committee's time to try to address that.

4 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you,
5 Miles.

Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: I was just going to suggest to Barry on the Sunset if you process it, perhaps you and I, Tom can figure out the most efficient way for our two Committees to work together as opposed to doing a joint committee. I think we could just probably do it through some liaison between the two Committees.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Yes, I would like you to have that conference. I would like, though, also for the Executive Committee to sort of discuss all of these.

I think it's very important to remind all the Chairpersons of all the committees and all of the members, and of course respectfully the Program and staff and

everyone else our first and number one job
this year, we got the easy stuff, now we got
to do the hard stuff. We got the new TRs
coming in. We need to get as much done of the
2012 Sunset as we possibly can that we don't
have to put off to the next spring meeting.

So it's very important for even the Committees that are not material review committees, Livestock, Crops and Handling, to keep that in mind. Because all of you, the Chairman and all the members are on those other committees and it's very important that we conquer as much of that mountain as possible for the fall.

Joe?

MR. SMILLIE: In the spirit of give and take, the Program has got to get the TRs to us in time. This is critical. And the TRs have to meet our needs.

We are going to be really hamstrung if we don't get those TRs a lot faster and a lot better than we've been

1 getting them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. McEVOY: I completely agree.

We'll work on it and get them to you in a quality fashion. That's our goal.

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Thank you.

I completely agree also, Joe.

Thank you, Miles.

So that's one.

I want to remind everybody that the Board, Valerie and Miles please stay in this area after the meeting. We'd like to get a Board picture.

I have had a couple of requests to consider any alternations and change in committee assignments. That could be somewhat altered now since we do not have the Joint Committee. And that was greatly going to be greatly dependent on sort of what passed and what didn't, what moved ahead and what you still have to work on.

So anyone else who is still looking for wanting me to consider any

possible changes in the committee assignments, 1 2 please get those to me fairly guickly and I'll look those over and see what I can do. 3 4 We do have some flexibility as 11 5 of us are now on one less committee. 6 Steve? 7 MR. SMILLIE: Before we break up, 8 I'd like to invite everybody to the COPAC 9 meeting tomorrow. California Organic Product 10 Advisory Committee meeting. You're laughing. Everybody on the NOSB, everybody 11 12 in the audience, all Program staff are invited 13 to the COPAC meeting tomorrow. Starts at 9:00 14 a.m. goes until, who knows, maybe 4:00. And 15 it's at the California Department of Food and 16 Ag Building at 1220 N Street, the Main Auditorium in Sacramento. 9:00 a.m. 17 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: 18 Thank you. 19 Joe, did you have something? 20 Tina, you had something to add? 21 SECRETARY ELLOR: We all maybe are 22 denying this emotionally, but this Valerie's

last meeting as our leader. And I just want to take a minute to say the work you've done is beyond extraordinary. But we've heard that we're going to see you again. We've heard you're not leaving the NOP. And I know we're going to take an opportunity to thank you in a more formal way. But speaking from the bottom of my heart you've been terrific.

(Applause.)

CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Before I call on you, Katrina. There's been a couple of times where someone has steered the Chair a little bit. And during the course of these meetings we joke about it a lot. I don't think that there's anything that I'm probably more upset with taking on that -- of co-opted on, but I completely echo that sentiment. I could not have said it better. And thank you for all the wonderful efforts, hard work and dedication you have put on this Board.

We came on together. We're one meeting off of going off together. But thanks

1 for everything.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

Katrina?

MS. HEINZE: I was just going to say that for those in the public who don't really fully appreciate what Valerie does, we talk about the work that the Board has to do; Valerie is on every single NOSB Committee call that we have. She takes the notes. She keeps track of it. She reminds us what we talked about. It is unbelievable. And we'll miss you.

12 CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Okay.

Final reminder. The party. South Sacramento.

14 If you're interested, Bill.

Okay. Any other announcements?

16 Any other housecleaning? Last call on the

17 reading glasses.

Do we have a motion to adjourn

19 this meeting of the National Organics

20 Standards Board?

21 MR. SMILLIE: I move we close this

22 meeting.

	Page 332
1	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Do we have
2	a second?
3	MR. RIHERD: Second.
4	CHAIRPERSON GIACOMINI: Motion
5	made by Joe Smillie, seconded by Annette.
6	Any objections to the motion to
7	adjourn? Seeing none, we are adjourned.
8	(Whereupon, at 3:25 p.m. the
9	meeting was adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	81:15,16,21 84:3	303:6 306:17,17	318:11 320:14	208:18 227:7,7
<u>A</u>	90:4 92:20 93:5	acids 15:13 153:21	additional 38:22	239:5
ability 223:21	105:14,14,22		41:20 98:13	
248:10 254:11,13	, ,	acknowledge 270:16	110:22 145:2	agents 208:1
273:10 289:16	107:3,8 114:13			aging 9:15
321:18	119:12 122:15,22	act 9:20 56:21 57:1	164:4 289:13	ago 169:4 210:7
able 45:2 106:6	123:4 127:5,11	85:20 148:6 276:6	302:13 303:13	314:19 316:11
114:7 169:2 188:8	132:7 137:11	302:10	additives 119:6	agree 105:6 116:8
199:5 224:22	207:19 215:1	acting 77:14 260:7 267:7 303:2	address 70:12 71:1	143:8 162:22
231:3 236:5	218:22 219:6	304:18	87:1 89:22 106:15	163:4 203:14
252:10 277:7,17	222:1 229:15		106:16 133:6	253:14 262:15
277:22 279:17	258:4 262:10	action 83:1,13	183:9 199:5	280:14 297:14
287:11 301:2	266:19 267:11	130:1,18 132:2	203:13 214:19	328:2,6
abnormal 263:4	269:11,14 272:14	180:3 279:9 280:2	254:12,13 255:8,8	agreed 74:20 205:5
above-entitled	278:18 292:12 296:3	active 7:11 173:11	324:12 326:3	207:5 246:15
76:10 178:12	_, -, -, -	actively 172:22	addressed 72:8	247:8 310:16
absent 3:4,8,10,12	acceptable 112:16	actual 16:14 26:8	97:20 98:10 263:8	321:20 323:8
3:15,18,19,19,21	112:19 113:3	ad 325:5	addresses 134:17	agreeing 94:5
3:22 4:2,5,6,8,13	189:20 204:17	add 40:22 42:1	adjourn 4:23	222:21
4:17,19 7:9 13:11	205:11 212:10,10	50:3 59:3 83:15	331:18 332:7	agreement 54:2
13:13 23:12 58:16	319:4	101:7 104:7,12	adjourned 245:17	67:5 128:19
64:16 73:10 74:11	acceptance 319:17	109:10,22 111:4	332:7,9	187:10 264:6
91:18,20 122:1	accepted 17:22	112:21 172:2	administer 135:13	agricultural 1:1
125:3 150:11,14	95:18 113:11	200:4 206:13	142:7	158:22 159:1,4,5
158:3 165:14	154:11 230:3	209:4 220:13	admired 196:12	159:13,14 165:19
177:18 180:9	297:13	221:2 224:17	admittedly 204:16	166:2 185:14,20
182:12 216:20	accepting 63:1	270:22 274:21	adopt 226:22	192:17 194:8
245:11 260:4	122:20 148:15	292:1 317:11	advanced 278:15	198:2,8 201:12
284:7 294:1	251:9	329:20	advances 17:4	203:20 204:8,14
299:22	accepts 148:18	added 24:14,16	advisor 115:2	211:4 213:22
absolutely 74:19	186:5 258:7	41:9 59:4 61:18	Advisory 329:10	agriculture 1:1
85:16 140:3	Access 275:5	61:22 62:1 138:12	aerating 239:5	21:3 38:11 45:18
202:21 257:13	accessing 41:3	139:4 206:20	240:2	ah 150:13 321:19
261:13 288:9	accommodate 8:19	207:18 295:9	aeroponics 67:7	ahead 53:15 75:5
absorb 107:5	74:19	addendum 4:12	68:14	88:5 152:7 163:6
abstain 91:18	accomplish 36:5	182:2,20 183:15	affect 213:8 242:17	178:2,7,7,18,21
179:13	58:3 83:15 313:14	184:8 313:22	246:20 286:8	180:15 193:8
abstention 13:13	account 262:6	adding 62:8,11	affirmative 11:8,20	238:6 246:6
284:6	accreditation 4:14	101:7 107:18	82:19 133:4	311:14 328:19
abstentions 7:5,17	320:12	118:22 205:14	146:21 148:17	aid 154:2,4 203:3
abuse 110:12	Accredited 172:19	213:6 239:21	258:7 282:12,14	219:22 220:1,3
ACA 172:19	accurate 193:19	246:18 317:20	Ag 1:11 329:16	221:7,12 222:4,14
173:12	accurately 15:19	319:20	age 6:8 174:5 229:1	222:19 223:5,15
ACAs 174:14,17	accused 238:4	addition 4:18 50:8	agenda 14:2 20:19	226:9,10 227:22
221:7	achieve 24:21	108:7 113:12	23:15 126:21	229:2,12 230:5,6
accept 10:1,3,9	253:22	181:5 185:17	180:12 257:19	230:8 238:12,14
17:20 18:7 55:2,9	acid 14:22 118:19	197:15 212:14	300:15	239:2,16 240:5
58:21 65:6,18	118:20 159:7,18	302:5 307:16	agent 159:17,18	242:15
			l	l

aida 219.9 0 227.0	257.17	192.1	ANDD 175.10	annling 196.2
aids 218:8,9 227:9	257:17	183:1	ANPR 175:19	applies 186:2
228:16 239:13	amalgamated	anesthetic 119:2	answer 42:17 55:16	apply 18:16 201:3
air 266:21 290:4	71:10	animal 3:24 4:2	98:4 131:20	appointed 325:21
alcohols 305:4	amazingly 180:13	14:19 85:11 125:9	132:21 139:18	appointment
algicide 14:15	amend 92:5 100:8	126:3,21 131:15	170:21 198:19	323:12 325:16,17
algicides 14:12	104:7 105:1,1,22	132:4 134:20	219:17 237:8,21	appreciate 84:15
alginates 159:15	106:3,21 107:17	135:1 142:17	261:4 266:16	84:18 96:10 97:14
allow 41:4 58:2,2	246:15 251:5,14	143:11 167:21	267:10	175:13 180:2
122:13 132:2	258:3 271:7,15	305:21 320:15	answered 287:12	210:1 217:8 294:8
143:21,21 156:11	281:1,15 285:1,14	321:3	303:15 308:17	294:11,11 331:5
190:15 224:18	286:17	animals 140:10	answers 107:11	approach 187:21
234:5 237:16	amended 97:3	143:4,12 146:11	189:4	appropriate 77:5
allowability 209:9	250:22 251:11,16	Annette 1:23 12:7	antibiotics 134:8,9	111:5 126:5
allowable 188:22	amending 80:20	19:6 23:8 64:7	anticipated 323:12	135:10 151:1,3
262:21	amendment 54:21	74:3 75:17 91:8	anti-foaming 227:7	182:16 195:22
allowance 69:13	100:3 105:7,14,18	116:10 121:3	anti-inflammatory	243:3 278:9,10
allowed 14:6 17:22	107:4,13,15,22	124:11 149:17	135:5	297:9,17 319:10
39:11,17,21 42:13	112:21 113:12	157:6 164:16	anti-synthetic	appropriately
43:2 45:22 46:2	114:13 115:15,19	176:17 179:6,8	257:15	232:19 313:10
48:20 49:2,18	127:13 130:3	215:8 243:10	anybody 34:13	approval 45:9
56:6 62:3,4,7,18	148:16,19 151:18	258:12 284:2,14	40:21 99:20	approve 84:21
67:8 96:8 104:2	186:5 199:17	293:17 299:14	129:21 153:12	119:8 152:3
109:10 139:20	229:7,16 230:3	332:5	255:11	approved 38:10
140:21,22 141:1,2	246:13 248:3	annotation 80:20	anymore 214:2	39:1 48:16 58:10
141:5 142:8,9	254:10,15 255:5	81:15,17,21 84:7	256:22 268:1	182:9 188:1
144:9 153:18	256:10 265:1,7,7	90:5 110:6,11,17	anyway 51:6 324:7	204:18 205:9
158:10,13,16	266:18 267:11	110:21 111:1,10	apiculture 305:8	209:5 218:13
161:3,4 165:19	269:4,6,8,12	113:1,14 143:19	apologize 9:4	320:3
174:11 185:18,20	271:20	162:10,12 254:12	139:11 224:21	approving 45:12
195:16,20,20	amendments 15:12	254:13,17 255:8,9	237:18	187:11,13 226:9
200:5 202:6 204:7	196:2	256:4 262:20,22	apologizes 126:12	319:16
204:9,10 208:9,21	American 264:7	266:5 273:9	apparently 207:13	APRIL 1:8
220:11 224:4	amiss 77:17	275:12,19 277:13	224:6 231:15	Aquatic 15:12
226:13 244:22	ammonia 14:20	281:15 285:15	233:17	Arabic 166:10
247:5 325:17	ammonium 14:22	286:18 287:5	appear 24:3 83:17	arbitrariness
allowing 209:11	159:16,17 303:20	291:22 292:6,13	83:18	267:14
234:10	amount 81:4 87:13	307:22	Appendix 43:3	arbitrary 266:20
allows 184:5	99:3 169:9 253:11	annotations 110:10	Applause 330:9	267:8 268:1
alluding 266:21	amounts 99:4,19	118:3 160:22	applicability	area 30:4 95:15
alter 147:9	288:3,4	275:13 289:14	184:16	175:4 237:19
altered 6:20 328:16	AMS 1:1	announce 77:6	applicable 14:17	328:11
alternations 328:14	analgesics 139:14	announcement	46:5 118:7 185:21	areas 183:9,18
alternative 83:14	analog 81:1,2 92:7	76:19	application 196:9	arena 95:5 96:13
97:5	92:8 281:3,3	announcements	221:8	97:10
alternatives 17:9	285:2,3	331:15	applications 197:1	argon 231:5
85:19 86:2,11	analyzed 82:14	anonymously	applied 195:3	arguing 27:8
196:10 256:18,21	and/or 166:14	58:10	200:14,20 204:1	argument 227:21

228:11	226:11 231:4	b 142:4	283:22 284:13	belongs 126:1,3
arrive 178:11	232:18 237:5	back 5:5 16:1,2	293:15 294:16,22	306:1
arrive 178.11 arrived 217:16	atropine 118:7	17:3,9 33:14	296:10 299:12	beneficial 25:11
arsenic 21:3	attached 45:10	53:20 54:4 60:7	321:9 325:12	benefit 197:14
Arthur 2:16 26:7	attached 43.10 attapulgite 306:21	62:16 76:13,17	326:8	217:6 236:5
34:14 40:6 41:7	attempt 255:17,21	89:10 94:6 96:16	Barry's 203:13	bentonite 153:21
53:12,14 54:10	261:3	99:18 100:13	based 29:3 31:11	Berry 113:5 152:3
110:9 112:6 148:8	attempts 228:5	108:11 114:2,7	32:10 33:13 35:20	210:2
183:14 265:21	attention 6:18	130:11 132:6,11	41:4 79:17 197:2	best 35:13,16 61:9
266:21 273:1	13:21 161:16	139:4 147:5,11	206:22 220:16	68:11 173:2
Arthur's 38:6	307:5	168:4 178:8 179:3	274:18 305:13,16	174:18 210:6
articulated 95:11	audience 6:5 62:15	180:14 183:17	bases 270:8	242:6 256:4,15
artificial 254:19	82:4 168:15,17	195:6,13 202:9	basic 305:15	257:19 280:2
artificially 255:12	329:12	234:11,11 245:14	basically 18:17	284:21 289:19
ascorbic 159:18	Auditorium 329:17	250:22 257:20	30:13 31:4 147:3	309:7
Ash 21:3	August 276:3	260:13,18 266:1	290:6	beta 307:9,9
aside 118:11,13	authority 95:15	276:15 295:15	basis 98:12 99:4,6	better 61:8 96:1
asked 16:18 39:5	181:5 220:20	297:9 302:13	bay 166:16	97:12 108:19
67:10 77:4 103:2	254:16	313:5,13	bean 166:11,11,15	111:15 174:3,4
103:5 125:10	availability 166:1	backed 253:4	beat 69:9	199:6 202:15
158:5 171:13	169:1 170:11	background	beaten 209:15	203:9 205:19
182:14,17 183:10	171:10 174:3,20	275:17 289:4	beauties 193:21	208:18 234:12
199:4 210:7	175:1 184:16	backtrack 179:6	beautify 226:18	252:22 304:14
214:15 260:18	available 8:11	backup 218:19	becoming 201:13	322:11 327:22
261:8 269:11,13	135:8 171:19	270:4	202:10	330:18
288:6	174:17 218:20	bacteria 211:7	beef 131:7 168:21	beyond 102:18
asking 13:15 102:8	316:4	bad 178:7 255:18	beet 307:10	131:11 135:19
102:9 151:19	avenues 253:2	bag 239:21	beg 282:10	249:19 330:3
182:20 210:2	261:17	bait 83:18	beginning 63:4	bicarbonate 15:10
212:4 290:17	average 81:6 288:7	baked 207:19	112:11 282:3	154:6 159:16
297:1 320:6	aversion 71:2 85:5	baking 201:21	behalf 270:17	big 201:8 307:3
asks 183:6	avoiding 191:1	204:2	behaved 192:9	bigger 195:12
aspect 234:14	awaiting 305:3	balance 190:20,22	behavior 237:11,12	234:3
aspirin 305:4	aware 44:12 152:1	191:15 193:22	belief 203:7,8	biggest 213:16
assembled 25:21	184:9,14 301:1	194:2 262:5	believe 15:18 87:10	214:8
asserts 11:21	awful 16:1 304:3	barley 307:9	95:19 105:18	Bill 77:3 331:14
assessment 96:3	awkward 109:3	barriers 14:17 15:5	114:9 134:16	biologics 118:7
assignments	Ay 312:21	Barry 1:19 7:21	168:17 188:12	142:5
328:15 329:1	aye 115:6	12:5 20:9 23:6	189:10 192:7	bird 81:8 261:8
associated 255:3	ayes 115:7	64:5 74:1 91:6	193:18,20,22	272:7 288:7
associates 250:9	A-F-T-E-R-N-O	112:19 116:7	194:14,18 197:4	birds 257:8,8,10
Association 172:19	246:1	121:1 124:9 129:5	205:10,10 212:15	261:19 262:9
assuming 26:17	a.m 1:11 5:2 76:9	137:3 149:15	214:7 219:20,20	bird's 262:2
assumption 224:1	76:11 178:13,14	152:2 157:4	227:2 228:9	bit 26:9 33:16
assurance 256:1	329:14,17	164:14 176:15	242:16 243:18	71:22 178:3 179:4
atmospheric 4:15	B	197:12 198:20	254:6 276:3 280:4	179:5 181:9 197:5
218:3 219:1,8		215:5 243:8 260:1	believer 262:19	274:22 308:2
			l	

309:5 314:2	Boards 96:21 141:7	broilers 260:22	222:19 229:1	288:17 306:6
330:13	192:3 217:6	261:6 265:4	256:9 298:13	cases 141:18,18
blah 202:3,3,3	252:11 254:11	267:18,19,21	330:11 331:7,16	206:1,2
blank 8:5	256:1	272:2 282:18	called 190:17	Casing 166:5
blanket 234:20	Board's 34:22 36:9	brought 5:11 88:7	289:21 322:9	casings 167:21
	225:15 269:6	180:7 221:9,13	calling 52:10 71:2	168:17 175:12,14
blow 236:18	bonds 205:15	bubble 240:9,17	148:13 204:20,22	catch 126:17
Blowing 240:11	boost 265:2,5	bubbling 237:13	205:1,6 228:22	139:17
Boar 38:10	boosting 260:21	240:11	calls 74:18 82:22	catch-22 95:11
board 1:5,10 5:5,5	boric 14:22	Buddhist 194:16	220:3	97:1
5:10 6:10,15 7:5	boron 15:14	Building 329:16	calves 146:16	categories 31:4
7:19 9:18 18:16	boton 13.14 botanical 211:18	built 257:3	camp 146:22	96:7 265:5
25:3 28:7 29:4	212:21	bunch 67:15	Camp 140.22 Canada 67:5 71:15	category 14:8,12
32:12 33:2 34:7	bottle 222:6 223:5	288:19	264:3,6	14:16,19,21 15:6
35:6 38:2 41:9	223:12 224:9,15	burden 96:16,18	Canada's 72:14	15:11 21:1 122:10
44:21 45:2,8,11	227:22	97:4 111:21	Canadian 70:13	238:19 270:6
45:18 46:3,15,16	bottom 58:12 330:8	burdensome	71:1	cause 179:3 202:19
47:1 52:20 54:17	box 34:4	190:21	cap 222:7 223:13	caution 266:3
54:20 59:19,21,22	boy 241:11 304:2	burn 300:22	224:9,15 233:10	CBI 44:19 45:7
65:7 75:15 78:14	BRADLEY 2:17	burning 21:3	capture 30:2 44:8	celebrate 76:21
82:5,17,22 83:1	Bravo 185:1	Bursten 317:18	231:10 270:7	celery 166:6
83:10,13,21 94:19	bread 207:17,17,22	business 4:22 75:2	captured 15:18	cell 6:8
94:21 95:16,19	207:22 208:14	78:4 178:19 179:6	captures 184:6	Center 1:12 8:12
96:13 105:19	break 76:4,7,13	butrophanol 118:8	Caramunich 307:9	8:18
107:4 111:17	77:9 88:8 110:18	buy 169:12 170:22	carbon 158:15	centrally 8:22
120:3 125:22	150:19 151:16	bylaw 82:12	241:1	certain 25:21 99:11
129:11 133:11	178:6,17,21	B1 15:17	carbonate 14:22	134:13,14 169:9
163:12 172:11	245:14 329:7	D1 13.17	153:22 154:6	214:10
178:19 181:10,17	breaks 179:1	C	158:21 159:7,17	certainly 16:2
182:5 185:6 186:5	breather 114:1	C 15:17	carbonates 15:14	137:21 142:22
187:20 194:14,15	breeds 95:4	CAC 217:15,17	care 3:24 4:2 125:9	174:20 182:7
196:4 200:5 221:1	brief 30:18	219:7	126:21 140:14	191:18 209:16
221:20 225:18	briefly 24:5 70:11	CACC 316:8	142:3 223:2	261:16 270:9
246:4 248:1 249:6	78:9 264:3 302:4	318:12	305:21	275:22 294:6
250:21 252:9	BRINES 2:21	calcium 15:1 81:2	careful 10:21	308:15
254:5,16 255:6,10	bring 13:20 25:4	92:8 153:22,22	233:15	certainty 189:2
269:5 272:22	78:6 88:7 147:11	158:14 159:19,19	carnauba 154:7	certification 4:14
273:21 274:5	178:4,8 184:1	281:4 285:3	carob 166:11	172:19 228:14
278:7 294:4 295:9	195:6 210:3	306:17	carotene 307:10	308:13 316:13,14
295:11 300:10	brings 24:4 135:4	calculated 81:6	carrageenan 154:1	316:20,21,22
301:1,16 314:20	263:1	California 1:12	carrot 219:18	certified 161:19
321:17,18 322:3	broad 134:11	319:11 329:9,15	220:7 223:18,20	170:2 174:15
322:20 323:6,10	broader 136:3	call 26:13 54:13	224:5 228:2	175:5 198:4,6
323:17,19,20	broiler 81:9 92:13	68:20,21 148:11	carry 62:16	206:3,4,7,8,12,14
324:3,10,22	92:17 103:10,11	148:14 174:8	case 52:5 111:19	certifier 171:11
325:18 328:10,12	265:2,11,15 281:8	187:15 204:14,14	132:5 181:9 224:3	221:11
330:20 331:6,20	285:6	205:12 221:11	224:6,14 240:1	certifiers 166:2
	•	•	•	•

