July 12, 2017

From Mary Sue Gmeiner

1. What terms should AMS consider interchangeable with ‘bioengineering’? (Sec. 291(1))

**Context:** The disclosure standard would be a mechanism to inform consumers about their food. AMS is considering the advantages and disadvantages of allowing the use of other terms to provide for disclosure.

**Response:** I believe bioengineering, biotechnology, genetic engineering, genetically modified, genetically altered, and recombinant DNA are all required to be disclosed.

2. Which breeding techniques should AMS consider as conventional breeding? (Sec. 291(1)(B))

**Context:** AMS is considering what would be defined as modifications that could otherwise be obtained through conventional breeding because these modifications would be exempt from mandatory disclosure.

**Response:** I believe it makes more sense to give more information rather than less. Hybrids could be labeled as such. Perhaps a hierarchy of intervention, such as heirloom, hybrid, genetic, and chemical origins.

3. Which modifications should AMS consider to be found in nature? (Sec. 291(1)(B))

**Context:** AMS is considering what would be defined as modifications that could otherwise be found in nature because these modifications would be exempt from mandatory disclosure.

**Response:** I believe heirloom plants are the only ones that would qualify.

4. Will AMS require disclosure for food that contains highly refined products, such as oils or sugars derived from bioengineered crops? (Sec. 291(1)(A))

**Context:** Many processed foods may contain ingredients derived from bioengineered crops, such as highly refined oils or sugars that contain undetectable levels of bioengineered genetic material such that they are indistinguishable from their non-engineered counterparts. AMS is considering
whether to require disclosure for foods containing those derived ingredients that may be undetectable as bioengineered.

Response: All ingredients that come from bioengineered crops, including beet sugar, canola oil, soy lecithin, etc. should be flagged as such.

5. Although the Law states that the definition of bioengineering shall not affect any other definition, program, rule, or regulation of the Federal government, could there be potential areas of confusion between the definition of bioengineering as used in the Law and other similar terms used by the Federal government? If so, what are the potential remedies that could be added to this regulation to alleviate any confusion between this definition and others by the Federal government? (Sec. 292(b))

Context: AMS recognizes that other Federal agencies have different terms to describe organisms created through recombinant DNA techniques. AMS is considering areas of potential overlap or confusion over terms, as well as potential language to add to this regulation to ensure the term bioengineering does not affect any other definition, program, rule, or regulation.

Response: I don’t see how defining these terms in the context of food labeling will have any impacts on other regulations. If you have the authority, I believe they should be defined for all products that are topical as well, that is health and beauty aids.

6. Meat, poultry, and egg products are only subject to a bioengineered disclosure if the most predominant ingredient, or the second most predominant ingredient if the first is broth, stock, water, or similar solution, is subject to the labeling requirements under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. How will AMS determine the predominance of ingredients? (Sec. 292(c))

Context: AMS is considering how to evaluate predominance to determine how the Law will apply to multi-ingredient food products.

Response: Predominant ingredient would be that which provides the highest percentage by weight.

7. How should AMS craft language in the regulations acknowledging that the Law prohibits animal products from being considered bioengineered solely because the animal consumed feed products from, containing, or consisting of a bioengineered substance? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(A))
Context: AMS is considering regulatory language similar to the wording in the Law and if the Agency should provide clarity that food derived from any animal, including invertebrates such as crickets or bee products, would not require disclosure as a bioengineered food solely because their nutrition came from food with bioengineered ingredients.

Response: It’s important to me to know whether the food source for animals is genetically engineered. Anything you can state to make this evident is a plus.

8. What is the amount of a bioengineered substance present in a food that should make it be considered bioengineered? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(B))

Context: The Law authorizes the Secretary to determine the amount of a bioengineered substance present in food in order for the food to be disclosed as a bioengineered food. The amounts of a bioengineered substance that may be present in food in order for the food to be a bioengineered food might be determined in a variety of ways: if a bioengineered substance is near the top of the list of ingredients, by determining the percentage of bioengineered ingredients in a food product, or by listing any ingredient that was produced through bioengineering, among others. AMS is considering how to determine the amount of bioengineered food or ingredient needed for a product to require a bioengineered disclosure, as well as the advantages and disadvantages of various methods.

Response: If each ingredient is flagged as bioengineered, and the ingredients are listed in descending order by weight per serving, then any amount would be flagged. This can be done as simply as organic ingredients that are flagged with an asterisk in the ingredients list.

