UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

+ + + + +

NATIONAL ORGANIC STANDARDS BOARD

+ + + + +

NATIONAL ORGANIC PROGRAM PRIORITIES
LISTENING SESSION

+ + + + +

MONDAY
MARCH 21, 2022

+ + + + +

The Listening Session convened via Videoconference, at 1:00 p.m. EDT, Jennifer Tucker, NOP Deputy Administrator, presiding.

PRESENT

DR. JENNIFER TUCKER, Ph.D., Deputy
Administrator, National Organic Program
TAMMIE WILBURN, Regulatory Marketing Specialist
MICHELLE ARSENAULT, Advisory Committee
Specialist, Standards Division
DAVID GLASGOW, Associate Deputy Administrator,
National Organic Program
ERIN HEALY, Director, Standards Division
ANDREA HOLM, Materials Specialist

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 1:00 p.m. 3 DR. TUCKER: It is now the top of the 4 Welcome everyone. My name is Jennifer 5 Tucker, I am with National Organic Program, I'm 6 the Deputy Administrator. And thank you all for 7 joining this organic regulatory priorities 8 listening session. We are thrilled to have you 9 all on with us for the next two hours here. want to maximize the number of public speakers 10 11 today. So I am going to keep our opening 12 comments quite short. 13 First, I want to thank you all for 14 being here for folks who are speaking, but also folks to have come to listen and share in the 15 So notes for attendees, the chat is 16 experience. enabled and so you can chat with each other or 17 18 relay comments to us. Please do keep in mind 19 that chats are not part of the public record. 20 They are not considered a formal public comment. The closed captioning or subtitles is available 21 22 in Zoom. Ιf you want to click on live

1 transcript, also have official we an transcriptionist with us, who will be generating 2 3 the official transcripts for the event. We ask you, please do not use the 4 5 raised hand feature. All registered comments will be called on in turn and we're going to be 6 7 calling on people in the order you registered. 8 So there is no magic to the list, we're simply 9 calling on people in the order in which they signed up online. The Zoom support system is 10 11 pretty good. You can go to support.zoom.us if 12 you're having problems. We are recording the The transcript is going to be posted on 13 the NOP website as soon as it is available. 14 Let's go ahead and do that next slide. 15 So here are some specific instructions for our 16 17 speakers today. We are asking you to please stay on mute until we call on you for comment. And so 18 19 what I'll do is I'll call the person who is about 20 to give a comment, and then, I will say the name of the next person who will be after that person, 21 22 so you can get yourself all geared out to go off

1 the mute button when it's your turn. But please do stay on mute until you're called for comment. 2. When you are called, you can unmute yourself and 3 4 if you would like, you can turn on your camera, 5 that's optional. The mic is at the bottom left of your screen or if you're on a phone, you can 6 7 hit star 6. And we can help with that if needed. So if you're not quite sure what to do, go ahead 8 9 and you can chat in and somebody will try and help you in the background, okay? 10 11 You will have three minutes to speak. 12 And in fact, on the screen, there will be the spot light of the timer. And so right now it 13 three minutes. Michelle's 14 shows aoina The timer 15 demonstrate that in just a minute. will sound when your time is up. 16 When you hear 17 the beep, please finish your sentence. we're not going to be asking follow up guestions, 18 19 after your comment. After your comment, we will 20 move to the next person. And so once we've heard from all registered commenters, we will call on 21 22 folks from the wait list. And again, the wait

list is also in the order of sign up. 1 you're on the wait list, we encourage you to stay 2 It's a -- you'd -- we may be able 3 on the line. to include you. We want to take as many comments 4 5 as possible in the time we have. So before I finish the instructions, we're going to have 6 7 Michelle demonstrate what the timer sounds like, and then I will make a couple of closing comments 8 9 before we open the public comment period. Michelle. Okay. So that's what the timer sounds 10 11 like. Well done, Michelle. 12 MS. ARSENAULT: Everyone hear that okay? 13 TUCKER: We're going to assume 14 DR. 15 folks could hear that. If a couple people could just enter yes in the chat, if you heard it, yes, 16 17 we can hear it. Great. Okay. Tammie, let's go ahead and share your slide again for the final 18 19 links. So we do want to encourage folks to 20 submit a written public comment. So this process of listening to each other is very important. 21 Ιt 22 is equally important to have your comments in 1 written form. So if you were not put on the list

2 to talk today because it did fill up fairly

3 quickly, we strongly encourage you to submit your

4 written comments. And even if you do speak

5 today, we invite you to submit those as well.

6 And so the transcripts from the session will also

7 be posted on the NOP website.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I'm going to go ahead and share my screen and show you the web page that you want to go to. So we'll also put a copy of this link into the chat button. So this is the Federal Register notice that brought us all here together If you have not yet read the full notice, todav. we encourage you to do so, it includes a lot of good supplementary information about believe the outstanding NOSB recommendations are to this point, what we've been working on, and where we see the different priorities that you So today commenting on today. is verv important part of that process. So you can comment riaht this website by clicking on comment, and there already about 28 comments on

1 there. So we do encourage you to submit your public comment once you spoken or if you're not 2 3 speaking today, please go ahead and submit a So I'm going to stop sharing. 4 written comment. And with that I want to thank the team 5 6 that brought us here today. Specifically, Tammie 7 Wilburn, in our Standards Division. Tammie, you 8 want to come on camera and just wave hello to 9 everybody. Many, many thanks to Tammie. did a beautiful job, helping write the notice and 10 11 also putting all together all the logistics 12 today, there she is. Yeah. Thank you, Tammie, very, very much. And then Michelle Arsenault who 13 usually runs the public comment for NOSB meetings 14 is offering her expertise today as well, with a 15 16 timer and with the back room. We also have Andrea Holm and a whole other host of folks in 17 18 the background that are helping to run this 19 smoothly. So I think folks now in the NOP we 20 applaud by waving two hands on the camera that 21 comes from, I believe, American Sign Language, so 22 many thanks to all of you for being here today.

2	smooth process.
3	And we are ready to begin the public
4	comment process. So I am hoping to do this in
5	the same style as the board chairs do it. So
6	again, I will call on the person who will be
7	speaking and then at the same time I'll say who
8	is the next person on deck. So that person can
9	be prepared to go. Andrea, thank you for copying
10	in the link to the regulations.gov. So, for
11	folks wanting to make a written comment, the link
12	is now in the chat button. So, thank you for
13	doing that.
14	So our first public commenter today
15	will be Gwendolyn Wyard and Gwendolyn will be
16	followed by Johanna Mirenda. So Gwendolyn, your
17	three minutes begins now.
18	MS. WYARD: Okay. Right out of the
19	gate. Can you hear me okay?
20	DR. TUCKER: Yes, we can. Thank you.
21	MS. WYARD: Okay. Wonderful. Well,
22	good morning. My name is Gwendolyn Wyard and I'm

Thanks to the NOP team for making this such a

here providing comments on behalf of the Organic 1 2. Trade Association, representing over 9,500 3 members across the United States. The organic 4 sector has come a long way over the past decades. We have grown from \$1 billion to 62 billion and 5 we have a bright and positive story to tell, but 6 7 really it could be so much better. In the grand scheme of things from an acreage in a market 8 9 share perspective, we remain very small. A major factor of block to our growth is the styled state 10 organic 11 of standards development that is 12 unfortunately fragmenting the market and stifling innovation and continuous improvement within the 13 organic sector. 14 I want to thank USDA for sharing the 15 status priorities and your current positions on 16 recommendations. It's 17 NOSB this kind of transparency and outreach to organic stakeholders 18 19 that we want to commend and say more of this, 20 We also want to recognize all of the please. great work USDA has done in implementing NOSB 21 22 recommendations related to the National List.

The issue we're dealing with, however, here today 1 is that there's only been two updates to the 2. 3 organic practice standard since 2002, one of which was based on an NOSB recommendation, the 4 5 pasture role completed in 2010. We're looking at over 20 significant recommendations that have yet 6 to make it over the finish line. So again, I say 7 8 thank you.

with consumers expectations, stay relevant and grow organic acres as if we evolved the organic standards. In fact, recent data we collected through an Edelman survey conducted in November of 2021 revealed that 89 percent of shoppers say that USDA should review and update the standards periodically and 87 expect that they'd be updated to reflect evolving understandings about soil, climate, and animal welfare.

So which recommendations are most important? Which one should be prioritized? We carried out a very extensive evaluation and feedback process with our membership to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

understand the importance and the complexity of 1 2. each recommendation. The top message that 3 emerged is that while some recommendations are 4 more urgent than others, they're all important. 5 They were advanced to the secretary through a public process of consensus and they collectively 6 7 address years of work and invested resources to 8 advance organic farming as a sustainable form of 9 agriculture, grow the supply and variety of domestically produced organic products and assure 10 11 consumers that organic products meet a consistent 12 standard. The top priority that emerged is that 13 USDA prioritize standards development. 14 must That's the top priority. Our written comments 15 describe in detail the process we used to inform 16 our conclusions, and a resulting road map with a 17 multi-stage action plan for ensuring that all 18 19 NOSB recommendations are finalized over 20 reasonable time span. To address the question of urgency priority, the 21 road map defines 22 starting point that we believe can lead to a

- 1 quick win and make a big difference. So with
- that, I'll say thank you again and turn it over
- 3 to my colleague, Johanna Mirenda to say more.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 DR. TUCKER: Thank you very much,
- 6 Gwendolyn. Johanna Mirenda, you are up next, and
- 7 then after that, Megan DeBates.
- 8 MS. MIRENDA: Great. Hi, everyone.
- 9 I'm Jo Miranda, Farm Policy Director for the
- 10 Organic Trade Association. As my colleague,
- 11 Gwendolyn just mentioned, we have developed a
- 12 proposed road map for how USDA can streamline
- implementation of the backlog of stalled organic
- 14 standards. Our proposal includes a multi-state
- 15 action plan to advance NOSB recommendations
- through an efficient and resourceful rulemaking
- 17 process by grouping multiple recommendations
- 18 together according to common and complimentary
- 19 factors. And moving each group through the
- 20 rulemaking process under single regulatory
- 21 actions. We think that USDA can use this road
- 22 map to balance industry needs while also working

1 within the constraints of the federal rulemaking

2 process. We look forward to submitting our

3 proposal on the comment docket along with direct

4 responses to NOP's prioritization questions. In

5 the meantime, here's a few highlights.

6 First, complete rulemaking on 7 strengthening organic enforcement, origin of 8 livestock, and organic livestock and poultry 9 standards. Full implementation is critical for major in the regulations 10 closing qaps and 11 advancing animal welfare. Then prioritize 12 rulemaking to address inconsistent certification decisions in specific production categories that 13 are currently being certified, but without clear 14 standards. 15 or consistent This includes 16 apiculture, mushrooms, and pet food. We understand that draft proposed rules for each of 17 18 these has already been completed by NOP, so dust 19 them off and publish them as a proposed rule for 20 comment without delay. Given the past work, NOP 21 should be well positioned to move quickly and achieve a big and meaningful advancement of the 22

standards, and include with this proposal, 1 organic 2 one on strengthening seed use, we disagree with the NOP's assessment that this is 3 This is a simple vet critical 4 not a priority. 5 revisions to the regulations and it cannot be enforced without rulemaking. 6 7 Next, NOP needs to begin the urgent, 8 complex work of developing standards for 9 greenhouse and container production to resolve known inconsistencies widely divergent 10 and 11 certification practices that have led to consumer 12 confusion, certifier shopping, and proliferation of add-on labels. disagree with NOP's 13 We premature conclusion, as stated in the Federal 14 Register notice to not publish standards 15 prohibit hydroponics. NOP should publish an ANPR 16 pre-rulemaking 17 begin the for to process greenhouse standards and 18 containers and let 19 stakeholders weigh in. 20 should also prioritize making NOP 21 efforts on personal care and aquaculture to

these

new

for

create

standards

22

of

scopes

certification that can help assure confidence in 1 organic label claims and further develop the best 2 3 fixed supply of these ingredients and products. We're happy to see NOP recognize the importance 4 5 of the NOP handbook as a -- and they're willing 6 it updated uniformed to keep to support 7 implementation of standards. Thank you. And my 8 colleague Megan DeBates is up next to discuss the 9 future of the public private partnership standards development. 10 DR. TUCKER: 11 Thank you very much, Jo. 12 Megan DeBates, you are next, followed by Adam Seitz. 13 14 MS. DEBATES: Hi, I'm Megan DeBates, 15 Vice President of Government Affairs for the Organic Trade Association. First off, I want to 16 thank the National Organic Program for hosting 17 this listening session and taking public comment 18 19 how to prioritize the backlog of stalled 20 standards, as well as considering what the future 21 should look like for a healthier public-private 22 partnership that embodies continuous improvement.

1 We do not want to see history repeat itself. In order to prevent future backlogs like this from 2 3 happening again, USD and NOP need to build 4 capacity and structure for the ongoing 5 development and implementation of standards. Тο continue the success of the organic program and 6 7 keep up with the marketplace building capacity, 8 appropriately allocating resources, and 9 increasing communication of stakeholders must be top priority. This is going to require devoting 10 11 additional resources and staffing exclusively to 12 standards writing and development. 13 Congress has an important oversight 14 role of the NOP and they've made it clear that standards 15 the department is not taking seriously 16 development enough and change realign priorities. 17 required to They 18 introduced legislation HR2918 to support this 19 process by calling on NOP to take stakeholder 20 feedback on the backlog and develop an action We appreciate that USDA has taken steps to 21 move forward on this. 22

1	Transparency and accountability in the
2	organic standards are critical for a truly
3	participatory stakeholder engagement structure.
4	We need to institutionalize better processes in
5	the next Farm Bill. Future funding for the NOF
6	should be contingent upon success and advancing
7	standards and specific resources should be
8	directed towards standards development. USDA
9	needs to regularly update stakeholders and the
L O	unified agenda with the status, priorities,
11	decision criteria, and current positions on NOSE
12	recommendations.
13	We believe that the structure of the
14	NOP can and should allow for prioritizing
15	standards and market development, along with
16	maintaining strong compliance and enforcement.
L7	We will ask congress to set a statutory
18	requirement for USDA to review and update organic
19	practice standards beyond just the National List.
20	Lastly, we support future and NOF
21	priorities that will advance continuous
22	improvement and environmental outcomes, protect

organic integrity through strong oversight and 1 enforcement, and allow the organic standards to 2 3 keep pace with consumer and industry needs. Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback 4 and we look forward to working with the NOP to 5 6 make these important changes. Okay, thank you, Megan. 7 DR. TUCKER: Our next speaker is Adam Seitz, followed by Lynn 8 9 Coody. Adam? Good afternoon. 10 MR. SEITZ: My name 11 is Adam Seitz, and I serve as a Senior Reviewer 12 for Quality Assurance International, an International company and leading provider of 13 organic certification services. Thank you for 14 holding this listening session and prioritizing 15 16 the topic at hand. Approaching this issue is challenging from a certifier perspective, so I 17 can only imagine how daunting it is to view the 18 19 backlog of some big NOSB recommendations 20 chart a path forward for the NOP's perspective. Ultimately, though we tend to agree with the OTA 21 22 and others that all the recommendations are a

1 priority. QAI does not agree with all the content in each recommendation, but we do respect 2 3 the process for their creation and support moving forward with rulemaking and most importantly, the 4 5 opportunity for further public comment and 6 consideration of those comments to shape 7 resulting final rules. said, That it takes 8 serious time and resources implement to 9 regulatory changes from the certify perspective. 10 11 I joined the organic community just as 12 the pasture rule was being implemented and my foray in the realm was certification 13 entailed walking farmers through DMI worksheets, 14 DMD calculations, updating field maps, and so on. 15 16 This update was no small feat and didn't just 17 certifier efforts, take but also certified operations to learn and implement the regulatory 18 19 updates. Just changing the classification of an 20 input from synthetic to agricultural or, say, a 21 commercial availability clause to a non-synthetic 22 input, can manifest surprising impacts for both

certifiers and certified operations. 1 Still, are excitedly looking towards the future and 2 3 updates that will come with SOE and other pending regulatory updates and welcome further rulemaking 4 based on 5 NOSB recommendations in question. 6 Though the ideal and target we should aim for, 7 given resources available in the here and now, 8 perhaps it is unrealistic to advocate for moving 9 all recommendations forward at this time. With hesitancy, looking 10 at the 11 outstanding recommendations that certifiers are 12 not individually capable of acting on without regulatory action, following 13 the two NOSB recommendations jump out. Eliminate incentive to 14 convert native ecosystems to organic production, 15 production 16 organic aquaculture standards. Certifiers can individually act on many of the 17 18 other recommendations, but the lack of formal 19 regulations based on them has led to 20 inconsistencies in interpretation. As such, we'd 21 like to see prioritization of greenhouse and 22 container production system standards.

prioritization 1 support the of We adequate NOP staffing for standards development, 2 3 but this should not come at the cost of other divisions where we see the inroads being made on 4 5 the human capital front. Moving forward, 6 would like to see the Standards Division staff 7 such that NOSB recommendations can be acted on more guickly so come 2042, the industry doesn't 8 9 have to look back at a list of recommendations to figure out which are still relevant and important 10 11 enough to advance. 12 thinking about how to ensure consistent playing field, clear standards are 13 greater than the program handbook, are greater 14 15 than ACA best practices. Interchange ACA best practices and program handbook in that statement. 16 I use the handbook all the time and OAI is an 17 active participant in ACA working groups that 18 19 generate highly valuable resources, but neither 20 resources are binding nor do all certifiers have resources to participate in ACA working 21 22 groups to shape the groups best practices. Ιf

1 our qoal as an industry is consistency, clear standards and regulation come out on top. 2 Thank 3 you. All right. 4 DR. TUCKER: Thank you, 5 The next speaker is Lynn Coody, followed Adam. by Adam Warthesen. 6 Lynn? 7 MS. COODY: Hi, everyone. My name is 8 Lynn Coody and I'm presenting comments for the 9 Organic Produce Wholesalers Coalition, certified organic handlers that distribute fresh 10 11 organic produce across the United States and 12 internationally. OPWC is a long time active participant 13 in the NOSB process, making acutely aware of the many recommendations that 14 the board has sent the NOP over the years. 15 16 deeply are concerned that important recommendations have languished, some for decade 17 without further development or implementation. 18 19 We know from our experience in the 20 organic trade that updating the regulations is crucially important to our ability to adapt and 21 22 evolve to meet the ever-changing social,

environmental, and agricultural challenges before 1 As my colleague Mike Dill will present, OPWC 2 us. 3 has identified five topics that we consider the highest priorities for the produce sector. 4 5 will be submitting written comments detailing our 6 on these topics as well as thoughts 7 structure of the NOP. In my comments today, I will focus on our number one priority, which is 8 9 updating NOP Handbook. notes OPWC that the NOP Handbook 10 11 differs significantly in function from regulatory 12 13 14

In contrast to regulations with serve as the foundation for enforcement and legal actions, the contents of the handbook are non-binding, and do not have the force of law. The handbook essentially represents topics that may or may not implemented voluntarily by certifiers, be certified operations, and the NOP Itself. This important distinction is clearly articulated in the introduction to the handbook and in the document for this listening sessions. Clearly, quidance is not а sufficient basis for

