Orange Shellac (unbleached)

Processing

Executive Summary

Shellac is derived from the hardened secretion of the lac insect, *Lawijer* (Tachardia) *lawa*. These are scale-like insects feeding on resiniferous trees and bushes cultivated in India and southeast Asia. The resin is secreted as a covering for the insect larvae. The lac is collected from host trees by cutting branches containing resinous insects, and grinding and further processing. Processing involves various steps, including melting, screening, filtering, and can involve solvent extraction and de-colorising with activated charcoal.

9 The petitioned use is as a component of fruit and vegetable coatings, and as a coating agent for pharmaceuticals and 10 confectionery products. The purpose cited is for forming a film on the coated product, improving cosmetic appearance, and 11 providing moisture and atmospheric protection.

13 The NOSB considered shellac as part of a Technical Advisory Panel review for Waxes in September, 1999. The 14 NOSB voted that shellac was synthetic, and recommended not to add it to the National List. The review at that time 15 did not distinguish between bleached or unbleached forms of shellac The TAP reviewers found that orange 16 unbleached shellac is derived from natural sources, though one considered that the materials used in manufacturing 17 rendered the substance synthetic and not compatible with organic standards. A second reviewer found that the uses 18 of the material to extend shelf life, reduce water loss, and improve cosmetic appeal are not compatible with organic 19 principles. The third reviewer found the material suitable for organic use, though expressed some concerns that 20 consumers should be informed that products have shellac coatings applied, especially since there are some reports of 21 allergenicity. 22

The NOSB may want to investigate further if confectionery use is warranted, as this review does not examine this use in depth. The NOSB may also want to investigate possible options for labeling or otherwise identifying produce that contains coatings when sold at retail level.

26 27 Summary of TAP Reviewer Analysis¹

28

23

24

25

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

12

29 95% organic

Synthetic / Non-Synthetic:	Allowed or Prohibited:	Suggested Annotation:
Synthetic – 1 Non synthetic -2	Allow – 1, with annotation Prohibit – 2	For use as fruit coatings only

30

31 Made with organic (70% or more organic ingredients)

Synthetic /		
Non-Synthetic:	Allowed or Prohibited:	Suggested Annotation:
Synthetic – 1	Allow- 3	
Non synthetic -2	2- no annotation	
	1 - with annotation	Allowed only when labeled to indicate that a
		coating has been added

32

33 34

35 Identification

- 36
- 37 Chemical Names:

3940 Other Name:

³⁸ Shellac

¹ This Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) review is based on the information available as of the date of this review. This review addresses the requirements of the Organic Foods Production Act to the best of the investigator's ability, and has been reviewed by experts on the TAP. The substance is evaluated against the criteria found in section 2119(m) of the OFPA [7 USC 6517(m)]. The information and advice presented to the NOSB is based on the technical evaluation against that criteria, and does not incorporate commercial availability, socio-economic impact, or other factors that the NOSB and the USDA may want to consider in making decisions.

- 52 EINECS 232-549-9, EEC E904
- 53 ACX1009325-9
- 42

- 46 Dewaxed Flake Shellac;
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57

Characterization 58

59 Composition:

- 60 A mixture of resins secreted by the lac insect. The resins are composed of a complex mixture of aliphatic and alicyclic hydroxy 61 acids and their polyesters (Budavari, 1996, Martin 1991). Components include aleuritic acid, shelloic cid, jalaric acid, and other 62 compounds. A dye called laccaic acid is associated with the crude lac, and removed by processing.
- 63 The insect also secretes a thin white filmentous wax along with the lac resins, this may be removed also in processing.

64 65 **Properties:**

- Shellac is hard, tough, amorphous resin that has good water resistance and produces high lustrous finishes. Soluble in 66
- 67 alcohols, aqueous solutions of alkali, organic acids and ketones, but insoluble in water (Martin, 1991, Budavari 1989).
- 68 Forms include brittle, yellowish, transparent sheets or crushed pieces, flakes or powder (Budavari, 1989).

69

70 How Made:

- 71 Shellac is derived from the hardened secretion of the lac insect, Lacifer (Tachardia) lacca Kerr (order Homoptera, family
- 72 Coccidea), also known as Kerria lacca (Kerr). These are scale-like insects feeding on resiniferous trees and bushes cultivated in
- 73 India, Burma, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia and Vietnam. The resin is secreted as a covering for the insect larvae. The insects are 74 collected from host trees by cutting branches containing young insects prior to a swarming stage. These branches are tied to new
- 75 trees, where young larvae emerge and colonize young twigs, continually secreting resin.
- 76

77 The resulting product is called seed lac, and is further processed in a variety of methods to yield different products. These are 78 classed as handmade, machine -made, and bleached shellacs. Although some is processed by hand, most commercial shellac is 79 machine made using either a heat or solvent process. The heat process involves melting the seed lac, and filtering under pressure

- 80 through screens to produce standard grades of orange shellac (Martin 1982, Class 1991). The solvent process can either produce
- 81 wax-containing, dewaxed or dewaxed-decolorised shellac. This involves dissolving the seed lac in ethyl alcohol, heating and
- 82 filtering to remove impurities, then dehydrating and flaking. Dewaxed forms are produced by additional filtration presses prior to 83 flaking. Decolorised forms are produced by treating with activated carbon after dewaxing. (Martin, 1982) This is the process
- 84 described by the petioner (Singhana, 2001).
- 85

86 Bleached shellacs are produced by dissolving seed lac in aqueous sodium carbonate at high temperature, centrifuging and filtering, 87 and treatment with sodium hypochlorite. The solution is then acidified with sulfuric acid to preciptate the resin, which is further

