

1 <u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of this document is to outline the requirements for the Laboratory Approval Program for Analysis of Aflatoxins. The document describes the technical competency and quality management requirements a laboratory must demonstrate to be a USDA-approved laboratory.

The Laboratory Approval Program (LAP) is administered by the <u>Laboratory Approval Service</u> (LAS) Branch. LAS is part of the <u>Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)</u>, <u>Science and</u> Technology (S&T) Program, <u>Laboratory Approval and Testing Division (LATD)</u>.

The LAS approves, or accredits, laboratories to perform testing services in support of domestic and international trade. At the request of industry, other Federal Agencies, or foreign governments, the LAS develops and administers programs to verify that the analysis of food and agricultural products meet country and customer-specific requirements and that the testing of products marketed is conducted by qualified and approved laboratories.

2 <u>Scope</u>

This LAP is for a laboratory seeking to obtain and maintain its status as a USDA-approved laboratory for the analysis of aflatoxins in almonds, pistachios, and/or peanuts based on the stipulations of the final market destination: U.S. domestic, U.S. import, and export.

- 2.1 Almonds for export to the European Union (EU) through the Pre-Export Certification program (PEC) of the Almond Board of California (ABC).
- 2.2 Peanuts marketed domestically for human consumption, including imports, in accordance with 7 CFR 996.
- 2.3 Peanuts for export to the EU.
- 2.4 Pistachios for domestic and import markets in accordance with 7 CFR 983 and 7 CFR §999.600, respectively.
- 2.5 Pistachios for export to the EU for the Administrative Committee for Pistachios' (ACP) Pistachio Export Aflatoxin Reporting (PEAR) program.

This LAP verifies technical and quality control competencies of a laboratory for the analysis of aflatoxins. All aspects of a laboratory's quality management system (business processes) are applicable and are critical for ensuring the defensibility of the analytical results produced under the LAP.

3 Table of Contents

1	Purpose	1
2	Scope	1
	Table of Contents	1



4	Glossary of Terms	2
5	References	3
6	Laboratory Approval Program Administrative Policy	5
7	Summary of General Program Requirements	5
8	Mandatory Quality Assurance Practices	7
9	Method Selection	7
10	Method Validation	7
11	Quality Controls	9
12	Quality Measures	10
13	Data Analysis	12
14	Critical Equipment & Reagents	13
15	Analyst Competency and Proficiency Testing	14
16	Official Certificate of Analysis/Report	15
17	Almond – Export to EU	16
18	Peanut – U.S. Domestic & U.S. Imports	18
19	Peanut – Export to EU	20
20	Pistachio – U.S. Domestic & U.S. Imports	22
21	Pistachio – Export to EU	24
22	Explanations for Technical Requirements	26
23	Revision History	26
24	Review / Approvals	

4 Glossary of Terms

ABC	Almond Board of California
ACP	Administrative Committee for Pistachios
AMS	Agricultural Marketing Service
AOAC	AOAC of Official Analytical Collaboration
AOCS	American Oil Chemists' Society
APC	American Peanut Council
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CV	Coefficient of Variation
EC	European Commission
EU	European Union
FAPAS	Food Analysis Performance Assessment Scheme
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FLD	Fluorescence Detector
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
IAC	Immunoaffinity Column
ICV	Independent Calibration Verification
ISO/IEC	International Organization for Standardization/ International
	Electrotechnical Commission.



LAP	Laboratory Approval Program
LAS	Laboratory Approval Service
LATD	Laboratory Approval and Testing Division
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
PEAR	Pistachio Export Aflatoxin Reporting Program
PEC	Pre-Export Certification
PHRED	Photochemical Reactor Enhanced Detection
PM	Program Manager
PT	Proficiency Testing
S&T	Science & Technology Program
TLC	Thin Layer Chromatography
UPLC/UHPLC	Ultra (High) Performance Liquid Chromatography
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
VCM	Vertical Cutter Mill

5 <u>References</u>

- 5.1 The following articles are referenced in this document. Dated references apply to the edition cited and undated references apply to the latest edition published (including any amendments).
- 5.2 Methodology:
 - a) AOAC International, Official Methods 977.16, Sampling for Aflatoxins Preparation for Sample Procedure.
 - b) AOAC International, Official Methods 991.31, Aflatoxins in Corn, Raw Peanuts, and Peanut Butter Immunoaffinity Column (Aflatest) Method.
 - c) AOAC International, Official Methods 998.03, Aflatoxins in Peanuts Alternative BF Method.
 - d) AOAC International, Official Methods 999.07, Aflatoxins B1 and Total Aflatoxins in Peanut Butter, Pistachio Paste, Fig Paste, and Paprika Powder – Immunoaffinity Column Liquid Chromatography with Post-Column Derivatization.
 - e) AOAC International, Official Methods 2005.08, Aflatoxins in Corn, Raw Peanuts, and Peanut Butter Liquid Chromatography with Post-Column Photochemical Derivatization.
- 5.3 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)/ Inter-Agency Agreements:
 - a) <u>CFR Part 981 Almonds grown in California</u>.
 - b) <u>CFR Part 983 Pistachios grown in California, Arizona, and New Mexico.</u>



