

Copper Sulfate

Livestock

Identification of Petitioned Substance

Chemical Names:

Copper sulfate

Other Names:

Copper (II) sulfate, cupric sulfate, copper sulfate pentahydrate also called bluestone, blue vitriol, Roman vitriol, and Salzburg vitriol

Trade Names:

Agritox, BSC Copper Fungicide, CP Basic Sulfate, TriBasic Copper Sulfate, Triangle Brand Copper Sulfate Crystal, Diamond Copper Sulphate (Bluestone).

CAS Numbers:

Refer to Table 1

Other Codes:

Refer to Table 1

Table 1 Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) registry numbers and U.S. EPA Pesticide Code²

| Copper product | Formula | CAS Number | EPA PC Code | EPA Registered ? |
|------------------------------|--|------------|-------------|------------------|
| Copper sulfate basic | $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot \text{CuSO}_4$ | 1344-73-6 | 008101 | Yes |
| Copper sulfate pentahydrate | $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 7758-99-8 | 024401 | Yes |
| Copper sulfate (anhydrous) | CuSO_4 | 7758-98-7 | 024408 | No |
| Copper sulfate (monohydrate) | $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 1332-14-5 | 024402 | No |

¹The International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) name for this active ingredient is copper (2⁺) sulfate or copper (II) sulfate.
² Copper Compounds Listed in 40 CFR 180.1021

Summary of Petitioned Use

Currently, copper sulfate is listed on USDA National Organic Program's (NOP) National List of allowed synthetic substances for use in organic livestock production.

This falls under the following section:

§205.603 Synthetic substances allowed for use in organic livestock production

(b) As topical treatment, external parasiticide or local anesthetic as applicable.

Copper sulfate is scheduled to sunset on June 27, 2017. As required by the Organic Foods Production Act¹, the National Organic Standards Board requested a technical evaluation report of this substance under the sunset process.

¹ 7 USC Sec. 6517(d)

Characterization of Petitioned Substance

Composition of the Substance:

Copper sulfate is an inorganic compound that combines sulfur with copper. The salt exists as a series of compounds that differ in the degree of hydration. Copper sulfate, bluestone and blue vitriol are all common names for cupric sulfate pentahydrate, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, which is the best known and the most widely used of the copper salts (Merck Index, 1989, NPIC, 2012).

Sulfate (CAS no. 14808-79-8) is the salt of sulfuric acid and contains the anion SO_4^{2-} (molecular weight, 96.07 grams/mole equivalent). It is found widely in the environment both terrestrial and aquatic. Sulfate reducing bacteria use the reduction of sulfates coupled with the oxidation of organic compounds or hydrogen as an energy source for chemosynthesis (Merck Index, 1989).

Source or Origin of the Substance:

Copper sulfate is produced commercially by reacting various copper minerals and/or metal with sulfuric acid. In nature, the anhydrous form occurs as a rare mineral known as chalcocyanite. The hydrated copper sulfate occurs as chalcantite (pentahydrate) mineral. The production of copper sulfate, using copper ore as the starting raw material, is rarely utilized. The bulk of production comes from nonferrous scrap. The scrap metal is refined and dissolved in dilute sulfuric acid. The saturated solution is allowed to cool and the copper sulfate crystals form.

Properties of the Substance:

The chemical properties for copper sulfate pentahydrate and copper sulfate are summarized Table 2 (Merck Index, 1989, NPIC, 2012).

Table 2 Chemical properties of Copper Sulfate

| Active Ingredient | Formula | Form | Molecular weight (g/mol) | Density (g/cm ³) | Solubility | Solubility (water) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Copper sulfate pentahydrate | $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | Blue triclinic crystals, granules or powder | 249.69 | 2.28 | Soluble in methanol 10.4g/L (18°C) insoluble in ethanol | 316 g/L (0 C) 2033 g/L (100 C) |
| Copper sulfate (anhydrous) | CuSO_4 | Light gray/blue green rhombic crystals or fine powder | 159.61 | 3.60 | Insoluble in ethanol | 243 g/L (0 °C) 320 g/L (20 C) 618 g/L (60 C) 1140 g/L (100 C) |

Commercially, copper sulfate contains 25 % metallic copper and is sold with a guaranteed minimum purity of 98 % copper sulfate. It is produced in a number of grades varying from large crystal lumps, of 25 mm or more in diameter from which it appropriately derives the name bluestone, to very fine powders of almost the fineness of talcum powder. The four commonest grades, based on crystal diameter sizes, are: large crystals (from 10 mm to 40 mm), small crystals (from 2 mm to 10 mm), granulated or snow crystals (less than 2 mm) and windswept powder (less than 0.15 mm) (Copper.org, 2015).

64 Specific Uses of the Substance:

65 Copper is ubiquitous in nature and a necessary nutritional element for both animals and plants. The daily
66 recommended allowance of copper for human adult nutrition is 2 mg. Ground water used for drinking
67 purposes contains copper ions. The actual amount varies from region to region. The Environmental
68 Protection Agency (EPA) has set a maximum contaminant level for copper at 1.3 ppm. Copper sulfate also
69 used in feed rations to treat copper deficiency in food producing animals (RED-Cu, 2009).

70 Copper sulfate is listed on NOP's National List of allowed synthetic substances for use in organic crop
71 production. Copper sulfate in various forms is used as a fungicide and algicide. As a fungicide, a mix of
72 copper sulfate pentahydrate and calcium hydroxide (Bordeaux mixture) can be effective against plant
73 diseases caused by both fungi (such as powdery mildew, downy mildew) and by bacteria (such as bacterial
74 leafspots and fireblight). Another application is a mixture of copper sulfate and ammonium carbonate that
75 can be (cheshunt mix) used in conventional horticulture to prevent damping off in seedlings (RED-Cu,
76 2009, Beckerman, 2008).

77 Copper pesticides in their various forms are EPA registered for use on numerous aquatic applications (EPA,
78 2008) .

- 79 • As an algicide, copper can control or eliminate algae and invasive aquatic weeds from aquaculture
80 facilities, drainage systems ponds, crop and non-crop irrigation canals, and sewage lagoons and
81 potable water lines.
- 82 • As a molluscicide, a dilute solution of copper sulfate can be used to remove snails from an
83 aquarium, and control freshwater snails that may be a vector for harmful trematodes. Copper
84 sulfate and has been used to control invertebrates, specifically tadpole shrimp (Godfrey and
85 Espino, 1999), in rice production.
- 86 • An estimated 9-11 million pounds of elemental copper in the form of copper sulfate pentahydrate
87 are applied each year solely for algae and weed control (RED-Cu, 2009).

88 In addition, Copper sulfate is listed on the National List of allowed synthetic substances for use in organic
89 livestock production (§ 205.603) as a topical treatment, external parasiticide or local anesthetic. Copper
90 ions have been reported to have antimicrobial activity against a wide range of aerobic and anaerobic
91 bacteria and fungi. The exact mechanisms by which copper sulfate exerts its biocidal effect is a source of
92 numerous ongoing investigations in the scientific literature.

93 Copper sulfate has been used as a footbath antiseptic to help control and prevent infectious hoof disease
94 problems that affect the skin adjacent to the claw horn of dairy cattle and sheep i.e., digital dermatitis (DD)
95 (hairy heel warts), foot rot lesions (interdigital area and invading the subcutaneous tissue), and heel
96 erosions. Depending on the severity of the infection, the impact on managed cattle and or sheep ranges
97 from minor discomfort to severe debilitating lameness, reproductive problems and in the dairy industry a
98 reduction of milk production ranging from 20 to 50 percent (Brown, et al., 2000, Losinger, 2006).

99 The bacterial spirochetes, Treponema spp., are thought to be a major contributor to the cause and origin of
100 DD. Other bacteria associated with causing the infectious hoof disease include Fusobacterium
101 necrophorum, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Actinomyces pyogenes (Hartshorn, et al.,
102 2013, and Blezinger, 2004). Foot rot is caused by an interaction of two anaerobic, Gram negative bacteria,
103 Bacteroides nodosus and Fusobacterium necrophorum. Fusobacterium necrophorum is a normal inhabitant
104 of the ruminant digestive tract and in wet weather may interact with another bacterium, Corynebacterium
105 pyogenes, to produce the infection (Tomlinson et al., 2014, Whittier et al., 2009).

