

TABLE A-RECEIPTS OF PRODUCER MILK BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, BY STATE OF ORIGIN, 2009

	Pro	ducer milk recel	pts		Producer milk receipts				
State and Region	Total 1/	Share of total aby produ		State and Region	Total 1/	Share of total milk marketed by producers 2/			
	13	Fluid Grade 3/	All milk			Fluid Grade 3/	All milk		
	Million pounds	Percent	Percent		Million pounds	Percent	Percent		
New York Pennsylvania Vermont	11,848 9,789 2,439	95 93 99	95 93 99	Wisconsin Minnesota Jowa	22,877 7,805 3,642	93 88 84	91 87 83		
Maryland Maine Connecticut	997 584 341	99 98 97	99 98 97	South Dakota Nebraska <u>North Dakota</u>	1,430 930 <u>223</u>	78 78 <u>72</u>	76 78 <u>58</u>		
New Hampshire Massachusetts Other 4/	usetts 229 93 93 259 92 92		Midwest	36,907	90	88			
Northeast	26,766	95	95	Colorado Kansas Missouri	2,644 2,256 1,327 626	93 91 88	93 91 85 62		
Florida Georgia Virginia Kentucky	2,072 1,354 1,334 1,175	100 97 77 99	100 97 77 99	Oklahoma <u>Arkansas</u> Central	162 7,014	62 <u>98</u> 88	9 <u>8</u> 87		
Tennessee North Carolina South Carolina	892 870 322	98 98 100	98 98 98 100	Texas New Mexico	7,408 6,686	84 85	84 85		
Louisiana Mississippi Alabama	260 245 162	95 98 99	95 98 99	Arizona Southwest	3 <u>,917</u> 18,012	<u>96</u> 87	<u>96</u> 87		
Southeast	8,686	94	94	Washington Oregon	5,221 1,651	94 73	94 73		
Michigan Ohio Indiana Illinois West Virginia	7,770 4,735 3,319 1,738 <u>130</u>	98 95 99 92 80	98 91 98 90 <u>80</u>	Idaho California <u>Other 5/</u> West	1,104 190 <u>88</u> 8,253	9 4/ <u>3</u> 13	9 5/ <u>3</u> 13		
Mideast	17,691	<u>96</u>	<u>95</u>	Total U.S.	123,330	66	65		

^{1/} Receipts are listed according to the location of the producer, not the location of the regulated handler. Regional and Total U.S. figures may not add due to rounding. Excludes volumes not pooled due to disadvantageous price relationships. 2/ Computed from data contained in "Milk Production, Disposition and Income - 2009 Summary", NASS, USDA. NOTE: NASS "milk marketed" includes milk sold to plants and dealers, milk sold directly to consumers, and milk produced by institutional herds. 3/ Milk marketed that is eligible for fluid use (Grade A in most States). 4/ Includes data for New Jersey, Delaware, and Rhode Island for which the information is administratively confidential. 5/ Includes data for Alaska, Hawaii, Montana, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming for which the Information is administratively confidential.

