## Isopropanol

1		Livesto	OCK	
2	Identification of Petitioned Substance			
3				
4	Chemical Name:	13	CAS Numbers:	
5	2-Propanol		67-63-0	
6				
7	Other Name:		Other Codes:	
8	Isopropanol		200-661-7 (EINECS No.)	
9	Isopropyl Alcohol			
10	Trada Namaa			
11	Rubbing Alcohol			
14	Rubbing Anconor			
15	Summary of Patitionad Lica			
15	Summary of Fernioned Use			
16	The National Organic Program (NOP) final rule currently allows the use of isopropanol in organic			
17	livestock production under 7 CFR 2	205.603(a)(1)(ii) as a s	urface disinfectant only. Although not explicitly	
18	stated in the Final Rule, isopropano	l is prohibited as a fe	ed additive in organic production. Isopropanol is	
19	also allowed for use in organic crop	production under 7	CFR 205.601(a)(1)(ii) as an algicide, disinfectant,	
20	and sanitizer, including irrigation s	ystemic cleaning. In	this report, updated and targeted technical	
21	information for isopropanol is comp	piled to augment the	original 1995 Technical Advisory Panel (TAP)	
22	Report for Alcohols, which included	d methanol, ethanol,	and isopropanol.	
23	Characterization of Petitioned Substance			
24				
25	Composition of the Substance:			
26	Isopropanol, or isopropyl alcohol, is	s an organic compou	nd consisting of three carbon atoms, eight	
27	hydrogen atoms, and one oxygen at	tom. The exact comp	osition of industrial isopropanol products	
28	generally depends on the isopropar	nol concentration, pu	rity, and intended uses. High purity, anyhydrous	
29	(water free) isopropanol consists of	only the pure substa	nce. Isopropanol may also be diluted with various	
30	quantities of water for industrial, ac	cademic, and medical	l/antiseptic uses; for example, commercial rubbing	
31	alcohol solutions used as antiseptics	s typically contain 70	percent isopropanol by volume. See	
32	"Combinations of the Substance" be	elow for additional in	of formation regarding the formulation of consumer	
33	products containing isopropanol an	nd the NOP status of	principal additives.	
34		-	JH	

35

#### Figure 1. Isopropanol structural formula

#### Source or Origin of the Substance: 36

37 Chemical synthetic procedures are employed in the commercial production of isopropanol used in the

38 preparation of consumer use disinfectants, industrial solvents, and specialty chemicals. Specifically,

39 indirect and direct methods for the hydration of petroleum-derived propylene (CH<sub>3</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) are the two

- 40 primary commercial processes for the production of isopropanol. In addition, smaller amounts of
- industrial isopropanol are generated through the hydration of acetone  $[(CH_3)_2C=O]$  over transition-metal 41
- 42 catalysts (Papa, 2011; Merck, 2006). A variety of methods are also available for the fermentative production
- 43 of isopropanol from carbon sources, such as starch, sugar, and cellulose, using genetically engineered yeast 44
- and bacteria (Papa, 2011). However, most of these biological fermentation methods are limited to

- 45 laboratory scale production levels and are geared toward production of isopropanol as a biofuel. See
- 46 Evaluation Questions #2 and #3 for a detailed discussion of the synthetic and fermentative methods
- 47 potentially used in commercial isopropanol production.

#### 48 **Properties of the Substance:**

- 49 Isopropanol is a volatile, flammable, colorless liquid with the molecular formula (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHOH. A summary
- 50 of the chemical and physical properties of pure (absolute) isopropanol is provided below in Table 1.

#### 51

#### Table 1. Chemical and Physical Properties of Isopropanol

Property	Value/Description
Color	Clear, colorless
Physical State	Mobile liquid
Molecular Formula	$(CH_3)_2$ CHOH $(C_3H_8O)$
Molecular Weight, g/mol	60.09
Freezing Point, °C	-89.5
Boiling Point, °C	82.5
Density, g/mL	0.785
Dissociation constant (pK <sub>a</sub> )	17.1
Solubility in water, 25 °C	Infinitely soluble at 25 °C
Solubility in organic solvents	Miscible in many organic solvents (ethanol, diethyl ether,
	chloroform, benzene, and acetone); insoluble in salt solution.
Viscosity at 20 °C, mPa•s	2.04
Soil Organic Carbon-Water Partition Coefficient	1.5
$(K_{oc}), mL/g$	(Mobile in soils)
Aerobic Soil Half-life (DT <sub>50</sub> )	Literature suggests DT <sub>50</sub> is 1–7 days
Hydrolysis	Stable to hydrolysis
Photodegradation	Isopropanol is subject to oxidation in air by hydroxyl radical
	attack; direct photolysis is not expected to be an important
	transformation process.
Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient (K <sub>ow</sub> )	1.12
Vapor Pressure, mm Hg	45.4
Henry's Law Constant, atm•m <sup>3</sup> /mol	$8.1 \times 10^{-6}$

52 Data Sources: Sigma Aldrich, 2013; HSDB, 2012; Papa, 2011; UNEP, 1997; Howard, 1991.

#### 53 Specific Uses of the Substance:

- 54 Isopropanol is used for a variety of industrial and consumer purposes, ranging from chemical and solvent
- 55 applications to medical and consumer usage. The major uses of isopropanol have been divided into five
- 56 overall categories: solvent applications; chemical intermediate in synthesis; household, cosmetic, personal-
- 57 care products; pharmaceuticals; and production of acetone (Dow, 2011). In the following paragraphs,
- targeted technical information is provided for the use of isopropanol in organic livestock and crop
- 59 production as well as the broader applications presented below in Figure 2.
- 60 Agricultural uses of isopropanol include the disinfection of production tools and surfaces and topical
- 61 antisepsis during medical treatments. Livestock producers may use alcohol (i.e., isopropanol and/or
- 62 ethanol) solutions for sanitizing and disinfecting surfaces (e.g., production implements, troughs, and floor
- drains) and during medical treatments as a topical disinfectant (Jacob, 2013; Dvorak, 2008). Indeed, a
- 64 protocol for the disinfection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) on sows and their piglets
- using alcohol solutions was recently reported in the open literature (Pletinckx, 2013). Rubbing alcohol is
- also used to disinfect production implements such as livestock tagging applicators (OSU, undated).
- 67 Commercial isopropanol products are available for "external use only as an antiseptic, disinfectant and
- <sup>68</sup> rubefacient in cattle, horses, sheep, swine, dogs and cats" (AgriLabs, undated). Antiseptic products
- 69 containing a mixture of ethanol and isopropanol are available for use on cattle, sheep and swine; for
- details, see the product label for Barrier® Livestock Wound Care (NIH, 2013). Regarding crop production,
   isopropanol may be effectively used to decontaminate the lines of irrigation systems and remove bacteria,
- viruses and fungi from cutting tools (Benner, 2012).



73

Figure 2. Adapted from Dow, 2011.

74

75 In addition to antimicrobial uses in agriculture, isopropanol is also widely used in commercial and

76 household products including hand sanitizers, medical disinfectants, and flea/tick pesticide products.

- 77 Alcohols, including isopropanol and ethanol, are capable of providing rapid broad-spectrum antimicrobial
- 78 activity against vegetative bacteria, viruses and fungi, but lack activity against bacterial spores (McDonnell,
- 1999). Indeed, the CDC recommends against the use of isopropanol or ethanol as the principal sterilizing
- agent because these alcohols are insufficiently sporicidal (i.e., spore killing) and cannot penetrate protein-
- 81 rich materials (CDC, 2008). Notwithstanding these limitations, isopropanol has been used to disinfect
- 82 thermometers, hospital pagers, scissors, and stethoscopes. Commercial towelettes and other wipes
- saturated with isopropanol have also been used to disinfect small surfaces in medical settings. As a general
   disinfectant, isopropanol is generally applied through surface wipes, sprays, mop-on, sponge-on, wipe-on
- 85 or pour-on treatments, and by immersion. Isopropanol is also used to disinfect closed
- commercial/industrial water-cooling systems (EPA, 1995). Studies have indicated that isopropanol is about
- 87 twice as effective as ethanol as a surface disinfectant (Logsdon, 2000).
- 88 Large volumes of isopropanol are used for purposes beyond disinfection and other pesticide applications
- in agricultural, household, and medical settings. As a solvent, isopropanol is used in acrylic acid and epoxy
- 90 resins, ethyl cellulose, natural resins, gums as well as some paints, inks, and essential oils. Isopropanol is
- also a chemical feedstock used in the production of acetone, isopropylamines, isopropylacetates, and a
- number of other specialty chemicals (Dow, 2011). In addition, isopropanol is used in the production of
- cosmetic base materials and pesticide carriers and the extraction of fatty acids from vegetable oils at
- 94 moderate to low temperature (Papa, 2011). Other applications of isopropanol are as an octane enhancer,
- 95 carburetor anti-icing additive, and methanol co-solvent in motor gasoline blends (Papa, 2011).