- 88 filtered ,washed and dried. Wax free or wax containing grades may be produced, depending on additional filtration steps (Martin, 89 1982).
- 90

91 Specific Uses:

92 In food shellac is used as a coating agent, color diluent, surface finishing agent, glazing/polishing agent, and used in

- 93 confectionery, food supplement tablets, as well as chewing gum. Additional uses are as a component of adhesives for
- 94 food contact, in packaging, inks, pharmaceutical coatings, cosmetics, lacquers and varnishes for wood, floor polish,
- 95 manufacture of buttons, stiffening of hats, finishing of leather (Budavari, 1996; Ash 1995; Martin 1982). 96

97 Action:

98 Shellac is used as an ingredient in edible fruit coatings to limit water loss and prevent dessication and weight loss, and

- 99 prevent entry of pathogens. Shellac coatings are fairly impermeable to oxygen and water, and form a barrier on the fruit 100 surface that reduces gas exchange. Reduction in oxygen levels will reduce the rate of respiration of fruits and vegetables
- 101 and prolong shelf life by delaying the oxidative breakdown of the product. This also causes reduced production of
- 102 ethylene; which normally triggers further maturation and ripening. Shellac waxes are also added to provide high-gloss
- 103 finishes to fruit for cosmetic purposes (FDA 2001; Hagenmeier 2000; Kaplan, 1986).
- 104 105

Combinations:

- 106 Shellac is applied in combination with other ingredients when used in fruit and vegetable coatings. Many different 107 formulations of coatings have been investigated and developed to provide different degrees of gas and water permeability.
- 108 According to the petitioner, shellac content can range from 2-45%. Other ingredients that may be used include carnauba
- 109 wax, wood resins, polyethylene emulsions, paraffin wax, petroleum wax, candelilla wax, oleic acid, lauric acid, stearic acid,
- 110 palmitic acid, morpholine (as fungicide and plasticizer), ammonia, potassium hydroxide, oils, alcohol, glycerol. (FDA,
- 111 2001; Hagenmeier 1994; Sankaranarayanan, 1989, McGuire 1999). Recent research on biocontrol of fruit rot has
- 112 demonstrated efficacy of replacing fungicidal materials and ammonia with various ingredients (sucrose esters, potassium
- hydroxide, different surfactants) that support colonization of fruit surface by beneficial yeasts that are antagonist to blue
- 114 mold fungi (McGuire 1999).
- 115
- 116 Shellac used for confectionery glazing and pharmaceutical tablets may be dissolved in a solvent, usually ethanol but
- sometimes isopropyl alcohol is used. Shellac may also be dissolved in alkaline solutions such as sodium carbonate, borax,
- ammonia and in some instances morpholine or triethanolamine. Synthetic plasticisers, preservatives such as phenol, or the
- mixed methyl and propyl esters of p-hydroxybenzoic acid and anti-foam agents may also be added (Sankaranarayanan,120 1989).
- 120 121

122 Status

123 Historic Use:

- 124 Lac has been used in India for several thousand years, as a source of dye and decorative coatings. Records from the late
- 125 1500s Mogul ruler Akbar describe the use to decorate public buildings, as do writings of early Portuguese travelers (Martin,
- 126 1982). The Chinese applied molten waxes to oranges and lemons as early as the twelfth or thirteenth century. Ancient
- Greek and Roman writers were aware of it, and it became widely used in Europe for furniture finishes by the late fifteenth
- 128 century. (Class, 1991) In the US, waxes used on citrus initially were paraffin based in the 1930's, evolving to solvent based 129 resins in the late 1940's. Carnauba waxes became popular in the late 1950's but were less popular due to lack of shine.
- resins in the late 1940's. Carnauba waxes became popular in the late 1950's but were less popular due to lack of shine.
 Waxes containing shellac and various alkali soluble resins plus adjuvants were introduced in the early 1960s, and have been
- 131 widely used in citrus producing areas. (Kaplan, 1986)
- 132

133 For organic use, natural waxes have been used in packing citrus fruits, particularly for export. Use in post-harvest handling

- 134 of organic pome fruits and fruit vegetables such as cucumbers, summer squash and bell peppers is a relatively recent
- phenomenon. Some certifiers have at various times had a restricted application only to 'non-edible plant parts' with the
- 136 implicit allowance for citrus but no other uses.
- 137 138

139 OFPA, USDA Final Rule:

Shellac is not listed in OFPA or 7 CFR part 205. Unbleached shellac could be considered non-synthetic, used in handling,
and not organically produced under OFPA 6517(c)(1)(B)(iii).

142

143 Regulatory: EPA/NIEHS/Other Sources

144 Not listed in the NIEHS National Toxicology Program database.

As a non-active ingredient in pesticides, EPA lists shellac on List 3 - Inerts of unknown toxicity (EPA, 2001).