- c) <u>CFR Part 996 Minimum quality and handling standards for domestic and imported</u> peanuts marketed in the United States.
- d) <u>CFR §999.600 Specialty Crops; Import Regulations. Regulation governing the importation of pistachios.</u>
- e) <u>Milled Peanuts, Inspection Instructions.</u> USDA MRP AMS SCP SCID. December 2020.
- f) MOU 225-19-031. Memorandum of Understanding between AMS and FDA in inspecting, sampling, and testing peanuts, Brazil nuts, and pistachio nuts for aflatoxins.
- g) <u>Pistachio Nuts In the Shell Shipping Point and Market Inspection Instructions, USDA</u> <u>AMS, February 2005</u>.
- h) <u>Pistachio Nuts In the Shell Shipping Point and Market Inspection Instructions, Patch #</u> 045, Testing Samples For Aflatoxin. USDA AMS, April 15, 2019
- i) <u>Pistachio Nuts In the Shell Shipping Point and Market Inspection Instructions, Patch</u> <u>#066, Aflatoxin Sampling and Testing for Imported Raw and Blanched Pistachios.</u> USDA AMS, April 28, 2021.
- 5.4 Industry Requirements:
 - a) Administrative Committee for Pistachios: Handler's Guide.
 - b) Almond Board of California, Pre-Export Checks (PEC) Program Manual.
 - c) <u>Pistachio Export Aflatoxin Reporting (PEAR) Program.</u>
- 5.5 Laboratory Approval Program:
 - a) <u>LAP-PR.05</u>, <u>Laboratory Approval Program General Policies and Procedures</u>.
 - b) LAP-PR.06, Laboratory Approval Program Fees.
 - c) USDA AMS LATD LAS Website, <u>https://www.ams.usda.gov/services/lab-testing/lab-approval.</u>
- 5.6 Quality Assurance Standards:
 - a) ISO/IEC 17025:2017. General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.
 - b) USDA AMS Laboratory Standards of Practice.
- 5.7 Country Specific Regulations:
 - a) <u>Commission Regulation (EC) No. 401/2006 of 23 February 2006 laying down the</u> <u>methods of sampling and analysis for the official control of the levels of mycotoxins in</u> <u>foodstuffs.</u>
 - b) <u>Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs</u>.



- c) <u>Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/949 of 19 June 2015 approving the</u> pre-export checks carried out on certain food by certain third countries as regards the presence of certain mycotoxins.
- 5.8 Additional Resources:
 - a) <u>Eurachem Guides</u>.
 - b) <u>FDA Compliance Policy Guides, Section 570.375 Aflatoxin in Peanuts and Peanut</u> <u>Products.</u>
 - c) <u>FDA Compliance Policy Guides, Section 570.500 Pistachio Nuts Aflatoxin</u> <u>Adulteration.</u>
 - d) <u>FDA Compliance Program Guidance Manual, 7307.001, Chapter 07 Molecular</u> <u>Biology and Natural Toxins. Mycotoxins in domestic and imported foods FY 15/16.</u>
 - e) Francis Jr., O. J. 1979. Sample Preparation of Some Shelled Tree Nuts and Peanuts in a Vertical Cutter-Mixer for Mycotoxin Analysis. JAOAC. 62(5):1182-5.
 - f) Good Laboratory and Clinical Practices, Techniques for the Quality Assurance Professional, edited by P.A. Carson and N.J. Dent, 1990.
 - g) <u>Guidelines for the Validation of Chemical Methods in Food, Feed, Cosmetics, and</u> Veterinary Products, 3rd Edition. US FDA. FDA Foods Program, October 2019.

6 Laboratory Approval Program Administrative Policy

- 6.1 A laboratory seeking admission to the Laboratory Approval Program must fulfill the requirements and follow the process described in the LAP procedure, LAP-PR.05, Laboratory Approval Program General Policies and Procedures. This procedure describes the process for application, assessment audits, acceptance, maintaining program status, suspension, withdrawal, dismissal, and appeals.
- 6.2 This program is administered on an annual, calendar year, basis.
- 6.3 The LAP procedure, LAP-PR.06, Laboratory Approval Program Fees explains the fees for services.
- 6.4 The administrative procedures above are available on the <u>LAS website</u>, or contact the LAS Aflatoxin Program Manager (PM) for the current version of the procedure.

7 <u>Summary of General Program Requirements</u>

- 7.1 The laboratory must comply with all requirements set forth in this document in order to be compliant with the LAP. For a laboratory to maintain good standing, each year it must:
 - a) meet all program requirements relevant to the scope of approval;



- b) comply with mandatory laboratory practices based on the ISO/IEC 17025 standard (See §8);
- c) use test method(s) approved by AMS. (See §9);
- d) validate analytical and sample preparation methods prior to use and ensure the validation data package is available for review upon request (See §10);
- e) evaluate analyst competency and maintain satisfactory status (See §15);
- f) participate in proficiency testing (PT) programs and maintain satisfactory status (See §15);
- g) submit sieve testing results to PM (See §12.3.e);
- h) communicate regularly with the PM to share vital information regarding the laboratory and make all information relevant to the LAP available to PM upon request (See §5.5.a);
- notify the PM within 30 days of significant changes relevant to the laboratory's approval status and/or ability to meet the Program's requirements including but not limited to: legal, organizational, or ownership status; laboratory policies, procedures, and resources; change in key managerial personnel, contact persons, and signatories; and verification of adequate method performance after a change in location, equipment, facilities, and working environment. It is at the discretion of LAS whether an onsite visit/audit is required to evaluate any significant change that a laboratory undergoes (See §5.5.a);
- j) be available for a biennial (every other year) re-assessment audit and have an actual sample(s) ready to demonstrate its competency of performing the test method; and comply with requests for documents and records before and during the audit (See §5.5.a);
- k) respond to each nonconformance found with a record of an investigation, root cause analysis, and correction or, if warranted due to time, a corrective action plan, within 30 calendar days of being reported (See §5.5.a);
- 1) resolve each nonconformance in a timely manner, whether identified by LAS auditor, another organization, or internally (See §5.5.a);
- m) pay all program fees by the due date on the billing invoice (See §5.5.b).