TABLE B-NUMBER OF FEDERAL ORDERS UNDER WHICH MILK WAS MARKETED, BY STATE AND REGION, 2009, WITH COMPARISONS 1/

State and Region	Numt	per of Federal	orders	State and Region	Number of Federal orders			
	2009 2004 1999		7	2009	2004	1999		
		Number				Number		
Connecticut Delaware	1 2	1 2	2 2	lowa Minnesota	4 3	3 3	7 7	
Maine	2	1	2	Nebraska	3	2	5	
Maryland	4	4	7	North Dakota	1	2	2	
Massachusetts	2	1	2	South Dakota	2	2	4	
New Hampshire	1	1	1	Wisconsin				
New Jersey	2	2	2	Midwest	<u>6</u> 6	<u>6</u> 6	<u>11</u> 15	
New York	3	3	8	Mildwest	,	U	,,,	
Pennsylvania	5	5	7	Arkansas	4	3	3	
Rhode Island	1	1	1	Colorado	3	6	12	
Vermont			<u>2</u>	Kansas	5	6	10	
Northeast	<u>2</u> 5	<u>2</u> 5	8	Missouri	4	4	11	
recinicast	"	3	Ū	Oklahoma	7			
Alabama	3	3	3	Central	777	• <u>5</u> 8	<u>7</u> 19	
Florida	3	2	5	Ocinical	· '	Ü	13	
Georgia	3	5	6	Arizona	2	3	2	
Kentucky	4	3	4	New Mexico	4	6	9	
Louisiana	1	2	1	Texas				
Mississippi	2	2	1	Southwest	<u>7</u> 7	<u>5</u> 7	<u>11</u> 13	
North Carolina	4	2	3	Couliniosi	'	•	10	
South Carolina	3	2	. 2	Alaska	0	0	0	
Tennessee	4	3	4	California	3	5	5	
<u>Virginia</u>		3	<u>5</u>	Hawaii	ő	Ö	Ö	
Southeast	<u>5</u> 6	<u>3</u> 7	9	Idaho	4	4	5	
Courteast	"	,	J ,	Montana	Ō	1	0	
Illinois	6	6	8	Nevada	0	3	1	
Indiana	7	6	9	Oregon	1	3	2	
Michigan	7	7	10	Utah	4	4	2	
Ohio	6	7	7	Washington	1	1	1	
West Virginia	3		<u>6</u>	Wyoming			2	
Mideast	<u>3</u> 7	<u>3</u> 7	<u>u</u> 14	West	<u>2</u> 7	<u>2</u> 8	<u>2</u> 8	
				Total U.S.	10	11	31	

^{1/} Number of orders under which milk produced by dairy farmers located in the state was marketed to regulated handlers. For example, milk produced in New York was marketed under three Federal milk orders in 2009. The regional figure is the net number of orders under which the milk produced by dairy farmers located in the region was marketed to regulated handlers.

TABLE C-SOURCES OF MILK FOR FEDERAL MILK ORDERS: RECEIPTS OF PRODUCER MILK BY MARKETING AREA AND STATE, 2009 1/

Federal milk marketing area and State 2/	Producer n	nilk receipts	Cadanal — His mask-ti-s	Producer milk receipts		
	Total Share of market total		Federal mllk marketing area and State 2/	Total	Share of market total	
	1,000 lbs.	Percent		1,000 lbs.	Percent	
APPALACHIAN	5,950,398	100.00	FLORIDA	3,027,184	100.00	
Virginia	1,094,815	18.40	Florida	2,014,352	66.54	
Indiana	898,613	15.10	Georgia	783,586	25.88	
North Carolina	798,978	13.43	Virginia	102,026	3.37	
Ohio	606,280	10.19	South Carolina	51,064	1.69	
Kentucky	530,911	8.92	North Carolina	34,531	1.14	
Tennessee	479,121	8.05	AL - IN - MI - NM - OK - PA -			
Pennsylvania	460,026	7.73	TN - TX	41,625	1.38	
Michigan	298,589	5.02				
South Carolina	254,486	4.28		İ		
Texas	215,606	3.62		Į.		
Maryland	106.804	1.79	MIDEAST	16,597,328	100.00	
Illinois	65,568	1.10	Michigan	7,026,612	42.34	
AL - DE - FL - GA - KS -			Ohio	3,997,794	24.09	
NJ - NY - OK - WI - WV	140,601	2.36	Indiana	1,734,071	10.45	
	1,== .		New York	1,609,591	9.70	
			Pennsylvania	1,268,037	7.64	
	1		Wisconsin	710.872	4.28	
ARIZONA	4,042,327	100.00	IA - IL - KY - MA - MD - ME - MN -			
Arizona	3,828,233	94.70	NC - TX - UT - VA - VT - WV	250,352	1.51	
CA - TX	214,094	5.29				
		ĺ		20 470 222	100.00	
CENTRAL	10 005 175	100.00	UPPER MIDWEST Wisconsin	32,170,322	<u>100.00</u> 67.41	
Colorado	12,685,175 2,628,077	<u>100,00</u> 20,72	Minnesota	21,687,318 7,521,704	23.38	
lowa	1 ' '	20.72			3.32	
Kansas	2,552,067	11,00	lowa South Dakota	1,067,503 959,741	2.98	
New Mexico	1,395,879			532,051	2.98 1.65	
illinois	1,031,676	8.13	Illinois	552,051	1.00	
Nebraska	1,002,324	7.90	AR - ID - IN - MI - MO - ND - NE - OH - OK	400.000	1.25	
Texas	899,705	7.09	NE - UH - UK	402,006	1.25	
Idaho	634,840	5.00		}		
South Dakota	592,000	4.67				
Wisconsin	469,843	3.70				
Oklahoma	422,091	3.33		İ		
Missouri	369,922	2.92		i		
Minnesota	329,117	2.59				
	279,653	2.20				
AR - CA - IN - KY - MI - OH - TN - UT - WY	77,981	0.61]		
·	77,501	0.01				
				ı		

CONTINUED

TABLE C-SOURCES OF MILK FOR FEDERAL MILK ORDERS: RECEIPTS OF PRODUCER MILK BY MARKETING AREA AND STATE, 2009 1/-CONT.