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Regulations link the NOP's 1 implementing OFPA. by 2. activities to the law which they are 3 authorized. However, many critically important topics, especially related to accreditation, are 4 5 documented handbook. onlv in the not in 6 regulation. 7 We assert that establishing a bright 8 line between non-binding quidance the and 9 mandatory regulations is a primary importance to the efficacy, transparency and fairness of the 10 11 NOP's oversight of the organic trade. Therefore, 12 as part of the NOP's review of the handbook anticipated as a result of the work on SOE 13 proposed rule, OPWC urges a thorough review of 14 all of the elements of the handbook to determine 15 16 whether each is more appropriate for use as a non-binding quidance or whether it is actually 17 18 serving as a de facto regulation and therefore 19 must be prioritize for development of regulatory 20 text. 21 conclusion, In OPWC urges NOP to 22 compile the information arising from this

listening session quickly and to expedite action 1 on organic stakeholders' highest priorities. 2. As 3 a way forward, we strongly encourage NOP employ the conclusions of OTA's child project, 4 5 which was developed in consultation with many sectors of the organic trade. Finally, 6 recognize the urgent need for more NOP staff 7 8 devoted to standards development work. Thanks. 9 DR. TUCKER: All right. Thank you very much, Lynn. Next is Adam Warthesen followed 10 11 by Annie Kustermann. Annie, if you are out 12 there, we've not been able to find you. you could chat and just let us know you're there 13 14 if you're there. Otherwise, Adam, you are up. Well, thank you for 15 MR. WARTHESEN: the opportunity to offer comments on the upcoming 16 standards development of the National Organic 17 I'm Adam Warthesen, I'm the Director of 18 Program. CROPP 19 Government and Industry Affairs for 20 Cooperative. Our cooperative maintains a long standing position in the organic marketplace with 21 The co-op is 22 nearly 1700 farmers in 34 states.

primarily focused in organic dairy, 1 but also markets organic meat, 2 eggs, produce, and feed 3 stuffs for livestock. We are a cooperative of small to mid-size farms which focuses exclusively 4 5 on the production, processing, and sales 6 certified organic products with branded bulk and 7 ingredient sales, we strive to provide farmers a stable pay price and pride ourselves on extensive 8 9 farmer engagement in governance of the cooperative. 10

> We commend USDA for holding listening session and seeking stakeholder input on standards development, NOP handbook updates, as well as the structure and resource allocation within the agency. While the twice yearly NOSB meetings do offer opportunities for stakeholders to address these topics that may have influenced the agency's work agenda, those meetings are often centered on materials review or other topics already predetermined, not necessary a dialogue in which we are at the forefront for the agency. Receiving feedback from agency personnel

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

typically are just stakeholders coalesce on one 1 or two priorities but to elevate them determine 2. 3 on a metric or some methodology for tripping forward is not commonly understood. 4 Unless 5 elicited by the agency, the alternative method to 6 elevate attention to standards development for 7 the industry has been congressional engagement, 8 petition process, or sometimes litigation. All 9 heavy lifts for invested parties. There must be And we believe this is part of 10 a better way. 11 that path and it reflects industry growth in the 12 larger allocations of resources and staffing that is now at the National Organic Program. 13 14 While a brief update was provided in 15 the Federal Register posting on February 7th, the priorities address in 16 top to standard development, remain origin of livestock, organic 17 18 livestock and poultry practice standard, 19 strengthening organic enforcement. The two 20 livestock-focused rulemakings represent conditions of competitive harm among certified 21 22 producers, and a risk to the overall sheared

brand of certified organic consumers. 1 While original livestock is final rulemaking stages of 2. 3 clearance out of OMB is perhaps the strongest example of how a failure to address practice 4 5 standard has caused conditions where producers exercising organic regulations 6 that's 7 distinctly different non-compatible and 8 approaches.

These different approaches have led to economic disparities between producers and how they practice sourcing their cattle. Origin of livestock was first recommended for action by the NOSB nearly 19 years ago. The proposed rule was released in 2015, and now we've endured three common periods. We would urge there is no higher priority than for the origin of livestock, excuse So organic livestock and poultry practice, we also urge continued prioritization. Never before have we seen a rule with an effective date then upended, withdrawn, and then restarted as a proposal. Ensuring producers and certifiers have consistent understanding of animal care

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

organic systems, and addressing a market value of 1 them a standard application must be accomplished. 2 3 Along with strengthening organic enforcement, finishing standards is of the highest importance. 4 We look forward to able to work with the NOP and 5 hope this type of listening session becomes 6 annual occurrence. 7 8 DR. TUCKER: Thank you very much, 9 Adam. Next up is Annie Kustermann. Annie, vou may be on the phone. Annie, are you out there? 10 11 Calling on Annie Kustermann. Following Annie, is 12 Genevieve Albers. Who we also have not been able participant 13 to find on the list. Annie Kustermann or Genevieve Albers, you out 14 are there? Annie Kustermann or Genevieve Albers, are 15 you out there? Okay. After Annie and Genevieve, 16 17 I have Britt Lundgren up and then Ryan Koory. Britt Lundgren and Ryan Koory, you're up next. 18 19 Britt, before you start, last call for Annie 20 Kustermann or Genevieve Albers. Annie Kustermann or Genevieve Albers. Okay. We are going to skip 21

over Annie and Genevieve, we're going to go to

1 Britt Lundgren, and then, we're going to go to Ryan Koory after that. So Britt, thanks for your 2 3 patience, you're up. Thank you. My name is 4 MS. LUNDGREN: 5 Lundgren, I am the Senior Director Britt 6 Sustainability at Stonyfield, an organic yogurt 7 company based in New Hampshire. We are glad to 8 see USDA taking action on continuous improvement. 9 Inconsistent advancement of the regulations and extremely long lag times between recommendations 10 11 from NOSB and implementation by NOP is negatively 12 impacting consumer trust in the standard to the point where it is led to the 13 emergence alternative or add-on certifications that try and 14 15 give consumers another way to address 16 concerns. 17 In the livestock sector, we have been particularly impacted by USDA's extreme delay in 18 19 implementing final rules for origin of livestock 20 and organic livestock and poultry practices. These rules are essential for closing loopholes 21 that lead to inconsistencies and enforcement of 22

the standard and the delay in finalizing them has 1 economic 2. caused real harm to organic 3 producers and real damage to consumer trust in the organic seal and the agency needs to move 4 5 quickly to finalize these rules. 6 NOP's decision prioritize not to 7 rulemaking eliminating the incentive on 8 convert native ecosystems to organic production 9 is inconsistent with USDA's overall focus climate-smart agriculture. 10 advancing The 11 conversion of native ecosystems is a major source 12 of greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture. The science-based target 13 initiative sets quidelines for companies on how to account for 14 emissions. And the current draft forest land and 15 agriculture quidelines stipulate that companies 16 must make and implement a zero deforestation 17 commitment as part of compliance with the SBTi 18 19 protocol. Zero deforestation commitments 20 challenging to implement for many reasons, but traceability provided 21 the via organic

certification could be valuable for overcoming

these challenges if the organic standard were to also prohibit conversion of native ecosystems.

I should note here that Stonyfield did raise concerns in our comments on this topic when it was being discussed by NOSB. It is still important that NOP carefully evaluate the options for how native ecosystems are defined to ensure they do not create unnecessary limitations on farm's ability to manage their land. But I also want to acknowledge that the conversation on climate and greenhouse gas protocols has evolved since we submitted these first comments, and we encourage NOP to elevate the priority of this rulemaking in line with USDA's larger focus on climate-smart agriculture.

Last but not least, I would like to address agency resources for rulemaking. We appreciate the time and complexity involved in bringing new rules into being and we believe the agency needs to dedicate additional staffing and resources exclusively to standards writing and development in order to ensure it can keep up

1 with the pace that is needed to facilitate continuous improvement of the organic standard. 2. USDA should prioritize building the capacity of 3 team to address NOSB recommendations and 4 5 rulemaking needs, so that it can address the 6 backlog and keep pace with what is needed to 7 advance organic agriculture into the future. 8 Thank you for this opportunity to comment and we 9 look forward to working with the agency as we long-awaited begin to advance many of these 10 11 improvements. 12 TUCKER: Thank you very much, I'm getting some feedback from the 13 Okav. team that a number of folks on deck may not be 14 So as a reminder, if you are here on the 15 phone star six should unmute you. 16 So if you are on mute and on the phone, star six should unmute 17 I'm going to actually read four names and 18 19 see if we have any of them here. Don't start 20 talking until I actually say go because I do want 21 to take folks in order. The challenge here is I 22 want to give people an opportunity to speak if

1 thev're online and just having technical difficulties, but I don't want to take too, too 2 3 much time with folks who aren't here. So the next four that will 4 5 order, if they are here, are Ryan Koory. Koory, are you out there? After Ryan is Steve 6 Steve Patt, you would be after Ryan, 7 Patt. Ryan speaks up. Brian Flood and Juan Oliva. 8 So 9 Ryan Koory, are you out there? Okay, if Ryan Koory is not out there, Ryan, can you hear us? 10 11 I'm not hearing Ryan Koory. What about 12 Patt? Steve Patt, are you out there? Steve Steve Patt, are you out there? 13 Next is Brian Brian Flood, are you out there? 14 15 got Ryan Koory, Steve Patt, Brian Flood. What about Juan Oliva? Juan Oliva? 16 right. 17 Okay, that's four people, Ryan, Steve, Brian, and I think we're going to move on through. 18 Juan. 19 So again, Ryan Koory, Steve Patt, Brian Flood, or

next page begins with -- I'm going to now read

Juan Oliva, are any of you out there?

I'm going to move on to the next page.

20

21

22

I think

1	about three names and see if you folks are out
2	there. If you're out there, you'll speak in this
3	order. Shawnee Elmore. Shawnee Elmore, are you
4	out there? Shawnee Elmore, are you out there?
5	Following Shawnee would be Shane Bailey and then,
6	Haide Munoz. Haide Munoz. Shawnee Elmore, Shane
7	Bailey.
8	MS. ARSENAULT: Jenny, Shane typed in
9	that he is here. I saw him on camera. He's
10	right at the top of my screen.
11	DR. TUCKER: So let's last call for
12	Shawnee Elmore before we go have Shane come
13	on. Shawnee Elmore? Okay. We're going to move
14	past Shawnee Elmore. So then, working our way
15	through again, we have Shawnee Elmore then I'm
16	sorry, Shawnee's not here. We're going to have
17	Shane Bailey go. Hi, Shane. Good to see you.
18	Got Shane. Then we'll have a Haide Munoz and
19	then Mark Kastel. I do believe he's on. So,
20	Shane, go ahead and thank you for your patience.
21	MR. BAILEY: Thank you very much for
22	giving us the chance. My name is Shane Bailey.

1	I work for a contract manufacturer named
2	Hearthside Food Solutions. I'm the
3	Certifications Officer for in our Quality
4	Systems Department. So as a co-manufacturer, we
5	find ourselves in the gray area a lot and one of
6	those fun things is that we find ourselves
7	usually dual-certified due to customer
8	requirements for their chosen certifiers or
9	whatever. But as a co-manufacturer, we would
LO	just like to call out, that it would make, I
11	think everybody's lives easier and prevent fraud
12	in the food chain if everybody in the supply
13	chain was required to be certified organic. So
14	we see a lot of brokers and warehouses and stuff
15	like that where they're not certified organic,
16	but they do provide us organic ingredients. And
L7	so we have to go the extra step and having a
18	certified uncertified handler affidavit for those
19	suppliers. So we think that that would help
20	everybody out along the select supply chain, and
21	we'd also, it would help reduce the fraud. But
22	then again, on the other side of the spectrum, we

also think that brand owners should have to hold 1 some sort of organic certification and not rely 2. 3 organic certification of their That way, it would just be pretty 4 manufacturers. 5 We can probably drop some of our dualcertifications in some of our facilities, and we 6 7 just think that that would help make everything obviously easier for me, on my end, but we'd also 8 9 think it would help a lot to reduce fraud because we do see that. As we're normally in the gray 10 11 area, we do see that as like a gray area or a gap 12 in the certification process through -- in the And that's all I have. 13 NOP. DR. TUCKER: Okay, Shane. 14 Thank you 15 very much, and thank you for being here. a challenge than I thought it was going to be. 16 So next is Haide Munoz. 17 So thank you. Haide Are you out there? We have Haide Munoz. 18 19 Just another moment. Haide Munoz. Okay. And so 20 I'm going to read the next three names just because we do seem to have some folks who are not 21 22 here. So next up, once I finish going through

1	about three names here will be Mark Kastel.
2	After Mark will be Jared Zystro, and then Tia
3	Loftsgard. So next three up will be Mark Kastel,
4	then Jared Zystro, and Leah Tia Loftsgard.
5	And so Mark, good to see you. Take it away.
6	MR. KASTEL: Thank you very much. My
7	name is Mark Kastel, I'm the Executive Director
8	of OrganicEye. OrganicEye is a farm policy
9	research group that acts as an organic industry
LO	watchdog. And as per the invitation request, the
11	issues I will be discussing are the you're
12	starting the clock, Michelle, I'm going to ask
13	for your help because I was requested to do these
L4	issues first. I don't know if everybody else is
15	or not, but I'm going to discuss the background
16	behind today's forum, re-evaluating organic
17	certification as we know it and the revolving
18	door in Washington as it applies to organic
19	governance.
20	So why do we need this listening
21	session? Because family scale farmers who helped
22	found the organic movement and lobbied congress

where regulatory oversight, placated the concerns 1 2. of skeptics. Handing over some of what we deeply 3 about to the federal government, 4 creating a buffer between corporate lobbyists to 5 the rulemaking process the National Standards Board. The intent of Congress has been 6 disrespected and abused by every administration 7 8 six ways from Sunday. It started when the USDA 9 testified in Congress against OFPA, but it escalated during the Obama-Vilsack administration 10 11 in consort between the NOSB Chair and the former 12 NOP Director, resulting in stripping the power of the board to set their own agenda and work plan. 13 Prior to that, community members could petition 14 the board to take out important issues. 15 That 16 history, we hope to some miracle, will go back to 17 the public-private partnership it as was 18 envisioned. 19 Next, the current structure of the 20 organic certification program is broken and if stakeholders deny that and go through the motions 21 22 participating, we are all acting as enablers.

Here's the bottom line, private certifiers have a 1 2. disincentive to do aggressive enforcement, 3 financial disincentive. Inspectors are all too 4 good-intentioned young folks with 5 experience in production agriculture or forensic accounting, and they're going toe-to-toe with 6 7 seasoned veterans who are going to have their 8 lunch every day if their intent is to perpetrate 9 fraud.

of the nation's leading As one industry watchdogs, I could tell you that my collaboration with the NOP, Justice Department, and FBI in helping bust the largest frauds in the history of the organic industry are almost always emanate from current or former employees competitors ratting out the scofflaws, inspections. We need to reinvent visions certification, concentrating on the conflicts of interest and shifting the annual inspections to being done by amateurs to comprehensive audits every five years by seasoned professionals with liberal, unannounced visits at audits and testing

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The IRS

doesn't audit every taxpayer every year, but they 2. 3 sure as hell scare them -- all of us. So finally, why should the organic 4 5 industry be different than every other regulatory system in Washington? Because we said so. 6 7 optics are terrible. The former chief legal counsel and head lobbyist of the leading industry 8 9 trade group as a political appointee and a controversial former NOP -- last sentence here, 10 11 Michelle. And the former controversial 12 going work for the Director, to largest certifiers, he once was regulating himself. 13 this really undermines the vision that 14 framers of off the head intended. 15 And thank you 16 very much. DR. TUCKER: Mark, thank you for your 17 Next up is Jared Zystro, followed by 18 comments. 19 Tia Loftsgard and Mike Dill. So Jared, are you 20 out there? Jared Zystro. Do we have Jared Zystro out there? Give Jared just another couple 21 Tia Loftsgard and Mike Dill and 22 of seconds here.

in-between, budget and revenue neutral.

Abby Youngblood, you will be called next. 1 So 2 Jared Zystro. Jared Zystro, are you out there? 3 You can go star six to come off mute if you're on 4 the phone. Give Jared another second. 5 after that will be Tia Loftsgard, so Tia, if you're out there, you might want to get ready. 6 7 Mike Dill and then Abby Youngblood. And we do a 8 call for Jared. Jared Zystro. last Okay. 9 Again, I want to try and be patient for folks having technical difficulties but I also don't 10 11 want to spend a lot of time on folks who aren't 12 So tough balance here. here. Tia Loftsgard, are you out there? 13 we have Tia? Apparently the backstage team is 14 15 telling me, we do not see Tia. So Tia Loftsgard, Jared Zystro, either one of you out there? 16 going star six if you're on the phone or chat in 17 to let us know you're there. I do have a couple 18 19 of folks saying that you don't hear the timer. 20 Zoom isn't that great with simultaneous audio. So if the person is still speaking at the time 21 22 the timer goes off, chances are we don't

you're not going to be able to hear it. 1 That's why we do keep it on spot light, so people can 2 3 see the clock running down in case you can't hear But that audio conflict can happen. 4 it. 5 either from Jared or from Тiа not heard So last call for both of you, Jared 6 Loftsgard. 7 Zystro and Tia Loftsgard. Okay. In that case 8 I'm going to read the next three names. You 9 folks are ready? Next up will be Mike Dill, followed by Abby Youngblood, followed by Harriet 10 11 Behar. So Mike Dill, good to see you. 12 MR. DILL: Hello, nice to see you too. And I would just put a plug out there for keeping 13 the timer silent, it's actually nice not having 14 to hear it every three minutes and it's easy to 15 But anyways, my name is Mike Dill and I'm 16 17 representing the Organic Produce Wholesalers 18 Coalition. The comprised of seven OPWC is 19 businesses that distribute fresh organic produce 20 to customers across the United States. In 2020, OPWC members had a combined organic sales of 21 22 nearly \$0.5 billion. We appreciate this

opportunity to provide written and oral comments 1 on regulatory priorities for the organic program. 2 3 I will address the top five priorities for the handlers and growers in the fresh produce 4 5 Of utmost importance are the use of the 6 NOP Handbook and the issues related 7 hydroponics, greenhouse, container and 8 production. Additionally, the stalled standards 9 around the increased use of organic seeds, eliminating incentives 10 to convert native 11 ecosystem to organic production and organic 12 mushroom standards all impact the produce sector and need action. 13 OPWC was 14 an early endorser of the 15 OTA's continuous improvement and accountabilities 16 in Organic Standards Act because we understand how important standards development is to the 17 evolution and growth for the organic trade. 18 19 first priority is the NOP Handbook, and you've 20 already heard from my colleague, Lynn Coody about the many reasons why we need to establish a 21 22 bright line between the mandatory provisions of

the NOP regulations and the non-binding guidance, instruction, and policy memos in the handbook.

priority number two is development of standards for hydroponics, greenhouse, and containers. OPWC asserts the topic should be re-framed so that production practices fall under the umbrella of controlled environment agriculture, referred to as CEA. foster common understanding amongst stakeholders, OPWC suggests that to work begin with an expert panel to provide information about the scope and range of currently available CEA techniques and provide clear definitions for each. We would also like to see the expert panel charged with creating a diagram to show the similarities and differences of CEA techniques as we think this could be a tool to encourage clear communication and creative problem solving. Using this information, we propose development of distinct sets of specialty crop production standards such as nursery stock, mushrooms, and crop production that would fit under the overarching standards

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 for CEA production.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Priority number three is increased use 2 3 of organic seeds. OPWC would like to see the 4 development of regulations that are currently 5 achievable to foster positive trends in the availability of organic seeds and provide a clear 6 7 basis for certifiers to monitor and enforce continuous improvement in growers use of organic 8 9 seeds.

Number four, OPWC feels the comments from Wild Farm Alliance provide clear ideas that can be used as a basis for regulations related to eliminating incentives to convert native ecosystems to organic production.

Lastly, number 5, OPWC, urges reconsideration of the NOP's prior work mushroom standards. In its early meetings, the acknowledged that there significant NOSB is differences in the methods used to produce mushrooms and those used for crops and fields. The NOSB's mushroom standards recommendation is now 21 years old and therefore ready for a beer.