8 <u>Mandatory Quality Assurance Practices</u>

- 8.1 Implement quality assurance and quality control procedures to ensure a validated and qualified analysis, prove competence, and ensure defensible data. This Program does not require ISO 17025 accreditation; however, it is a common practice for testing laboratories to become ISO 17025 accredited.
- 8.2 LAS uses the ISO 17025 standard to evaluate all laboratory quality systems regardless of accreditation status. A nonconformance identified during a LAS assessment audit may be cited to the ISO 17025 standard (See §5.5.a).
- 8.3 Maintain records for at least three years (See §5.5.a).

9 <u>Method Selection</u>

- 9.1 Use analytical testing methods approved by AMS.
- 9.2 Use methods as validated.
- 9.3 Refer to scope specific sections for approved methods:

a) Almonds – Export to EU:	See §17.
b) Peanuts – U.S. Domestic & U.S. Imports:	See §18.
c) Peanuts – Export to EU:	See §19.
d) Pistachios – U.S. Domestic & U.S. Imports:	See §20.
e) Pistachios – Export to EU:	See §21.

10 Method Validation

- 10.1 Validate the sample preparation procedure for grinding samples to achieve an adequate particle size and homogenous grind for each analyte/matrix.
 - a) Determine optimal parameters to achieve a homogeneous grind, including type of grinder, type of blade (e.g., smooth-edge, serrated, notch-edge), and minimum time to grind.
 - b) Select an unground sample with either a known incurred natural aflatoxin contamination or spike the nuts (ideally in one location).
 - c) Grind sample using optimized parameters.
 - d) Analyze at least 10 representative subsamples to determine if the resulting grind is homogeneous.
 - e) Evaluate results from the subsamples. Acceptance criteria for a homogeneous grind is percent recovery as found in Table 1; and Coefficient of Variation (CV) $\leq 20\%$.



United States Department of Agriculture

Laboratory Approval Program – Aflatoxin Program Requirements

- f) Establish sieve test acceptance criteria for maintaining vertical cutter mill equipment by performing a particle size tests using subsamples of the grind passed through a No. 20 sieve (see §12.3).
- g) Document, in a procedure, the final parameters validated including type of grinder, type of blade, minimum grind time, and sieve test acceptance criteria.
- h) For significant changes made to the grinding procedure perform validation to demonstrate the changes result in a homogeneous grind and re-establish the sieve test acceptance criteria.
- i) An exception or variation to AOAC 977.16 method may be considered by submitting a request and validation data that demonstrates the variation provides the same or better performance than the original procedure.
- 10.2 Validate the sample testing method(s) can be competently and proficiently performed prior to using it for testing and reporting results.
 - a) Demonstrate performance criteria of the AOAC method are met or exceeded.
 - b) Demonstrate performance criteria outlined in (EC) No. 401/2006 of 23 February 2006 Annex II Part 4, as relevant, are met for scopes of approval including export to the EU (i.e., parts 4.2, 4.3.1.1(a), 4.3.1.1(i), 4.3.2.3, 4.3.2.4, 4.3.2.6, 4.3.2.7, 4.3.2.8) (see §5.7.a).
 - c) Demonstrate that variations or modifications to the AOAC method provide the same or better performance than the original AOAC procedure.
 - d) For significant changes to the testing procedure that might impact testing (e.g., change of instrumentation, change to facility) perform validation to demonstrate the changes have not impacted the quality of the testing. Minor changes can be verified using less rigorous testing than the original validation study.
- 10.3 Prepare a Method Validation Data Package to record the procedure has been demonstrated as fit for use.
 - a) Consolidate pertinent information into an auditable data package to support the objective's conclusion that includes at least the following: cover report, test method procedure, relevant statistics (i.e., linearity, accuracy, precision, measurement uncertainty, and limit of quantitation), and traceable data (raw and summarized) (See §10.4).
 - b) Send validation package to the PM for review and approval.
 - c) Ensure validation data package is readily available at the laboratory for as long as the method is utilized, plus three years after the last reported results.
 - d) Ensure official record of approval for method is maintained and readily available.
- 10.4 Include relevant statistics, as applicable:
 - a) Linearity: linear regression equation, y = mx + b; and \mathbb{R}^2 .



- b) Accuracy: percent recovery.
- c) Precision: standard deviation.
- d) Measurement Uncertainty: summation of error around the quantitated value.
- e) Limit of Quantitation (LOQ), method: lowest concentration that can be reliably detected and measured with accuracy and precision (e.g., lowest nonzero calibration level).

11 **Quality Controls**

- 11.1 Use controls, daily with use, to demonstrate testing is performed correctly and factors that could negatively impact the results are mitigated.
- 11.2 Take immediate action prior to testing and/or reporting results when any quality control does not perform as expected.
- 11.3 Minimum quality controls required for each method are listed below, and any additional quality controls the laboratory chooses to run are acceptable.
 - a) Immunoaffinity column (IAC) with direct fluorometry, AOAC 991.31, A-G: Matrix Spike, and Reagent Blank.
 - b) Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC), AOAC 998.03: Matrix Spike and Matrix Blank and/or Reagent Blank.
 - c) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, AOAC 991.31, A-F, H: Matrix Spike, Matrix Blank and/or Reagent Blank, and continuing calibration verification (CCV).
- 11.4 LAS' interpretation of each quality control and its purpose is defined below. It is not a requirement for the laboratory to use exactly the same terms for each type of control as long as the correct control is used for the correct purpose.
 - a) Matrix Blank: Known negative nut sample that has gone through the entire extraction procedure. Use as a negative control.
 - b) Matrix Spike: Matrix blank with a known quantity of aflatoxin (e.g., added, purchased sample with known aflatoxin concentration, or incurred natural aflatoxin of known concentration) that has gone through the extraction procedure. Use as a positive control and to evaluate percent recovery.
 - c) Known Positive: Previously ground and tested sample that is known to be positive. Use as a positive control.
 - d) Reagent Blank: Sample containing only the reagents used in method that has gone through the entire extraction procedure. Use as a negative control. Optional if a matrix blank is used.



