FORMAL RECOMMENDATION BY THE NATIONAL ORGANIC STANDARDS BOARD (NOSB) TO THE NATIONAL ORGANIC PROGRAM (NOP)							
Date: _August 17, 2005							
Subject:Chitosan							
Chair:Jim Riddle(sign)  Recommendation							
The NOSB hereby recommends to the NOP the following:  Rulemaking Action:X  Guidance Statement: Other:  Statement of the Recommendation (including Recount of Vote):							

The NOSB recommends that chitosan be added to 205.601 for use in organic crop production with the following annotation, "as an adjuvant only."

Board vote – August 16, 2005 13 yes, 0 no, 1 absent

Rationale Supporting Recommendation (including consistency with OFPA and NOP):
Rationale provided in text of recommendation below.
Response by the NOP:
Updated 2/25/05

## EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance Chitosan (poly-D-Glucosamine)

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation
				(TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal?			X	
[§205.600 b.2]				
2. Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]		X		Hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, mild organic acid, e.g., acetic, are used in the manufacture of this product.  TAP pg. 2, lines 81-95, pg 3 All the material can be neutralized. Misuse or disposal with neutralizing first could be detrimental. TAP pg 4, lines 126-146
3. Is the substance harmful to the environment? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]		X		Raw material for Chitosan is crustacean shell waste byproduct. TAP Pg2, lines 81-81 EPA exemption from tolerance; abundant in environment, TAP pgs 4-5, lines 151-155.
4. Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]			X	
5. Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used? [§6518 m.1]		X		This form of chitosan could cause negatively charged particles to stick to plant surfaces but this is not likely. This material (non ionized form) is found in the environment as chitosan and chitin. Soluble chitosan is not highly reactive. TAP pg 5, lines 161-184.
6. Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agroecosystem? [§6518 m.5]		X		This material (non ionized form) is found in the environment as chitosan and chitin. Soluble chitosan is not highly reactive. TAP pg 5, lines 161-184.
7. Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]	X			May have adversely impact soil; shown to be antimicrobial, antifungal, and antiviral. Enhances plant growth. As an adjuvant, the quantity of material used is insufficient to result in above effects. TAP pg5, lines 189-205
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]		X		Chitosan is non-toxic to mice rates and rabbits. TAP pg 5, lines 154-155. Breakdown products are non-toxic. TAP pg 6, lines 214-217
9. Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment?[§6518 m.2]		X		Not persistent, highly biodegradable due to chitinases and chitosanases that occur naturally in environment. TAP pg 8, lines 222-226
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A)(i); 6517 c(2)(A)i; §6518 m.4]		X		Not known to be toxic to humans; used as a dietary supplement. TAP pg 6, lines 231-234
11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]			X	
12. Is the substance GRAS when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]			X	
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]			X	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance Chitosan (poly-D-Glucosamine)

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
2. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
3. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			X	
4. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	X	X		Other available wholly natural adjuvants leave residues on produce thus the adjuvant is unacceptable (the combination of lactose, bentonite, and casein). [personal knowledge – Gerald Davis] The two that are formulated with pine-based functional agents may be synthetic and contain List 3 compounds. TAP pg 6, lines 243-254; personal knowledge – Brian Baker – OMRI]
5. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]			X	
6. Is there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]		X		There are three adjuvants marketed as organic but it is unknown if they are synthetic. None are on the National List. TAP pg 6-7, lines 259-264
7. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]		X		No, the adjuvant is necessary to accomplish the function.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

## $\textbf{Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices?} \\ Substance \underline{Chitosan} \ (poly-D-Glucosamine)$