				1
172:13,22 173:8	1:15 5:3 8:21	104:1,6,10,13	164:20,22 165:2,4	268:6,13,16,22
174:22 175:5	9:10,22 10:7,16	105:2,10,20 106:2	165:6,8,10,12	269:10,15,17,22
192:4 226:22	11:3,7,12,19 12:3	106:12,18,20	166:21 167:3,6,18	270:15 271:5,9,12
319:8,9	12:7,9,11,13,15	107:2,7,10,16,21	172:3,10 175:9	271:17 272:4,15
CFR 33:9 92:5	12:17,19,21 13:1	108:5,9,13,20	176:6,12,15,17,19	274:19 275:21
218:10 239:11	13:3,5,7,9 16:9,13	109:16 112:4,8,15	176:21 177:1,3,5	277:20 279:3,12
281:1 285:1	16:17,20 17:11,14	113:7,9 114:14,20	177:7,9,12,14,16	279:16 280:1,7,11
chain 222:16	18:6,12,20 19:8	115:8,11,16 116:1	178:1,15 179:16	280:13,17 281:11
223:22 226:14	19:10,12,14,16,18	116:5,10,12,14,16	180:6,17,20	281:14 282:1,5,14
Chair 23:10 36:21	19:20,22 20:2,4,6	116:18,20,22	186:11,19 193:10	283:1,3,5,7,9,12
64:11 74:9,15	20:9 21:10,14,21	117:2,4,6,8,10,13	195:1,15,19 196:7	283:15,17,19,22
77:14 91:16	22:4,6,8,10,12,14	119:11 120:1,8,10	197:12 199:7,13	284:2,4,13,16
115:12 116:5	22:16,19,21 23:1	120:13,16,18,20	200:22 201:4	285:9,13,20 286:1
117:13 121:22	23:3,6,8,10 27:3	121:1,3,5,7,9,11	202:20 203:10	286:5,11 288:2
125:1 129:9	31:13 34:14 36:16	121:13,16,20	207:11 209:14,22	289:7,20 290:12
152:10 155:8	36:20 38:19 39:4	122:18 123:2,10	210:16 213:13,21	291:5,12,22 292:4
158:2 165:12	39:8,14,19,22	123:15,18,21	214:21 215:5,8,10	292:9,11,16,18,20
177:16 201:5	40:3 41:16 42:7	124:1,3,6,9,11,13	215:13,16,18,20	292:22 293:2,5,8
216:19 221:4	44:2,5 46:11,18	124:15,17,19,21	215:22 216:2,4,7	293:10,12,15,17
244:1 245:9 256:9	46:22 47:5 54:14	125:1,12,18	216:10,12,14	293:19,21 294:7
267:7 268:5,10	55:7,14 56:18	126:11 127:7,10	217:1,12,21 219:5	294:10 296:2,9,16
272:19 273:2	57:19,22 58:17	127:17,20 128:10	219:12 220:18	296:21 297:8,12
282:6 284:4	59:1,22 61:1,13	128:14,17 129:5	221:5,18 222:2,3	297:19,22 298:7
291:16 293:22	62:21 63:6,8,10	130:2 131:17	222:9 224:20	298:14,16,18,20
299:20 304:19	63:12,14,17,20,22	132:10 133:8,12	225:3,10,21 226:5	298:22 299:3,5,7
312:19 321:10,17	64:2,5,7,9,11	133:16 134:15	227:11 228:18	299:9,12,14,16,18
321:18,18,20	65:10,17 66:3	135:15 137:3,7,18	229:4,8,14,20	299:20 300:2,6,13
322:3 323:19	69:4 70:9,17	138:4,10,13,16	230:2,7,12,18	301:10 304:5,10
324:7 325:4	71:18 72:11,21	139:6,10 140:15	232:5,22 234:16	304:17 306:2,9
330:12	73:5,7,9,13,16,18	141:15,17 142:2	235:6,16,20 236:7	308:8,11 309:20
Chairman 6:16,18	73:20 74:1,3,5,7,9	143:14 144:2,6,11	238:1,3 241:11,20	310:3,9,15 311:6
13:22 33:14 55:6	75:4,22 76:6,12	144:17 145:7,17	242:5,19 243:2,6	311:11 312:1,6,11
64:22 77:16 78:5	77:17 78:15,18	146:13 147:1,7	243:8,10,12,14,16	312:19,22 316:7
78:16 80:9 89:17	79:1,4,18,22 80:5	148:1,4,7,10,12	243:22 244:3,8,10	317:15,22 321:8
91:22 93:10 96:10	80:12,14 81:14,18	149:2,5,8,10,12	244:12,14,17,21	325:11 326:4,15
114:12 117:18,21	81:20 84:6,22	149:15,17,19,21	245:2,4,6,9 246:3	328:5 329:18
122:3,5,19 125:5	86:21 87:18 88:3	150:1,3,5,7,9,13	246:21 247:3,7,12	330:10 331:12
125:8 158:6	88:17,19 89:2,7	151:8,14 154:13	248:17 250:3,19	332:1,4
165:16 177:21	89:11,14 90:2,8	154:20 155:1,8,16	251:4,10,13,18,22	Chairpersons
180:19 181:1	90:10,12,17,20,22	155:22 156:5,8,14	252:18 256:7,11	326:20
260:6,7 284:20	91:2,5,8,10,12,14	156:19,21 157:1,4	258:1,14,16,18,22	Chairs 7:1 181:4
294:16 300:3,5	91:16 93:2,11	157:6,8,10,12,14	259:3,6,8,10,12	challenge 95:20
301:14 304:21	97:15 98:3,9,16	157:16,18,20	259:14,16,18,20	188:16 193:7
311:8 327:11	98:20 100:12,18	158:1 160:1,9	260:1,3,9 262:13	challenged 274:4
Chairmen 151:11	101:6,15,20 102:2	161:9 162:4,9,19	262:17 264:9,12	274:11
306:3	102:7,11,16,19	163:2,10,21 164:3	264:15,19 265:17	challenges 71:13
Chairperson 1:13	103:4,7,16,21	164:9,11,14,16,18	265:21 267:12	275:16
	, , ,	, , , -, -		
	ı I	ı ı		ı

		l	l	I
challenging 175:4	196:17 218:18	chlorine 305:4	cleaning 14:14	199:15 201:7
chance 52:7 77:9	266:10,11 295:3	choose 33:7 236:6	clear 37:1 42:5,13	203:1 210:8 231:2
210:10 266:17	295:18 329:1	Chorus 115:7,10	42:17 45:21 52:1	237:21 253:13
317:2	changing 203:5	chosen 248:21	54:5 56:20 62:12	256:21 257:4
chances 57:17	205:14 218:17	churning 201:22	68:5 72:13,19	267:2,6,8 275:10
change 28:1 30:11	channeling 150:18	204:2	85:4 86:17 95:14	277:9 288:22
31:7 35:3,8 54:16	150:21	citrate 159:8,19	108:7,16,19	316:5
57:14 58:13 60:14	ChapStick 179:9	citrus 158:18	110:15 129:8,14	comes 6:22 45:22
71:12 81:15,17,22	character 136:21	claim 223:16 224:4	139:12 140:12	109:11 143:10
82:12 84:7 90:5	characterize 138:8	235:14,17 236:6	187:6 192:20	145:15 189:14
94:11,12 130:3	146:10	317:6,8,10,12	196:16 232:15	237:10 239:3
145:5 147:20	characterized	clarification 10:18	235:19 236:3	297:9
162:12 163:8	188:19	12:2 18:15 27:9	241:22 242:8	comfortable 34:3
178:6 179:13	chart 305:11	40:8 42:9 47:19	243:5 325:15	85:8 199:10
181:20 182:3,9,9	checked 76:15	62:14 69:19 84:11	cleared 137:6	241:14 287:21,22
182:15,18 183:1,5	checklist 303:8	84:16,19 103:2	clearly 110:13	coming 146:14
183:20 184:19	checklists 270:6	110:4 160:14	111:1 131:4 145:8	151:5 179:3
185:4,7 186:2	cheese 204:21	219:14 226:4	161:5 198:13	182:10 187:7
192:19 194:7	208:15	250:20 251:21	225:9 250:10,14	194:6 247:14
197:20 198:10	chelate 212:6	clarified 227:3	close 74:17 179:21	249:14 252:6
200:9,17 201:16	chemical 184:19	clarifies 68:13	331:21	266:1 323:21
203:4 205:18	185:7 186:2	148:2	closely 129:13	327:4
208:8 211:11	197:20 198:10,10	clarify 11:18 27:21	318:19	comma 112:14
212:16 213:3,3	200:16 205:15	56:2 82:2 108:22	closer 178:11	comment 5:20
219:16 220:5,16	213:2 255:4,16	110:7 237:22	closest 82:6,9	21:19 26:7 45:7
225:13 228:20	chemicals 189:19	291:20 318:10	clouding 196:15	67:18 97:18 129:2
229:9,10 230:4	190:5	325:8	coatings 17:4	130:22 131:1
233:18 236:20	chemistry 232:8,9	clarifying 133:22	cobalt 15:16	132:14 139:2,3
237:7 242:12,14	chew 210:10	147:6 174:22	code 190:2	175:20 185:13,16
254:15,17 260:18	chia 166:6	clarity 109:6	Codex 160:20	193:8 197:6 201:2
262:21 276:21	chicken 264:8	224:17	274:3	211:16 223:4,9
277:12 285:14	chickens 81:9,10	classic 173:19	codify 95:17	262:7 268:7,12
288:6 292:1,12	92:12,13,17	classical 189:22	codifying 209:11	288:11 291:13
307:22 309:14	103:12 253:10,16	classification 4:12	coffee 8:10,17	313:18 317:17,20
311:14 316:10	257:13 258:21	53:2 126:22	collaboration	323:14 325:13
328:14	265:3,11,14,15	162:14 181:2	314:15 322:8	commentator
changed 24:12 25:8	267:5 281:8,8	183:12 186:3	collaborative 184:1	220:13
31:20 40:17 47:20	285:6	192:16 210:13	collaboratively	comments 5:15
201:18 213:17,22	chicks 103:10	212:5 216:15	183:8	9:19 18:10 29:4
225:14 309:3	chile 166:13	296:14 298:3	collecting 315:7	68:2,5 72:22
changeover 67:20	chilling 202:2	309:11 313:15	color 193:14	89:15,19 100:2
changes 5:22 6:19	chipotle 166:13	classified 192:3,6	colors 307:20,20,22	128:1 129:11,12
24:8 54:21 55:1	chlorahexidine	198:16 207:1	come 30:19 37:6	160:10 176:2
58:21 60:12 65:7	118:8	classify 192:1	44:18 45:8 76:1	193:2,16 195:14
65:8 78:12 95:3,3	chloride 21:4	211:10	89:9 97:5 99:2	203:14 219:13
95:4 127:1 181:22	153:22 154:5	cleaned 76:18	114:2,7 132:6,11	253:6,9 262:7
184:1 192:8 196:2	159:2	cleaner 163:6	161:16 176:3	296:10 302:9

207.16.214.14	270 17 270 10 22	411.70.14	15.00	52 0 120 1 152 11
307:16 314:14	270:17 279:19,22	compatible 72:14	concerns 15:22	53:8 138:1 152:11
315:5 324:19	280:3 294:5,16	compensates 85:7	67:1 268:19	152:14 221:7
commercial 166:1	295:2,18 296:4,13	competition 173:4	275:16	222:13 265:1,6
171:10 174:2,20	301:12,14,16	173:6	conclude 217:3	309:19 311:19
175:1 184:16	302:3 304:18	competitors 173:12	294:3	317:20 324:17
committee 3:2,14	305:1 307:5 308:1	complaint 173:10	concludes 75:1	328:14,22
3:17 4:4,14,18,21	309:5,12 310:12	complete 38:2	152:8 217:10	considerable
5:19 9:10 10:10	310:22 311:3	131:20 132:21	concur 196:6	185:13
14:9 20:22 34:6	312:15,18 313:6	177:20 284:9	205:11	consideration 5:17
35:22 36:17,20	313:17 317:3	285:21	concurrently	6:12 7:17 9:3
46:14,18,21 47:6	318:9,16 320:15	completed 246:5	311:15	24:7 61:6 129:18
47:10 51:21 52:19	321:2,3,11,12,17	completely 31:20	concurs 312:20	133:1 209:17
52:21 54:17 56:20	322:15,18 324:14	33:17 74:14 99:12	conditions 134:13	225:6 290:19
56:22 57:1 58:6,8	324:15 325:3,4,5	130:7,9,13 132:1	conduct 77:21	313:12
58:14 59:19 63:3	326:12,18 328:15	148:21 236:22	150:20	considerations
65:21 67:2 69:6	328:17 329:1,5,10	253:8 328:2,6	confer 317:3 318:9	309:14
69:10 71:11 75:2	331:7	330:17	conference 326:16	considered 7:9
77:16 78:8 80:18	committees 4:11	completes 118:21	confident 221:6	16:4 137:5 163:13
82:15 87:9 88:13	5:12,12 6:16	300:4,7	287:9	194:22 268:1
89:17 92:1,3,4,22	67:19 310:20	complexity 220:14	conflict 13:17	276:16
93:6,14 94:2	314:4,16 318:3	287:4	20:13 64:13,18	considering 61:19
98:17 118:10,16	321:19 326:10,14	Compliance 4:14	66:6,7 73:1 90:13	188:1 296:13
120:5 125:10	326:21 327:8,9,12	complicated 71:11	91:19 124:6 150:9	considers 218:16
126:8,12 127:1,12	Committee's 36:6	196:12 219:21	154:22 155:1	consistency 183:12
129:9,13 133:5	52:11 80:10 84:8	275:11 290:10	235:21 243:19	203:3,6 228:9
134:3,6 137:4	84:20 119:13	component 212:9	244:1,20 272:18	230:16
138:18 147:9,12	122:7,12,16,22	components 32:13	282:6 298:9	consistent 109:21
148:16 151:13	123:5 126:20	188:10	conflicts 13:15	110:2 174:18
152:11,18 153:7	127:6 203:8	comprehensive	20:14 22:2,3	175:4 197:1
160:4 168:7 176:1	228:20 248:20	321:6	121:14,18 150:10	207:15 208:4,12
178:4 180:17,21	250:5,17 251:7	compromise 89:18	155:11 164:4	208:12 237:11,12
181:6 182:11	326:2	255:17	176:9 216:15	consists 321:13
185:17 186:14	commodity 204:8	compromising	243:16 258:18	consolidation
195:4 196:1,4	204:14,15	301:6	291:15	152:14
199:8,14 203:22	communicate	computer 28:9,19	conformance 44:14	constantly 95:20
207:5 211:3,12	252:11	conceived 95:17	conforms 44:9	constitutes 68:19
212:15 214:16	communicating	concept 191:12	confused 59:18	constriction 264:1
215:2 217:11	111:1	concern 56:5 68:1	129:7	consumer 291:7,10
249:5,8,13,16	community 68:10	183:9,19 188:14	confusing 84:16	consumers 264:7
250:2 251:2 254:4	72:19 96:17 97:11	252:11 268:18	confusion 33:16	consuming 189:17
254:21 256:3,14	139:1 172:15	273:15 276:7	connection 179:14	contact 315:13
257:18 260:7,12	173:1 187:18,20	288:14 294:14	conquer 327:13	322:14
261:4,19 262:6,14	210:21 253:15,20	324:1	consequence	contacted 169:4
263:6 264:22	289:2	concerned 113:19	192:14	contacting 6:10
265:6 266:16,22	company 155:5	263:12,14 273:6	consequences 37:2	container 67:11
267:7 268:7 269:3	179:18	276:8	38:5 205:22	68:19,21
269:4,11 270:4,10	compared 224:16	concerning 161:12	consider 13:16	Containers 3:12

	1]
65:3,20 71:4	cooperation 278:6	179:19 184:8	185:22 198:22	143:18 147:19
contains 144:8	cooperative 170:9	218:6 328:13	199:3,14,17,21,22	150:12 163:5
205:2	coordinating	330:11	200:1,5,7,10,14	199:12 204:3
content 71:20 72:2	322:11	course 67:20 77:22	200:20 201:3	236:13 250:21
context 44:22 71:8	COPAC 329:8,13	79:12 129:22	202:6 210:21	267:3 276:19
72:4 126:1,10	copper 15:15 305:6	158:4 161:6 272:9	211:2 213:16	dance 77:1 225:2
134:4 144:5	copy 306:3	277:11 308:3	301:21 302:3	dandy 230:21
190:13 193:17	corn 206:9 303:11	326:22 330:13	314:4 327:9	Daniel 1:12,15
195:12 196:18	Corp 3:8 20:21	cover 227:17	cross 306:5	data 220:17
203:16 221:12,15	75:2	covering 67:8	crossroads 188:7	database 171:14,16
222:5 231:8	Corps 3:2 199:8	covers 17:2,8	191:21 192:8	174:8
242:22 321:21	314:16	cows 144:19 227:16	197:6,9	date 58:1 85:13
continuance	correct 11:4 27:12	cow's 214:12	cultural 158:10	88:14 104:14,17
308:22	27:15,18 32:9	Co-Chairs 180:22	cultures 154:1	111:6 188:2 249:1
continue 37:22	35:1,21 36:19	co-opted 330:16	curing 201:21	252:5,8,10 279:1
122:13 184:14	37:7 38:12 40:15	CO2 236:20 237:3	curious 33:18	279:14 286:13,16
225:11,22 246:7	42:3 43:4,7 47:15	craft 256:3	116:2 168:10	287:13,18
250:7 253:18	47:16,17 48:10,14	CRAIL 2:18	current 32:15	dates 110:17 249:3
254:2 307:15	48:17,22 49:3,11	crash 300:22	39:12,18 41:18	day 5:9 97:12
313:16	50:21 55:20 59:13	create 27:11 28:16	109:17,21 112:22	138:20 147:16
continued 111:20	69:12,21 78:21	94:22 95:7 99:6	113:13 141:13,18	187:19 191:15
248:4 257:14	85:17,21 86:19	194:10 201:17	141:19,21 202:16	197:8 211:1,11
265:20	88:10,11 94:8	202:8 206:17	203:2,2 276:22	245:18
continuing 254:7	103:6 104:4 105:8	227:5 255:22	280:3 286:13	days 28:11,12,22
continuously	107:19,20 108:12	317:9 321:19	316:9,19 321:21	29:3 142:11
249:14	108:14,14,18	created 52:21	currently 39:6,11	DDA 302:18
contribute 248:10	132:9 133:3,8,9,9	197:16 201:19	39:15,16,17,21	de 189:2 212:12
control 15:7 208:18	137:14 138:3,22	254:22 263:3	45:7 62:3 75:5	dead 251:7 286:12
211:13 238:4	149:1 163:14	creates 68:17 228:6	144:22 170:18	286:16
255:14	226:3 231:3	228:7 242:11	213:4 287:8	deadly 310:17,18
controls 211:19	235:15 237:2	creating 24:15	319:21	deal 9:3 67:20
controversial	251:3 252:13,16	204:19 205:3,4	curve 191:3,4	99:14 151:14
161:15 254:21	324:1	206:18	cut 202:9 252:5,8	162:2,5 205:21
255:16	correction 138:1	creation 25:1	252:10 267:17	226:21 254:7
controversy 255:4	160:15	creative 326:1	279:1 292:3,7	280:19 301:2
convened 1:11	corrections 6:1	criteria 44:10 46:5	cutting 202:1	311:5 316:1
conventional 173:7	correctly 21:9	270:5 274:15	281:17	dealing 59:12
174:10 188:22	146:11 223:4	315:20 320:5,12	cut-off 85:13	74:15 82:4,18
193:18	303:21	critical 34:18	cycle 257:6	151:21 210:21
conversation 42:10	corrects 192:14	110:11 193:3	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	322:7
252:4	counsel 261:18	327:18	3:1 4:1	dealt 72:4
conversations	count 64:15	criticisms 66:11		debatable 115:3
52:18 183:14	counted 7:7,8,10	crop 14:6 17:22	D	debate 10:13 12:4
210:3 305:14	counter 197:5	59:8 132:18 170:9	dairy 131:11,11	18:13,21 21:22
convert 194:10	counter-philosop	crops 9:9 10:10	142:10 154:1	40:5 54:19,20
292:1	195:21	14:9 63:2 64:20	Dan 9:11 10:17	55:12 59:2,17,19
cooking 201:20	couple 98:21	65:2,21 71:8	16:16 62:13 133:3	59:20 66:1,4,7
		•	•	•

70.10 10 02.1	doformir a 27.12	229.10.220.6.10	davelered 202.10	270.7 297.7
70:10,18 82:1	deferring 37:13	228:19 229:6,10	developed 203:19	279:7 287:7
84:14 85:1 88:20	51:9 56:4 118:13	230:11 234:17	developing 175:17	Differentiating
90:3 93:9 119:21	define 231:9	241:19 245:3	183:1	261:1
120:2 123:8,11	defined 185:19	259:17 276:15	development 4:18	differentiation
127:18 131:21	209:6 239:6	283:16 293:9	257:17	25:17
132:4 139:1	256:17	294:22 296:8	developments	differently 224:14
148:14 154:18,21	defining 188:16	298:2 299:6	256:18	240:18
160:7,10 163:22	definitely 69:9	306:11 308:10	de-greening 158:17	difficult 94:9,12
167:4,7 176:7	171:20 275:15	309:16	de-mossers 14:15	263:10
185:15 186:20	definition 3:24	denying 329:22	diabasic 158:15	difficulty 130:17
210:17 219:10,13	125:9 139:18	Department 1:1	dialogue 35:3,8,18	difluoroethane
230:10 242:2	184:20 185:7,11	329:15	36:13 43:18	302:18
247:17 252:7	190:22 192:4	depend 242:22	dialoguing 38:15	digging 314:22
253:12 256:12	196:22 197:16,20	dependent 328:18	diatomaceous	dilemma 129:22
258:2 260:9,11	201:14 202:14	depending 80:5	154:1 227:12,15	169:8 323:18
272:16 281:21	204:3,4 208:3	214:13 263:12	Dickson 1:18 13:8	diligence 267:1
282:2 286:2,3	209:7,8 221:9	depends 291:3	20:7,8 23:4,5 64:3	diligently 62:17
290:13 291:13	239:18 305:21	derived 159:3	64:4 73:21,22	dillweed 166:6
296:10 298:8,12	definitions 40:19	described 135:19	75:19 91:3,4	DiMatteo 77:2
debates 173:17	191:5 203:1 208:7	describes 239:9,12	117:11,12 120:21	dinner 76:22 151:9
debating 35:5	dehydrating 202:2	designated 29:10	120:22 124:4,5	dioxide 158:16
December 275:6	dej 252:1	designation 47:20	149:13,14 155:18	206:13 207:22
deceptive 83:19	delete 30:13 31:7	60:12	157:2,3 164:12,13	241:2 306:22
decide 38:3 132:5	33:17 130:6	designations 38:9	176:13,14 215:4	direct 242:10
146:22 230:15	287:11	designed 29:10	245:7,8 259:21,22	directing 172:13
303:19 311:3,10	deletion 148:18	30:8 169:19	283:20,21 293:13	direction 24:11
decided 33:21	deliberation 310:7	316:16	293:14 299:10,11	53:12 323:19
56:21,22 57:4	deliberations 57:1	desirable 322:1	dictum 234:12	324:8,16
130:17 253:13	134:2 207:4	desire 34:22 35:6	dies 216:16	directions 77:2
262:18 267:17	320:16 321:4	36:9 184:15 254:3	diet 81:5,7 263:4	132:19
decides 41:11	demand 254:1	desires 52:16	263:20	directly 199:22
deciding 42:1	demands 263:11	desk 60:13	dietary 166:14	262:2 296:19
	demonstration	despite 236:3	Dietz 8:14	disagree 36:8
137:5,10 213:10	179:10	detail 172:2 214:16	difference 168:12	137:20 191:19
220:14 228:8	DeMURI 1:18 13:4	details 57:15 77:1	194:4,12 200:9,10	267:13
273:20 282:6	18:14 20:3 22:22	determination	208:6 209:8	disagreed 207:10
decisions 274:1,5	58:6 63:21 73:17	45:19,21 200:13	221:19 222:12	disagreement
274:13	90:21 117:7	200:14 208:11	261:7 265:2	128:2,7
decrease 201:18	120:17 123:22	determine 29:15	271:21 272:3	disallow 44:13
dedication 330:20	149:9 152:19	136:13 175:1	differences 128:18	disclosed 164:6
deems 25:10	154:14,19,22	226:20	193:15 222:20	disclosure 44:18
defensible 274:11	155:3 156:12,20	determined 212:11	different 6:9 53:4,9	235:8
defer 33:21 114:10	158:7 160:8 163:4	244:19 257:16	162:1 185:10	discount 223:16
126:7	164:8 165:17	determining 29:11	191:7,9 197:8	discourage 142:22
deferred 55:22	167:5,11,15 168:1	200:18	205:2 222:6 226:7	145:11
56:3,8 57:13	168:16 169:16	develop 183:21	227:18 240:4	discovered 208:17
118:15 305:2	177:15,22 216:11	318:19 320:7	241:17 275:12	discreet 71:16,16

