(3) For quality and condition inspection and condition only inspection of products in quantities of 50 or less packages unloaded from the same conveyance:
   (i) $45 for each individual product;
   (ii) $45 for each additional lot of any of the same product. Lots in excess of carlot equivalents will be charged proportionally by the quarter carlot.
(b) When performing inspections of palletized products unloaded directly from sea transportation or when palletized product is first offered for inspection before being transported from the dock-side facility, charges shall be determined on the following basis:
   (1) Dock side inspections of an individual product unloaded directly from the same ship:
      (i) 2.5 cents per package weighing less than 30 pounds;
      (ii) 3.6 cents per package weighing 30 or more pounds;
      (iii) Minimum charge of $99 per individual product;
      (iv) Minimum charge of $45 for each additional lot of the same product.
   (2) [Reserved]
   (c) When performing inspections of products from sea containers unloaded directly from sea transportation or when palletized products unloaded directly from sea transportation are not offered for inspection at dock-side, the carlot fees in paragraph (a) of this section shall apply.
   (d) When performing inspections for Government agencies, or for purposes other than those prescribed in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section, including weight-only and freezing-only inspections, fees for inspection shall be based on the time consumed by the grader in connection with such inspections, computed at a rate of $49 an hour: Provided, That:
      (1) Charges for time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour;
      (2) The minimum fee shall be two hours for weight-only inspections, and one-half hour for other inspections;
      (3) When weight certification is provided in addition to quality and/or condition inspection, a one-hour charge shall be added to the carlot fee;
      (4) When inspections are performed to certify product compliance for Defense Personnel Support Centers, the daily or weekly charge shall be determined by multiplying the total hours consumed to conduct inspections by the hourly rate. The daily or weekly charge shall be prorated among applicants by multiplying the daily or weekly charge by the percentage of product passed and/or failed for each applicant during that day or week. Waiting time and overtime charges shall be charged directly to the applicant responsible for their occurrence.
   (e) When performing inspections at the request of the applicant during periods which are outside the grader's regularly scheduled work week, a charge for overtime or holiday work shall be made at the rate of $25.00 per hour or portion thereof in addition to the carlot equivalent fee, package charge, or hourly charge specified in this subparagraph. Overtime or holiday charges for time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour.
   (f) When an inspection is delayed because product is not available or readily accessible, a charge for waiting time shall be made at the prevailing hourly rate in addition to the carlot equivalent fee, package charge, or hourly charge specified in this subparagraph. Waiting time shall be rounded to the nearest half hour.


A.J. Yates,
Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agromarketing Service

7 CFR Part 991
[Docket No. AO–FV–991–A3; FV03–991–01]

Hops Produced in Washington, Oregon, Idaho and California: Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing on Proposed Marketing Agreement and Order No. 991 and Additional Proposal

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of rescheduling of public hearing on proposed marketing agreement and order.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of a rescheduling of a public hearing to consider a proposed marketing agreement and order under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 to cover hops grown in Washington, Oregon, Idaho and California. The hearing was originally scheduled to begin August 14, 2003, and a notice of hearing was announced in the Federal Register on Monday, July 28, 2003, at 68 FR 44244. A notice of postponement of the public hearing was announced in the Federal Register on Thursday, August 14, 2003, at 68 FR 46575.

DATES: The hearing will be held on October 15 and 16 in Portland, Oregon, and continue, if necessary, on October 17 in Portland, Oregon. The hearing will resume on October 20 and 21 in Yakima, Washington, and continue, if necessary, on October 22 through 24 in Yakima, Washington. The first day of the hearing will begin at 8:30 a.m. in Portland, Oregon and the first day of the hearing will begin at 8:30 a.m. in Yakima, Washington.