### 96 Approved Legal Uses of the Substance:

- 97 United States Food and Drug Administration
- 98 The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations allow a number of uses for
- 99 isopropanol in food preparation/processing for humans and animals. Regarding the focus of this report,
- 100 isopropanol may be used in sanitizing solutions for food processing equipment and food contact surfaces,
- 101 including containers for holding milk (21 CFR 178.1010). Isopropanol may also be used in inks for marking
- 102 food supplements, gum, and confectionery as well as a diluent in color additive mixtures for drug use (21
- 103 CFR 73.1). The FDA further authorizes isopropanol as an indirect food additive for use as a component of
- 104 adhesives only (21 CFR 175.105).
- 105 As an additive permitted for direct addition to food for human consumption (FDA, 2013), isopropanol may
- 106 be used as a solvent in the extraction of hops and therefore present in modified hop extract at a
- 107 concentration of 250 parts per million (21 CFR 172.560). In addition, isopropanol is a food additive
- 108 permitted for direct addition to food for human consumption as a synthetic flavoring substance or
- adjuvant (21 CFR 172.515). The following conditions must be met for the use of isopropanol as a flavoring
- 110 substance/adjuvant: (1) the minimum quantity of isopropanol is used to produced the desired effect, and

Isopropanol

- (2) isopropanol must be used alone or in combination with flavoring substances/adjuvant generally 111
- recognized as safe (GRAS) in food or otherwise sanctioned for such use. 112
- 113 A number of FDA-approved applications exist for isopropanol as a secondary direct food additive (i.e.,
- substance required during the manufacture or processing of a food) in food for human consumption. For 114
- 115 example, isopropanol may be used as a component of defoaming agents for the processing of beet sugar
- 116 and yeast (21 CFR 173.340). Isopropanol is legally used as a solvent in the extraction of various
- 117 conventional agricultural commodities and may therefore be present under specified conditions in the following extracts (21 CFR 173.240): 118
- 119 Spice oleoresins as a residue from the extraction of spice, at a level not to exceed 50 parts per million (ppm). 120
- 121 Lemon oil as a residue in production of the oil, at a level not to exceed six ppm. •
- 122 In hops extract used in the manufacture of beer as a residue from the extraction of hops at a level not to exceed two percent by weight, provided that: 123
- 124
- 0 The hops extract is added to the wort before or during cooking in the manufacture of beer,
- 125 0 126
- The label of the hops extract specifies the presence of isopropyl alcohol and provides for the use of the hops extract only before or during cooking in the manufacture of beer.
- 127 United States Environmental Protection Agency
- 128 The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) regulates all non-food applications of
- 129 isopropanol, including its use in antimicrobial products and insecticides. According to the Reregistration
- 130 Eligibility Decision (RED) for Aliphatic Alcohols, isopropanol and ethanol were registered in the US as
- 131 early as 1948 as active ingredients in indoor disinfectants (US EPA, 1995). Approximately 30 isopropanol

products were registered for use as hard surface treatment disinfectants, sanitizers and mildewcides as of 132

- 2012 (US EPA, 2012b). In addition to its antimicrobial applications, isopropanol is also used as an adjuvant 133
- 134 in several pesticide products such as insecticides, acaricides, and repellents (US EPA, 1995).
- Isopropanol is also exempt from the requirement of a tolerance due to its minimal risk status. Specifically, 135
- 136 residues of isopropanol resulting from its use as an active and/or inert ingredient in a pesticide chemical
- 137 formulation, including antimicrobial pesticide products, are exempt from the requirement of a tolerance (40
- 138 CFR 180.950). As stated in the 2006 Federal Register Notice (US EPA, 2006), this rule effectively replaced
- 139 the existing tolerance exemptions for isopropanol used as an inert ingredient pre- and post-harvest (40 CFR
- 140 180.910) and an inert ingredient applied to animals (40 CFR 180.930). As of 2012, there are approximately
- 141 1200 pesticide products using isopropanol as an inert ingredient (US EPA, 2012b).

#### 142 Action of the Substance:

- 143 Isopropanol functions as a disinfectant through the dissolution of lipid membranes and rapid denaturation
- 144 of proteins. Because proteins are denatured more quickly in the presence of water, enhanced bactericidal
- 145 activity is generally observed for mixtures of isopropanol and water when compared to concentrated
- isopropanol, which functions as a dehydrating agent (CDC, 2008; McDonnell, 1999). This crude observation 146
- 147 provides qualitative support for the proposed mechanism, which relies heavily upon the ability of
- isopropanol to denature proteins. Isopropanol is able to effectively destroy many types of bacterial and 148
- 149 viral cells due to this mode of action; however, it is ineffective against bacterial spores because the
- 150 substance evaporates before it can effectively penetrate the membrane and lead to protein denaturation
- 151 (CDC, 2008).

#### **Combinations of the Substance:** 152

- 153 Rubbing alcohol products containing isopropanol as the active ingredient are more common and contain
- 154 fewer additives than ethanol-based products. Ethanol-based rubbing alcohol products are required by law
- 155 to contain a certain amount of denaturing agents to render the disinfecting solution unpalatable for human
- 156 consumption (ODN, 1993). Because isopropanol is not used in alcoholic beverages, denaturants are
- 157 unnecessary in isopropanol-based rubbing alcohol products. Indeed, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS)
- 158 for isopropanol-based rubbing alcohol products indicate that these solutions generally contain 70-90
- 159 percent isopropanol and 30-10 percent water (Science Lab, 2005; Lewis, 2003). It is important to note,
- however, that any alcohol-based topical antiseptics may include low levels of other biocides (e.g., 160

161 chlorhexidine), which remain on the skin following isopropanol evaporation, or excipients, which extend

162 the lifetime of isopropanol on skin and thus increase product efficacy (McDonnell, 1999). Further,

- 163 antiseptic products consisting primarily of ethanol with small amounts of isopropanol as the active
- 164 ingredients will likely contain denaturing agents such as denatonium benzoate (NIH, 2013).
- 165 166

Status

- 167 Historic Use:
- 168 In 1920, Standard Oil became the first company to produce isopropanol on an industrial scale. However, it
- 169 was used primarily as an intermediate in the synthesis of acetone, not as the active ingredient in rubbing
- alcohol (Green, 2003). Although historical information documenting the use of isopropanol-based
- disinfectants is unavailable, it is likely that naturally-derived alcohol (i.e., ethanol) was the principal
- disinfectant prior to the advent of chemical sanitizers, including quaternary ammonium salts, peroxides,
   chlorine dioxide, bleach and synthetic alcohols (i.e., isopropanol and ethanol). Modern sanitation standard
- chlorine dioxide, bleach and synthetic alcohols (i.e., isopropanol and ethanol). Modern sanitation standards
   and understanding regarding the spread of deleterious microorganisms through contaminated farm
- instruments likely increased the agricultural use of isopropanol, ethanol, and other disinfectants
- 176 throughout the twentieth century.

#### 177 Organic Foods Production Act, USDA Final Rule:

- 178 No mention of alcohol, isopropanol, or isopropyl alcohol is made in the Organic Foods Production Act of
- 179 1990 (OFPA). Isopropanol is an allowed synthetic substance on the National List for organic livestock
- 180 production when used as a disinfectant only (7 CFR 205.603(a)(1)(ii)). In addition, isopropanol is an
- 181 approved synthetic substance in organic crop production when used as an algicide, disinfectant, and
- sanitizer, including irrigation cleaning systems (7 CFR 205.601(a)(1)(ii)). The current USDA organic
- regulations also permit the use of isopropanol as an inert ingredient in pesticide products due to its
- inclusion on EPA List 4B (7 CFR 205.601(m) and 205.603(e)(1)). According to the 1995 Technical Advisory
- 185 Panel Report, "alcohols are allowed as solvents and carriers in brand name products with allowed active
- 186 ingredient(s). Also as disinfectant and in plant extracts" (USDA, 1995).

#### 187 International

- 188 A small number of international organizations provide guidance on the application of synthetic
- 189 isopropanol in organic livestock and crop production as well as the processing of organic foods. Among
- 190 these are the Canadian General Standards Board and the International Federation of Organic Agriculture
- 191 Movements (IFOAM). Below, international regulations and standards regarding the use of isopropanol in
- any form of organic production are summarized. Allowed uses of the related aliphatic alcohol, ethanol, are
- 193 provided when technical information related to isopropanol is unavailable.
- 194 Canadian General Standards Board
- 195 Canadian organic production standards permit the use of isopropanol for a number of agricultural
- 196 applications. According to the "Organic Production Systems Permitted Substances List," nonsynthetic and
- 197 synthetic sources of isopropanol may be used as a cleaner, disinfectant or sanitizer on food contact
- 198 surfaces. It is further stipulated that the substance must be removed from food contact surfaces prior to
- resuming normal production activities. Isopropanol is also allowed in organic livestock production as a
- disinfectant used to "maintain or restore the well being of an animal" (CAN, 2011a). The Canadian General
- 201 Principles and Management Standards make specific mention of food-grade ethanol used to disinfect
- tapholes and tapping equipment in maple syrup procurement operations; however, isopropanol is not
- 203 permitted for any purposes discussed in this guidance document (CAN, 2011b).
- 204 Codex Alimentarius
- 205 The Codex Guidelines do not provide any allowable uses for isopropanol in the production or processing
- of organically produced foods. However, ethanol is allowed under Annex 2 (table 2) of the Guidelines
- when mechanical, physical and biological methods are inadequate for pest control. Further, the Guidelines
- require that an organic certification body or authority recognize the need for any pest control treatments
- using ethanol. Ethanol is also listed as an allowed processing aid "which may be used for the preparation