9. Should AMS consider more than one disclosure category? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(D))

Context: AMS is considering if it should develop various categories for disclosure and if it should differentiate between those products that a) are bioengineered, b) contain ingredients that are bioengineered, or c) contain ingredients derived from bioengineered crops or animals. Additionally, AMS is considering the creation of a set of disclosures for a category of bioengineered foods for those products that, due to changes in sourcing, include bioengineered ingredients for part of the year, and non-bioengineered ingredients for other parts of the year. AMS is considering the advantages and disadvantages, based on cost, clarity, and other factors, of using a single disclosure category or multiple disclosure categories.
**Response:** Keep it simple. Every product needs a list of ingredients, and every ingredient that is bioengineered or derived from bioengineered crops or animals needs to be flagged as such. An asterisk behind each ingredient name is sufficient. If there is variability in whether a certain ingredient is bioengineered or not, the producer needs to flag it as bioengineered (or take the cost upon itself to have two sets of labels).

10. **What other factors or conditions should AMS consider under which a food is considered a bioengineered food? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(C))**

**Context:** AMS must develop a process to help stakeholders determine whether a food is subject to bioengineered disclosure. AMS anticipates the process would include considering factors such as: whether a food contains a substance that has been modified using recombinant in vitro DNA techniques (Sec. 291(1)(A)), whether the modification could not be obtained through conventional breeding or found in nature (Sec. 291(1)(B); Question 2 and 3), and whether a food requires disclosure based on the predominance of ingredients (Sec. 292(c); Question 6), among others. The outcomes of these determination requests might be publically posted on a Web site. The process to implement Sec. 293(b)(2)(C) is not intended to be an investigation or enforcement process (see Questions 26-29); instead, the implementation would likely be framed for manufacturers or developers of bioengineered food or ingredients who have a question on whether their food is subject to disclosure. AMS is considering the factors to be considered, the way to inform the public about the outcome of the requests, and ideas regarding the process to be used to make the determination.

**Response:** I’m not sure I understand how a manufacturer wouldn’t know whether the product fits the requirements or not, but I suppose the USDA would make the ruling, and those would be public information on the USDA website.

11. **Could AMS consider whether a type of food is considered a bioengineered food under the determination process? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(C))**

**Context:** AMS is considering if it could exclude certain food types such as medical food and dietary supplements, among others from requiring disclosure as bioengineered.
**Response:** There would be no good reason to exclude medical foods or dietary supplements from these disclosures. The public needs to know what is being consumed, and have the choice of whether or not to use the products.

**12. If a manufacturer chooses to use text to disclose a bioengineered food, what text should AMS require for a text disclosure? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(D))**

**Context:** Currently, some food manufacturers use language compliant with the Consumer Protection Rule 121 from the State of Vermont to identify their food products as bioengineered (“Produced with Genetic Engineering,” “Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering,” or “May be Produced with Genetic Engineering”). AMS is considering whether to allow manufacturers to continue using these disclosures under the new national bioengineered disclosure standard and if their language is appropriate. Further, AMS is considering what phrases could be used as a text disclosure for bioengineered food that consumers would find informative, truthful, and not misleading.

AMS is also considering whether there should be one standard text disclosure language, or whether manufacturers should be allowed flexibility to choose from more than one acceptable phrase and where the bioengineered food disclosure should be placed on food packages.

**Response:** I think it’s in the manufacturer’s best interest to flag each ingredient in the ingredient list. A consumer might be concerned about GMO corn in corn syrup but not GMO sugar in beet sugar. If that can’t be required, then the Vermont labels are adequate. The disclosure needs to be in a font at least as large as the quantity, e.g. a 32 oz bottle would have the same font for “32 oz.” and “Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering”.

**13. If a manufacturer chooses to use a symbol to disclose a bioengineered food, what symbol should AMS require for disclosure? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(D))**

**Context:** AMS needs to ensure that the symbol designed for the bioengineered disclosure is not disparaging toward bioengineering. As with the text disclosure, AMS must develop criteria for placement of the symbol to ensure consumers can readily locate the symbol, the symbol is scalable for different sized packages, and the symbol is a meaningful representation of bioengineered foods. AMS is considering what the symbol should look like and guidance on its use.
Response: I'm not a graphic designer, but I think a nice symbol could be designed. The symbol could include the DNA molecule chain in combination with a microscope and a knife/fork/spoon place setting and the letters ‘Bio-E’.

14. If a manufacturer chooses to use an electronic or digital link to disclose a bioengineered food, what requirements should AMS implement for an electronic or digital link disclosure? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(D))


Response: I don’t have a smart phone. Any use of a QR code needs to at least also include the symbol in #13.

15. Should AMS specify in the regulations the type of electronic or digital disclosure manufacturers, e.g. QR code, can use to disclose bioengineered food? What steps should AMS take if an electronic or digital disclosure method becomes obsolete? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(D))

Context: AMS recognizes that disclosure technologies may quickly surpass regulations. AMS is considering what terms will ensure the regulations keep pace with technological changes and how AMS can notify stakeholders about changes in technology as they occur. AMS is also considering what the most appropriate electronic or digital disclosure technologies are currently and how to deal with obsolete technologies.