ADDRESSES: The hearing locations are:
October 15 and 16, 2003 (and October 17, if necessary)—Sheraton Portland Airport Hotel, 8235 NE Airport Way, Portland, Oregon 97220: October 20 and 21 (and October 22, 23, and 24, if necessary)—Doubletree Hotel Yakima Valley, 1507 N. First Street, Yakima, Washington 98901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barry Broadbent, Marketing Specialist, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, Northwest Marketing Field Office, 1220 SW. Third Avenue, suite 385, Portland, Oregon 97204; telephone (503) 326–2724 or Fax (503) 326–7440; or Kathleen M. Finn, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue S.W., Stop 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; telephone: (202) 720–2491, fax: (202) 720–8938.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Notice of Hearing was published in the Federal Register on July 28, 2003 (68 FR 44244) and the proposals that will be considered at the hearing can be found there. In addition, the following proposal, which was submitted by John F. Annen prior to the deadline, will also be considered at the hearing:

Proposal submitted by John F. Annen, President, Annen Bros., Inc.

Proposal Number 10
Delete §§ 991.50 through 991.58 from the Hop Marketing Order Proponent Committee’s proposal.


A. J. Yates,
Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agromarketing Service

7 CFR Parts 1000, 1001, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1030, 1032, 1033, 1124, 1126, 1131, and 1135
[Docket No. AO–14–A72, et al.; DA–03–08]

Milk in the Northeast and Other Marketing Areas: Notice of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreements and Orders
A hearing is being held to consider proposals to amend all 11 Federal milk marketing orders. The proposals would reclassify evaporated milk in consumer-type packages and sweetened condensed milk in consumer-type packages from Class III products to Class IV products. Another proposal would reclassify bulk ending inventory each month to the lower-priced class of Class III or Class IV.

Proponents have requested that the proposal would reclassify evaporated milk in consumer-type packages and sweetened condensed milk in consumer-type packages. Proponents have requested that the proposals to amend all 11 milk marketing agreements and to the orders regulating the handling of milk in the Northeast and other marketing areas.

The hearing is called pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR part 900).

The purpose of the hearing is to receive evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreements and to the orders.

Evidence also will be taken to determine whether emergency marketing conditions exist that would warrant omission of a recommended decision under the rules of practice and procedure (7 CFR 900.12(d)) with respect to the proposals.

Actions under the Federal milk order program are subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). This Act seeks to ensure that, within the statutory authority of a program, the regulatory and informational requirements are tailored to the size and nature of small businesses. For the purpose of the Act, a dairy farm is a "small business" if it has an annual gross revenue of less than $750,000, and a dairy products manufacturer is a "small business" if it has fewer than 500 employees. Most parties subject to a milk order are considered as a small business. Accordingly, interested parties are invited to present evidence on the probable regulatory and informational impact of the hearing proposals on small businesses. Also, parties may suggest modifications of these proposals for the purpose of tailoring their applicability to small businesses.

The amendments to the rules proposed herein have been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. They are not intended to have a retroactive effect. If adopted, the proposed amendments would not preempt any state or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 8c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may request modification or exemption from such order by filing with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with the law. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After a hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has its principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the Secretary’s ruling on the petition, provided a bill in equity is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling. Interested parties who wish to introduce exhibits should provide the Presiding Officer at the hearing with (6) copies of such exhibits for the Official Record. Also, it would be helpful if additional copies are available for the use of other participants at the hearing.

List of Subjects

7 CFR Part 1000
Milk marketing orders; Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

7 CFR Parts 1001 Through 1135
Milk marketing orders.

The authority citation for 7 CFR parts 1001 through 1135 continues to read as follows:


The proposed amendments, as set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture. Proposed by O–AT–KA Milk Products Cooperative, Inc.:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7 CFR part</th>
<th>Marketing area</th>
<th>AO Nos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>AO-14–A72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>Appalachian</td>
<td>AO-388–A13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>AO-356–A36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>AO-366–A42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>Upper Midwest</td>
<td>AO-361–A37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>AO-313–A46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
<td>Mideast</td>
<td>AO-166–A70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1124</td>
<td>Pacific Northwest</td>
<td>AO-368–A33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1126</td>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>AO-231–A66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1131</td>
<td>Arizona-Las Vegas</td>
<td>AO-271–A38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1135</td>
<td>Western</td>
<td>AO-380–A20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposal No. 1
In § 1000.40, revise paragraph (c)(1)(iii) and paragraph (d)(1)(i), redesignate paragraph (d)(1)(ii) as paragraph (d)(1)(iii), and add new paragraph (d)(1)(i) to read as follows:

