## DRAFT

# EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance Hydrogen Chloride

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]			Х	
2. Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]	Х			Hydrochloric acid (HCl) is potentially very damaging to the environment. It is extremely corrosive. TAP pg 11; produces from organic chlorides and gasoline TAP pg 15
3. Is the substance harmful to the environment? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]	Х			HCl is a strong, relatively reactive acid that can act as a catalyst for multiple reactions. TAP pg 11; "HCl has the potential to damage soil and to harm humans and the environment." TAP pg 15
4. Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]		Х		CAS 7647-01-0 (hydrogen chloride) is a List 4b
5. Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used [§6518 m.1]	Х			Hydrogen chloride is incompatible with sodium hydroxide (an approved material) TAP pg 8; HCl is a strong, relatively reactive acid and can react with or catalyze reactions with many materials used in organic farming TAP pg 11; not expected when processing cotton seeds if GMP are followed
6. Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agro-ecosystem? [§6518 m.5]	Х			Hydrogen chloride is incompatible with sodium hydroxide (an approved material) TAP pg 8; HCl is a strong, relatively reactive acid and can react with or catalyze reactions with many materials used in organic farming TAP pg 11; not expected when processing cotton seeds if GMP are followed
7. Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]	Х			"HCl has the potential to damage soil" It will dissolve carbonate-based particles found in soil TAP pg 8, 15; soil pH will drop TAP pg 12; not expected when processing cotton seeds
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]	Х			HCl is extremely corrosive with the ability to kill any cell that it contacts; carbon dioxide (a by product) can exclude oxygen in a confined air space TAP pg 11, 13; Hazardous by-products form upon decomposition – hydrogen chloride, chlorine, CO, CO <sub>2</sub> and hydrogen gas.
9. Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment? [§6518 m.2]		Х		HCl is not persistent. A by-product, $CO_2$ can exclude $O_2$ in a confined air space TAP pg 11, 13
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A)(i) ; 6517 c(2)(A)i; §6518 m.4]	Х			HCl is extremely corrosive can kill any cell that it contacts; CO <sub>2</sub> (a by-product) can exclude O <sub>2</sub> in a confined air space TAP pg 11, 13; "HCl has potential to harm humans." TAP pg 15; can adversely impact humans at high exposure & can cause chemical pneumonia (Ostiguy)
<ul><li>11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]</li><li>12. Is the substance GRAS when used</li></ul>			Х	
according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]			Х	
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600b.5]			Х	ns from 205 600 (b) are N/A—not annlicable

<sup>1</sup>If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

### DRAFT

#### 

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			Х	
2. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			Х	
3. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			Х	
4. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	Х			Lactic and acetic acid might possibly be used but it is not clear if they are efficacious or possibly are deleterious to the seed TAP pg 9, 10, 12, 16; "Both organic acids are weak acids and may have limited ability to weaken the cellulose structure of the lint and allow its easy removal from the seed." TAP pg. 14
5. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]			Х	
6. Are there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	Х			Lactic and acetic acid might possibly be used but it is not clear if they are efficacious or possibly are deleterious to the seed TAP pg 9, 10, 12, 16; "Both organic acids are weak acids and may have limited ability to weaken the cellulose structure of the lint and allow its easy removal from the seed." TAP pg. 14
7. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]	Х			Lactic and acetic acid might possibly be used but it is not clear if they are efficacious or possibly are deleterious to the seed TAP pg 9, 10, 12, 16; "Both organic acids are weak acids and may have limited ability to weaken the cellulose structure of the lint and allow its easy removal from the seed." TAP pg. 14

 $^{1}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

### Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance <u>Hydrogen Chloride</u>

