NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For	NOSB Meeting:	Fall 2010	Substance:	(S, S)-Ethylenediamir	nedisucc	inic acid (ED	DS)				
Committee: Crops Livestock Handling Petition is for: adding (S, S)-Ethylenediaminedisuccinic acid (EDDS) on the National List § 205.601												
Α.	Evaluation Criteria (Applicability noted for each category; Documentation attached) Criteria Satisfied? (see B below)											
		mans and Environment Yes No X N/A										
	2. Essential & Av											
	3. Compatibility &	-	·									
	4. Commercial Su	al Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable as Organic (only for 606) Yes \(\Boxed{\text{No}} \\ \Delta \(\Delta \) N/A \(\Boxed{\text{X}} \)										
В.												
C.	C. Proposed Annotation (if any):											
	Basis for annotation:	To meet criteria abo	ove:	Other regulator	y crite	ria: Citation	າ:					
EDD	D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote, including classification recommendation (State Actual Motion): EDDS be classified as a synthetic for organic crop production Classification of the material: Synthetic5 Non- synthetic0 Absent:2 Abstain0											
Moti	on by: Kevin Englet	pert Second	ed: Tina Ellor									
		tee Action & Vote L		ational List § 205	5.601 f	or use in organic cro	o productio	on.				
Moti	on by: Kevin Englet	pert Second	ed: Jeff Moyer	Yes: 0 No	: _5_	Absent: _2	Absta	ain: _0				
		Crops	X Agricult	ıral		Allowed ¹						
		Livestock	Non-Syı	thetic		Prohibited ²						
		Handling	Syntheti	С	Χ	Rejected ³	X					
		No restriction		rcially Un- e as Organic ¹		Deferred ⁴						
1)	Substance voted to	be added as "allowe	d" on National	List to § 205	w	ith Annotation (if any	·)					
2)	2) Substance to be added as "prohibited" on National List to § 205with Annotation (if any)											
	Describe why a prohibited substance:											
	3) Substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205. 601Describe why material was rejected: Adverse effects on humans and the environment,, not compatable with organic production and alternatives are available.											
4)	4) Substance was recommended to be deferred because											
If follow-up needed, who will follow up												
F. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:												
	Tina Ellor	August	16, 2010									
	Committee Chair	Date										

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES ADDED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance: <u>EDDS</u>

Overtion	Vaa	Na	1	Desumentation
Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]			Х	TR pages 7-9: One of the major reactants used in making EDDS is EDB, which has many adverse effects. "In the petition, no information was given whether dibromoethane, one of the two major chemicals for manufacturing (S,S)EDDS, would be completely converted to the end-product of (S,S)EDDS. If the conversion is not 100%, no information was given whether the un-reacted dibromoethane would be mixed with the end-product of (S,S)EDDS or mixed with by-products."
Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]	X			TR page 8: "What happens to 1,2-dibromoethane when it enters the environment? It moves into the environment from manufacturing use and leaks at waste sites. It moves into the environment from manufacturing use and leaks at waste sites. When released, it quickly moves to air and will evaporate from surface water and soil to the air. It dissolves in water and will move through soil into the groundwater. Small amounts remain attached to soil particles. It breaks down slowly in air (over 4-5 months), more quickly in surface water (2 months), and hardly at all in groundwater. It is not expected to build up in plants or animals."
3. Is the substance harmful to the environment and biodiversity? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]	Х			TR page 10: Direct evidence/data are still limited.
4. Does the substance contain List 1, 2, or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1) (B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]	Х			
Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used? [§6518 m.1]	X			Chelating agents interact with a wide range of metals and could conceivably create imbalances and/or deficiencies.

