DRAFT

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance Ferric Phosphate

Category 1. Adverse impacts on I	Tuman	s or ur	e enviro	nment? Substance Ferric Phosphate
Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]			X	
2. Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]	X			Accidental release to water can result in the death of mollusks; it is registered for use by EPA on snails and slugs but cannot be used near water (TAP, pg. 3)
3. Is the substance harmful to the environment? [§6517c(1)(A)(i):6517(c)(2)(A)i]		X		Ferric phosphate occurs naturally in soils; it is GRAS (TAP pg. 5 & 6). Only a problem if it comes in contact with water.
4. Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]		X		No inert ingredients were identified by the U.S. EPA's office of Pesticide Programs (TAP, pg 6).
5. Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used [§6518 m.1]		X		No potential for detrimental chemical interactions are expected with other materials used. (TAP pg 6 & 7). Ferric phosphate has low water solubility. (TAP pg 17 & 21)
6. Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agroecosystem? [§6518 m.5]		X		No potential for detrimental chemical interactions are expected within an agroecosystem (TAP pg 6 & 7). The material should not be used near ponds, streams, etc.
7. Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]		X		Ferric phosphate is abundant is soil; it is not expected to cause detrimental physicological effects to soil organisms, crops or lilvestock. (TAP pg 7)
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]		X		No toxic or other adverse actions are expected. Under conditions of extreme temperatures (>50C, which does not occur 'naturally') does the material release toxic fumes. (TAP pg. 4 & 7)
9. Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment? [§6518 m.2]		X		The amount of ferric phosphate used to control snails and slugs is miniscule compared to the amount of this material naturally in soil (TAP pg. 7). Breakdown products are used by other soil organisms resulting in a very low persistence (TAP pg 22).
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A)(i); 6517 c(2)(A)i; §6518 m.4]		X		Ferric phosphate is a GRAS substance (TAP pg 6 & 22). Only under conditions of excessive ferric phosphate exposure are there any harmful impacts to humans. LD50 is >5000mg/kg. (TAP pg 7) The LD50 of NaCl is 4000 mg/kg. (Ostiguy)
11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]			X	
12. Is the substance GRAS when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]			X	
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600b.5]			X	

 $^{^{1}}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

DRAFT

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance Ferric Phosphate

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
2. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
3. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			X	
4. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	X			The other options, including biological, barrier, repellents, physical and cultural control, are effective but all have their limitations (TAP pg9). These options should be used first.
5. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]			X	
6. Are there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	X			The other options, including biological, barrier, repellents, physical and cultural control, are effective but all have their limitations (TAP pg9). These options should be used first.
7. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]	X			The other options, including biological, barrier, repellents, physical and cultural control, are effective but all have their limitations (TAP pg9). These options should be used first.

 $^{^{1}}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b)are N/A—not applicable.

DRAFT

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance Ferric Phosphate

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [\$205.600 b.2]			X	
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]	X			"When used as a molluscicide, ferric phosphate is consistent with organic farming and handling. Ferric phosphate is not harmful to humans, animals, plants, non-target insects, or soil microbes. (It) is a stable compound that does not persist or form undesirable products in the environment under normal conditions of use and storage." (TAP pg. 10)
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]	X			When used as a molluscicide, ferric phosphate is compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture. "Ferric phosphate is not harmful to humans, animals, plants, non-target insects, or soil microbes. (It) is a stable compound that does not persist or form undesirable products in the environment under normal conditions of use and storage. (TAP pg. 10)
4. Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [\$205.600 b.3]			X	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [\$205.600 b.4]			X	
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]			X	
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories:		X		
a. copper and sulfur compoundsb. toxins derived from bacteria;	·	X	 	
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals?		X		
d. livestock parasiticides and medicines?		X		
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?	X			This material is a production aid

 $^{^{1}}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: M	Substance: Ferric Phosphate								
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)									
Impact on huma	nt		Yes ☐ No ☐ (see B below)						
Availability crite	ria			Yes ☐ No ☐ (see B below)					
3. Compatibility & consistency Yes ☐ No ☐ (see B below)									
C. Proposed Annotation:									
B. Substance fails criteria		C. Froposod / Hillotation:							
Criteria category:		Basis for annota	tion:						
Comments:		To meet criteria	above:	Criteria:	:				
		Other regulatory							
D. Final Board Action & V	ote: Motion by:		Seco	ond:					
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural	Nonagricu	tural	Crops	Х				
Yes:	Synthetic	Not synthe		Livestock					
	Allowed ¹	Prohibited		Handling					
No:	No restriction	Deferred4		Rejected ³					
Abstain:			<u> </u>						
Annotation:	1—substance vote	ed to be added a	s "allowed" on	National L	ist				
2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List Describe why a prohibited substance:									
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List Describe why material was rejected:									
	4-substance was r								
Describe why deferred; if up	any follow-up is ne	eded. If follow-u	p needed, wh	o conducts	follow-				
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP:									
Dave Carter, NOSB Chair Date									
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List:									
Return to NOSB Reason:									
Richard H. Mathews, Program Manager Date									

NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: March 2005				Substance: Ferric Phosphate				
Committee: Crops X Livestock Handling								
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)								
 Impact on humans and environment Availability criteria Compatibility & consistency 					Criteria Satisfied? Yes X No ☐ (see B below) Yes ☐ No X (see B below) Yes X No ☐ (see B below)			
B. Substance fails criteria	1?	C. P	roposed Anı	notation:				
Criteria category: _2.	_	Basi	s for annota	tion:				
	Comments: There are other materials and methods available for controlling snails and slugs To meet criteria above: Criteria: Other regulatory criteria: Citation:							
D. Recommended Comm	nittee Action & Vot	te: N	Motion by: _					
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural		Nonagricul	tural	Crops	X		
Yes:	Synthetic	X	Not synthe	tic Livestock				
No:	Allowed ¹	Prohibited ² Handling Deferred4 Rejected ³ X						
Abstain:	No restriction	Deferred4 Rejected ³ X						
1—substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List Annotation:								
2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List Describe why a prohibited substance:								
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List Describe why material was rejected:								
4-substance was recommended to be deferred Describe why deferred; if follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who will follow up								
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:								
Committee Chair Date								