§ 1000.40 Classes of utilization.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) * * *

(iii) Sweetened condensed milk in a consumer-type package; and

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(1) * * *

(i) Butter, plastic cream, anhydrous milkfat, and butteroil;

(ii) Evaporated milk in a consumer-type package; and

(iii) Any milk product in dried form;

* * * * *

Proposed by Diehl, Inc., and Milnot Holding Corporation:
Proposal No. 2
In § 1000.40, remove paragraph (c)(1)(iii), revise paragraph (d)(1)(i), redesignate paragraph (d)(1)(ii) as paragraph (d)(1)(iii), and add new paragraph (d)(1)(i) to read as follows:

§ 1000.40 Classes of utilization.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(1) * * *

(i) Butter, plastic cream, anhydrous milkfat, and butteroil;

(ii) Evaporated milk in a consumer-type package; and

(iii) Any milk product in dried form;

* * * * *

Proposed by New York State Dairy Foods, Inc.:
Proposal No. 3
In § 1000.40, paragraph (d)(2) is removed, paragraphs (d)(3) and (d)(4) are redesignated as paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3), and paragraph (e) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1000.40 Classes of utilization.

* * * * *

(e) Other uses shall include all skim milk and butterfat:

(1) In inventory at the end of the month of fluid milk products and fluid cream products in bulk form. Such uses of skim milk and butterfat shall be assigned to the lowest priced class for the month.

(2) Used in any product described in this section that is dumped, used for animal food, destroyed, or lost by a handler in a vehicular accident, flood, fire, or similar occurrence beyond the handler’s control. Such uses of skim milk and butterfat shall be assigned to the lowest priced class for the month to the extent that the quantities destroyed or lost can be verified from records satisfactory to the market administrator.

Proposed by Dairy Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service:
Proposal No. 4
For all Federal Milk Marketing Orders, make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreements and the orders conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the orders may be procured from the Market Administrator of each of the aforesaid marketing areas, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 1083, South Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, or may be inspected there.

Copies of the transcript of testimony taken at the hearing will not be available for distribution through the Hearing Clerk’s Office. If you wish to purchase a copy, arrangements may be made with the reporter at the hearing.

From the time that a hearing notice is issued and until the issuance of a final decision in a proceeding, Department employees involved in the decision-making process are prohibited from discussing the merits of the hearing issues on an ex parte basis with any person having an interest in the proceeding. For this particular proceeding, the prohibition applies to employees in the following organizational units:

Office of the Secretary of Agriculture;
Office of the Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service;
Office of the General Counsel;
Dairy Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service (Washington office) and the Offices of all Market Administrators.

Procedural matters are not subject to the above prohibition and may be discussed at any time.


A.J. Yates,
Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 03–22683 Filed 9–5–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Notice No. 2002–NM–119–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4–600 Series Airplanes, Model A300 B4–600R Series Airplanes, Model A300 C4–605R Variant F Airplanes, and Model A300 F4–605R Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300 B4–600 Series Airplanes, Model A300 B4–600R Series Airplanes, Model A300 C4–605R Variant F Airplanes, and Model A300 F4–605R Airplanes. This proposal would require modification of certain components of the 115 Volts Alternating Current (VAC) supply wiring and of the fuel gauging system. This action is necessary to prevent short circuits between 115 VAC wiring and certain fuel system electrical wire runs with subsequent overheating of the cadensicon sensor thermistor or fuel level sensor, which could be great enough to ignite fuel vapors in the fuel tank and cause an explosion. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by October 8, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–NM–119–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227–1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9–ann-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain “Docket No. 2002–NM–119–AD” in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice
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