## NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting:	May 2008		Substance: Caramel Color						
Committee: Crops  Livestock  Handling X Petition is for: Inclusion of Caramel Color on the National List § 205.606									
A. Evaluation Criteria (Applicability noted for each category; Documentation attached)  1. Impact on Humans and Environment  2. Essential & Availability Criteria  3. Compatibility & Consistency  4. Commercial Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable as Organic (only for 606)  B. Substance Fails Criteria Category: Comments:									
C. Proposed Annota	ation (if any):								
Basis for annotation: To meet criteria above: Other regulatory criteria: Citation:  D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote (State Actual Motion): Inclusion of Caramel Color on the National List §									
205.606	ommittee Action & V	ole (Glale Actual	violion). <u>meiusi</u>	on or caramer con	on the r	Vational List §			
	Motion by: Joe Smillie Seconded: Katrina Heinze Yes: 0 No: 6 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0								
	Crops	Agricultural	Х	Allowed <sup>1</sup>					
	Livestock	Non-Synthetic		Prohibited <sup>2</sup>					
	Handling )	<b>S</b> ynthetic		Rejected <sup>3</sup>	Х				
	No restriction	Commercially U Available as Or		Deferred <sup>4</sup>					
1) Substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List to § 205.606 _with Annotation (if any)  2) Substance to be added as "prohibited" on National List to § 205 with Annotation (if any)									
Describe why a prohibited substance:									
3) Substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205.606 Describe why material was rejected: Cost of production was a major reason of the petition, and committee rejected that as a basis.									
4) Substance was recommended to be deferred because									
follow up If follow-up needed, who will									
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:									

## NOSB EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance - <u>Caramel Color</u>

Category 1. Adverse impacts on hu	ımans	or the	environn	nent? Substance - <u>Caramel Color</u>
Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]		X		Product is produced from sugar ( agricultural commodity)
2. Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]		X		Agricultural Product used as ingredient in food products and no longer in eco-system
3. Is the substance harmful to the environment? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]		X		Agricultural Product used as ingredient in food products and no longer in eco-system
4. Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]		X		
5. Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used? [§6518 m.1]		X		
6. Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agroecosystem? [§6518 m.5]		X		Agricultural Product used as ingredient in food products and no longer in eco-system
7. Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]		X		
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]		X		Agricultural Product used as ingredient in food products and no longer in eco-system
9. Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment?[§6518 m.2]		X		Agricultural Product used as ingredient in food products and no longer in eco-system
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A)(i); 6517 c(2)(A)i; §6518 m.4]		X		
11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]		X		
12. Is the substance GRAS when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]	X			
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]		X		

<sup>1</sup>If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance - Caramel Color

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance - <u>Caramel Color</u>					
Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)	
1. Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a chemical process? [6502 (21)]		X		Sugar is the only ingredient and is carmelized	
2. Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral, sources? [6502 (21)]	X			See above	
3. Is the substance created by naturally occurring biological processes? [6502 (21)]		X			
4. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]	X				
5. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]	X			Not in same form & quality	
6. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]	X				
7. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	X				
8. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]	X				
9. Is there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]			X	Not synthetic	
10. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]			X		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance - Caramel Color

Question	Yes	No	N/A <sup>1</sup>	Documentation
<b>Q</b>				(TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [§205.600 b.2]			X	
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]			X	
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]			X	
4. Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [§205.600 b.3]			X	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]		X		
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]		X		It has a color effects but not because it was lost in processing
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories: a. copper and sulfur compounds;		X		
b. toxins derived from bacteria;		X		
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals?		X		
d. livestock parasiticides and medicines?		X		
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?		X		

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 4. Is the commercial supply of an agricultural substance as organic, fragile or potentially

unavailable? [§6610, 6518, 6519, 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c) 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c)]Substance - <u>Caramel Color</u> Comments on Information Provided (sufficient, Question Yes N/A No plausible, reasonable, thorough, complete, unknown) 1. Is the comparative description X The petitioner, a manufacturer who makes organic caramel provided as to why the non-organic color, can't make enough organic forms that are needed for form of the material /substance is specific production needs necessary for use in organic handling? 2. Does the current and historical Petitioner is an organic caramel manufacturer and cannot industry information, research, or make all forms organic evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be Many applications can't use organic formulations due to obtained organically in the appropriate acidity and other requirements **form** to fulfill an essential function in Comment was made by petitioner that producing all organic a system of organic handling? forms is "cost prohibitive". Cost cannot be a factor in the consideration of commercial availability 3. Does the current and historical X industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate quality to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling? 4. Does the current and historical X Quantity is not an issue industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate quantity to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling? 5. Does the industry information X Also phoned the major producer of organic caramel and they provided on material / substance nonconfirmed petitioner information availability as organic, include (but not limited to) the following: a. Regions of production (including factors such as climate and number of regions); b. Number of suppliers and amount X produced; c. Current and historical supplies X related to weather events such as hurricanes, floods, and droughts that may temporarily halt production or destroy crops or supplies: d. Trade-related issues such as X evidence of hoarding, war, trade barriers, or civil unrest that may temporarily restrict supplies; or e. Are there other issues which may X Complicated specifications for different types of reactions

present a challenge to a consistent

supply?