- e) Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV): Solution of known concentration, typically at or near the midpoint of the calibration curve. Use to evaluate instrument stability throughout the sequence and repeatability.
- f) Independent Calibration Verification (ICV) Solution of known concentration that is from a different manufacturer, or same manufacturer but different lot, or a separate preparation from the solutions used to calibrate. Use to evaluate the accuracy of reference material and/or accuracy of preparation techniques. Optional; however, inclusion is a best practice.

12 **Quality Measures**

- 12.1 Evaluate quality controls to identify acceptability of data, trends, and potential problems.
- 12.2 Take immediate action prior to testing and/or reporting results when any quality control does not perform as expected.
- 12.3 Vertical Cutter Mill (VCM) Sieve Test: Use to monitor VCM equipment (i.e., blade sharpness).
 - a) Perform at a minimum frequency of weekly or with use using a No. 20 sieve.
 - 1. Place $\sim 50 100$ g of ground sample in No. 20 sieve that has been weighed.
 - 2. Work the sample through the sieve with warm water (tap) being careful not to lose sample, as needed.
 - 3. Dry the sieve and remaining material in an oven at $\sim 100 105^{\circ}$ C or air-dry until there is no more change in weight. Avoid cooking or burning the material.
 - b) Weigh and calculate % pass through.
 - c) Record result and evaluate against acceptance criteria defined in sample preparation procedure.
 - d) Monitor trending decrease of % pass through to facilitate maintaining acceptable VCM performance.
 - e) Submit sieve test data to the PM quarterly.
- 12.4 Calibration Curve: Use to quantify concentration of unknown samples.
 - a) Use the same type of curve used during method validation.
 - b) Ensure a minimum of 4 points is used for HPLC/UPLC.
 - c) Ensure R^2 value for each curve must be ≥ 0.995 .
 - d) Ensure regression equation is not forced through zero.



- e) Ensure calibration range brackets the range of result reported and includes the LOQ for the method. Results outside of the calibration range may be diluted and re-analyzed so the result falls within the range. It is not acceptable to extrapolate the concentration.
- 12.5 Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV): Use to demonstrate repeatability and stability of the instrument/method (e.g., calibration curve).
 - a) Collect CCV data points at a minimum, at the beginning of a batch, the end of the batch, and throughout the batch with a recommended frequency of every $10 (\pm 2)$ injections.
 - b) Calculate percent recovery of CCV data point (see §12.7).
 - c) Evaluate against the acceptance criteria where percent recovery must within 15% of expected concentration.
- 12.6 Coefficient of Variation (CV): Use to demonstrate the repeatability.
 - a) Calculate coefficient of variation (CV) for a group of data points using equation:

$$\% CV = \frac{Standard Deviation}{Average} * 100$$

- b) Evaluate % CV during validation of sample preparation procedure (see §10.1); and during validation of sample testing method (see §10.2).
- 12.7 Percent recovery: Use to compare expected concentration to actual measured concentration to evaluate method performance.
 - a) Calculate percent recovery using equation:

 $\% Recovery = \frac{[aflatoxin recovered]}{[aflatoxin expected]} * 100$

b) Evaluate recovery of a matrix spike against performance criteria defined in Table 1 (see §5.7.a). Values apply to both B1 and total aflatoxins.

Criterion	Concentration Range	Acceptable Range
Blanks	All	Negligible
Recovery– Aflatoxins B ₁ , B ₂ , G ₁ , G ₂	< 1.0 µg/kg	50-120 %
	1 – 10 µg/kg	70-110 %
	> 10 µg/kg	80-110 %

Table 1. Performance criteria for aflatoxin.

[NOTE: Values apply to both B1 and total aflatoxins.]

- 12.8 Control Charting: Use to track performance over time and serve as an indicator if something in the analytical process is out of control and needs investigation or correction.
 - a) Plot percent recovery results of each matrix spike analyzed.



United States Department of Agriculture

Laboratory Approval Program – Aflatoxin Program Requirements

- b) Plot for the method regardless of analyst or instrument used to evaluate overall performance of the method.
- c) Evaluate whether results are acceptable using acceptance criteria in Table 1. All data points must be within the acceptable range.
- d) Additional, optional, control charting methods can be used to evaluate other variables of the testing procedure. For example, plotting by analyst or by instrument can show individual performance when more than one is used.

13 Data Analysis

- 13.1 Significant Figures: Collect data to the appropriate significant figures for the methodology (see §17-21). Additionally, when two or more data points are calculated, the final value must not have more significant figures than the original data points.
- 13.2 Rounding Rule: If 4 and under, round down; and if 5 and over, round up. For example:
 - a) a result of 9.4 ppb would be rounded to 9 ppb, whereas a result of 9.5 ppb would be rounded to 10 ppb for the final report; and
 - b) a result of 4.24 ppb would be rounded to 4.2 ppb, whereas a result of 4.25 ppb would be rounded to 4.3 ppb.
- 13.3 Correction for recovery (export only): Correct the analytical result for recovery,
 - a) unless the percent recovery is between 90-110%, additionally
 - b) the regulation states that if the result is less than 50% of the maximum level or 5 times the maximum level it might not be reported.

<u>§ 4.4.1(a), Annex II, (EC) No 401/2006</u>, "Corrected for recovery, the level of recovery being indicated. The correction for recovery is not necessary in case the recovery rate is between 90-110 %."

§ 4.4.1, Annex II, (EC) No 401/2006, "if the result of the analysis is significantly (>50%) lower than the maximum level or much higher than the maximum level (i.e., more than 5 times the maximum level), and on the condition that the appropriate quality procedures are applied and the analysis serves only the purpose of checking compliance with legal provisions, the analytical result might be reported without the correction for recovery and the reporting of the recovery rate and measurement uncertainty might be omitted in these cases."



