## GIAC RECOMMENDATION ORIGIN VS DESTINATION

The GIAC encourages FGIS have continued communications with the Ag industry for consistency in official inspection results where concerns for Origin to Destination official inspection results or official weight variances may be observed. As part of this approach, FGIS should:

- Continue engagement with Ag industry stakeholders for improved transit time.
- Continued education and awareness of origin and destination request rights within the standard for review inspections and sample retention.
- FGIS to evaluate the ability to provide the GIAC with data (e.g., a heat map) from the last 12 months between Origin and Destination official grades to compare results.
- Engage industry (e.g., equipment manufacturers, technology platform providers, service providers, etc.) to encourage a consistent standardization of data for all SaaS technology (Software as a Service) for a future use of IT and data driven technology efficiency.
  Within data management/efficiency, ensure data Security, Privacy, and Standardization are taken into account.

Barbara Strove

## GIAC RECOMMENDATION - TECHNOLOGY

The GIAC endorses recent progress by FGIS to establish a process for evaluating and certifying new technologies for use in the official grain inspection system. The GIAC encourages FGIS to develop a strategy for identifying and implementing the appropriate technologies. In consideration of the need for new and more efficient technology, FGIS should:

- Collaborate with FGIS and official agency personnel to identify priority issues.
- Communicate with grain industry stakeholders to invite feedback.
- Engage other industries and government agencies to learn how technology is being deployed to address challenges that may have relevance to grain grading.

The findings should be reported at the next GIAC meeting for further discussion and recommendations.

Barbara Strove