Response: I don’t have a smart phone. Any use of a QR code needs to at least also include the symbol in #13.

16. What kind of text, symbol, or electronic or digital disclosure should AMS require for bioengineered food that is not purchased from a grocery store shelf, such as food for sale in bulk (such as fresh produce in a bin or fresh seafood at a fish counter), in a vending machine, or online? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(D))

Context: In some situations, disclosures may not be easily located when such products are on display for sale. AMS is considering disclosure practices for these and other non-conventional purchasing or packaging scenarios.

Response: Include the symbol in #13 next to the price or UPC code.

17. The Law offers special provisions for disclosure on very small or small packages. How should AMS define very small or small packages? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(E))
Context: AMS is considering if it should mirror FDA’s treatment of very small and small packages for nutrition labeling.

a. In 21 CFR 101.9(j)(13)(i)(B), FDA defines small packages as those with less than 12 square inches in total surface area available to bear labeling.

b. FDA also has allowances for packages that have less than 40 square inches of total surface area available to bear labeling.

Response: Adopting the FDA standards seems reasonable.

18. What are the reasonable disclosure options AMS should provide for food contained in very small or small packages? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(E))

Context: AMS is considering the disclosure standards for very small or small packages. FDA regulates nutrition labeling on very small or small packages differently. For example:

a. Could disclosure requirements for very small packages be met by providing an address or phone number where consumers could obtain the information?

b. Could disclosure requirements for small packages be met by providing abbreviated text disclosure or a Web site address where consumers could obtain disclosure information?

Response: Having a phone number that gives a recorded message about the product seems reasonable.

19. How should AMS define small food manufacturers? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(F))

Context: AMS is considering using regulatory language similar to that of other Federal government agencies that already define small businesses. For example:

a. FSIS considers small businesses to be those with 500 or fewer employees and that produces 100,000 pounds or less of annual production of a single product, including single forms of meat such as sausage, bulk, patties, links, consumer product, etc., when determining exemptions from nutrition facts labeling (9 CFR 317.400 (a)(1)(ii)).
b. FDA has several small business definitions with respect to food labeling rules, such as: i) retailers with total annual gross sales of $500,000 or less, 21 CFR 101.9(j)(1) and (18); ii) food and dietary retailers with annual gross sales of foods or dietary supplement products of $50,000 or less, 21 CFR 101.9(j)(1) and 101.36(h)(1); and iii) businesses that employ fewer than 100 full-time workers that produce a product that sells fewer than 100,000 units throughout the United States in a 12-month period, 21 CFR 101.9(j)(18) and 101.36(h)(2).

AMS is considering the advantages or disadvantages of these definitions of small food manufacturers for the bioengineered food disclosure regulations.

Response: I’m not sure that small businesses should be exempt from these regulations. Even home-produced foods should be able to tell the consumer if bioengineered foods are included.

20. For disclosures by small food manufacturers, what is the appropriate language indicating that a phone number provides access to additional information? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(F)(ii)(I))

Context: AMS is considering using language in Sec. 293(d)(1)(B) of the Law.

Response: no opinion

21. The Law excludes restaurants and similar retail food establishments from disclosure requirements. How should AMS define similar retail food establishment to exclude these establishments from the requirements of the regulation? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(G)(i))

Context: AMS is considering how to treat establishments that sell food ready for human consumption, such as institutional food service, delicatessens, or catering businesses. In its regulations for Nutrition Labeling of Standard Menu Items in Restaurants and Similar Retail Food Establishments (21 CFR 101.11), FDA defines restaurant or similar retail food establishment and restaurant-type food

For FSIS, the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) provides for the mandatory inspection of commercial meat and meat products. The FMIA and implementing regulations do, however, provide exemptions from the continuous inspection provisions for retail operations and restaurants (9 CFR 303.1(d)(2)).

NOP also defines retail food establishment in its regulations (7 CFR 205.2).
AMS is using this information as it considers definitions for restaurants and similar retail establishments, with the understanding that these definitions will be used to determine what types of retail establishments are excluded from the requirements of the Law.

**Response:** Restaurants, caterers, etc. should be able to provide this information on request. They could have a small booklet printed up that identifies the foods they are using that are bioengineered.

**22. How should AMS define very small food manufacturers to exclude these manufacturers from the requirements of the regulation? (Sec. 293(b)(2)(G)(ii))**

**Context:** See Question 19. AMS could use definitions similar to how other Federal agencies define very small businesses, and is considering definitions to distinguish small food manufacturers (Question 19) and very small food manufacturers, with understanding that very small food manufacturers would be excluded from the requirements of the Law.