discuss 78:9 87:17	229:3,12 239:11	67:9,13,21 68:10	dormant 15:3,9	effect 131:10 198:3
261:10 266:17	240:1 241:12,13	69:5,15 78:11,13	dose 190:1,2	198:21 212:5
326:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DR 2:20,21	
	242:15,20	79:9,14 98:13	· ·	220:3,4 225:5
discussed 9:17	displacer 229:1	126:3,4 127:2	draft 175:2 252:20	226:1 239:10,12
118:10 131:6	230:8 239:15	131:16 137:11	302:12 313:16	239:22 240:5
203:22 219:17	242:20	181:17 182:3,10	321:11 324:18	253:17 277:19
262:5 269:8 311:1	displacing 235:11	182:13 183:21	325:9	309:4
321:12 322:12	240:3	184:4 186:5,13	dramatically 60:15	effectively 72:4
discussing 113:12	disposal 135:11	188:14 193:5,13	162:1	effects 179:21
discussion 5:10	136:6	193:14,17 194:1	drastic 249:9,22	192:5
24:1,7 26:6,12	disrupt 276:12	194:19,19 195:7	drifting 71:5	efficient 311:2
29:5 30:18 33:13	277:15	195:11,14 196:3,5	drinks 8:17	326:10
35:2,9 46:14,15	disrupting 189:19	196:9 201:6,10	driven 191:8,8	effort 68:11 98:10
46:17,19,21 47:7	287:14	209:18 210:18	193:21	99:5 187:2 190:13
50:22 53:2,11	disruption 276:8	216:18 218:2,17	driving 173:13	191:13
54:15,17 55:10	277:5	218:22 225:6,16	drop 286:12,15,18	efforts 174:19
57:3 61:16 65:8	disruptive 95:7	226:2 228:10	dropped 291:8	175:7 294:12
67:17 68:18 69:10	96:11 255:19	229:2 232:14	dropping 175:12	330:19
70:16 72:6 78:1,6	disservice 191:11	241:18 242:13,16	drove 173:21	egg 209:4
79:13 86:5 90:1	distilling 202:1	242:17 270:13,18	drugs 134:14	eight 7:14,15 48:10
107:22 108:2	distinct 185:10	274:1 296:14	drum 158:19 228:2	49:22
113:19 115:17	distinction 134:6	297:3,5,17 305:18	drying 158:19	either 32:12 52:4
117:16 127:15	238:9,11 239:1,14	305:19 308:17	201:21	95:3 137:11 163:8
134:10 136:20	240:6	313:17 316:15,15	due 266:22 323:11	205:13 212:9
139:21 142:18	distinguishes	documentation	dump 132:16	251:19 310:7
153:13 167:19	273:21	270:18 274:6	143:13 146:4	322:19
168:4,6 175:10	distracted 87:22	277:9	dust 21:5	elaborate 70:20
181:14,22 186:10	divided 129:12	documented		electrolytes 118:9
186:12,17 193:14	division 170:8	266:12	E	elegant 230:14
195:6,10 196:1,2	DL 92:6	documents 6:20,22	E 15:17	elegantly 95:10
203:15 209:20	DL-Methionine	7:20 8:4 57:11	earlier 36:8 131:19	210:12
213:19 214:7	80:22,22 81:1	78:10,10 79:5,7	151:3 169:4	element 172:15
217:5 225:4	92:6,7 281:2,2,3	281:17 309:11	246:10 267:22	elemental 14:22
246:11 270:7,11	285:2,2,3	318:4	287:2	15:10,12 231:21
305:18,19 311:20	docket 3:24 17:10	doing 28:17 32:3	early 145:14	elements 210:22
314:21,22 321:14	125:8,17,21 126:9	34:1 43:9 62:19	152:12 252:20	Eleven 7:15
322:6 323:17,22	308:7	135:2 143:1,4	earth 154:2 227:12	eliminate 201:11
discussions 35:21	document 6:1	145:21 147:19	227:15	Ellor 1:17 9:10,11
42:14 147:14	16:14,18 17:12	174:15,17,18	Earthbound 235:9	10:2,8,14,19 11:1
193:4 322:10,16	23:16,20,22 24:9	180:13 203:5	ease 152:21	11:5,13 12:18
disease 15:6	27:22 28:17 29:12	211:12 227:8	easily 71:7 267:16	14:1 16:8,11,15
disinfectants 118:5	30:6 31:3 32:20	228:1 267:19	easy 50:5 158:5	16:19,22 17:13,17
disinfectives 14:13	43:5 51:3 55:3,9	279:18 284:17	327:2	18:5,11,18 19:17
dispensing 44:19	56:12 58:21 60:2	290:2,6 297:3	eat 156:7 264:7	20:18 21:12,20
displacement	60:12,21 61:6,9	304:14 307:13	echo 330:17	22:13 23:14 33:15
218:11 219:16	63:2 65:6,18	309:5 322:22	ecological 189:17	34:8 36:22 37:8
220:4,9 223:19	66:11,12,14,17	325:3 326:11	economics 275:9	37:15,18,21 56:19
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3=2.2 2 20.11		3=5,=5,=1.00.17
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	1

(1.2 (2.11 (4.21	J 104-22	22.22 67.2 17		06.20.201.9.0
61:2 63:11 64:21	ends 184:22	33:22 67:3,17	evidence 289:2	96:20 201:8,9
65:12 66:2,20,22	endured 294:13	137:14 203:17	evolutionary 95:22	expert 140:18
68:16 69:16,18	enforced 141:20	205:4 213:15	evolved 82:4	201:3
72:12 73:8 75:1	enforcement 161:6	253:18	exact 58:1 80:20	expertise 193:6
88:6 90:9 93:1	161:7 173:4 174:5	establish 109:1	88:1 151:22	237:19
116:21 119:10	enforcer 173:5	321:19	233:17 247:14	expiration 104:14
120:7 124:22	engaged 187:16	established 27:14	288:4	104:16 109:1
130:16 150:6	Engelbert 77:17	28:14,16,22 29:22	exactly 37:2 79:6	246:20 249:1,3
157:17 165:5	enhance 317:19	32:11 33:1 52:12	86:19 109:7	279:14
177:6 199:19	enlarge 316:14	136:17 141:7	110:19 113:20	expire 112:13
200:12 211:17,22	ensued 263:15	189:20 266:4	139:7 223:1	expired 111:8,13
212:3 216:1	ensure 190:15	establishment	examine 321:21	111:13,14
244:11 256:13	255:6	34:19	example 139:15	expires 113:3,16
259:9 268:11,14	ensured 255:11	esters 15:5 119:4	197:18 198:1	247:6
268:17 280:15	ensures 254:12	ethically 143:3	201:2 207:16	explain 189:12
281:13 283:6	enter 7:22	ethylene 158:16	208:15 233:2	273:10 295:6
285:22 289:22	entertain 54:18	302:11,18	261:6	308:19
292:21 298:19	entire 194:15	Europe 263:22	examples 173:19	explained 67:4
302:1 304:7,15	216:18 261:7	evaluate 44:21 45:4	exception 161:3	131:4 294:20
329:21	285:18 292:5,6,13	134:3 174:19	exceptions 174:9	explanation 129:16
email 6:7	entirely 241:16	232:16 320:4	excipients 119:7	exploit 111:3
embarrassed	entities 319:8,15	evaluated 132:20	126:4	explore 87:8
207:20	envision 211:1,11	evaluating 319:5	excising 144:18	184:15 263:6
embrace 189:11	315:11	320:8,9,9	excited 315:9	explored 228:15
embraced 188:5	enzyme 211:6	evaluation 318:15	exclude 135:18,18	exposure 189:1,16
191:12	EPA 24:16 25:2	319:2,4,10,18,20	excluded 43:6	189:21 190:4,12
emergency 140:7	28:3 30:13,13	320:12	excuse 105:17	exposures 190:7
emotionally 329:22	32:17 33:9 35:10	evaluators 320:10	126:18	express 34:22
emphasize 192:11	35:14 36:4 38:9	evening 5:21 24:6	Executive 324:14	expressed 67:1
enables 249:11	40:13 41:5,10	76:20	326:17	148:22 152:12
enclosure 67:12	42:12 43:1 48:8	events 323:11	exemption 96:5	263:2
Enclosures 3:12	51:9 54:3 56:5,6	eventually 37:6	exemptions 96:9	expressing 221:19
65:4,20 71:4	57:15 61:5 191:5	56:11	exercise 194:17	expressly 191:6
encompasses 27:1	EPA's 40:10,19	evergreen 95:2	exist 56:13 196:17	extend 57:20
encourage 15:20	47:13	everybody 8:16	196:18	279:14
86:10 145:9	equal 226:15	36:11 59:13 76:2	existing 61:20 71:8	extended 8:22
170:21 254:18	equation 220:10	151:20 190:19	130:12 260:10	178:17
263:17	equivalency 67:5	216:16 247:20	309:2	extensive 267:15
encouraged 139:4	264:6	300:11 328:9	exists 48:2 197:3	external 119:1
encouraging	especially 82:3	329:8,11,11	expanding 61:20	extra 307:21 313:5
145:13	94:20 129:8	everybody's 187:3	expect 170:10,14	extract 212:6
endeavor 59:16	161:12 273:17	294:9,14	303:3	extracted 161:19
ended 99:9 224:7	essence 83:4	everyday 197:7,9	expectation 110:16	166:10 211:5,20
274:3	essential 7:9 188:3	243:20	expected 301:17	212:21
endless 194:21	189:10,13 211:19	everyone's 294:11	expedite 54:9	extracting 201:22
endocrine 189:19	248:4	314:6	expediting 83:21	extraction 210:22
endorsing 248:2	essentially 7:6	everything's 314:8	experience 9:2 82:7	210:22 212:19
		_		
	•	•	•	•

4 4 15 10	100 14 202 11	44745614466	6 . 22016104	C . 1 174 22
extracts 15:12	198:14 202:11	44:7 45:6,14 46:6	ferric 3:2 9:16 10:4	finished 74:22
211:18	237:12 244:4	55:4 63:9 73:6	10:11 303:1	224:4,8 235:10
extraordinary 61:7	308:14 310:10	75:18 87:21 88:18	fertilizer 208:18,21	314:21
187:1 330:3	farmer 59:9 128:15	90:7 93:12 94:9	209:12 319:12	finishes 109:19
extreme 278:6	farmers 135:8	97:21 98:8 100:4	fevers 135:5	finishing 110:1
300:22	142:20 145:9	100:7,15,21 101:1	fiber 307:9,10	firm 196:18 262:19
extremely 59:15	146:2,10 194:9	101:9,19 102:1,9	field 198:22 199:22	firm's 174:19
253:21,21 301:6	farms 14:18	102:12,15,17	200:11 238:18	first 9:9,12 19:2,5
eye 176:5	farther 70:14 71:5	103:18,22 104:4,9	fields 199:18	27:14 37:4 55:10
	138:21	105:17,21 106:22	Fifteen 103:15	76:15 78:4,18
$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ 1:22	fashion 19:4 25:4	107:6,20 108:4,12	figure 230:22 258:5	79:9,14 80:6,8
fabulous 217:5	54:10 322:12	108:15 109:14	326:9	88:2,12 93:13
facilitate 248:12	328:4	110:8 111:4,13,16	figured 68:7	102:21 106:9,11
255:21	faster 60:8 327:22	114:12 115:21	figuring 315:1	106:14,17 115:13
facilitating 182:22	fate 189:17	116:19 121:12	fill 233:10 274:16	153:2,15 168:1,5
S	Fathers 180:4	124:20 127:19,21	filter 154:4	168:10 192:12
facility 223:15 224:3,12,16	fats 158:20 161:18	128:5,21 133:2,20	filtering 154:2	199:14 203:13
fact 93:21 94:15	favor 115:6	135:16,22 136:7	227:7	212:17 213:4
98:4 146:1 168:8	favorable 9:19	136:10,14,18	final 5:3,9,9,17	222:18 251:13
179:17 197:7	FDA 141:8 191:5	137:2 143:18	6:20,22 50:14	252:3 275:3 279:1
	218:7,9,13,14,16	144:4,7,13 145:6	51:17 147:9,21	280:19 286:11
204:13,18 207:3	220:2,17 239:14	150:4,20 156:7	178:5 211:9	287:2,17 288:3,10
220:2 236:3,4 239:18	feathering 272:8	157:15 165:3	220:20 233:10	290:8 296:7
fail 83:8 84:9	February 275:7	167:8,12,17	270:13,18 275:5	301:20,21 314:3
	fed 143:11	170:19 172:11	277:8 331:13	315:12 316:16
fails 83:5,7 91:20 251:14 260:5	federal 98:6 266:13	177:4 186:21	finally 61:14	323:13 327:1
fair 128:3 187:2	275:2 314:14	203:12 206:6,16	184:18 298:10	fish 15:16 166:7
220:12	feed 92:11 119:5	207:6,9 210:19	315:16 324:17	fit 178:10
fairly 179:18 246:6	136:21,22 213:17	211:21 212:2,4,18	finance 156:10	fits 221:9
249:21 306:21	227:16 267:4	213:1,9,12,20	financial 171:21	five 5:6 31:14,17
307:7 311:17	309:1	214:17 215:21	173:7	38:1 60:18 100:22
329:2	feedback 131:4	225:2 230:19	find 38:15 82:8	103:5 117:15
fairness 6:12 228:5	183:7 300:19	231:14,20 232:4	95:21 96:18,19,20	180:4 249:11
228:6,8 230:16	322:17	232:21 233:1,11	96:22 97:18	286:10 307:18
fall 16:3 33:5 41:14	feeding 132:3	233:20 234:1,7,9	150:19 151:1	325:16,21
56:7 57:2,14	feel 72:3,18 135:16	244:9 246:17	155:9 191:15	fix 324:4
99:17 118:13,13	137:19 169:7	247:1,4,11,18	194:12 209:12	FLAMM 1:19 12:6
276:5 277:14	180:14 205:19	254:10 259:7	218:7 234:6 253:3	20:10 23:7 64:6
302:7,8 303:4	248:4 256:13	280:9 283:4	278:4	74:2 84:12 91:7
305:1 306:20	261:5 272:19	292:19 298:17	finding 193:22	107:14 113:6
307:15 313:12	273:17	felt 36:3 98:17 99:5	253:7 271:4	116:8 121:2
322:21 327:14	feeling 192:20	138:20 221:1	fine 26:8 34:2 87:3	124:10 129:6
falls 161:7 214:9,10	228:20 248:7	249:8 252:9	87:4 88:18 115:14	137:4 149:16
214:13	feels 321:20	261:10,16,19	115:21 152:19	157:5 164:15
fantastic 208:17	Feldman 1:19	fence 102:21	199:11 280:16	176:16 197:13
far 178:21 185:14	12:16 19:15 22:11	fences 99:21	285:12 311:10	198:17,21 200:2,8
141 1/0.21 103.14	38:17,20 39:7	fermenting 202:1	finish 77:7 139:7	215:6 243:9 260:2
			l	l

204 1 202 1 6	155 14 10 150 10	51.00.50.0		10 10 10 1
284:1 293:16	155:14,19 158:19	71:22 72:3,9	79:6 275:14	further 10:13 12:4
294:18 299:13	160:21 161:4	73:14,15 75:19	281:17	18:10,13,20 21:19
300:4,12 321:10	194:11 205:1	90:18,19 117:5	frozen 38:8,21,21	21:22 54:19 55:11
flavor 237:1	234:13 281:7	120:14,15 123:19	39:2,13 40:1	57:12 59:1,17
flesh 276:18	304:8,11 329:15	123:20 149:6,7	43:11 44:10 47:22	66:1,4,7 68:9
fleshed 273:8	footing 196:19	156:2,18 165:11	48:3 159:11 166:9	69:22 70:9,16,18
flexibility 184:5	force 3:15 24:20	177:13 196:11	166:12 167:11,12	77:11 84:14,22
329:4	25:10,15,18 26:3	199:11 207:12	fruit 14:2 158:17	88:19 89:14,21
flexible 182:6	26:9,10,13 27:4	216:8,9 221:6,16	fruits 159:9 161:2	90:2 93:9 98:11
flood 97:9	28:14 29:14 32:11	221:22 223:7,10	frustrating 171:3	108:2 120:2 123:8
floor 270:2	33:7 34:20 37:5	235:7,15,18 236:2	full 41:22 44:18	123:11 127:15,18
flour 166:12	41:11 43:19 44:15	244:18,19 245:1	99:19 129:18	131:18 143:1,9
flunixin 118:9	51:4 52:22 54:12	250:4 259:15	131:20 132:19	148:14 154:18
134:19 135:3,21	57:16 59:5 79:10	283:13,14 293:6,7	147:20 235:7	156:15 160:7,10
135:22 140:2	86:8 249:5 250:9	299:4 317:16	252:21 266:1	163:21 167:4,6,18
fluoaluminate 21:5	260:18 262:8	found 82:7,10 87:8	269:5 285:21	175:10 176:7
flush 221:15 224:6	289:9	96:22 190:22	308:7	186:17,19 196:8
235:9	forcing 257:10	218:13 302:16	fully 147:12 150:22	210:16 219:10
flushed 35:17 70:7	foreign 319:15	303:12 314:19	170:14 184:9	230:10 235:2
flushing 239:20	forget 228:19 274:3	foundation 83:19	285:17 303:3	242:2 256:12,17
fly 287:11	317:13	four 93:19 99:15	308:16 323:11	258:1 260:11
focus 263:2	forgive 265:22	103:4 151:18	331:5	272:15 281:21
focused 50:15	forgot 16:6 218:10	284:7 307:7	Fulrider 1:21	282:2 286:2,3
257:3	form 82:15 104:3	319:21	75:18	290:12 291:12
folded 180:2	229:5 232:12	Fourteen 7:11	Fulwider 4:10	296:7,10 298:7,12
folks 62:15 89:20	271:10	165:15	12:12 19:11 22:7	300:3 316:10
101:22 114:1	formal 273:11	frame 26:18,20	63:5 74:8 91:13	322:17
135:12 180:12	330:7	28:14,17 29:1	116:15 121:8	fusoremide 305:7
192:21 267:5	formally 25:21	100:17,19 279:6	124:16 128:4	future 38:16 145:5
291:9	42:12 310:2,4	289:15 324:21	134:16 135:21	171:7 217:6
follow 83:7 188:12	format 32:21 68:17	FRANCES 2:14	139:19 140:1,22	224:22 236:4
244:4 274:2	271:6	16:6 42:8,20 43:1	142:19 149:22	252:11 254:11
276:10 321:13	former 38:9	43:5,8,13 137:13	150:8 157:11	256:1 257:14
324:19 325:6,8	formulate 30:16	138:7,11,14 270:3	164:21 172:4,8,17	273:19 274:13
followed 308:21	forth 5:11 67:11	270:21 284:11,15	176:22 179:12	
following 14:11	228:5 301:17	free 261:5	215:17 243:15	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}_{1,12,15}}$
24:21 81:5 82:12	308:12	frees 249:12	258:19 259:2	G 1:12,15
92:10,16 103:9	fortunately 28:19	freezing 202:2	262:15 272:6	galangal 166:9
139:16 193:17	forward 41:8 43:15	fresher 228:1,3	282:22 292:15	gaps 274:17
265:10,13 281:6	50:16 185:3 227:9	friendly 100:3	299:19	gas 219:8 220:8
285:5 308:20	256:21 257:4,19	105:11 106:1	function 228:12	229:3 231:19
follows 92:6 281:2	275:20 279:14	107:3 114:13	functional 239:10	232:18 239:5
285:1	302:19,21 303:14	229:7,15 230:3	239:12,22	243:21
follow-up 140:17	306:3 318:2 324:2	265:1,6 266:18	functions 173:14	gases 4:15 218:4
143:6,15,16	Foster 1:20 13:2	267:11 269:12	fungal 208:18	219:1 226:11
261:14	20:1 22:20 63:18	frogs 190:6	furiously 306:7	231:4 237:6
food 154:2,5	63:19 70:11,22	front 9:18 78:14	furosemide 118:11	gates 97:9

	I	I		I
gee 218:10	80:14 81:14,18,20	149:2,5,8,10,12	244:12,14,17,21	325:11 326:4,15
gelatin 166:10	84:6,22 86:21	149:15,17,19,21	245:2,4,6,9 246:3	328:5 329:18
generally 58:2	87:18 88:3,17,19	150:1,3,5,7,9,13	246:21 247:3,7,12	330:10 331:12
59:12 181:3	89:2,7,11,14 90:2	151:8,14 154:13	248:17 250:3,19	332:1,4
generate 248:11	90:8,10,12,17,20	154:20 155:1,8,16	251:4,10,13,18,22	give 36:3 53:12
gesture 8:20	90:22 91:2,5,8,10	155:22 156:5,8,14	252:18 256:7,11	96:2 98:21 146:16
getting 61:8 75:12	91:12,14,16 93:2	156:19,21 157:1,4	258:1,14,16,18,22	210:9,9 226:22
76:2 79:3 98:5	93:11 97:15 98:3	157:6,8,10,12,14	259:3,6,8,10,12	233:2 263:5
105:9 174:3	98:9,16,20 100:12	157:16,18,20	259:14,16,18,20	300:19 327:17
216:17 236:1	100:18 101:6,15	158:1 160:1,9	260:1,3,9 262:13	given 94:3 110:22
238:5 244:4	101:20 102:2,7,11	161:9 162:4,9,19	262:17 264:9,12	289:14
304:13 316:18	102:16,19 103:4,7	163:2,10,21 164:3	264:15,19 265:17	gives 254:11
319:7 328:1	103:16,21 104:1,6	164:9,11,14,16,18	265:21 267:12	giving 28:14 32:4
Giacomini 1:12,15	104:10,13 105:2	164:20,22 165:2,4	268:6,13,16,22	99:18 290:7
5:3 8:21 9:22	105:10,20 106:2	165:6,8,10,12	269:10,15,17,22	glad 93:16
10:7,16 11:3,7,12	106:12,18,20	166:21 167:3,6,18	270:15 271:5,9,12	glass 236:19
11:19 12:3,7,9,11	107:2,7,10,16,21	172:3,10 175:9	271:17 272:4,15	glasses 8:3 331:17
12:13,15,17,19,21	108:5,9,13,20	176:6,12,15,17,19	274:19 275:21	Glucosamine
13:1,3,5,7,9 16:9	109:16 112:4,8,15	176:21 177:1,3,5	277:20 279:3,12	306:16
16:13,17,20 17:11	113:7,9 114:14,20	177:7,9,12,14,16	279:16 280:1,7,11	glucose 305:5
17:14 18:6,12,20	115:8,11,16 116:1	178:1,15 179:16	280:13,17 281:11	glycerides 158:18
19:8,10,12,14,16	116:5,10,12,14,16	180:6,20 186:11	281:14 282:1,5,14	glycerin 158:19
19:18,20,22 20:2	116:18,20,22	186:19 193:10	283:1,3,5,7,9,12	161:16,22 305:5
20:4,6,9 21:10,14	117:2,4,6,8,10,13	195:1,15,19 196:7	283:15,17,19,22	glycol 302:11,20
21:21 22:4,6,8,10	119:11 120:1,8,10	197:12 199:7,13	284:2,4,13,16	go 5:8 9:20 14:7
22:12,14,16,19,21	120:13,16,18,20	200:22 201:4	285:9,13,20 286:1	19:2 25:6 26:19
23:1,3,6,8,10 27:3	121:1,3,5,7,9,11	202:20 203:10	286:5,11 288:2	37:3 51:3 53:15
31:13 34:14 36:16	121:13,16,20	207:11 209:14,22	289:7,20 290:12	57:11 60:7 66:15
38:19 39:4,8,14	122:18 123:2,10	210:16 213:13,21	291:5,12,22 292:4	75:13,21 87:19
39:19,22 40:3	123:15,18,21	214:21 215:5,8,10	292:9,11,16,18,20	88:5,16 94:22
41:16 42:7 44:2,5	124:1,3,6,9,11,13	215:13,16,18,20	292:22 293:2,5,8	100:13 101:1
46:11,18,22 47:5	124:15,17,19,21	215:22 216:2,4,7	293:10,12,15,17	108:10 115:12
54:14 55:7,14	125:1,12,18	216:10,12,14	293:19,21 294:7	118:2,4 130:10
56:18 57:19,22	126:11 127:7,10	217:1,12,21 219:5	294:10 296:2,9,16	132:15 135:19
58:17 59:1,22	127:17,20 128:10	219:12 220:18	296:21 297:8,12	136:12 139:4
61:1,13 62:21	128:14,17 129:5	221:5,18 222:2,3	297:19,22 298:7	143:9 153:13
63:6,8,10,12,14	130:2 131:17	222:9 224:20	298:14,16,18,20	162:17 163:6,7
63:17,20,22 64:2	132:10 133:8,12	225:3,10,21 226:5	298:22 299:3,5,7	169:21 170:22
64:5,7,9,11 65:10	133:16 134:15	227:11 228:18	299:9,12,14,16,18	172:6 178:8
65:17 66:3 69:4	135:15 137:3,7,18	229:4,8,14,20	299:20 300:2,6,13	180:13 181:12
70:9,17 71:18	138:4,10,13,16	230:2,7,12,18	301:10 304:5,10	184:11,20 185:3
72:11,21 73:5,7,9	139:6,10 140:15	232:5,22 234:16	304:17 306:2,9	195:9 214:2,4
73:13,16,18,20	141:15,17 142:2	235:6,16,20 236:7	308:8,11 309:20	217:17,19 234:11
74:1,3,5,7,9 75:4	143:14 144:2,6,11	238:1,3 241:11,20	310:3,9,15 311:6	238:5 253:1
75:22 76:6,12	144:17 145:7,17	242:5,19 243:2,6	311:11 312:1,6,11	254:15 257:20
78:15,18 79:1,4	146:13 147:1,7	243:8,10,12,14,16	312:19,22 316:7	266:12 276:15
79:18,22 80:5,12	148:1,4,7,10,12	243:22 244:3,8,10	317:15,22 321:8	277:21 301:4
				<u> </u>

