## NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For	NOSB Meeting: April 2011	Substance:	Sodium A	cid Pyrop	phosphate		
	Committee: Crops  Livestock  Handling X Petition is to expand the allowed use of Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate on the National List § 205.605(b) to include it's use as a sequestrant on cooked and uncooked produce. It is currently listed "for use only as a leavening agent".						
A.	Evaluation Criteria (Applicability noted for each category; De	ocumentation attacl	hed) <u>Cri</u>	iteria Sati	sfied? (see	B below)	
	1. Impact on Humans and Environment		3	Yes 🗌 🛚	No <b>X</b>	N/A	
	2. Essential & Availability Criteria			Yes 🗌	No X	N/A	
	3. Compatibility & Consistency			Yes <b>X</b>	No 🗆	N/A	
	4. Commercial Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable		,	Yes 🗌		N/A X	
	Substance Fails Criteria Category: 1 and 3. The TR mentions no data was found on the material itself that indicated it posed potential negative impact on human health or the environment, but it did discuss that one of the primary inputs in the manufacture of SAPP, Phosphoric acid, does pose a threat if waste is not carefully managed. The petitioner did not provide compelling evidence that SAPP is necessary or essential to organic handling, and as a matter of fact, a survey of organic handlers who could potentially use this material did not reveal any who actually would if it was listed.						
	Proposed Annotation (if any): N/A						
	Basis for annotation: To meet criteria above: Other	regulatory criteria:	(	Citation:			-
Clas Moti	B. Recommended Committee Action & Vote, including classification recommendation (State Actual Motion):  To classify Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate as a synthetic material.  Classification of the material:  Synthetic:  Non- synthetic  Recommended Committee Action & Vote To expand the listing of Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate on 205.605(b) to include use as a sequestrant on cooked and uncooked produce.  Motion by: Steve DeMuri Seconded: Katrina Heinze Yes: 0 No: 6 Absent: 1 Abstain: 0 Recuse: 0  Crops  Agricultural  Livestock  Non-Synthetic  Handling  X Synthetic  X Rejected <sup>3</sup> X Rejected <sup>3</sup> X Commercially Un-						
	<u> </u>	ilable as Organic <sup>1</sup>		Deferred			
1)	Substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List to §	205with	Annotation	(if any) _			_
2)	2) Substance to be added as "prohibited" on National List to § 205with Annotation (if any)						
	Describe why a prohibited substance:						
3)	3) Substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205. 605(b) Describe why material was rejected:  For the reasons described in the Criteria Category discussion in Section A above.						
4)	4) Substance was recommended to be deferred because						
	If follow-up needed, who will follow up: <b>N/A</b>						
C.	C. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:						
	Committee Chair E	Date					

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST**

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment?

**Substance: Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate** 

	Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1.	Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]	X			The TR, page 9, describes environmental concerns with the phosphoric acid used to produce the SAPP, and potential heavy metal contamination from phosphate rock mining, used to produce the phosphoric acid
2.	Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]	X			Heavy metal contamination of groundwater and estuaries possible during manufacture if not mitigated. These metals can be taken up by plants and marine life leading to concentration of heavy metals in food products. TR page 9
3.	Is the substance harmful to the environment and biodiversity? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]		X		No evidence the substance itself is harmful. TR page 9.
4.	Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1 ) (B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]		X		No evidence it contains these inerts.
5.	Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used? [§6518 m.1]		X		None identified in the TR.
6.	Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agro-ecosystem? [§6518 m.5]			X	The substance is added to food as a leavening agent now, and has been petitioned to allow use as a sequestrant for vegetables. It is not applied to soil or crops.
7.	Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]	X			There can be an uptake of heavy metals from the phosphate rock component of the manufacturing process, but no evidence to suggest the material itself has detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock. TR page 9.
8.	Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]		X		None mentioned in the TR.
9.	Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment? [§6518 m.2]		X		No evidence of this in the TR.
10.	Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A) (i); 6517 c(2)(A)I; §6518 m.4]		X		According to the MSDS and TR, SAPP may cause body irritation in some individuals, but no evidence of it being acutely hazardous to human health.

11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]		X	No evidence of such in the TR.
12. Is the substance GRAS when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]	X		TR page 6.
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]		X	There is no evidence that the substance contains heavy metals in excess of FDA tolerances.