14 <u>Critical Equipment & Reagents</u>

- 14.1 Calibrate or verify equipment and instrumentation considered critical to the analytical method before putting into service and thereafter calibrate / verify regularly while in service.
 - a) Calibration refers to checking the measurements of a device and adjusting the device if corrections are needed.
 - b) Verification refers to checking that a device's measurement remains within a determined acceptable range, adjustments should not be needed.
- 14.2 When a device is found to be outside tolerance at verification, investigate and correct issue before using.
- 14.3 Maintain records of calibration, intermediate checks, performance verification, maintenance, and significant repair.
- 14.4 Grinding Equipment: Keep in good working order. Monitor performance using VCM sieve test (See §12.3). Record blade sharpening.
- 14.5 Balances & Scales:
 - a) Daily when in use: Verify with working weights that fall within the weight range used by the laboratory.
 - b) Annual calibration: Performed by an accredited organization or internally with the same requirements being met.
- 14.6 Reference & Working Weights:
 - a) Reference weights: Verify every 5 years.
 - b) Working weights: Verify against reference weights annually.
- 14.7 Analytical Instruments: Keep in good working order.
- 14.8 Volumetric Devices:
 - a) Mechanical pipets, micropipettors, mechanical burets, and bottle-top dispensers: Verify at least every 6 months for accuracy and precision using a gravimetric or colorimetric method.
 - b) Positive displacement syringes: Verify for accuracy upon receipt (a manufacturer's certificate of accuracy may be accepted).
 - c) Plastic graduated cylinders: Verify for accuracy and precision upon receipt (prior to use) and every five years for accuracy using a gravimetric method. Additional verification should occur if damage is visible.
 - d) Volumetric non-class A glassware: Verify for accuracy and precision using a gravimetric method upon receipt (prior to use).



14.9 Critical reagents: Store according to the manufacturer's instructions and do not use past the designated expiration date. Critical reagents include, but not limited to, IAC columns, aflatoxin standard, iodine.

15 Analyst Competency and Proficiency Testing

- 15.1 Analyst Competency:
 - a) Ensure new analyst responsible for performing test method(s) demonstrates competency and provide record of training and competence evaluation to the PM.
 - b) Ensure every analyst responsible for performing the method(s) participates in the PT program at least once in a two-year span.

15.2 Laboratory Analytical Competency:

- a) Participate in a PT program on a quarterly basis.
- b) Use a PT program relevant to the analyte/matrix tested; and administered by an external third-party program such as AOCS, FAPAS, or similar (with preference to ISO 17043 accredited provider).
- c) Participate in the FAPAS PT program when scope of approval includes export to EU.
- d) Review PT report and initiate the corrective action process when unsatisfactory results are observed. Unsatisfactory PT results and action to take are defined as follows:
 - $|z| \ge 3$ Initiate immediate corrective action investigation on the part of the laboratory to establish root cause.
 - $2 \le |z| \le 3$ Evaluate in the context of other scores obtained in the same test and other PTs over time. Investigate to determine the root cause and take action as needed.
- 15.3 Submit to the PM:
 - a) Record of new analyst training and demonstration of competency.
 - b) Copy of the PT report with analyst name, and PT ID, within 30 days of receipt.
 - c) Record of corrective action response for unsatisfactory results, within 30 days of receiving the PT report.



United States Department of Agriculture

Laboratory Approval Program – Aflatoxin Program Requirements

16 Official Certificate of Analysis/Report

- 16.1 Meet the ISO 17025 standard for reporting results.
- 16.2 Meet commodity specific requirements for reporting results.
- 16.3 Meet customer's requirements for reporting results.

The following sections include requirements organized by scope; and are in addition to the requirements outlined above.



17 <u>Almond – Export to EU</u>

- 17.1 Sample Preparation Method:
 - a) Protect sample from daylight (See §5.7.a, Annex II 1.1)
 - b) Use a sample preparation procedure based on AOAC 977.16 to achieve a minimum size reduction for ground samples (See §10 and §22).
 - c) Ensure sample is representative of the incoming sample prior to grinding. Mixing may occur at 1) the processing facility as part of the sampling process (See §5.4.b, Product Sample Selection and Analysis); or 2) at the laboratory (See §5.7.a, Annex I, D.2.4). When the incoming sample is split prior to grinding at the laboratory, ensure the sub-samples are representative of the incoming sample.

17.2 Test Method:

- a) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Iodine: AOAC 991.31, A-F, H
 b) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, PHRED: AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 2005.08.
- c) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Kobra Cell: AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 999.07.

17.3 Data Analysis:

- a) Analyze to the nearest tenth ppb (i.e. 0.1 ppb) where ppb = 1 ng/g.
- b) Calculate and report shell/kernel ratio of whole nuts (See §5.7.a, Annex II 1.2), if applicable.
- 17.4 Official Certificate of Analysis / Test Report:
 - 17.4.1 Include all of the following information:
 - a) Sample lot number.
 - b) Analytical method used (see §5.7.a).
 - c) Limit of quantification (see §5.7.a).
 - d) Percent recovery for B_1 and total aflatoxin (see §5.7.a).
 - e) Analytical result (see §5.7.a).
 - f) A statement about correction for recovery (see §5.7.a).
 - g) Measurement uncertainty presented as the result \pm uncertainty (i.e., $10.4 \pm 2.1 \ \mu g/kg$),

§ 4.4.1(b), Annex II, (EC) No 401/2006, "As $x \pm U$ whereby x is the analytical result and U is the expanded measurement uncertainty, using a coverage factor of 2 which gives a level of confidence of approximately 95%."



17.4.2 If a statement is made concerning compliance with the (EC) No. 401/2006, use the statement:

"Sample analysis was conducted in compliance with Annex II of Regulation (EC) No. 401/2006 for aflatoxin in almonds."