146

Although the petition and literature from a shellac trade group claim that shellac is listed by FDA as GRAS (Singhania

- 148 2001, Sankaranarayanan 1989), review of FDA database did not confirm this. (EAFUS 2002) A proposed notice of GRAS
- affirmed status was filed in 1989,(FDA 1989) but GRAS status was not officially granted. The proposed notice states that
- FDA had issued a letters of opinion that the substance is GRAS for use in cardy coatings, that predated the 1958 Food
- Additives Act, which would give it "prior sanction" status. However shellac is not listed in the CFR as either GRAS, prior
- approved GRAS or in the newer database of recently affirmed GRAS substances (FDA 2002).
- 153
- 154 Regulated uses include:
- 155

CFR listing	<u>Use</u>
21 CFR 73.1	Diluents in color additive mixtures for food use exempt from certification
21 CFR 101.4	Food Labeling 101.4 Food; designation of ingredients.
(b)(22)	
21 CFR175.105	Adhesives.
21CFR 175.300	Resinous and polymeric coatings
21 CFR 175. 380	Xylene –formaldehyde resins condensed with 4, 4'-isoprpylidenedip (allows material listed in
	175.300)
21CFR175.390	Zinc-silicon dioxide matrix coatings. (allows material listed in 175.300)

27CFR		pacco Products And Firear pecifications for Denatura	ms, Formulas For Denatured Alc ints: Shellac (refined).	ohol And Rum—
21 CF (22) W retail s to mai or resi	R 101.4:(b) The name of a ax and resin ingredients of ale by packers or repacken ntain freshness'' or the ph	an ingredient shall be a spo on fresh produce when suc rs shall be declared collect mase "coated with food-gr as appropriate. The terms	and are required to be labeled as ecific name and not a collective (g ch produce is held for retail sale, c ively by the phrase "coated with f ade vegetable-, petroleum-, beesw "food-grade" and "to maintain fr	eneric) name, except that: or when held for other that ood-grade animal-based v vax-, and/or shellac-based
Status Amor	g U.S. Certifiers			
The NOSB v did not distin prohibited ma	oted that shellac was synt guish between bleached o terial on their generic list I their standards to be con	hetic, and recommended a or unbleached forms of sho is, as did OMRI. (OCIA 2	anel review for Waxes in Septemb not to add it to the National List. ' ellac. Subsequently many certifiers 001, CCOF 2000, OMRI 2001). C tional List, and since shellac is not	The review at that time included shellac as a currently US certifiers
Internationa	<u>l</u>			
CODEX - no				
			d beeswax as releasing agents, no	t as food coatings.
	<i>standards</i> 2000 – not l	isted		
<i>Canada</i> – not				
Japan –not li	sicu			
		<u>518(m)(1-7) Criteri</u>		
			b other materials used in organic farming	
			cal interaction with farming syster	
		ubstance and of its breakdown	products or any contaminants, and their	r persistence and areas of
	on in the environment.			
	onsidered in item 2 below		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			se, misuse, or disposal of the substance.	
	onsidered in item 2 below			
	of the substance on human he 3 and 5 below.	eaun.		
		and chemical interactions in th	e agroecosystem, including the physiologi	ical effects of the substance on
		and solubility of the soil), crops		<i>cui</i> effectis of the substance on
			ctions with farming systems. It is a	a natural material
			ne in a well-established cooperativ	
	Viswanath 1994, Kabra 1		1	1
		n terms of practices or other av	uilable materials.	
See respo	onses to number 1 and 7 l	below.		
	ibility with a system of sustain			
See respo	onse to processing question	on number 6 below.		
<u>Criteria</u> F	<u>om the February '</u>	<u>10, 1999 NOSB Me</u>	eting	
	-			
	NG AID OR ADJUVA			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11 1		
1. It cannot	e produced from a natural sol	urce and has no organic ingredi	ents as substitutes.	

- 1. It cannot be produced from a natural source and has no organic ingredients as substitutes. 204 The lac resin is collected from a natural source, as described under "How Made." The lac insects could be cultivated 205 under organic management systems, however there appear to be no certified organic sources of production currently 206 available. For some uses, organic beeswax could be a substitute, though this may not be commercially available in 207 amounts needed. Other materials permitted on the National List (though not organic ingredients) that can be used in 208 fruit coatings include carnauba wax, wood resins, glycerin, potassium hydroxide, and organic oils or fats. Water based 209 whey protein isolate has potential to replace shellac or corn zein coatings for use in confectionery products (Trezza, 210 2000).
- 211
- 212 Fruit can be packed and stored without the use of shellac. Storage life can be extended through careful handling
- 213 practices. Management of product storage environments through temperature and humidity control, and modified or

214 controlled atmosphere can extend storage life and prevent or delay the spread of infection of produce with pathogens. 215 (FDA 2001). Citrus fruit has a natural layer of wax on the fruit surface, which can accumulate a residue of dirt, dust 216 mold, spray residues, and sooty blotch (a blackish moldthat grows in secretions deposited by aphids). This is usually 217 washed off in the packing house using detergents or water and brushes. The washing removes the natural waxes and 218 increases rind permeability. (Kaplan, 1986). Stricter grading, culling infected fruit, careful handling of produce during 219 harvest and post-harvest to avoid physical damage, leaving the cuticle intact, reduced contact with excess foreign 220 material, or contact with spoiled product, can also reduce the possibility of opportunistic infections. Fruit was once 221 commonly wrapped in plain paper (Ayres, 1890). Planned management of product flow to satisfy shorter shelf life 222 through multiple pickings and picking to order is another possibility Biological control with antagonists such as 223 Candida oleophila can also be part of an integrated system of post-harvest pathogen reduction (McGuire, 1999).

224

240

265

271

Its manufacture, use, and disposal do not have adverse effects on the environment and are done in a manner compatible with organic
 handling as described in section 6510 of the OFPA.

Manufacture of unbleached orange shellac using alkaline washing, heat, and mechanical filtration and use of activated
 charcoal to remove color does not appear to present any environmental adverse affects. Solvent extraction using
 alcohol or other solvents may pose recovery problems, which may be avoided when aqueous solutions are used.
 (Krause 2001, Trezza, 2000).