106 Additional factors that contribute to the infection include poor animal hygiene, an injury to the skin of
107 some type, continuous exposure to wet conditions, a housed free stall cubicle design and diagnosing and
108 treating suspected lesions and infections. Copper sulfate solutions (5 to 10% w/v) are commonly used in
109 footbaths. The higher the concentration of copper ions in the water solution, the higher the lethality rate for
110 the targeted bacteria. It also is dependent on the frequency of changing solutions, footbath dimensions and
111 location in the operation.

112 The bacteriostatic properties of copper sulfate are attributed to copper ions reacting with sulfhydryl-(SH)
113 group of thiol-dependent enzymes, thus stopping the oxidizing process of the anaerobic bacteria

114 (Epperson et al., 2007). The popularity of copper sulfate footbaths can be attributed to both its relatively
 115 low cost per footbath and that it effectively controls the infectious lesions (Hoffman, 2012). Research has
 116 shown that using copper sulfate footbaths decreases both the incidence and severity of foot lesions over
 117 time. However, some data suggest that copper sulfate is rapidly neutralized by organic matter (Greenough
 118 et al., 1997) and is less effective as the infection becomes progressively worse.

119 Concerns with using copper sulfate include metal corrosion and disposal of the copper sulfate solution. On
 120 the farm, discarding the diluted copper sulfate solution with manure (and placed in wastewater lagoon) is a
 121 normal practice (Ippolito et al., 2013). For example, if a dairy operation is using a 50-gallon footbath
 122 containing a 5% w/v copper sulfate solution, twice a week, and changed the solution every 200 animal
 123 passes, 10.9 pounds of copper sulfate/animal per year will be discarded. Although the copper solution is
 124 diluted when mixed with manure, there is a potential for copper levels to increase in the soil over time
 125 when the manure is added to agricultural land as a soil amendment or fertilizer. High copper levels in the
 126 soil impact crop yields due to phytotoxicity and could exceed EPA and state guidelines for copper loading
 127 of agricultural land (Ippolito et al., 2011 and 2013).

128 Some examples of copper sulfate non-agricultural uses include pyrotechnic compositions (firework
 129 displays), a mordant in textile dyeing, in preparation of azo dyes, in preserving hides and tanning leather,
 130 electroplating coatings, reagents in analytical chemistry, use as ingredient in human and veterinary
 131 medicine, and as a wood preservative

132

133 **Approved Legal Uses of the Substance:**

134 Environmental Protection Agency

135 40 CFR § 180.1021 Copper: exemption from the requirement of a tolerance.

136 (a) Copper is exempted from the requirement of a tolerance in cattle, meat; goat, meat; hog, meat; horse,
 137 meat; sheep, meat; milk, poultry, fat; poultry, meat; poultry, meat byproducts; egg, fish, shellfish, and
 138 irrigated crops when it results from the use of:

139 (1) Copper sulfate as an algacide or herbicide in irrigation conveyance systems and lakes, ponds,
 140 reservoirs, or bodies of water in which fish or shellfish are cultivated.

141 (b) Copper compounds exempt from the requirement of a tolerance when applied (primarily) as a fungicide
 142 to growing crops using good agricultural practices are provided in Table 3:

143

144

Table 3 Exempt Copper Compounds

| Copper compounds | CAS Reg. No. |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Copper sulfate basic | 1344-73-6 |
| Copper sulfate pentahydrate | 7758-99-8 |

145

146 (c) Copper sulfate pentahydrate (CAS Reg. No. 7758-99-8) is exempt from the requirement of a tolerance
 147 when applied as a fungicide to growing crops or to raw agricultural commodities after harvest, and as a
 148 bactericide/fungicide in or on meat, fat and meat by-products of cattle, sheep, hogs, goats, horses and
 149 poultry, milk and eggs when applied as a bactericide/fungicide to animal premises and bedding.

150 Food and Drug Administration

151 21 CFR § 184.1261 Copper sulfate. Direct Food Substances Affirmed as Generally Recognized as Safe

152 (a) Copper sulfate (cupric sulfate, CuSO₄·5 H₂O, CAS Reg. No. 7758-99-8) usually is used in the
 153 pentahydrate form. This form occurs as large, deep blue or ultramarine, triclinic crystals; as blue granules,

154 or as a light blue powder. The ingredient is prepared by the reaction of sulfuric acid with cupric oxide or
155 with copper metal.

156 (b) The ingredient must be of purity suitable for its intended use.

157 (c) In accordance with § 184.1(b) (1), the ingredient is used in food with no limitation other than current
158 good manufacturing practice. The affirmation of this ingredient as generally recognized as safe (GRAS) as
159 a direct human food ingredient is based upon the following current good manufacturing practice conditions
160 of use:

161 (1) The ingredient is used as a nutrient supplement as defined in § 170.3(o) (20) of this chapter and
162 as a processing aid as defined in § 170.3(o) (24) of this chapter.

163 (2) The ingredient is used in food at levels not to exceed current good manufacturing practice.
164 Copper sulfate may be used in infant formula in accordance with section 412(g) of the Federal
165 Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) or with regulations promulgated under section 412(a) (2)
166 of the Act. (d) Prior sanctions for this ingredient different from the uses established in this section
167 do not exist or have been waived.

168

169 **Action of the Substance:**

170 The copper ion is the component of copper sulfate with toxicological implications. Copper ions appear to
171 bind to functional groups of protein molecules in bacteria, fungi and algae and cause protein denaturation,
172 producing cell damage and leakage. Protein components that act as binding sites are sulfidal groups,
173 phosphate (thiol), carboxyls, and imidazoles (Epperson et al., 2007, Gyawali et al., 2011)

174 Copper is highly toxic to most aquatic species (NPIC, 2012). The main cause of copper toxicity to fish and
175 aquatic invertebrates is through rapid binding of copper ions to the gill membranes, which causes damage
176 and interferes with osmoregulatory processes. The amount of cupric ion in the environment, and its
177 toxicity to aquatic animals through gill damage, is dependent on a number of water quality parameters
178 including pH, alkalinity, and dissolved organic carbon. In mollusks, copper sulfate disrupts surface
179 epithelia function and peroxidase enzymes. Studies with sheep have shown high sensitivity to products
180 containing copper sulfate, possibly due to inefficient copper excretion (NPIC, 2012).

181

182 **Combinations of the Substance:**

183 Copper sulfate is an inorganic compound that combines copper with sulfur. It is bought commercially as
184 Copper sulfate pentahydrate.

185

| |
|-------------------|
| 186 Status |
|-------------------|

187

188 **Historic Use:**

189 Copper salts have been widely used in domestic agriculture since late 18th century for its fungicidal
190 and bactericidal properties (Van- Zwieten et al., 2004). Copper sulfate has been registered for use as a
191 pesticide since 1956. The EPA completed the reregistration of copper sulfate in 2009. As a result, two
192 pesticides, copper sulfate monohydrate and copper sulfate anhydrous, were cancelled. Products containing
193 copper sulfate can be liquids, dust or crystals. The compound is listed on NOP's National List of allowed
194 synthetic substances for use in organic production.

195

196 **Organic Foods Production Act, USDA Final Rule:**

197 7 CFR § 205.601 Synthetic substances allowed for use in organic crop production.

198 (a) As algacide, disinfectants, and sanitizer, including irrigation system cleaning systems.

199 (3) Copper sulfate—for use as an algacide in aquatic rice systems, is limited to one application per
200 field during any 24-month period. Application rates are limited to those which do not increase
201 baseline soil test values for copper over a timeframe agreed upon by the producer and accredited
202 certifying agent.

203 (e) As insecticides (including acaricides or mite control).

204 (3) Copper sulfate—for use as tadpole shrimp control in aquatic rice production, is limited to one
205 application per field during any 24-month period. Application rates are limited to levels which do
206 not increase baseline soil test values for copper over a timeframe agreed upon by the producer and
207 accredited certifying agent.