- of products of agricultural origin." Specifically, ethanol may be used as a solvent in these preparatory operations (Codex, 2013).
- 212 European Economic Community Council
- Isopropanol is not an allowed synthetic substance for organic production within the European Union.
- 214 However, Commission Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 provides rules for two different uses of ethanol in
- 215 organic production in European Union member states. Alcohol, likely referring to ethanol alone, may be
- 216 used for cleaning and disinfecting livestock building installations and utensils under Annex VII of the
- 217 regulations. In addition, Annex VIII stipulates the use of ethanol (not isopropanol) in Section B –
- 218 Processing aids and other products, which may be used in the processing of ingredients of agricultural
- origin from organic production. This regulation specifically allows the use of ethanol as a solvent in the
- 220 preparation of foodstuff of both plant and animal origin.
- 221 Japan Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries
- Japanese organic standards do not directly permit the use of isopropanol for any purpose in organic
- 223 production or processing. In contrast, ethanol is allowed for use in several areas of organic
- 224 production/processing. In lieu of information related to the use of isopropanol, technical information for
- ethanol is compiled in the following paragraph.
- 226 According to the Japanese standards for organic plant production, ethanol may be used in the processing,
- 227 cleaning, storage, packaging and other post-harvest processes when physical or methods utilizing
- 228 biological function are insufficient. The specific crop uses of ethanol are for (1) controlling noxious animals
- 229 and plants, and (2) quality preservation and improvement (JMAFF, 2005a). Likewise, ethanol may also be
- used in the manufacturing, processing, packaging, storage and other processes associated with organic
- 231 livestock feed when physical or methods utilizing biological function are insufficient for disease and pest
- control (JMAFF, 2005b). Similar provisions exist for the use of ethanol in the slaughter, dressing, selection,
- processing, cleaning, storage, packaging and other processes associated with organic livestock products.
- "Alcohols" are listed as allowed cleaning and disinfection agents for livestock housing; however, it is
- unclear whether isopropanol is allowed under this listing (JMAFF, 2005c). It should be noted that ethanol
   use is not permitted for the purpose of pest control for plants and agricultural products. For processed
- use is not permitted for the purpose of pest control for plants and agricultural products. For processed
   foods, ethanol may be used as an additive in the processing of meat products only (JMAFF, 2005d).
- 238 International Federation of Organic Agricultural Movements
- 239 Under the IFOAM Norms, isopropanol is an approved synthetic equipment cleaner and equipment
- disinfectant. Isopropanol is also an allowed synthetic substance for pest and disease control and
- 240 disinfectant. Isoproparior is also an anowed synthetic substance for pest and disease control and 241 disinfection in livestock housing (IFOAM, 2012). Because all commercial isopropanol is currently produced
- synthetically, natural sources of isopropanol are not considered in the IFOAM Norms.
- 243

#### Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Crop or Livestock Production

Evaluation Question #1: Indicate which category in OFPA that the substance falls under: (A) Does the

244 245

246 substance contain an active ingredient in any of the following categories: copper and sulfur 247 compounds, toxins derived from bacteria; pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated 248 seed, vitamins and minerals; livestock parasiticides and medicines and production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleansers? (B) Is 249 the substance a synthetic inert ingredient that is not classified by the EPA as inerts of toxicological 250 251 concern (i.e., EPA List 4 inerts) (7 U.S.C. § 6517(c)(1)(B)(ii))? Is the synthetic substance an inert 252 ingredient which is not on EPA List 4, but is exempt from a requirement of a tolerance, per 40 CFR part 253 180?

- (A) There are a number of home, commercial and agricultural uses of isopropanol as a sanitizer and
   disinfectant. Therefore, isopropanol falls in the category of "equipment cleansers."
- (B) Isopropanol may be considered an active or inert ingredient depending on the isopropanol
- 257 concentration and intended use for a specific product (US EPA, 1995). As an inert, isopropanol is listed as
- 258 "2-propanol" (CAS No. 67-63-0) on the US EPA List 4B Other ingredients for which EPA has sufficient

- information to reasonably conclude that the current use pattern in pesticide products will not adverselyaffect public health or the environment (US EPA, 2004).
- Isopropanol is also exempt from the requirement of a tolerance due to its low risk status. Specifically,
- residues of isopropanol resulting from its use as an active and/or inert ingredient in a pesticide chemical
- formulation, including antimicrobial pesticide products, are exempt from the requirement of a tolerance (40
- 264 CFR 180.950). As stated in the 2006 Federal Register Notice (US EPA, 2006), this exemption listing
- 265 effectively replaced the former tolerance exemptions for isopropanol used as an inert ingredient pre- and
- 266 post-harvest (40 CFR 180.910) and an inert ingredient applied to animals (40 CFR 180.930).
- <u>Evaluation Question #2:</u> Describe the most prevalent processes used to manufacture or formulate the
   petitioned substance. Further, describe any chemical change that may occur during manufacture or
   formulation of the petitioned substance when this substance is extracted from naturally occurring plant,
- 270 animal, or mineral sources (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).
- 271 Major commercial methods for the industrial production of isopropanol involve chemical synthesis from
- 272 propylene and water. In addition, the hydrogenation of by-product acetone is practiced commercially for
- 273 low volume isopropanol production. Other synthetic methods have been investigation in the laboratory
- but not fully developed to commercial scale. These include fermentation of certain carbohydrates,
- 275 oxidation of propane, and hydrolysis of isopropyl acetate. For the purposes of this report, focus is given to
- 276 commercial production methods currently in practice, with incorporation of relevant insights and
- 277 developments from the independent literature. Technical information is compiled below for the three
- commercially relevant synthetic processes, as well as developments in the independent literature for the
- 279 fermentative production of isopropanol.
- 280 Indirect Hydration
- 281 The indirect hydration, also known as the sulfuric acid process, was the only process used worldwide from
- 1920 until ICI developed an industrial direct hydration process in 1951 (Papa, 2011; Logsdon, 2000).
- 283 Propylene (CH<sub>3</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) and water are the chemical feedstocks for isopropanol formation in the indirect
- 284 process. Indirect hydration can tolerate lower purity streams of propylene from refineries and is therefore
- commercially employed to a greater extent in the United States compared to Europe.
- 286 In the indirect hydration process, C<sub>3</sub>-feedstock streams from crude oil refinery off-gases containing 40–60
- 287 percent propylene (CH<sub>3</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) are subjected to sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) to generate both isopropyl
- hydrogen sulfate [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHOSO<sub>3</sub>H] and diisopropyl sulfate [((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHO)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>] (Papa, 2011; Logsdon,
- 289 2000). These sulfate intermediates are then hydrolyzed with water to generate the desired product,
- isopropanol, and release sulfuric acid for further reaction cycles. The reaction mixture is neutralized using
- sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and distilled to afford pure isopropanol. Diisopropyl ether [((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH)<sub>2</sub>O] is
- the principal by-product formed via reaction of the intermediate sulfate esters with isopropanol, and is
- 293 generally recycled back to the reactor for hydrolysis to isopropanol (Papa, 2011). Minor by-products ( $\leq 2$
- 294 percent) include acetone, carbonaceous material, and polymers of propylene. See chemical equations below
- for step one (esterification) and step two (hydrolysis) in the indirect hydration process for isopropanol
- 296 production (Figure 3).

Step 1. Esterification:

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{CH_3CH}{=\!\!\!\!=}\mathrm{CH_2} + \mathrm{H_2SO_4} \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{CH_3})_2 \,\mathrm{CHOSO_3H} \\ \\ \mathrm{(CH_3)_2 \,CHOSO_3H} + \mathrm{CH_3CH}{=\!\!\!\!=}\mathrm{CH_2} \rightleftarrows ((\mathrm{CH_3})_2 \,\mathrm{CHO})_2 \,\mathrm{SO_2} \\ \\ Step \ 2. \ Hydrolysis: \\ \\ \mathrm{(CH_3)_2 \,CHOSO_3H} + \mathrm{H_2O} \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{CH_3})_2 \,\mathrm{CHOH} + \mathrm{H_2SO_4} \\ \\ \\ \mathrm{((CH_3)_2 \,CHO)_2 \,SO_2} + 2 \,\mathrm{H_2O} \rightleftarrows 2 \,\,(\mathrm{CH_3})_2 \,\mathrm{CHOH} + \mathrm{H_2SO_4} \end{array}$ 

297

317

### 298

#### Figure 3. Chemical equations for indirect hydration (Logsdon, 2000).

#### 299 Direct Hydration

300 Developed in 1951, the direct hydration process addressed many of the early problems associated with the

301 indirect hydration method, including equipment corrosion from concentrated sulfuric acid, high energy

302 costs, and air pollution (Papa, 2011; Logsdon, 2000). However, high purity propylene feedstock is required

for this process. Direct hydration is predominantly employed in Europe for industrial isopropanol

304 production, but to a lesser extent in the United States.

- 305 The acid-catalyzed direct hydration of propylene (CH<sub>3</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) to form isopropanol [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHOH]
- 306 generally resembles the preparation of ethanol (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH) from ethylene (H<sub>2</sub>C=CH<sub>2</sub>) (Papa, 2011;
- 307 Logsdon, 2000). Direct hydrations are conducted using high pressures and low temperatures over an acidic
- fixed-bed catalyst, which pushes the exothermic (heat releasing) equilibrium reaction toward the formation
- of isopropanol (eq 1). Three versions of the direct hydration process are practiced commercially today for isopropanol formation. One method feeds a mixture of propylene gas (92 percent purity) and liquid water
- isopropanol formation. One method feeds a mixture of propylene gas (92 percent purity) and liquid water to the top of a fixed bed reactor containing a sulfonated polystyrene ion-exchange resin catalyst and allows
- it to trickle downward. Another direct method reacts propylene (95 percent purity) and water (both gas
- and liquid phase) over a reduced tungsten oxide catalyst. The final method uses medium to high pressures
- of high purity propylene (~99 percent) with a tungsten oxide silicon dioxide (WO<sub>3</sub> SiO<sub>2</sub>) catalyst or a
- 315 phosphoric acid catalyst supported on SiO<sub>2</sub>. The phosphoric acid/SiO<sub>2</sub> process is commercially developed
- 316 in Germany, the Netherland, the United Kingdom, and Japan (Papa, 2011).

$$CH_{3}CH=CH_{2}+H_{2}O \xrightarrow{catalyst} (CH_{3})_{2}CHOH \qquad (eq 1)$$

318 Acetone Hydrogenation

319 Although not a major production method, a few variations exist for the hydrogenation of acetone

320 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C=O] to isopropanol (eq 2). High yields of isopropanol can be achieved through the hydrogenation

321 (reduction using molecular hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>)) of liquid phase acetone over a fixed catalyst bed of Raney-

322 nickel. In addition, hydrogenation of acetone over copper oxide – chromium oxide at 120 °C gives reduced

selectivity and conversion relative to the Raney-nickel method. In both cases, it is not essential that the

acetone feedstock be of high purity. Aside from these established reactions, advancements in the overall

industrial process as well as new catalysts and promoters comprised of chromium, iron, and molybdenum

have been reported in the recent patent literature (Bonmann, 2010; Hayes, 2007). Acetone hydrogenation is

327 generally employed when excess acetone is available as a byproduct from another industrial process (Papa, 2011).