Bleached shellac manufacturing employs several additional extraction and refining steps that involve the use of strong
 acids, alkaline extractants, and oxidizing agents. Production and disposal of these synthetics may cause negative
 environmental consequences similar to that caused by other extracted materials.

Limited information was available about the effect of the lac harvest on the environment, though summaries of
 reports and description by the petitioner about collection practices support the claim that trees used for this purpose
 are repeatedly pruned and lopped to harvest the lac bugs. In some areas, tree species may serve multipurposes as fuel
 wood, fodder, construction materials and be intercropped with rice paddies. (Viswanath, 1994)

3. If the nutritional quality of the food is maintained and the material itself or its breakdown products do not have adverse effects on human
 bealth as defined by applicable Federal regulations.

243 The WHO/ FAO Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA 1993) reviewed effects on health and the 244 committee concluded that there were no toxocological concerns when used as coating, glazing, or surface finish 245 agents applied externally to food. No information was available on long term carcinogenicity studies, however. 246 Reproductive, teratogencity (animal testing), and mutagencity (bacterial) studies on showed no toxicological effects 247 for bleached shellac. In humans, some allergies to shellac have been reported, including bronchial asthma and skin 248 reactions from cosmetic products though other solvents may also be a factor. The FDA federal register notice of 249 1989 reported the findings of the Select Committee on GRAS Substances. They found that while no adverse affects 250 had been reported for food uses of shellac over a long history, there was a lack of biological data regarding effects on 251 animals or humans, and concluded they had insufficient data to recommend GRAS status. The possible effect of 252 unsuspected contact of various components of fruit and vegetable coatings on sensitive individuals is a problem, as it 253 is difficult to trace the use of food coatings that lack retail labeling (Frompovich, 1985). 254

255 While shellac coatings have long been known to improve storage life for some fruits, it is also widely reported that the 256 impermeable coatings such as shellac and wood resin combinations result in lower internal oxygen, higher internal 257 carbon dioxide, and a subsequent build up of ethanol under anaerobic conditions. This leads to off-flavor in citrus 258 (Baldwin, 1995; Hagenmeier 2000; Hagenmaier 2002) and loss of volatile flavor components in apples as well as 259 increased browning disorders in one variety of apple (Saftner 1999a, Saftner 1999b, Lau 1998). Extremely low oxygen 260 levels that result in anaerobic conditions can favor growth of some food pathogens, such as *Clostridium*. Complete 261 elimination of spoilage organisms is not considered to be a good idea, in that spoilage prevents pathogens from 262 becoming a food safety issue. (FDA 2001) Formulations containing 10-17% shellac were effective in killing larvae of 263 Caribbean fruit fly in grapefruit (Hallman 1994). Shellac formulations with an alkaline base also reduced populations 264 of coliform bacteria on citrus. (McGuire, 2001)

Research is very active in this area, and many different combinations and materials have been studied and proposed,
 many of which are not approved for organic handling. These include plasticizers such as polyethylene glycol,
 antimicrobials, and antioxidants. (FDA 2001). Different formulations of fruit coatings that have greater permeability
 have been proposed, including some that have less problems with flavor loss and those that encourage bio-control of
 pathogens (Hagenmaier 2002, McGuire 1999).

4. Its primary purpose is not as a preservative or used only to recreate/improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive value lost during processing except in the latter case as required by law.

274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281		The primary purpose of shellac when used in fruit or vegetable coatings is to reduce shrinkage due to water loss, provide a barrier to free gas exchange in order to prolong shelf-life, and improve appearance by adding a shiny film. It is also used as a base to provide carriers for decay controlling fungicides, or more recently for biocontrol agents used to prevent decay (Kaplan, 1986, McGuire 1999). As a coating it is used also for supplements and vitamins as a moisture barrier and is one of the few excipients allowed for this use. (Krause 2001) It does not replace nutrients or improve flavors, but may act to reduce flavor in fruit coating formulations that are high in shellac (see above). Prevention of fruit senescence and decay will preserve nutrients and freshness in crops handled in ways that reduce the natural waxy coating. (Kaplan 1986)
282 283 284 285 286	5.	Is Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) by FDA when used in accordance with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and contains no residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances. As noted under the regulatory summary, shellac does not have GRAS status, though some uses may be considered approved by prior sanction. The Food Chemicals Codex 4 th Edition does not provide specific criteria for unbleached
287 288 289 290 291		shellac, and the Select Committee on GRAS noted in 1989 that there is a need to develop specifications for orange shellac, and that it planned to work with the Committee on Food Chemicals Codex to develop them. Until that time, the Select Committee proposed that orange shellac would be acceptable, provided it "is of appropriate food grade purity in accordance with 21 CFR 184.1(b) and 170.30(h)(1)."
292 293		Food Chemical Codex requirements for Shellac, Bleached: Acid Value: Between 73 and 89
294		Heavy metals (as Pb): Not more than 10 ppm
295 296		Loss on drying: Not more than 6% Rosin: Passes test
297 298		Wax: Not more than 5.5%
299 600		Shellac, Bleached, Unwaxed Acid Value: Between 75 and 91
01 02		Heavy metals (as Pb): Not more than 10 ppm
303		Loss on drying: Not more than 6% Rosin: Passes test
804 805 806		Wax: Not more than 0.2%
807 808	6.	Its use is compatible with the principles of organic handling. The NOSB principles of organic handling state:
09 10 11 12		"Organic processors and handlers implement organic good manufacturing and handling practices in order to maintain the integrity and quality of organic products through all stages of processing, handling, transport, and storage; Organic processors and handlers use practices that minimize environmental degradation and consumption of non-renewal resources. Efforts are made to reduce packaging; use recycled materials; use cultural and biological pest management
13 14		strategies; and minimize solid, liquid, and airborne emissions" (NOSB, 2001).
815 816 817 818		One could consider that if suitable fruit coatings can be developed using natural materials, it promotes quality and integrity of organic products through all stages of transport and storage. Shellac is a renewable resource that provides income to producers in developing countries and may encourage diversified agroforestry uses. Organic fruit often requires washing to appear attractive in the market place due to less use of fungicides to control sooty blotch.
319 320		On the other hand, applied fruit coatings might not be needed if the natural cuticle of wax found on fruit was
21 22		maintained rather than scrubbed off during fruit cleaning at the packing shed. Shellac is a non-food materials that is applied to food products in order to replace natural oils or waxes removed from fresh produce, or to otherwise
22 23 24 25		preserve produce in its harvested state for a longer than natural period of time. While arguments are made that these materials are only applied to non-edible portions of fruit, such as the peel of citrus fruits, it is quite possible that these parts of the fruit are also eaten. (Use of citrus peel in a variety of baked goods and other recipes is common.) As
26 27 28		such, it is safer to consider waxes as an ingredient. There is currently no mechanism in the marketplace for consumers to know with certainty whether the product they buy is treated with wax, despite the fact that produce must be labeled on its case as to any treatments. Were retailers of organic goods required, by certification or other
29 30 31		regulations, to clearly indicate when fresh produce has been treated with waxes, these materials might seem more acceptable for use on certified organic produce.
32 33		Fruit wax serves to change cosmetic appearance and extend shelf life. The preservative action afforded by waxing of fresh produce is a convenience, but is not a requirement of a sustainable system of agriculture, and may in some cases