17.4.3 If reporting results for in shell nuts use the statement (as applicable):

"In shell almonds are reported on a kernel basis for a [insert X/Y] shell/kernel ratio."

- 17.4.4 If requested by the handler to include an interpretation statement on the analytical report of the maximum limits set by Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006, the following types of statements are to be used. Note: Interpretation of results by the laboratory does not generally occur. The commodity's destination is often decided based on the aflatoxin results. It is the responsibility of the handler (processor) not the laboratory, to determine which limits apply to their product.
 - a) "result exceeds the limit of 12.0 μg/kg aflatoxin B₁ and/or 15.0 μg/kg sum of aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ for almonds to be subjected to sorting, or other physical treatment, before human consumption or use as an ingredient in foodstuffs (§2.1.2 of Annex II Regulation 1881/2006)."
 - b) "result exceeds the limit of 8.0 μg/kg aflatoxin B₁ and/or 10.0 μg/kg sum of aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ for almonds intended for direct human consumption or use as an ingredient in foodstuffs (§2.1.6 of Annex II Regulation 1881/2006)."



18 <u>Peanut – U.S. Domestic & U.S. Imports</u>

- 18.1 Sample Preparation Method:
 - a) Visually inspect to verify incoming sample bag (unground) is marked with weight on the positive lot identification (PLI) tag (See §5.3.e, Sample Weight) and it is not less than 48lbs.
 - b) Use sample preparation procedure based on AOAC 977.16 to achieve a minimum size reduction for ground samples (See §10 and §22)
 - c) Ensure sample is representative of the incoming sample prior to grinding.

Note: Samples are mixed prior to grinding at the processing facility as part of the USDA Federal/State Inspection Service sampling process (See §5.3.e, Sampling).

18.2 Test Method:

a)	IAC with direct fluorometry:	AOAC 991.31, A-G.
b)	TLC:	AOAC 998.03.
c)	IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Iodine:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H.
d)	IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, PHRED:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 2005.08.
e)	IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Kobra Cell:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 999.07.
18.3 Da	ata Analysis:	

18.3.1 Analyze to the nearest:

a) IAC with direct fluorometry:	whole integer.
b) TLC:	whole integer.
c) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD:	tenth ppb (i.e. 0.1 ppb) where $ppb = 1 ng/g$.

- 18.4 Official Certificate of Analysis / Test Report:
 - 18.4.1 Include the statement:

"The designation of aflatoxin negative is defined as the average analytical result of 15 parts per billion (ppb) or less aflatoxin and applies to product distributed within the United States under 7 CFR Part 996. Results are reported as whole integers; therefore, further calculations must be rounded to the nearest whole integer for proper interpretation."

18.4.2 Include the statement:

"USDA or USDA-approved laboratory to test for total aflatoxin content in samples for domestic and imported peanuts marketed in the United States."



- 18.4.3 Include one of the following statements for the methodology as approved, to include AOAC method number or internal procedure number, where applicable:
 - a) Immunoaffinity column with direct fluorometry method of analysis (AOAC 991.31).
 - b) Water slurry method with thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis designated as the alternative Best Foods (BF) method of analysis (AOAC 998.03).
 - c) Immunoaffinity column cleanup with high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method.
- 18.5 Reporting to USDA:
 - a) Submit records of sample analysis to AMS Specialty Crops Inspection Division (<u>sciinspectionoperations@usda.gov</u>) in the format requested.
 - b) Submit records of sample analysis to AMS Specialty Crops Market Development Division (<u>8Eimports@usda.gov</u> and <u>complianceinfo@usda.gov</u>) in the format requested.



19 <u>Peanut – Export to EU</u>

- 19.1 Sample Preparation Method:
 - a) Visually inspect to verify incoming unground sample has the weight recorded on the positive lot identification (PLI) tag and it is not less than 48lbs (See §5.3.e, Sample Weight).
 - b) Protect sample from daylight (See §5.7.a, Annex II 1.1).
 - c) Use sample preparation procedure based on AOAC 977.16 to achieve a minimum size reduction for ground samples (See §10 and §22).
 - d) Ensure the sub-samples are representative of the incoming sample when the incoming sample is split prior to grinding at the laboratory.

Note: Samples are mixed prior to grinding at the processing facility as part of the USDA Federal/State Inspection Service sampling process (See §5.3.e, Sampling).

19.2 Test Method:

a)	IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Iodine:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H.
----	---------------------------------	----------------------

- b) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, PHRED: AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 2005.08.
- c) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Kobra Cell: AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 999.07.
- 19.3 Data Analysis:
 - a) Analyze to the nearest tenth ppb (i.e. 0.1 ppb) where ppb = 1 ng/g.
 - b) Calculate and report shell/kernel ratio of whole nuts (See §5.7.a, Annex II 1.2), if applicable.
- 19.4 Official Certificate of Analysis / Test Report:
 - 19.4.1 Include all of the following information:
 - a) Sample lot number (positive lot identification, PLI).
 - b) Analytical method used (see §5.7.a).
 - c) Limit of quantification (see §5.7.a).
 - d) Percent recovery for B_1 and total aflatoxin (see §5.7.a).
 - e) Analytical result (see §5.7.a).
 - f) A statement about correction for recovery (see §5.7.a).
 - g) Measurement uncertainty presented as the result \pm uncertainty (i.e., $10.4 \pm 2.1 \ \mu g/kg$),

<u>§ 4.4.1(b)</u>, Annex II, (EC) No 401/2006, "As $x \pm U$ whereby x is the analytical result and U is the expanded measurement uncertainty, using a coverage factor of 2 which gives a level of confidence of approximately 95%."



19.4.2 If a statement is made concerning compliance with the (EC) No. 401/2006, use the statement:

"Sample analysis was conducted in compliance with Annex II of Regulation (EC) No. 401/2006 for aflatoxin in peanuts."