208 As plant disease control.

209 (1) Coppers, fixed—copper hydroxide, copper oxide, copper oxychloride, includes products
210 exempted from EPA tolerance, Provided, That, copper-based materials must be used in a manner
211 that minimizes accumulation in the soil and shall not be used as herbicides.

212 (2) Copper sulfate-Substance must be used in a manner that minimizes accumulation of copper in
213 the soil.

214 As plant or soil amendments.

215 (6) Micronutrients-not to be used as a defoliant, herbicide, or desiccant. Those made from nitrates or
216 chlorides not allowed. Soil deficiency must be documented by testing. Sulfates, carbonates, oxides
217 or silicates of zinc, copper, iron, manganese, molybdenum, selenium, and cobalt.

218

219 7 CFR §205.603 Synthetic substances allowed for use in organic livestock production

220 (b) As topical treatment, external parasiticide or local anesthetic as applicable.

221 **International**

222 The allowed or prohibited use of Copper sulfate by other international organic standards organizations:

223 **Canada - Canadian General Standards Board Permitted Substances List – CAN/CGSB-32.311-2006**

224 **Amended June 2011**

225 Permitted Substances Lists for Crop Production:

226 1. Copper products --These products shall be used in a manner that prevents excessive copper
227 accumulation in the soil. Buildup of copper in soil may prohibit future use. Use with caution. No visible
228 residue shall be allowed on harvested crops. Basic copper sulphate, copper oxide, copper sulphate and
229 copper oxysulphate may be used to correct documented copper deficiencies. Copper ammonia base, copper
230 ammonium carbonate, copper nitrate and cuprous chloride are prohibited as sources of copper for plant
231 nutrients.

232 2. Includes copper hydroxide for use as a wood preservative or for disease control; copper sulphates for use
233 as a fungicide; Bordeaux mix, copper oxychloride, copper oxide, fungicides or wood treatments, for fruits
234 and vegetables. These products shall be used in a manner that prevents excessive copper accumulation in
235 the soil. Buildup of copper in soil may prohibit future use. Use with caution. No visible residue shall be
236 allowed on harvested crops. Basic copper sulphate, copper oxide, copper sulphate and copper oxysulphate
237 may be used to correct documented copper deficiencies. Copper ammonia base, copper ammonium
238 carbonate, copper nitrate and cuprous chloride are prohibited as sources of copper for plant nutrients.

239

240 Permitted Substances Lists for Livestock Production:

241 1. For use as an essential nutrient (source of copper and sulphur) and for topical use (footbaths). Sulphates
242 produced using sulphuric acid are prohibited.

243

244

245
 246 **CODEX Alimentarius Commission, Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and**
 247 **Marketing of Organically Produced Foods (GL 32-1999) --(CODEX-GL 32, 1999)¹ Amended by the**
 248 **26th and 27th Sessions of the Codex Alimentarius Commission in 2003 and 2004 provides for use of**
 249 **copper sulfate for organic production (Table 4).**
 250

Table 4: Codex Alimentarius Copper Use for Plant and Disease Control

| Substance | Description; compositional requirements; conditions of use |
|--|--|
| Copper in the form of copper hydroxide, copper oxychloride, (tribasic) copper sulphate, cuprous oxide, Bordeaux mixture and Burgundy mixture | Need, prescription and application rates recognized by certification body or authority. As a fungicide on condition that the substance be used in such a way as to minimize copper accumulation in the soil. |
| The Codex has not established a MRL for copper sulfate pentahydrate (pesticide). | |

251
 252 **European Economic Community (EEC) Council Regulation, EC No. 834/2007 and 889/2008**
 253 Please refer to Table 5.

Table 5. EEC: Copper use permitted in organic farming

| Authorization | Name | Description, compositional requirement, conditions for use |
|---|--|---|
| Plant Protection Products referred to 6.5.1 Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 | Copper compounds in the form of copper hydroxide, copper oxychloride, copper oxide, Bordeaux mixture, and tribasic copper sulphate | Only uses as bactericide and fungicide up to 6 kg copper per hectare per year. For perennial crops, CBs may, by derogation from the first paragraph, provide that the 6 kg copper limit can be exceeded in a given year provided that the average quantity actually used over a 5-year period consisting of that year and of the four preceding years does not exceed 6 kg. Risk mitigation measures shall be taken to protect water and non-target organisms such as buffer zones. Only products also specified in the Annex to implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 (number 277). |
| Feed additives and certain substances used in animal nutrition referred to 6.7.20 Additives listed must have been approved under Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council on additives for use in animal nutrition. | Cupric oxide, basic cupric carbonate, monohydrate, copper sulphate, pentahydrate; | |
| European Union's regulation on organic production and labeling of organic products was revised in September 2008 (EU-889/2008). | | |

Japan Agricultural Standard (JAS) for Organic Production-

256 <http://www.ams.usda.gov/nop/NOP/TradeIssues/JAS.html>

257
258 Standards and Individual Procedures for Judging Compliance of Substances Listed in Appendices 1 and 2
259 of Japanese Agricultural Standards for Organic Plants: Notice 1180, August 2009
260 3. Copper sulfate: Only for use in preparation of Bordeaux mixture.

261 Substances for Plant Pest and Disease Control (Table 2):

262 Copper wettable powder

263 Copper powdered agent

264

International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM)-

265

266
267 Since 2002, the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM) has limited total
268 copper input on organic farms to a maximum of 8 kg /ha/ year. These restrictions applied by the organic
269 farming industry acknowledge the potential for copper levels in orchard top-soils to accumulate with
270 repeated application.

271

Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Crop or Livestock Production

272

273

274 **Evaluation Question #1: Indicate which category in OFPA that the substance falls under: (A) Does**
275 **the substance contain an active ingredient in any of the following categories: copper and sulfur**
276 **compounds, toxins derived from bacteria; pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions,**
277 **treated seed, vitamins and minerals; livestock parasiticides and medicines and production aids**
278 **including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment**
279 **cleansers? (B) Is the substance a synthetic inert ingredient that is not classified by the EPA as inerts**
280 **of toxicological concern (i.e., EPA List 4 inerts) (7 U.S.C. § 6517(c) (1) (B) (ii))? Is the synthetic**
281 **substance an inert ingredient which is not on EPA List 4, but is exempt from a requirement of a**
282 **tolerance, per 40 CFR part 180?**

283 Copper sulfate pentahydrate is composed of copper (25.4%), oxygen and sulfur. The salt, in various
284 hydrated states, can be used in crop (to control plant disease, invertebrate, and algae) and livestock
285 production (as an antimicrobial agent). Depending on its final use, additional ingredients/elements could
286 be added to the mixture, if bought commercially.

287

288 **Evaluation Question #2: Describe the most prevalent processes used to manufacture or formulate**
289 **the petitioned substance. Further, describe any chemical change that may occur during**
290 **manufacture or formulation of the petitioned substance when this substance is extracted from**
291 **naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral sources (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).**

292

293 Copper sulfate is produced commercially by reacting various copper minerals and or metal with sulfuric
294 acid (Copper Org, 2015).

295

296 **Evaluation Question #3: Discuss whether the petitioned substance is formulated or manufactured**
297 **by a chemical process, or created by naturally occurring biological processes (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).**

298

299 The Copper Development Association, Inc. (Copper Org, 2015) reported that world-wide there were more
300 than 100 producers, yielding 200,000 tons of the copper compounds annually. It is estimated that
301 approximately three-quarters is used in agriculture, principally as a fungicide.

302 Copper Org, 2015 also reported that for the bulk of the production comes from nonferrous scrap and not
303 copper ore. The scrap is dissolved in dilute sulfuric acid than allowed to cool slowly to form the traditional
304 large crystals of copper sulfate. Other methods of producing the salt include:

- 305 • heating copper scrap with sulfur to produce copper sulfide and then oxidized to form copper
306 sulfate,
- 307 • heating copper sulfide ores to produce copper oxide and then treat with sulfuric acid to form copper
308 sulfate, and
- 309 • exposing low grade ore to the air and bacterial action.