**Response:** I don’t believe that small food manufacturers should be exempt from these regulations. Even home-produced foods should be able to tell the consumer if bioengineered foods are included.

**23. Is there other equivalent on-package language that AMS should consider to accompany an electronic or digital disclosure besides “Scan here for more food information”? (Sec. 293(d)(1)(A))**

**Context:** The word ‘scan’ may or may not be relevant for each type of electronic or digital disclosure in the present or in the future. AMS is considering if it should issue guidance to identify equivalent language as technology changes and what that equivalent language would be.

**Response:** All products should have a non-electronic option. This could be the bioengineering symbol (see #13).

**24. How should AMS ensure that bioengineered food information is located in a consistent and conspicuous manner when consumers use an electronic or digital disclosure? (Sec. 293(d)(2))**

**Context:** AMS is considering requiring the same information associated with the text disclosure as the requirement language for an electronic or digital disclosure (See Question 12). Further, AMS is trying to determine how various disclosure options affect the amount and type of information available
to consumers. AMS is also determining if there should be requirements or
guidance on what size text would ensure the information is conspicuous to
ensure the food information is located in a consistent and conspicuous
manner when electronic or digital disclosure is accessed.

**Response:** All products should have a non-electronic option. This could be
the bioengineering symbol (see #13). It should be as large as the size or
weight of the product, and located in close proximity.

**25. How should AMS ensure that an electronic or digital disclosure can
be easily and effectively scanned or read by a device? (Sec. 293(d)(5))**

**Context:** AMS is aware that electronic or digital disclosures need to be
effective, that requirements will vary for each specific type of electronic or
digital disclosure, and that the technology for electronic or digital disclosure
may change faster than AMS will be able to update its regulations. AMS is
determining how to address these issues given the variety of electronic or
digital disclosures currently available in the marketplace, along with the
specifications for these disclosures to be used effectively in a retail setting.

**Response:** no opinion

**26. What types of records should AMS require to be maintained to
establish compliance with the regulations? (Sec. 293(g)(2))**

**Context:** Each person or entity subject to the mandatory disclosure
requirement would be required to maintain and make available to the
Secretary records that establish compliance with the Law. Typically, record
keeping requirements include those for the records required to be kept, the
place of maintenance of such records, the record retention period, and what it
means for AMS to have adequate access to and inspection of such records.

Under current FSIS regulations, records must be maintained at a place where
business is conducted, except that if business is conducted at multiple places
of business, then records may be maintained at a headquarters office. When
the business is not in operation, records should be kept in accordance with
good commercial practices. For FSIS, records are required to be maintained
for a 2-year period. The maintenance time for FDA records vary from 6
months through up to 2 years.

AMS is considering what recordkeeping requirements for persons subject to
the Law would be most appropriate.
Response: Record-keeping should be minimal. The manufacturer could keep on record a digital copy of the label for every product, and keep it for 12 months after the label is discontinued. Sources for the ingredients also need to be recorded, and a copy kept with the label.

27. How should AMS obtain information related to potential non-compliance with these regulations? Is there information USDA should request prior to conducting an examination of non-compliance? (Sec. 293(g))

Context: AMS is considering what tools could be used to identify potential non-compliance and enforce compliance with the regulations. AMS is considering the types of information needed to verify compliance with the Law and the most optimal way to obtain such information.

Response: Citizens’ complaints will be the most likely source of non-compliance. AMS should provide information about where citizens can report foods that are not properly labeled. Citizens’ complaints should be given a reference number. When a product is reported, the manufacturer should be required to send the electronic copy of label and source to AMS for review.

28. What are the rules of practice for a hearing? (Sec. 293(g)(3)(B))

Context: AMS is considering the appropriate procedures for audits and other compliance actions, including opportunities for hearing. AMS is considering this aspect for the rules of practice and other options regarding a prospective hearing and internal adjudication process.

Response: I presume AMS already has practices in place for other hearings. These would be no different.

29. How should AMS make public the summary of any examination, audit, or similar activity? (Sec. 293(g)(3)(C))

Context: AMS is considering if the results and findings of any examination, audit, or similar activity should be posted after the notice and opportunity for a hearing described under Sec. 293(g)(3)(B). AMS is also considering how it should make summaries of the examination, audit, or similar activity public.

Response: All reviews should be posted electronically with the complaint reference number.

30. What should the requirements for imports into the United States of products covered by the Law/regulation be? (Sec. 294(a))
**Context:** AMS is considering how the disclosure requirements should be applied to imported products.

**Response:** Imported products must minimally meet the requirements. If the product has more information regarding bioengineering than the U.S. regulations require, that should be allowed.