19.4.3 If reporting results for in shell nuts use the statement (as applicable):

"In shell peanut are reported on a kernel basis for a [insert X/Y] shell/kernel ratio."

- 19.4.4 If requested by the handler to include an interpretation statement on the analytical report of the maximum limits set by Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006, the following types of statements are to be used. Note: Interpretation of results by the laboratory does not generally occur. The commodity's destination is often decided based on the aflatoxin results. It is the responsibility of the handler (processor) not the laboratory, to determine which limits apply to their product.
 - a) "result exceeds the limit of 8.0 μg/kg aflatoxin B₁ and/or 15.0 μg/kg sum of aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ for peanuts to be subjected to sorting, or other physical treatment, before human consumption or use as an ingredient in foodstuffs with the exception of peanuts for crushing for refined vegetable oil production (§2.1.1 of Annex II Regulation 1881/2006)."
 - b) "result exceeds the limit of 2.0 μg/kg aflatoxin B₁ and/or 4.0 μg/kg sum of aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ for peanuts intended for direct human consumption or use as an ingredient in foodstuffs with the exception of crude vegetable oils destined for refining and refined vegetable oils (§2.1.5 of Annex II Regulation 1881/2006)."



20 <u>Pistachio – U.S. Domestic & U.S. Imports</u>

- 20.1 Sample Preparation Method:
 - a) Use sample preparation procedure based on AOAC 977.16 to achieve a minimum size reduction for ground samples (See §10 and §22).
 - b) Ensure sample is representative of the incoming sample prior to grinding. Mixing may occur at 1) the processing facility as part of the sampling process (See §5.3.h and 5.3.i); or 2) at the laboratory.
- 20.2 Test Method:

a) IAC with direct fluorometry:	AOAC 991.31, A-G.
b) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Iodine:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H.
c) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, PHRED:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 2005.08.
d) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Kobra Cell:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 999.07.

- 20.3 Data Analysis:
 - a) Analyze to the nearest tenth ppb (i.e. 0.1 ppb) where ppb = 1 ng/g.
- 20.4 Official Certificate of Analysis / Test Report:
 - 20.4.1 Include the statement:

"USDA or USDA-approved laboratory to test for total aflatoxin content in samples for domestic and imported pistachios marketed in the United States."

- 20.4.2 Include one of the following statements for the methodology used, to include AOAC method number or internal procedure number, where applicable:
 - a) Immunoaffinity column with direct fluorometry method of analysis (AOAC 991.31).
 - b) Immunoaffinity column cleanup with high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method.
- 20.4.3 If requested by the handler to include an interpretation statement on the analytical report of the maximum the following types of statements are to be used. Note: Interpretation of results by the laboratory does not generally occur. The commodity's destination is often decided based on the aflatoxin results. It is the responsibility of the handler (processor) not the laboratory, to determine which limits apply to their product.
 - a) "result exceeds the limit of 15 ppb total aflatoxin for domestic human consumption (CFR 983.150)."



United States Department of Agriculture

Laboratory Approval Program – Aflatoxin Program Requirements

20.5 Reporting to USDA:

- a) Submit records of sample analysis to AMS Specialty Crops Inspection Division (<u>sciinspectionoperations@usda.gov</u>) in the format requested.
- b) Submit records of sample analysis to AMS Specialty Crops Market Development Division (<u>8Eimports@usda.gov</u> and <u>complianceinfo@usda.gov</u>) in the format requested.



21 <u>Pistachio – Export to EU</u>

- 21.1 Sample Preparation Method:
 - a) Protect sample from daylight (See §5.7.a, Annex II 1.1).
 - b) Use sample preparation procedure based on AOAC 977.16 to achieve a minimum size reduction for ground samples (See §10 and §22).
 - c) Ensure sample is representative of the incoming sample prior to grinding. Mixing may occur at 1) the processing facility as part of the sampling process (See §5.4.c, Creating and Sampling the Lot); or 2) at the laboratory (See §5.7.a, Annex I, D.2.4). When the incoming sample is split prior to grinding at the laboratory, ensure the sub-samples are representative of the incoming sample.
- 21.2 Test Method:

a) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Iodine:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H.
b) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, PHRED:	AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 2005.08.

c) IAC with HPLC/UPLC-FLD, Kobra Cell: AOAC 991.31, A-F, H / AOAC 999.07.

21.3 Data Analysis:

- a) Analyze to the nearest tenth ppb (i.e. 0.1 ppb) where ppb = 1 ng/g.
- b) Calculate and report shell/kernel ratio of whole nuts (See §5.7.a, Annex II 1.2), if applicable.
- c) In shell pistachio ratio is accepted as 50/50 (see §5.8.d).
- 21.4 Official Certificate of Analysis / Test Report:
 - 21.4.1 Include all of the following information:
 - a) Sample lot number.
 - b) Analytical method used (see §5.7.a).
 - c) Limit of quantification (see §5.7.a).
 - d) Percent recovery for B_1 and total aflatoxin (see §5.7.a).
 - e) Analytical result (see §5.7.a).
 - f) A statement about correction for recovery (see §5.7.a).
 - g) Measurement uncertainty presented as the result \pm uncertainty (i.e., 10.4 ± 2.1 $\mu g/kg).$

§ 4.4.1(b), Annex II, (EC) No 401/2006, "As $x \pm U$ whereby x is the analytical result and U is the expanded measurement uncertainty, using a coverage factor of 2 which gives a level of confidence of approximately 95%."



21.4.2 If a statement is made concerning compliance with the (EC) No. 401/2006, use the statement:

"Sample analysis was conducted in compliance with Annex II of Regulation (EC) No. 401/2006 for aflatoxin in pistachio."