1 Thank you.

2 DR. TUCKER: Okay, Mike, thank you 3 I'm going to read a few names here so we can have folks ready to go. Abby Youngblood, 4 5 you'll be next, but Abby bear with me while I read a couple more names so they're ready. 6 7 So Abby is going to be next followed by Harriet 8 Behar, and then Amalie Lipstreu. And then after 9 that, Steve Etka. So we have Abby Youngblood followed by Harriet Behar. Sorry, it's Amalie, 10 11 sorry. Apologies, Amalie Lipstreu and Steve 12 So Abby Youngblood, go ahead. 13 MS. YOUNGBLOOD: Good afternoon. Мγ name is Abby Youngblood and I'm the Executive 14 Director at the National Organic Coalition or 15 Thank you for this opportunity to give 16 NOC. testimony. NOC is deeply concerned about USDA's 17 18 failure to implement dozens critically of 19 important National Organic Standards Board 20 recommendations over decades. the past two 21 Fundamental reforms must put in place be immediately to address problems that have led to 22

such a significant backlog. There are 71 topics 1 that are part of the backlog, 2 including many 3 unresolved National List issues. Among our membership, various issues 4 5 take priority depending on stakeholder groups 6 served and area of expertise. Many topics are 7 related practice standards. Practice to 8 standards are the heart of organic agriculture. 9 They codify the systems-based approach that is so ethos. essential organic 10 to the Practice 11 standards recommendations are also kev 12 mechanism for improving and strengthening organic standards. For that reason, the very low 13 implementation rate for practice standards has 14 15 had a particularly detrimental impact on the 16 integrity of the Organic Program. What I'd like 17 to focus on in these comments are the process 18 reforms that are needed. 19 First, we're asking that the National 20 Organic Program adopt a process for communicating to the public its plans for implementing new NOSB 21 22 recommendations within 60 days, including their

Second, the National Organic Program

should provide a written explanation with a clear

justification to the NOSB and public stakeholders

timeline for implementation.

5 within 60 days for recommendations that they do

6 not plan to implement.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Third, if of the status а recommendation changes due to а change in administration or for some other reason, National Organic Program should communicate that change in status and the justification orally at public NOSB meetings and in writing in the form of a memo to the NOSB and public stakeholders.

Fourth, all comments submitted to the and а transcript of NOSB deliberations should automatically be included as part of the docket for Federal Register rulemaking National List materials and practice standards. The National Organic Program should not base its decisions on a limited number of Federal Register comments rather the full breadth of evidence that was submitted during the NOSB's deliberations

	_
2	rulemaking process.
3	In our written comments, we describe
4	in greater detail the ways in which the process
5	for implementing NOSB recommendations has broken
6	down and 12 recommendations for reform. We
7	believe these changes are essential if we want
8	the organic program to survive and earn the trust
9	of organic stakeholders. We hope to see evidence
10	of change in the coming weeks and months, and we
11	look forward to partnering with USDA to achieve
12	meaningful reforms.
13	DR. TUCKER: Okay. Thank you very
14	much, Abby. Next up will be Harriet Behar.
15	Harriet, before you start, let me line up the
16	next folks. Amalie Lipstreu will follow Harriet.
17	And after Amalie is Steve Etka, and then, Ed
18	McNamara. So Harriet, Amalie, Steve Etka, and Ed
19	McNamara, are up next. And so, Harriet, good to
20	see you, you're up.
21	MS. BEHAR: Hello. I had participated
22	in the vast majority of the NOSB meetings, both

should also be considered as part of the

as a member of the public and as a NOSB member. 1 And I can tell you that the organic community 2. 3 cares passionately about their regulation, the 4 organic label, and thev interact 5 knowledgeable regulatory process with and 6 detailed comments. The NOSB process builds trust 7 in the organic label through those many meetings 8 that may come to, to bring together it 9 agreement that has the support of the majority of the organic stakeholders. 10 11 The lack of transparency in the NOP 12 process of prioritizing and implementing these proposed recommendations needs to be changed with 13 a clear timeline of communication back to the 14 15 organic community on the process and progress.

If the recommendation cannot move forward due to poor language or lack of support in the AFPA or lack of will within the NOP and USDA for that specific subject. For example, the organic communities should be informed rather than things just going into a black hole. The NOSB should be

allowed then to continue work on those issues if

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 there needs to be new language or to overcome other obstacles, or the community could inform 2. 3 NOP and the USDA that that issue priority to us as a community. 4 The NOP needs to honor the thousands 5 6 hours of work that stakeholders and NOSB 7 members put into the drafting, discussion, and 8 finalization of these recommendations by keeping 9 us informed of progress with a clear system of updates on obstacles to implementation at least 10 11 two to four times per year, so we can all work 12 together. It's also disturbing that the NOP does -- is not following NOSB recommendations on both 13 substance, petition reviews, 14 and 15 Natamycin was voted not to be listed 16 allowed natural. It's told to be 17 prohibited oxytocin, sucrose octanoate esters, sodium nitrate, carrageenan, and unfortunately, I 18 19 can go on and on. 20 The sunset process is no longer allowing for continuous improvement. 21 The NOSB 22 and the organic community will be less willing to

put new items on the National List if it seems 1 once they are on, they can never come off. 2 Ι 3 would like to support the prioritization native ecosystems agriculture, the 4 2010 methods 5 standards, the excluded areenhouse quidance recommendation, and the organic seed 6 7 quidance from 2018. The prioritization should 8 only consider operations not how many are 9 affected, but in the case of agriculture and container production, having organically-labeled 10 11 products on the shelves with very different 12 standards negatively affects the integrity of the organic label. Destruction of native ecosystems 13 allow for quick entrance to the organic 14 15 marketplace must be strongly discouraged. 16 Harriet, thank you very DR. TUCKER: 17 Okay. Amalie Lipstreu. Amalie, there you Thank you for being here. Hold on for one 18 19 second. I'm going to start calling more names. 20 We are at the break point. We weren't entirely sure where the break point was going 21 be 22 between signed up speakers and the wait list. So

for folks who were on the wait list, you should 1 have gotten an email saying you were on the wait 2 3 We are in the next few speakers going to 4 be transitioning over to that list. Given that, 5 because folks on the wait list may not have joined us, I am going to be reading more names in 6 7 terms of folks getting prepared and on deck. we are going to be hitting that point where we're 8 9 going to be switching over to the wait list from folks who were confirmed. And so the next few 10 11 are going to be Amalie Lipstreu. So 12 Amalie, good to have you here then Steve Etca, if vou're out there. Ed McNamara and then Grace 13 So Amalie then Steve Etca, then Ed 14 15 McNamara, and Grace Collins. 16 Before Amalie starts, we are also now the top of the hour, which means we are 17 exactly halfway through our time together here. 18 19 I want to take this posture of mind folks, that 20 even if you've given verbal comment today, we do invite you to submit your comments in writing as 21 22 And if you are not able to speak or if you

1 ioined us late and miss your do spot, submit public 2 encourage you to comments in 3 writing. We are going to be reviewing those 4 written comments very carefully and 5 important as verbal comments. So again, if you've already spoken or you're about to speak, 6 7 we encourage you to submit written comments. 8 That deadline is March 30th. So Amalie, take it 9 It's your turn. away. Thank you, Jenny. Good 10 MS. LIPSTREU: 11 afternoon. I'm Amalie Lipstreu, Policy Director 12 at the Ohio Ecological Food and Farm Association For more than 40 years long before 13 or OEFFA. National Organic Program, 14 was а advanced organic agriculture. Our farmers have a 15 deep state in the future of organic and are 16 asking for a level playing field that allows them 17 survive, but thrive. 18 not only OEFFA to 19 appreciates USDA's request for comment 20 Federal Register, which emphasized that the agency is committed to transparency, sharing the 21 22 status, priorities, decision criteria, and

1 current positions on NOSB recommendations.

2 Contrary to those values an analysis 3 done by Beyond Pesticides, clarified the problems with the NOP's delineation of closed on holding 4 5 Whether the program process recommendations. 6 forward with chooses not to move NOSB recommendation or pauses its consideration, those 7 8 decisions should be made with transparency and 9 justification of decision-making. And for those that do move forward, a timeline for action in 10 11 process recommendations can remain in 12 category for years. The process is right for reform and can ensure greater transparency and 13 accountability to the public. The agency's in 14 15 the position of needing to act on this 20 year backlog of recommendations and must require an 16 17 action plan to prevent a recurrence of this 18 situation. 19 OEFFA's priorities for action include 20 origin of livestock, strengthening organic enforcement rules, and the organic livestock and 21 22 poultry practices rule. These must all

finalized. We also concur with our colleagues at 1 NOC that these delays and implementation have 2. 3 done tremendous harm to the organic seal and the agency needs to act with urgency. 4 5 In addition to these issues, we ask that you prioritize production standards 6 terrestrial plants in containers and enclosures, 7 excluded methods, strengthening organic 8 9 quidance, mushroom standards, commercial availability and moving ACA guidance into rule of 10 11 native ecosystems, as has been said by 12 others, climate-smart agricultural as а production system. 13 Organic must confirm any incentive to convert needed ecosystems, emergency 14 15 use for insecticides, aquaculture, apiculture, and pet food. 16 Finally, to expedite this work, 17 suggest the agency consider combining several 18 19 recommendations together and moving them forward 20 in a smaller set of packages similar to the way 21 that National List materials are handled. Thank 22 you for this opportunity to comment.

1	DR. TUCKER: And thank you very much
2	for your comments. So again, we are shifting
3	over to the wait list now, and I'm getting
4	feedback that a number of folks may not have
5	joined us here. So the way we're going to do
6	this is I am going to run through about five
7	names at a time just to see if we can figure out
8	who is on deck. If we get to the end of the
9	list, we will go back to the beginning and I will
L O	call on folks who were not here at the time that
11	they got called. We do have many more names to
12	go, so I don't know if we'll get to that point,
13	but just to be clear on the process. Okay. So
L4	next up was as Steve Etka, and then Ed McNamara,
15	then Grace Collins, and then after that, Christie
L6	Badger, and then Ron Ballew. So we got Steve
L7	Etka, Ed McNamara, Grace Collins, Christie
18	Badger, and Ron Ballew. So if you are on, go
19	ahead and chat in just so we know that you're
20	there and ready to go on deck. Steve Etka, are
21	you out there? Okay. Christie, I see your chat.
22	Steve Etka, are you out there? Ed McNamara, are

1 you out there? Ed McNamara, are you out there? Again, Steve Etka, Ed McNamara. Grace Collins, 2 3 Grace Collins, are you out there? Okay. going to do a final call for Steve Etka, 4 5 McNamara, and Grace Collins. I'm going to move on to the next group here. So Christie Badger, I 6 7 know you're here. We'll give you the mic in just 8 After Christie we'll be looking for a second. 9 Ron Ballew, Lee Frankel, and Terry Shistar. again, Christie Badger followed by Ron Ballew, 10 11 Lee Frankel, Terry Shistar. So Christie, why 12 don't you go ahead? 13 MS. BADGER: Thank you. Good I'm Christie Badger with the National 14 afternoon. 15 Organic Coalition. NOC has urqed USDA origin 16 finalize the of livestock and strengthening organic enforcement rules and to 17 organic livestock and 18 reinstate the poultry 19 practices rule. We agree with other commenters 20 that these three rules remained a top priority. The delays in implementing these regulations in a 21 22 timely way have done tremendous harm to the

2	priorities for NOC, all 71 issues that are
3	currently part of the backlog are important to
4	the integrity of the organic label and the NOP
5	must develop a proactive plan to move all 71
6	topics forward in a manner that honors the NOSB
7	recommendations that had been made.
8	Indeed, some NOC member organizations
9	may have placed a higher priority on other
10	issues. The approach of asking the organic
11	community to set priorities is for what for
12	work, the NOP should have already completed
13	unfairly pits different segments of the organic
14	community against each other. We agree with
15	previous commenters that all of its topics are
16	important and must move forward.
17	We do want to highlight some topics to
18	particular concern. These are areas where we
19	think lack of action has had a significantly
20	detrimental impact or on organic integrity.
21	These are in no specific order.
22	Containers and hydroponic production.

organic seal. Although these three issues are

1 Hydroponic systems do not meet the letter or the spirit of the Organic Foods Production Act and 2 3 should not be allowed in organic production. NOSB has made this determination as part of their 4 5 2010 recommendation on containers in greenhouses. USDA's decision to allow hydroponics has caused 6 great harm to organic integrity. 7 USDA has situation 8 created Wild West in terms of а 9 hydroponic and container production with vastly different requirements from one certifier to the 10 11 next. The situation is untenable and must be 12 addressed. Native ecosystems. 13 Consumers expect the products that carry the organic seal are 14 not 15 produced in а way that does 16 ecosystems. AFPA and the organic regulations 17 referenced the role of organic systems in protecting biodiversity and natural resources in 18 19 multiple places. It is reasonable therefore for 20 consumers to expect the organic regulations to ensure that organic farmers are not contributing 21 22 to the destruction of native ecosystems.

1 Strengthening the organic seed Organic seed is important to organic 2 quidance. 3 integrity and the success of organic farmers, and more clarity and consistent enforcement of the 4 5 organic seed regulation is needed. We agree that 6 the NOP should take action on this issue. 7 Sodium nitrate and ammonia extracts. 8 Thank you. 9 DR. TUCKER: Christie, thank you very Okay. Continuing down the list, I don't 10 much. 11 think we have heard from Ron Ballew. 12 Ballew, going to continue to move down the list. Ron, if you're out there, you can go star six to 13 go off mute or chat in to let us know that you 14 15 are there. So Ron, give Ron a minutes or so. After Ron, will be Lee Frankel and I do believe 16 17 have Lee and then Sherry -- sorry, 18 I believe we have Terry as well. Shistar. 19 then next up after that will be Patty Lovera, who I also believe that we have. 20 Maybe not. So we've got Ron Ballew. Ron, kind of last call for 21 22 you and then followed by Lee Frankel, then Terry

1	Shistar, and then Patty Lovera. Patty, if you're
2	out there. Okay. You know, I am now reading,
3	you know, three or four or five names down at
4	three minutes each. You know, if you know these
5	folks, you hear their names and you want to reach
6	out to them and tell them they've got like nine
7	minutes to log on with us. The website does have
8	the link. And we have told folks that there was
9	a waiting list, so we understand that some folks
10	who are on the waiting list chose not to come,
11	but we are happy that there are folks on the
12	waiting list that are here. So it does look like
13	we're going to make good progress on our waiting
14	list. And so if you know somebody who is wait
15	listed, you want to reach out to them to see if
16	they want to call in. We may be able to get to
17	them. So last call for Ron Ballew before we move
18	on here. No Ron? Then we're going to move on to
19	Lee Frankel and then Terry Shistar and then Patty
20	Lovera. So Lee, are you out there?
21	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, I'm here.
22	DR. TUCKER: All right. Go ahead,

1 Lee. The floor is yours.

2 FRANKEL: Okay. Thank you for 3 your time today. These are my personal recommendations and not on behalf of any specific 4 5 You know, first off, I recognize that client. there's kind of, limited bandwidth within USDA, 6 7 and within the Office of Management and Budget. You know, we don't have the ability to convert 8 9 every recommendation into a specific regulation at the moment. But, you know, kind of, with that 10 11 in mind, you know, I would encourage us to not 12 waste time on items under active litigation like greenhouse and container standards. 13 We wait until that appeals process has been exhausted. 14 This is -- my next point is not about a specific 15 rule that's an a pipeline, but just some rules 16 that probably do need to be developed to help 17 18 frame the issues for other products, kind of 19 specifically, I feel like a lot of proposals are 20 in appearing and unfairly restricting growers' their 21 ability to adapt to site-specific 22 conditions, particularly with some annotations

1	involved. Looking at the high solubility
2	nutrient debate currently under discussion at the
3	NOSB, it seems like we don't have a good
4	definition of what is even kind of, soil health.
5	You know, is it something that's measured as the
6	difference between the start of the crop rotation
7	cycle to the end of it, or is it after each
8	harvest? It just feels like the approach for a
9	grower maybe the climate and region with markets
10	for small grains and pulses is going to be very
11	different from a grower that has multiple
12	vegetable harvest. And a region under
13	irrigation. because similarly, it's not on the
14	list just yet, but it's something that does need
15	to be addressed, you know, with the kind of
16	three-year transition requirement being more
17	clearly import that, you know, most of the
18	commercial nursery providers or not following
19	that, but certifiers are just using common sense
20	and kind of looking the other way and seeing that
21	there's no contamination of the product in
22	certifying those operations anyway. But it feels

does need 1 like that some time an area attention because can, kind of with aquaculture, 2. 3 that something that, you know, would help us get into the debate as, you know, how much of the 4 interface with kind of a wild environment or off-5 6 farm interactions is too much, or how isolated do 7 production facilities need to be from the outside 8 environment. So I think just giving US producers 9 on equal footing by having a aquaculture standard in the same way. Aquaculture would be great. 10 11 And then, I just, you know, some additional work 12 what conservation of natural resources on actually means. Kind of think why they use 13 productivity of the entire crop cycle to conserve 14 15 natural ecosystems and greenhouse emissions are very important that are being overlooked today. 16 17 Thanks. 18 DR. TUCKER: Okay. Thank you, Lee. 19 I'm going to read a few more names here. 20 I'm going to see if we can get some folks on deck here, so I do you believe we have Terry Shistar. 21 22 So, Terry, get ready to go here, but I'm going to

1 run through some names just in case they have joined us. After Terry Shistar, we'll have Patty 2. 3 Lovera, and then Rima Patel, Nicole Dehne, and 4 Courtney Bogaert. So Terry and then Patty 5 Lovera, Rima Patel, Nicole Dehne, and Courtney 6 So those are the next five up here. Bogaert. So, Terry, if you are out there and ready to go, 7 8 please begin. 9 MS. SHISTAR: Hi. I'm here. This is I'm Terry Shistar and I'm on the board of 10 11 directors of Beyond Pesticides. And I'm not 12 going to put the video on because I've bandwidth problems here. The Organic Foods 13 Production Act established NOSB to advise the 14 Secretary of Agriculture on the implementation of 15 16 the act with special attention to the National List of proven prohibited substances. According 17 18 to the NOSB's the NOP's recommendations 19 library, NOP has categorized NOSB recommendations 20 as complete, closed, on hold, or in process. We will submit a report that analyzes these outcomes 21 22 and their impact on organic production.

The category closed is used when NOP 1 chooses not to follow NOSB recommendations. 2. Tt. 3 is accompanied by a lack of transparency and often a failure to justify actions. 4 Decisions 5 concerning the National List are the undisputed purview of the NOSB and certainly should not be 6 If on hold means as 7 closed unilaterally by NOP. 8 are told, action being taken by USDA 9 another agency work should hold pending action, then, we should ask what action's pending for 10 11 each of these recommendations. In no case, 12 except ammonia extracts, can we discern a pending action that it should affect the implementation 13 of the recommendation. 14 What these recommendations do have in 15 common is a reflection of organic values. Values 16 17 that be held by the conventional may not agricultural stakeholders of USDA. Such values 18 19 reflect the higher standards to which the organic 20 community expects organic production and processing to be held. It seems to be difficult 21

for USDA to prioritize such issues.