202 4 200 10	177 7 100 2 107 2	67.10.160.0	100 7 100 21	77 10 70 11 05 12
302:4 309:19	175:7 189:3 197:2	grown 67:12 168:9	100:5 108:21	77:18 79:11 85:13
goal 51:5 95:6,7	250:5,18 262:4	guar 166:11	109:4 114:19	94:3 250:6 253:21
249:18 261:21	286:21 289:17	guarantee 35:7	116:13 121:6	294:11 327:3
313:14 328:4	gotcha 48:1 50:1	97:7,8 249:15	122:17 124:14	330:19
goals 24:12 52:2	251:17	255:15 256:1	127:9 149:20	harm 189:2
71:9	gotten 193:7 315:4	guarantees 255:7,9	157:9 164:19	harmful 205:10
God 268:15	governed 189:8	guess 31:1 45:3	176:20 215:15	hat 188:11 196:16
goes 62:19 88:9,15	government 180:7	52:13 97:17 101:9	243:13 258:15,17	hate 91:19
94:5 170:1 214:11	grace 29:11,19	128:7 129:7 134:5	282:4 293:20	hats 238:12
235:2 329:14	gracious 8:20	143:22 167:12	299:17	Hays 1:12
going 14:4,7 23:16	grades 154:3,4	168:3,19 169:7	hallways 261:12	heading 24:10,11
31:19 33:17 35:8	grain 204:21	170:19 200:3,9,18	hamstrung 327:21	heads 137:8
37:3,22 38:14	227:13	205:18 222:11	hand 224:22	health 3:24 4:2
41:4 42:10 44:15	granted 171:17	226:8 231:20	268:15 303:9	125:9 126:21
46:2 50:16 51:3,5	grape 206:14	296:22	Handbook 318:8	142:3 189:18
56:11 57:17 62:5	grapes 206:12	guidance 36:3	handle 24:2 34:5,6	305:21
69:8 77:7 86:3	grateful 193:5	175:3 182:3,6	35:16,18 128:3	hear 37:11 42:9,14
87:11 89:5 94:15	217:5	183:2,4,21 184:4	171:18 309:7	78:1 93:16 260:20
99:1 113:22 124:7	gray 30:4	226:21 232:19	handled 7:6 51:1	heard 85:9,12
125:14 132:13	great 61:9 67:20	297:17 308:4	handling 4:4,11	89:19 94:17 129:2
133:21 146:5,14	173:5 174:15,17	311:21 313:16	59:11 74:20 96:6	167:9 190:5,6
146:17 147:14	238:4 254:3	316:1,11,12,18	152:9,11 153:7	198:13 330:3,4
151:2 162:2 168:3	256:19 263:1	317:5,9 318:4	160:4 180:16	hearing 90:13
175:7 178:5,22	311:16 312:9	guide 36:11	186:1,14 202:7	100:2
179:9 181:12	greater 99:13	guided 202:17	213:14 215:2	heart 330:8
187:19 193:3	272:8,10	guidelines 4:15	217:11 238:21	heat 189:14
194:18 205:18	greatly 328:17,18	188:4 218:3,22	306:10 309:4	heating 201:21
207:4 217:17	green 28:9 30:5	305:16	312:12,13,16	204:2
226:1,13 231:9	greenhouse 67:12	gum 159:15	314:5,16 327:9	Heidrick 1:11 8:11
234:18 238:16	grind 37:21	gums 166:10	hands 47:21 51:3	8:17
240:2 251:19	grinding 201:22	guy 168:17 300:12	133:6,10	HEINZE 1:22 13:6
253:18 266:15	ground 87:8,11	guys 76:3 210:2	handy 230:21	20:5 23:2 46:13
271:2,3 273:5,14	132:16,18 255:22	311:3,10	hang 238:11	46:21 47:3,8,12
274:8 275:4,16	group 25:18,20	Gwen 8:15 237:21	hanging 14:2 47:4	47:18 48:1,5,8,12
277:17 279:17	26:12 27:11 52:12	238:1 241:14	happen 9:5 13:18	48:15,18 49:1,4,7
286:8,10 287:11	52:21 59:4,6	Gwendolyn 238:2	35:7 36:10 57:17	49:12,15 50:1,4,8
288:21,22 289:4	131:22 152:20		315:1	50:11,13,18 51:7
289:12 290:16	153:2,15 158:7	H	happened 39:3	51:11,16,21 52:6
301:4,21 308:8	162:17 165:17	Hail 180:5	happening 129:14	52:13,16 53:1,6
310:6 316:14,17	250:14	hair 238:9	187:10 205:21	59:18 60:1,5,16
317:13 323:1	groupings 152:17	half 267:17,21	happens 56:14	60:19,22 64:1
324:2 326:7	groups 26:19 67:14	268:18 280:19	212:20 306:6	70:19 71:19 72:1
327:20 328:17	153:2 290:18	284:10 288:10,12	happier 312:15	72:7,10 73:19
330:4,6,22 331:3	291:7,10	HALL 1:21 12:10	happy 112:1	76:5 85:2,18,22
good 34:15 56:1	group/task 26:9	19:9 22:5 44:4	181:14 208:20	86:9,14,16,20
58:11 88:8 93:15	growing 68:20,20	58:18 64:10 74:6	225:11,19 313:4	88:22 89:4,8,13
171:18 172:12,18	171:5 272:7	81:19 91:11 100:1	hard 5:20 28:18	91:1 106:16 108:6
	ı		ı	ı

108:10 113:8,18	190:6	hospitable 8:16	318:8	incentives 94:22
114:17 117:9	hesitate 68:20 69:2	hotel 76:18	imagining 252:12	95:8
120:19 124:2	hexana 212:22	hour 271:4 313:5	252:15	inclined 222:13
140:16 141:1,3,11	hexana 212.22 hexane 213:6	hours 235:4	immediate 183:20	226:8
141:16 142:1	Hey 70:19	hour's 84:17	impact 126:6	include 24:19 59:8
145:8,19 146:3,7	high 5:6	house 169:22	156:10 189:22	59:8 231:4 262:8
146:12 149:11	highlight 31:12	housecleaning 8:7	195:2 199:16,20	39.8 231.4 202.8 305:2,14 308:9
155:13 156:22	34:10 184:7,12	331:16	199:21 200:13,21	309:13 316:10
162:22 163:11,17	highlighted 28:8	housekeeping	213:16 214:8	included 25:12
163:20 164:10	34:3	77:11	289:16 309:3,6	40:12 41:2 56:10
176:11 180:18	highly 274:12	houses 258:20	impacts 190:7	61:21 62:1 147:10
181:7 186:9,18	historic 85:5	huge 144:9	implement 175:2	147:17
191:17 197:17	historical 107:4	hugely 126:12	implementation	includes 119:16
198:18 199:2,9	297:2	humane 134:22	24:19 28:11 71:1	136:11 239:19
200:3 201:1	historically 95:16	humanity 16:21	313:21	308:19
200:3 201:1	192:2,9	humic 15:13	implemented 183:3	including 14:13
207:3,7,10 209:21	history 1:12 194:15	hybrid 305:13	183:13 319:12	15:1 167:9 231:16
210:1 211:15	266:1	hydrated 15:7	322:14	305:13 321:5
210.1 211.13	hit 170:14	119:3	implication 59:9	inclusion 32:14
213:11 214:14,18	hoc 321:19 325:5	hydrogen 14:14	implications 196:9	110:16 308:22
216:13 217:4	hog 168:22 169:1	15:7 158:20	importance 83:11	inclusive 25:22
232:2 245:5 252:1	169:10 170:4	hydrolysis 158:20	important 13:14	59:7,15 134:12
252:17 259:19	171:21 175:14	161:18	16:12 35:22 36:3	inconsistency
278:21 279:4	hogs 171:5	hydroponics 67:7	62:15 93:15	224:19 228:7
283:18 291:19	Hold 198:18	68:14 70:12 71:2	113:19 135:7	inconsistent 198:15
292:3,7,10 293:11	holds 220:20	71:6,15 72:15,17	188:4 220:19,21	inconvenience 9:4
296:22 297:11,14	hole 188:19,20,21	264:4	249:20 250:11	increased 183:12
297:16,21 299:8	238:16	hydroxide 118:18	257:11 263:21	incredible 93:14
312:14,21 313:2	holes 218:14	159:8,19 160:17	320:1 324:11,18	incredibly 93:14
326:7 331:3	home 74:16	163:13	325:7 326:19	independent 197:3
helium 231:4	homes 69:14	hydroxy 81:1,1	327:7,12	indicated 267:3
hell 310:13	Honest 268:15	92:7,8 281:2,3	importantly 322:4	individual 32:5
help 74:20 84:19	hope 9:5 16:2 89:5	285:2,3	imports 198:9	41:15 152:17
114:21 129:21	93:22 94:11 187:9	203.2,3	imports 198.9 impression 324:5	individually 32:1
232:2 248:12,14	189:5 208:2 215:6	I	impressive 5:18	159:11
252.2 246.12,14	232:15 275:17	idea 24:22 89:19	impressive 3.16	individuals 49:6
276:12	294:6	99:10 255:18	316:19	Indole-3butyric
helped 9:1	hopefully 77:8,22	275:4	improved 70:15	303:6
helpful 134:1	96:1 171:6 173:18	identify 183:18,19	improvement	indulgence 237:16
241:10 262:11	246:7 248:9	189:9	174:21 175:8	282:11 294:9
270:12 309:17	313:12	identifying 142:16	improvements	industries 175:17
312:10	hoping 26:21 30:17	identity 185:8,10	315:21	industry 6:2 25:4
helping 8:12 210:3	96:15 263:4 313:9	213:3	inadequate 142:5	35:10 89:1 95:18
hen 258:20	hops 307:2	ignore 48:19 49:8	inadvertently	95:21 97:5 98:11
Herbicide 14:17	horizon 94:18	74:13,14 204:18	44:13	99:11,13 111:21
herbicides 14:16	horticultural 15:2	III 184:10	incentive 95:2	126:7 128:2,8,9
hermaphroditic	15:8	imagine 298:9	256:20 257:3	128:13,15,18
nei mapin outile	13.0		250.20 251.5	120.13,13,10
				<u> </u>

			I	I
138:17 168:9	206:3,17 207:2	66:18 70:12 71:1	152:5 231:6	52:11 62:1,10
170:10,17,22	239:4,7	72:14 99:8 147:7	288:18 311:20	120:4 140:4
173:20 183:2,4	ingredients 39:15	183:15 220:22	iodine 118:17	144:21 153:3,5,5
184:3 193:18	41:5 44:12,21	232:14 242:13,17	119:2	153:7,9,11 154:8
196:19 197:10	153:18 158:11	252:22 276:13	IQF 159:11 160:19	154:11,15 155:5,6
203:3 210:7,11	165:19 166:3,7,8	intention 30:12	161:3	155:15,20 156:4
253:1 255:1,20	203:18 205:2	137:13 198:12	iron 15:15	158:8 159:21
261:11,16 263:12	208:4	203:4 317:12	irrelevant 190:11	160:3 164:5
267:2 273:10	inhumane 143:2	interest 20:13	irrigation 14:14	165:18 166:19,22
276:9 277:5,11,15	initially 225:12	64:14,18 66:6,7	isolation 196:17	171:15,17 176:4
287:15 288:5,10	325:18	73:1 90:13 121:14	issue 35:18 38:16	249:13 306:16
290:2 291:9	initials 8:1	121:18 124:7	38:20 44:17 67:9	307:1,18,19,19
300:22 301:5	initiate 253:1	155:2 170:16	72:20 96:8 129:11	315:18 321:14
inert 4:15 32:13	initiation 59:4	173:8 235:21	131:15 132:2	322:6
41:5 45:16 218:3	initiative 320:1	243:17,19,20	133:22 134:2,22	it'll 29:13 54:7
219:1,7 220:8	initiatives 318:20	244:20 248:11	137:6 142:6	IV 181:19
231:4,9,16,18	inks 17:4	250:13 272:18	151:15 188:15	
232:1 237:5	input 6:4 68:12	298:10	203:13 227:2	J
inerts 3:9 23:15	131:1 200:6 312:9	interested 331:14	240:9 241:13,17	jar 222:7
24:3,9 32:18	319:14	interests 171:21	247:21 251:8	Jay 1:19 12:15
33:10 35:13,15	inputs 199:20,21	interface 234:22	253:7,9 254:12,13	19:14 22:10 38:19
37:14 38:22 40:9	200:1,7,10 208:5	interim 31:6 50:20	263:7 272:12	41:13 44:6 55:9
40:11,20 44:20,22	294:12	intermediate 220:8	273:15 309:5	61:5,12 63:8 73:5
47:14 48:2 50:16	ins 196:13	internally 273:14	311:1,5,7,21	74:20 75:18 88:3
56:5,6,21 57:12	insecticidal 15:4	internet 300:12,14	312:4 324:11	88:17 90:6 93:11
58:22 63:3	insecticides 14:21	interpretation 96:4	issues 13:20 44:19	100:1 106:3
infers 222:15	insignificant	220:21 225:14	59:12 74:16 82:5	115:20 116:18
influence 225:18	188:17 190:17	Interpretive 225:2	93:20 98:10 181:2	121:11 124:19
226:1 309:3	193:2 212:12	intestine 169:10	189:18,18 191:4	127:20 131:17,20
influencing 264:4	inspections 318:13	170:4	193:12 209:18	133:19 135:15
information 38:18	318:14 320:20	intestines 166:6	210:17 309:15	136:4 143:17
45:20 46:3,9	instance 235:2	168:21,22 169:1	311:14 324:20	150:3,19 152:3
168:14 175:21	319:6	170:18	item 9:12 14:1	157:14 165:2
178:4 179:2 218:7	institutionalize	introduce 290:10	23:14 32:1 33:16	167:7 172:10
218:12,15,20	255:18	introduced 225:12	33:17,21 39:16	173:13 177:3
220:17 232:13	institutions 191:6	introducing 228:14	50:22 56:5,8	186:20 192:19
236:17 238:8	insufficient 303:13	228:17	62:11 78:4 91:21	193:16 195:11
274:16 288:20	intact 60:13	invermectin 118:19	117:19,22 119:17	203:11 210:1
289:11,18 303:5	integrity 317:18	inverted 190:3	122:6 125:5,7	213:13 215:20
308:6	320:2	invested 256:20	126:14,21 155:4	230:18 232:22
informed 181:8	intelligible 46:4	invite 329:8	158:6 165:16	234:4 244:8 246:3
301:8	intend 150:22	invited 25:22 77:6	198:6 279:11	246:14 247:16
infused 237:6	intended 138:12,21	329:12	305:20 317:1	254:9 259:4,6
infusing 237:14	139:8 147:13	invites 47:10	321:13,16 322:13	261:14 283:3
ingredient 32:13	192:14 197:15	involved 6:13	323:2,4	284:14 292:18
198:5 204:19	220:14	16:21 26:4 59:14	items 18:16 21:15	298:16
205:4,7,9,16	intent 54:6 66:12	129:14 151:19	32:5 34:21 41:15	Jay's 82:7 131:19

			I	I
139:17 195:16,20	73:11,20 75:19	317:15	186:22 188:13	319:13,17 320:11
Jeff 10:8,15,16	86:21 90:15 91:2	John's 224:22	195:10 197:13	kinds 190:11
11:16 12:13 19:12	115:13,13 117:2	243:17	210:20 216:12	knew 69:7 75:11
22:8 23:17 55:8	117:10 120:10,20	join 311:1	231:3 245:4	knock 131:10
58:18 61:4 63:6	123:15 124:3	joint 4:11 69:5,10	251:22 259:18	238:18
73:3 77:14 91:14	139:10 148:10	180:16,21 185:16	278:1,20 283:17	know 9:13 29:13
97:15 105:13	149:2,12 151:3	186:13 196:4	291:18 293:10	30:2 31:8 35:6,13
107:3,7 116:16	155:17 157:1,20	215:1 217:11	296:21 297:20	35:14 37:8 38:2
119:12 121:9	160:2 161:9,10	310:12,20 312:12	299:7 310:18	40:11 42:13 44:19
123:3,8 124:17	163:11 164:11	312:15 313:17	312:13 313:1	45:11 46:1 53:3,8
127:11 143:16	165:8 167:2	325:4 326:11	326:6 330:11	54:13 57:16,17
150:1 152:2	169:19 172:13	328:16	331:2	58:1 66:18 67:12
157:12 164:22	176:12 177:9	joke 330:14	Katrina's 44:1	67:16,18,22 68:3
167:19 177:1	180:7 193:10	judge 320:8	46:10 186:15	68:9,11 75:11
199:8 215:18	215:3 216:4	JUDY 2:13	196:15 206:9	94:13 95:16,21
222:10 225:1,4	217:19 221:21	juice 219:18 220:7	308:1	96:12,14 97:10,11
236:7 244:6	222:12 225:13	223:18,21 224:5	keep 9:19 10:4	100:10 102:5
248:18 250:19	229:14 234:17	228:3 235:2	14:10 64:14	110:19 115:3
259:4 260:7	241:12 244:14	jump 26:7 261:5	137:16 138:14	118:14 121:16
267:14 280:4,18	245:6 259:12,20	justification 253:3	175:19 176:5	129:18,19,21
283:1 284:16	260:15 263:18	273:5 275:18	194:6 230:15	130:21 131:3,8
285:11 286:3	266:16 271:5	287:7,10,21 288:1	233:21 235:1	134:10,20,21,22
291:5 292:16	283:9,19 293:2,12	justifies 272:19	301:7 327:10	135:2,4 140:18,19
298:14 304:18	296:11 298:2,22	308:22	keeping 53:1 228:1	142:20 143:3
JEFFREY 1:22	299:9 309:20	justify 175:22	228:2	145:22 146:15
Jennifer 1:21 12:9	316:8 327:15	266:3 287:5	keeps 98:5 130:5,6	151:9 161:13
19:8 22:4 44:3	328:6 329:19	288:20 289:4,6	148:5,20 249:14	168:6,14 170:10
58:17 64:9 74:5	332:5	justifying 267:19	331:8	171:2,4,9,14,17
88:7 91:10 99:22	Joe's 71:13 195:20		Kelly 8:14	172:17 173:4
108:20 116:12	224:8 268:12	<u>K</u>	kelp 166:13	182:15 185:12
121:5 123:4	271:14	kaolin 154:2	kept 93:17	188:13 190:14,19
124:13 127:11	John 1:20 13:1	Katherine 77:2	kernel 197:19,21	192:19,21 193:7
149:19 157:8	19:22 22:19 63:17	Katrina 1:22 13:5	KERRY 2:20	194:16 200:18
164:18 176:19	67:1 70:10,19	20:4 23:1 46:11	Kevin 77:17,18,20	203:13 205:17
215:14 243:12	73:13 75:18 90:17	59:17 63:22 70:18	132:14 138:5	210:20 211:6
258:14 282:3,20	117:4 120:13	71:18 73:18 77:7	139:7 143:7,7	221:10,17,22
284:14 293:19	123:18 149:5	85:1 88:21 89:22	150:18,21 151:4	224:9 231:7 232:1
299:16	156:1,17 165:10	90:22 93:17	311:7	232:5 234:4 237:9
Jerry 67:4	177:12 196:10	107:22 108:5	Kevin's 78:2	237:12 242:7,9
job 174:15,17	199:5,10 207:11	113:7,17 117:8	key 185:4	252:14 254:17,20
203:9 327:1	216:7 221:5	120:18 124:1	Kim 8:14	255:13 256:18
Joe 1:18,23 8:8,22	222:22,22 223:2	140:15 143:15	kind 34:22 38:7	257:1,16 262:1
12:21,22 13:7,9	226:14 233:3	145:7 149:10	42:9 58:18 66:16	263:20 266:1
19:20,21 20:6,11	235:6 236:1	155:12 156:21	68:7 71:10 85:5	272:9 278:16
22:16 23:3 27:20	244:17 250:3	162:20 163:10	137:14 195:5	287:2 289:3
55:15 56:2 63:14	259:14 283:12	164:9 176:10	209:10 228:7	303:16 304:9
64:2 66:9 69:3	293:5 299:3	180:17 181:2	250:1 315:4	309:10 310:14