21.4.3 If reporting results for in shell nuts use the statement (as applicable):

"In shell pistachio results are reported on a kernel basis for a 50/50 shell/kernel ratio."

- 21.4.4 If requested by the handler to include an interpretation statement on the analytical report of the maximum limits set by Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006, the following types of statements are to be used. Note: Interpretation of results by the laboratory does not generally occur. The commodity's destination is often decided based on the aflatoxin results. It is the responsibility of the handler (processor) not the laboratory, to determine which limits apply to their product.
 - a) "result exceeds the limit of 12.0 μg/kg aflatoxin B₁ and/or aflatoxin 15.0 μg/kg sum of B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ for pistachios to be subjected to sorting, or other physical treatment, before human consumption or use as an ingredient in foodstuffs (§2.1.2 of Annex II Regulation 1881/2006)."
 - b) "result exceeds the limit of 8.0 μg/kg aflatoxin B₁ and/or 10.0 μg/kg sum of aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂ for pistachios intended for direct human consumption or use as an ingredient in foodstuffs (§2.1.6 of Annex II Regulation 1881/2006)."



22 Explanations for Technical Requirements

- 22.1 For sample grinding:
 - a) Particle size is a performance control measurement of homogeneity (See §5.8.e).
 - b) Adequate grind is described in AOAC 977.16 and summarized as: "Aim at maximum practical size reduction and thoroughness of mixing to achieve effective distribution of contaminated portions...To achieve this degree of size reduction, nut must be ground to pass No. 20 sieve.

23 <u>Revision History</u>

New Rev.	Description of Change	Prepared by
02/03/15	Laboratory Approval Program Requirements for the Detection of Aflatoxins in	Program Manager
	Almonds, Peanuts, and Pistachio Nuts.	
12/20/16	Laboratory Approval Program Requirements for the Detection of Aflatoxins in	Isaac Sterling,
	Almonds, Peanuts, and Pistachio Nuts	Program Manager
10/10/17	LAP-Aflatoxin Program Requirements	Lauren Shoemaker,
	Clarify requirements and remove the LAS procedures that are represented in	Program Manager
	other internal documents. A line by line description of changes has been	
	compiled and filed with the program records. Inclusion of relevant EU	
	requirements for pistachios and almonds.	
01/19/18	LAP-Aflatoxin Program Requirements	Lauren Shoemaker,
	Consolidated and incorporated comments from laboratory review of 10/10/17	Program Manager
	draft copy and comments from 2017 EU Audit Report. Included language to	
	address comments and concerns expressed by industry and laboratory	
	representatives.	
4/26/18	Draft version implemented for 2018 calendar year.	Lauren Shoemaker,
		Program Manager
11/15/18	LAP-Aflatoxin Program Requirements	Lauren Shoemaker,
	§1, 7.9, 10.1: Minor editing, no change to requirement.	Program Manager
	§5.5.1: (2005 or 2017).	
	§7.2 and §7.3 switched.	
	§8 and 10.3 clarified record retention time.	
	§9. The exception was reworded to be "official USDA samples, including pre-	
	ground."	
	§9.2.1: Added B1where it was missing.	
	§9.1, 9.2.1-3, 10.1.2, 10.2 10.3, 11, and 12: re-organized into additional	
	subsections for clarification purposes. §10: reorganized informational text, no requirement changes.	
	§10: reorganized informational text, no requirement changes. §15.5.4: added calibration curve should be linear for clarification purposes.	
	§13.5.4. added canoration curve should be finear for charmeation purposes. §14 added reagents and §14.2.	
	§14.1.2: clarified language about weights used to calibrate/verify balance.	
	§15.3 added analyst PT ID.	
	§15.5 added analyst 1 11D. §16.1.1 added.	
	§16.1.3: changed "pistachios" to "[insert nut]"	



New Rev.	Description of Change	Prepared by
11/19/21	Re-organized to accommodate this and future scope expansions; and made	Heath McClure
	editorial and format changes to improve readability throughout.	Program Manager
	§2.3: New	
	§2.5: Added citation to Pear Program	Grace Vaillant
	§4: Added ACP, APC, EC, ICV, MOU, PEAR, VCM; Updated AOAC	Branch Chief
	§5: Removed and/or updated outdated references.	
	§5.3.e, g-i: Added additional references to create link to sampling activity.	
	§5.3.f: Updated MOU number	
	§5.4.a-b: Removed version	
	§5.4.c: Added additional reference to create link to pistachio export program	
	§5.5.c: Added additional reference	
	§5.6.a: Updated to current version	
	§10: Removed LOD and clarified LOQ to place emphasis on method	
	capabilities instead of the instrument capability.	
	§12.5: Revised to calculate and evaluate percent recovery of CCV instead of	
	variation of CCV. Added acceptance criteria based on best practices.	
	§12.6: Revised equation to be general because it is needed to evaluate	
	repeatability of homogeneity study and measurement uncertainty.	
	§17.1.c, §18.1.c, §19.1.d, §20.1.b, §21.1.c: Clarified language for ensuring	
	representative sample prior to grinding.	
	§17.4.4.a-b, §21.4.4.a-b: Added "aflatoxin"	
	§18.1.a: Added citation to reference document.	
	§18.5.a-b, §20.5a-b: Updated process for reporting data to USDA	
	§19: New	
	§20.4.3: Correction to place requirement in correct section from being	
	incorrectly placed in the export section.	
	§22: Created new section for important technical information need to explain a	
	requirement but did not belong in the requirement section.	
01/24/22	§19.4.1.h, removed "State whether the sheller is a signatory of the APC MOU"	Grace Vaillant
	because MOU logistics are not finalized.	Branch Chief

24 <u>Review / Approvals</u>

Heath McClure Program Manager - Aflatoxin Reviewer Grace Vaillant Branch Chief Approver