	be an encouragement of systems that are less sustainable, especially as it relates to long-distance transport of agricultural commodities.
	7. There is no other way to produce a similar product without its use and it is used in the minimum quantity required to achieve the process.
	Alternatives are described in processing criteria number 1. Research into appropriate formulations appears to be very specifically targeted to develop optimal levels to produce desired effects on gas permeability. Over use of the shellac component in a fruit coating can lead to flavor and quality problems, so it is more likely that shellac would be used as one ingredient in a formulated wax coating.
TA	P Reviewer Discussion
	viewer 1 [Ph.D. food science and nutrition, minor in biochemistry. Organic processing consultant, organic inspector, nutrition researcher. tern US]
Co	mments on Database
	I find the database (Characterization and Status) to be reasonably complete and fairly accurate. The technical information and research articles provided by OMRI were very comprehensive, as I could not find any additional references after conducting my usual computer literature search.
	Also, I would request the petitioner, RENSHELL, provide more detailed explanation of manufacturing methods to assist in the evaluation of organic handling compatibility.
NC	OSB Processing Criteria Evaluation
1.	It cannot be produced from a natural source and has no organic ingredients as substitutes.
	I agree with the criteria evaluation
2.	Its manufacture, use, and disposal do not have adverse effects on the environment and are done in a manner compatible with organic handling as described in section 6513 of the OFPA.
	I agree with the criteria evaluation
3.	If the nutritional quality of the food is maintained and the material itself or its breakdown products do not have adverse effects on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations.
	The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:
	There is no data from the literature showing any adverse effects of the use of beeswax on the nutritional quality of fresh fruits or vegetables.
4.	Its primary purpose is not as a preservative or used only to recreate/improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive value lost during processing except in the latter case as required by law.
	<i>The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:</i> The primary purpose of using protective coatings is to reduce weight loss of products through transpiration mechanisms of water vapor during the storage and transportation of fresh agricultural commodities.
5.	Is Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) by FDA when used in accordance with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and contains
	no residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances. The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:
	According to the literature and information provided in the RENSHELL petition to the NOSB for the de-waxed flake shellac the major component is aleuritic acid which is 9,10,16-trihydroxy palmitic acid, a hydroxylated form of naturally occurring palmitic acid. Additionally, it is provided GRAS status by the FDA. According to 7CFR part 205.605 both non-synthetic waxes and carnauba wax are allowed as ingredients labeled as organic.
6.	Its use is compatible with the principles of organic handling The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:

According to the RENSHELL petition documented on Annex 1, the preparation of the product from the Sticklac to
 the Seedlac phase appears to be compatible with organic process operations provided adequate pesticide residue

392 analysis is conducted. The purification of Seedlac to the Dewaxed Flake Shellac step is problematic. The ethanol used