313:3 315:8	laver 220:13	286:18	47:12 14 15 21 21	270:6 274:22
	271:22		47:13,14,15,21,21	307:20 323:18
324:11,22 325:3		levels 81:5 92:10,16	48:1,6,9,13,21	
330:5	layers 228:14 261:1	103:9 190:7,18	49:22 51:9 56:5,6	330:13
knowing 172:9	272:1 282:17	260:22 261:20	57:12 58:22,22	live 159:9 189:11
known 172:21	Laying 81:9 92:12	265:10,13 276:22	61:18,20,22 62:8	lives 216:16
173:8	92:17 103:9,11	281:6 282:17	62:9,10 63:3,3	livestock 3:14
knows 199:3 304:8	265:10,14 281:7	285:5	80:15,17,21 82:19	23:19 59:10 77:13
329:14	285:6	liaison 311:5	83:5,7,8,12,14	78:8 80:18 92:1
konjac 166:11	layperson 233:5	326:13	99:12 109:12	92:21 93:6 120:5
krypton 231:5	LC 80:2	liberal 201:16	118:2,2,12,14,21	122:3,6,9 125:10
L	lead 21:4 87:10	liberalization	119:17 136:6	126:11,15,20
	220:4	263:14	141:4 145:1,4	127:12 136:22
label 143:1 219:7	leader 330:1	lidocaine 119:2	161:12,13 163:9	142:3 147:8,12
238:15 317:6,8,10	leading 151:10	life 81:8 179:22	167:13,21 168:5	148:16 151:13
labeled 4:15 142:13	191:3,4 305:1	261:7 288:7	168:10 171:22	152:8 168:7,8
153:19 158:12,22	learn 135:12	light 210:4	172:16,20 173:12	175:17 186:1
159:2,4,6,13,15	236:15	lime 15:1,7,8 119:2	175:12 185:22	201:9 202:7
165:20 218:4	learned 61:11	limit 139:14 161:1	187:13 188:8	213:17 214:11,15
219:1 223:21	135:9 236:13	limitation 161:1	205:18 209:3,5	248:20 249:8,13
lack 109:6 252:22	learning 135:12	limited 144:22	212:10 214:3	249:16 250:2,4,17
Lane 1:12	leave 33:19 37:2,11	145:3 160:18	232:16 249:7	251:2,7 254:3
language 30:5,11	38:5 51:4 145:12	limiting 134:12	277:21 295:9	256:14 257:18
30:17 31:6,8,18	167:14 197:11	line 61:19 80:2,3	301:20 304:13	260:6,12 261:4
32:1 40:6 43:15	323:1 324:3	112:11 129:17	308:20 309:21	262:14 263:5
51:18 60:14 126:4	leavening 159:17	240:12 244:5	314:10,11 315:7	268:7 269:2
129:3 130:12	159:18 208:1	273:3 275:14,22	318:22 319:6	270:10 279:19,21
141:19 142:15	leaves 166:16	linear 190:2	320:3 322:2	280:3 294:4
143:20 144:10	323:17	lined 312:3	listed 17:21 34:21	304:18,22 309:1,6
151:21 152:4	leaving 26:8 330:5	lines 80:1	39:15 49:9 58:12	310:11,22 311:3,8
182:10,16 184:6	lecithin 173:20,22	link 43:3	62:10 81:22 83:3	314:4,16 320:15
185:18 196:21	left 76:16 211:8	liquid 15:16	154:15 232:17	321:2,3 327:9
220:22 225:14	312:17	liquor 303:11	277:21	Livestock's 125:8
229:11 230:4	legal 95:14 96:14	LISA 2:21	listing 32:16,21	living 194:1
246:13,15 247:13	132:1 133:13,15	list 3:9,9 4:19 7:16	35:15 49:19 86:9	loading 241:15
247:15 250:22	133:17 146:14,15	10:4 11:22 14:10	90:5 93:6 109:17	local 119:2
258:4,8 263:13	146:16	15:18 17:6 18:7	109:21 160:20	located 1:12
292:5,6,13 295:14	lemongrass 166:12	21:8,17 23:15	187:12 199:17	locust 166:11
lap 137:9	167:10	24:2,2,8 28:3	304:14 309:2,15	logical 205:7
large 192:16 254:4	length 98:22	30:13,14,19 32:1	310:8	logically 205:12
larger 253:11	lengthy 79:13 86:5	32:5,12,16,17,18	lists 80:12 161:15	long 54:11,12 62:19
LARS 2:18	118:1	32:20 33:6,8,10	166:8 306:6	69:3 72:5 98:18
late 5:21 32:7 76:13	lessons 173:17	33:11 35:13 37:6	little 9:14 26:9	162:2 304:16
130:19 179:4	let's 87:12,14 116:6	38:9,9,22 39:9,12	28:18 33:16 54:21	319:19,22
246:5	178:8 179:5 195:5	39:18,21 40:12,13	55:1 71:22 87:22	longer 56:12 68:18
laughing 329:10	246:21 316:8	41:1,10,15 42:2	96:4 178:3 179:3	72:6 109:10
law 189:8 319:12	level 181:5 189:1	42:11,12,20 43:9	181:9 197:5	110:11 119:17
lay 240:22	189:20 190:12	44:8,10,11,14	236:13 246:5	189:22 228:2,3
	107.20 170.12	11.0,10,11,17	250.15 2 10.5	107.22 220.2,3
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			1	
288:21 312:16	114:16,18 115:5	132:14 151:17	206:18,19,20	maximum 92:10,16
look 17:9 36:12	117:17 151:16	168:22 169:2	223:11 224:10,13	103:9 265:10,13
51:22 52:9 53:19	178:10 217:15,15	171:11 172:20	226:7,11 231:13	281:6
54:3 57:11 59:13	245:14,15 246:11	173:8 207:13,20	249:4,11 289:14	McEVOY 2:12
77:9 96:2 114:1	lunches 217:16	220:15 226:18	295:12 302:10,17	41:17,20 42:4
132:12 146:18	lunchtime 246:5	228:8 235:14	314:4 315:20	53:17,22 75:9
184:19 214:16	luxury 226:18	236:5 266:9,13	316:5 318:15	109:2,5 110:4
302:17 312:3		269:12 271:18	319:2,4,10,20	111:11,14 147:2
317:17 319:19	M	309:13 311:19	320:9,12 327:8	174:13 274:21
321:4,17 323:8	M 1:21	malt 307:9	materials 3:22 4:11	279:5,13 288:18
329:3	macaroni 204:21	man 5:7 300:10	4:12,18 16:1	289:10 301:7
looking 23:18	Madam 10:12	manage 33:9,12	17:20 30:16,19	312:8 318:2 319:2
42:18 75:6 78:11	13:22 18:9 33:14	47:21 50:16	32:22 33:4 41:9	320:11,19,22
94:1 106:3 169:5	36:20 65:22	279:17	41:12,21 42:11,12	325:14 328:2
171:4 176:2 191:2	186:16	managed 32:17	42:21 43:11,16	meals 178:11
196:1 245:13	magnesium 118:18	manages 41:10	48:6,13 49:6 56:4	mean 35:15 53:11
247:15 267:3	158:21 159:2,3	manganese 15:15	62:18 118:3 122:8	66:19 97:8 100:10
318:2 319:16	305:5	manner 51:2	134:4 136:5,16	105:17 128:7
328:22	main 70:22 71:14	manual 33:3	141:9,9 146:6	131:10 138:7
looks 211:15	71:14 72:13	295:15,22 296:15	153:16 161:14	140:1 143:4,22
lose 30:15 31:5	107:17 118:3	322:7	174:10 180:16	144:4 169:9 171:1
34:10 89:1	231:12 246:14,16	manufacturer	183:13 185:20	172:18 179:6
loses 223:21	329:16	155:14,19 156:3	186:3,14 188:3,9	191:14 205:17
lost 217:13 315:4	maintain 21:7,16	manufacturing	189:9 190:8 192:1	213:17 221:10,17
lot 16:1 24:1 35:4	276:13,22	202:3	192:5 198:16	225:15 231:15
53:3 57:2 61:11	maintaining 47:15	manure 21:3	202:19 203:17,19	242:22 266:6
85:18 110:20	maintenance 14:18	MARK 2:17	215:2 217:11	272:11 297:2
126:18 131:3	major 37:4 175:16	market 169:3,11	218:13 230:20	310:19
147:3 168:5	275:3 323:2	marketed 316:22	231:11 234:5	meaning 83:17,18
173:15 193:7	majority 83:6	MARKETING 1:1	274:4 295:8	meanings 53:4
196:13,14,14	114:22 115:1,2	marketplace	296:14 298:3	means 70:21 227:4
202:8 208:19	147:8,12 203:7	161:20	304:1 305:2,5	264:7 282:12,13
210:21 211:18	maker 10:12 18:9	Mary's 180:5	306:12 307:14	282:15
234:4 302:2	21:18 55:10 65:22	mass 202:19	309:11 312:13,16	meant 257:8
303:12 304:3	93:8 105:5,13	master 314:10,11	312:18,22 313:6	measure 249:9
307:5 318:5 319:7	108:1 112:16,18	match 114:5	313:15 314:3,19	meat 170:8
323:16 325:20	113:10,10 119:20	matched 203:1,2	314:20 315:2,15	mechanism 24:1
327:21,22 330:14	123:7 127:14	matches 202:15	315:18 316:2	201:15
lots 275:8,8,9	151:16 154:17	material 14:8	319:14,16 320:3	medial 118:6
289:17	160:6 167:3	32:16 40:22 57:7	matrix 320:7	medications 142:7
loud 72:19	186:16 219:9	78:5 80:17 85:6	matter 32:19 33:5	142:8 145:9
love 257:8	230:9 280:4	85:13 122:10	37:5 66:4 76:10	medicines 135:14
low 14:2 190:7,11	281:20 286:2	123:1 133:21	178:13 180:3	meds 134:18,19
lower 261:20	makers 246:12	136:13 162:14	210:13 237:15	135:20 140:2
286:18	making 43:8 53:18	187:14 188:1	239:16 298:11	142:21 143:5,13
lumped 158:8	54:20 74:17 104:3	203:20,21,21	matters 294:3	144:15,20,22
lunch 3:17 114:3	112:5 119:19	204:11,17 205:4	mature 175:16	145:2,3,18,20
		- · · -,- · - · · ·		
	<u> </u>	ı	1	<u> </u>

	I		I	I
meet 24:5 263:8,10	225:1 248:8	227:20 229:18,22	misspoke 160:14	101:16 104:2,3,6
295:2 327:19	249:21	230:13 244:13	mistake 137:15	104:19,21,22
meeting 1:4 5:5,11	met 78:8 125:10	256:8 259:11	138:1,8	105:1,3,5,13,22
5:16 9:2 33:20,22	127:1 273:4	268:4,9 269:1,20	mix 198:9 204:11	106:1,6,9,11,17
51:13 55:22 56:7	methionine 3:15,17	283:8 293:1 296:1	204:16	106:21 107:5,18
56:22 57:3,8 72:5	78:5,11 79:10	298:21	mixing 201:21	108:2 112:16
75:3 76:21 77:12	80:1,2,11,21 81:4	Miles 2:12 41:16	modern 6:8	113:10 114:9,15
77:21 85:15	81:7 85:6,11 86:2	53:16 75:7 110:20	modifications 5:22	114:17 115:19
118:13 130:4	86:7 88:9 89:1,20	147:1 318:1 322:5	6:19	116:7 117:16
245:17 252:3	90:6 92:1,7,11	322:10 325:13	modified 185:9	119:8,11,16,18,20
267:9 276:5 290:3	93:7 99:12,19	326:5 328:7,10	232:11	122:2,15,20,21
294:17 300:8	101:11 109:9,11	milk 132:13 136:20	modify 261:22	123:7 125:4 127:5
301:12,18,21	111:6 112:13	142:12,12,16	molt 272:9	127:10,14 150:15
302:4 305:1,3,12	113:2,15 115:18	143:10,13 144:19	molybdenum 15:16	151:17 154:10,13
307:17 313:19	151:12 180:14	146:5,19	moment 220:6	154:17 158:5
314:1 322:10,21	246:11 247:5	million 165:22	223:20 252:2	160:6 165:15
324:14 327:6	248:13 249:1,4	millionth 171:9	money 250:13	166:21 167:4
328:11 329:9,10	250:9 252:5	mills 267:4	258:20	177:19 186:15,16
329:13 330:1,22	253:11,13 257:11	mind 131:12	monitoring 314:13	214:22 216:21
331:19,22 332:9	257:15 260:13,17	237:22 253:17	mono 158:18	219:9 229:5,7,9
meetings 8:13 58:3	260:21 262:3,8	327:10	monobasic 158:15	230:9 245:12
67:16 130:19	264:5,8 268:18,19	mineral 119:3	monolaurate	246:10,12,14,16
314:18 330:14	271:22 272:3	minerals 119:6	302:21	247:22 251:5,11
meets 320:5	273:4 276:12	307:12 308:4	month 29:18	251:14,15,16
member 83:10	278:12 280:19	Minimal 56:5	306:15	258:3,3,3,8,10
108:16	281:7,16 282:15	minimis 189:3	monthly 301:8	260:4,10 269:5,7
members 1:14 3:13	286:14 289:8	212:12	months 5:13 9:14	271:7,14 278:2,17
5:6 6:10,15 7:11	300:18	minimum 142:11	29:13 30:9 307:6	280:4,6,8,10,18
7:14,22 18:22	methodology 95:4	277:5 305:15	morally 143:3	281:1,20 284:8,18
71:12 75:10 76:1	mic 237:22	minor 25:15	morning 9:13	285:14 286:2,17
82:3 137:19	micronutrients	242:14	17:10 34:15 58:9	290:13 292:12
152:18 172:21	15:13	minority 127:3	58:15 78:9 181:18	294:2 296:6,17
212:15 252:9	microphone 181:11	129:8,20 130:8,10	mother 145:14	300:1 331:18
261:5 262:14	mid 275:6	130:14,20 132:15	motion 9:21 10:1,3	332:4,6
272:21 323:10	middle 76:14 87:8	137:22 138:5	10:6,7,12 13:13	motioner 269:14
326:21 327:11	87:11 194:16	148:22	17:15,16,18,19	motions 152:16
memorandum	255:22	minuscule 190:17	18:2,4,6,9 20:12	MOU 24:18 26:17
24:15 25:1 26:15	MIEDEMA 1:17	minute 82:1,2	20:17 21:6,14,18	27:10,13 28:15
26:22	12:20 19:19 21:13	153:4 330:2	23:13 46:22 54:18	29:14 32:10 34:20
mention 16:7 89:16	22:15 63:13 90:11	minutes 76:13	55:2,8,11 58:19	51:19 52:4,12,21
155:3	104:18 105:4	270:10	58:20 64:19 65:5	53:13 54:11 57:14
mentioned 51:8	106:5,10 117:1	mischaracterize	65:14,16,22 73:10	60:5,8,14
152:20 168:2,15	120:9 123:14	187:9	74:12 79:19 81:13	mountain 327:13
168:20 185:2	154:12 157:19	missed 13:14	83:5,6,8,11,12,14	mouth 214:12
204:3 264:3	160:13 163:15,18	139:12 173:16	84:3,13,18,21	mouths 208:14
295:10 303:22	165:7 177:8 186:7	233:3 287:1	87:19 89:12 91:20	move 61:14 81:12
message 62:16	216:3 219:3	missing 179:1	92:20 93:8 101:7	81:16 125:13

		1	1	1
159:20 163:8	61:11 62:13 63:7	259:5 260:8 261:3	301:6	109:14 210:15
166:18 171:6	65:15 69:2,21	266:8,15 268:21	nay 115:9	256:5 263:11
179:10 186:4	70:3,6 73:4 77:14	269:19 270:16	nays 115:10	266:11 271:22
218:21 227:8	77:15 78:17,21	271:2 276:19	NEAL 2:16 34:15	272:3 315:1
249:13,19 253:15	79:2,5,20 80:4,7,9	279:21 280:5,12	35:2,12 36:7 38:7	327:19
265:8 275:20	80:13,16 81:16	280:22 281:22	38:13 40:7,16	negative 83:4
279:13 284:22	84:5,10,15 85:16	283:2 284:20	43:17 45:3,13,15	148:20 220:12
294:15 295:20	85:21 86:4,12,15	285:12,19 286:4	46:7 53:15 110:9	258:9 282:12
307:3 331:21	86:18 87:3,6,12	291:6,11 292:17	112:6,9 148:9	neither 83:9,10
moved 54:15 65:18	87:16,20 88:11	294:6,8 298:15	265:22 266:9	neon 231:5
81:21 93:4 107:17	89:16 91:15,21	304:20 306:8	273:2 287:1	never 96:19 189:3
115:4 123:3 160:1	93:10 94:8 97:17	muddled 71:21	288:15 290:20	194:18 210:14
160:2 186:12	97:22 98:15,19	mulches 16:6,22	291:3	231:17 274:3
247:9 281:15	100:20,22 101:13	mulching 17:2,8	necessarily 25:22	298:11 304:9
296:2 328:19	102:4,14 103:1,6	multifaceted 312:4	85:6 152:22	314:21 315:3
movement 250:12	103:15 104:11,16	multiple 66:13	161:17	new 3:13 17:3
moving 19:4 25:13	104:22 105:8,16	197:1	necessary 86:3	18:22 29:1 41:2
27:22 28:5 29:6	106:8,14,19 107:9	multi-year 79:13	188:3 189:10,13	43:11,16 75:10
41:8 43:15 97:12	108:18 109:13	mushroom 304:8	248:5 261:13	76:1 82:3 112:11
123:4 152:9	116:17 117:20	mushrooms 304:12	274:16 321:20	113:1 143:15,15
178:22 180:11	119:22 121:10,15	muster 273:5	need 8:6 17:15	175:21 182:16
318:7	121:19 122:4,21	mutations 67:19	20:15 36:17 49:16	209:16 258:8
Moyer 1:22 10:5,9	123:9 124:18	mute 286:6	53:8 70:6 77:11	288:19 296:13
10:17,20 11:10,17	125:7,14,19	myriad 198:2	78:19 79:22 81:14	306:21 307:7
12:1,14 18:3	126:16 127:16		89:21 94:19 95:11	319:11 321:13,16
19:13 22:9 23:18	128:6,12,16,20	N	95:13 96:14	327:3
25:19 26:5 27:5	129:1 132:9 133:3	N 329:16	106:22 130:10	news 152:13,13
27:12,15,18,20	133:10,14 136:4,8	naked 257:13	132:12,20 145:10	Newspaper 17:1
31:15 34:2,9 35:1	136:11,15,19	NALLY 2:19	152:3 162:16	nice 75:13 230:14
35:11,20 36:14,19	137:21 138:22	nanotechnology	175:14 178:19	nickel 303:18
37:7,10,16,20	139:9 140:5,9,13	17:5 313:8,11	179:12 183:19	night 32:7,7 85:3
38:12 39:10,16,20	141:2,5,21 143:6	narrative 298:4	211:14 214:3	93:17,18 130:19
40:1,15 41:6,19	145:22 146:4,9,20		225:22 237:20	236:12 240:12
42:3,16,22 43:4,7	147:18 148:2,5	135:17 136:2	256:22 257:14	nine 7:13 117:15
43:10,14,22 46:10	149:1 150:2,12	National 1:2,4,10	261:16 262:2	182:11
46:12,16,20 47:10	151:2,11 157:13	4:19 5:4 11:22	263:8 268:5,10	nitrogen 118:17
47:16,19 48:4,7	165:1 167:20	32:11 33:2 40:12	272:8,10 275:20	154:3 223:19
48:10,14,17,22	168:3 169:7,18	40:22 75:14 80:21	286:15 291:19	224:5 231:8,10
49:3,5,11,14,20	177:2 215:19	136:6 166:8	302:10 307:15	234:20 235:9
50:2,5,9,12,17,21	216:22 222:11	185:21 209:3	315:5 323:18	239:4 240:14,19
51:8,12,20 52:3,8	223:1,8 225:8,20	210:14 295:9	324:8,16 327:4	241:4 243:20
52:15,17 53:5,10	226:3,6 236:8,11	308:20 331:19	needed 25:5 59:8	nixed 198:6
53:21 54:8,22	236:18,22 237:4	natural 85:19 86:2	71:5 134:20 161:5	Nobody's 216:16
55:5,13,16,20	237:13,18 241:9	86:11 211:4	316:4	nominate 311:4
56:1,16 57:10,21	244:7 248:19	naturals 28:3	needing 74:16 94:4	non 132:3 140:17
58:8,13,20 59:21	250:20 251:6,12	nature 180:1	needs 59:6,7,14	nonagricultural
60:3,11,18,20	251:17,20 252:14	187:22 287:19	74:19 83:13	153:17 203:21

	 	1	1	
204:15	NOSB's 184:14	72:2	OFPA 7:4 41:13	148:9 150:11
nonanoate 303:20	313:11	objection 148:12	82:22 84:1 133:17	152:7 156:6
nonconsistent	note 112:5 235:8	148:13 206:3	263:3,9 325:15	160:22 165:17
208:16	noted 181:18	272:21 279:22	oh 10:15 16:8,11	167:14,17 177:20
noncontroversial	notes 270:22 331:8	288:9	17:18 53:15	178:1,15 180:8,15
196:20	notice 98:6 175:19	objections 332:6	184:21 218:10	181:12 185:1
nonlinear 190:4	314:15	objective 28:1,2,5	241:11 285:15	199:12 200:1,22
nonorganic 166:3	November 4:12	29:7,16 30:10	297:19 298:6	201:4 202:4
nonreacting 237:11	33:20,22 37:16	31:10 37:4 69:12	320:19	206:10 208:14
nonreactive 232:18	51:13 55:22 57:16	objectives 24:13,21	oil 119:3 166:6,7	212:18 213:10
nonsynthetic	126:8 129:4 130:4	25:9 26:16 36:1,6	228:1	215:13 217:2,14
201:12 203:20	130:13 147:11	37:13 41:8 54:1	oils 15:2,2,3,4,8,9,9	221:16 222:2,9
204:12,13,15	148:20 182:1,17	56:10 69:22	158:20 211:19	224:20 225:10
211:10 214:1	183:16 185:6	obligation 41:13	302:15	226:5 229:22
non-air 158:9	186:6 188:15	obvious 74:14	oil-free 154:3,3	230:19 231:20
non-English	191:22 192:5,9,15	225:17	okay 9:7 10:2 14:4	232:4 234:1
242:11	192:22 197:21	obviously 169:10	16:11,15,16,19	235:18 238:5
non-organic	201:6,10 207:4	181:14 294:13	17:13 20:16 21:12	246:8 247:3 258:6
153:17 158:10	213:10 313:21	314:2 316:3	23:20,22 27:19	261:3,20 264:20
non-organically	no's 23:12 122:1	occur 170:13 235:5	31:18,18 37:10	268:16 269:20
165:18	216:20 294:1	occurrence 185:8	38:14 39:19,22	271:13,18 272:14
non-saleable	NP 314:5	occurring 235:1	40:3,4 43:13 44:2	279:4,12,18 280:1
170:18	number 31:12	323:10	44:4,5 45:14 46:6	280:17 284:15
non-synthetic 3:7	39:17 62:3,6,17	octanoate 15:5	47:8,12,18 48:5	285:17,21 286:1
20:20 122:8	68:14 69:1 94:3	119:4	48:18 49:4 50:4	289:10 291:15
187:15 188:9	98:6 99:10 161:14	October 81:3 85:14	50:11 51:7 54:14	292:10,11 297:15
208:22	162:5,5,11,13	88:14,15 92:9,15	55:7,14 56:1	298:6 301:11
non-synthetics	170:15 201:18	101:17 102:22	58:11 60:1,6,9,10	304:10,17 306:2
28:4 140:21	202:9 253:6,8	103:8,13 104:8	60:19 61:13 62:21	308:10 310:3,4
153:20	260:19 263:1	107:18 108:8	63:1 66:21 69:17	312:7,12 313:2,6
NOP 1:2 44:11	266:20 308:14	109:9,11,20 110:1	70:8,17 72:7 75:5	320:21 321:1,8
52:14 95:14 96:2	309:8 315:18	110:5 112:12	76:12 77:10 79:4	331:12,15
182:14,17,20	323:5 327:1	113:1,14 247:4	85:18,22 86:15,20	OMRI 319:6
183:6,10 184:13	numbers 99:7	265:9,12 275:4,15	87:5,15 89:13	once 44:20 66:10
313:21 315:17	183:9 192:16	276:13 277:14,18	93:4 100:5 101:15	165:21 170:14
319:14,17 330:5	253:4,5 260:20	278:13 279:1	103:7,18,21,22	171:22 197:22
Nope 219:11	266:2,4 267:2,6,8	281:5 282:16	104:17 105:4	204:10 207:20
NOP/NOSB 322:8	267:17 270:9,19	284:22 287:6,13	106:18 107:6,6,10	220:9 226:17
normal 204:1	270:20 277:10	287:18,18 289:17	107:16,21 109:16	257:12 275:2
nos 284:7,12	289:1 305:15	odd 222:8	110:3 112:8,17,21	ones 16:3 39:10,12
NOSB 1:5 32:14	numerous 188:21 nutrient 307:12	offense 310:18,19	114:15,21 115:1,3	48:19 49:17
96:8,18 175:2	308:4	office 257:9 official 24:20 25:15	115:11 116:1 120:3 121:20	306:21 323:15 one's 168:13
182:19 183:6,7 218:21 295:22	N2 231:18 232:12			
	114 231:18 232:12	27:4,11 31:1 75:2 319:13	122:18 128:5,21	one-stop 171:2
313:4 314:18,20 318:5,19 329:11	0	officially 26:14	129:1 136:14 137:2 140:3,11	ongoing 194:17 online 5:16
331:7	object 71:19,20	47:6 311:7	141:11 142:1	open 26:3,10 33:20
331./		77.0 311.7	171.11 174.1	open 20.3,10 33.20
	<u> </u>			

24.12.07.0.107.2		1 21515	1 440 5 40 444 45	
34:12 97:9 187:2	62:7,18 72:17	316:16	143:5,13 144:15	parties 6:12 27:17
289:12	76:22 81:2 92:8	OTC 273:13	144:20,22 145:2,3	151:19
operate 26:18	95:5 96:6,12	ought 223:10,13	145:9,18,19	party 76:21 331:13
operating 29:14	101:16 109:18,19	outcome 79:17	pains 135:20	pass 7:12,13,14
operations 70:3	109:22 113:2,15	80:7 305:15	pair 8:2	83:10 89:5,9
96:7 174:15 175:6	122:14 132:18	outcomes 189:18	paper 17:1 305:11	273:5 279:8 284:8
opinion 67:14	135:10,13 136:21	outlines 69:22	paraciticides	324:13
127:4 129:9,20	136:22 142:13,17	outlining 54:1	118:18 143:20,22	passed 130:4
130:8,10,14,20	153:19,20 158:12	outreach 173:11	paragraph 28:1,5	148:20 185:6
132:15 137:22	158:12 159:1,2,5	outs 196:14	29:6,8 30:10,12	192:4 313:22
138:5 148:22,22	159:6,14,15	outside 44:22 45:4	36:18 148:3	314:18 328:18
187:3 191:18	161:19 166:7	161:7 243:17	paragraphs 29:22	passes 13:13 20:12
197:4 210:5 220:5	169:1,3,10 170:2	overall 24:12 25:8	parameters 318:14	20:17 23:13 64:19
220:16 221:19,20	170:7,8,11,16	204:20	paraphrase 104:2	74:12 87:19
221:20 290:18	171:4,21 172:14	overly 68:1	parasiticide 119:1	117:17 122:2
291:16	172:16 173:6,20	overwhelming	139:15 142:16	125:4 150:15
opinions 129:10	174:16,16 175:18	72:16	144:20	158:5 165:15
opportunities	179:14 187:20	oxidation 235:1,4	parasiticides 134:8	177:19 183:15
237:5 317:19	188:4 191:3,12	oxides 15:14	139:20 142:9	216:21 245:12
opportunity 24:5	192:8 194:9 198:4	oxygen 154:3	parliamentary	251:16 284:8
27:21 28:16 56:17	198:6 204:7 206:3	218:11 219:16	82:8 115:1	294:2 300:1
68:9 89:9 111:3	206:4,8,12,14	220:4,9 229:1,3	part 7:8 34:18 57:7	passing 77:8 83:14
130:21 255:7,10	207:1 208:9	229:12 230:8	60:5,8,20 67:5	Pasture 275:5
256:2 263:5 330:6	210:14 214:6	233:4 234:20	77:12 78:1 87:12	path 193:17
opposed 115:8	218:5 219:2	235:11 239:10,15	98:4,12 99:11,13	pattern 45:5
134:12 136:3	232:10 238:14	239:22 240:3	100:2 119:18	patterns 45:1
144:20 147:15	247:6 258:19	241:12,13 242:15	126:2 131:22	189:16
222:7 233:5	273:22 281:4	oxytocin 118:18	132:4 138:16,18	Patti 317:18
326:11	285:4 313:11	ozone 159:6	162:16 187:12,17	pause 114:10
opposing 116:3,4,4	317:18 319:14	P	188:13 201:8	peaches 159:10
opposite 207:14	320:2 329:9	package 55:19	209:17 225:4,22	160:19 161:3
option 287:16 325:1	organics 32:11	224:9	241:17 254:4	pectin 307:1
	67:8 75:14 331:19	packaging 218:8,9	267:22 279:10	peeling 159:9,10
options 32:4,5	organization 320:4	219:22 220:3	295:22 297:5 310:6 320:1	160:19 161:2 pencils 7:1
orange 166:12	organized 26:14	222:14,19 223:5		_
order 69:6 82:6,11 83:20 104:19	original 31:6 58:14 98:12 99:10 105:5	226:9 228:16,22	participants 250:8	people 8:11,18 25:21 68:3 89:20
146:4 178:9	108:11 151:17	229:2,11 230:6,7	participate 47:6,11	110:15 116:3
238:14 289:21,22	163:12 197:16	233:5,6 234:4	participating 273:11	131:4,12 169:12
301:20 322:22	247:22 248:22	235:10 239:18,19	participation 181:5	,
Oregon 238:10	250:17 251:1,15	242:15	particular 21:1	172:21 178:22 187:6 203:5
organ 165:21	250:17 251:1,15	page 6:21 8:1 244:4	57:5 131:16 135:4	208:19 256:20
organic 1:2,4,10	257:21 258:10	314:7	135:8 250:22	257:4 261:11,18
3:8 4:16 5:4 14:6	originally 52:19	pages 184:21	252:15 290:22	262:7 274:2
17:22 20:21 21:2	82:16 160:21	217:13	particularly 71:11	287:10 290:16
32:19 33:2,10	222:13 252:19	pain 134:18,19	86:6 129:19 135:7	313:4 323:5 324:3
38:10 45:17 49:2	260:17 262:5	140:2 142:21	300:17	pepper 166:13
30.10 43.17 47.2	200.17 202.3	1.0.21.21	500.17	pepper 100.13
	<u> </u>	l	I	I