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation
Question	105	110	11/1	(TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible				(TAT, petition, regulatory agency, other)
1. Is the substance compatible			х	
with organic handling?			Λ	
[§205.600 b.2]				
2. Is the substance consistent				More compatible alternatives have been mention but
with organic farming and		Х		uncertain about efficacy and seed quality TAP pg 10, 16;
handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii);				Not approved in other organic management standards; is
6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]				being considered in British Columbia (and Canada) TAP pg
				4; Texas Department of Ag did allow, European Union
				allows as a food additive TAP pg 6
3. Is the substance compatible				More compatible alternatives have been mention but
with a system of sustainable		Х		uncertain about efficacy and seed quality TAP pg 10, 16
agriculture? [§6518 m.7]				
4. Is the nutritional quality of the				
food maintained with the			Х	
substance? [§205.600 b.3]				
5. Is the primary use as a			X	
preservative? [§205.600 b.4]				
6. Is the primary use to recreate				
or improve flavors, colors,				
textures, or nutritive values lost			Х	
in processing (except when			1	
required by law, e.g., vitamin D				
in milk)? [205.600 b.4]				
7. Is the substance used in				
production, and does it contain				
an active synthetic ingredient in				
the following categories:				
a common and sulfar common in		v		
a. copper and sulfur compounds	}	X	<b> </b>	
b. toxins derived from bacteria;		Х		
c. pheromones, soaps,		L		This treatment removes the lint on cotton seed prior to
horticultural oils, fish emulsions,	Х			planting.
treated seed, vitamins and				r0.
minerals?				
d. livestock parasiticides and				
medicines?		Х		
medicines :		Λ		
e. production aids including	}		<u> </u>	······
		v		
netting, tree wraps and seals,		Х		
insect traps, sticky barriers, row				
covers, and equipment cleaners?				
	I	I	I	1

 $^{1}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

### NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION

Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: <u>May 2004</u>				Substance: <u>Hydrogen Chloride</u>				
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)								
<ol> <li>Impact on humans and environment</li> <li>Availability criteria</li> <li>Compatibility &amp; consistency</li> </ol>				Criteria Satisfied? Yes No (see B below) Yes No (see B below) Yes No (see B below)				
B. Substance fails criteria Criteria category: Comments:	Basis for annota	posed Annotation:						
D. Final Board Action & V	ote: Motion by		Sec	ond <sup>.</sup>				
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural	Nonagricul	tural	Crops	X			
Yes: No:	Synthetic Allowed <sup>1</sup> No restriction	Not synthe Prohibited <sup>2</sup> Deferred4		Livestock Handling Rejected <sup>3</sup>				
Abstain:	NO restriction	Deleffed4		Rejected				
1—substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List Annotation:								
2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List Describe why a prohibited substance:								
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List Describe why material was rejected:								
4-substance was recommended to be deferred Describe why deferred; if any follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who conducts follow- up								
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP:								
Dave Carter, NOSB Chair Date								
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List:  Return to NOSB Reason:								
Richard H. Mathews, Program Manager Date								

### NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: <u>April 2004</u>				Substance: <u>Hydrogen Chloride</u>				
Committee: Crops X Livestock 🗌 Handling 🗌								
<ul> <li>A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)</li> <li>Criteria Satisfied?</li> <li>1. Impact on humans and environment</li> <li>Yes □ No X (see B below)</li> <li>2. Availability criteria</li> <li>Yes □ No X (see B below)</li> <li>3. Compatibility &amp; consistency</li> <li>Yes □ No X (see B below)</li> </ul>								
B. Substance fails criteria?         Criteria category: 1, 2, 3         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive, very reactive acid; if released very damaging to soil and plant life; 2 – alternative organic acids may be used; 3 – more compatible materials are available (organic acids)         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive, very reactive acid; if released very damaging to soil and plant life; 2 – alternative organic acids may be used; 3 – more compatible materials are available         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive, very reactive acid; if released very damaging to soil and plant life; 2 – alternative organic acids may be used; 3 – more compatible materials are available         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive, very reactive acid; if released very damaging to soil and plant life; 2 – alternative organic acids may be used; 3 – more compatible materials are available         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive, very reactive acid; if released very damaging to soil and plant life; 2 – alternative organic acids may be used; 3 – more compatible materials are available         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive, very reactive acids         Comments: 1 – extremely corrosive								
D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote: Motion by: <u>Rose Koenig</u> Seconded: <u>Mark King</u>								
Vote:     Agricultural       Yes:     4       No:     0       Abstain:     0       Annotation:     1—substance			tic					
2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List Describe why a prohibited substance:								
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List Describe why material was rejected:extremely corrosive, very reactive acid; if released very damaging to soil and plant life; alternative organic acids may be used; more compatible materials are available (organic acids)  4-substance was recommended to be deferred Describe why deferred; if follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who will follow up								
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB: Committee Chair Date								