329 
$$(CH_3)_2C=O + H_2 (gas) \xrightarrow{catalyst} (CH_3)_2CHOH$$

### 330 *Fermentation*

Isopropanol naturally occurs in the environment as a fermentation and decomposition product of various
 vegetables and other plants. Not surprisingly, researchers have attempted to harness the fermentative

(eq 2)

- capacities of yeast and bacteria in the production of isopropanol. Some of the more recent advances in this
   area include the production of mixtures consisting of isopropanol, butanol and ethanol for biofuel
- applications (Collas, 2012; Lee, 2012). Specifically, the gene encoding the secondary-alcohol dehydrogenase
- 336 enzyme from *Clostridium beijerinckii*, which catalyzes the reduction of acetone to isopropanol, was cloned
- into the acetone, butanol and ethanol-producing strain of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* to increase the
- isopropanol yield. Likewise, synthetic DNA sequences have been successfully inserted into *C*.
- *acetobutylicum* to enhance the production of the isopropanol, butanol and ethanol fuel mixture (Dusséaux,
- 2013). A number of recent patents describing similar technologies are also available (Mochizuki, 2009). In
   addition, some of the first methods utilizing genetically engineered yeast for the production of isopropanol
- addition, some of the first methods utilizing genetically engineered yeast for the production of isopropano.
   appeared in the recent patent literature (Muramatsu, 2013a; Muramatsu, 2013b). Notwithstanding these
- advancements, the body of evidence indicates that fermentative methods using either natural or GM
- 344 microorganisms are not currently employed in the commercial production of isopropanol.

#### Evaluation Question #3: Discuss whether the petitioned substance is formulated or manufactured by a chemical process, or created by naturally occurring biological processes (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).

- 347 Isopropanol may be considered synthetic or natural (nonsynthetic) depending on the commercial process
- used for its production. The term "synthetic" is defined by the NOP as "a substance that is formulated or
- manufactured by a chemical process or by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from
- as naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral sources, except that such term shall not apply to substances
- created by naturally occurring biological processes" (7 CFR 205.2) According to this definition, isopropanol
- 352 produced through chemical synthesis would be considered a synthetic substance due to the application of
- 353 synthetic chemicals (reagents and solvents) in both the production as well as the purification/processing of 354 crude isopropanol. Alternatively, isopropanol generated through biological fermentation using naturally
- derived microorganisms would constitute a nonsynthetic (natural) substance. Commercial isopropanol is
- 356 produced primarily via direct and indirect hydration of propylene and should therefore be considered a
- 357 synthetic substance. It is unlikely that residues of chemical precursors/substrates will persist in the final
- 358 product due to the distillation step and chemical/physical properties of the chemical precursors.

# 359Evaluation Question #4:Describe the persistence or concentration of the petitioned substance and/or its360by-products in the environment (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2)).

- 361 This section summarizes technical information related to the persistence of isopropanol in soil, water, and
- the atmosphere. Although isopropanol is a volatile organic compound and potentially contributes to the
- formation of ozone and photochemical smog, large-scale releases of isopropanol under the prescribed use
- 364 pattern in organic livestock production are unlikely. The compiled data indicate that isopropanol is readily
- 365 biodegradable in soil, water, and air.
- 366 Isopropanol may enter the environment as a result of its manufacture in addition to its solvent and
- 367 chemical intermediate uses. Likewise, isopropanol is naturally emitted as a plant volatile, microbial
- degradation product of both plant and animal wastes, and biological fermentation product. Larger
- 369 production sites minimize the release of isopropanol using engineering controls and end-of-pipe abatement
- 370 systems. Organic wastes from manufacture are also typically incinerated on site or professionally treated
- 371 using waste contractors. It is anticipated that the largest source of isopropanol released to the environment
- 372 will result from the use of isopropanol-containing products, such as commercial sanitizers and
- disinfectants for consumer use, where applications are open and engineering controls are not utilized for
- the recovery of emitted isopropanol. Isopropanol released to the environment will be predominantly
- distributed between air and water (HSDB, 2012; UNEP, 1997; EPA, 1995).
- 376 If released to soil, isopropanol may be degraded through volatilization and biodegradation processes.
- 377 Isopropanol is expected to have very high mobility in soils based on its estimated  $K_{oc}$  of 1.5. Further, the
- Henry's Law constant for isopropanol ( $8.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ atm} \cdot \text{m}^3/\text{mol}$ ) indicates that volatilization from moist soil
- 379 surfaces is likely to be an important fate process. Isopropanol may also volatilize from dry soil surfaces
- based on its relatively high vapor pressure. Rapid biodegradation of isopropanol is reported in both
- aerobic (with oxygen) and anaerobic (without oxygen) conditions; for example, literature studies indicate
- that the aerobic soil half-life for isopropanol is one to seven days (Howard, 1991). This half-life indicates
- that, in addition to volatilization, biodegradation is an important environmental fate process for
   isopropanol in soil (HSDB, 2012; UNEP, 1997).

385 Volatilization and biodegradation are also primary mechanisms for removal of isopropanol from water. In 386 agreement with the fate of isopropanol in soils described above, isopropanol is not expected to adsorb to suspended solids and sediment based on the Koc. The Henry's Law constant for isopropanol also indicates 387 that isopropanol is likely to rapidly volatilize from water surfaces. Calculated volatilization half-lives for a 388 389 model river and lake are 86 hours and 29 days, respectively (HSDB, 2012). Rates of aerobic and anaerobic 390 microbial isopropanol biodegradation are rapid enough that isopropanol is not expected to persist for a 391 long duration in ground or surface waters. For example, the aerobic biodegradation of isopropanol in 392 surface water proceeds with half-lives ranging from 26 hours to seven days (Howard, 1991). The estimated 393 Bioconcentration Factor (BCF = 3) suggests that there is low potential for bioaccumulation of isopropanol 394 in aquatic organisms, such as fish (HSDB, 2012). Based on these collective attributes, it has been concluded that isopropanol meets the criteria for being considered readily biodegradable (HSDB, 2012; UNEP, 1997). 395

396 If released to the air, isopropanol will exist as a vapor in the atmosphere due to its relatively high vapor

pressure (45 mm Hg at 25 °C). Vapor-phase isopropanol in the atmosphere is subject to oxidation
 predominantly by photochemically-produced hydroxyl radicals. Half-lives of nine hours to five days have

been determined for hydroxyl radical-mediated photodegradation, indicating rapid degradation of

400 isopropanol in both pristine and polluted atmospheres. In contrast, direct photolysis is not expected to be

401 an important transformation process for the degradation of isopropanol. Because isopropanol is highly

402 water soluble, transport from the atmosphere to soil or water surfaces occurs mainly by wet deposition

403 (HSDB, 2012; Alberta, 2004; UNEP, 1997). Isopropanol is a volatile organic compound (VOC) and therefore

404 its industrial emissions are regulated by US EPA to prevent the formation of ozone, a constituent of

405 photochemical smog (US EPA, 2012a).

# 406 <u>Evaluation Question #5:</u> Describe the toxicity and mode of action of the substance and of its 407 breakdown products and any contaminants. Describe the persistence and areas of concentration in the 408 environment of the substance and its breakdown products (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2)).

409 This section summarizes isopropanol toxicity to five taxa groups, including mammals, freshwater and

410 marine fish, freshwater and marine invertebrates, and terrestrial and aquatic plants. Overall, it can be

411 concluded that isopropanol is slightly toxic to practically non-toxic to most taxa groups evaluated in the

412 literature.

413 According to US EPA, isopropanol is slightly toxic (Category (III) to practically non-toxic (Category IV)

414 based on acute oral and inhalation toxicity tests as well as primary eye and dermal irritation studies (EPA,

415 1995). Relatively large LD<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>50</sub> values (i.e., isopropanol doses and air concentrations at which 50

416 percent mortality of test subjects is observed) were determined, which points to the low toxicity of

- 417 isopropanol under these exposure routes. Laboratory studies have provided acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> values of
- 418 3,600–4,384 milligrams isopropanol per kilogram body weight (mg/kg) for mice and rats, a dose range
- 419 consistent with slight toxicity (Category III). Further, acute dermal and inhalation toxicity tests found 420 isopropanol to be practically non-toxic, with a dermal  $LD_{50}$  of 12,870 mg/kg and inhalation  $LC_{50}$  values of

420 isopropanol to be practically non-toxic, with a dermal  $LD_{50}$  of 12,870 mg/kg and inhalation  $LC_{50}$  values of 421 47–69 mg isopropanol per liter of air (mg/L). In addition to minimal acute toxicity, isopropanol is slightly

to moderately (Category III-IV) irritating to the eyes and nonirritating (Category IV) to the skin of rabbits

422 in primary eye and dermal irritation studies. Isopropanol was found to be acutely neurotoxic only at high

424 air concentrations. Specifically, male and female rats exposed to respective isopropanol vapor

- 425 concentrations of 1,500 and 5,000 parts per million (ppm) exhibited decreased motor activity. Relatively
- 426 high No Observed Effect Levels of 500 ppm in males and 1,500 ppm in females were determined for this
- 427 study (US EPA, 1995; US EPA, 2012c).
- 428 Repeated exposure toxicity, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, and reproductive/developmental toxicity were

also evaluated for isopropanol in mammals. In subchronic inhalation studies (13 weeks), no treatment-

