# **DRAFT**

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance Urea

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance <u>Urea</u>								
Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)				
1. Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]			X					
2. Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]		X		In large quantities can foster excessive growth of algae or damage seedlings and inhibit germination TAP pg 7; recommended agricultural BMPs should reduce harm to environment; based upon petitioned use no harm expected. (Committee)				
3. Is the substance harmful to the environment? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]		X		Urea is non-toxic to aquatic organisms; can foster excessive growth of algae; in large quantities can damage seedlings and inhibit germination TAP pg 7; recommended agricultural BMPs should reduce harm to environment; based upon petitioned use no harm expected (Committee)				
4. Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]	X			There are no inert materials discussed in the petition. Material may contain inerts.				
5. Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used [§6518 m.1]		X		Urea may have detrimental interaction with sodium nitrate (low likelihood) and hypochlorites (very likely), which are approve for use" in organic production. The proposed application reduces the likelihood of any interactions. TAP pg 6, 13, 16, 19				
6. Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agroecosystem? [§6518 m.5]		X		Highly mobile; rapidly hydrolyzes to CO <sub>2</sub> and ammonia TAP pg 8; as a pest attractant there is little if any concern of the compound entering the water/soil TAP pg 14, 17, 20				
7. Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]		X		Rapid degradation by soil organisms and used as a nitrogen source by plants and microorganismsTAP pg 13				
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]		X		No toxic or other adverse action or breakdown products (CO <sub>2</sub> and ammonia) expected for petitioned use; ammonia accumulation is problematic when urea is applied as a fertilizer TAP pg 7, 13, 16, 19				
9. Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment? [§6518 m.2]		X		Rapid biodegradation (6-14 days at 20 C), low persistence; breakdown products are carbon dioxide and ammonia; ammonia accumulation is problematic when urea is applied as a fertilizer TAP pg7,13,16,19				
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A)(i); 6517 c(2)(A)i; §6518 m.4]	X			Eye, skin, and respiratory irritant Petition pg 4; headache, nausea, vomiting, transient confusion and electrolyte depletion, coughing, skin redness and itching, TAP pg 7, 8, 13, 16; At concentrations used for an attractant in traps, no adverse effects are expected TAP pg 20				
11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]			X					
12. Is the substance GRAS when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]			X					
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600b.5]			X					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

## DRAFT

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance \_\_\_\_ Urea \_\_\_\_\_

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
2. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
3. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			X	
4. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	X			Pheromones have been approved for use as insect attractants and ammonium carbonate has been approved as a bait in insect traps, TAP pg 9; insecticidal soaps TAP pg 14
5. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]			X	
6. Are there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	X			Pheromones have been approved for use as insect attractants and ammonium carbonate has been approved as a bait in insect traps, TAP pg 9; insecticidal soaps TAP pg 14
7. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]	X			Pheromones have been approved for use as insect attractants and ammonium carbonate has been approved as a bait in insect traps, TAP pg 9; insecticidal soaps TAP pg 14

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b)are N/A—not applicable.

#### DRAFT

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance

Substance <u>U</u> 1	rea
----------------------	-----

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [§205.600 b.2]			X	(TAT, pention, regulatory agency, outer)
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]				Minimal pollution results but one raw material is natural gas; it is non-toxic to aquatic organisms; promotes algae growth, can cause damage to seedlings and inhibit seed germination TAP pg 9; insect traps are compatible with sustainable agriculture; minimal environmental risk TAP pg 14, 17, 18, 20
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]				Minimal pollution results but one raw material is natural gas; it is non-toxic to aquatic organisms; promotes algae growth, can cause damage to seedlings and inhibit seed germination TAP pg 9; insect traps are compatible with sustainable agriculture; minimal environmental risk TAP pg 14, 17, 18, 20
4. Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [§205.600 b.3]			X	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]			X	
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]			X	
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories:				
<ul><li>a. copper and sulfur compounds</li><li>b. toxins derived from bacteria;</li></ul>		X X	<u> </u>	
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals?	X			"Urea is being petitioned for use as an insect (fruit fly) attractant in sticky traps" TAP pg 1
d. livestock parasiticides and medicines?		X		
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?	X			"Urea is being petitioned for use as an insect (fruit fly) attractant in sticky traps" TAP pg 1

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

### NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION

Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: M	Substance: <u>Urea</u>									
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)										
	Criteria Satisfied?									
Impact on huma	ans and environme	ent	Yes No (see B below)							
Availability crite	<b>,</b>					Yes ☐ No ☐ (see B below)				
-										
3. Compatibility & consistency Yes ☐ No ☐ (see B below)										
C. Proposed Annotation:										
		C. Proposed An	notation:							
B. Substance fails criteria	1?									
Criteria category:		Basis for annota	tion:							
Comments:		To meet criteria	above:	Criteria:						
		Other regulatory	criteria:	_ Citation:		····				
D. Final Board Action & V	ote: Motion by:		Sec	ond:						
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural	Nonagricul	tural	Crops	Х					
Yes:	Synthetic	Not synthe	tic	Livestock						
No:	Allowed <sup>1</sup>	Prohibited <sup>2</sup>	2	Handling						
NO	No restriction	Deferred4		Rejected <sup>3</sup>						
Abstain:						1				
Annotation:	1—substance vot	ed to be added a	s "allowed" or	n National List						
2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List  Describe why a prohibited substance:										
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List										
Describe why material was rejected:										
4-substance was recommended to be deferred Describe why deferred; if any follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who conducts follow-										
up										
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP:										
Dave Carter, NOSB Chair Date										
Date										
_										
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List:										
Return to NOSB Reason:										
Pichard U Mathews Dra	Richard H. Mathews, Program Manager  Date									
Kicharu n. wathews, Program Manager Date										

#### NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: April 2004 Substance: Urea									
Committee: Crops <b>X</b> Livestock ☐ Handling ☐									
A. Evaluation Criteria (Do	ocumentation atta	ched;	committee re	ecommend	datio	on attached)			
Criteria Satisfied?									
1. Impact on humans and environment  Yes □ No □ (see B below)									
2. Availability criteria  Yes No (see B below)							·		
3. Compatibility & consistency Yes ☐ No ☐ (see B below)									
	C. Proposed Annotation:								
B. Substance fails criteria	a?	Bas	sis for annota	tion:					
Criteria category:		To	meet criteria	ahove:		Criteria:			
Comments:				_					
		Oth	er regulatory	criteria: _		Citation:			
D. Recommended Comm	nittee Action & Vo	te:	Motion by: _	Nancy	/ Os	stiguy			
			Seconded:	Rose I	Koe	nig			
						-			
Defer until deter	rmine if it m	ieet	ts EPA r	egulati	ior	าร			
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural	X	Nonagricult	tural		Crops	Х		
Yes:	Synthetic	X	Not synthet			Livestock			
No:		Allowed <sup>1</sup> Prohibited <sup>2</sup> Handling							
Abstain: No restriction Deferred4 X Rejected <sup>3</sup>									
Abstairi.	4			" 11 11		N. C. 1111			
1—substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List Annotation:									
2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List  Describe why a prohibited substance:									
Besonbe willy a promonee	a dubotunioc					2 4 3 3 4 4 2 3 4 3 4 4 4			
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List									
Describe why material was rejected:									
4-substance was recommended to be deferred									
Describe why deferred; if follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who will follow up									
Defer until determine if it meets EPA regulations									
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:									
, ,									
Committee Chair			Ī	Date					