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible				(1741, pention, regulatory agency, other)
with organic handling?			X	
[§205.600 b.2]				TAR 21' 0100
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]	X	X		Is made from renewable resources. TAP pg 2, lines 81-83. As an adjuvant, it is consistent with organic farming. It is used at a very low rate as a sticking agent; used after other methods of disease control. TAP pg 1, lines 26-28. As an adjuvant, it is expected to reduce the number and quantity of applications of copper sulfate. TAP pg 7, lines 269-273 At higher levels of use the material is a plant growth enhancer and a plant defense booster. TAP pg 1, lines 37-44. It is a flocculant, a hydrating agent, a pharmaceutical agent in biomedicine, an antimicrobial in food wrap, and a soil amendment (Oregon, with no amount restrictions). TAP pg 2, lines 51-55
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]	X	X		Consistent with other materials on National List – derived from naturally occurring materials and reduces waste products from other industries. TAP pg 4, lines 145-146 It is a plant growth enhancer and a plant defense booster. TAP pg 1, lines 37-44. It is a flocculant, a hydrating agent, a pharmaceutical agent in biomedicine, an antimicrobial in food wrap, and a soil amendment (Oregon, with no amount restrictions). TAP pg 2, lines 51-55
4. Is the nutritional quality of the				restretions). The pg 2, lines 31 33
food maintained with the substance? [§205.600 b.3]			X	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]			X	
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]			X	
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories:  a. copper and sulfur compounds;		X		
b. toxins derived from bacteria;		X		
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals? d. livestock parasiticides and		X		
medicines?	}	X	<u> </u>	
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?	X			An adhesive adjuvant for use in organic crop production – a sticking agent. TAP pg 1, lines 31-34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

## NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: <u>August 2005</u>				Substance Chitosan (poly-D-Glucosamine)				
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)								
<ol> <li>Impact on humans and environment</li> <li>Availability criteria</li> <li>Compatibility &amp; consistency</li> </ol>				Criteria Satisfied?  Yes X No ☐ (see B below)  Yes X No ☐ (see B below)  Yes X No ☐ (see B below)				
B. Substance fails criteria?  Criteria category:  Comments:	is for annota essary functi meet criteria	notation:as an adjuvant only  ation: its use is being limited to levels that produce the ion but do not enhance plant growth  above: _X Criteria: 3						
D. Final Board Action & Vote: Motion by  Vote: Agricultural	/: <u>Naı</u>	ncy Ostiguy Nonagricu		Second: Go	ldie C	auglan		
Yes:13 Synthetic	X	Not synthe		Livestock		1		
No: 0_ Allowed <sup>1</sup>	Х	Prohibited	2	Handling				
No restriction		Deferred4		Rejected <sup>3</sup>				
Abstain:0								
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List Describe why material was rejected:								
4-substance was recommended to be deferred  Describe why deferred; if any follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who conducts follow-up								
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP:  Dave Carter, NOSB Chair  Date								
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List:   Return to NOSB  Reason:								
Richard H. Mathews, Program Manager Date								

## NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: August 2005				Substance	Chitosan (poly	-D-Glucosami	ine)			
Committee: Crops <b>X</b> Livestock  Handling										
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)										
Criteria Satisfied?										
1. Impact on humans and environment  Yes X No ☐ (see B below)										
<ol><li>Availability crite</li></ol>	ria				Yes <b>X</b> No □	(see B below)				
3. Compatibility & consistency Yes X No ☐ (see B below)										
B. Substance fails criteria?  C. Proposed Annotation: _c						:1(EDA 2222)				
Criteria category:			or annotat ned use	ion: materiai	is a production a	iid (EPA regs); re	estricted to			
Comments:	· ·									
D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote: Motion by: Rose Seconded: Gerry Poly-D-Glucosamine (Chitosan) [CAS NO. 9012-76-4] is synthetic and allowed as an insecticidal soap [205.505.(e)6]										
Vote:	A aria. Itural	L	lana aria di	ural	Crono	X				
	Agricultural		lot aunthor		Crops	<del>  ^  </del>				
Yes: <u>3</u>	Synthetic Allowed <sup>1</sup>		Not synthet Prohibited <sup>2</sup>		Livestock	1				
No: <u>0</u>	No restriction		Deferred <sup>4</sup>		Handling Rejected <sup>3</sup>					
Abstain:0	NO TESTICUON		Deletted		Rejected					
Absent: 1	1—substance vo	ted to be	e added as	"allowed" or	n National List					
Annotation:as an adj	Annotation:as an adjuvant only									
Describe why a prohibited	2—substance to substance:		d to "prohi	bited" paragr	raph of National L	_ist 				
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List  Describe why material was rejected:										
4-substance was recommended to be deferred Describe why deferred; if follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who will follow										
up										
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:										
Committee Chair Date										