- related deaths occurred and only higher concentrations (1,500–5,000 ppm) resulted in reversible motor
- 431 activity impairment and potential adverse effects on the kidneys. Likewise, no treatment-related mortalities
- 432 occurred in chronic feeding toxicity studies in which five percent isopropanol was fed to rats in drinking
- 433 water for 304 days; however, decreased mean body weights, reduced activity, and impaired maze learning
- ability was observed in isopropanol-treated animals. Carcinogenicity studies in rats exposed to isopropanol
- vapors at concentrations of 0–5,000 ppm found slight increases in the incidence of granular kidneys,
- thickened stomachs, and nonneoplastic kidney lesions at higher concentrations. However, the study

- indicated that none of these findings are of biological significance and no evidence of carcinogenicity was
  found. Isopropanol is also not genotoxic according to mutagenicity assays (US EPA, 1995; UNEP, 1997).
- 439 Reproductive and developmental toxicity studies in which rats or rabbits were treated with isopropanol
- via oral gavage demonstrated slight to moderate maternal toxicity (NOEL = 240-1,000 mg/kg/day) and
- only slight developmental toxicity (NOEL = 400-1,200 mg/kg/day). Maternal exposure to elevated vapor
- 442 concentrations of isopropanol (7,000–10,000 ppm) resulted in an increased number of resorptions (fetal
   443 death and in utero absorption) per litter and fetal skeletal malformations (US EPA, 1995). A two-generation
- reproductive study characterizing the reproductive hazard associated with isopropanol exposure via oral
- 445 gavage demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in the male mating index of first generation males
- 446 only. However, the lack of histopathologial findings in the testes of high-dose males and lack of significant
- 447 effect on the female mating index in either generation suggest that the observed reduction in male mating
- 448 may not be biologically relevant. The fact that most females became pregnant and no adverse effects on
- litter size were observed in this study adds further weight to this conclusion (UNEP, 1997).
- 450 Studies investigating the toxicity of isopropanol to other terrestrial and aquatic receptors are compiled in
- 451 the US EPA Ecotox database and summarized in the Ecological Risk Assessment (US EPA, 2013; US EPA,
- 452 2012b). Results of 24- and 96-hour acute toxicity screens range from 1,400 to greater than 10,000 mg/L for
- 453 freshwater and saltwater fish and invertebrates. For example, the relatively high 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  of
- 454 6,550 mg/L in fathead minnows and 24-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of >250 mg/L in glass shrimp associated with exposure
- to isopropanol in tank water indicate that isopropanol is practically non-toxic to freshwater fish and marine
- 456 invertebrates. Likewise, the 48-hour  $EC_{50}$  (effective concentration leading to intoxication in 50 percent of
- 457 test organisms) of 2,280 mg/L for isopropanol exposure in the freshwater invertebrate, *Daphnia magna*, is
- 458 consistent with minimal toxicity. The 7-day toxicity threshold concentration of 1,800 mg/L for freshwater 459 algae and  $EC_{50}$  value of 2,100 mg/L for lettuce seed germination suggests that the toxicity of isopropanol to
- algae and  $EC_{50}$  value of 2,100 mg/L for lettuce seed germination suggests that the toxicity of isopropanol to terrestrial and aquatic plants is likely to be low. A variety of other microorganisms are also able to tolerate
- 461 low ( $\leq 100 \text{ mg/L}$ ) concentrations of isopropanol in the environment (UNEP, 1997).

#### 462 <u>Evaluation Question #6:</u> Describe any environmental contamination that could result from the 463 petitioned substance's manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (3)).

- 464 Considering its volatile nature and long-history of production and transportation, releases of isopropanol
  465 to the environment are inevitable. Trace quantities of isopropanol have been detected in drinking water
  466 samples, while higher air and water concentrations have been observed in industrial areas (HSDB, 2012).
  467 Large industrial-scale spills or releases of isopropanol are both infrequent and generally confined.
  468 Nevertheless, the release of sufficient quantities of isopropanol to aquatic environments could lead to
- 469 environmental impairment. Isopropanol has a high biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and therefore
- 470 enhanced potential to cause oxygen depletion in aqueous systems (BABEC, 2001). Adverse effects on fish
- and aquatic plants, ranging from reduced growth rates to outright death, are likely to result from the
- 472 oxygen depletion accompanying microbial aerobic degradation of large isopropanol volumes in impacted
- 473 waterways. The toxicity of isopropanol to fish, aquatic invertebrates, and aquatic plants due to oxygen
- depletion is thus significantly greater than the inherent toxicity of isopropanol to these receptors.
- 475 Aside from accidental spills, the risk of environmental contamination from isopropanol released during
- 476 normal use is minimal. The release of strong acids and bases used in the production of isopropanol due to
- 477 improper handling/disposal could lead to serious environmental impairments and ecotoxicity in both
- terrestrial and aquatic environments. However, no incidents involving the release of these chemical
- 479 feedstocks from isopropanol production facilities have been reported. Further, small amounts of
- 480 isopropanol are constantly released to the environment as a metabolic product of aerobic microorganisms
- 481 (e.g., fish spoilage bacteria, beef spoilage bacteria, potato tuber soft rot bacteria), anaerobic
- 482 microorganisms, fungi (e.g., mushrooms), yeast, and other plants (HSDB, 2012; Alberta, 2004). It is
- therefore unlikely that large-scale spills and associated environmental contamination would occur under
- 484 the allowed use of isopropanol as a disinfectant in organic livestock production.

### 485 <u>Evaluation Question #7:</u> Describe any known chemical interactions between the petitioned substance

- and other substances used in organic crop or livestock production or handling. Describe any
- 487 environmental or human health effects from these chemical interactions (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (1)).

- 488 There are no reported chemical interactions between isopropanol and other substances used in organic
- livestock production. As a solvent, isopropanol may solubilize and thereby enhance the dermal absorption
   of various chemical residues (e.g., pesticides) deposited on the skin during agricultural production
- 491 activities. However, technical information regarding this phenomenon was not identified.
- activities, now every activitient morniation regarding this phenomenon was not identified.
- 492 In general, isopropanol functions as a disinfectant through the dissolution of lipid membranes and rapid
- denaturation of proteins. Because proteins are denatured more quickly in the presence of water, enhanced
   bactericidal activity is generally observed for mixtures of isopropanol and water when compared to
- 474 bactericidal activity is generally observed for mixtures of isopropanol and water when compared to495 concentrated isopropanol, which functions as a strong dehydrating agent (CDC, 2008; McDonnell, 1999).
- 495 This crude observation provides qualitative support for the proposed mechanism, which relies heavily
- 497 upon the ability of isopropanol to denature proteins. Isopropanol is able to effectively destroy many types
- 498 of bacterial and viral cells due to this mode of action; however, it is ineffective against bacterial spores
- because the substance evaporates before it can effective penetrate the membrane and lead to protein
- 500 denaturation (CDC, 2008).

#### 501 <u>Evaluation Question #8:</u> Describe any effects of the petitioned substance on biological or chemical 502 interactions in the agro-ecosystem, including physiological effects on soil organisms (including the salt 503 index and solubility of the soil), crops, and livestock (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (5)).

- 504 The current technical evaluation concerns the use of isopropanol as a disinfectant for livestock housing,
- surfaces and production implements as well as a topical antiseptic during medical treatments in organic
- 506 livestock production. When used for these purposes, it is unlikely that isopropanol will regularly interact
- 507 with components of the terrestrial agro-ecosystem (i.e., agricultural land). Further, technical information
- regarding non-target wildlife toxicity resulting from the use of disinfectant products containing
- 509 isopropanol in livestock production is lacking. Any potential leakage of isopropanol, particularly large-
- scale spills, near the agro-ecosystem would be neither routine nor widespread.
- 511 Toxicity toward soil-dwelling organisms may result from the use and manufacture of isopropanol.
- 512 Although limited information is available on the toxicity of isopropanol on soil bacteria, it has been
- 513 determined that certain bacterial strains, including *Bacillus*, can tolerate and therefore be used for the
- 514 biodegradation of dilute isopropanol solutions (Ruiz, 2004; Al-Awadhi, 1990). In contrast, the scientific
- 515 literature is replete with information regarding the ability of more concentrated isopropanol solutions
- 516 (approximately 70 percent in water) to kill the bacterial pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas*
- 517 *aeruginosa, Salmonella typhi,* and *Escherichia coli* (Bradford, 2013; Rushdy, 2011), among other bacterial and
- viral microorganisms (CDC, 2008; US EPA, 1995). Concentrated isopropanol solutions are therefore likely
- to kill beneficial soil bacteria and small invertebrates, such as earthworms.
- 520 Plants generally tend to have a high tolerance for isopropanol (Alberta, 2004). Complete inhibition of
- 521 barley grain germination required four days of exposure to high concentrations of isopropanol (39,420 mg
- 522 isopropanol/L water). A related study noted that white amaranth seeds were unaffected after five hours of
- 523 incubation on filter papers saturated with a concentrated (36,000 mg/L) isopropanol solution. For lettuce,
- an isopropanol concentration of 2,100 mg/L inhibited germination by 50 percent, while complete inhibition
- 525 was achieved at 6,000 mg/L. Intriguingly, lettuce germination was reconstituted at significantly elevated
- 526 isopropanol concentrations (≥18,000 mg/L), reaching a maximum of 62 percent at 26,000 mg/L. Cellular
- 527 assays of soybean root sections revealed delayed onset of growth for one and two weeks at respective
- isopropanol concentrations of 10,000 and 20,000 mg/L (Alberta, 2004). It is highly unlikely that the
- relatively small volume, controlled applications of isopropanol in livestock production would lead to major
- 530 spills and concomitant adverse effects on the agro-ecosystem.
- 531 Accidental release of chemical reagents during the production process may also lead to ecological
- 532 impairment. Strong acids (e.g., sulfuric acid) and bases (e.g., potassium hydroxide) are used in the chemical
- 533 synthesis and, to a lesser extent, the fermentative preparation of isopropanol. Improper use or disposal of
- acidic and basic reagents during the production of isopropanol could affect both the pH and chemical
- composition of the soil, potentially resulting in physiological effects on soil organisms. Likewise, improper
- treatment and subsequent release of synthetic wastes and fermentation broths could impair soil
- 537 populations. These types of spill scenarios are unlikely due to manufacturing safeguards.