310 Therefore, Copper sulfate is a synthetic compound produced by a chemical process.

311

312 **Evaluation Question #4: Describe the persistence or concentration of the petitioned substance**
313 **and/or its by-products in the environment (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2)).**

314

315 Copper is an essential microelement to plant growth. Chlorophyll production, protein synthesis and
316 respiration are important plant functions that need copper. About 70 percent of the copper in plants is found
317 in the chlorophyll. Most crop tissues (leaves and stems) normally contain copper in the range of 5 - 30 ppm.
318 The copper content of soils ranges from 2 to 100 ppm, with an average value of about 30 ppm. Most
319 agricultural crops remove (i.e., alfalfa, corn and other small grains) remove less than 0.1 lb./acre of copper
320 per year (Schulte et al.,1999; Stehouwer et al., 2004) The copper ion is held tightly by minerals and
321 organic matter in the soil and most copper in the soil are unavailable to plants. Organic matter and soil pH
322 are major factors influencing copper availability. Increasing the soil pH increases the ions bonding to
323 organic matter. Although the soil rarely produces excessive amounts of copper on its own, copper toxicity
324 can occur from over application of the micronutrient in agricultural production. For example, horticultural
325 and viticultural operations with a long history of copper (Bordeaux mix) fungicide application have
326 resulted in accumulations of copper in the soil (Gallagher et al., 2001 and Chaignon et al., 2003). The
327 classic foliar symptom of copper toxicity is interveinal chlorosis (pale green yellow striping in leaves) and
328 stunted growth due to root damage. Neutralizing copper soil toxicity is extremely difficult once the
329 problem occurs. Copper has low solubility, which enables it to persist in the soil for years.

330

331 **Evaluation Question #5: Describe the toxicity and mode of action of the substance and of its**
332 **breakdown products and any contaminants. Describe the persistence and areas of concentration in**
333 **the environment of the substance and its breakdown products (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2)).**

334

335 Copper sulfate footbath has been used as management strategy to treat hoof related diseases in dairy cattle
336 and sheep. However, several papers in the scientific literature and state agricultural extension service
337 bulletins raise the concerns about disposing used copper sulfate solution into the manure pit or wastewater
338 lagoons (Epperson et al 2007, Ippolito et al., 2011, Moore, 2009, Stehouwer et al., 2004). The additional
339 copper ions can increase the overall concentration significantly in manure slurry, from approximately 5.0
340 grams per 1,000 liters to 90.0 grams per 1,000 liters. This enriched dairy waste is then applied to
341 agricultural land, thus raising concerns about how soils and plants are impacted by the increase in the
342 copper load (Ippolito et al. 2013).

343 Copper is bound, or adsorbed, to organic materials, and to clay and mineral surfaces. The degree of copper
344 adsorption to soils depends on the level of acidity or alkalinity of the soil. Dairy manure is rich in organic
345 matter and will naturally have greater Cu adsorption than dairy lagoon water which is low in organic
346 matter. In soils with pH values greater than 7.0, soluble Cu^{+2} will react with water to form either copper
347 hydrate or associations with Fe-oxides (Ippolito et al., 2013). Thus, almost all Cu added to soil will remain
348 in the soil and accumulate in the upper soil layers (Stehouwer et al., 2004). The potential for groundwater
349 contamination increases in sandy, acidic soils or under irrigated conditions. However, most studies suggest
350 that soluble Cu transported through soils does not exceed the national drinking water standard of 1.3 mg/L.

351 Ippolito et al., 2013 noted that the potential for Cu toxicities in plants is relatively small given the amount
352 of Cu that is added through dairy-waste application. With the strong binding of soluble Cu to soils, very
353 little of the applied Cu is plant-available. Preliminary results from the USDA-ARS in Kimberly, Idaho,
354 showed that extractable soil Cu concentrations ranging from 1 to 154 parts per million (ppm) in a
355 calcareous soil had no effect on alfalfa or corn silage biomass yields, while plant survival was drastically
356 impeded at concentrations greater than 323 ppm. Copper application rates used in this study to achieve
357 reductions in yields and plant survival greatly exceeded rates typically seen for dairy manure applications.
358 In a similar study conducted in New York, CuSO₄ was applied at 0, 6.3 and 12.6 pounds Cu per acre to
359 corn silage, orchard grass, and timothy grass using Cu rates equivalent to those typical to dairy waste
360 applications. Similar soil Cu concentrations were 11, 13 and 18 ppm, respectively. The varying Cu
361 application rates had no effect on grass or corn silage yields, although plant shoot growth rates were
362 significantly reduced for the grasses (Moore, 2009).

363 Although these studies were short in duration, repeated applications of dairy manures could potentially
364 raise Cu concentrations to levels toxic to plants, with very limited possibilities for remediation (Ippolito et
365 al., 2013).

366

367 **Evaluation Question #6: Describe any environmental contamination that could result from the**
368 **petitioned substance's manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (3)).**

369

370 Walk-through footbaths are used to help control and prevent hoof relate diseases in dairy cattle and sheep.
371 A five to ten percent copper sulfate solution is commonly used as the antimicrobial agent in the footbath
372 and is considered effective for 150 to 300 animal passes. Spent solution is mixed with manure waste and
373 ultimately disposed by land application. Regulators in several states (Ippolito et al., 2013, Rankin, 2012)
374 have expressed concern that soil copper could be increased to an unhealthy level by this practice and have
375 established maximum (lifetime) loading rates of copper. An 8 ft. x 2.5 ft. x 5 inch foot footbath will contain
376 approximately 62 gallons of water and 26 pounds of copper sulfate (charged at the 5% concentration).
377 Since copper sulfate is 25% copper, each time the footbath is dumped, 6.5 pounds of copper is added to the
378 disposal burden. The environmental effect of this copper depends on the volume of footbath solution
379 disposed (a function of the number of animals and intensity of footbath use), concentration of copper
380 sulfate, and the land area of application. Without careful attention, maximum soil copper loading rates may
381 be exceeded in relatively short times (5 to 30 years) (Epperson et al., 2007). Depending on the agricultural
382 crop, the annual removal rate for copper is less than 0.5 pound/acre per year.

383 Federal, state and local levels environmental regulations require the development of manure management
384 plans to protect water resources and soil quality. The EPA has specific guidelines for copper loading to
385 agricultural land when sewage sludge or biosolids are applied. The EPA §503.13 standard limits annual
386 loading of copper from biosolids to 66 pounds copper per acre and limits lifetime loading to 1,339 pounds
387 copper per acre (limits are based on biosolids land application) (EPA, 2014). Reaching these limits is
388 almost impossible with dairy waste applications, and would devastate most agricultural crops long before
389 the lifetime loading limits were met.

390 Some states have lower limits for copper application. New York and Illinois have set lower lifetime loading
391 limits for Copper at 75 and 250 pounds per acre, respectively, in order to avoid the potential of irreversible
392 toxic accumulations of Cu in the soil (Socha et al., 2007, Ippolito et al., 2013, Rankin, 2012). While more
393 studies are needed, Ippolito et al. 2013 recommended that alkaline soils with greater than 50 ppm
394 extractable copper should not have additional copper load added to soil. This value is advisable for
395 producers raising alfalfa for dairy cow consumption in order to avoid copper accumulation above the NRC
396 2005 recommendations for the maximum tolerable Cu level for cattle and sheep. Ippolito et al., 2013
397 suggested that soil samples be tested for extractable copper every two to three years from an accredited soil
398 testing laboratory to determine if a copper accumulation problem exists.

399

400

401 **Evaluation Question #7: Describe any known chemical interactions between the petitioned**
402 **substance and other substances used in organic crop or livestock production or handling. Describe**
403 **any environmental or human health effects from these chemical interactions (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m)**
404 **(1)).**

405

406 Copper sulfate has been used as a footbath antiseptic to help control and prevent infectious hoof disease
407 problems. The hoof bath solutions usually contain one or a mixture of synthetic substances compounds
408 with known antimicrobial properties. There is a lack of well documented and reported trials under farm
409 conditions that show the effect of foot bathing when accompanied by proper hoof trimming. Additionally,
410 the available trial data does not always address the full range of foot diseases. A number of researchers
411 have expressed concern about the procedures employed, the selection of commercially available products,
412 footbath dimensions, placement and animal hygiene and number of cow passes before cleaning and
413 refilling (Hoffman 2012, Durkin et al. 2004)

414 Tomlinson et al. (2014) reported that the effectiveness of a footbath solution is dependent upon the
415 antimicrobial activity of the solution and the impact of soil load (organic matter). For example, sodium
416 hypochlorite (chlorine) has a broad range of activity against pathogenic bacteria; however, it has limited
417 utility in footbath solutions where organic material such as manure reacts with the chlorine, resulting in
418 loss of antimicrobial activity.