393 394	does not state if it is denatured (usually with another alcohol) or non-denatured as 100% ethanol and food grade which would significantly increase its cost due to BATF federal taxes.
395	
396 397	Additionally the question of ethanol production from fermentation needs further clarification as to the GMO status of the yeast and/or enzyme systems. Clarification of the product is conducted by activated charcoal which is not on
398	the national list. Therefore the chemical evidence indicates that shellac is a synthetic final product as long as it is
399	manufactured according to the process as described in Annex 1. However, if organic ethanol were to be used for
400	purification with the Seedlac not subjected to final de-colorization, then a strong argument can be made for its
401	compatibility with organic handling operations.
402	
403	7. There is no other way to produce a similar product without its use and it is used in the minimum quantity required to achieve the process.
404	The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:
405	Proposed methods to produce dewaxed flake shellac convert a natural polyester resin to a synthetic product
406	[according to] the USDA-NOP rule as guideline. If the petitioner can document that no chemical change occurs in
407	the Seedlac and purification is conducted in organic ethyl alcohol, then the process would be more compatible with
408	organic systems. Overall, coatings are not essential for raw agricultural commodities, but only function to reduce
409 410	weight loss (maintain a profit margin), enhance appearance (improve marketability at retail level) and provide a "fresh look" to the product. Usage levels generally are below 0.5% on a weight/weight basis.
411	look to the product. Usage levels generally are below 0.5% on a weight/weight basis.
412	Conclusion – Summarize why this material should be allowed or prohibited for use in organic systems.
413	I agree that impure shellac, also called Sticklac, appears to be a natural product that when further processed to
414	Seedlac, minimal chemical modification has occurred. However, after treated with ethanol (I presume denaturated due
415	to the cost of pure food grade ethanol) and clarification with activated carbon which is not on the National List as
416	documented in 7CFR-205.605, shellac is unquestionably synthetic. However, with modifications of its manufacturing
417	operations with the use of organic ethyl alcohol and physical clarification with an approved processing aid, a strong
418	argument can be made for its compatibility with organic handling operations.
419	D. '
420 421	Reviewer 1 Recommendation Advised to the NOSB: The substance is: <u>Synthetic</u>
422	The substance is. <u>Synthetic</u>
423	In a product labeled 95% organic
424	The substance should be: Prohibited (do not add to National List)
425	
426	In a product labeled "made with organic (specified ingredients)"
427	The substances should be <u>Allowed</u> without further restriction
428	
429	
430	<u>Reviewer 2</u> [Ed.D Nutrition Education, Professor Emeritus nutrition and education, many publications, journal reviewer, Eastern US]
431	
432 433	<u>Comments on Database</u> I find the database (Characterization and Status) to be reasonably complete and fairly accurate.
434	T find the database (Characterization and Status) to be reasonably complete and ramy accurate.
435	NOSB Processing Criteria Evaluation
436	
437	1. It cannot be produced from a natural source and has no organic ingredients as substitutes.
438	This material IS from a natural source. Therefore (see below).
439	
440	The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:
441	I think the criterion should be restated to read "Is either produced from a natural source or cannot be produced from such
442	a source and has no organic ingredients as substitutes." If it were worded that way, I would have had something to check.
443 444	2. Its manufacture, use, and disposal do not have adverse effects on the environment and are done in a manner compatible with organic handling as
445	described in section 6513 of the OFPA.
446	I agree with the criteria evaluation
447	
448	3. If the nutritional quality of the food is maintained and the material itself or its breakdown products do not have adverse effects on human health as
449	defined by applicable Federal regulations.
450	
451	The criteria evaluation needs to be corrected or amended as follows:

452 453 454	Once again the wording is confusing because it's an if-then sentence without a then: " <u>If</u> the nutritional quality etc, " <u>then</u> what? Don't you mean. "It does not degrade the nutritional quality of the food" or "It maintains the nutritional quality of the food"
455	
456	4. Its primary purpose is not as a preservative or used only to recreate/improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive value lost during processing except in the
457	latter case as required by law.
458	
459	The primary purpose of shellac where NOSB is concerned is as an ingredient in sprays or dips designed to keep produce
460 461	fresh longer. This would fit my definition of a preservative. (the pharmaceutical uses are not relevant here) Although the 1999 TAP review said that "fruit waxes are generally not considered to be preservatives," it goes on to say (#6) that "these
462	are non-food materials being applied to food products in order to replace natural oils or waxes or to otherwise
463	preserve produce in its harvested state for a longer than natural period of time."
464	<u>proverse</u> produce in no nursested outerfor a longer dawn natural period of differ
465	The criterion also says a material's primary purpose cannot be as a preservative OR to "recreate colors, textureslost
466	during processing." This is surely a substance designed to replace something (a texture?) lost during processing
467	
468	5. Is Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) by FDA when used in accordance with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and contains no residues of
469	heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances.
470 471	The petitioner's file implies that the substance is GRAS. It is apparently not GRAS because of insufficient data on health
472	effects
473	
474	6. Its use is compatible with the principles of organic handling.
475	1 1 1 0 0
476	Regarding formulation, this specific form of shellac appears to be "natural" in its production. It is, however, applied to fruit
477	in mixtures containing 55% to 98% other materials. It is unclear from the information provided whether there are enough
478	allowed materials available to formulate a "natural" fruit coating from a "natural" shellac. If not, the acceptance of this material
479	may be moot.
480 481	If such a coating can be formulated, is its application on organic fruit compatible with organic handling? I think not for several
482	reasons. As described under criterion #4 above, the petitioned material clearly seems intended to serve one or more prohibited
483	purposes, and in that sense be designed to compensate for deficiencies in handling fruit after harvest. To allow restoration
484	with shellacof the waxy coating naturally present on citrus reduces the motivation to develop a method of cleaning citrus that
485	does not remove its natural protective wax layer and thus retains its organic integrity.
486	
487	As the 1999 review suggests, the preservative action of fruit waxes is not necessary for a sustainable agricultural system and
488	may well encourage less sustainable systems involving long distance transport of agricultural commodities
489	
490 491	Finally, I am very uncomfortable with the idea of "waxing" fruit, not least because consumers have long viewed waxed fruits and vegetables as a symbol of how far from real food the marketplace has gone. Organic fresh fruits and vegetables are
492	believed to be free from "processing." If consumers were fully informed by labeling when "organic" fruit was "shellacked,"
493	they might be somewhat reassured about their ability to get what they are paying for, but this seems like a slippery slope.
494	
495	Since I have myself frequently use citrus peel as an ingredient, it is clear that the proposed material is both a preservative
496	and an ingredient.
497	
498	7. There is no other way to produce a similar product without its use and it is used in the minimum quantity required to achieve the process.
499 500	
500 501	There are a number of ways to protect fruit freshness including various controlled atmosphere regimens. There are also a number of ways to produce fruit coatings, and the literature suggests that where fruits are concerned, some of these are
502	better for the intended purpose of maintaining fruit quality than shellac based coatings.
503	better for the interfaced purpose of maintaining frant quarty than shenae based countings.
504	Conclusion:
505	See 4, 6, & 7 above
506	
507	Reviewer 2 Recommendation Advised to the NOSB:
508	
509 510	The substance is: Not Synthetic, and Non-Agricultural
510 511	In a product labeled 95% organic
512	The substance should be <u>Prohibited (</u> do not add to National List)
	······································