perceived 145:20	81:22 82:10 84:7	10:4,11 159:12	277:2 310:13	points 66:13,17
percent 81:7 130:7	88:13 90:4 94:14	303:1	playing 168:19	85:4 264:21
136:22 198:4	162:12 163:8	phosphates 158:14	194:4	322:15
223:16,22 224:4	170:15 179:15	phosphoric 118:20	please 6:6,9,17 7:1	poison 190:1
235:14,17 236:5	194:21 249:16,17	phrase 28:13 111:5	7:22 13:18,19,20	policy 4:18 33:2
237:9 238:15,19	252:4 254:8,18	picked 253:4	16:13,18 17:12	41:18 42:5 43:6
240:13 317:12	255:11,12 257:6	picture 234:3	75:8 76:1 77:2	44:20 294:15
percentage 206:22	262:19,21 276:1	328:12	88:4 117:18	295:15,22 296:4
perfect 30:3 172:18	276:18 277:19	pictures 76:3	122:19 125:6,12	296:12 300:3
174:1 302:7	286:15 288:5	piece 29:1 287:20	152:9 197:16	308:11 311:21
period 29:11,17,19	290:1,5,22 301:5	320:2	246:14 247:16	312:9 321:9,11,17
29:20 30:1 100:10	303:2,7,9,17	pieces 34:21	254:6 261:5	321:22 322:7
101:3,10 112:10	306:12 307:3,21	piglets 131:7,9,9	265:22 284:17,18	324:20 325:2
141:8 183:4 220:8	309:14 311:14	pinch 98:10 99:5	285:14 301:15	326:2
235:5 252:21	314:18 315:21	pinching 252:22	306:3 328:10	poloxalene 118:20
280:20	petitioned 4:18	place 30:20 37:20	329:2	polycaprolactone
periods 114:5	40:14 43:12,16	44:20 51:13 60:3	plug 267:9	302:12
perlite 154:4	45:9 290:7 295:8	75:6 109:9 171:2	plus 321:13	polysulfide 15:2
peroxide 14:15	315:4	184:2 200:17	point 10:17 13:19	ponying 250:12
15:7 118:17	petitioner 46:1	213:14,15 214:6,9	13:19 25:15 26:16	portion 211:5
158:21	49:9 87:9 103:5	214:10,12 242:4	36:2 38:17 39:5	246:19 287:3,17
peroxyacetic/per	170:6,9 179:20	285:17 289:8	40:7 46:1 50:22	322:6
118:19	petitioners 170:7	297:18	71:14,15 87:16	portions 128:15
perpetual 257:6	315:13	placement 161:13	88:9 94:11 96:17	position 250:1
person 5:16 19:4,5	petitioning 45:16	plan 301:17 302:2	101:3,11 104:18	301:6
130:19 138:6	302:10	302:4,6 313:7,9	111:20 112:3	positioned 126:2
151:16 199:3	petitions 49:9	317:14,21 318:12	115:18 136:19	positions 290:21
personal 74:15	286:9 302:9 303:2	321:12 322:20	139:2 143:18	possesses 185:10
210:5 273:15	307:8 314:5	324:19 325:6,10	147:18 153:11	possibility 79:16
personally 72:19	PGML 302:20	planning 174:21	156:11 170:12	278:8 303:16
131:14	phase 94:1,16,16	179:2	172:8 188:9 189:6	possible 59:7 85:10
perspective 34:16	95:1,1,1 96:15,16	plans 162:17	191:2,9,19 194:7	87:1 96:3 110:14
35:19 36:2 171:3	97:3,4 100:9,9,14	178:18 301:13,15	194:7,10 195:11	183:2 194:11
174:14 248:21	101:2,2,10,11	306:4 316:9	196:16 207:13,21	253:2,21 263:7
persuaded 129:20	103:19,20,20	322:11	209:1 210:7 222:4	300:20 306:5
pertain 136:2	111:7,12	plant 15:6,11,12	223:17 226:4	327:14 329:1
pertinent 82:22	phased 93:21	222:5 224:7	236:4 238:17	possibly 139:13
232:13	phases 102:12	Plants 3:11 65:3,20	239:9 247:19	152:11 194:22
pest 59:12	104:12	71:4	249:17 250:20	305:12 327:5
pesticide 318:12,22	phasing 111:8	plaque 75:13	252:15 257:7	post 32:15 36:1
pesticides 23:16	philosophic 234:12	plaques 3:13 75:9	268:20 277:3	276:4
188:21 212:21	philosophical	75:17 76:2	286:7 289:21,22	posted 58:22
petition 3:15 9:17	193:15 194:4	plastic 17:1,8	295:5,14 301:11	171:15 174:11
10:18 11:2,11,14	197:4	plate 147:21 148:6	306:13 311:4	278:12
41:1,21 49:5 50:9	philosophy 195:20	platform 24:18 27:1	pointed 312:5	posting 6:22 28:12 28:22 29:3
78:5,19 79:9,15 80:2,11,20 81:13	phone 6:8 74:17,17 phosphate 3:3 9:16	play 71:13 100:11	pointing 191:5 239:6 324:22	
00.2,11,20 01.13	phosphate 3.3 9.10	piay /1.13 100.11	237.0 324.22	postponing 137:5
	<u> </u>		l	l

			Ì	
post-harvest	204:2 209:5	preventative 142:4	115:2 295:16,21	294:19 295:7,7
158:16 238:20	248:14 261:22	prevents 135:6	322:7	315:21 326:8
post-NOSB 76:21	262:1	previous 29:21	procedures 82:11	processed 153:18
pot 68:20	pragmatic 141:12	44:10,14 96:21	319:3	158:11 165:20
potassium 15:10	Prairie 170:7	137:15 139:13	proceed 6:6 18:21	205:1
21:4 154:5 159:6	179:14 258:19	141:7 148:19	19:1,3 21:22 66:5	processes 37:19
159:7,7,8,12	precautionary	182:13 192:3	73:2 77:11 79:21	56:13 155:7
160:17 163:13	190:14	196:13 276:2	83:20 84:1 90:14	processing 43:19
potato 166:15	prefer 187:21	323:6	117:18 123:12	59:11 62:7 154:5
167:13	preference 107:4	previously 164:5	162:13 242:4,6	170:1 185:19
potential 13:17	182:4 184:3 278:4	primarily 134:17	247:16 258:2	201:20 203:18
34:20 89:12 170:4	prematurely 324:4	primary 105:3	263:17 272:22	220:1,7,10 221:7
199:17 219:16	Prepared 55:16	principle 66:18	278:3,18 304:19	221:12,15 222:4,5
263:7 272:18	prescribe 35:14	202:16	proceeding 156:16	222:16,18 223:15
276:8	prescriptive 68:2,6	printed 162:7,8	311:13	223:15 224:3,7,12
potentially 100:3	present 1:14 2:10	prior 40:10 44:18	process 6:6,11 9:15	224:16 226:10,12
112:9 289:16	160:17 229:5	142:11 183:5	18:22 25:5,7,11	227:4,9,22 228:12
291:2	294:20 308:14	298:4	27:2 30:20 32:15	230:5 233:4,6,15
potentials 304:11	presentation 3:13	prioritize 182:15	33:1,5 37:22	238:12,14,22
poultry 81:2,10	75:7 152:22	183:10	40:16 41:2,14,22	239:1,13,15,17,19
86:7 92:9,14,19	presented 65:11,13	prioritized 314:8	44:16 45:9 48:16	240:5
101:16 103:11,13	65:20 79:7 82:15	priority 303:3	50:10 72:8 82:10	processing-centric
109:18,20,22	82:16 125:22	privately 261:12	83:16 84:1 94:4,6	203:16
113:2,15 247:6	196:3 215:2 218:2	privilege 77:20	94:7,10,13,14	processor 128:18
253:15 263:4	290:22 308:12	probable 87:2	95:22 98:18 99:15	process-driven
281:4,10,19	321:14 323:1	probably 110:17	99:17 135:12	189:8 194:19
282:16,19 285:4,8	presenting 47:1	169:20 170:20	154:16 159:12	produced 158:19
285:15 288:10	225:15	175:16 179:22	162:12,15 163:8	161:17 162:1
289:3	presently 211:12	206:2 255:1 271:3	166:5 170:13	165:18 204:21
pounds 81:6,9,10	presents 308:13	273:14,17 275:20	173:11 181:2	producer 142:7
81:11 92:12,13,14	preserving 202:2	287:13 288:16	182:14,18,21	producers 171:22
92:18,19 103:10	presiding 1:13	313:3 317:4	184:1 187:12,16	267:5 289:3
103:12,13 112:10	presumably 140:20	318:15 326:12	189:7,11,14	produces 86:7
265:3,11,14,15	presumption	330:15	190:21 191:7	producing 172:15
280:20 281:8,9,10	144:14	probe 25:16	193:20 194:21	224:7
281:18 282:17,17	pretend 151:4	problem 96:20,22	211:1,8 220:15	product 39:15
282:18 285:7,8	pretty 42:4,6 60:13	96:22 187:19	223:3 224:2 227:5	45:10 111:10,22
powder 166:6	68:5 109:8 134:11	197:15 204:6	227:8 229:13	135:4 198:5 202:5
powerful 228:11	153:9 173:14	222:20 226:16	233:16 235:3	204:5,7,20 205:1
practical 187:11	196:18 209:15	228:13 259:1	238:17 248:1,3,9	205:13 206:19,21
practice 141:13,18	230:14 234:17	263:2 277:13	249:2,10 254:8,14	207:1 209:4,6
141:20 142:4	241:14 276:1	problems 279:10	254:19,22 255:8,9	211:4,9 213:22
163:19 202:16	290:7 304:2	procaine 119:3	262:20 263:16	224:5,8 234:21
203:2 295:13	325:15	procedural 46:13	267:16 273:3,12	235:8 237:6 329:9
301:16	prevent 142:5	185:3	275:2,10 276:9	production 3:8,11
practices 95:3,17	233:18 234:14	Procedurally 269:2	284:19 286:13	14:6 18:1 20:21
95:18,20 141:22	238:16	procedure 82:8	289:5 290:11	32:19 33:11 45:18
	-	-	-	-

(2.7.10.67.2.10			0	00120002
62:7,19 65:2,19	327:17 329:12	provides 183:7	86:6 96:16,18	88:1,20 90:3
71:3,8 81:3 85:7	programs 273:22	256:19	102:21 129:17	94:21 97:19 98:1
85:10 86:1 92:9	318:15 319:3,18	providing 273:4	130:18 131:2	98:2 100:1 105:16
95:5 96:6 101:17	Program's 174:13	public 5:15,19 6:3	133:5 137:9	111:7,9 120:3
109:18,20 110:1	progress 101:5	9:19 61:4 67:6,14	138:20 152:21	131:19 132:22
113:3,15 122:9,14	prohibit 313:10	67:15,18 72:16	160:15,21 161:20	136:1 138:18
142:12 159:11	prohibited 3:7	75:16 82:14 86:6	161:21 168:5,10	139:16 141:12
166:16 172:14	20:21 21:2 49:17	89:18 128:1,8	168:13 184:21	147:5 148:11,13
247:6 261:22	96:5 122:9 132:3	129:2,12 130:22	188:7 208:14	151:12 161:12
262:1 281:5	133:21 140:20	131:1,1 139:2	214:9,12 228:4	163:22 169:22
308:18	144:12 159:1,5,8	171:14 175:19	234:20 236:19	170:20 175:15
productionists	159:14	176:2 184:14	237:10 252:7	176:8 191:7
96:12	prohibition 71:6	185:13,15 189:12	258:6 266:13	197:13 198:19
products 3:24 4:2	prohibitions 21:7	189:17 193:8	278:13 286:14,18	199:16 210:20
4:15 15:14,17	21:17	198:14 201:2	289:5 301:17	212:14 214:22
45:1 125:9 126:22	promise 150:22	206:4 207:10	308:12 309:17	222:11 225:13
142:12 153:19	promising 94:18	221:1 253:6 254:4	318:12 327:6	233:1 236:8
158:11,22 159:1,4	prompt 98:11	273:12 300:9	330:20	240:16 243:7
159:6,13,14	proof 194:1	313:18 314:14	puts 99:16,16	256:9 260:17,19
165:19,20 172:16	propellant 239:5	315:5 317:17	100:19 102:20	271:20 272:16
185:15,20 192:17	241:16	322:19 323:14	146:21 256:16	274:20 282:2
198:2 201:12	propelling 240:3	331:4	257:5 277:1	290:13,15 291:14
204:22 218:4	proper 51:2 87:1	publicly 79:11	putting 99:20	323:9
219:1 305:21	94:22 95:8 114:10	public's 262:7	112:9,14 125:15	questioned 230:20
profitable 194:9	126:10 129:22	published 275:5	132:17 187:3	questioning 87:22
program 1:2 24:6	135:10 220:22	316:12	193:16 205:5	questions 55:17
25:2,10 26:6,11	properly 55:8	pull 151:9	248:8 249:10	59:2 72:22 88:20
36:4 41:3 53:13	65:21 119:14	pulled 266:20	260:13 267:20	89:15 120:2
53:18,19 54:9	186:12 247:9	290:4 305:20	288:19 289:13	125:19 140:16
57:14 61:5 67:10	312:3	pullet 271:22	295:14 301:5	147:3 153:12
97:19 108:22	proposal 97:2	pullets 260:22	318:4,7 319:5	160:10 167:7
132:6 133:6 147:5	100:8	261:10 265:3,4,15	pyrophosphate	186:9,12 196:8
147:22 173:9	propose 97:3 100:8	272:1	306:17,18	210:2 219:13
182:8 210:9,14	105:18 265:8	pulling 77:19	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	275:9,9 287:12
220:20 225:16	310:21	pun 220:13	5:1	288:22 289:12
226:20 232:16	proposed 92:2,4	purest 194:11	p.m 245:16,18	290:17 291:13
241:22 254:3	144:10 269:6	purpose 182:22	246:2 332:8	302:14 303:13,15
263:6 273:1,16	proposing 102:6	223:12 224:11	0	308:15,16 309:18
277:8 278:5,9,17	109:6 194:20	234:14		311:12,16 325:2
278:19 286:22	195:2 265:7	purposes 49:8	quality 315:22	queue 314:7
290:16 294:12	propylene 302:20	235:11	328:4	quick 36:17 159:11
297:10 300:10,18	proves 46:4	pursue 261:16	question 18:14	295:6 311:2
308:6,12 311:19	provide 46:3 111:2	323:20 324:9,15	25:13 39:5 42:16	quickly 14:7
312:7 315:11,20	274:17,18 290:20	purview 161:8	45:3 46:14 47:9	183:13 247:19
318:7,17 319:4,6	290:21	push 234:20	49:16 50:14 51:17	300:20 329:2
319:7,10 320:8,13	provided 81:3	pushing 254:1	52:13 56:1 62:22	quiet 181:9
323:7,8 326:22	142:8	put 32:2 61:3,6	71:14 79:20,21	quite 24:9 221:6,10

234:19 306:11	143:19 223:4	reasonable 46:8	122:16,22 123:5	271:1
	246:22 247:1		*	
308:2,19 314:2	331:17	189:1,2 279:20	127:6,12 129:3	recusal 4:9,10
quo 137:16		reasonableness	130:12 132:1,8,11	180:10 236:1
quorum 7:7,10	reads 162:10	232:20	133:5 137:9,15,17	recusals 7:17 13:15
R	185:18 241:15	reasoning 260:21	139:13 147:10,20	recuse 155:9
rabbit 188:18	ready 5:8,17 7:2	reasons 7:18	147:21 148:15,19	Recused 179:16
218:14 238:16	62:22 88:20	250:16	160:3 167:1	recycled 17:1 red 28:20
radon 231:5	113:21 120:3	reasserts 130:11 rebuild 276:16	182:17,19,22	
RAGONESI 2:13	154:21 163:22 176:7 214:21		183:3,11,17 186:6	reduce 62:17 256:17
raise 93:19 268:14	217:20,22 218:1	recalculate 282:11 recall 31:22	192:1,10 214:19	reduction 62:2,6
323:5	· ·	recail 51:22 received 128:1	215:1 219:6,21 220:2 226:20	recuction 62:2,6 reestablish 295:15
raised 38:20	243:6 246:4,8 272:16 274:20	182:19 276:2	228:4 229:11	reestablish 293:13
311:13,16 325:2	282:2 291:13	288:12 302:13	230:4 248:22	162:15
raises 308:15	301:15 303:4	303:7,8,17 306:14	250:4 248.22	
raising 267:5	317:4	306:18 307:8	252:20 253:14	refeathering 272:11
rally 208:20	reaffirmation	315:6 322:18	252:20 253:14 256:15 257:18	refer 16:14,18
ramifications	138:2	324:20	260:11 262:9	17:12 109:17
131:5 132:19	real 95:2 129:14	reclassification	265:1 267:15,22	188:18 229:2
ran 7:16	295:6 309:16	40:11 41:4 202:19	270:5 273:7 276:2	reference 28:10
rancidity 233:18	reality 37:13 197:3	reclassified 40:9,10	277:8 287:17	29:17 39:3 144:14
234:15	realized 76:14,16	40:18,22 43:18	288:11 294:17,19	144:18 147:19
range 15:3,8 131:7	233:14	recognition 319:13	296:3 298:4 308:5	231:18 298:2
134:3	realizes 111:18	recognize 119:19	313:9,22 317:5	306:6
rat 188:20,20	really 8:13,16	188:2 189:7,15	321:6 322:21	references 29:18
rate 80:19 305:11	26:12 27:1 35:4	207:17 208:16	324:21	273:19 274:13
rates 103:1,2	35:12 54:20 68:4	255:19	recommendations	309:10
ration 92:11 281:7	68:18 88:8 95:13	recognized 187:22	3:2,6,14 4:4 5:11	referencing 44:9
rational 224:18	112:2 114:1,3,6,7	recommend 33:8	5:14 6:17 20:19	144:15 291:7
rationale 266:10	137:16 138:8,18	274:12 276:10	82:13 152:15	296:13
reaches 170:12	139:12,17 145:15	279:5	175:2 178:20	referred 218:9
reaching 70:14	173:19 183:15,22	recommendation	274:9 276:5	232:1 239:7
173:1	185:3,4 187:2	3:2,5,17 4:4,6,8	278:11 279:7	referring 213:9
react 234:3,6 240:9	189:3,7 191:11,14	4:12 9:16 10:1,3,9	300:7 318:6,10	refers 308:17
240:18 241:6,7	197:3 202:9	14:3 15:21 21:16	recommended	refine 315:5
reaction 266:19	210:14 217:7	28:6 34:17,19	32:13 120:5 182:1	refining 85:15
reactive 232:13	246:18 250:5,5	48:19 49:13 50:15	184:2 307:17	reflected 270:9
read 15:20 16:16	253:1 270:7	52:10,11,20 53:3	recommending	reflects 256:4
17:6 31:19 32:6	310:20 318:18	53:7,18 54:6 58:7	130:5 131:13	reformulate 305:22
41:13 60:9 80:19	324:10 325:7,22	58:9,12 63:2	185:17	reformulation
80:22 92:2,3	327:20 331:5	78:20 80:3,10,18	reconsider 20:15	29:19
138:19 142:3	realm 189:16 191:9	84:4,8,20 87:10	89:12	refrain 6:7,9
153:11 159:21	reason 56:9 82:21	88:14 91:22 92:2	reconvene 245:17	regard 219:22
246:15	126:19 130:17	92:3,5,21 93:5	record 16:7,10 59:6	248:14 296:17
readers 224:21	134:21 155:9	94:2 101:14	61:4 76:10 160:16	regarding 7:3
reading 8:2 95:14	227:6 233:18	102:20,20 104:7	161:5 178:13	10:10 40:20 42:10
114:4 118:2	248:21 262:17	119:9,13 122:12	221:1 225:17,19	63:3 80:10 89:19
	1	<u> </u>	1	1

	I	1	 I	1
127:13 134:10	160:3 166:22	replace 28:13	250:12 253:2,19	results 32:10
183:7 280:18	260:12 286:15	29:20 30:8 265:9	254:2 261:17	117:15
282:6 296:4	relisted 98:5	324:3	289:1	resumed 76:11
300:17 308:12	relisting 10:10 11:9	replaced 29:9	researched 231:15	178:14
309:5,12	11:15 17:20 18:8	replacement 29:2	residue 212:8	retail 224:9
Regardless 221:16	101:3 120:4	30:5 233:4 242:11	213:5 318:13,22	retailer 155:19
regards 185:14	154:16 159:20	323:10	residues 211:8	316:12,13,20,21
192:6,15	160:4 162:6 164:1	replacing 31:17	212:11	316:21
Register 98:6	166:18 282:15	265:12	resin 154:7	retain 248:6
266:14 314:14	relists 11:21	report 301:8	resist 68:21	retains 136:21
regroup 114:3	reluctant 149:16	reposition 181:11	respect 46:8 95:9	258:10
regulation 133:18	267:8	represent 248:20	129:10 191:18	retract 139:5
138:15 214:5	remained 40:19	representative	274:14 307:11	retreat 195:13
226:19 238:13	remaining 34:21	310:22	respectfully 5:14	return 151:12
239:8 263:15	212:12 302:5	represented 142:13	74:18 191:19	173:18 189:6
264:13 316:19	remains 81:5	representing 143:7	195:13 267:13	reveal 155:11
regulations 287:8	remarks 195:8	represents 189:1	326:22	reveals 179:20
regulatory 131:22	remember 194:6	request 6:15	respond 53:19	review 16:2 41:12
132:4,22 137:6,10	214:15 220:19	151:15 152:10	131:19	41:22 50:6,7
142:15 183:18	remembered	253:15 300:15	responded 67:15	112:2 136:16
reinstate 249:18	298:10	312:7 318:11	168:13	153:9 154:16
295:7	remembering	320:14 321:16	responding 199:15	162:6 163:12
reinstated 249:6	252:2	322:5	290:1	183:8,10,18
reiterate 163:5	remind 6:15	requested 171:15	response 61:15	190:16 247:19
reiterated 169:6	150:21 270:3	180:1 184:13	72:16 97:16	249:11 273:13
reject 137:11	304:4 326:20	276:1 277:6 323:6	130:22 183:11	275:10 303:18
relate 195:14	328:9	requesting 253:9	190:2 268:12	315:20 327:8
related 185:11	reminder 331:13	253:12	276:19 278:4	reviewed 48:9,16
262:2 307:11	reminding 235:21	requests 319:7	290:4	82:14 200:5
315:18	reminds 331:9	328:13	responses 190:4	reviewing 147:15
relates 227:12	removal 50:6	require 7:4,16	responsive 6:2	175:22 182:21
295:8	remove 9:18 10:18	100:9 101:3	responsiveness	319:14
relationship 87:17	11:14 28:7,7	105:11 248:3	5:19	reviews 306:14
relatively 196:20	29:18 50:2 138:12	required 7:4 83:22	rest 47:3 133:17	revisit 89:10
197:9	173:22 303:1,3	182:4 214:5	241:15 246:4	revisiting 305:10
relevant 178:20	306:22	312:16	263:11 284:9	revote 130:11
183:1 190:11	removed 10:22	requirement	322:19 323:19	reworked 58:7
196:8 206:11	49:22	238:13 325:21	restate 58:19	rewritten 31:11
318:16	removing 29:16	requirements 52:2	restaurant 236:13	re-annotate 94:12
relight 34:4	50:5	52:14 174:22	restraining 135:1	re-listed 154:11
religion 180:7	reopened 260:10	requires 7:12,13,14	restrict 141:19	rick 228:6
relist 11:20 14:11	repair 94:5	7:15 83:3	restricted 140:4	right 9:8 10:19
21:2,15 30:22	repellents 14:19	requiring 142:20	restrictions 264:5	16:12 22:2 25:6
31:3,4,18 33:20	repetition 99:15	reread 285:17	289:13	25:19 27:5,7
37:17 38:3 51:15	248:5 277:12	research 94:18	result 80:6 186:2	28:21 34:8 35:14
96:17 122:20	repetitioned 249:5	97:9 98:11 101:4	212:7 252:4	36:7 37:9,15,18
123:1,5 154:15	rephrase 122:19	248:10,11 249:20	resulting 185:9	38:7,13 39:7
		•	•	•