419 Schultz et al. (2013) undertook a study to evaluate the efficacy of salicylic acid (SA) applied topical
420 (within a bandage) to treat dairy cows with digital dermatitis (DD) lesions. The findings suggested that
421 topical treatment with SA proved to be 1.75 fold better than an antibiotic in terms of clinical improvement
422 in healing the DD lesions. The topical application of SA should be considered as a useful alternative to
423 antibiotics in the treatment of DD in cattle as it appears to be more effective and has a reduced
424 environmental impact. Aspirin (i.e., salicylic acid) is a listed substance in §205.603 allowed for use in
425 organic livestock production; however, it is currently only approved for health care use to reduce
426 inflammation.

427 Hoffman (2012) reported that Speijers et al. (2010) compared 5% copper sulfate used twice daily for two
428 days every week to an untreated control group and found that more treated cows had no DD or healing DD
429 lesions compared to the control group. Almost 60% of the herd was affected by DD at the start of their
430 study. In a second experiment, Speijers compared the efficacy of 2 and 5 percent copper sulfate solutions.
431 They found that the proportion of cows with no DD lesion at the beginning of the study that remained free
432 of DD lesions was the same for these two concentrations, but a greater proportion of cows in the 5%
433 copper sulfate group had no DD lesions by the end of the study. These authors also compared using 5%
434 copper sulfate twice daily for two days in a row every two weeks to tap water or saltwater (10% sodium
435 chloride) in alternating weeks with the same copper sulfate treatment. They concluded that there appears to
436 be no advantage to using tap water or saltwater versus no treatment in alternating weeks.

437 Recently, zinc sulfate has been petitioned to be added to USDA's National Organic Program's (NOP)
438 National List of allowed synthetic substances for use in organic livestock production for use in foot baths.

439 Several papers in the scientific literature and state agricultural extension service bulletins suggests some
440 success in controlling DD with the use of footbaths containing 5 to 20% (w/v) zinc sulfate solutions
441 (Tomlinson et al., 2014, Hoffman 2012). Zinc sulfate solutions have antibacterial properties and are
442 relatively inexpensive to use in footbaths. It has not been widely accepted because of difficulty in
443 dissolving most sources of zinc sulfate in water. One advantage of using zinc sulfate is the zinc ion is a
444 microelement needed in corn fertilization programs. However, like copper sulfate, used zinc sulfate
445 footbath solutions will be mixed with manure waste and ultimately disposed by land application.
446 According to EPA Standard 503, the cumulative loading limit for zinc is 2499 lbs/acre at an annual
447 application limit of 125 lbs/acre (EPA, 2014). Potential concerns with this level of zinc disposal include
448 exceeding EPA and state guidelines for zinc loading of agricultural land.

449

450

451 **Evaluation Question #8: Describe any effects of the petitioned substance on biological or chemical**
452 **interactions in the agro-ecosystem, including physiological effects on soil organisms (including the**
453 **salt index and solubility of the soil), crops, and livestock (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (5)).**

454

455 Copper is an essential element and required by all organisms. However, elevated concentrations of copper
456 in soils are toxic and may result in a range of effects including reduced biological activity and subsequent
457 loss of fertility (Ma, Wei-chun 1988).

458 Walk-through footbaths are used to help control and prevent hoof related diseases in dairy cattle and sheep.
459 Five to 10 percent copper sulfate solutions are commonly used as the antimicrobial agent in the footbath
460 and are considered effective for 150 to 300 animal passes. Spent solution is mixed with manure waste and
461 ultimately disposed by land application.

462 Copper is bound, or adsorbed, to organic materials, and to clay and mineral surfaces. The degree of copper
463 adsorption to soils depends on the level of acidity or alkalinity of the soil. Dairy manure is rich in organic
464 matter and will naturally have greater Cu adsorption than dairy lagoon water which is low in organic
465 matter. In soils with pH values greater than 7.0, soluble Cu^{+2} will react with water to form either copper
466 hydrate or associations with Fe-oxides (Ippolito et al., 2013). Thus, almost all Cu added to soil will remain
467 in the soil and accumulate in the upper soil layers (Stehouwer et al. 2004). The potential for groundwater
468 contamination increases in sandy, acidic soils or under irrigated conditions. However, most studies suggest
469 that soluble Cu transported through soils does not exceed the national drinking water standard of 1.3 mg/L
470 (NPIC, 2012 , RED-Cu, 2009).

471 Earthworms are an important soil invertebrate in promoting soil fertility (Duiker et al., Valenzuela, 2010).
472 Their feeding and burrowing activities break down organic matter and release nutrients and improve
473 aeration, drainage, and aggregation of soil. Feeding and burrowing habits of earthworms determine their
474 exposure to chemicals in soil and litter. In a review of copper sulfate literature, Paoletti(1999) stated at the
475 laboratory level, tests specifically developed for lumbricid species (living only in manure) suggest copper
476 sulfate is lethal only when applied at high doses over 1000 ppm and the toxicity would decrease as the
477 quantity of organic matter increases in the soil. Treatment of orchards and vineyards with copper sulfate
478 fungicides strongly affects soil invertebrates, especially earthworms in terms of both biomass and species
479 population response (Paoletti et al., 1988).

480 Soil microorganisms play a critical role in nutrient cycling. As primary consumers of soil organic matter,
481 soil microbes convert nutrients to plant-available forms and serve as a food source for higher trophic levels.
482 The soil microbiota is a heterogeneous collection of highly adaptable organisms exploiting the many micro-
483 niches in the soil.

484 Ippolito et al. 2011 investigated the effect of copper applications on alfalfa growth and copper
485 concentration and the soil bacterial load. Copper application up to 250 mg/kg did not affect alfalfa growth
486 nor impacted the bacterial diversity of the soil. The higher concentrations did impact both the plant and
487 bacterial load of the soil. Ippolito et al. 2011 suggested available soil copper not exceed 63 mg/kg in
488 agroecosystems associated with these soils in order to prevent excessive alfalfa copper accumulation and
489 negative impacts on the soil bacterial community.

490 Lejon et al. 2008 also investigated the copper load impact in vineyard soil amended with varying types of
491 organic matter on soil microcosms. The results showed that copper distribution, speciation, and
492 bioavailability are different between organically amended and unamended soils. It also showed that organic
493 matter controlled copper toxicity and the level of microorganisms in the soil.

494 Van Zwieten et al., 2004 reviewed the copper impacts on soil biota. Significant impacts were observed
495 when copper concentrations were greater the 150mg/kg. The study observed few earthworms and reduced
496 surface activity with fewer castings visible at the soil surface. The evidence reviewed in this paper
497 demonstrates that copper residues originating from fungicide application reduce soil microbial biomass,
498 while stressing the microorganisms that are present. In addition, copper- has resulted in an elimination of
499 earthworms in the orchard where residues averaged between 180-338 mg/kg. This has influenced soil
500 processes by reducing bioturbation and chemical processes.

501

502 **Evaluation Question #9: Discuss and summarize findings on whether the use of the petitioned**
503 **substance may be harmful to the environment (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i) and 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c)**
504 **(2) (A) (i)).**

505 Copper sulfate is listed on the National List of allowed synthetic substances for use in organic livestock
506 production (§ 205.603) as a topical treatment, external parasiticide or local anesthetic. Copper ions have
507 been reported to have antimicrobial activity against a wide range of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and
508 fungi. The exact mechanisms by which copper sulfate exerts its biocidal effect is a source of numerous
509 ongoing investigations in the scientific literature (Hoffman, 2012).