22

The category

in process includes recommendations that vary in 1 the amount of action that's been taken. 2. 3 report, we've further categorize the action as action pending, proposed rule, other, or nothing. 4 5 Although USDA bureaucratic process practices may count pending actions as actions, we do not. 6 7 That's when NOP says the inertation change for --8 lists four inerts is in process because an ANPR 9 is pending. We will count it as an action only when was in actual proposed rule. 10 complete 11 NOP's failure to NOSB 12 recommendations threatens organic integrity. And now, NOP has come to the organic community with a 13 request for input concerning the priorities to be 14 assigned to recommendations it has failed to 15 This is totally unacceptable. 16 complete. The organic community has spoken on these issues, but 17 18 the NOP has not done its job. It's improper, 19 indeed, outrageous for NOP to now pit segments of 20 the organic community against one another. We 21 should not a need to decide relative have

for strengthening organic,

priorities

22

seeking

1 quidance in correcting listings for inert ingredients, for example. 2 NOP must do its job 3 with no excuses. Ιt must ensure that understands the structure of the organic program, 4 5 including why the National List changes Higher priority to completing NOSB 6 materials. 7 recommendation. It is NOSB's responsibility to 8 just get it done. Thank you. 9 DR. TUCKER: Okay. Thank you, Terry. And so okay. Standby for just a second. 10 I'm 11 going to call through a couple of other names 12 So I think we've established that Patty Lovera is not here. We're going to have Rima 13 Patel followed by Nicole Dehne and then Courtney 14 Bogaert and then Kate Mendenhall. Okav. 15 So we've got -- Patty Lovera is not here, so we're 16 going to go Rima Patel, if you're out there, 17 Nicole Dehne, then Courtney Bogaert, and then 18 19 Kate Mendenhall. So that's the next few up. So 20 let's start first with Rima, R-I-M-A. Rima, can you hear me? Rima? Rima Patel? Sounds like you 21

Okay.

may not be there.

22

Nicole Dehne, are you

1 out there? Nicole? Okay. I'm going to read a 2. few more names here. Courtney Bogaert, are you there? 3 After Courtney, we'll, have Kate I do believe you are out 4 Mendenhall. Kate, 5 Followed by Michael Sligh and then Alice So Courtney Bogaert, are you 6 Runde. Okav. 7 there? I do appreciate some comments in Okay. the chat box we're waiting for a couple of names. 8 9 Yeah, we haven't done one of these before, so I think we're all learning in real time. 10 This is 11 the first time we've used this format. And we do 12 still have a number of names. So I think we're going to continue to go through the process here. 13 I think one of the lessons learned here is if 14 15 you're on the wait list, please do plan It's really hard to be -- we want to be 16 clear with folks as to what their status is, but 17 we can't predict where the no-shows are going to 18 19 be in the first part of the list. So I think we 20 will all continue to work through these processes and we're certainly learning a lot through the 21 22 process. In the meantime, we still have a number

1	of folks to speak. So it sounds like we do not
2	have Rima Patel, Nicole Dehne, or Courtney
3	Bogaert. Kate Mendenhall, I do believe you are
4	out there. Kate?
5	MS. MENDENHALL: Hi, thank you so much
6	for the opportunity. My name is Kate Mendenhall
7	and I'm the Executive Director for the Organic
8	Farmers Association. We were told we were on the
9	waiting list, so I don't have comments prepared,
LO	but I do want to take the opportunity to just
11	note on a few priorities from Organic Farmers
12	Association, and then we will be submitting more
13	complete written comments.
14	So I wanted to reiterate the priority
15	from Organic Farmers Association for finalizing
16	origin of livestock, organic livestock poultry
L7	standards rule, and strengthening inorganic
18	enforcement. Those have been recurring
19	priorities for organic farmers nationwide since
20	we first started serving them in 2018. So
21	finalizing those this year is a high priority.
22	I also wanted to take the opportunity

to point out that while we survey organic farmers 1 annually, the last two years prohibiting the 2 3 certification of hydroponics has continued to be And so continuing to certify 4 in the top three. hydroponics without standards is very frustrating 5 to organic farmers nationwide. So I would say 6 7 that as a priority for the NOSB to discuss and 8 review the greenhouse standards that we currently 9 have and identifying the inconsistencies that have arisen in the past more than a decade since 10 11 those were written is really important. 12 a lot of certification inconsistency there and that needs to be remedied. 13 Likewise, I think, you know, all of 14 these standards are important to organic farmers 15 is the organic label. Having consistent, uniform 16 standards is important for the whole program, and 17 so moving all of the NOSB recommendations through 18 19 the process is a priority for us as well. Wе 20 support the process. really value We volunteer work that NOSB members put in, and we 21 22 want those recommendations to me moving forward.

So we would support all of them but I understand
you have to start somewhere.

I also would like to comment that in

our strengthening inorganic enforcement comments, we pulled out a lot of need for more public comment on what the grower groups would look like and I anticipate those will come forward in the next rule. But I just want to pull forward that find that verv important for marginal we communities within the United States, being able to use that model to certify and we think that it's really important to be able to make that accessible, especially to indigenous and small farmers, particularly farmers of color. really help diversify the organic movement and want to bring that forward as a priority. like I said, we will be submitting more detailed written, thank comments but you for the opportunity to jump in.

DR. TUCKER: Okay. Kate, thank you very much. And I will echo something that Kate just said. The written comments are really

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

important. And so for folks who are sharing that 1 you had people who are on the wait list and chose 2 3 not to come because they weren't sure if they would get called off the wait list. 4 Please do 5 encourage them to submit written comments. The written comments are as important as the verbal 6 7 comments and will be open until March 30th. so again, encouraging folks to get in written 8 9 comments by March 30th. Those written comments are really important. And so if you gave verbal 10 11 comments, we also encourage you to submit those 12 as written comment. So we do appreciate the great interest in this topic, which is why we 13 wanted to have both oral and verbal opportunities 14 15 here. 16 We still have a number of folks on the list that we will run through. 17 So I'm going to run through a few more names here. 18 It don't 19 think we ended up hearing from Nicole Dehne or 20 Courtney Bogaert. And so we're going to move on to Michael Sligh. And then Alice Runde and that 21 Walid El Singaby and Emily Velasquez. 22 So let me

read those names if you are here, please go ahead 1 and chat in if you are here. 2 Michael Sligh, 3 Allison Runde, Walid El Singaby, and Let's see, and folks in the back are 4 Velasquez. 5 keeping me updated on where we are. It sounds like of that group, we may have Emily Velasquez. 6 7 I also understand that Jared, who was called on earlier may be here now. So Jared, we'll pick 8 9 you up at the end of the list. We will go back and do a pass-through for folks who were called 10 11 on earlier today, I will do another run through 12 the list and we'll get as far as we can. In the Emily Velazquez, why don't 13 meantime, you ahead? Okay. Emily just shouted in, no prepared 14 comments, just listening in. Emily, you do have 15 Do you want to say anything? 16 the floor. going, gone. Michael, Alice, Walid, Emily. 17 18 Next group of folks are Carol Olson, 19 Julia Barton, Edward Maltby, and Darrell 20 Huddleston. So if any of you folks are on, Emily said she'll submit written comments, which is 21 22 great. Thank you very much. So again, the next

1	batches, folks, if you could chat in, if you are
2	here, Carol Olson, Julia Barton, Edward Maltby,
3	and Darrell Huddleston. Are any of you on?
4	Okay. Again, if you know these folks, they we
5	did let them know they were on the wait-list if
6	they weren't able to join, do encourage them to
7	submit written comments. The written comments
8	are as important as the verbal comments. So
9	let's see, we've got again, Carol Olson, are you
LO	out there? Julia Barton, Edward Maltby, or
11	Darrell Huddleston. Okay. I'm going to keep
12	going here, Agustinus Budiharto? Agustinus
13	Budiharto, Luis De Vore or Luis De Vore,
L4	Sebastian Belle, or Joan Mendez? Sebastian, it
15	looks like we have you. So let me run through
16	the list just to make sure before I come back to
L7	you, Sebastian. So Carol Olson, Julia Barton,
18	Edward Maltby, Darrell Huddleston. Agustinus
19	Budiharto, and Luis De Vore, any of you here?
20	I'm going to call then on Sebastian Belle, who is
21	here. Sebastian, thank you for being here. The
22	floor is yours.

1	MR. BELLE: Thank you, Jennifer. My
2	name is Sebastian Belle, I'm the Executive
3	Director of the Maine Aquaculture Association and
4	the President of the National Aquaculture
5	Association. Our members grow finfish,
6	shellfish, and seaweed both in marine and
7	freshwater environments. I was also a member of
8	the NOSB Aquaculture Task Force and I personally
9	have been advocating for organic standards for
LO	aquaculture for over 25 years. That task force
11	came forward with recommendations after a four-
12	year period. Task force was comprised of
13	farmers, academics, and representatives from the
L4	organic community and the environmental
15	community. We consulted with over 100 experts
16	over that four-year period and sent a group of
L7	recommendations to the NOSB, which they reviewed.
18	And then forwarded on after some modifications to
19	the NOP.
20	Currently in the US, roughly two to
21	five percent, depending on whose numbers you
22	believe of the seafood market is certified as

1 organic. All of that comes from other countries
2 and is certified under other kinds of organic
3 certification programs. Our farmers, many of
4 whom would qualify under these certification
5 programs in other countries, have to compete
6 against this product in the marketplace. And
7 it's very difficult for them to do that because
8 they don't have a US set of standards to put them
on a level playing field, or actually to raise
10 the bar. Many of the overseas organic standards
11 that are being used in seafood come nowhere near
the recommendations that were put forward to the
National Organic Program. I will say that I
14 recognize that the NOP is grossly understaffed
and under resourced, and we will and have been
16 advocating for more staff and resources in
17 Congress for the department. And I also would
18 like to thank the Organic Trade Association for
19 their support for advocating for organic
standards to be put forward. I will not take any
21 more of your time, you've had a long day, but
thank you very much. We will be submitting

1 written comments.

2	DR. TUCKER: Okay. Sebastian, thank
3	you very much. Appreciate your being here. So
4	did we have Joan Mendez? Is Joan here? Joan
5	Mendez. Okay. Next step of the process that we
6	are going to start back up at the beginning. And
7	so unless we got a specific note saying that
8	somebody was not going to be here, we're going to
9	run through the list of folks. So these are all
10	names you all heard before. And so some of them
11	may have joined us late, but we're going to run
12	through that list once more. We do appreciate
13	the feedback in the chat box on process, the
14	first time we've done this. So we did not
15	realize how many folks were not going to be able
16	to join us and so we appreciate that there were
17	some folks on the wait list that joined anywhere
18	and we're glad that you had your voices heard.
19	And I do want to encourage everyone to submit
20	written comments by March 30th, so we still have
21	more than a week for folks to submit written
22	comments and those are in fact, very, very, very

1 important to the program.

So I'm going to read through some 2 3 names here. And so if you are here, please go ahead and chat or I will give you about a few 4 5 So starting back at the seconds to jump in. beginning of the list, we have Annie Kustermann. 6 Did Annie join us? If you are here, go ahead and 7 of 8 chat kind speak So in or up. Annie 9 Kustermann? And then we also had Genevieve So Genevieve Albers. Annie Kustermann, 10 Albers? 11 Genevieve Albers. And then there was Ryan Koory. 12 Koory, Genevieve Albers, So Ryan or Next set was Steve Patt. 13 Kustermann? Again, I've got Patt, Brian Flood, Juan Oliva. 14 15 Annie Kustermann, Genevieve Albers, Ryan Koory, Steve Patt, Brian Flood, Juan Oliva. 16 If any of 17 you are here, please go ahead and chat in or at this point, speak up. And then we had, moving 18 19 on. Shawnee Elmore. Shawnee Elmore. Haide 20 Munoz, Haide Munoz. And we had Jared Zystro. Jared, it sounds like we might have you so one 21 final chance for Shawnee Elmore and Haide Munoz. 22

Jared, I'm going to give you the floor in just a 1 After that, it would be Tia Loftsgard, 2. 3 if Tia he had joined us, and Steve Etka, if Steve Hey Jared, I think you are up. 4 ioined us. 5 MR. ZYSTRO: Thank you. Hello, My name is Jared Zystro. 6 I'm the 7 Research and Education Assistant Director for Organic Seed Alliance. We're a mission-driven 8 9 organization that works nationally to ensure organic farmers have the seed they need to be 10 11 successful. Thank you for this opportunity to 12 come on the NOP's rulemaking priorities. Мγ comments today will focus on policy priorities as 13 they pertain to organic seed and planting stock 14 regulation. Last week, Organic Seed Alliance was 15 proud to release our third update to organic seed 16 -- state of organic seed project. 17 18 With our newest report, we now have 15 19 vears of organic seed data to inform our understanding 20 of organic sourcing. seed Unfortunately, our newest findings show new known 21 22 meaningful improvement in organic producers using

1	more organic seed. We know that certified
2	organic producers are required to source organic
3	seed when commercially available. But our data
4	shows that most organic grower still plant
5	conventional seed for at least part if not all of
6	their operations. Specifically, we found that
7	organic seed usage in field crops, forage crops,
8	and cover crops remain stagnant. The only bright
9	spot we documented is vegetable producers can
10	grew for fewer than 50 acres. These small
11	acreage producers report using more organic seed.
12	But much like we saw five years ago, the largest
13	vegetable producers still used relatively little
14	organic seed, and this has a big impact on
15	overall acres planted to organic seed. We also
16	saw an increase in organic producers reporting a
17	process or buyer requirement as a factor in not
18	sourcing organic seed. More than 30 percent of
19	organic farmers responding to our survey
20	identified this as a challenge in sourcing
21	organic seed and some certifiers also report that
22	these buyer requirements are serving as a barrier

1 to more organic seed sourcing.

2	We appreciate that the NOP completed
3	a training on organic seed sourcing last year,
4	and that is now available in the Organic
5	Integrity Learning Center. However, we don't
6	believe these steps are sufficient for ensuring
7	continuous improvement in organic seed sourcing,
8	and we respectfully disagree with the NOP's
9	decision not to prioritize the NOSB's
10	recommendation towards update at seed regulation.
11	The most recent data that I cited underscores
12	urgency and encouraging more organic seed
13	sourcing from a regulatory perspective. In fact,
14	our data shows that fewer organic producers
15	report their certifiers encouraging they take
16	extra measures to source more organic seed. In
17	2011, 60 percent of organic farmers responding to
18	our national survey reported that certifiers
19	requested that they take extra measures to source
20	more organic seed. While in 2022 only 35 percent
21	of organic farmers reported receiving such
22	requests from certifiers. Our most recent

1 findings also show that nearly 70 percent accredited certifying agency support stronger 2. 3 regulations that aim to strengthen enforcement of 4 the organic seed requirement. 5 believe that the We organic 6 sector is at a critical juncture. If we are to 7 ensure strong integrity of the organic label, 8 this integrity must begin with ensuring that a 9 fundamental input seed is certified organic. at Organic Seed Alliance are concerned about the 10 11 trends published in our most recent state of 12 organic seed report and strongly encouraged the NOP to make the NOSB's recommendations regarding 13 the seed and planting stock regulation a top 14 Thank you. 15 priority. 16 Okay. Jared, thank you DR. TUCKER: 17 very much for your comment. Okay. I'm going to run through a few names here. We've gotten some 18 19 independent confirmation of folks who are not 20 here today, so I'm not going to rename folks who we've gotten independent confirmation or not 21 22 attending, but just in case we had some folks

Т	join us. The Loftsgard. That, are you out there?
2	And we've got Ed McNamara or Grace Collins or Ron
3	Ballew. I'm going in order. I do know a couple
4	of later folks are here, but I do want to respect
5	the list here. So Tia Loftsgard, Ed McNamara,
6	Grace Collins, or Ron Ballew. Do we have any of
7	those folks on? Let me go right back to the
8	first list just to make sure. Annie Kustermann,
9	Genevieve Albers, Ryan Koory, Steve Pratt I'm
10	sorry, Steve Patt, Brian Flood, and Juan Oliva.
11	Okay. Going to keep going through the list here.
12	Rima Patel, Nicole Dehne, Courtney Bogaert,
13	Michael Sligh, Walid El Singaby, Carol Olson.
14	Any of those folks here? Rima Patel, Nicole
15	Dehne, Courtney Bogaert, Michael Sligh, Walid El
16	Singaby, Carol Olson. Okay. I do believe that
17	Julia Barton is on, so Julia, are you with us?
18	You're next.
19	MS. BARTON: Yes, ma'am.
20	DR. TUCKER: Okay. Go, Julia.
21	MS. BARTON: Hi, and thank you for
22	holding this session. I am Julia Barton, I work

as a with Sustainable Agriculture Educator for 1 the Ohio Ecological Food and Farm Association, 2. 3 and engage primarily with transitioning producers and also in the organic policy arena, 4 5 particularly with the NOSB process. We think the 6 NOSB process is really important somewhat sacred 7 to the organic community. And we think that also the autonomy of the National Organic Standards 8 9 Board is particularly important. Because the recommendations that come from the 10 NOSB 11 forwarded to the NOP into the secretary to then 12 sort of trickle their way back to the organic community and are of products of the work of so 13 many people and so much time, we think that all 14 recommendations 15 of the NOSB are of equal 16 importance. That said, we understand there's a 17 backlog and we appreciate the opportunity to 18 19 comment on those pieces that might rise to the 20 top, given that something has to come first. Му colleague, 21 Amalie Lipstreu commented really 22 clearly and concisely about those items at the

top of our list. So those include SOE, origin of livestock, OLPP, and we know the program's been working hard on SOE and origin of livestock for some time. We're really eager to see those various pieces move forward.

We also are really concerned about the

We also are really concerned about the pieces that are inconsistent. I guess, causing inconsistencies amongst certifiers, chief among them, we would put greenhouse and container production because we don't certify hydroponics operations that OFPA there's no clear applicable standards for us to use to do so, and we know that there's a lot of, kind of certifier jumping and hopping and shopping that goes on for folks to find folks that are willing to certify those systems.

Other pieces that are leading to inconsistency include the mushroom standards, which have been written for quite some time in a very clear manner from my perspective and which we utilize in the form of guidance, essentially, and, you know, with the lack of clear applicable

1 standards for mushrooms. Similarly, the strengthening organic seed use recommendation is 2 3 another one that Ι think is interpreted 4 differently among certifiers in which would 5 really benefit the community if you were able to 6 move forward quickly. 7 I didn't prepare any formal comments today because I didn't think that I'd had the 8 9 opportunity to speak, so I'll stop there and just thank you again for your time and for your 10 11 attention to these recommendations which are, as 12 you know, kind of the embodiment of a lot of people's energy and time. 13 Thank you. 14 DR. TUCKER: Okay. So thank you very, I'm going to give a last 15 very much, Julia. chance to the final folks on the list, but that 16 17 was the last speaker that we are aware of being 18 And so let's see, we've got Carol Olson, 19 Edward Maltby, Darrell Huddleston, Aqustinus 20 Budiharto, Luis De Vore, and Joan Mendez. Okay. I think we have a -- so just to review the 21 22 process here, we did go through all of

speakers that had confirmed. We did do the math 1 just to reflect on process a little bit because 2. 3 we do have a few minutes, so I want to reflect on process a little bit. We've gotten some good 4 5 chats in on this, and this will certainly be kind of a learning experience for us, and I think for 6 7 the community out there. So we had done the math on how many folks we thought we would be able to 8 9 take.