513 514 515	In a product labeled "made with organic (specified ingredients)" The substances should be : <u>Allowed</u> only with additional restrictions (annotation)
516 517 518	Suggested annotation: Allowed only when labeled to indicate that a shellac coating has been added
519 520 521	<u>Reviewer</u> #3 [Ph.D. in food science and nutrition, minor in analytical chemistry. Scientific and technical consultant to the food, pharmaceutical, and supplement industries. Western US]
522	<u>Comments on Database</u>
523 524 525 526 527	I find the database (Characterization and Status) to be reasonably complete and fairly accurate, with the following addition. One concern: This petition is specific to fruit coating only, but shellac is used as a confectionery coating as well. Is there any additional information as to its use in confectionery? If not, it seems like the annotation needs to be specific for fruit coating or we need to review it for other uses from the outset. See annotation below.
528	NOSB Processing Criteria Evaluation
529 530 531 532	1. It cannot be produced from a natural source and has no organic ingredients as substitutes. I agree with the criteria evaluation
533 534	2. Its manufacture, use, and disposal do not have adverse effects on the environment and are done in a manner compatible with organic handling as described in section 6513 of the OFPA.
535 536	I agree with the criteria evaluation.
537 538	3. If the nutritional quality of the food is maintained and the material itself or its breakdown products do not have adverse effects on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations.
539 540	Additional supporting information or comments.
541 542 543	[Note added] references regarding allergenic potential. (Hausen, 2001; Orton, D.I. et al. 2001)
544 545 546	4. Its primary purpose is not as a preservative or used only to recreate/improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive value lost during processing except in the latter case as required by law. I agree with the criteria evaluation
547	
548 549 550	5. Is Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) by FDA when used in accordance with Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and contains no residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances. I agree with the criteria evaluation.
551	
552 553 554	6. Its use is compatible with the principles of organic handling. I agree with the criteria evaluation
555 556 557 558 559	7. There is no other way to produce a similar product without its use and it is used in the minimum quantity required to achieve the process. I agree with the criteria evaluation. [Reviewer 3 supplied an additional reference, Bai 2001, which compared use of candelilla wax, carnauba-shellac, shellac, and polyethylene formulations on different varieties of apples. Shellac was more suited for Red Delicious, but less useful on lighter colored varieties. Candelilla wax did not give as shiny a coating, and did not cause anaerobic breakdown]
560 561	Conclusion – Summarize why this material should be allowed or prohibited for use in organic systems.
562	This material on the whole seems to be compatible with organic production. However, I agree with the comments
563 564 565	that it would be better for the consumer if there were a requirement for labeling of the fruit at retail somehow, especially with the two incidences that may indicate that there is allergenic potential.(Hausen, 2001; Orton 2001)
566 567 568	Reviewer 3 Recommendation Advised to the NOSB: The substance is: Not Synthetic, and is Non-Agricultural
569 570	In a product labeled 95% organic The substance should be <u>Allowed only with restrictions</u> (annotation)
571	Suggested annotation: "for coating of fruit only"
572 573	In a product labeled "made with organic (specified ingredients)" <i>The substances should be:</i> <u>Allowed</u> without further restriction

574

575

576 **Conclusion:**

577 The TAP reviewers found that orange unbleached shellac is derived from natural sources, though one considered that the 578 materials used in manufacturing rendered the substance synthetic and not compatible with organic standards. A second 579 reviewer found that the uses of the material to extend shelf life, reduce water loss, and improve cosmetic appeal are not 580 compatible with organic principles. The third reviewer found the material suitable for organic use, though expressed some 581 concerns that consumers should be informed that products have shellac coatings applied, especially since there are some 582 reports of allergenicity. All reviewers found that shellac could be allowed in a product labeled "made with organic 583 ingredients" though one supports a restriction that the coating use clearly labeled.