510 Copper sulfate has been used as a footbath antiseptic to help control and prevent infectious hoof disease
511 problems that affect the skin adjacent to the claw horn of dairy cattle and sheep i.e., digital dermatitis (DD)
512 (hairy heel warts), foot rot lesions (interdigital area and invading the subcutaneous tissue), and heel
513 erosions. Depending on the severity of the infection, the impact on managed cattle and/or sheep ranges
514 from minor discomfort to severe debilitating lameness, reproductive problems and in the dairy industry a
515 reduction of milk production ranging from 20 to 50 percent (Brown, et al., 2000). The bacterial spirochetes,
516 Treponema spp., are thought to be a major contributor to the cause and origin of DD. Other bacteria
517 associated with causing the infectious hoof disease include Dichelobacter nodosus, Fusobacterium
518 necrophorum, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Actinomyces pyogenes.

519 Additional factors that contribute to the infection include poor animal hygiene, an injury to the skin of
520 some type, continuous exposure to wet conditions, a housed cubicle design and diagnosing and treating
521 suspected lesions and infections. Copper sulfate solutions (5 to 10% w/v) are commonly used in footbaths.
522 The higher the concentration of copper ions in the water solution, the higher the lethality rate for the
523 targeted bacteria. It also is dependent on the frequency of changing solutions, footbath dimensions and
524 location in the operation.

525 The bacteriostatic properties of copper sulfate are attributed to copper ions reacting with sulfhydryl-(SH)
526 group of thiol dependent enzymes, thus stopping the oxidizing process of the bacteria (Epperson et al.,
527 2007). The popularity of copper sulfate footbaths can be attributed to both its relatively low cost per
528 footbath and that it effectively controls the infectious lesions. Research has shown that using copper sulfate
529 footbaths decrease both the incidence and severity of foot lesions over time. However, some data suggest
530 that copper sulfate is rapidly neutralized by organic matter (Greenough, 1997) and is less effective as the
531 infection becomes progressively worse.

532 Concerns with using copper sulfate include metal corrosion and disposal of the copper sulfate solution. On
533 the farm, discarding the diluted copper sulfate solution with manure (and placed in wastewater lagoon) is a
534 normal practice (Ippolito et al., 2011). For example, if a dairy operation is using a 50-gallon footbath
535 containing a 5% w/v copper sulfate solution, twice a week, and changed the solution every 200 animal
536 passes, 10.9 pounds of copper sulfate/animal per year will be discarded. Although the copper solution is
537 diluted when mixed with manure, there is a potential for copper levels to increase in the soil over time
538 when the manure is added to agricultural land as a soil amendment or fertilizer. High copper levels in the
539 soil impact crop yields due to phytotoxicity and could exceed EPA and state guide lines for copper loading of
540 agricultural land (Rankin, 2012, Ippolito et al., 2008).

541 In high concentrations, copper damages the plant's root system. In some locations, (Downing et al., 2010,
542 Ippolito et al., 2010 and 2013, Rankin, 2012, Rehm et al., 2009) crop yields have been greatly reduced as a
543 result of copper toxicity. At current rates of application many dairy operations will achieve the lifetime
544 accumulative load within a period of 10-15 years. All farm operations that use copper sulfate should
545 calculate the load that will be applied per acre in order to determine if the soil is reaching EPA or state
546 lifetime accumulative loads. This assessment may be made by multiplying the pounds of copper sulfate
547 purchased annually by 0.25 to determine the actual amount of copper; then divide this amount by the
548 number of acres that are receiving manure applications (Shearer, 2006).

549

550 **Evaluation Question #10: Describe and summarize any reported effects upon human health from**
551 **use of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i), 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i)) and 7**
552 **U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (4)).**

553

554 Copper sulfate is an inorganic salt that is highly soluble in water. The disassociated copper ions bind to
555 organic matter. Human exposure to copper is primarily from food (organ meats, seafood, beans, nuts, and
556 whole grains) and drinking water sources (RED-Cu, 2009). The estimated total daily oral intake of copper
557 is between 1 and 2 mg day. These values are higher than the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) of
558 copper, as established by the National Academy of Science, ranging from 0.34 mg/day in young children to
559 1.3 mg/day for pregnant and lactating females.

560 RED-Cu, 2009 stated that the mechanisms for regulating total copper in the body appear to be efficient in
561 maintaining a generally consistent level of copper needed for homeostasis. The efficiency of copper
562 absorption varies greatly, depending on dietary intake. When dietary copper is high and more copper is
563 absorbed, mainly through the gastrointestinal tract, excretion of copper from the body increases, protecting
564 against excess accumulation of copper in the body. Depending on the copper status in the body at the time,
565 approximately 20 to 60% of dietary copper may be absorbed. Copper absorption is also affected by other
566 factors such as species, age, chemical form, and pregnancy. When copper intake is low, little copper is
567 excreted from the body, protecting against copper depletion.

568 The usual routes by which humans can receive toxic exposure to the copper sulfate are through eye or skin
569 contact as well as by inhaling powders and dusts (MSDS-Copper 1 and 2).

570

571 **Evaluation Question #11: Describe all natural (non-synthetic) substances or products which may be**
572 **used in place of a petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (ii)). Provide a list of allowed**
573 **substances that may be used in place of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).**

574

575 There are no natural (non-synthetic) products available that can be used as a management strategy to treat
576 hoof relate diseases and lameness in dairy cattle and sheep operations. However, there are various
577 management tools available that could help reduce the cost of treatment and prevent hoof related diseases.
578 These include the use additional dietary supplements (i.e., feeding of iodine, feeding of zinc methionine) ,
579 free stall (cubicle) design, limit contact with gravel or rocky surfaces, and hoof trimming practices (Maas
580 2009).

581 Copper sulfate has been used as a footbath antiseptic to help control and prevent infectious hoof disease
582 problems. The hoof bath solutions usually contain one or a mixture of synthetic substances compounds
583 with known antimicrobial properties. There is a lack of well documented and reported trials under farm
584 conditions that show the effect of foot bathing when accompanied by proper hoof trimming. Additionally,
585 the available trial data does not always address the full range of foot diseases. A number of researchers
586 have expressed concern about the procedures employed, the selection of commercially available products,
587 footbath dimensions, placement and animal hygiene and number of cow passes before cleaning and
588 refilling (Hoffman, 2012, Durkin et al., 2004).

589 Tominson et al., (2014) reported that the effectiveness of a footbath solution is dependent upon the
590 antimicrobial activity of the solution and the impact of soil load (organic matter). For example, when used
591 properly, sodium hypochlorite (chlorine) can an effective method to eliminating pathogenic bacteria and
592 viruses from work surfaces and water (McGlynn, 2004). Its use would be limited in footbath solutions
593 where organic material such as manure reacts with the chlorine, resulting in a loss of antimicrobial activity
594 (McGlynn, 2004, Tomlinson et al., 2014).

595 Schultz et al. 2013 under took a study to evaluate the efficacy of salicylic acid (SA) applied topical (within
596 a bandage) to treat dairy cows with DD lesions. The findings suggested that topical treatment with SA
597 proved to be 1.75 fold better than an antibiotic in terms of clinical improvement in healing the DD lesions.
598 The topical application of SA should be considered as a useful alternative to antibiotics in the treatment of
599 DD in cattle as it appears to be more effective and has a reduced environmental impact. Aspirin (i.e.,

600 salicylic acid) is a listed substance in §205.603 allowed for use in organic livestock production; however, it
601 is currently only approved for health care use to reduce inflammation.

602 Hoffman (2012) reported that Speijers et al. (2010) compared 5% copper sulfate used twice daily for two
603 days every week to an untreated control group and found that more treated cows had no DD or healing DD
604 lesions compared to the control group. Almost 60% of the herd was affected by DD at the start of their
605 study. In a second experiment, Speijers compared the efficacy of 2 and 5 percent copper sulfate solutions.
606 They found that the proportion of cows with no DD lesion at the beginning of the study that remained free
607 of DD lesions was the same for these two concentrations, but a greater proportion of cows in the 5%
608 copper sulfate group had no DD lesions by the end of the study. These authors also compared using 5%
609 copper sulfate twice daily for two days in a row every two weeks to tap water or saltwater (10% sodium
610 chloride) in alternating weeks with the same copper sulfate treatment. They concluded that there appears to
611 be no advantage to using tap water or saltwater versus no treatment in alternating weeks.