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance: Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate

	Question	Yes	No	N/A	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1.	Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a chemical process? [6502 (21)]	X			SAPP is manufactured by (1) partial neutralization of phosphoric acid (H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or sodium carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) to form monosodium phosphate (NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) and then (2) dehydration of monosodium phosphate at approximately 250° C to form SAPP (Na <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> ). Phosphoric acid and sodium carbonate are the feedstock for producing SAPP.
2.	Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral, sources? [6502 (21)]	X			See above
3.	Is the substance created by naturally occurring biological processes? [6502 (21)]		X		See # 1 above.
4.	Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]		X		Components of some of the manufacturing inputs are natural, but some are synthetic, rendering it a synthetic (not natural) substance.
5.	Is there an organic substitute? [\$205.600 b.1]		X		According to the petition and the TR, page 6, there are no organic substitutes known.
6.	Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]		X		May be useful as a reduced sodium leavening agent, but no compelling evidence is provided that it meets essentiality criteria, since other listed materials serve the same function.
7.	Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]		X		There is no evidence a natural substitute product exists. TR page 5.
8.	Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]		X		Used in handling, but IS synthetic and not organically produced.
9.	Is there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	X			Citric acid is used currently by some manufacturers to reduce oxidation on cut fruits and vegetables. There was no explanation in the petition as to why citric acid couldn't be used.
10.	Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]	X			A primary purpose for the material stated in the petition was for cut potatoes. An HC survey of major organic potato producers revealed that prompt production of manufactured potato products from raw potatoes greatly reduced the oxidation occurrence

	on cut or peeled surfaces of the vegetables. Stored potatoes tend to oxidize more easily the longer they are held post harvest prior to further manufacturing. All organic potato handlers contacted stated they would not use the material even if listed, and would instead continue managing harvest and storage to reduce browning.
--	---

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b)are N/A—not applicable.

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance: Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate

	Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is org	the substance compatible with anic handling? [§205.600 b.2]	X			
farı	the substance consistent with organic ming and handling, and biodiversity? (517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]	X		X	Consistent with Handling, but not applicable to farming and biodiversity, since it is not applied to soil or crops
	he substance compatible with a system of tainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]			X	Petitioned for use in a Handling application.
	the nutritional quality of the food intained with the substance? [\$205.600]	X			Nutritional quality is not negatively affected by it's use, per the TR, page 6.
	the primary use as a preservative? 05.600 b.4]		X		Primary use would be as an anti-oxidant to reduce browning in cut cooked or uncooked produce, not to limit microbial growth.
flav lost	the primary use to recreate or improve wors, colors, textures, or nutritive values t in processing (except when required by v, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]		X		This substance, for the purpose petitioned, would act as an anti-oxidant on cut cooked or uncooked produce, not to recreate or improve factors lost during processing.
it c	he substance used in production, and does contain an active synthetic ingredient in following categories:			X	Not petitioned to this committee for use in organic production, just handling.
a. C	Copper and sulfur compounds;			X	See above
b. T	Toxins derived from bacteria;			X	See above
e	Pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and ninerals?			X	See above
d. L	Livestock parasiticides and medicines?			X	See above
v b	Production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky parriers, row covers, and equipment eleaners?			X	See above

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 4. Is the commercial supply of an agricultural substance as organic, fragile or potentially unavailable? [§6610, 6518, 6519, 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c) 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c)]

Substance: Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments on Information Provided (sufficient, plausible, reasonable, thorough, complete, unknown)
1. <u>Is the comparative description provided</u> as to why the non-organic form of the material /substance is necessary for use in organic handling?			X	This category pertains only to substances petitioned for addition to section 205.606. All questions in this category (4) not applicable.
2. Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate <b>form</b> to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?			X	
3. Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate <b>quality</b> to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?			X	
4. Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate <b>quantity</b> to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?			X	
5. Does the industry information provided on material / substance non-availability as organic, include ( but not limited to) the following:			X	
a. Regions of production (including factors such as climate and number of regions);			X	
b. Number of suppliers and amount produced;			X	
c. Current and historical supplies related to weather events such as hurricanes, floods, and droughts that may temporarily halt production or destroy crops or supplies;			X	
d. Trade-related issues such as evidence of hoarding, war, trade barriers, or civil unrest that may temporarily restrict supplies; or			X	
e. Are there other issues which may present a challenge to a consistent supply?			X	