So we wanted to be open with folks in advance as to whether we thought they would be a confirmed speaker or on the wait list. And so we did have more folks not to join us from the confirmed list than we were expecting. think that was a surprise probably to all of us. So in the future, if you are signed up and then aren't going to come the earlier you can let us know, the better that'll help us plan out. then we were actually able to make it through the entire wait list. And so another good lesson for us and for folks out in the community. If you're on the wait list, do, please, try to block the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So again, we learned a lot through this 1 time. process and the key point here is the written 2 3 comments are still going to be open until March So March 30th for written comments and we 4 5 do have a team that's reviewing comments as they in that will enormously helpful 6 be 7 framing next steps. So we've been taking notes and there will be transcripts from this session. 8 9 We are planning on providing a read out back of about what we learned and what's next. 10 11 So I want to thank everyone who came 12 for the full two hours and stuck with it, and that also helped us kind of get a sense of where 13 folks were in terms of attendance. This has been 14 15 a very informative session for us, Andrea has just linked in again, the links to the written 16 I do want to, again, thank the NOP 17 comments. team here, this is our first time doing this and 18 19 I think, they did the best they could to balance 20 a whole lot of different interests and unknowns. So I want to personally thank them for all the 21

into this session.

work that went

22

We

look

1	forward to the written comments as they come in
2	before March 30th. So I appreciate everyone's
3	time, and I think we are going to officially end
4	the session there. So it is 2:50 Eastern Time.
5	Thank you very much for joining us, and have a
6	good afternoon. Be well, and take care.
7	MS. ARSENAULT: Thank you, Jenny.
8	Thank you, everyone.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
10	went off the record at 2:51 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

			9.
	address 11:7,20 13:12	29:21 81:10,10,11,12	65:8
·	26:17 27:16 28:4	81:15 86:9	approaches 28:8,9
Abby 42:1,7 43:10 47:4	30:15 32:17 33:4,5	Alice 71:5 75:21 76:17	Approaching 18:16
47:5,7,9,12,14 50:14	44:3 47:22	Alliance 46:11 82:8,15	appropriate 24:16
ability 22:21 32:9 64:8	addressed 61:12 65:15	85:10	appropriately 16:8
64:21	addressing 29:1	Allison 76:3	aquaculture 14:21
able 5:3 25:12 29:5,12	adequate 21:2	allocating 16:8	20:16 57:15 66:2,9,10
43:1 54:22 63:16	administration 39:7,10	allocation 26:14	78:3,4,8,10
74:10,12 77:6 80:15	49:9	allocations 27:12	area 36:5 37:11,11 48:6
89:5 90:8,19	Administrator 1:11,13	allow 17:14 18:2 53:14	66:1
above-entitled 92:9	1:15 2:6	61:6	areas 60:18
abused 39:7	adopt 48:20	allowed 51:22 52:16	arena 87:4
ACA 21:15,15,18,21	advance 11:8 12:15	61:3	arisen 73:10
57:10	17:21 21:11 33:7,10	allowing 52:21	arising 24:22
academics 78:13	90:11	allows 55:17	Arsenault 1:14 5:12
accessible 74:13	advanced 11:5 55:15	alternative 27:5 30:14	7:13 35:8 92:7
accompanied 68:3	advancement 13:22	Amalie 47:8,10,11	articulated 23:19
accomplished 29:2	30:9	50:16,17,18 53:17,17	asking 3:17 4:18 48:19
account 31:14			55:17 60:10
accountabilities 44:15	advancing 13:11 17:6 31:10	54:11,12,14,16 55:8 55:11 87:21	assert 24:7
accountability 17:1			asserts 45:5
56:14	advise 67:14	amateurs 40:20	assessment 14:3
accounting 40:6	Advisory 1:14	American 7:21 ammonia 62:7 68:12	assigned 69:15
accreditation 24:4	advocate 20:8	amount 69:2	Assistant 82:7
accredited 85:2	advocating 78:9 79:16		
achievable 46:5	79:19	analysis 56:2	Associate 1:15
achieve 13:22 50:11	Affairs 15:15 25:19	analyzes 67:21	Association 9:2 12:10
acknowledge 32:10	affect 68:13	Andrea 1:18 7:17 8:9	15:16 55:12 72:8,12
acknowledged 46:18	affidavit 36:18	91:15	72:15 78:3,5 79:18 87:2
acreage 9:8 83:11	AFPA 51:17 61:16	animal 10:18 13:11	* · · -
acres 10:11 83:10,15	afternoon 18:10 47:13	28:22	assume 5:14
act 20:17 44:16 56:15	55:11 59:14 92:6	Annie 25:11,11 29:9,9	Assurance 18:12
57:4 61:2 67:14,16	agency 26:15,22,22	29:10,11,11,13,15,16	assure 11:10 15:1
acted 21:7	27:5 31:4 32:17,20	29:19,20,22 81:6,7,8	attend 71:16
acting 20:12 39:22	33:9 55:21 57:4,18	81:10,12,15 86:8	attendance 91:14
action 11:18 12:15	68:9 85:2	annotations 64:22	attendees 2:16
16:20 20:13 25:1	agency's 26:18 56:14	annual 29:7 40:19	attending 85:22
28:12 30:8 44:13	agenda 17:10 26:18	annually 73:2	attention 27:6 66:2
56:10,17,19 60:19	39:13	ANPR 14:16 69:8	67:16 89:11
62:6 68:8,9,13 69:2,3	aggressive 40:2	anticipate 74:7	audio 42:20 43:4
69:4,9	ago 28:13 83:12	anticipated 24:13	audit 41:2
action's 68:10	agree 18:21 19:1 59:19	anyway 65:22	audits 40:20,22
actions 12:21 23:13	60:14 62:5	anyways 43:16	authorized 24:3
68:4 69:6,6	agreement 51:9	apiculture 13:16 57:15	automatically 49:16
active 21:18 22:12	agricultural 19:20 23:1	Apologies 47:11	autonomy 87:8
64:12	57:12 68:18	Apparently 42:14	availability 19:21 46:6
activities 24:2	agriculture 1:1 11:9	appeals 64:14	57:10
acts 38:9	31:10,12,16 32:15	appearing 64:20	available 2:21 3:14 20:
actual 69:10	33:7 40:5 45:8 48:8	applaud 7:20	45:12 83:3 84:4
acutely 22:14	53:4,9 55:15 67:15	applicable 88:11,22	aware 22:14 89:17
Adam 15:12 18:8,9,11	87:1	application 29:2	
22:5,6 25:10,14,18	Agustinus 77:12,12,18	applies 38:18	B
29:9	89:19	appointee 41:9	back 7:16 21:9 39:16
adapt 22:21 64:21	ahead 3:15 4:8 5:18 6:8	appreciate 16:21 32:18	51:14 58:9 76:4,9
add-on 14:13 30:14	7:3 35:20 47:12 58:19	43:22 71:7 75:12 80:3	77:16 80:6 81:5 86:7
addition 57:5	59:12 63:22 76:1,14	80:12,16 84:2 87:18	87:12 91:9
additional 16:11 32:20	81:4,7,17	92:2	background 4:10 7:18
66:11	aim 20:6 85:3	appreciates 55:19	38:15
00.11	Albers 29:12,14,15,20	approach 48:9 60:10	backlog 12:13 15:19

70:18 71:2,6 72:3 16:20 18:19 33:6 48:1 48:2 56:16 60:3 87:18 75:20 86:12,15 backlogs 16:2 **bottom** 4:5 40:1 backstage 42:14 **box** 71:8 80:13 Badger 58:16,18 59:6 brand 28:1 37:1 59:10,13,14 branded 26:6 Bailey 35:5,7,17,21,22 breadth 49:21 break 53:20,21 **balance** 12:22 42:12 Brian 34:8,13,14,15,17 91:19 Ballew 58:16,18 59:9 34:19 81:14,16 86:10 **brief** 27:14 59:10 62:11,12,21 **bright** 9:6 24:7 44:22 63:17 86:3,6 **bandwidth** 64:6 67:13 83:8 bring 51:8 74:16 bar 79:10 barrier 83:22 bringing 32:19 **Barton** 76:19 77:2,10 **Britt** 29:17,18,19 30:1,2 77:17 86:17,19,21,22 30:5 33:13 broken 39:20 50:5 **base** 49:19 based 10:4 20:5,19 **brokers** 36:14 **brought** 6:12 7:6 30:7 **budget** 41:1 64:7 **basis** 23:22 46:7,12 **Budiharto** 77:12,13,19 batches 77:1 bear 47:5 89:20 beautiful 7:10 buffer 39:4 **build** 16:3 **beep** 4:17 beer 46:22 **building** 16:7 33:3 beginning 58:9 80:6 **builds** 51:6 **bulk** 26:6 81:6 **begins** 8:17 34:22 bureaucratic 69:5 **behalf** 9:1 64:4 businesses 43:19 **Behar** 43:11 47:8.10 **bust** 40:13 **button** 4:1 6:11 8:12 50:14.21 believe 6:16 7:21 11:22 buyer 83:17,22 17:13 27:10 32:19 C 35:19 50:7 62:16,18 62:20 66:21 71:4 72:3 calculations 19:15 call 3:18,19 4:21 8:6 78:22 84:6 85:5 86:16 **Belle** 77:14,20 78:1,2 29:19 35:11 36:10 benefit 89:5 42:8 43:6 58:10 59:4 best 15:2 21:15,15,22 62:21 63:16,17 70:11 91:19 77:20 better 9:7 17:4 27:10 called 3:6 4:2,3 42:1 58:11 75:4 76:7,10 90:18 beyond 17:19 56:3 calling 3:7,9 16:19 29:11 53:19 67:11 camera 4:4 7:8,20 35:9 big 12:1 13:22 18:19 capable 20:12 83:14 **Bill** 17:5 capacity 16:4,7 33:3 **billion** 9:5,5 43:22 capital 21:5 binding 21:20 captioning 2:21 care 14:21 28:22 92:6 biodiversity 61:18 cared 39:3 **bit** 90:2,4 black 51:21 carefully 32:6 55:4 block 9:10 90:22 cares 51:3 **board** 1:3 8:5 22:15 **Carol** 76:18 77:2,9,17 39:6,13,15 47:19 86:13,16 89:18 67:10 87:9 carrageenan 52:18

carry 61:14 58:19.21 62:14 71:8 case 43:3,7 53:9 67:1 76:2 77:1 80:13 81:4 68:11 85:22 81:8,17 chats 2:19 90:5 categories 13:13 categorize 69:3 **chief** 41:7 88:8 **child** 25:4 categorized 67:19 **chooses** 56:6 68:2 category 56:12 68:1,22 cattle 28:11 **chose** 63:10 75:2 caused 28:5 31:2 61:6 **chosen** 36:8 causing 88:7 **Christie** 58:15,17,21 **CEA** 45:8,12,16 46:1 59:6,8,10,11,14 62:9 cited 84:11 Center 84:5 **claims** 15:2 centered 26:19 certainly 68:6 71:21 clarified 56:3 90:5 clarity 62:4 certification 13:12 classification 19:19 14:11 15:1 18:14 **clause** 19:21 19:13 31:22 37:2,3,12 clear 13:14 16:14 21:13 38:17 39:20 40:18 22:1 45:13,17 46:6,11 73:3,12 79:3,4 49:3 51:14 52:9 58:13 certifications 30:14 71:17 88:11,20,22 36:3 37:6 clearance 28:3 certified 13:14 19:17 clearly 23:19,21 65:17 20:1 22:10 23:18 26:6 87:22 27:21 28:1 36:13,15 click 2:22 clicking 6:21 36:18 78:22 79:2 83:1 85:9 client 64:5 certifier 14:12 18:17 climate 10:18 32:11 19:17 61:10 88:13 65:9 climate-smart 31:10 certifiers 20:1,11,17 21:20 23:17 28:21 32:15 57:12 36:8 40:1 41:13 46:7 clock 38:12 43:3 65:19 83:21 84:15,18 closed 2:21 56:4 67:20 68:1,7 84:22 88:8 89:4 certify 19:9 73:4 74:11 closing 5:8 13:10 30:21 88:10.15 co-37:3 co-manufacturer 36:4 **certifying** 65:22 85:2 36:9 **chain** 36:12,13,20 **Chair** 39:11 **co-op** 25:22 chairs 8:5 coalesce 27:1 challenge 33:21 37:16 **Coalition** 22:9 43:18 83:20 47:15 59:15 codify 48:9 **challenges** 23:1 32:1 challenging 18:17 collaboration 40:12 31:20 colleague 12:3,10 15:8 **chance** 35:22 81:22 23:2 44:20 87:21 89:16 colleagues 57:1 collected 10:12 chances 42:22 change 16:16 49:8,11 collectively 11:6 50:10 69:7 **Collins** 54:14,15 58:15 58:17 59:2,3,5 86:2,6 changed 51:13 color 74:14 **changes** 18:6 19:9 49:8 combined 43:21 50:7 70:5 changing 19:19 combining 57:18 charged 45:14 come 2:15 7:8 9:4 20:3 **chart** 18:20 21:3,8 22:2 35:12 chat 2:16,17 4:9 5:16 42:3 51:8 53:2 63:10 6:11 8:12 25:13 42:17 69:13 74:7 75:3 77:16

carried 10:21

Bogaert 67:4,6 70:15

79:11 82:12 87:10.20 90:17 91:6 92:1 comes 7:21 79:1 **coming** 50:10 commend 9:19 26:11 comment 2:20 3:18,20 4:2,19,19 5:9,20 6:21 6:22 7:2,4,14 8:4,11 13:3,20 15:18 19:5 33:8 54:20 55:19 57:22 74:3,6 75:12 85:17 87:19 commented 87:21 commenter 8:14 commenters 4:21 59:19 60:15 commenting 6:19 comments 2:12,18 3:5 5:4,8,22 6:4,22 9:1 11:15 19:6 22:8 23:5 23:7 25:16 32:4,12 41:18 44:1 46:10 48:17 49:14,21 50:3 51:6 54:21 55:2,4,5,7 58:2 71:7 72:9,13 74:4,18,22 75:5,6,7,9 75:9,11 76:15,21 77:7 77:7,8 80:1,20,22 82:13 89:7 91:3,4,5 91:17 92:1 commercial 19:21 57:9 65:18 commercially 83:3 commitment 31:18 commitments 31:19 committed 55:21 Committee 1:14 common 12:18 28:15 45:9 65:19 68:16 commonly 27:4 communicate 49:10 communicating 48:20 communication 16:9 45:17 51:14 communities 51:20 74:10 community 19:11 39:14 51:2,15 52:2,4,22 60:11,14 68:20 69:13 69:17,20 78:14,15 87:7,13 89:5 90:7,21 **companies** 31:14,16 company 18:13 30:7 compete 79:5 competitive 27:21 competitors 40:16 compile 24:22 **complete** 13:6 67:20

69:11,16 72:13 **completed** 10:5 13:18 60:12 84:2 completing 70:6 complex 14:8 **complexity** 11:1 32:18 compliance 17:16 31:18 complimentary 12:18 comprehensive 40:20 **comprised** 43:18 78:12 concentrating 40:18 concern 60:18 concerned 22:16 47:17 85:10 88:6 concerning 68:5 69:14 concerns 30:16 32:4 39:1 concisely 87:22 conclusion 14:14 24:21 **conclusions** 11:17 25:4 concur 57:1 conditions 27:21 28:5 64:22 conducted 10:13 confidence 15:1 confirm 57:13 confirmation 85:19,21 confirmed 54:10 90:1 90:12.14 conflict 43:4 conflicts 40:18 confusion 14:12 **congress** 16:13 17:17 38:22 39:6,9 79:17 congressional 27:7 consensus 11:6 conservation 66:12 conserve 66:14 consider 23:3 53:8 57:18 consideration 19:6 56:7 considered 2:20 50:1 considering 15:20 consistency 22:1 consistent 11:11 13:15 21:13 28:22 62:4 73:16 consort 39:11 constraints 13:1 consultation 25:5 consulted 78:15 consumer 14:11 18:3 30:12 31:3

consumers 10:10 11:11

28:1 30:15 61:13,20 **container** 14:9 20:22

88:9 **containers** 14:18 45:5 57:7 60:22 61:5 contamination 65:21 content 19:2 contents 23:14 contingent 17:6 continue 16:6 51:22 62:12 71:13.20 continued 28:18 73:3 continuing 62:10 73:4 continuous 9:13 15:22 17:21 30:8 33:2 44:15 46:8 52:21 84:7 contract 36:1 Contrary 56:2 contrast 23:12 contributing 61:21 controlled 45:7 controversial 41:10,11 convened 1:10 conventional 68:17 83:5 conversation 32:10 **conversion** 31:11 32:2 convert 20:15 31:8 44:10 46:13 57:14 64.8 Coody 18:9 22:5,7,8 44:20 **cooperative** 25:20,20 26:3.10 copy 6:10 copying 8:9 corporate 39:4 correcting 70:1 cost 21:3 counsel 41:8 **count** 69:6.9 **countries** 79:1,5 **couple** 5:8,15 41:21 42:18 47:6 70:11 71:8 86:3 Courtney 67:4,5 70:14 70:18 71:2,3,6 72:2 75:20 86:12,15 **cover** 83:8 create 14:22 32:8 created 61:8 creating 39:4 45:15 creation 19:3 creative 45:18 criteria 17:11 55:22 critical 13:9 14:4 17:2 85:6 **critically** 24:3 47:18 **crop** 45:20,21 65:6

44:7 53:10 61:9 64:13

66:14
CROPP 25:19
crops 46:20 83:7,7,8
crucially 22:21
current 9:16 17:11
31:15 39:19 40:15
56:1
currently 13:14 45:12
46:4 60:3 65:2 73:8
78:20
customer 36:7
customers 43:20
cycle 65:7 66:14

D dairy 26:1 31:2 damage 31:3 Darrell 76:19 77:3,11 77:18 89:19 data 10:12 82:19 83:3 84:11,14 **date** 28:19 daunting 18:18 **DAVID** 1:15 day 40:8 79:21 days 48:22 49:5 **de** 24:18 77:13,13,19 89:20 deadline 55:8 dealing 10:1 debate 65:2 66:4 **DeBates** 12:7 15:8,12 15:14.14 decade 22:17 73:10 **decades** 9:4 47:20 decide 69:21 decision 17:11 31:6 55:22 61:6 84:9 decision-making 56:9 decisions 13:13 49:20 56:8 68:4 deck 8:8 33:14 54:7 58:8,20 66:20 dedicate 32:20 deep 55:16 deeply 22:16 39:2 47:17 defined 32:7 defines 11:21 definition 65:4 definitions 45:13 deforestation 31:17,19 **Dehne** 67:3,5 70:14,18 70:22 72:2 75:19 86:12,15 delay 13:20 30:18 31:1 delays 57:2 59:21 deliberations 49:15,22