612

613 **Evaluation Question #12: Describe any alternative practices that would make the use of the**
614 **petitioned substance unnecessary (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).**

615 Below are several alternative management practices that could be employed to help control and prevent
616 infectious hoof disease problems. They are:

- 617 1. **Foot trimming:** This reduces the number of cracks and crevices where bacteria can hide, removes
618 infected hoof, and exposes the wound to air. Foot trimming should be done at least one to two
619 times per year as a part of normal management practices. When trimming feet, it is important to
620 disinfect the trimming instruments (foot shear, hoof parer, or knife) between animals to prevent
621 spreading of the infection. Prevent mechanical injury damage to cattle and sheep feet as caused by
622 frozen or dried mud and minimizing the time cattle must spend standing in wet areas.
- 623 2. Recently, zinc sulfate has been petitioned to be added to USDA's National Organic Program's
624 (NOP) National List of allowed synthetic substances for use in organic livestock production for
625 use in foot baths. According to Tomlinson et al., 2014, zinc sulfate (5 to 20%) solutions have
626 antibacterial properties and may also act as a hardening agent in controlling infectious claw
627 lesions. The zinc sulfate can be added to the copper sulfate solution or can be as a standalone
628 solution.
- 629 3. Tomlinson et al., 2014 suggested that proper use and management of walk-through footbaths can
630 reduce the frequency of changing the solutions. For example, footbaths should be located in
631 frequently traveled areas such as the return alley from the milking parlor. A prebath using water
632 or salt solution to remove manure buildup on feet of the cattle should be used prior to the
633 treatment footbath (Cook, 2007 and Cook et al., 2012).
- 634 4. Tomlinson et al., 2014 recommended footbath solutions be changed every 150 to 200 animals to
635 maximize effectiveness of the treatment solutions. The optimal interval for changing footbath
636 solutions depends on cleanliness of animals, footbath size and the concentration of the treatment
637 solution.

638

639

References

640

641 Baath, E., Diaz-Ravina, M., Frostegard, A., and Campbell, C. (1998). Effect of metal-rich sludge
642 amendments on the soil microbial community. *Appl. Environ. Micro.*, 64, 238-245.

643 Beckerman, J. (2008). Using organic fungicides. Disease management strategies for horticultural crops
644 (BP69-W) Perdue University Cooperative Extension Service.

645 Berry, S. (2013). Lameness-prevention, detection, and treatment.
646 <http://www.milkproduction.com/Library/Scientific-articles>

- 647 Besnard, E., Chenu, C., and Robert, M. (2001). Influence of organic amendments on copper distribution
648 among particle-size and density fractions in Champagne vineyard soils. *Environmental Pollution*, 112, 329-
649 337.
- 650 Blaszak, M., and Plewako, M. (2008). Resistance of soil fungi to copper contamination. *Polish J. Natural*
651 *Sci.*, 23, 635-644. Blezinger, S.B., 2004. The best defense against foot rot is a good offense, *Cattle Today*
652 online. http://www.cattletoday.com/achive/2004_March/CT318.shtml
- 653 Bogomolov, D.M., Chen, S.K, Parmelee, R.W., Subler, S., and Edwards C.A. (1996). An ecosystem
654 approach to soil toxicity testing: a study of copper contamination in laboratory soil microcosms. *Appl. Soil*
655 *Ecol.*, 4, 95-105.
- 656 Burn, L.A., Mallet, J., Hinsinger, P. and Pepin, M. (2001) Evaluation of copper availability to plants in
657 copper-contaminated vineyard soils. *Environmental Pollution*, 111, 293-302.
- 658 Cohen, J. (2015). Copper in (animal) nutrition. *Micronutrients*, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- 659 Copper org. (2015). Uses of copper compounds: Copper sulphate. Copper Development Association
660 Inc. http://www.copper.org/applications/compounds/copper_sulfate_01.html
- 661 Carlsson, E., Falk, A., Germundson, E., Kangas, N., Markey, C., Oscarsson, H., and Robertson, C.
662 (2012). Importance of hoof health in dairy production. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.
663 <http://www.milkproduction.com/Library/Scientific-articles>
- 664 Copper sulfate. (2001). Copper sulfate, as algaecide and invertebrate pest control. NOSB TAP Review
665 Compiled by OMRI <http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/getfile?dDocName=STELPRDC5067070>.
- 666 Cook, N. B., Rieman J., Gomez, A., and Burgi, K. (2012). Observations on the design and use of
667 footbaths for the control of infectious hoof disease in dairy cattle. *The Veterinary Journal* 193(3):669-673.
- 668 Cook, N.B. (2007). Footbath alternatives. <http://www.milkproduction.com/Library/Scientific-articles>
- 669 Corkal, D. (2002). Copper treatments for dugouts. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada/Drugs.Com
670 Acidified copper sulfate. <http://www.drug.com>
- 671 Downing, T.W., Stiglbauer, K., Gamroth, M.j., and Hart, J. 2010. Case study: Use of copper sulfate and
672 zinc sulfate in footbaths on Oregon dairies. *The Professional Animal Scientist*. 26:332-334
- 673 Duiker, S., and Stehouwer, R. 2008. Earthworms. UC182. Penn State Extension, Pennsylvania State
674 University, University Park, PA.
- 675 Durkin, J., Janowicz, P., and Hemling, T.C. (2004). Foot bathing in the hoof management.
676 <http://www.milkproduction.com/Library/Scientific-articles>
- 677 EPA. (2014). 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §503.13-Standards for Use or Disposal of Sewage
678 Sludge. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 679 EPA. (2008). Copper facts. (EPA 738-F-06-014).
- 680 Epperson, B, and Midla, L. (2007). Copper sulfate for footbaths- Issues and alternatives. P. 51, Proc. Tri-
681 State Dairy Nutrition Conf., Fort Wayne, IN.
- 682 Gimeno-Garcia E, Andreu V., and Boluda, R. (1996). Heavy metals incidence in the application of
683 inorganic fertilizers and pesticides to rice farming soils. *Environmental Pollution*, 92, 19-25.
- 684 Greenough, P.R., Bergsten, C., Brizzi, A., Mulling, C.K. (2007). Bovine laminitis and lameness: A hands
685 on approach. Saunders Elsevier. Edinburgh.
- 686 Gremion F., Chatzinotas A., Kaufmann, K., Von Sigler, V., and Harms, H. (2004). Impacts of heavy metal
687 contamination and phytoremediation on a microbial community during a twelve-month microcosm
688 experiment. *FEMS. Micro. Ecolo.*, 48, 273-283.
- 689 Gyawali, R., and Ibrahim, S.A. (2012). Synergistic effect of copper and lactic acid against *Salmonella* and
690 *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7: A review. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 24(1): 01-11
- 691 Hashem, A.R. (1997). Effect of heavy metal ions on the mycelia growth of some fungi isolated from the
692 soil of Al-Jubail Industrial City, Saudi Arabia. *J. King Saud. Univ.*, 9, 119-124.