538 Large scale releases of isopropanol-based disinfectants near rivers, ponds and lakes could lead to

- 539 population level impacts due to oxygen depletion and subsequent fish kills. Otherwise, technical
- 540 information regarding the potential impacts of isopropanol on endangered species, populations, viability

or reproduction of non-target organisms and the potential for measurable reductions in genetic, species or

542 ecosystem biodiversity, is lacking.

#### 543 <u>Evaluation Question #9:</u> Discuss and summarize findings on whether the use of the petitioned

# substance may be harmful to the environment (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i) and 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i).

546 Isopropanol is not expected to be persistent or hazardous to the environment under the prescribed use

- 547 pattern as a disinfectant in organic livestock production (US EPA, 2012a; USDB, 2012; Alberta, 2004; UNEP,
- 548 1997; US EPA, 1995). Isopropanol generally partitions between the atmosphere and water. It is readily
- 549 biodegradable and is not expected to accumulate in soils, plant material or animal tissues. In the air,
- isopropanol is expected to undergo rapid photodegradation in the presence of photochemically-derived
- hydroxyl radicals. Isopropanol also has a relatively low potential to generate ground level ozone and
- 552 photochemical smog compared to other VOCs. Although unlikely, large spills of isopropanol from 553 manufacturing sites and transportation vessels could lead to ecological impairment due to oxygen
- depletion in impacted waterways. Spills of chemical feedstocks used in the production of isopropanol, such
- acpletion in impacted water ways. Spins of chemical redistocks used in the production of isopropation, su storing acids and bases, could adversely affect terrestrial and aquatic systems; however, specific
- 556 occurrences have not been documented and are unlikely due to modern manufacturing safeguards.
- 557 According to US EPA and World Health Organization (WHO) literature reviews, isopropanol is practically
- non-toxic to slightly toxic to most biological receptors (US EPA, 2012b; Alberta, 2004; UNEP, 1997; US EPA,
- 559 1995). For mammals, isopropanol is slightly toxic to non-toxic (Category III-IV) based on acute oral and
- 560 inhalation toxicity tests, slightly/moderately irritating to the eyes, and nonirritating to the skin. In
- addition, *in vitro* and *in vivo* animal studies have demonstrated that isopropanol is neither mutagenic nor
- carcinogenic. Laboratory rodents exposed to excessively high doses of isopropanol over extended time
- 563 periods exhibited narcosis; however, none of the observed adverse effects to the nervous system were
- irreversible. Minimal toxicity has been noted in studies evaluating the germination and growth efficiency
   of seeds and plants exposed to high concentrations of isopropanol. Although isopropanol is not
- of seeds and plants exposed to high concentrations of isopropanol. Although isopropanol is not particularly toxic to aquatic organisms, such as fish, aquatic invertebrates and aquatic plants, oxygen
- 566 particularly toxic to aquatic organisms, such as fish, aquatic invertebrates and aquatic plants, oxygen 567 depletion due to large isopropanol spills could lead to population-level toxicity and death for these
- receptors. It is unlikely that the proposed use pattern of isopropanol in organic livestock production would
- 569 lead to significant isopropanol exposure in the agro-ecosystem.
- 570 No incidents of eutrophication have been associated with the use, manufacture, or environmental release of 571 isopropagal. In contrast, intensive corp forming for the modulation of fuel at here lad to contrast intensive
- 571 isopropanol. In contrast, intensive corn farming for the production of fuel ethanol has led to water quality
- 572 impairment near agricultural areas due to the incidental discharge of nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers
- 573 near waterways (UCS, 2011; Kim, 2008). The apparent lack of similar eutrophication incidents linked to
- isopropanol likely stems from the fact that industrial isopropanol is generated through chemical synthesis
- 575 rather than the fermentation of agricultural feedstocks such as cornstarch.

# 576Evaluation Question #10:Describe and summarize any reported effects upon human health from use of577the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i), 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i)) and 7 U.S.C. § 6518578(m) (4)).

- 579 A high production volume chemical, isopropanol is widely used as an industrial solvent and as an
- ingredient in numerous industrial and consumer products. As such, the potential exists for widespread
   exposure of workers and consumers to isopropanol (Kawai, 1990).
- 582 In general, isopropanol is characterized as slightly to not acutely toxic to humans by the oral, dermal and
- inhalation routes of exposure (US EPA, 2012c; Alberta, 2004; UNEP, 1997; US EPA, 1995). This observation
- is not surprising considering the ubiquitous nature of isopropanol in hygiene products, fragrances,
- cosmetics, adhesives, and other consumer products. Human volunteers exposed to 400 ppm isopropanol
- vapors for 3–5 minutes reported mild irritation to the eyes, nose and throat. In addition, isopropanol
- 587 produced little irritation when tested on the skin of human volunteers. Incidents of isopropanol poisoning
- in humans have resulted from the intentional ingestion of isopropanol, particularly among alcoholics or

suicidal individuals. In these cases, pulmonary difficulty, nausea, vomiting, headache, and varying degrees
 of central nervous system depression are typical (UNEP, 1997). The vast majority of animal studies are

conducted orally at excessively high doses of isopropanol to determine the dose-response relationship.
 Although not entirely relevant to the evaluation of isopropanol toxicity from exposure to disinfectants,
 these studies support the conclusion that isopropanol is slightly to practically non-toxic to humans at
 moderate to low doses. See Evaluation Question #5 for additional information regarding isopropanol

595 toxicity studies conducted in laboratory mammals.

596 Isopropanol has also been evaluated for mutagenic and carcinogenic activity. Isopropanol tested negative

597 in bacterial mutation assays with and without metabolic activation using exogenous mammalian cells.

598 Mitotic aberrations in rat bone marrow cells were observed in a four-month vapor exposure study;

however, the results of this study are questionable since the authors did not report the number of rats

600 exposed, their sex, or strain. In contrast, isopropanol did not induce cancerous micronuclei formation in the

601 bone marrow of mice in an *in vivo* study involving injections of isopropanol into the body cavities of mice

at elevated doses (350–2,500 mg/kg body weight). Isopropanol also produced negative results in
 chromatid exchange tests and fungal assays for aneuploidy (a form of chromosomal aberration). There is

604 little evidence to suggest that isopropanol is genotoxic in animals and humans (Alberta, 2004).

605 Occupational epidemiological studies have been conducted on workers involved in either the

606 manufacturing or use of isopropanol. A number of retrospective cohort studies have reported an increased

607 incidence of respiratory tract cancers (paranasal sinuses, larynx, and lungs) in workers at factories where

608 isopropanol was manufactured using the strong-acid process (IARC, 1999). However, concomitant

609 exposure to diisopropyl sulfate, an intermediate in this process, as well as isopropyl oils and sulfuric acid

610 mists may also lead to the observed carcinogenic effects and represent confounding factors in these studies

611 (IARC, 1999; Alberta, 2004). The studies also failed to quantify isopropanol exposure levels and control for

smoking rates among workers (Alberta, 2004). Collectively, these confounding factors greatly limit the

weight of these studies. In small case-control studies of workers in a chemical plant and rubber plant, there

614 is no evidence of an association between exposure to isopropanol and the incidence of gliomas or

615 lymphocytic leukemia (Alberta, 2004).

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) concluded that there is inadequate evidence for

the carcinogenicity of isopropanol in humans and experimental animals following review of available

studies on the carcinogenicity, genotoxicity and mutagenicity of isopropanol. As such, IARC determined

that "isopropanol is not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3)" (IARC, 1999; IARC,

2013). US EPA and Health Canada have not classified isopropanol according to its carcinogenicity status. In

621 contrast, diisopropyl sulfate is listed as a California Proposition 65 carcinogen and strong inorganic acid 622 mists containing sulfuric acid is listed as a Proposition 65 and IARC Group 1 carcinogen (CA EPA, 2013;

622 mists contain623 IARC, 2013).

### 624 <u>Evaluation Question #11:</u> Describe all natural (non-synthetic) substances or products which may be

#### used in place of a petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (ii)). Provide a list of allowed substances that may be used in place of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6))

substances that may be used in place of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).