42:22 49:7 51:18	299:15 332:3	sanitizer 238:18	267:1	16:19,22 17:13,17
61:12 70:2,8,14	ripening 158:17	sanitizers 14:13	seated 5:6	18:5,11,18 19:17
74:21 77:13 80:4	risk 61:2 189:3	118:6	seaweed 166:17	20:18 21:12,20
80:16 85:10 86:1	196:15 287:14	sat 67:16 138:18	second 10:5 18:3	22:13 23:14 33:15
87:20 88:10 89:6	risk-mitigation	satisfy 169:11	21:13 55:4 65:15	34:8 36:22 37:8
97:20 98:8,15,19	191:8	sausage 168:21	69:11 79:16 81:18	37:15,18,21 54:22
100:20,21 102:11	road 89:22 162:16	169:2,3	81:19 86:13 87:1	56:19 61:2 63:11
100:20,21 102:11	188:11 195:5	save 278:12	87:2,19 89:5,8	64:21 65:12 66:2
106:12,13 110:20	211:13	saw 127:2 173:15	93:1,2 100:14,16	66:20,22 68:16
114:4,7,11 115:15	roads 195:9	295:19	100:18 103:8,20	69:16,18 72:12
115:18 126:1,6	Robert's 82:6,11	saying 29:22 37:11	104:21 105:6	73:8 75:1 88:6
127:22 128:16,20	83:19	52:8 53:12 102:17	104:21 103:0	90:9 93:1 116:21
136:7,10,18 137:9	role 95:19	112:12 146:1	112:18 113:11	119:10 120:7
137:17 138:15	rolled 312:17	190:10 195:9	114:19,20 119:10	124:22 130:16
139:9,22 140:8,19	room 76:16,17,18	223:14 226:13	122:17 127:7,8,9	150:6 157:17
144:7,13 145:6,14	, ,	253:20 261:15	141:11 151:17	165:5 177:6
146:3,8,20 154:9	199:3 208:19	262:16 266:6	154:12 159:22	199:19 200:12
161:15 162:6	rotation 323:9	277:4 280:9	166:20 180:21	211:17,22 212:3
167:22 184:10	rough 310:20	says 24:17 28:13,22	186:7,8,15 192:13	216:1 244:11
195:17 199:20	round 124:8 317:4	29:9 30:9 31:6	198:19 207:8	256:13 259:9
202:15 207:9	routinely 134:18	39:5,10,17 41:18	219:4 247:8 252:3	268:11,14,17
208:13 210:15	140:9	43:15 115:2 139:7	269:7,16 271:14	280:15 281:13
211:21 212:2,3	rule 31:5 32:2,20	140:18 160:20	278:13 280:11	283:6 285:22
213:1,11,20	49:21 142:15	182:16 185:7	281:11,12,13	289:22 292:21
214:17,22 215:6	146:15 181:19	230:5	284:9,18 285:10	298:19 302:1
227:22 229:21	182:3,9,14,18	scattered 66:16	285:21,22 286:19	304:7,15 329:21
231:16 233:11,20	183:1,5,20 243:19	scenario 187:8	287:3 288:12	secrets 173:4
234:7,9 240:15	243:21 266:12	schedule 75:6	290:9,13 296:1	section 18:8 122:7
241:1,3,4 243:2,3	275:3,5	122:7 152:7 178:2	300:17 316:17	122:11 127:13
251:12 253:20	rulemaking 68:8	178:18,21 246:6	317:1 332:2,3	147:13 148:17
264:14 266:8	68:19 72:8 232:19	310:13 323:12	secondary 105:3	153:16 181:19
268:13,21 269:8	rules 82:6,11 83:20	324:5 325:16	seconded 10:8 18:7	184:10 185:21
270:2 271:1 272:2	*	scheduling 310:19	21:15 55:9 65:18	209:15 308:21
276:11 280:18	rule-making 275:2	science 143:3	65:21 81:21 93:5	sectors 26:4
282:9 292:5,8	279:9	scientific 99:3	104:20 107:17	see 8:3 18:15 24:14
315:12 320:11	run 76:17 162:3	253:3	115:4 119:12,14	28:18 35:6 36:9
323:22 325:1,6	279:10 287:14	scientifically	123:3 127:11	52:7,14 54:2 56:3
rigorous 112:2	314:10 318:21	261:19	154:14 160:2,2	57:9,10,12 77:2
Riherd 1:23 12:8		scopes 319:21,21	166:22 186:13	78:13 79:6 101:4
19:7 23:9 64:8	S	screen 114:5	219:6 247:10	118:14 126:9
74:4 75:18 91:9	Sacramento 77:1	scroll 60:1,8,9,10	280:14 281:15	174:7 175:20
116:11 121:4	329:17 331:13	181:19 304:16	296:3 332:5	179:5 185:10
124:12 149:18	safety 234:13 304:8	scrutiny 166:1	seconding 123:4	187:8 190:4 195:5
157:7 164:17	304:11	sea 159:3	Secretary 1:17	209:10 214:18
176:18 215:9,12	sailing 300:21	seal 317:7	9:11 10:2,14,19	222:12,20 223:5
243:11 258:13	sales 243:21	search 194:2	11:1,5,13 12:18	224:12,17 249:15
284:3 293:18	salts 21:4	searching 194:16	14:1 16:8,11,15	249:17 257:12
			, ,	
	·	·	·	

	I	1		I
278:19 316:8	50:19 152:16	significance 175:21	55:18,21 56:15	311:18 312:2
320:5 321:22	153:2,6 279:11	190:1	58:11 63:15,16	316:9 318:21
329:3 330:4	separately 49:10	significant 188:16	66:10,21 68:13,17	320:6,17,21
seeds 174:16 175:1	separation 14:4	193:2 212:8 213:5	69:7,17,20 70:2,5	327:16 329:7
seeing 12:4 18:21	separator 201:22	250:12 272:21	70:8 73:11,12	331:21 332:5
21:22 64:14 66:4	septic 135:6	311:17	86:22 87:4,7,15	SMITH 2:20
72:22 121:21	serious 97:11	silicates 15:15	90:15,16 115:14	snags 287:10
123:11 148:13	seriously 69:11	silicone 306:22	116:2 117:3	soapbox 195:18
176:9 189:21	seriousness 247:21	similar 155:10	120:11,12 123:16	soaps 14:20,20
190:3 258:2	serve 24:18	198:3	123:17 139:11,22	soap-based 14:15
275:11 298:12	service 1:1 75:11	Similarly 315:2	140:3,8,11 148:11	14:17
332:7	75:16	simple 83:6 197:17	149:3,4 150:16,17	sodium 21:4 154:5
seek 89:18 189:9	session 5:4,5 76:15	272:11	151:6 157:21,22	154:6 306:17
seen 26:19 173:15	set 67:11 257:9	simplistic 198:1,4	159:22 161:11	soil 15:11 161:18
231:17 295:4	288:3 323:13	simply 195:12	162:8,18 165:9	soils 15:4
sees 41:9 223:2	325:18	197:14	166:20 167:2	sold 4:15 142:13
segment 171:6	setting 118:11,12	sincerely 191:14	169:20 171:8	218:4 219:2
segregate 170:4	settled 253:5	single 32:16 61:19	172:6 176:1	sole 234:14
segregation 170:3	seven 7:16 26:20	62:11 224:14	177:10,11 180:4	solely 136:2
selenium 15:16	shake 68:8	331:7	186:8 193:11	solid 42:6 275:19
sell 140:20	shaking 137:8	sit 235:3	195:8,17 196:6	287:6
send 15:22 199:14	shalt 53:7	sits 249:17	216:5,6 217:20	soluble 15:13
250:22 303:14,19	SHANNON 2:19	sitting 151:4 211:2	218:1 219:11,19	solution 71:17
309:21	sharing 46:9	236:12 267:9	221:3,14 222:21	230:14 234:13
sending 249:21	Shea 8:14	situation 196:12	226:17 229:17	240:18
sends 248:7	sheets 8:5 274:15	situations 140:7	230:6 231:12,17	solve 197:15
sense 172:12	shellac 166:12	six 9:13 31:14,15	233:8,12,21 234:2	somebody 111:3
222:14 256:4	shift 97:4	31:17,19 52:10	234:8,10 235:13	284:12
sensibilities 208:7	shifted 162:16	103:5	235:22 236:10,16	someone's 146:17
208:8	shifts 111:21	slate 249:12	236:21 237:2,8,15	somewhat 94:20
sensitivities 189:16	ship 300:20	slaughter 169:22	237:20 240:8,13	254:19 260:19
sent 17:3,9 302:13	shock 135:6	slaughterhouse	240:17,21 241:3,6	328:15
302:19,21	shopping 171:2	170:1,3	241:8 242:3,7,21	soon 52:19 306:4
sentence 24:15,17	short 110:14	slaughtering 202:1	243:4,18 244:2,15	sorry 11:16 13:14
25:12 112:12	shortchange 181:4	321:4	244:16 259:13	16:8 23:18 87:21
113:1,13 130:5,6	shortly 306:19	sleep 84:17	260:16 263:19	90:12 102:1
138:6,19 139:4,5	short-lived 313:3	slide 75:7	264:11,14,17,20	103:11 106:19
145:12 147:16	show 111:21	slight 178:17 265:5	265:19 269:13,16	108:1 125:15
148:18,21 184:11	shown 30:14	288:6	270:1 271:8,11,16	138:9 172:4 179:8
192:12,13 207:8	250:10	slightly 178:2,6,7,7	271:19 272:5,13	206:6 217:1 232:3
212:14,17 213:4,6	shows 28:20 229:2	232:11	277:16 282:10	259:4 268:8 287:1
243:1 246:19,19	sick 132:4	slow 289:5 291:2	283:10,11 286:6	297:20 298:1
247:2	sickness 142:5	small 257:15	290:15 291:1,10	305:19
sentences 242:12	side 7:21 59:8,11	Smillie 1:23 8:9	293:3,4 296:12,19	sort 42:14 43:2
sentiment 67:6	172:5,14 188:22	12:22 19:21 22:17	297:4,15 298:6	68:11 144:16
330:17	214:11 253:7,9	22:18 25:14,20	299:1,2 310:1,5	227:12 257:10
separate 33:11	sides 68:3 142:6	27:7,13,16,19	310:10,17 311:9	320:7 323:7 324:5
		·		

]			1
325:1 326:18	stand 68:14 77:20	326:1	294:20 296:6,7	submit 270:13,17
328:18	282:5 303:10	statutes 166:1	299:5 306:10	submitted 5:15
sorts 191:3	standard 5:4 24:3	191:10	329:6	82:13
sought 187:3	30:14 103:1	statutory 325:20	Steven 167:20	subsequent 139:3
sound 5:7 238:8	163:19	stay 218:19 253:13	Steve's 181:4 296:6	subset 32:16 33:8
246:9 300:10	standards 1:5,10	262:18 300:19	sticky 15:4	134:14
sounds 231:6 312:8	3:11 32:12 33:2	314:12 328:10	stock 142:10	substance 7:4
317:1,3	65:2,19 67:11	staying 60:18	143:12	11:21 45:16,16
source 248:13	71:3 75:14 85:8	stays 108:11 220:1	stocking 305:11	46:2 66:13 110:6
sourcing 173:7	85:10 86:2 142:3	stearate 159:3	stop 60:4	123:6 132:3,18
South 331:13	304:9,12 308:18	steep 303:11	stopped 47:14	144:8 179:20
sow 131:8,10	316:13 331:20	steered 330:12	295:13	185:8,9,11
spaces 184:22	standing 77:16	step 13:15 86:10	straight 101:21	substances 3:7,21
span 261:8 288:7	96:14	98:13,17,22 99:3	256:16 257:5	14:5 18:8 20:20
spare 304:6	standpoint 187:11	103:8 147:15	286:8,20	43:18 62:3,6 96:6
speak 212:16 240:8	stands 43:10	201:11,19 222:18	strategy 24:19 25:9	96:7 118:1 122:8
speaking 313:3	197:21	223:3 247:22	straw 151:9 236:19	145:4 153:17
330:7	starch 166:15	248:2 249:9,22	Street 329:16	158:10 319:5
special 6:14,18	167:13	252:21 253:5,10	stricken 30:6	substantive 24:10
specific 28:11	start 8:8 19:1 99:20	254:22 260:13	strict 232:6,7,9	83:1,12 288:13
32:12 45:10 53:22	153:10 228:14	267:16 277:6	strictly 160:18	subtext 42:9
72:1 142:14	246:22 279:9	278:14 279:10	231:21	successful 76:22
153:12 156:10	302:16	287:20 290:10	strike 29:2 56:11	207:13
195:14 309:9	started 9:8 179:18	291:20 292:1	strong 67:6 129:8	sucrose 15:5 119:3
311:21 316:18	195:8	301:4 315:13	249:21 250:6	sufficiency 303:18
specifically 52:4	starting 7:20 19:5	316:16,17	257:2	sufficient 288:17
136:12 144:19	93:21 234:5	stepping 264:18	stronger 248:8	302:16 319:18
195:6	291:15	277:2	struck 262:4	sufficiently 135:17
specifics 193:13	Starts 329:13	Steve 1:18 13:3	structure 24:20	136:1
spend 35:4 51:18	state 13:20 155:11	18:13 20:2 22:21	25:10 26:3 27:4,6	suffocating 15:3,9
271:3 325:19	stated 32:6 52:4	58:5 63:20 73:16	28:15 54:17 82:17	sugar 307:10
spirit 327:16	160:18 161:5	90:20 117:6	289:8	suggest 100:6
split 28:3 70:3	167:1 221:21	120:16 123:21	structured 126:13	103:19 113:22
265:2 276:11	252:19 281:16	149:8 152:9,12	struggling 93:20	143:20 151:2
278:11,22 279:6	statement 119:15	154:14 156:19	218:7	325:19 326:8
splitting 238:9	138:2 142:14	163:3 164:3,7	strychnine 3:22	suggested 78:12
spoke 72:19	143:9,15 148:8	171:9 175:11	21:5 122:11,13	130:3
spring 57:20 327:6	175:13 196:16 243:17 267:13	177:14 180:22 216:10 218:11	stuck 195:10	suggesting 28:7,12
sprouts 69:13			studiously 191:22	29:2,17 32:21
spur 220:6 253:19 256:17	272:17	220:6,16 221:8,12	stuff 169:12 187:4	41:7 111:18 226:15 325:1
	statements 110:14 196:8	228:18 230:9	202:9 204:12	
squeeze 323:2 stabilized 166:7	States 1:1 194:8	234:16 237:9 241:12 245:2	327:2,3 subject 32:14,22	suggestion 147:2 285:11
staff 2:10 326:22	static 44:11 49:22	259:16 276:14,20	131:1 165:22	
329:12	status 58:22 137:16	278:2 283:15	195:3	suggestions 34:12 suitable 32:19
stages 226:7 306:13	325:9	286:5 290:14	subjected 190:16	33:10 168:21
stages 220.7 500.15 staggered 325:17	statute 189:6 214:5	291:6 293:8	submission 82:12	sulfate 305:6,6
staggeren 323.17	Statute 109.0 414.J	471.0 473.0	5401111351011 02.12	Sunate 303.0,0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

10 / 15 1 /	1.054	207 (12.16	22 < 10 242 5	-, , -, -, -, ,
sulfates 15:14	supposed 276:4	205:6,6,12,16	236:10 242:5	51:4 52:22 54:12
sulfites 206:21	sure 6:21 25:5 26:2	206:5,14,21 207:2	262:6 272:17	57:16 59:4 79:10
sulfur 15:1,1,8,10	30:18 32:8 34:5	207:18 208:3,11	278:6 288:21	86:7 249:5 250:9
15:13	34:10 36:10,14	209:3,7 211:1	290:17 303:3	260:17 262:8
sulphur 206:13	42:18 43:8,22	212:8,10 214:2,4	304:7 307:20	289:8
207:22	44:8 51:1,22	247:5 281:6	308:2 314:17	taste 236:21
summarize 66:17	58:20 59:5,15	295:12 297:7	316:17 317:4,19	team 76:5
185:12	70:20 74:21 81:12	synthetics 28:4	324:9,12 327:17	tech 306:14
summarized	84:17 85:4 86:16	132:17 140:22	330:2,6	technical 16:1
181:13 185:16	86:22 97:21 98:1	141:1,2,6 158:13	taken 48:9 147:16	239:10,12,22
summer 15:3,9	108:16 109:7	188:8 190:12	187:21 191:6	240:5
175:3 318:5	110:13,18 113:21	200:4 201:17,19	200:17 288:4,4	tell 62:14
Sunset 3:2,5,6,21	116:6 119:19	207:18 208:1	313:17	telling 156:9
4:4,6,8 9:15 11:6	126:16 127:22	system 14:14	takes 26:21 30:20	ten 7:12,15 260:4
11:8,20 14:3	128:6,22 132:6	169:15 172:12	54:12 189:15	284:8
20:19 24:2 30:15	163:12 171:11	297:6 305:13	284:8 304:15	term 111:12 201:5
30:21 32:2,15	172:7 217:16	324:3	331:8	219:15 228:17
33:1,5 41:14	231:7 232:16	systemic 94:20,21	talk 39:1 43:20	232:8,10 319:19
50:19 51:11,12	236:9 242:3	systems 14:14	193:12,12 236:14	319:22
56:4,8,21 57:5,8	247:20 261:15	system's 169:19	311:6 331:6	terminology 31:2
94:4,6,10,13	270:14 274:10	S-E-S-I-O-N	talked 32:18	232:6,7,9
99:16 100:19	296:22 306:5	246:1	168:18 224:8	terms 41:3 110:15
102:5 117:22	309:6 311:19		303:11 331:9	127:22 131:6
153:7,15 154:11	314:6,8 315:14	<u>T</u>	talking 61:17 111:9	172:13 174:18
154:16 159:21	surgery 134:20	table 3:17 19:2,3	115:15,17 141:10	228:15 235:7
162:6 163:6 164:2	135:2 142:21	104:20 114:15,18	168:8 190:12	267:1 270:8 321:5
248:9 249:10	143:4 144:15	114:21 115:5	203:17 204:20	325:18,22
252:8 254:6,14	surprise 187:5	116:7,9 117:16	205:3 206:18,19	Terrestrial 3:11
256:16,22 257:4,5	surprisingly 156:2	125:11,13,16	230:20 231:1,21	65:3,19 71:3
260:14 262:20	survey 150:20	231:22 246:10	233:13 261:11	terrible 38:4
276:16,17 278:14	susceptible 110:12	314:17 315:3	267:4,4 287:4	terrific 330:8
286:9,10,19,19	suspect 97:8	tabled 247:13	talks 51:19 264:4	test 273:6
292:2,9 303:22	sweet 166:15	314:20	Tall 302:15	testimonies 169:17
305:2 307:14	167:13	tabling 115:15,17	tank 222:7 223:11	testing 190:9
314:13 324:20	switch 83:18	tacked 247:21	223:19,20 224:2	318:13 319:1
326:8 327:5	278:14 310:7	tackle 38:16	224:16 227:16	tetramethyl 302:11
Sunsets 58:4 302:6	synthetic 14:5	take 6:17 28:12	235:1,3 239:20	text 6:9 30:8
sunsetting 166:4	80:21 81:4,7	37:20 45:13 46:7	tanks 227:13	texture 237:1
super 241:15	92:11 132:17	53:19 54:3,11	234:19	thank 6:3,11 8:10
Superman's 231:6	134:3 142:7 144:8	69:14 76:4 82:2	targeted 26:16	8:15,19,21 9:11
supplement 166:15	154:7 187:12,14	83:13 98:18,18	tartrate 159:7	11:16 12:1,3
supply 169:2	187:22 188:10	113:22 130:18	task 3:15 24:20	17:11 22:3 23:21
support 93:21 97:6	190:5,8,15 194:22	178:6 183:16,17	25:9,15,18 26:3	27:20 46:12 47:12
131:16 248:11	197:22 198:7,11	189:13 197:19	26:10,13 27:4	55:1 56:16 60:9
supported 289:2	200:15,16,19,19	198:8 204:10	28:14 29:14 32:11	60:10,22 61:10
supports 130:7,9	201:13 202:6,8,10	206:12 209:4	33:7 34:20 37:4	62:13,20 64:21
130:13 148:21	204:5,9,11,17,22	220:9 232:18	41:11 43:19 44:15	69:18,20 72:10

55 2 4 4 2 4 5 2 2	102 1 200 0	107.0 (0.100.0	l.,	l
75:3,4,10,15,20	193:1 208:9	197:2,6,9 199:9	three 25:3 27:16	timeliness 315:22
76:6 77:15,18	230:22 250:11	199:13 203:14	58:2 67:14 69:13	timely 54:10 182:7
79:11 81:17 84:10	255:7 263:20,21	205:20 207:14,14	70:1 84:17 103:17	times 98:6 129:2
84:12 86:20 89:13	263:21 278:22	208:10,12,13,20	152:16 153:1,6	136:9,17 141:7
93:12,13 97:13,13	285:18 322:1	209:10,14 211:22	162:13 163:17	165:22 227:17
104:4 109:13	325:7	217:6 220:11,19	235:4 249:3 254:5	254:5 314:19
111:16 115:21	things 6:9 9:3 32:8	221:4 223:2,10,13	284:11 320:18	323:6 330:12
117:20 122:4	35:5,7 40:8 43:20	225:21 226:4	thrilled 209:13	time-to-time 318:9
123:2 125:18	44:17 54:16 61:18	227:11,20 228:10	THURSDAY 1:7	timing 37:5
129:1,6 133:2,22	61:21 70:4 72:2	228:13 229:19	tier 294:19 295:6	Tina 1:17 9:10 10:8
145:6 155:2,16,22	78:2 134:17	230:13 232:12,14	295:21	12:17 19:16 22:12
156:5,15 162:19	137:16 152:21	241:15 243:3	tiered 4:19 296:4	37:11 51:8 56:18
163:20 175:9	156:7 171:13	249:19,20 250:4,6	296:17 297:6	61:1 63:10 66:16
176:6 178:16	173:15 178:19	250:8,14,16,17,18	tight 275:14 279:6	72:11 73:7 88:4
180:18 181:7	184:8 196:22	254:1 255:1,17	289:15	90:8 93:3 107:3
186:22 191:16,17	201:11 202:10	257:2,17 261:2	tighten 68:4	116:20 119:12
203:10 213:12	204:3 208:3,15,16	267:20 270:9,12	Tilth 238:10	120:6 124:21
217:9 219:19	211:19 218:6,15	273:16 274:1	time 6:7 26:18,20	130:15 150:5
221:3 236:11	234:3,11 252:12	278:8 280:2 286:7	28:14,16,22 29:17	152:2 157:16
241:8,9,20,21	252:15 273:20	286:21 288:15	29:18 30:1 35:4	165:4 177:5 199:8
242:1 243:4,22	304:4 310:18	296:19 297:16	38:8,21 39:1,2,13	199:18 211:15
244:2 246:17	318:17 323:3	300:16 302:15	40:2,19 43:11	215:22 244:10
247:8 248:15,17	think 8:13,14 24:9	310:11 311:2,12	44:11 47:22 51:18	256:12 259:8
251:20 252:17	33:22 35:16,20	311:17 317:5,6	51:19 60:2,11	263:2 280:12,13
257:22 270:15	36:8 37:10 38:4	319:19 321:7,22	66:8 68:12 71:10	283:5 289:20
274:19 291:11	42:6,17 52:3	322:4 323:7,18	71:12 83:4,16	292:20 298:18
292:10 294:7,22	53:10 54:5,6,15	324:7,15 325:7	98:22 100:16,19	301:22 323:22
297:21,22 300:11	61:7 66:22 67:4	326:12,19 330:15	104:12 115:18	329:20
301:10 304:12,20	70:14 71:4,16	thinking 70:21	141:4,6 145:2,4	tired 286:7
306:9 312:11	72:5,9 74:13	85:2 93:16 225:15	150:19 151:1	title 26:8 32:18
316:7 317:22	94:18 95:13,16	273:3 278:7,21	152:6 171:9 183:4	33:10
321:10 325:11	96:14 98:3 104:11	thinks 35:22	188:12 201:15	toast 197:19
326:4 328:5,7	109:5,14 110:7	324:10	208:5 210:8,9	toasted 197:22
329:18 330:6,18	111:4,5 113:18	thirds 114:22	235:5 249:4	tobacco 21:5
thanks 88:18	131:12,20 134:1	Thirteen 7:12	253:21 254:5	today 33:12 51:9
162:18 197:11	137:8,18 146:17	thorough 153:9	273:3 274:4	78:13 175:13
256:6 269:21	151:3 153:8 163:6	190:16 274:14	275:14,21 276:6	176:4 193:9 196:1
330:22	171:13,20 172:18	thoroughly 273:8	276:18 277:3	197:8 212:20
therapeutic 134:5	172:22 173:14	thou 53:7	278:10 279:6	213:7 256:5 267:9
134:7,14	174:1,2,5 175:3,6	thought 69:8 72:15	289:15 295:3	305:6
thickener 166:14	175:15 179:17	87:16 88:8 110:22	301:12,19 304:6	today's 5:9 7:3
thin 266:21 290:4	184:6 187:18	112:7 138:3	304:16 307:21	tolazoline 118:20
thing 8:14 29:7	188:6,17,19	140:12 198:14	308:2 313:13	told 96:21 169:3
35:3 38:1 78:19	189:12 190:21	209:1 262:4	324:9,12 325:10	186:21 211:3
82:6,9 85:12	191:1,14,16 193:1	271:11 285:19	325:20 326:2	212:6
114:11 144:16	193:22 194:3	320:18	327:18	Tom 326:9
145:1 173:22	195:1,4 196:19,21	thread 166:16	timeline 26:17	tomorrow 329:9,13
	, ,			, , ,
		ı	ı	ı