	Producer n	ıllk receipts		Producer milk recelpts		
Federal milk marketing area and State 2/	Total	Share of market total	Federal milk marketing area and Stale 2/	Total	Share of market total	
	1,000 lbs.	Percent		1,000 lbs.	Percent	
PACIFIC NORTHWEST Washington Oregon CA - ID	7,384,547 5,220,548 1,650,724 513,275	100.00 70.70 22.35 6.95	SOUTHWEST New Mexico Texas Kansas AZ - CO - ID - OK - UT	10,808,790 5,461,242 5,020,532 219,738 107,277	100.00 50.53 46.45 2.03 0.99	
SOUTHEAST Texas Missouri Kentucky Indiana Kansas Georgia Tennessee Michigan Louisiana Mississippi Oklahoma New Mexico Alabama Arkansas Ohio CO - FL - IL - MD - NC - NE -	7.169.318 1.473,431 992,777 630,040 605,060 604,575 552,299 405,681 335,682 260,112 244,434 242,174 192,943 162,132 159,096 78,717 230,164	100.00 20.55 13.85 8.79 8.44 8.42 7.70 5.66 4.68 3.63 3.41 3.38 2.69 2.26 2.22 1.10	NORTHEAST New York Pennsylvania Vermont Maryland Maine Connecticut New Hampshire AR - DE - IA - IL - IN - KS - MA - MI - MN - MO - NJ - OH - OK - RI - VA - WI - WV	23,494,743 10,238,636 8,057,517 2,439,311 859,240 583,780 340,977 278,788	100.00 43.58 34.29 10.38 3.66 2.48 1.45 1.19	

If The source of the receipt is based on the location of the producer, not the location of the regulated handler. Marketing area totals may not add due to rounding. 2/ For some marketing areas, receipts from some sates have been combined ito protect confidentiality. The states are listed by decreasing proportions of deliveries to the marketing area. For some marketing areas, handlers elected not to pool producer milk that normally would have been associated with the marketing area due to disadvantageous price relationships.

TABLE D-THE TEN STATES FROM WHICH THE LARGEST VOLUME OF PRODUCER MILK WAS RECEIVED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, 2009, WITH COMPARISONS

	2009					200)4		1999			
State	Federal milk order	Producer milk receipts in all Federal orders		United States	Federal milk order	Producer milk receipts in all Federal orders		United States	Federal milk order	Producer milk receipts iπ all Federal orders		United States
	rank 1/	Million pounds	Percent of total	rank 2/		Millon pounds	Percent of total	rank 2/	rank 1/	Million pounds	Percent of total	rank 2/
 Wisconsin	1	22,877	18.5	2	1	14,018	13.6	2	1	16,460	15.3	2
New York	2	11,848	9.6	3	2	10,637	10.3	3	2	10,638	8.8	3
Pennsylvania	3	9,789	7.9	5	3	9,356	9.1	4	3	9,482	7.5	4
Minnesota	4	7,805	6.3	6	7	4,904	4.8	8	4	8,127	4.9	5
Michigan	5	7,770	6.3	8	5	5,725	5.6	5	6	5,220	4.6	9
Texas	6	7,408	6.0	7	4	8,855	5.7	7	5	5,284	4.8	7
New Mexico	7	6,686	5.4	9	6	5,271	5.1	11	9	3,547	2.7	10
Washington	8	5,221	4.2	10	8	4,714	4.6	9	7	4,969	3.4	8
Ohio	9	4,735	3.8	11	9	3,863	3.7	10	8	3,711	3.3	11
Агігопа	10	3,917	3.2	13	10	3,050	3.0	13	12	2,697	2.2	13
Total Top Ten 3/		82,177	71.4			67,393	65.4			75,837	70.3	

^{1/} Ranked according to total producer milk receipts in all Federal milk order markets. 2/ Ranked according to total milk production in the United States.