Edelman 10:13 discussion 52:7 65:2 27:7 delineation 56:4 **EDT** 1:10 disincentive 40:2,3 enormously 91:6 demonstrate 4:15 5:7 ensure 21:12 32:7,22 Education 82:7 **deny** 39:21 disparities 28:10 56:13 61:21 70:3 82:9 **department** 1:1 16:15 disrespected 39:7 Educator 87:1 36:4 40:12 79:17 distinct 45:19 **Edward** 76:19 77:2,10 85:7 ensuring 11:18 28:21 depending 48:5 78:21 distinction 23:19 77:18 89:19 effective 28:19 84:6 85:8 **Deputy** 1:11,13,15 2:6 distinctly 28:7 distribute 22:10 43:19 efficacy 24:10 entailed 19:14 describe 11:16 50:3 destrov 61:15 disturbing 52:12 efficient 12:16 **enter** 5:16 efforts 14:21 19:17 destruction 53:13 divergent 14:10 entire 66:14 90:20 eggs 26:2 entirely 53:20 61:22 diversify 74:15 entrance 53:14 detail 11:16 50:4 **Division** 1:15,17 7:7 either 42:16 43:5 **EI** 75:22 76:3 86:13,15 environment 45:8 66:5 detailed 51:6 74:17 elements 24:15 66:8 detailing 23:5 divisions 21:4 determination 61:4 **DMD** 19:15 **elevate** 27:2,6 32:13 environmental 17:22 **determine** 24:15 27:2 **DMI** 19:14 elicited 27:5 23:1 78:14 docket 13:3 49:17 Eliminate 20:14 environments 78:7 detrimental 48:15 document 23:21 **eliminating** 31:7 44:10 envisioned 39:18 60:20 develop 15:2 16:20 **documented** 24:5 83:9 46:13 equal 66:9 87:15 doing 8:13 91:18 **Elmore** 35:3,3,4,6,12,13 equally 5:22 60:5 developed 12:11 25:5 domestically 11:10 35:14,15 81:19,19,22 **ERIN** 1:17 64:17 door 38:18 **email** 54:2 escalated 39:10 developing 14:8 dozens 47:18 emanate 40:15 **especially** 24:4 74:13 development 9:11 **DR** 1:13 2:3 5:14 8:20 embodies 15:22 essential 30:21 48:10 11:14 15:10 16:5.12 12:5 15:11 18:7 22:4 embodiment 89:12 50:7 essentially 23:16 88:21 16:16 17:8.15 21:2 25:9 29:8 33:12 35:11 **emerged** 11:3,13 22:18 24:19 25:8,17 37:14 41:17 47:2 emergence 30:13 establish 44:21 established 67:14 26:13 27:6,17 32:22 50:13 53:16 58:1 62:9 emergency 57:14 **Emily** 75:22 76:3,6,13 70:12 44:17 45:4,19 46:4 63:22 66:18 70:9 establishing 24:7 devoted 25:8 74:20 80:2 85:16 76:14,15,17,20 devoting 16:10 86:20 89:14 **emissions** 31:12,15 **esters** 52:17 draft 13:17 31:15 Etca 54:12.14 diagram 45:15 66:15 drafting 52:7 emphasized 55:20 ethos 48:10 dialogue 26:21 Etka 47:9,12 50:17,18 employ 25:4 **difference** 12:1 65:6 **drop** 37:5 differences 45:16 46:19 dual- 37:5 employees 40:15 58:14,17,20,22 59:2,4 dual-certified 36:7 enabled 2:17 82:3 different 6:18 28:7,9 enablers 39:22 evaluate 32:6 due 36:7 49:8 51:16 41:5 53:11 60:13 dust 13:18 enclosures 57:7 evaluation 10:21 61:10 65:11 91:20 differently 89:4 **encourage** 5:2,19 6:3 event 3:3 Ε differs 23:11 6:14 7:1 25:3 32:13 ever-changing 22:22 45:17 55:2,7 64:11 everybody 7:9 36:12,20 difficult 68:21 79:7 eager 88:4 **difficulties** 34:2 42:10 earlier 76:8,11 90:17 75:5,11 77:6 80:19 38:14 everybody's 36:11 **Dill** 23:2 41:19,22 42:7 early 44:14 46:17 encouraged 85:12 everyone's 92:2 43:9,11,12,16 earn 50:8 encouraging 75:8 easier 36:11 37:8 84:12,15 evidence 49:21 50:9 direct 13:3 Eastern 92:4 ended 75:19 evolution 44:18 directed 17:8 **Director** 1:17 12:9 endorser 44:14 **evolve** 22:22 easy 37:5 43:15 evolved 10:11 32:11 **endured** 28:14 25:18 30:5 38:7 39:12 echo 74:21 **Ecological** 55:12 87:2 **energy** 89:13 evolving 10:17 41:12 47:15 55:11 enforce 46:7 **exactly** 54:18 72:7 78:3 82:7 economic 28:10 31:2 enforced 14:6 example 28:4 51:19 directors 67:11 ecosystem 44:11 enforcement 13:7 70:2 ecosystems 20:15 31:8 disagree 14:3,13 84:8 excitedly 20:2 31:11 32:2,7 46:14 17:16 18:2 23:13 discern 68:12 27:19 29:3 30:22 40:2 excluded 53:5 57:8 discouraged 53:15 53:4,13 57:11,14 discuss 15:8 38:15 56:21 59:17 62:4 **exclusively** 16:11 26:4 61:13,16,22 66:15 72:18 74:4 85:3 32:21 73:7 **Ed** 50:17,18 54:13,14 **excuse** 28:16 discussed 32:5 58:14,17,22 59:1,2,4 engage 87:3 **engagement** 17:3 26:9 excuses 70:3 discussing 38:11 86:2,5

Executive 38:7 47:14 72:7 78:2 exercising 28:6 exhausted 64:14 **expect** 10:16 61:13,20 expectations 10:10 expecting 90:14 **expects** 68:20 **expedite** 25:1 57:17 **experience** 2:16 22:19 40:5 90:6 expert 45:10,14 **expertise** 7:15 48:6 **experts** 78:15 explanation 49:3 **extensive** 10:21 26:8 extra 36:17 84:16,19 extracts 62:7 68:12 **extreme** 30:18 extremely 30:10 F facilitate 33:1

facilities 37:6 66:7 fact 4:12 10:12 80:22 84:13 facto 24:18 factor 9:10 83:17 **factors** 12:19 **failed** 69:15 failure 28:4 47:18 68:4 69:11 fairly 6:2 fairness 24:10 fall 45:7 family 38:21 far 76:12 farm 12:9 17:5 38:8 46:11 55:12 66:6 87:2 farm's 32:9 farmer 26:9 farmers 19:14 25:22 26:7 38:21 55:15 61:21 62:3 72:8,11,15 72:19 73:1,6,15 74:14 74:14 78:13 79:3 82:10 83:19 84:17,21 farming 11:8 farms 26:4 **FBI** 40:13 feat 19:16 feature 3:5 February 27:15 federal 6:11 13:1 14:14 27:15 39:3 49:17,20 55:20 feed 26:2

18:4 26:22 33:13 58:4 80:13 feel 64:19 **feels** 46:10 65:8.22 fewer 83:10 84:14 field 19:15 21:13 55:17 79:9 83:7 fields 46:20 figure 21:10 58:7 fill 6:2 final 5:18 19:7 28:2 30:19 59:4 81:22 89:16 finalization 52:8 finalize 31:5 59:16 finalized 11:19 57:1 finalizing 31:1 72:15,21 finally 25:6 41:4 57:17 financial 40:3 find 25:12 29:13 36:5,6 74:9 88:15 findings 82:21 85:1 finfish 78:5 finish 4:17 5:6 10:7 37:22 finishing 29:4 first 2:13 8:14 13:6 15:16 19:13 28:12 32:12 38:14 44:19 48:19 64:5 70:20 71:11.19 72:20 80:14 86:8 87:20 91:18 fit 45:22 five 23:3 40:21 44:3 58:6 63:3 67:6 78:21 83:12 fixed 15:3 **Flood** 34:8,14,14,15,19 81:14,16 86:10 floor 64:1 76:16 77:22 82:1 focus 23:8 31:9 32:14 48:17 82:13 focused 26:1 focuses 26:4 folks 2:14,15 4:22 5:15 5:19 7:17,19 8:11 33:14,21 34:3 35:1 37:21 40:4 42:9,11,19 43:9 47:4 50:16 54:1 54:5,7,10,19 58:4,10 63:5,8,9,11 66:20 71:17 72:1 75:1,8,16 76:4,10,18,20 77:1,4 80:9,15,17,21 85:19 85:20,22 86:4,7,14 88:14,15 89:16 90:8 90:10,13,21 91:14

followed 8:16 15:12 18:8 22:5 25:10 41:18 43:10,10 47:7,10 59:10 62:22 70:14 71:5 following 20:13 29:11 35:5 52:13 65:18 **food** 13:16 36:2,12 55:12 57:16 87:2 **Foods** 61:2 67:13 footing 66:9 forage 83:7 foray 19:13 force 23:15 78:8,10,12 forefront 26:21 forensic 40:5 forest 31:15 **form** 6:1 11:8 49:12 88:21 formal 2:20 20:18 89:7 format 71:11 former 39:11 40:15 41:7,10,11 **forum** 38:16 forward 13:2 16:22 18:5 18:20 19:4 20:9 21:5 25:3 27:4 29:5 33:9 50:11 51:16 56:6,10 57:19 60:6,16 73:22 74:7,8,16 78:11 79:12 79:20 88:5 89:6 92:1 forwarded 78:18 87:11 foster 45:9 46:5 found 38:22 83:6 foundation 23:13 four 33:18 34:4,17 46:10 52:11 63:3 69:8 four- 78:11 four-year 78:16 **Fourth** 49:14 fragmenting 9:12 frame 64:18 **framers** 41:15 framing 91:7 Frankel 59:9,11 62:16 62:22 63:19,21 64:2 fraud 36:11,21 37:9 40:9 frauds 40:13 fresh 22:10 43:19 44:4 freshwater 78:7 front 21:5 frustrating 73:5 full 6:13 13:9 49:21 91:12 fun 36:6 function 23:11

follow 4:18 50:16 68:2

fundamental 47:21 85:9 funding 17:5 further 15:2 19:5 20:4 22:18 69:3 future 15:9,20 16:2 17:5 17:20 20:2 33:7 55:16 90:16

G

gap 37:11 **gaps** 13:10 gas 31:12 32:11 **gate** 8:19 geared 3:22 generate 21:19 generating 3:2 **Genevieve** 29:12,14,15 29:16,20,21,22 81:9 81:10,11,12,15 86:9 getting 33:13 54:7 58:3 give 3:20 30:15 33:22 41:21 42:4 47:16 59:7 62:15 81:4 82:1 89:15 given 13:20 20:7 54:4 54:20 87:20 giving 35:22 66:8 glad 30:7 80:18 **GLASGOW** 1:15 **goal** 22:1 good-intentioned 40:4 gotten 54:2 85:18,21 90:4 governance 26:9 38:19 government 15:15 25:19 39:3 **Grace** 54:13,15 58:15 58:17 59:2,3,5 86:2,6 **grains** 65:10 grand 9:7 gray 36:5 37:10,11 greater 21:14,14 50:4 56:13 greenhouse 14:9,18 20:21 31:12 32:11 44:7 45:5 53:5 64:13 66:15 73:8 88:9 greenhouses 61:5 grew 83:10 **grossly** 79:14 group 12:19 38:9 41:9 59:6 76:6,18 78:16 grouping 12:17 groups 21:18,22,22 48:5 74:6 grow 10:11 11:9 78:5 grower 65:9,11 74:6 83:4

feedback 10:22 16:20

growers 44:4 46:8 inertation 69:7 64:17 66:3 74:15 31:17.20 47:18 49:6 **inerts** 69:8 90:18 implementation 12:13 growers' 64:20 influenced 26:17 13:9 15:7 16:5 22:18 grown 9:5 helped 38:21 91:13 inform 11:16 52:2 82:19 growth 9:10 27:11 helpful 91:6 30:11 48:14 49:1 44:18 helping 7:10,18 40:13 52:10 57:2 67:15 **information** 6:15 24:22 **quess** 88:7 hesitancy 20:10 68:13 45:11.19 implemented 19:12 informative 91:15 quidance 23:22 24:8,17 **Hey** 82:4 **Hi** 12:8 15:14 22:7 23:17 informed 51:20 52:9 45:1 53:6,7 57:9,10 62:2 70:1 88:21 35:17 67:9 72:5 86:21 implementing 9:21 ingredient 26:7 **guidelines** 31:14,16 high 65:1 72:21 24:1 30:19 48:21 50:5 ingredients 15:3 36:16 51:12 59:21 70:2 **Gwendolyn** 8:15,15,16 higher 28:15 60:9 68:19 **import** 65:17 initiative 31:13 70:6 8:22 12:6,11 **importance** 11:1 15:4 innovation 9:13 highest 23:4 25:2 29:4 Н highlight 60:17 24:9 29:4 44:5 87:16 inorganic 72:17 74:4 highlights 13:5 important 5:21,22 6:20 input 19:20,22 26:12 **Haide** 35:6,6,18 37:17 10:20 11:4 16:13 18:6 69:14 85:9 **highly** 21:19 37:17,18,19 81:19,20 21:10 22:16,21 23:19 inroads 21:4 **history** 16:1 39:16 81:22 40:14 24:3 32:6 39:15 44:17 insecticides 57:15 **halfway** 54:18 Hampshire 30:7 hit 4:7 47:19 55:5 60:3.16 **inspections** 40:17,19 hitting 54:8 62:2 66:16 73:11,15 Inspectors 40:3 hand 3:5 18:16 hold 37:1 53:18 67:20 73:17 74:9,12 75:1,6 institutionalize 17:4 handbook 15:5 21:14 68:7,9 75:10 77:8 81:1 87:6 instruction 45:2 21:16,17 23:9,10,14 23:15,20 24:5,12,15 holding 18:15 26:11 87:9 instructions 3:16 5:6 56:4 86:22 importantly 19:4 **integrity** 18:1 48:16 26:13 44:6,19 45:2 53:12 60:4,20 61:7 hole 51:21 improper 69:18 Handing 39:2 62:3 69:12 84:5 85:7 handled 57:21 Holm 1:18 7:17 improvement 9:13 15:22 17:22 30:8 33:2 handler 36:18 honor 52:5 85:8 44:15 46:8 52:21 intended 41:15 handlers 22:10 44:4 honors 60:6 82:22 84:7 intent 39:6 40:8 **hands** 7:20 hope 29:6 39:16 50:9 interact 51:4 improvements 33:11 happen 43:4 hoping 8:4 interactions 66:6 happening 16:3 **hopping** 88:14 improving 48:12 host 7:17 in-between 41:1 Interchange 21:15 happy 15:4 63:11 interest 40:19 75:13 incentive 20:14 31:7 hard 71:16 88:3 **hosting** 15:17 interests 91:20 hour 2:4 54:17 57:14 harm 27:21 31:2 57:3 interface 66:5 hours 2:9 52:6 91:12 incentives 44:10 46:13 59:22 61:7 HR2918 16:18 include 5:4 14:1 56:19 International 18:12,13 Harriet 43:10 47:7,10 88:1.18 internationally 22:12 **Huddleston** 76:20 77:3 50:14,15,16,18,19 included 49:16 interpretation 20:20 77:11,18 89:19 53:16 includes 6:14 12:14 interpreted 89:3 harvest 65:8,12 **human** 21:5 head 41:8.15 **hydroponic** 60:22 61:1 13:15 69:1 introduced 16:18 including 48:2.22 70:5 introduction 23:20 health 65:4 61:9 healthier 15:21 hydroponics 14:16 inconsistencies 14:10 invested 11:7 27:9 **HEALY** 1:17 44:7 45:4 61:6 73:3,5 20:20 30:22 73:9 88:8 invitation 38:10 hear 4:16 5:12,15,17 88:10 inconsistency 73:12 invite 6:5 54:21 88:18 involved 32:18 65:1 8:19 34:10 42:19 43:1 inconsistent 13:12 30:9 irrigation 65:13 43:3,15 63:5 70:21 ideal 20:6 31:9 88:7 **IRS** 41:1 heard 4:20 5:16 43:5 isolated 66:6 increase 83:16 44:20 62:11 80:10,18 ideas 46:11 identified 23:3 83:20 increased 44:9 46:2 issue 10:1 18:16 52:3 hearing 34:11 75:19 62:6 **heart** 48:8 identifying 73:9 increasing 16:9 independent 85:19,21 issues 38:11,14 39:15 Hearthside 36:2 **imagine** 18:18 44:6 48:3,4 51:22 indigenous 74:13 **heavy** 27:9 immediately 47:22 57:5 60:1,2,10 64:18 held 68:17,21 **impact** 44:12 48:15 individually 20:12,17 industry 12:22 18:3 68:22 69:17 **hell** 41:3 60:20 67:22 83:14 impacted 30:18 21:8 22:1 25:19 27:7 items 53:1 64:12 87:22 hello 7:8 43:12 50:21 27:11 38:9 40:11,14 impacting 30:12 82:5 41:5,8 help 4:7,10 15:1 36:19 **impacts** 19:22 **implement** 19:8,18 **inert** 70:1 **Jared** 38:2,4 41:18,19 36:21 37:7,9 38:13

41:20,20,21 42:2,2,4 42:8,8,16 43:5,6 76:7 76:8 81:20,21 82:1,4 82:6 85:16 Jennifer 1:10,13 2:4 78:1 **Jenny** 35:8 55:10 92:7 Jo 12:9 15:11 Joan 77:14 80:4,4,4 89:20 **job** 7:10 69:18 70:2 **Johanna** 8:16 12:3,6 join 77:6 80:16 81:7 86:1 90:13 joined 19:11 54:6 55:1 58:5 67:2 80:11,17 82:3,4 joining 2:7 92:5 **Juan** 34:8,16,16,18,20 81:14,16 86:10 **Julia** 76:19 77:2,10,17 86:17,17,20,22 89:15 jump 20:14 74:19 81:5 **jumping** 88:13 iuncture 85:6 Justice 40:12 justification 49:4,11 56:9 justify 68:4

Κ

Kastel 35:19 38:1,3,6,7 **Kate** 70:15,19 71:3,4 72:3,4,6 74:20,21 keep 2:11,18 10:9 15:6 16:7 18:3 32:22 33:6 43:2 77:11 86:11 keeping 43:13 52:8 76:5 key 48:11 91:2 kinds 79:2 knowledgeable 51:5 known 14:10 82:21 Koory 29:17,18 30:2 34:5,6,9,10,11,15,19 81:11,12,15 86:9 Kustermann 25:11 29:9 29:11,14,15,20,20 81:6,9,10,13,15 86:8

label 15:2 51:4,7 53:13 60:4 73:16 85:7 labels 14:13 lack 20:18 51:11,17,18 60:19 68:3 88:22 laq 30:10 land 31:15 32:9

language 7:21 51:17 52:1 languished 22:17 larger 27:12 32:14 largest 40:13 41:12 83:12 **Lastly** 17:20 46:15 late 55:1 80:11 law 23:15 24:2 57:11 lead 11:22 30:22 leading 18:13 40:10 41:8 88:17 Leah 38:4 learn 19:18 learned 71:14 91:1,10 learning 71:10,21 84:5 90:6 led 14:11 20:19 28:9 30:13 47:22 **Lee** 59:9,11 62:16,17,22 63:19,20 64:1 66:18 **left** 4:5 legal 23:13 41:7 legislation 16:18 lesson 90:20 lessons 71:14 let's 3:15 5:17 35:11 70:20 76:4 77:9 89:18 letter 61:1 **level** 55:17 79:9 liberal 40:22 **library** 67:19 lifts 27:9 light 4:13 43:2 Likewise 73:14 limitations 32:8 limited 49:20 64:6 **line** 5:3 10:7 24:8 32:14 40:1 44:22 50:15 link 6:10 8:10,11 24:1 63:8 **linked** 91:16 links 5:19 91:16 **Lipstreu** 47:8,11 50:16 53:17 54:11 55:10,11 87:21 **list** 3:8 4:22 5:1,2 6:1 9:22 17:19 21:9 29:13 48:3 49:18 53:1,22 54:1,3,4,5,9 57:21 58:3,9 62:10,12 63:9 63:10,12,14 65:14 67:17 68:5 70:5 71:15 71:19 72:9 75:2,4,17