6. Are there adverse biological and	Χ			TR page 10: Direct evidence/data are still
chemical interactions in agro-				limited. No TR on dibromoethane,
ecosystem? [§6518 m.5]				breakdown products are not known.
7. Are there detrimental physiological	Х			TR page 10: Direct evidence/data are still
effects on soil organisms, crops, or				limited.
livestock? [§6518 m.5]				
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse action of	Χ			TR page 10: Direct evidence/data are still
the material or its breakdown products?				limited.
[§6518 m.2]				
9. Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment?[§6518 m.2]	Х			TR page 11: "Although the labeled part of (S,S)EDDS decomposed to CO ₂ gas, that did not necessarily assure that the unlabeled part also decomposed to CO ₂ gas since that part was not directly measured. Therefore, (S,S)EDDS as a whole compound might decompose rapidly, but the breakdown products might not be totally inorganic. The breakdown products of the unlabeled part of (S,S)EDDS may still need to be clarified. The potentially unbroken part is originated from 1,2-dibromoethane, a substance banned by US EPA in 1984 for most kinds of
				uses."
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A) (i); 6517 c(2)(A)I; §6518 m.4] 11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]	X		X	TR page 11: "(S,S)-EDDS is considered to be of low toxicity by US EPA. US FDA approved the use of (S,S)-EDDS in food-contacting paper or paperboards." However, it is unknown how much unreacted EDB might be present. MSDS page 3: Slightly irritating to skin, eyes, and respiratory system. TR page 4: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) established the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of (S,S)-EDDS when used as an inert ingredient sequestrant or chelating agent in pesticide formulations applied to growing crops only under 40 CFR Part 180.920 (EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0250; FRL-8362-4; effective November 14, 2008). TR page 11: US FDA approved the use of (S,S)-EDDS in food-contacting paper or paperboards. Petition p 8: The agency's [FDA's] final ruling was a "Finding of No Significant Impact" (FONSI) when EDDS was present at no more than 0.31% b weight of the dry fiber of food-contact paper and paperboard."
12. Is the substance GRAS when used			X	TR page 11: US FDA approved the use of
according to FDA's good				(S,S)-EDDS in food-contacting paper or
manufacturing practices? [§205.600				paperboards.
b.5]				paporboardo.
13. Does the substance contain residues of			Χ	TR, Petition (exempt from tolerance)
heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]			^	TIX, Fellilon (exempt nom tolerance)
. <u> </u>	•	•		

1 If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance: <u>EDDS</u>

Question	Voc	No	1	Decumentation
Question	Yes	INO	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a chemical process? [6502 (21)]	Х			TR lines 278-292
2. Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral, sources? [6502 (21)]		X		TR lines 299-302. Not extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral sources.
3. Is the substance created by naturally occurring biological processes? [6502 (21)]	X	X		The chemical process is noted above; however, lines 307-313 describes production from bacteria as an alternative.
4. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			Х	
5. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			Х	
6. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			Х	
7. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	X			Jay Feldman: Could be considered? Use of distilled or deionized water to eliminate interference of metals in the mixture. TR page 6. "In a laboratory experiment, EDDS was produced by bacteria at a rate of 20 grams per liter in fermentations of <i>Amycolatopsis orientalis</i> with feeding solution of glycerol (major component), glutamic acid an urea (major component), phosphates (minor) an Fe(III)citric acid (trace)."
8. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]			Х	
9. Are there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	Х			TR page 11: as a chelating agent, EDTA not as an active ingredient but still as an inert.
10. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]	X			TR page 11: Several alternative cultural methods to using pesticides (or the petitioned material) were cited in the petition (page 20 – 21 of the petition): biological controls, barrier controls, repellent controls, traps, hand picking, and cultural controls.

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b)are N/A—not applicable.

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance: $\underline{\mathsf{EDDS}}$

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [§205.600 b.2]			X	
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling, and biodiversity? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]		Х		TR: Manufacture involves highly toxic reactants. No need established.
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]		X		TR page 11: breakdown products not all known. Unknown interactions with desirable metals in soils.
4. Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [§205.600 b.3]			X	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]			Х	
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]			Х	
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories: a. copper and sulfur compounds		Х		
b. toxins derived from bacteria;		Χ		
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals?		Х		
d. livestock parasiticides and medicines?		Х		
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?		Х		

If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

Category 4. Is the commercial supply of an agricultural substance as organic, fragile or potentially unavailable? [§6610, 6518, 6519, 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c) 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c)] Substance - EDDS

Question	Yes	No	N/A	Comments on Information Provided (sufficient, plausible, reasonable,
				thorough, complete, unknown)
1. Is the comparative description provided as to				,
why the non-organic form of the material			Χ	
/substance is necessary for use in organic				
handling?				
Does the current and historical industry				
information, research, or evidence provided explain			Х	
how or why the material /substance cannot be				
obtained organically in the appropriate <u>form</u> to fulfill				
an essential function in a system of organic				
handling?				
3. Does the current and historical industry			Х	
information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be			^	
obtained organically in the appropriate quality to				
fulfill an essential function in a system of organic				
handling?				
4. Does the current and historical industry				
information, research, or evidence provided explain			Х	
how or why the material /substance cannot be			^	
obtained organically in the appropriate quantity to				
fulfill an essential function in a system of organic				
handling?				
5. Does the industry information provided on				
material / substance non-availability as organic,			Χ	
include (but not limited to) the following:				
a. Regions of production (including factors such as				
climate and number of regions);				
b. Number of suppliers and amount produced;				
Owner and bisherical and Provide Live of			X	
c. Current and historical supplies related to weather			V	
events such as hurricanes, floods, and droughts			X	
that may temporarily halt production or destroy				
crops or supplies;				
d. Trade-related issues such as evidence of				
hoarding, war, trade barriers, or civil unrest that			Х	
may temporarily restrict supplies; or			^`	
e. Are there other issues which may present a				
challenge to a consistent supply?			Х	