Technical information regarding the efficacy of natural, nonsynthetic agricultural commodities or products 627 628 that could substitute for isopropanol as a disinfectant in organic livestock production is limited. Natural 629 (nonsynthetic) sources of ethanol and organic acids (e.g., acetic acid, citric acid and lactic acid) may be used 630 for disinfection. Certain essential oils also exhibit antiviral and antibacterial properties, and are commonly 631 used in homemade hand sanitizers. Examples of the strongest and most commonly used antiseptic essential oils include clove oil, melaleuca oil, and oregano oil. In addition, pine oil, basil oil, cinnamon oil, 632 eucalyptus oil, helichrysum oil, lemon and lime oils, peppermint oil, tea tree oil, and thyme oil are also 633 634 used as antiseptic substances. Aloe vera contains six antispectic agents (lupeol, salicylic acid, urea nitrogen 635 cinnamonic acid, phenols and sulfur) with inhibitory action on fungi, bacteria and viruses (Surjushe, 2008). 636 Depending on the required potency and intended application, essential oils may be used in pure form or as 637 a mixture in carrier, such as water. University agricultural extension publication repositories contained no articles related to the practice of using essential oils as disinfectants or any performance data for these oils 638

relative to isopropanol. It is therefore uncertain whether essential oil mixtures could serve as viable,

640 naturally derived alternatives to isopropanol-based products for equipment/surface disinfection and animal skin antisepsis in livestock production. 641 642 A wide variety of synthetic substances are available for sanitizing and disinfecting livestock housing and production equipment, and for topical antisepsis during medical treatments. Acids (acetic acid), alcohols 643 644 (ethanol and isopropanol), aldehydes (formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde), alkalis (sodium or ammonium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, calcium oxide), Biguanides (chlorhexidine), chlorine compounds (sodium 645 hypochlorite), iodine compounds and complexes (iodophors), oxidizing agents (hydrogen peroxide and 646 647 peracetic acid), phenols, and quaternary ammonium compounds are commonly used as part of disinfection 648 regimens in veterinary and animal housing environments (Dvorak, 2008). In addition, many of these chemical disinfectants are used as disinfectant solutions in footbaths (i.e., boot-washing stations) and for 649 650 the disinfection of equipment and other surfaces. Not all of these substances, however, are allowed for use in organic livestock production. The USDA recommends sodium hypochlorite, acetic acid, sodium 651 652 carbonate, and/or sodium hydroxide for controlling foot-and-mouth disease outbreaks (USDA, 2005). Additionally, hypochlorite or other suitable disinfectants are commonly used on automatic feeding 653 654 machines and sodium hydroxide is used against classic swine fever in Chile (Fotheringham, 1995). Hydrogen peroxide is also a widely used topical antiseptic in medical operations. Utilizing a combination 655 of disinfection chemistries is not only advantageous for addressing various situations (i.e., target pest, 656 surface, etc.), but also necessary for preventing microbial resistance (Dvorak, 2008; USDA, 2005). 657 658 In addition to isopropanol (7 CFR 205.603(a)(1)(ii)), the National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances permits the use of the following synthetic materials as disinfectants, sanitizers, and medical treatments in 659 organic livestock production: 660 Ethanol (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH) 7 CFR 205.603(a)(1)(i) 661 Chlorhexidine 7 CFR 205.603(a)(6) 662 • 663 Allowed for surgical procedures conducted by a veterinarian. Allowed for use as a teat dip  $\cap$ when alternative germicidal agents and/or physical barriers have lost their effectiveness. 664 **Chlorine Materials** 665 Allowed for disinfecting and sanitizing facilities and equipment.  $\circ$ 666 Calcium hypochlorite (Ca(ClO)<sub>2</sub>) 7 CFR 205.603(a)(7)(i) 667 Chlorine dioxide (ClO<sub>2</sub>) . 7 CFR 205.603(a)(7)(ii) 668 Sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) 7 CFR 205.603(a)(7)(iii) 669 670 Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) 7 CFR 205.603(a)(13) • Iodine 7 CFR 205.603(a)(14) 671 • Peroxyacetic acid/peracetic acid 7 CFR 205.603(a)(19) 672 • Allowed for sanitizing facility and processing equipment. 673 0 **Phosphoric acid** (H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) 7 CFR 205.603(a)(20) 674 • Allowed as an equipment cleanser, provided the substance does not directly contact 675 0 organically managed livestock or land. 676

## Evaluation Question #12: Describe any alternative practices that would make the use of the petitioned substance unnecessary (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).

679 Sterilization methods are critical for preventing the spread of deleterious bacterial, fungal and viral pathogens on production surfaces (i.e., livestock housing and equipment) and animal skin. In addition to 680 681 chemical disinfectants, heat, light and radiation may also be used to reduce or eliminate microorganisms in 682 livestock housing environments (Dvorak, 2008). Heat is one of the most established physical controls against deleterious microorganisms and is a fairly reliable sterilization method. Moist heat is most effective 683 (e.g., steam) and requires less time, but dry heat (e.g., flame or baking) may also be used for inactivating 684 microorganisms. Ultraviolet light is also capable of inactivating viruses, bacteria and fungi, but is limited 685 by its lack of surface penetration. Less frequently used forms of radiation include microwaves and gamma 686 radiation. Although thermal treatments may be effective for disinfecting certain pieces of equipment, other 687 688 strategies would be required for eliminating microbes from animal housing surfaces and animal skin. 689 Frequently changing the animal's bedding and/or using inorganic bedding (i.e., sand) may also reduce bacteria levels in livestock housing (Dvorak, 2008; Fotheringham, 1995). Likewise, removing debris from 690

- 691 the production areas and ensuring the cleanliness of equipment are important steps for minimizing microorganism populations on and around livestock. 692 693 Microbial control regimens that exclude chemical disinfection are generally not advised, particularly for pathogens potentially present on animal skins and equipment surfaces. Although alternative practices are 694 695 not available, a variety of alternative substances are presented in Evaluation Question #11. 696 References AgriLabs. Undated. Isopropyl Alcohol - 99%. AgriLabs | Products | Companion Animals. Retrieved 697 698 December 3, 2013 from http://www.agrilabs.com/. Al-Awadhi DN, Hamer PDG, Egli DT. 1990. The biooxidation of methanol, ethanol and isopropanol by a 699 defined co-culture at elevated temperatures. Bioprocess Eng. 5:39–45; doi:10.1007/BF00369645. 700 701 Alberta. 2004. Assessment Report on Isopropanol for Developing an Ambient Air Quality Objectives. 702 Alberta Environment. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from http://environment.alberta.ca/01036.html. 703 Benner. 2012. Spotlight: Starting the Season Clean. Penn State Extension. Penn State - College of 704 Agricultural Sciences. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://extension.psu.edu/plants/green-705 industry/news/2012/starting-the-season-clean. 706 BABEC. 2001. Material Safety Data Sheet: Isopropanol, Reagent ACS. Bay Area Biotechnology Education 707 Consortium. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from http://babec.org/files/MSDS/isopropanol.pdf. 708 Bonmann R, Pompetzki W, Weber M. 2010. Process for the production of iso-propanol by liquid phase 709 hydrogenation. Patent # EP2207762 A1. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from 710 www.google.com/patents/EP2207762A1?cl=en. 711 Bradford BD, Seiberling KA, Park FE, Hiebert JC, Chang DF. 2013. Disinfection of rigid nasal endoscopes 712 following in vitro contamination with staphylococcus aureus, streptococcus pneumoniae, pseudomonas 713 aeruginosa, and haemophilus influenzae. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 139: 574-578; 714 doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2013.3016. CA EPA. 2013. Chemicals Known to the State to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity. Office of 715 716 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). California Environmental Protection Agency. 717 Retrieved December 12, 2013 from http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65 list/Newlist.html. 718 CAN. 2011a. Organic Petitioned Systems Permitted Substances Lists: CAN/CGSB-32.311-2006. Canadian 719 Organic Standards Board. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ongc-720 cgsb/programme-program/normes-standards/internet/bio-org/documents/032-0311-2008-eng.pdf. CAN. 2011b. General Principles and Management Standards. Canadian General Standards Board. 721 Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ongc-cgsb/programme-722 723 program/normes-standards/internet/bio-org/principes-principles-eng.html. 724 CDC. 2008. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from 725 726 http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection Sterilization/6 0disinfection.html. 727 Codex. 2013. Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling, and Marketing of Organically Produced 728 Foods. Codex Alimentarius Commission. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://www.codexalimentarius.org/standards/list-of-standards/en/?no cache=1. 729 Collas F, Kuit W, Clément B, Marchal R, López-Contreras AM, Monot F. 2012. Simultaneous production of 730
- rol isopropanol, butanol, ethanol and 2,3-butanediol by Clostridium acetobutylicum ATCC 824 engineered
- 732 strains. AMB Expr 2: 1–10; doi:10.1186/2191-0855-2-45.

- 733 Dusséaux S, Croux C, Soucaille P, Meynial-Salles I. 2013. Metabolic engineering of Clostridium
- acetobutylicum ATCC 824 for the high-yield production of a biofuel composed of an
- isopropanol/butanol/ethanol mixture. Metabolic Engineering 18:1-8; doi:10.1016/j.ymben.2013.03.003.
- Dow. 2011. Product Safety Assessment: Isopropanol. Dow Chemical Company. Retrieved December 3, 2013
   from <a href="http://www.dow.com/productsafety/finder/iso.htm">http://www.dow.com/productsafety/finder/iso.htm</a>.
- 738 Dvorak G. 2008. Disinfection 101. Center for Food Security and Public Health. Iowa State University.
- 739 Retrieved December 17, 2013 from
- 740 <u>http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Disinfection/Assets/Disinfection101.pdf</u>.
- 741 EC. 2008. Commission Regulation (EC) No. 889/2008. European Commission. Retrieved November 12,
- 2013 from http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:250:0001:0084:EN:PDF.
- EC. 2010. The FOOTPRINT Pesticide Properties Database. European Commission, 6<sup>th</sup> Framework
   Programme. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from <a href="http://eu-footprint.org/ppdb.html">http://eu-footprint.org/ppdb.html</a>.
- FDA. 2013. Food Additives Status List. US Food and Drug Administration. Retrieved November 12, 2013
   from
- 747 <u>http://www.fda.gov/food/ingredientspackaginglabeling/foodadditivesingredients/ucm091048.htm</u>.
- Fotheringham VJC. 1995. Disinfection of livestock production premises. Rev. sci. tech. Off. int. Epiz. 14:191–205.
- Green MM, Wittcoff HA. 2003. Organic Chemistry Principles and Industrial Practice, First Edition.
  Weinheim: Wiley-VCH. Page 4.
- HSDB. 2012. National Library of Medicine, TOXNET. *Isopropanol*. Hazardous Substances Data Bank.
- 753 Retrieved December 3, 2013 from http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search/f?./temp/~7czC0s:1.
- Hayes KS, Mitchell JW, Niak A, Turcotte MG. 2007. Hydrogenation of acetone. Patent # EP1762554 A1.
  Retrieved December 9, 2013 from http://www.google.com/patents/EP1762554A1.
- Howard, PH; Boethling RS; Jarvis WF; Meylan WM; Michalenko W. 1991. Handbook of Environmental
  Degradation Rates. Chelsea, MI: Lewis Publishers, Inc.
- 758 IARC. 1999. Isopropanol. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risk to Humans.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. Volume 71, pp. 1027–1036. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from
   http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol71/mono71-45.pdf.
- 761 IARC. 2013. Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs, Volumes 1–109. International Agency for
- 762 Research on Cancer. Retrieved December 20, 2013 from <u>http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/</u>.
- 763 IFOAM. 2012. The IFOAM Norms for Organic Production and Processing. International Federation of
- 764 Organic Agriculture Movements. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
- 765 <u>http://www.ifoam.org/about\_ifoam/standards/norms.html</u>.
- 766 JMAFF. 2005a. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Plants (Notification No. 1605). Japanese
- 767 Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
- 768 <u>http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/833\_2012-3.pdf</u>.
- 769 JMAFF. 2005b. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Feeds (Notification No. 1607). Japanese
- 770 Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
- 771 <u>http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/835\_2012.pdf</u>.
- 772 JMAFF. 2005c. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Livestock Products (Notification No 1608).
- 773 Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
- 774 <u>http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/836\_2012-2.pdf</u>.