- 693 Hartshorn, R.E., Thomas, E.C., Ankiam, K. Lopez-Benavides, M.G., Buchalova, M., Hemling, T.C. and
694 Döpfer, D. (2013). Short communication: Minimum bactericidal concentration of disinfectants evaluated
695 for bovine digital dermatitis-associated *Treponema phagedenis*-like spirochetes. J. Dairy Sci. 96:3034.
- 696 Hoffman, A. (2012). Footbaths for the treatment of control of Hairy Heel warts (Digital Dermatitis) in
697 dairy herds: summary of seven studies. Washington State University-Veterinary Medicine Extension.
- 698 Holmgren, G.G.S., Meyer, M.W., Chaney, R.L. and Daniesl, R.B. (1993). Cadmium, lead, zinc, copper,
699 and nickel in agricultural soils of the United States of America. Journal Environmental Quality, 22, 335-
700 348.
- 701 Ippolito, J.L., and Barbarick, K.A., (2008). Fate of biosolids trace metals in a dryland wheat
702 agroecosystem. J Environ. Qual. 37:2135.
- 703 Ippolito, J.L., Ducey, T.F., and Tarkalson, D.D 2010. Copper impacts on corn, soil extractability, and the
704 soil bacterial community. Soil Science. 175(12): 586-592.
- 705 Ippolito, J.L., Ducey, T.F., and Tarkalson, D.D. (2011). Interactive effects of copper on alfalfa growth, soil
706 copper and soil bacteria. Journal of Agricultural Science 3(2):138-148.
- 707 Ippolito, J.L., and Moore, A. (2013). Copper sulfate foot baths on dairies and crop toxicities. Extension.
708 ([http://www.extension.org/animal manure management](http://www.extension.org/animal_manure_management))
- 709 Lejon, D., Martins, J., Leveque, J., Spadini, L., Pascault N, Landry D, Milloux M, Nowak V, Chaussod
710 R and Ranjard L. (2008). Copper dynamics and impact on microbial communities in soils of variable
711 organic status. Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 2819-2825.
- 712 Losinger, W.C., (2006). Economic impacts of reduced milk production associated with papillomatous
713 digital dermatitis in dairy cows in the USA. Journal of Dairy Research 73:244–256.
- 714 Ma, Wei-chun. (1988). Toxicity of copper to lumbricid earthworms in sandy agricultural soils amended
715 with Cu-enriched organic waste materials. Ecological Bulletins 39:53-56
- 716 Maas, J. (2009). Treating and preventing footrot in cattle. California Cattlemen’s Magazine. UCD Vet
717 Views July/August.
- 718 McGlynn, W. (2004). Guidelines for the use of chlorine bleach as a sanitizer in food processing operations.
719 FAPC-116. Stillwater, OK. Oklahoma State University Robert M. Kerr Food & Agricultural Products
720 Center.
- 721 Merck Index. (1989) Merck Index of Chemicals and Drugs. 11th ed. Merck Inc., Rahway, NJ. Windholz,
722 M., ed. 1983. The Merck Index. Tenth edition. Rahway, NJ: Merck and Company.
- 723 Moore, A. (2009) Copper sulfate foot baths on dairies and crop toxicities, J. Animal Science, 2006, 84, pp.
724 184-185, supplement 1.
- 725 MSDS-Copper sulfate 1. Copper (II) sulfate pentahydrate. Fisher Scientific.
726 <http://avogadro.chem.iastate.edu/MSDS/CuSO4-5H2O.htm>
- 727 MSDS-Copper sulfate 2. Safety data for copper (II) sulfate. Oxford University. The Physical and
728 Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory [http://msds.chem.ox.ac.uk/CO/copper II sulfate.htm](http://msds.chem.ox.ac.uk/CO/copper%20II%20sulfate.htm) NPIC 2012,
729 NPIC, 2012. National Pesticide Information Center, Copper Sulfate. Technical Fact Sheet
730 <http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets>
- 731 NRC, 2005. National Research Council. Mineral tolerance of animals, 2nd ed. Natl. Acad. Press,
732 Washington, D.C.
- 733 Norgrove, L. (2007) Effects of different copper fungicide application rates upon earthworm activity and
734 impacts on cocoa yield over four years. European J. Soil Biol., 43, S303-S310.
- 735 Ontario. (2001). Ontario Fact Sheet - Copper in the environment. Government of Ontario, Canada.
736 Ministry for the Environment Programs and Initiatives. <http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/cons/4141e.htm>
- 737 Pub Chem. (2014). Copper sulfate. Open Chemistry Database. U.S. National Library of
738 Medicine/National Center for Biotechnology Information. NIH. <http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>

- 739 Rankin, M. (2004) Agronomic and environmental issues with foot bath solution land spreading. Page 93
740 Proc. Four-State Prof Dairy Mgmt. Sem., Dubuque, IA
- 741 Rankin, M (2012) Copper sulfate use may bite us. (Crops and Forages), Hoard's Dairyman
742 <http://www.hoards.com>
- 743 RED-Cu.(2009). Reregistration eligibility decision (RED) for coppers. U.S. EPA, May 2009
744 <http://nepis.epa.gov>
- 745 Reddy, M.R., Lameck, D. and Rezania, M.E. (1989). Uptake and distribution of copper and zinc by
746 soybean and corn from soil treated with sewage sludge. Plant and Soil, 113: 271-274.
- 747 Rehm, G., and Schmitt, M. (2009). Copper for crop production. University of Minnesota Extension. July
748 2009 <http://www.extension.umn.edu/distribution/cropsystems/DC6790.html>
- 749 Reinecke A.J, Reinecke SA and Lambrechts H. (1997). Uptake and toxicity of copper and zinc for the
750 African earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Oligochaeta). Biol. Fert. Soils, 24, 27-31.
- 751 Schulte, E. E., Kelling, K.A., 1999. Soil and Applied Copper. University of Wisconsin-Extension, A2527.
752 <http://www.soils.wisc.edu/extension/pubs/A2527.pdf>
- 753 Schultz,N., and Capion, N. (2013). Efficacy of salicylic acid in the treatment of digital dermatitis in dairy
754 cattle. The Veterinary Journal 198:518-52.
- 755 Shearer, J. (2010) Foot rot, foot warts and footbaths, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of
756 Florida. <http://www.healthyhooves.com/pdf/footrotwarts&footbaths.pdf>
- 757 Shearer, J., van Amstel, K., and Gonzalez, A. (2005). Manual of Foot Care in Cattle. Hoard's Dairyman,
758 Fort Atkinson, WI.
- 759 Shearer, J.K. (2006). Laminitis-More than how you feed your cows.
760 <http://www.milkproduction.com/Library/Scientific-articles>
- 761 Socha, M., Shearer, J., Tomlinson, D., and Defrain, J. (2007). Alternatives to copper sulfate footbaths.
762 <http://www.nal.usda.gov/>
- 763 Speijers, M.H., Finney, G.A., McBride, J., Watson, S., Logue, D.N., and O'Connell, N.E. (2012).
764 Effectiveness of different footbathing frequencies using copper sulfate in the control of digital dermatitis in
765 dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 95(6):2955
- 766 Speijers, M.H.M., Baird, L.G., Finney, G.A., McBride, J., Kilpatrick, D.J., Logue, D.N. (2010).
767 Effectiveness of different footbath solutions in the treatment of digital dermatitis in dairy cows.
768 Journal of Dairy Science 93:5782-5791.
- 769 Stehouwer, R., and Roth G. (2004). Copper sulfate hoof baths and copper toxicity in soil. PennState-
770 College of Agricultural Sciences. <http://www.das.psu.edu/news/dd200403-03>
- 771 Sullivan, P. (2004) Sustainable management of soil-borne plant diseases. National Sustainable Agriculture
772 Information Service. <http://www.attra.org/attra-pub/soilborne.html>
- 773 Tomlinson, D., Socha, M., Gomez, A., Dopfer, D. and Defrain, J. (2014) Digital Dermatitis, an endemic
774 Claw Disease. What can we do to control it? 2014 Virginia State Feed Association and Nutritional
775 Management "Cow" College.
- 776 Valenzuela H. (2010). Earthworms in the farm. University of Hawaii at Manoa. The Food Provider (Sept-
777 Oct-Nov. 2010). <http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/sustainag/news/articles/V5-Valenzuela-worm.pdf>
- 778 Van-Zwieten, L, Merrington, G. and Van-Zwieten, M. (2004) Review of impacts on soil biota caused by
779 copper residues from fungicide application. Super Soil 2004. 3rd Australian New Zealand Soils
780 Conference. University of Sydney, Australia.
781 http://www.regional.org.au/au/asssi/supersoil2004/s3/oral/1573_vanzwieten.htm
- 782 Whittier, W.D. and Umberger, S.H. (2009). Control, treatment, and elimination of foot rot from sheep.
783 Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia Tech, and Virginia State University.
784 <http://www.pubs.ext.vt.edu/410/410-028/410-028>.