4 01.6 02.10 12	269.2 22 292.7	101-21-110-21	4	
ton 81:6 92:10,12	268:3,22 283:7	101:21 110:21	type 134:10	unfortunate 275:22
92:14,16,18,19	292:22 298:20	146:7 194:12	types 35:7 134:9	unfortunately
103:9,10,12,13	Tracy's 186:15	202:22 203:4,12	228:16 274:9	28:19 184:21
112:10 265:3,10	trade 232:1	208:6 230:21	typically 96:19	263:3
265:13,14,16	traditionally 192:2	238:11,15 258:5	typing 306:7	unfriendly 271:15
280:21 281:6,8,10	transcript 217:7	324:4	U	271:20
281:18 285:5,7,8	224:21 317:17	turkey 92:13	unanimous 14:9	United 1:1 194:8
288:8	transfer 69:5	103:10 281:9	18:17,19 58:16	Unknown 56:6
tons 92:13 265:11	253:10	285:7	80:13 295:17	unknowns 255:2
281:9 303:4	transition 248:12	turkeys 81:10	unanimously 21:1	unlisted 132:17
tool 135:8 261:21	255:21	92:18 103:12	unannounced	unsure 115:12
tools 135:10	transitioning 96:1 135:9	261:1 265:18	318:13,14 320:19	update 181:16
top 8:1 170:11		281:18 282:18	unaware 179:15,17	updated 314:11
171:11 234:21,21 234:22 322:2	transparent 171:16 174:12	Turkish 166:16	201:1	updates 181:17
		turn 23:17 230:1	unbelievable	upset 330:16
topic 120:2 123:11	transport 321:5	tweaking 182:1 Twelve 7:13 216:22	331:10	urge 97:2 150:18
184:15,15 193:4 322:5	traps 15:4 treat 143:12 145:15	twice 295:11	unbleached 166:13	usage 256:17 268:17
topical 119:1	223:13 224:13	twice 293.11 twisted 111:2	uncomfortable	USDA 264:7
topics 315:10 316:5	treated 144:19	two 4:19 31:4 74:11	311:13	use 3:7 4:15 14:6,8
316:5,22	treating 146:10	78:22 79:2,7 80:1	undecided 225:18	14:12,16,18,19,21
total 81:4	treatment 119:1	88:9 91:19 99:15	underlined 185:18	15:6,11 17:22
totally 31:11 33:11	142:17	101:18,19,20	underlying 188:14	20:21 21:2 38:10
71:12 146:12	treatments 118:6	101.18,19,20	understand 36:15	42:13 43:2 44:22
170:10 175:11	135:18	114:21 135:19	51:16 59:10 71:9	45:5,19 48:2 53:9
191:9	tremendous 191:13	140:4,16 141:7	86:1 94:7 129:16	81:2 85:7 92:8
touch 300:19	196:13	162:1,11 180:5	146:8 170:5,6	94:13 99:19
touches 322:5	tremendously	181:3 182:11	184:3 187:17	101:16 102:21
Toxicity 56:6	168:9	193:11 194:13	204:12 205:8	101.10 102.21 109:18,19,22
toxicology 189:22	tribasic 158:15	195:9 196:21	212:13,22 224:18	111:6,11,20 112:1
TR 17:3 302:12,16	trick 236:13	198:8 202:17	227:18 231:11	111:0,11,20 112:1
302:19,22 303:12	trigger 27:10	210:4 216:20,22	232:7 250:15	122:9 139:15
303:19 313:13	triumvirate 25:4	222:12 235:3	263:9 273:12	142:20 143:22
trace 119:6	tropic 158:17	249:3 250:16	276:7 282:8 291:8	145:9 146:6 154:4
track 64:17 175:19	trouble 144:1	251:19 263:1	understanding	155:6,14 156:3
314:8,12 331:9	troubled 94:15	264:21 265:5	24:16 25:2 26:5	158:18,21 159:4,9
Tracy 1:17 12:19	TRs 305:4 306:18	276:11,17,21	26:15,22 47:13	159:12,16,17
19:18 22:14 63:12	314:6 315:22	277:6,12 278:11	49:20 52:17 86:5	166:14 168:22
73:9 90:10 105:21	327:3,18,19,21	286:9 294:19	140:6 141:13	170:17 171:12
116:22 120:8	true 128:22 143:8	295:6,21 296:4,17	202:13 233:9	187:14 190:3
123:13 150:7	277:16	297:5 306:6,21	263:22 264:2	200:6 202:6 209:5
154:14 157:18	try 58:3 89:17	315:10 316:11	315:17 316:20	210:11 212:20
160:12 165:6	248:19 279:8	317:4 326:10,13	understands 36:11	218:3,12,22 219:7
177:7 216:2	287:19 306:19	twofold 262:18	151:20 233:6	219:15 222:17
227:19 229:15	314:11 317:13	two-thirds 7:5 83:2	247:20	223:2 224:14
230:12 244:12	324:21 326:3	83:3	understood 174:4	227:15 230:21
256:7 259:10	trying 66:14 97:17	tying 135:1	213:5	232:8,9,10 233:7
	J	-yg		
	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	l ————————————————————————————————————	I

235:9 236:3 239:3	venues 191:10	voluntory 190.2	290:8,9 292:12	44:7 45:4,17	
241:16 248:4,6	venues 191:10 verb 202:15	voluntary 180:3 volunteers 8:10	290:8,9 292:12	50:15 51:1 59:5	
261:21 268:20	verbiage 29:21	vote 3:4,5,8,10,12	298:13 300:17	59:14 60:4 61:15	
277:18,22 281:4	verblage 29.21 versus 53:7 188:16	3:15,17,18,19,19	voted 20:22 58:7,9		
282:16 285:4	209:8 239:11	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	88:12 118:15	62:2,12 72:17 79:11 80:19 82:2	
	241:1	3:21,22 4:2,5,6,8	176:4 193:9 213:7	85:3 87:13 88:22	
289:11,18 297:5 useful 238:8 313:18	veterinarian's	4:10,13,17,19	286:12	89:20 95:8 96:11	
user 96:16	140:14	5:17 6:20 7:3,5,8 7:12 9:13 10:21		96:13 99:14 100:5	
uses 134:5,7 136:2	veterinary 142:4	10:21 11:8,20,20	votes 6:17 7:4,6,11 7:13,13,14,15	103:16 106:6	
155:19 160:18,22	viable 255:2	13:16,19 14:10	13:9,12 14:8	108:6,16 110:12	
		18:21 19:2,4,5	18:15 20:11 23:11	*	
161:4 163:14,16 163:17 247:5	Vice 6:15,17 7:1 74:15	20:16 22:1 30:22	57:7 64:12 74:10	110:14,17,22	
utilize 31:2 32:22		31:2,3 33:19	74:21 78:22 79:3	127:21 134:22	
	Vice-Chairperson 1:17 12:20 19:19	,	83:22 88:9 91:17	139:12 142:22	
utmost 95:9		37:17 51:9,15		145:8,10 146:9	
U205.2 185:19 U205.601 185:22	21:13 22:15 63:13 90:11 104:18	52:20 56:4,7 57:8	117:14 121:22	150:18,21 151:6,7 162:3 169:13	
U205.603 185:22	105:4 106:5,10	58:14,15 61:14 63:1 66:5 69:14	125:2 153:6 158:2 165:13 177:17	171:1 173:3 174:8	
U205.606 186:1	117:1 120:9	73:2 78:19 79:16	182:11,12 245:10	181:4,16 185:3	
0203.000 100.1	123:14 154:12	79:17 80:16 82:17	251:19 284:5	187:5,9 190:14,14	
V	157:19 160:13	82:20 83:2,3,9	293:22 299:21	192:11,20 195:11	
vaccines 118:8	163:15,18 165:7	84:8 86:13,17	voting 3:24 4:19	202:18 205:8	
vague 9:14	177:8 186:7 216:3	87:2,2,14,19 88:2	5:10 7:12,14,15	208:8 211:5,9	
vaguely 252:2	219:3 227:20	88:12 89:3 105:11	7:18,20 8:5 11:2,5	212:16 213:18	
Valerie 2:14 5:7	229:18,22 230:13	105:19 106:6,9	11:10,13,14 17:10	231:11 235:4	
16:5 24:4 30:3	244:13 256:8	103.17 100.0,7	31:8 55:19 56:9	238:22 239:1	
42:7 44:9 60:7	259:11 268:4,9	114:8 115:12	77:12,21 78:14	242:8,8 243:4	
137:12 181:18	269:1,20 283:8	116:3,6 121:15	79:8,14 108:7	255:19,20 257:12	
184:10,18 246:8	293:1 296:1	123:12 126:5,8	113:20 122:7	266:2 270:3	
269:22 279:16	298:21	130:4,6,9 133:4	125:8,17,21 126:9	272:13 274:9	
284:10 286:20	victimized 201:8	137:14 146:21	153:1,1 154:9	278:17 295:5	
294:13 300:10	view 36:2 41:6 94:4	147:8,9 148:14,17	184:9 185:5	303:19 310:12	
306:4 328:10	95:5 143:19	148:20 150:12	192:12,13,21,22	315:14,19 319:13	
331:5,7	190:20 203:22	151:1,6,7 152:15	228:9 259:1 282:9	319:16 324:8,12	
Valerie's 329:22	204:1 244:1	153:4 154:21	284:6,8 291:20	325:5 328:9 330:1	
valid 110:5 243:3	viewed 201:15	156:16 158:9	292:5 295:7,21	wanted 34:5,6	
291:3	viewpoint 191:7	178:5 179:13	296:5,18 297:6	40:12 61:10 68:3	
Valley 170:8	virtue 204:13	180:8 192:15	300:8	71:6 86:16 88:1,6	
valuable 193:3	205:14	196:4 215:7 226:1	vu 252:1	93:19 95:17	
valve 222:8	vitamin 15:17	226:13 230:16		128:21 140:12	
various 5:12	vitamins 119:6	242:6 244:22	W	163:12 184:7,12	
246:12 270:10	307:12 308:4	250:21 251:2,6,11	W 1:22	199:12 214:19	
306:12 318:3	voice 78:2 115:12	251:14,15,16	waiting 44:1	221:2 225:16	
319:8 323:6	116:6 151:5	255:6 258:2,7,9,9	wakame 166:17	235:18 236:2	
vegetables 159:9	voiced 291:16	258:10 259:2,5	wall 28:9	252:6 260:20	
vegetarian 257:9	volume 170:12	272:20 276:20	want 11:17 25:16	261:15 264:20	
263:20	172:1	282:20 284:7	26:2,13 27:8 34:9	291:7	
vegetarians 257:11	volume's 170:5	287:2,3 288:3	36:4,10,18 42:8	wanting 188:7,8	
_					
	I	I	<u> </u>	1	

253:7 328:22	76:5 322:17	11:5,10,13 14:4	40:21 54:15 57:6	wondering 161.21	
wants 26:7 40:21	welfare 126:3	26:16,21 28:17	59:4 75:6 78:2	wondering 161:21 168:13 199:5	
46:1 99:12,20	131:15 320:15	29:2,17 30:17	82:4,9 128:1	wondrous 227:14	
105:21 187:18	321:3,6	31:17 32:3,3	161:13 173:15	wondrous 227.14 wood 154:7	
190:19 314:9	Wendy 1:21 4:10	33:16 37:13 38:13	176:4 182:8 188:1	Woodland 1:12	
wasn't 34:5 72:13	12:11 19:10 22:6	38:14,21 41:7	190:21 192:8	word 26:10 29:9	
84:17 87:8 97:22	63:4 74:7 75:18	42:6 44:15 46:16	190.21 192.8	54:8 104:12 109:7	
137:13 138:11	91:12 95:10	51:5 52:8,9 55:18	195:20 202:9	174:9 238:12	
137.13 138.11	116:14 121:7	56:9,11 61:18	205:9,15 207:18	252:22	
261:12	124:15 131:18	67:8 76:13 78:10	207:19 209:2	wording 27:8	
water 159:3 166:10	134:15 142:18	82:18 83:17,18	210:3,21 211:4	100:11,13 108:22	
wave 181:10	149:21 157:10	85:8 94:15 95:22	213:21 219:17	100.11,13 108.22	
wax 154:7	164:20 172:1,3	105:9 108:7	232:10 249:2	114:2,4 135:17	
wax 154.7 waxes 154:6	176:21 179:7,11	110:20 111:9	266:4,13 301:21	136:1 139:14	
way 7:21 8:18	215:16 243:14	113:20 115:14,16	302:18 303:11	228:20	
21:10 35:13 37:12	261:18 262:12	118:12,22 125:14	315:6 322:9,12	words 28:21 53:9	
38:5 41:6 43:6	272:4,5,18 282:7	125:15,20,20	324:20 327:22	61:17 104:8	
49:21 57:13 61:8	282:21 291:17	141:9 142:19	330:3,4	107:18 108:8	
61:16 62:19 70:15	292:14 299:18	145:21 146:5	whatsoever 218:18	112:22 265:4	
82:4 83:20 86:10	went 8:18 76:10	147:19 151:21	242:18	wordsmithing	
91:19 99:9 125:21	153:8 173:16	152:7 169:8	wheat 197:19,21	242:10,14	
126:12 137:19	178:13 185:14	174:21 178:5,6,22	whichever 32:21	work 5:20 25:3	
169:15,16,18	295:1	180:15 187:11,13	241:12	36:5 41:2 54:2,7	
178:18 180:2	weren't 48:13	187:16 188:6,7	whip 154:7	54:13 57:15 61:4	
194:17 195:4	106:3	189:21 190:3,12	willing 280:5	68:9 77:19 79:12	
198:14 202:12	we'll 21:22 54:3,4	191:1,2 192:21	wine 206:15,20	83:21 93:15 95:20	
214:2,4 223:14	66:5 68:21 76:4	197:6 201:7 203:3	207:21,21 208:17	96:11 97:14	
224:11,12 226:12	79:8,14,16,22	203:17 204:19,22	208:20 209:11	126:18 155:6,13	
242:6 251:19	80:1 82:1 90:13	205:1,3 206:18,19	236:19 237:1	155:18 156:3	
256:19 257:9	99:17 106:16	211:2,11 212:6	wines 208:14	177:21 178:3	
270:19 273:17	115:12 153:4	217:14,16,17,20	wisdom 254:22	179:2 183:20	
281:16 285:16	156:12 172:7	218:17 228:7	wise 310:20	187:1 193:8	
289:6 309:7 311:2	176:4 179:10	230:22 234:5,10	withdraw 125:13	196:13 202:17	
314:9 315:12	191:15 199:15	238:11,15 244:3	125:15 280:3,6,10	210:10 211:12	
326:10 330:7	242:5 249:17	245:13 246:5,6,18	withdrawal 119:16	217:3,10 249:12	
ways 162:1,5 173:2	272:22 275:19	247:14 249:20	withdrawing	250:5,6 261:17	
263:7 301:9	288:20 289:11,18	251:18 266:10	125:16,20,20	263:6 287:19	
wean 145:13	289:18 298:12	273:14 274:8,11	280:8	294:11 298:3	
web 230:22	303:1 304:19	277:2,4 282:9	withdrawn 3:24	300:3,5 301:12,15	
website 42:5	305:8,10 306:19	287:3,4 289:15	126:8	301:17 302:2,3,4	
weed 14:16	307:12 309:18	290:2,3,6 291:20	withholding 136:9	302:6 306:4,13	
week 76:22 206:11	311:6 312:2 314:3	300:21 308:5	136:17 141:4,6,8	307:12 312:17	
276:3,4 313:5	314:11,13,22	313:2 316:14,17	145:1,2,4	313:7,8,16 314:3	
321:15	315:7,11 318:4	317:4 318:2,6	wi-fi 9:5	315:11,19 316:1,4	
weeks 261:9	322:15 324:13	319:7 320:9	Wolf 77:3	316:9 317:14,20	
weighing 5:15	328:3 331:10	324:22 330:4,5,21	wonderful 8:13,20	318:12,19 321:12	
welcome 75:14	we're 5:7 6:21 11:1	we've 25:16 40:20	9:2 173:17 330:19	322:11,16 324:18	
			•		

	 	l	l	1
325:6,10 326:11	Wyard 238:2,2,7	145:13 197:10	1000 81:7	189 4:12
328:3,20 330:2,19	240:11,15,19	253:10,16	11 313:4 329:4	19 307:19
331:6	241:1,4,7	younger 272:7	11:00 32:7 76:20	1962 1:12
worked 5:13 26:22	T 7	yous 196:14	11:10 178:13	
172:5 192:1 307:2	<u>X</u>		11:25 178:8,14	2
working 6:16 7:21	xanthan 159:15	Z	118 3:18,21	2 3:12,15 4:13 28:5
25:18,20 26:9,12	xenon 231:5	zero 13:12 20:12	12 3:12,15 4:13	28:6 30:1 39:5,17
26:19 27:11 28:2	xylazine 118:21	23:12 122:1 125:3	74:11 91:20	91:20 92:17 94:3
38:14 62:17 114:6	Y	158:3 177:18	287:18 307:18	103:10,12 108:8
169:14 172:13		180:9 299:22	12:15 217:14	184:21 265:11,14
174:2 179:19	year 29:9,12	zeroing 134:13	12:30 178:11	285:6
182:8 294:14	171:12 276:17	zinc 15:15	12:40 245:13	2.5 265:3,15 267:21
308:1,5 313:20	325:16,22 327:2	0	12:44 245:16	2:00 180:12
314:3 315:8,15,17	years 26:20 38:1		120 3:21	20 3:5 103:14
318:3,18 322:8	72:20 98:21 99:16	0 3:4,5,8,21,22 4:5	1220 329:16	2000 67:16 307:15
326:1	100:13,22 101:18	4:6,8,19	123 3:22	2004 38:21 39:3,9
workplan 65:1	101:18,18,19	1	124 3:22	42:12 47:14 48:3
304:22	102:8,18 103:17	1 3:4,8,10,10,12,15	126 3:24	2009 4:12
Workplans 4:21	179:19 202:18	3:18,19,19,21,22	127 4:2	2010 1:8 88:15,15
works 85:19 183:8	210:4,7 249:12	4:2,2,5,6,8,8,13	13 3:4,10 4:2,8,17	101:10 102:14,15
209:12 257:2	276:17,21 277:6	4:17,17,19 28:1,1	64:16 150:14	109:20 277:18
world 62:16 203:18	277:12 286:10	29:9 52:1 81:3	180:9 216:20	287:6
273:22 302:7	315:7 316:11		245:11	2011 3:2 9:15
worlds 256:15	yeast 307:1,2	91:20 92:9,15 101:17 102:22	14 3:4,5,5,8,21,22	287:18
257:19	308:13,18 309:1		4:5,6,19 13:12	2012 3:5,6 14:2
worldwide 175:6	309:12 312:9	103:8,14 104:8 107:18 109:9,11	20:12 23:12 122:1	20:19 92:9,15
worth 326:2	yellow 28:8,9	107.18 109.9,11	125:3 158:3	100:16 101:11
wouldn't 35:4 45:6	yesterday 9:17	112:12 113:1,14	177:18 284:6,7,7	102:18,22 103:8
111:19 204:4	24:1,7,11 26:11	247:4 260:4	299:22	265:9,13 277:1
237:15 240:20	29:4 31:21,22	265:12 279:2	149 4:2	279:2,15 281:5
242:1	33:13 39:5 41:13	281:5 282:16	15 83:10 102:2	282:16 284:22
wrap 209:21	52:18 54:9 61:17	287:6,18	153 4:4	287:18 301:3
322:20	65:9,11,13 67:4	1st 88:15 265:9	157 4:5	302:6 314:13
write 54:11 193:4	77:5 78:9,13 79:7	284:22	159 4:6	327:5
273:18 274:17	85:9 118:11,12	1:40 245:14	1600 62:10	2014 101:21
writer 137:22	127:2 131:6	1:45 245:14	164 4:6	2015 81:3 104:8
writing 98:12	150:22 152:20,22	1:57 245:18 246:2	166 4:8	107:19 108:8
110:13 138:5	153:10 160:14	10 3:18,19,19 284:7	17 102:2,4	109:9,11 110:2,5
267:15 309:18	168:18,20 169:6	294:1	170.3025 239:9	112:12 113:2,14
written 49:21 55:3	181:13,21 185:16	10:45 152:6	240:4	247:4
127:6 163:7	195:22 197:18	10:45 132:6 100 130:7 136:22	173.025 239:6	2017 101:17
263:13	214:15 216:19		173.24 239:11	205 166:9
wrong 32:8 174:9	218:19 219:17	223:16,21 224:4	177 4:9	205(2) 201:20
324:6	220:16 221:9	235:14,17 236:5	178 4:10	209:6
wrote 22:2 130:20	230:19 233:9	237:9 238:15,19	18 29:13	205-601 3:5
138:6	267:4 294:21	317:12	180 28:12,21 29:3	205-604 3:22 122:7
WSTA 319:6	295:1,10,19	100% 4:15 218:4	33:9	205.238(c)(1) 4:2
	young 143:11	219:2,7		
	•		•	•

126:22	3:25 332:8	606 152:16 153:5		
205.601 3:6 10:4	302 4:21	155:5,15,21 156:4		
	321 4:22			
14:3,5 17:21		165:18 167:1		
20:20 32:14 35:15	332 4:23	171:14,17 172:16		
205.601 (a) 153:10	4	172:20 173:12		
205.603 3:21 80:22		174:10 179:13		
118:1 142:9,10	43:9,18,19,19	180:9 307:1,19		
144:9	23:15 24:2 29:16	309:3		
205.603(a) 118:5	30:13 38:9 56:5	606(b) 155:15		
205.603(b) 118:22	58:22 63:3 81:9	64 3:10		
205.603(d) 119:5	92:12 260:4 281:8	65 3:12		
205.603(d)(1) 92:6	282:17 294:1	6517(c)(1)(b)(i)		
281:1 285:1	4:00 329:14	96:4		
205.603(f) 119:7	40 33:8			
205.605 307:4	43 307:14	7		
205.605(a) 4:4		7 83:9 92:5 281:1		
153:16	5	285:1		
205.605(b) 4:6	5 3:18 30:10 33:16	70 240:13		
158:8	33:17 50:22 52:1	70/30 206:22		
	60:16 81:10 92:13	73 3:12		
205.606 4:8,10	281:9 282:17	75 3:13		
166:9,19 307:4	5008 42:5			
21 3:8 239:11	3000 42.3	79 3:15		
215 4:13	6	8		
218 4:16	6 4:12 29:18 30:9			
23 3:8		8 83:9		
238 142:3	31:10 52:1 60:14	8:00 1:11		
238(c)(1) 127:13	81:11 92:14	8:07 5:2		
148:17	280:20 281:10,18	800 62:9		
24 3:9	282:18	834.1520 239:4		
243 4:17	6:30 76:20			
25th 176:3	601 10:11 18:8	9		
259 3:18	21:17 59:13 202:6	9 3:3,18		
26 307:19	603 119:13 120:4	9:00 329:13,17		
27 261:8	202:7	9:14 76:9		
	604 123:6	9:15 76:7		
283 3:19	605 156:4 166:9	9:30 76:8		
29 1:8	184:17 200:6	9:35 76:11		
293 3:19	306:16 307:18	90 3:15 142:11		
295 4:19	309:2	92 3:17		
299 4:19	605(a) 152:15	95 198:4		
	153:3 154:8,15	95/6 206:22		
3	155:4,15,20	73/U 200.22		
3 3:9 24:2 29:6,7				
30:14 38:9 51:10	605(b) 152:16			
56:6 57:12 58:22	153:5 155:4,20			
63:3 92:19 103:13	160:5 164:1 198:5			
112:10 210:7	204:10 205:5			
285:8	307:19			
	605/606 202:7			
	l		l	