- JMAFF. 2005d. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Processed Foods (Notification No. 1606).
- Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/834\_2012-3.pdf.
- Jacob J. 2013. Cleaning and Disinfecting in Organic Poultry Production. Extension. Retrieved December 3,
- 2013 from <u>http://www.extension.org/pages/67937/cleaning-and-disinfecting-in-organic-poultry-</u>
   production#.Up96fWRDvzh.
- 781 Kawai T, Yasugi T, Horiguchi S, Uchida Y, Iwami O, Iguchi H, et al. 1990. Biological monitoring of
- occupational exposure to isopropyl alcohol vapor by urinalysis for acetone. Int. Arch Occup Environ Heath
   62: 409–413; doi:10.1007/BF00381373.
- Kim S, Dale BE. 2008. Life cycle assessment of fuel ethanol derived from corn grain via dry milling.
  Bioresource Technology 99: 5250–5260; doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.09.034.
- Lee J, Jang Y-S, Choi SJ, Im JA, Song H, Cho JH, et al. 2012. Metabolic Engineering of Clostridium
- 787 acetobutylicum ATCC 824 for Isopropanol-Butanol-Ethanol Fermentation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78:
  788 1416–1423; doi:10.1128/AEM.06382-11.
- Lewis. 2003. MSDS Document: Product Isopropyl Rubbing Alcohol 91% USP. Lewis University. Retrieved
   December 3, 2013 from <a href="http://www.lewisu.edu/academics/biology/pdf/isopropanol\_91.pdf">http://www.lewisu.edu/academics/biology/pdf</a>
- 791 Logsdon JE, Loke RA. 2000. Isopropyl Alcohol. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology.
- McDonnell G, Russell AD. 1999. Antiseptics and disinfectants: activity, action, and resistance. Clinical
   microbiology reviews 12: 147–179.
- Merck. 2006. *Isopropyl Alcohol* in The Merck Index: An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals,
   14<sup>th</sup> Ed. Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ.
- 796 Mochizuki D, Morishige T, Takahashi H, Takebayashi N, Wada M, Watanabe S, et al. 2009. Isopropyl
- alcohol-producing bacterium and method of producing isopropyl alcohol using the same. Patent #
- WO2009008377 A1. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from <u>www.google.com/patents/WO2009008377A1?cl=en</u>.
- 799 Muramatsu M, Yoneda S. 2013a. Method for producing isopropanol and recombinant yeast capable of
- producing isopropanol. Patent # US20130177954 A1. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from
   www.google.com/patents/US20130177954.
- 802 Muramatsu M, Yoneda S. 2013b. Process for production of isopropanol, and genetically modified yeast
- capable of producing isopropanol. Patent # EP2570485 A1. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from
   www.google.com/patents/EP2570485A1.
- 805 NIH. 2013. Barrier® Livestock Wound Care. DailyMed. US National Library of Medicine. National
- 806 Institutes of Health. Retrieved December 17, 2013 from
- 807 <u>http://dailymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/about.cfm</u>.
- 808 ODN. 1993. Alcohol Specifications European Union Ethanol Denaturants. The Online Distillery
- Network for Distilleries & Fuel Ethanol Plants Worldwide. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
   <a href="http://www.distill.com/specs/EU2.html">http://www.distill.com/specs/EU2.html</a>.
- 811 OSU. Undated. Livestock Tagging. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service. Oklahoma State University.
- 812 Retrieved December 17, 2013 from <u>http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-</u>
- 813 <u>6215/ANSI-3287pod.pdf</u>.
- 814 Papa AJ. 2011. Propanols. Ullman's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry.
- 815 Pletinckx LJ, Dewulf J, Bleecker Y De, Rasschaert G, Goddeeris BM, Man I De. 2013. Effect of a disinfection
- strategy on the methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* CC398 prevalence of sows, their piglets and the
- barn environment. Journal of Applied Microbiology 114: 1634–1641; doi:10.1111/jam.12201.

- 818 Ruiz A, Ogden KL. 2004. Biotreatment of copper and isopropyl alcohol in waste from semiconductor
- 819 manufacturing. IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing 17:538–543;
- 820 doi:10.1109/TSM.2004.835708.
- Rushdy AA, Othman AS. 2011. Bactericidal efficacy of some commercial disinfectants on biofilm on
  stainless steel surfaces of food equipment. Ann Microbiol 61: 545–552; doi:10.1007/s13213-010-0172-7.
- Science Lab. 2005. MSDS: Material Safety Data Sheet: Isopropyl Alcohol, 70% MSDS. ScienceLab.com, Inc.
  Retrieved December 3, 2013 from <a href="http://www.sciencelab.com/msds.php?msdsId=9924413">http://www.sciencelab.com/msds.php?msdsId=9924413</a>.
- Sigma Aldrich. 2013. MSDS: Isopropyl Alcohol. Retrieved December 3, 2013 from
   http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/united-states.html.
- Surjushe A, Vasani R, Saple DG. 2008. Aloe Vera: A Short Review. Indian J Dermatol 53: 163–166;
   doi:10.4103/0019-5154.44785.
- UCS. 2011. The Energy-Water Collision: Corn Ethanol's Threat to Water Resources. Union of Concern
   Scientists. Retrieved November 25, 2013 from
- 831 <u>http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/clean\_energy/ew3/corn-ethanol-and-water-quality.pdf.</u>
- 832 UNEP. 1997. 2-Propanol. International Programme on Chemical Safety INCHEM Organization for
- 833 Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Screening Information DataSet (SIDS) High Production
- Volume Chemicals. United National Environmental Programme. Retrieved December 3, 2013 from
- 835 <u>http://inchem.org/documents/sids/sids/67630.pdf</u>.
- USDA. 1995. Technical Advisory Panel Report: Alcohols. US Department of Agriculture. Retrieved July 26,
  2013 from
- 838 <u>http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSv1.0/ams.fetchTemplateData.do?template=TemplateJ&page=NOPNatio</u>
   839 <u>nalList</u>.
- USDA. 2005. Cleaning and Disinfection of Premises. US Department of Agriculture, January 2005.
- 841 Retrieved December 17, 2013 from
- <u>http://www.aphis.usda.gov/emergency\_response/tools/cleaning/htdocs/images/Annex09\_Cleaning.pd</u>
   <u>f</u>.
- 844 US EPA. 1995. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): Aliphatic Alcohols. US Environmental Protection
- Agency. Retrieved November 15, 2013 from
- 846 <u>http://www.epa.gov/opp00001/chem\_search/reg\_actions/reregistration/red\_G-4\_1-Mar-95.pdf.</u>
- 847 US EPA. 2004. List 4B Other ingredients for which EPA has sufficient information to reasonably conclude
- 848 that the current use pattern in pesticide products will not adversely affect public health or the
- 849 environment. US Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved November 18, 2013 from
- 850 <u>http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/inerts/inerts\_list4Bname.pdf</u>.
- US EPA. 2006. Pesticides: Minimal Risk Tolerance Exemptions. Federal Register, Vol. 71, No. 16, pp 4087-
- 4090. US Environmental Protection Agency, January 25, 2006. Retrieved December 3, 2013 from
- 853 <u>https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2006/01/25/06-574/pesticides-minimal-risk-tolerance-</u>
- 854 <u>exemptions</u>.
- US EPA. 2012a. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs): Technical Overview. US Environmental Protection
  Agency. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from <a href="http://www.epa.gov/iaq/voc2.html">http://www.epa.gov/iaq/voc2.html</a>.
- 857 US EPA. 2012b. Product Chemistry, Environmental Fate, and Ecological Effects Scoping Document in
- 858 Support of Registration Review of Aliphatic Alcohols, C1-C5 (Ethanol and Isopropyl Alcohol). US
- 859 Environmental Protection Agency, May 2012. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from
- 860 http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0340-0004.
- 861 US EPA. 2012c. Aliphatic Alcohols, C1–C5: Human Health Scoping Document for the Registration Review
- 862 Decision. US Environmental Protection Agency, April 2012. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from
- 863 <u>http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0340-0003</u>.

864 US EPA. 2013. *Ecotox Database*. US Environmental Protection Agency. <u>http://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/</u>.