76:9,12 77:16 80:9,12

80:17 81:6 86:5,8,11

88:1 89:16 90:12,14

90:20,22

listed 52:15 63:15 listen 2:15 **listening** 1:5,10 2:8 5:21 15:18 18:15 23:21 25:1 26:12 29:6 38:20 76:15 listings 70:1 lists 69:8 **litigation** 27:8 64:12 little 83:13 90:2,4 live 2:22 lives 36:11 livestock 13:8,8 26:3 27:17,18 28:2,12,16 28:17 30:17,19,20 56:20,21 59:16,18 72:16,16 88:2,3 livestock-focused 27:20 **lobbied** 38:22 lobbyist 41:8 lobbyists 39:4 Loftsgard 38:3,4 41:19 41:22 42:5,13,15 43:6 43:7 82:2 86:1,5 log 63:7 logistics 7:11 long 9:4 22:12 25:20 30:10 55:13 79:21 long-awaited 33:10 **longer** 52:20 look 13:2 15:21 18:5 21:9 29:5 33:9 50:11 63:12 74:6 91:22 looking 10:5 20:2,10 59:8 65:1.20 looks 77:15 loopholes 30:21 **lot** 6:14 36:5,14 37:9 42:11 64:19 71:21 73:12 74:5 88:13 89:12 91:1,20 Lovera 62:19 63:1,20 67:3,5 70:13,16 low 48:13 **Luis** 77:13,13,19 89:20 **lunch** 40:8 Lundgren 29:17,18 30:1,4,5 **Lynn** 18:8 22:5,6,8 25:10 44:20

М ma'am 86:19 magic 3:8 **Maine** 78:3 maintaining 17:16 maintains 25:20

major 9:9 13:10 31:11 majority 50:22 51:9 making 8:1 14:20 22:13 **Maltby** 76:19 77:2,10 77:18 89:19 **manage** 32:9 Management 64:7 mandatory 24:9 44:22 manifest 19:22 manner 60:6 88:20 manufacturer 36:1 manufacturers 37:4 map 11:17,21 12:12,22 **maps** 19:15 March 1:8 55:8 75:7,9 80:20 91:3,4 92:2 marginal 74:9 marine 78:6 Mark 35:19 38:1,2,3,5,7 41:17 market 9:8,12 17:15 29:1 78:22 Marketing 1:14 marketplace 16:7 25:21 53:15 79:6 markets 26:2 65:9 materials 1:18 26:19 49:18 57:21 70:6 math 90:1,7 **matter** 92:9 maximize 2:10 McNamara 50:18.19 54:13,15 58:14,17,22 59:1,2,5 86:2,5 meaningful 13:22 50:12 82:22 means 54:17 66:13 68:7 measured 65:5 measures 84:16,19 meat 26:2 mechanism 48:12 meet 11:11 22:22 61:1 meetings 7:14 26:16,18 46:17 49:12 50:22 51:7 Megan 12:7 15:8,12,14 18:7 member 51:1,1 60:8 78:7 members 9:3 39:14 43:21 52:7 73:21 78:5 membership 10:22

48:4

memo 49:13

memos 45:2

Mendenhall 70:15,19

71:4 72:3,5,6

nitrate 52:18 62:7 obstacles 52:2.10 Mendez 77:14 80:4,5 Ν 89:20 **no-shows** 71:18 obviously 37:8 name 2:4 3:20 8:22 occurrence 29:7 **NOC** 47:16,17 57:2 mentioned 12:11 18:10 22:7 30:4 35:22 message 11:2 59:15 60:2,8 octanoate 52:17 38:7 43:16 47:14 72:6 **method** 27:5 non-binding 23:14 24:8 **OEFFA** 55:13,18 78:2 82:6 **OEFFA's** 56:19 methodology 27:3 24:17 45:1 **named** 36:1 non-compatible 28:7 off-66:5 methods 46:19 53:5 names 33:18 35:1 non-synthetic 19:21 offer 25:16 26:16 57:8 37:20 38:1 43:8 47:3 metric 27:3 **NOP** 1:11 3:14 6:7 7:19 offering 7:15 47:6 53:19 54:6.11 mic 4:5 59:7 8:1 13:18,20 14:7,16 **Office** 64:7 58:7,11 63:3,5 66:19 14:20 15:4,5 16:3,14 Officer 36:3 Michael 71:5 75:21 76:2 67:1 70:11 71:2,8,12 official 3:1,3 16:19 17:5,14,20 18:5 76:17 86:13,15 75:18 76:1 80:10 81:3 officially 92:3 Michelle 1:14 5:7,10,11 21:2 22:15 23:7,9,10 85:18 23:18 24:21 25:3,7 **OFPA** 24:1 39:9 88:11 7:13 38:12 41:11 Natamycin 52:15 Michelle's 4:14 26:13 29:5 30:11 32:6 Ohio 55:12 87:2 **nation's** 40:10 32:13 37:13 39:12 old 46:22 **mid-size** 26:4 **national** 1:3,5,13,16 2:5 40:12 41:10,11 44:6 **Oliva** 34:8,16,16,20 Mike 23:2 41:19,22 42:7 9:22 15:17 17:19 44:19 45:1 51:11,18 81:14,16 86:10 43:9,11,16 47:2 25:17 27:13 39:5 mind 2:18 54:19 64:11 52:3,5,12 60:4,12 **OLPP** 88:2 47:15,19 48:3,19 49:2 62:6 67:19 68:1,7 **Olson** 76:18 77:2,9,17 **minute** 4:15 49:10,18,19 53:1 69:7,13,18,19 70:2 86:13,16 89:18 minutes 4:11,14 8:17 55:14 57:21 59:14 43:15 62:15 63:4,7 78:19 79:14 84:2 **OMB** 28:3 70:3 67:16 68:5 70:5 78:4 90:3 85:13 87:11 91:17 once 4:20 7:2 37:22 79:13 84:18 87:8 miracle 39:16 **NOP's** 13:4 14:3,13 41:13 53:2 80:12 nationally 82:9 18:20 24:1,11,12 31:6 Miranda 12:9 ongoing 16:4 **nationwide** 72:19 73:6 online 3:10 34:1 Mirenda 8:16 12:3.6.8 46:16 56:4 67:18 native 20:15 31:8.11 mission-driven 82:8 69:11 82:12 84:8 open 5:9 75:7 90:10 32:2,7 44:10 46:13 normally 37:10 model 74:11 91:3 53:4,13 57:11 61:13 **NOSB** 6:16 7:14 9:17 opening 2:11 modifications 78:18 61:22 operations 19:18 20:1 moment 37:19 64:10 9:21 10:4 11:19 12:15 natural 52:16 61:18 23:18 53:8 65:22 83:6 MONDAY 1:7 17:11 18:19 20:5,13 66:12,15 88:11 monitor 46:7 21:7 22:13 26:15 near 79:11 28:13 30:11 32:5 33:4 opportunities 26:16 **months** 50:10 nearly 25:22 28:13 75:14 39:11 46:18 48:21 morning 8:22 43:22 85:1 49:4,12,13,15,15 50:5 opportunity 18:4 19:5 **motions** 39:21 necessary 26:20 50:22 51:1.6.21 52:6 25:16 33:8,22 44:1 move 4:20 13:21 16:22 need 16:3 17:4 25:7 52:13,21 56:1,6 60:6 47:16 57:22 72:6,10 31:4 34:18,21 35:13 38:20 40:17 44:13,21 72:22 74:19 82:11 61:4 65:3 67:14,19 51:16 56:6,10 59:5 64:17 65:14 66:1,7 87:18 89:9 60:5,16 62:12 63:17 68:2,6 69:11 70:6 69:21 74:5 82:10 optics 41:7 63:18 75:20 88:5 89:6 73:7,18,21 78:8,17 needed 4:7 33:1,6 optional 4:5 movement 38:22 74:15 87:5.6.10.15 48:18 57:14 62:5 **NOSB's** 46:21 49:22 options 32:6 moving 12:19 19:3 20:8 **needing** 56:15 **OPWC** 22:12 23:2,10 21:5 57:10,19 73:18 67:18 70:7 84:9 85:13 needs 12:22 14:7 17:9 73:22 81:18 note 32:3 72:11 80:7 24:14,21 43:18,21 18:3 31:4 32:20 33:5 notes 2:16 23:10 91:7 44:14 45:5,10 46:3,10 multi-stage 11:18 51:13 52:1,5 57:4 **notice** 6:12,13 7:10 46:15 multi-state 12:14 73:13 14:15 oral 44:1 75:14 multiple 12:17 61:19 **negatively** 30:11 53:12 November 10:13 **orally** 49:11 65:11 neither 21:19 **NSF** 18:12 order 3:7,9 5:1 16:2 Munoz 35:6,6,18 37:17 neutral 41:1 37:18,18,19 81:20,20 number 2:10 23:8 33:14 32:22 33:21 34:5 35:3 never 28:18 53:2 45:3 46:2,10,15 49:20 60:21 86:3 81:22 **new** 14:22 30:7 32:19 organically-labeled mushroom 44:12 46:17 58:4 71:12,22 75:16 48:21 52:1 53:1 82:21 46:21 57:9 88:18 **numbers** 78:21 53:10 newest 82:18,21 nursery 45:21 65:18 OrganicEye 38:8,8 mushrooms 13:16 nice 43:12,14 nutrient 65:2 organization 82:9 45:21 46:20 89:1 Nicole 67:3,5 70:14,18 organizations 60:8 mute 3:18 4:1,2 33:17 70:22 71:1 72:2 75:19 origin 13:7 27:17 28:11 42:3 62:14 86:12.14 Obama-Vilsack 39:10 28:16 30:19 56:20 nine 63:6

59:16 72:16 88:1,3 original 28:2 **OTA** 18:21 **OTA's** 25:4 44:15 outcomes 17:22 67:21 outrageous 69:19 outreach 9:18 outside 66:7 outstanding 6:16 20:11 overall 27:22 31:9 83:15 overarching 45:22 overcome 52:1 overcoming 31:22 overlooked 66:16 overseas 79:10 oversight 16:13 18:1 24:11 39:1 owners 37:1 oxytocin 52:17

Р

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **p.m** 1:10 2:2 92:10 pace 10:9 18:3 33:1,6 packages 57:20 page 6:9 34:21,22 panel 45:11,14 part 2:19 6:20 24:12 27:10 31:18 48:2 49:16 50:1 60:3 61:4 71:19 83:5 participant 21:18 22:13 29:13 participate 21:21 participated 50:21 participating 39:22 participatory 17:3 particular 60:18 particularly 30:18 48:15 64:22 74:14

parties 27:9 partnering 50:11 partnership 15:9,22 39:17

87:5.9

pass-through 76:10 passionately 51:3 pasture 10:5 19:12 Patel 67:3,5 70:14,17

70:21 72:2 86:12,14 path 18:20 27:11 patience 30:3 35:20

patient 42:9

Patt 34:7,7,12,12,13,15 34:19 81:13,14,16 86:10

Patty 62:19 63:1,1,19 67:2,4 70:12,16 **pauses** 56:7 pay 26:8 pending 20:3 68:9,10 68:12 69:4,6,9 **people** 3:7,9 5:15 33:22 34:17 43:2 75:2 87:14

people's 89:13 percent 10:14 78:21 83:18 84:17,20 85:1 **period** 5:9 78:12,16

periodically 10:16 periods 28:15 perpetrate 40:8

person 3:19,21,21 4:20 8:6,8,8 42:21 personal 14:21 64:3

personally 78:8 91:21 personnel 26:22 perspective 9:9 18:17 18:20 19:10 84:13 88:20

pertain 82:14 **Pesticides** 56:3 67:11 pet 13:16 57:16 petition 27:8 39:14

Ph.D 1:13 phone 4:6 29:10 33:16

52:14

33:17 42:4.17 pick 76:8

pieces 87:19 88:5,7,17 pipeline 64:16 pit 69:19

pits 60:13 placated 39:1

place 47:21 placed 60:9

places 61:19 plan 11:18 12:15 16:21

39:13 49:6 56:17 60:5 71:15 90:18

planning 91:9 plans 48:21

plant 83:4 planted 83:15

planting 82:14 85:14 **plants** 57:7

playing 21:13 55:17 79:9

please 2:18 3:4,17 4:1 4:17 7:3 9:20 67:8 71:15 75:4 76:1 81:3

81:17 90:22 **plug** 43:13

point 6:17 11:22 30:13 53:20,21 54:8 58:12

64:15 73:1 81:18 91:2 **policy** 12:9 38:8 45:2 55:11 82:13 87:4 political 41:9 poor 51:17

position 25:21 56:15 positioned 13:21 positions 9:16 17:11

56:1

positive 9:6 46:5 possible 5:5 posted 3:13 6:7

posting 27:15 **posture** 54:19

poultry 13:8 27:18 28:17 30:20 56:22

59:18 72:16 power 39:12

practice 10:3 17:19 27:18 28:4,11,17 48:7

48:7,10,14 49:18 practices 14:11 21:15 21:16,22 30:20 45:7

56:22 59:19 69:5 **Pratt** 86:9

pre-rulemaking 14:17 predetermined 26:20

predict 71:18 premature 14:14 prepare 89:7

prepared 8:9 54:7 72:9 76:14

present 1:12 23:2 presenting 22:8 **President** 15:15 78:4

presiding 1:11 **pretty** 3:11 37:4 prevent 16:2 36:11

56:17 previous 60:15 **price** 26:8

pride 26:8 primarily 26:1 87:3 primary 24:9

prior 39:14 46:16 priorities 1:5 2:7 6:18

9:16 16:17 17:10,21 23:4 25:2 27:2,16 44:2,3 55:22 56:19

60:2,11 69:14,22 72:11,19 82:12,13

prioritization 13:4 20:21 21:1 28:18 53:3

prioritize 11:14 13:11 14:20 15:19 24:19 31:6 33:3 57:6 68:22

84:9

53:7

prioritized 10:20 **prioritizing** 17:14 18:15 51:12 priority 11:13,15,21

14:4 16:10 19:1 23:8 28:16 32:13 44:19 45:3 46:2 48:5 52:4 59:20 60:9 70:6 72:14 72:21 73:7,19 74:16 85:15

private 15:9 40:1 proactive 60:5 **probably** 37:5 64:17 90:15

problem 45:18

problems 3:12 47:22 56:3 67:13 process 5:20 6:20 8:2,4

10:22 11:6,16 12:17 12:20 13:2 14:17 16:19 19:3 22:13 27:8 37:12 39:5 48:17,20 50:2,4 51:5,6,12,15

52:20 56:5,11,12 58:13 64:14 67:20 69:1,5,8 71:13,22 73:19,20 80:5,13

83:17 87:5,6 89:22 90:2,4 91:2

processes 17:4 71:20 processing 26:5 68:21 produce 22:9.11 23:4 26:2 43:17,19 44:4,12 46:19

produced 11:10 61:15 producers 27:22 28:5 28:10,21 31:3 66:8 82:22 83:2,9,11,13,16

84:14 87:4

product 65:21 79:6 production 13:13 14:9 20:15,16,22 26:5 31:8 40:5 44:8,11 45:6,20

45:21 46:1,14 53:10 57:6,13 60:22 61:2,3 61:9 66:7 67:14,22 68:20 88:10

productivity 66:14 products 11:10,11 15:3 26:6 53:11 61:14 64:18 87:13

professionals 40:21 program 1:5,13,16 2:5 15:17 16:6 21:14,16 25:18 27:13 39:20 44:2 48:16,20 49:2,10 49:19 50:8 55:14 56:5

70:4 73:17 79:13 81:1

program's 88:2 **programs** 79:3,5 progress 51:15 52:9 **prohibit** 14:16 32:2 **prohibited** 52:17 67:17 prohibiting 73:2 project 25:4 82:17 proliferation 14:12 proposal 12:14 13:3 14:1 28:21 proposals 64:19 **propose** 45:19 proposed 12:12 13:17 13:19 24:14 28:13 51:13 69:4,10 protect 17:22 protecting 61:18 protocol 31:19 protocols 32:11 **proud** 82:16 **proven** 67:17 provide 18:4 26:7 36:16 44:1 45:11,13 46:6,11 49:3 provided 27:14 31:21 provider 18:13 providers 65:18 **providing** 9:1 91:9 provisions 44:22 **public** 2:10.19.20 5:9 5:20 7:2,14 8:3,14 11:6 15:9,18 19:5 48:21 49:4.12.13 51:1 55:2 56:14 74:5 public-private 15:21 39:17 **publish** 13:19 14:15,16 published 85:11 **pull** 74:8 **pulled** 74:5 **pulses** 65:10 purview 68:6 put 6:1,10 43:13 47:21 52:7,16 53:1 67:12 73:21 79:8,12,20 88:9 putting 7:11

Q

QAI 19:1 21:17 qualify 79:4 Quality 18:12 36:3 question 11:20 20:5 questions 4:18 13:4 quick 12:1 53:14 quickly 6:3 13:21 21:8 25:1 31:5 89:6 quite 2:12 4:8 88:19

R **R-I-M-A** 70:20 raise 32:4 79:9 raised 3:5 range 45:12 rate 48:14 ratting 40:16 re-evaluating 38:16 re-framed 45:6 reach 63:5,15 read 6:13 33:18 34:22 37:20 43:8 47:3.6 66:19 71:1 76:1 81:2 91:9 reading 54:6 63:2 **ready** 8:3 42:6 43:9 46:22 47:4.6 58:20 66:22 67:7 real 31:2,3 71:10 realign 16:17 realize 80:15 realm 19:13 reason 48:13 49:9 reasonable 11:20 61:19 reasons 31:20 44:21 receiving 26:22 84:21 recognize 9:20 15:4 25:7 64:5 79:14 recommendation 10:4 11:2 19:2 46:21 49:8 51:16 53:6 56:7 61:5 64:9 68:14 70:7 84:10 89:2

recommendations 6:16 9:17,22 10:6,19 11:3 11:19 12:15,17 17:12 18:19,22 20:5,9,11,14 20:18 21:7,9 22:14,17 30:10 33:4 47:20 48:11,22 49:5 50:5,6 51:13 52:8,13 56:1,5 56:11,16 57:19 60:7 64:4 67:18,19 68:2,11 68:15 69:1,12,15 73:18,22 78:11,17 79:12 85:13 87:10,15 89:11

recommended 28:12 reconsideration 46:16 record 2:19 92:10 recording 3:12 recurrence 56:17 recurring 72:18 reduce 36:21 37:9 referenced 61:17 referred 45:8 reflect 10:17 68:19 90:2 90:3 reflection 68:16 reflects 27:11 reform 50:6 56:13 reforms 47:21 48:18 50:12 regarding 85:13 region 65:9,12 Register 6:12 14:15 27:15 49:17,20 55:20 registered 3:5,7 4:21 regularly 17:9 regulating 41:13 regulation 22:2 24:6,18 51:3 62:5 64:9 82:15 84:10 85:14 regulations 13:10 14:5 20:19 22:20 23:12 24:1,9 28:6 30:9 45:1 46:4,12 59:21 61:16 61:20 85:3 regulations.gov 8:10 regulatory 1:14 2:7 12:20 19:9,18 20:4,13 23:11 24:19 39:1 41:5 44:2 51:5 84:13 reinstate 59:18 reinvent 40:17 reiterate 72:14 related 9:22 24:4 44:6 46:12 48:7 relative 69:21 relatively 83:13 **relay** 2:18 **release** 82:16 released 28:14 relevant 10:10 21:10 rely 37:2 remain 9:9 27:17 56:11 83.8 remained 59:20 remedied 73:13 reminder 33:15 rename 85:20