584 585

587

588 589

591

596

597

598

600

605

606 607 608

609

610 611

612 613

616 617

618 619

620 621

622 623

624

625

626 627

628 629

630

631

634 635

636

637

586 References

* = included in packet

- * Ash, M., I. Ash. 1995. Handbook of Food Additives. Gower Publishers, Hampshire UK 590
- Avres, E.F. 1890. Preserving Fruit, &c. US Patent 437,323. Assigned (half) to Timothy Foster. 592
- 593 *Baldwin, E.A., M. Nisperos-Carriedo, P.E. Shaw, and J.K. Burns. 1995. Effect of Coatings and Prolonged Storage Conditions on Fresh 594 Orange Flavor Volatiles, Degrees Brix, and Ascorbic Acid Levels. J. Agric. Food Chem. 43: 1321-1331. 595
 - *Bai, J., R. H. Hagenmeier, E. A. Baldwin. 2001. Coating selection for 'Braeburn' and 'Granny Smith' apples. Hortscience 36 (3):598. (abstract)
- 599 Budavari S. (ed) 1996. The Merck Index, 12th Edition. Merck and Co. Rahway NJ.
- 601 *Class J.B. 1991. Resins, Natural in Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 4th edition. Vol. 20 pp. 291-303. Wiley Interscience 602 Publ. NY.
- 603 EPA. 2001. Office of Pesticide Programs, Lists of Other (Inert) Pesticide Ingredients. http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/inerts/lists.html 604
 - *FDA, 1989. U. S. .FDA Proposed Rule. July 20, 1989. Shellac and Shellac Wax, Proposed Affirmation of GRAS Status with Specific Limitations as Direct Human Food Ingredients. Fed Reg. 54:112 pp31055-31059. (included in petition)
 - *FDA. 2001. US. FDA Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. Microbiological Safety of controlled and modified atmosphere packaging of fresh and fresh cut produce. http:// www.cfsan.fda.gov/~comm/ift3-6.html
 - FDA 2002. EAFUS: A Food Additive Database 2002-FEB-08 http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/eafus.html
- 614 FDA 2002. U. S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety & Applied Nutrition, Office of Food Additive Safety, 615 Summary of all GRAS Notices http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~rdb/opa-gras.html
 - *Hagenmaier, R.D., R.A Baker. 1994. Wax Microemulsions as Citrus Coatings. J Agric. Food Chem. 42: 899-902
 - *Hagenmaier, R.D 2000. Evaluation of polyethylene-candelilla coating for 'Valencia' organges. Postharvest Biology and Technol 19: 147-154.
 - *Hagenmaier, R.D 2002. The flavor of mandarin hybrids with different coatings. Postharvest Biology and Technol. 24: 79-87.
 - *Hallman, G.J., N.O. Nisperos-Carriedo, E. A. Baldwin, C. A. Campbell. 1994. Mortality of Caribbean fruit fly (Dipter :Tehpritidae) immature s in coated fruits. J. of Economic Entomology 87: 752-757. (abstract)
 - *Hausen, B. M., G.C. Nist 2001. Shellac contact allergy. Dermatologisches Zentrum Buxtehude Stuttgart Germany, Aktuell Dermatologie Vol. 27:10 pp 315-318. (abstract in English)
 - *Kaplan,H. J. 1986. Washing, Waxing and Color Adding. in Fresh Citrus Fruits, W.F. Wardowski, S. Nagy, and W. Grierson.pp 379-395. Avi. Publ.
- 632 *Kabra, K. N. 1983. Dependence and dominance : political economy of a tribal commodity. Indian Institute of public Administration, New Delhi, 633 India. 196 pp. (abstract)
 - *Krause, K.P. R.H Muller. 2001. Production of aquous shellac dispersions by high pressure homogenisataion. Internatl. Journal of Pharmaceutics. 223: 89-92.

_	
	u, O.L. 1998. Effect of growing season, harvest maturity, waxing, low O2 and elevated CO2 on flesh browning disorders in Braeburn' apples. <i>Postbarvest Biology and Technol.</i> 14: 131-141.
	Guire, R. G. 1999. Evaluation of shellac and sucrose ester fruit coating formulations that support biological control of post-harvest rapefruit decay. <i>Biocontrol Science and Technology</i> 9: 53-65.
	Guire, R. G. R. D, Hagenmaier. 2001. Shellac formulations to reduce epiphytic survival of coliform bacteria on citrus fruit ostharvest. <i>Journal of Food Protection</i> 64 (11) 1756-1760. (abstract)
Ort	on, D.I. et al. 2001. Allergic contact cheilitis due to shellac. Contact Dermatitis. 44(4):250
v	Etener, R. A, W. S. Conway. 1999a. Postharvest Calcium infiltration alone and combined with surface coating treatments influence olatile levels, respiration, ethylene production and internal atmospheres of 'Golden Delicious' apples. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.124: 53-558.
	Etener, R. A., 1999. The Poetntial of Fuit coating and film treatmens for improving the storage and shlf-life qualities of "Gala" and Golden Delicious" Apples. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 124: 682-689.
	nkaranarayanan, Y. 1989. Shellac for Food, Confectionery & Pharmaceutical Products. Publ. By Shellac Export Promotion Council, Calcutta
*Siı	nghania, S. 2001. Petition to USDA for Dewaxed Orange Flake Shellac, Renshell Exports Pvt. Ltd. Reseda, CA.
*M	artin, J. 1982. Shellac, in Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd edition. Vol. 20 pp. 737-747 John Wiley and Sons, NY.
USI	DA / NOSB 1999. Waxes. Technical Advisory Panel review. Available at www.omri.org.
κΤr	ezza, T. A., J.M Krochta. 2000. The Gloss of Edible Coatings as Affected by Surfactants, Lipids, Relative Humidity, and Time. Journal of Food Science: 6594) 658-661.
	wanth, P.K. Kaushik, S. Chand, D.K. Pandey. 1994. The butea tree – for lac and rice production in India. <i>Agroforestry Today</i> 6:2, 10. ubstract)
E O	FCA (UN FAO / WHO Joint Evaluation Committee on Food Additives). 1993. World Health Organization, Toxicological Evaluation Of Certain Food Additives And Naturally Occurring Toxicants. Who Food Additives Series 30. The thirty-ninth meeting f the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) Monograph 760. Shellac. Geneva <u>ttp://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v30je15.htm</u> . (submitted with petition)
*	.1996. Summary Evaluaation by JEFCA on Food Additives. FAO. Geneva. Shellac (bleached).
This	TAP review was completed pursuant to United States Department of Agriculture Purchase Order # 43-6395-0-2900A.