83:2 requirement 17:18 65:16 83:17 85:4 requirements 36:8 61:10 83:22 research 38:9 82:7 resolve 14:9 resource 26:14 resourced 79:15 resourceful 12:16 resources 11:7 16:8,11 17:7 19:8 20:7 21:19 21:20,21 27:12 32:17 32:21 61:18 66:12 79:16 respect 19:2 86:4 respectfully 84:8 responding 83:19 84:17 responses 13:4 responsibility 70:7 restarted 28:20 restricting 64:20 result 24:13 resulting 11:17 19:7 39:12 revealed 10:14 revenue 41:1 review 10:15 17:18 24:12,14 26:19 73:8 89:21 reviewed 78:17 Reviewer 18:11 reviewing 55:3 91:5 reviews 52:14 revisions 14:5 revolving 38:17 **Rima** 67:3,5 70:13,17 70:20,20,21,21 72:2 86:12.14 rise 87:19 risk 27:22 road 11:17,21 12:12,21 role 10:5 16:14 61:17 Ron 58:16,18 59:9,10 62:11,11,13,15,15,16 62:21,21 63:17,18 86:2,6 **room** 7:16 rotation 65:6 roughly 78:20 rule 13:19 19:12 24:14 28:13,19 56:22 57:10 59:19 64:16 69:4,10 72:17 74:8 **rulemaking** 12:16,20 13:1,6,12 14:6 19:4

repeat 16:1

report 67:21 69:3 82:18

representatives 78:13

representing 9:2 43:17

requested 38:13 84:19

reported 84:18,21

reporting 83:16

represent 27:20

represents 23:16

requests 84:22

69:14

request 38:10 55:19

require 16:10 56:16

required 16:17 36:13

83:11,21 84:15 85:12

20:4 28:2 31:7 32:14

32:17 33:5 39:5 49:17 50:2 82:12 rulemakings 27:20 rules 13:17 19:7 30:19 30:21 31:5 32:19 56:21 59:17,20 64:16 run 7:18 58:6 67:1 75:17,18 76:11 77:15 80:9,11 85:18 Runde 71:6 75:21 76:3 running 43:3 runs 7:14 Ryan 29:17,18 30:2 34:5,5,6,7,8,9,9,10,11 34:15,17,19 81:11,12 81:15 86:9

S

sacred 87:6 sales 26:5,7 43:21 saw 35:9 83:12,16 saying 42:19 54:2 80:7 says 69:7 **SBTi** 31:18 scale 38:21 **scare** 41:3 scheme 9:8 science-based 31:13 scofflaws 40:16 **scope** 45:11 **scopes** 14:22 screen 4:6,12 6:9 35:10 seafood 78:22 79:11 seal 31:4 57:3 60:1 61:14 seasoned 40:7,21 seaweed 78:6 **Sebastian** 77:14,14,17 77:20,21 78:2 80:2 second 42:4 49:2 53:19 59:8 70:10 82:2 seconds 41:22 81:5 **secretary** 11:5 67:15 87:11 sector 9:4,14 23:4 30:17 44:5,12 85:6 sectors 25:6 seed 14:2 53:6 57:8 62:1,2,5 82:8,10,14 82:15,16,17,19,20 83:1,3,5,7,11,14,15 83:18,21 84:1,3,7,10 84:12,16,20 85:4,5,9 85:10,12,14 89:2 **seeds** 44:9 46:3,6,9 **seeing** 65:20 seeking 26:12 69:22 seen 28:19

segments 60:13 69:19 **Seitz** 15:13 18:8,10,11 **select** 36:20 **Senior** 18:11 30:5 sense 65:19 91:13 sent 22:15 78:16 sentence 4:17 41:10 serious 19:8 seriously 16:16 serve 18:11 23:12 served 48:6 services 18:14 serving 24:18 72:20 83:22 session 1:5,10 2:8 6:6 15:18 18:15 25:1 26:12 29:6 38:21 86:22 91:8,15,22 92:4 sessions 23:21 set 17:17 39:13 57:20 60:11 79:8 81:13 sets 31:13 45:20 seven 22:9 43:18 **severe** 27:20 **Shane** 35:5,6,8,12,17 35:17,18,20,22 37:14 **shape** 19:6 21:22 **share** 2:15 5:18 6:8 9:9 sharing 7:4 9:15 55:21 75:1 **Shawnee** 35:3.3.4.5.6 35:12,13,14,15 81:19 81:19,22 **Shawnee's** 35:16 she'll 76:21 sheared 27:22 shellfish 78:6 **shelves** 53:11 **Sherry** 62:17 **shifting** 40:19 58:2 **Shistar** 59:9,11 62:18 63:1,19 66:21 67:2,9 67:10 shoppers 10:14 **shopping** 14:12 88:14 **short** 2:12 **shouted** 76:14 **show** 6:9 45:15 82:21 85:1 shows 4:14 83:4 84:14 side 36:22 sign 5:1 7:21 signed 3:10 53:22 90:16 **significant** 10:6 46:18 48:1

significantly 23:11

60:19

silent 43:14 similar 57:20 similarities 45:15 **similarly** 65:13 89:1 simple 14:4 simply 3:8 simultaneous 42:20 Singaby 75:22 76:3 86:13,16 **single** 12:20 site-specific 64:21 situation 56:18 61:8,11 **six** 33:16,17 39:8 42:3 42:17 62:13 skeptics 39:2 **skip** 29:21 **slide** 3:15 5:18 **Sligh** 71:5 75:21 76:2 86:13,15 small 9:9 19:16 26:4 65:10 74:13 83:10 smaller 57:20 smooth 8:2 smoothly 7:19 **social** 22:22 **sodium** 52:18 62:7 **SOE** 20:3 24:13 88:1,3 soil 10:17 65:4 solubility 65:1 Solutions 36:2 **solving** 45:18 somebody 4:9 63:14 80:8 somewhat 87:6 **soon** 3:14 sorry 35:16 47:10,11 62:17 86:10 **sort** 37:2 87:12 **sound** 4:16 **sounds** 5:7,10 70:21 72:1 76:5 81:21 **source** 31:11 83:2 84:16,19 **sourcing** 28:11 82:20 83:18,20 84:1,3,7,13 **span** 11:20 speak 4:11 6:4 33:22 35:2 54:22 55:6 72:1 81:8,18 89:9 **speaker** 18:8 22:5 89:17 90:12 **speakers** 2:10 3:17 53:22 54:3 90:1 speaking 2:14 7:3 8:7 42:21 **speaks** 34:8 **special** 67:16

specialty 45:20 **specific** 3:16 13:13 17:7 51:19 60:21 64:4 64:9,15 80:7 specifically 7:6 64:19 83:6 spectrum 36:22 spend 42:11 spirit 61:2 **spoken** 7:2 55:6 69:17 spot 4:13 43:2 55:1 83:9 **stable** 26:8 staff 21:6 25:7 79:16 staffing 16:11 21:2 27:12 32:20 stages 28:2 stagnant 83:8 stakeholder 16:19 17:3 26:12 48:5 stakeholders 9:18 14:19 16:9 17:9 26:16 27:1 39:21 45:9 49:4 49:13 50:9 51:10 52:6 68:18 stakeholders' 25:2 **stalled** 12:13 15:19 44:8 **standard** 10:3 11:12 27:16,18 28:5 29:2 30:12 31:1 32:1 33:2 66:9 **standards** 1:3,15,17 7:7 9:11 10:12,15 11:14 12:14 13:9,15 14:1,8 14:15,18,22 15:7,10 15:20 16:5,12,15 17:2 17:7,8,15,19 18:2 20:16,22 21:2,6,13 22:2 25:8,17 26:13 27:6 29:4 32:21 39:6 44:8,12,16,17 45:4,20 45:22 46:17,21 47:19 48:7,8,11,13,14 49:18 53:5,12 57:6,9 64:13 68:19 72:17 73:5,8,15 73:17 78:9 79:8,10,20 87:8 88:12,18 89:1 **Standby** 70:10 standing 25:21 star 4:7 33:16,17 42:3 42:17 62:13 start 29:19 33:19 50:15 53:19 65:6 70:20 74:2 80:6 started 39:8 72:20 **starting** 11:22 38:12 **Specialist** 1:14,15,18 81:5

success 16:6 17:6 62:3 testing 40:22 35:10 44:3 54:17 **starts** 54:16 59:20 73:4 85:14 successful 82:11 text 23:12 24:20 state 9:10 55:16 82:17 87:20 88:1 85:11 sucrose 52:17 thank 2:6,13 7:5,12 8:9 sufficient 23:22 84:6 topic 18:16 32:4 45:6 **stated** 14:14 8:12,20 9:15 10:8 statement 21:16 suggest 57:18 12:2,4,5 15:7,11,17 75:13 topics 23:3,6,16 24:4 states 1:1 9:3 22:11 suggests 45:10 18:4,7,14 22:2,4 25:9 26:17,20 48:1,6 60:6 25:22 43:20 74:10 **Sunday** 39:8 25:15 29:8 30:4 33:8 sunset 52:20 33:12 35:20,21 37:14 60:15,17 status 9:16 17:10 49:7 **sunsets** 52:14 37:15,17 38:6 41:15 **totally** 69:16 49:11 55:22 71:17 supplementary 6:15 41:17 47:1,2,16 50:13 tough 42:12 statutory 17:17 53:16,18 55:10 57:21 traceability 31:21 **stay** 3:17 4:2 5:2 10:10 suppliers 36:19 trade 9:2 12:10 15:16 **supply** 11:9 15:3 36:12 58:1 59:13 62:8,9 **step** 36:17 80:5 22:20 24:11 25:6 41:9 steps 16:21 84:6 91:7 64:2 66:18 70:8,9 72:5 74:18,20 76:22 44:18 79:18 **Steve** 34:6,7,12,12,13 support 3:10 15:6 34:15,17,19 47:9,11 16:18 17:20 19:3 21:1 77:21 78:1 79:18,22 training 84:3 51:9,17 53:3 73:20 80:2 82:5,11 85:15,16 transcript 3:1,13 49:15 50:17,18 54:12,14 86:21 89:10,13,14 transcriptionist 3:2 58:14,16,20,22 59:2,4 74:1 79:19 85:2 support.zoom.us 3:11 91:11,17,21 92:5,7,8 transcripts 3:3 6:6 91:8 81:13,13,16 82:3,3 thanks 7:9,22 8:1 25:8 transition 65:16 86:9,10 surprise 90:15 surprising 19:22 30:2 66:17 transitioning 54:4 87:3 stifling 9:12 **they'd** 10:16 transparency 9:18 17:1 stipulate 31:16 **survey** 10:13 73:1 stock 45:21 82:14 83:19 84:18 things 9:8 36:6 51:20 24:10 51:11 55:21 85:14 **survive** 50:8 55:18 third 49:7 82:16 56:8,13 68:3 **Stonyfield** 30:6 32:3 Sustainability 30:6 thorough 24:14 tremendous 57:3 59:22 trends 46:5 85:11 sustainable 11:8 87:1 thought 37:16 90:8,11 **stop** 7:4 89:9 trickle 87:12 storv 9:6 switching 54:9 thoughts 23:6 svnthetic 19:20 streamline 12:12 thousands 52:5 tripping 27:3 strengthen 85:3 truly 17:2 system 3:10 20:22 41:6 threatens 69:12 three 4:11,14 8:17 trust 30:12 31:3 50:8 strengthening 13:7 52:9 57:13 28:14 35:1 37:20 38:1 14:2 27:19 29:3 48:12 **systems** 29:1 36:4 61:1 51:6 56:20 57:8 59:17 62:1 61:17 88:16 38:3 43:8,15 46:2 **try** 4:9 30:14 42:9,16 90:22 69:22 72:17 74:4 89:2 systems-based 48:9 59:20 60:1 63:3,4 73:4 **Tucker** 1:11.13 2:3.5 stripping 39:12 Т 5:14 8:20 12:5 15:11 three-year 65:16 strive 26:7 thrilled 2:8 18:7 22:4 25:9 29:8 strong 17:16 18:1 85:7 taken 16:21 68:8 69:2 thrive 55:18 33:12 35:11 37:14 stronger 85:2 takes 19:7 41:17 47:2 50:13 talk 6:2 **Tia** 38:2,4 41:19,22 42:5 strongest 28:3 42:5,13,14,15,15 43:5 53:16 58:1 62:9 63:22 strongly 6:3 25:3 53:15 talking 33:20 66:18 70:9 74:20 80:2 85:12 **Tammie** 1:14 5:17 7:6,7 43:7 82:2,3 86:1,1,5 **structure** 16:4 17:3.13 7:9.9.12 timeline 49:1 51:14 85:16 86:20 89:14 56:10 turn 3:6 4:1.4 12:2 55:9 23:7 26:14 39:19 70:4 target 20:6 31:13 timely 59:22 twice 26:15 **stuck** 91:12 task 78:8,10,12 **two** 2:9 7:20 10:2 20:13 stuff 36:14 timer 4:13,15 5:7,10 taxpayer 41:2 **stuffs** 26:3 team 7:5 8:1 33:4,14 7:16 42:19,22 43:14 27:2,19 45:3 47:20 times 30:10 52:11 52:11 73:2 78:20 **style** 8:5 42:14 91:5,18 today 2:11 3:17 6:2,5 91:12 **styled** 9:10 technical 34:1 42:10 **type** 29:6 techniques 45:12,16 6:13,19,19 7:3,6,12 **subject** 51:19 **typed** 35:8 **submit** 5:20 6:3,5 7:1,3 tell 9:6 40:11 51:2 63:6 7:15,22 8:14 10:1 23:7 54:20 64:3 66:16 typically 27:1 54:21 55:2,7 67:21 **telling** 42:15 76:11 82:13 85:20 75:5,11 76:21 77:7 tend 18:21 terms 54:7 61:8 91:14 U 80:19,21 89:8 Ultimately 18:21 **submitted** 32:12 49:14 terrestrial 57:7 today's 38:16 terrible 41:7 **toe-to-toe** 40:6 umbrella 45:7 49:22 told 52:16 63:8 68:8 unacceptable 69:16 **submitting** 13:2 23:5 **Terry** 59:9,11 62:17,18 72:12 74:17 79:22 72:8 unannounced 40:22 62:22 63:19 66:21,22 tool 45:17 uncertified 36:18 substance 52:14 67:2,4,7,10 70:9 **top** 2:3 11:2,13,15 undermines 41:14 substances 67:17 testified 39:9 16:10 22:2 27:16 underscores 84:11 subtitles 2:21 testimony 47:17

understaffed 79:14 utilize 88:21 61:15 65:20 66:10 yogurt 30:6 young 40:4 **utmost** 44:5 87:12 understand 11:1 13:17 Youngblood 42:1,7 ways 39:8 50:4 44:16 63:9 74:1 76:7 43:10 47:4,9,12,13,14 87:17 **web** 6:9 understanding 28:22 valuable 21:19 31:22 webinar 3:13 45:9 82:20 value 29:1 73:20 website 3:14 6:7,21 understandings 10:17 values 56:2 68:16,16,18 63:7 zero 31:17,19 week 80:21 82:15 **Zoom** 2:22 3:10 42:20 understands 70:4 variety 11:9 understood 27:4 various 48:4 88:5 weeks 50:10 **Zystro** 38:2,4 41:18,20 undisputed 68:5 vary 69:1 weigh 14:19 41:21 42:2,2,8,16 welcome 2:4 20:4 43:7 81:20 82:5,6 unfairly 60:13 64:20 vast 50:22 welfare 10:18 13:11 unfortunately 9:12 **vastly** 61:9 0 52:18 82:21 vegetable 65:12 83:9 went 91:22 92:10 unified 17:10 weren't 53:20 75:3 77:6 0.5 43:22 83:13 **uniform** 73:16 **Velasquez** 75:22 76:4,6 West 61:8 uniformed 15:6 Velazquez 76:13 Wholesalers 22:9 43:17 **widely** 14:10 1:00 1:10 2:2 unilaterally 68:7 verbal 54:20 55:5 75:6 United 1:1 9:3 22:11 75:10.14 77:8 Wilburn 1:14 7:7 **100** 78:15 43:20 74:10 veterans 40:7 wild 46:11 61:8 66:5 **12** 50:6 unknowns 91:20 Vice 15:15 willing 15:5 52:22 88:15 **15** 82:18 unmute 4:3 33:16,17 video 67:12 win 12:1 **1700** 25:22 unnecessary 32:8 Videoconference 1:10 withdrawn 28:20 **19** 28:13 unrealistic 20:8 view 18:18 Wonderful 8:21 2 unresolved 48:3 vision 41:14 work 9:21 11:7 13:20 14:8 24:13 25:8 26:18 untenable 61:11 visions 40:17 **2:50** 92:4 upcomina 25:16 visits 40:22 29:5 36:1 39:13 41:12 **2:51** 92:10 **update** 10:15 17:9,18 voices 80:18 45:10 46:16 51:22 **20** 10:6 56:15 19:16 27:14 82:16 voluntarily 23:17 52:6.11 57:17 60:12 **2002** 10:3 66:11 68:9 71:20 84:10 volunteer 73:21 **2010** 10:5 53:4 61:5 **updated** 10:16 15:6 Vore 77:13,13,19 89:20 73:21 86:22 87:13 **2011** 84:17 76:5 voted 52:15 91:22 **2015** 28:14 working 6:17 12:22 **updates** 10:2 19:19 **2018** 53:7 72:20 W 18:5 21:18,21 33:9 20:3,4 26:13 52:10 **2020** 43:20 35:14 88:3 updating 19:15 22:20 wait 4:22,22 5:2 53:22 2021 10:14 54:1,2,5,9 58:3 63:14 works 82:9 23:9 **2022** 1:8 84:20 worksheets 19:14 upended 28:20 64:13 71:15 75:2,4 **2042** 21:8 **write** 7:10 **urge** 28:15,18 80:17 90:12,20,22 **21** 1:8 46:22 writing 16:12 32:21 **urged** 59:15 wait-list 77:5 **25** 78:10 urgency 11:21 57:4 waiting 63:9,10,12,13 49:12 54:21 55:3 **28** 6:22 84:12 71:8 72:9 written 5:20 6:1,4 7:4 8:11 11:15 23:5 44:1 3 urgent 11:4 14:7 25:7 Walid 75:22 76:3,17 49:3 50:3 55:4,7 **urges** 24:14,21 46:15 86:13,15 30 83:18 72:13 73:11 74:18,22 **usage** 83:7 walking 19:14 **30th** 55:8 75:7,9 80:20 **USD** 16:3 wanted 72:14,22 75:14 75:5,6,8,9,12 76:21 91:4,4 92:2 77:7,7 80:1,20,21 **USDA** 9:15,21 10:15 90:10 34 25:22 88:19 91:2,4,16 92:1 11:14 12:12,21 16:21 wanting 8:11 35 84:20 Wyard 8:15,18,21,22 17:8,18 26:11 30:8 warehouses 36:14 4 33:3 39:8 50:11 51:18 Warthesen 22:6 25:10 X 52:3 59:15 61:7 64:6 25:15,18 **40** 55:13 68:8,18,22 69:5 **Washington** 38:18 41:6 5 **USDA's** 30:18 31:9 waste 64:12 year 41:2 52:11 56:15 32:14 47:17 55:19 watchdog 38:10 **5** 46:15 61:6 watchdogs 40:11 72:21 78:12 84:3 **50** 83:10 use 3:4 12:21 14:2 wave 7:8 yearly 26:15 6 21:17 24:16 44:5,9 waving 7:20 years 11:7 22:15 28:13 46:2,8 57:15 66:13 way 9:4 10:9 25:3 27:10 40:21 46:22 55:13 6 4:7 74:11 88:12 89:2 30:15 35:14 37:4 56:12 73:2 78:10 60 48:22 49:5 84:17 82:19 83:12 usually 7:14 36:7 57:20 58:5 59:22 **62** 9:5

		100
-		
7	-	
0 85:1 1 48:1 60:2,5 th 27:15		
th 27:15		
8		
7 10:16 9 10:14		
9 10:14		
	-	
9	-	
,500 9:2		

${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Listening Session

Before: USDA/NOSB

Date: 03-21-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &