# United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service | National Organic Program Document Cover Sheet https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/organic/national-list/petitioned

Document Type:

# □ National List Petition or Petition Update

A petition is a request to amend the USDA National Organic Program's National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (National List).

Any person may submit a petition to have a substance evaluated by the National Organic Standards Board (7 CFR 205.607(a)).

Guidelines for submitting a petition are available in the NOP Handbook as NOP 3011, National List Petition Guidelines.

Petitions are posted for the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

# **Technical Report (Update to Previous Report)**

A technical report is developed in response to a petition to amend the National List. Reports are also developed to assist in the review of substances that are already on the National List.

Technical reports are completed by third-party contractors and are available to the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

Contractor names and dates completed are available in the report.

**NOTE**: This technical report updates a technical report on this substance dated October 3, 2014. The changes made to the October 3, 2014 report are highlighted (yellow highlighting) in this February 12, 2018 report (changes on pages 1, 3, 14, 21, and in the "References" section).

# Allyl Isothiocyanate

Ider	ntification of Petitioned Substance
<b>Chemical Names:</b> Allyl isothiocyanate	<ul><li>14 Allyl isothiocynanate (AITC</li><li>15</li></ul>
<b>Other Name:</b> 2-propenylisothiocyanate	<b>CAS Numbers:</b> 57-06-07
3-isothiocynanato-1-propene Allyl isosulfocynate	<b>Other Codes:</b> 200-309-2 (EINECS No.) 24862709 (PubChem ID)
<b>Trade Names:</b> Oil of mustard	
	Summary of Petitioned Use
of mustard) as an allowed synthetic su fumigant. This includes the addition of supporting the certification of organic with specific regard to the "Strawberry Specifically, AITC produced through offered by the National Organic Progr production from which comparisons r	f AITC as a synthetic substance for use as an organic option nursery seed and nursery stock plants in organic crop production y Nursery Stock Certification" and the "Nematode Certification". chemical synthesis is petitioned for use. There is no related ruling am (NOP) regarding the use of AITC in organic crop or livestock nay be drawn.
Although AITC is naturally generated use of synthetic AITC as a pre-plant fu chemistry of the concentrated substan- Use of synthetic AITC must be evaluat with consideration of the potential tox as alternative substances and practices	through the composting and decomposition of mustard greens, the imigant for organic crop production necessitates consideration of the ce in the terrestrial environment at the proposed application rates. ted against the criteria in the Organic Foods Production Act (OFPA), icity to beneficial soil microorganisms and terrestrial animals as well s available to organic crop producers.
Chara	acterization of Petitioned Substance
<u>Composition of the Substance:</u> The compositions of allyl isothiocyana intended purpose of the product. At the C4H5NS, is a volatile organic compou Book, 2010). Synthetic sources of AITC synthesis, extraction, and/or purificat fumigation are typically greater than 9 small amounts of other plant-derived extraction technique employed to isola	tte (AITC) formulations differ depending on the source of AITC and ne molecular level, allyl isothiocyanate, with a molecular formula of nd composed of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulfur atoms (Chemi C may contain traces of residual reagents and solvents used during ion of the substance. The synthetic sources being considered for pre-p 5 percent pure (Isagro USA, 2013). Natural sources of AITC may cont chemicals and solvent residues depending on the plant source and ate AITC.
	N.Sc
	S
Figure 1.	Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) structural formula

Technical Evaluation Report Compiled by Pesticide Research Institute on October 3, 2014, and updated by Savan Group on February 12, 2018, for the USDA National Organic Program

#### 50 Source or Origin of the Substance:

- 51 Both solvent extraction from natural plant sources and chemical synthetic procedures are used in the
- 52 commercial production of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC). Historically, AITC has been extracted from the dried
- 53 seeds of Brassica nigra (black mustard) for various industrial and therapeutic applications (Merck, 2006).
- 54 Before being extracted, AITC is liberated from the glucosinolate sinigrin through reaction with myrosinase,
- 55 an enzyme released when black mustard seeds are crushed (Romanowski, 2000). Chemical synthetic
- 56 methods for AITC production from allyl iodide and potassium thiocyanate were published in the 1920s
- 57 and variants of this process currently remain in use (Fan, 2012).
- 58
- 59 In addition to mustard seeds and foliage, a number of other plants (e.g., cabbage, kale, horseradish)
- 60 naturally produce AITC. Likewise, synthetic AITC is added to processed foods as a flavoring agent and/or
- 61 preservative. Table 1 below provides additional information on the occurrence of AITC in common food
- 62 items. AITC concentrations observed in processed foods may represent naturally formed AITC released
- 63 from glucosinolates and/or synthetic AITC intentionally added during food production.
- 64 65

### Table 1. Occurrence of AITC in Common Foods

Product	AITC concentration (mg/kg)
Brussels sprouts	0.10
Cabbage	3.00
Cauliflower	0.08
Horseradish	1,350
Mustard	400-15,000
Baked goods	25-100
Condiments	700–5,000
Fats, oils	50
Fish products	0.05-0.07
Gelatins, puddings	1.00-2.00
Meat products	35-60
Seasonings, flavorings	6-30
Snack foods	48-100

66

Data Sources: Stofberg 1987; Velisek, 1995; Burdock, 2010

- 67
- 68

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram (equivalent to parts per million, ppm)

### 69 **Properties of the Substance:**

- 70 Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) is a colorless to light amber oily liquid with pungent odor. A summary of the
- 71 chemical and physical properties of pure AITC is provided below in Table 2.
- 72
- 73

# Table 2. Chemical and Physical Properties for AITC

Property	Value/Description
Color	Clear, colorless to light amber
Physical State	Oily liquid
Molecular Formula	$CH_2=CHCH_2N=C=S(C_4H_5NS)$
Molecular Weight, g/mol	99.15
Freezing Point, °C	-80; -102.5
Boiling Point, °C	150–154
Density, g/mL	1.0126
Solubility in water at 20 °C, mg/L	2,000 (soluble)
Solubility in organic solvents	Miscible in many organic solvents, including ethanol, ethyl
	ether, chloroform and benzene
Soil Organic Carbon-Water Partition	260
Coefficient ( $K_{oc}$ ), mL/g	(Moderately mobile in soils)
Aerobic Soil Half-life (DT <sub>50</sub> )	Literature suggests $DT_{50}$ is 2 days

February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report)

	Hydrolysis	Facile (fully degraded within 80 minutes at pH 8)
	Photodegradation	Photolysis not expected due to lack of chromophores;
		degraded in the atmosphere by photochemically produced
		hydroxyl radicals (half-life = 2.4 hours at 25 °C).
	Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient (Kow)	141
74	Data Sources: HSDB, 201	13; US EPA, 2013a; Chemical Book, 2010.
75		
76	Specific Uses of the Substance:	
77	Synthetic allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) generally	is used as an insecticide, bacteriocide, nematicide for certain
78	crop protection applications, while synthetic ar	nd natural forms of AITC (i.e., volatile oil of mustard) are
79	commonly used for the flavoring and preserva	tion of foods (EFSA, 2010). The current review is focused on
80	the United States Environmental Protection Ag	gency (US EPA) registered uses of AITC for pre-plant soil
81	fumigation.	
82		
83	According to US EPA, AITC is a biochemical p	esticide used as an "insect and animal repellent, feeding
84	suppressant, insecticide, fungicide, herbicide an	nd nematicide" (US EPA, 2013a). AITC is used heavily in the
85	sugar industry due to its potent fungicidal activ	vity. In this context, the substance protects sugar beets from
86	fungi during storage (Romanowski, 2000). AIT	C has also been used for combatting Hylemya brassicae (the
87	cabbage maggot fly) and other plant pests.	
88		
89	Numerous small-scale uses of AITC have also I	been reported in the available literature. For example, AITC
90	may be used as a chemical feedstock in the pro	duction of war gases (Merck, 2006), a counter-irritant in
91	medicine, a repellent for cats and dogs, a deter	tion that are duese under a f the alian (Cosselin, 1084)
92	ruberacient (i.e., a substance for topical applica	tion that produces redness of the skin) (Gosselin, 1984).
95	With respect to "Stratyborry Nurseowy Stock Con	tification" and the "Nometode Cortification " AITC has
9 <del>4</del> 05	notential to be a readily biodegradable alternat	ive to other eradication treatments that are mandatory for
96	maintaining pest cleanliness of the stock in the	se programs. Traditional eradication treatments include
97	thermotherapy, fumigation using broad-spectr	um fumigants such as methyl bromide or Telon IIIM or
98	steam treatments. The biggest issue generally f	acing nursery stock is nematodes (Meadows 2013) Like
99	methyl bromide and Telon II <sup>TM</sup> , AITC has been	demonstrated to have a broad nematicidal activity (Yu
100	2005, Oliveira 2011, Aissani 2013), Thus, AITC	or AITC-containing plant materials possess good potential
101	to serve as alternative nematicides that are safe	r and more environmentally benign than traditional
102	synthetic fumigants. However, the effectivenes	s of AITC can be selective. In a 2005 study, the nematicidal
103	activity of AITC was evaluated using seven dif	ferent species of nematodes, including six of the most
104	important parasitic nematode species in agricu	lture world-wide (Yu 2005). The study found that the
105	susceptibility or tolerance of nematode species	was highly variable. While AITC was found to be toxic and
106	possess anti-hatching activity against all the sp	ecies in the study, the required concentrations of AITC for
107	effective nematicidal activity was different acro	oss the species studied. This is a similar observation found in
108	the fungicidal activity of AITC. However, the s	tudy also demonstrated that AITC was safe to a wide range
109	of important agricultural crops (e.g., alfalfa, so	ybean, tomato, etc.) at concentrations that are toxic to
110	parasitic nematodes (Yu 2005). Thus, phytotoxi	icity would not be a concern when AITC is used as a
111	nematicide. The variability in effective concent	rations for nematicidal activity suggests that careful
112	evaluation of effective dosages and testing is re	equired to ensure pest eradication that meets certification
113	standards.	
114		
115	AITC was also found to be highly effective in e	radicating Rhizoctonia solani, a plant pathogenic fungus,
116	which causes seedling damping off and seedlir	ng blight in nursery stock of perennial and vegetable crops
117	(Dhingra 2004). However, it should be noted th	nat the rate of fungal activity needs to be determined before
118	planting as the wait period between soil treatm	nent and planting has a drastic influence on disease control.
119		
120	Approved Legal Uses of the Substance:	

121 The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations allow the use of allyl isothiocyanate

122 (AITC) as a food additive and active ingredient in certain drugs. According to FDA regulations, AITC may

be added to food as a synthetic flavoring substance or adjuvant if the substance is used in the minimum
 quantity to produce the intended effects and in accordance with the principles of good manufacturing

125 practice (21 CFR 172.515). FDA acknowledges that some over-the-counter drug products contain AITC as 126 the active ingredient, although inadequate data are available to establish general recognition of safety and

127 effectiveness for these products. Specifically, AITC may be used in nasal decongestant drug products (21

128 CFR 310.545(a)(6)(ii)) as well as commercially available fever blister and cold sore treatments (21 CFR

129 310.545(a)(10)(v)).

130

131 The US EPA regulates all non-food applications of AITC, including its use as a fungicide, insecticide and 132 animal repellent. Although US EPA first registered oil of mustard for pesticidal use in 1962, AITC is the 133 active ingredient in only six EPA-registered products (EPA, 2013a; US EPA, 2014). Currently registered 134 products include outdoor animal repellants and broad spectrum pre-plant soil biofumigants for control of 135 certain soil-borne fungi, nematodes, weeds and insects (EPA, 2014). According to EPA regulation, AITC is exempt from the requirement of a tolerance for residues when used as a component of food grade oil of 136 137 mustard, in or on all raw agricultural commodities (40 CFR 180.1167). The petitioned non-food use of AITC as a pre-plant fumigant would not lead to residues on food due to the prescribed use pattern and rapid 138 139 dissipation of the substance in the environment.

140

# 141 Action of the Substance:

142 Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) controls soil-borne pathogens, nematodes and weeds by acting as a general

143 irritant and/or desiccant that may alter respiration in target diseases and pests. Following injection into the

soil using a drip irrigation system or tractor for shank application, AITC acts to reduce the populations of

soil-borne plant diseases and pests (Isagro USA, 2013).

146

147 Research involving exposure of bacterial species to AITC has provided insight into the toxic mode of action

148 of pesticides containing AITC toward microbes. Reduced oxygen uptake and inhibition of some enzymatic

149 activities were observed in gram-positive bacteria exposed to AITC. In the bacterium Escherichia coli,

150 AITC exposure leads to disruption of the cellular membrane with concomitant leakage of intracellular

151 metabolites. In particular, treatment of E. coli with AITC results in significant loss of intracellular

adenosine triphosphate (ATP), an energy carrier for numerous metabolic processes. Experiments in

another gram- positive bacterium suggest that AITC alters bacterial proteins by oxidative cleavage of

disulfide bonds and attack of free amino groups (Hyldgaard, 2012; Faleiro, 2011). In addition to the toxic

mode of action described above, AITC also acts as a potent animal repellent owing to its very pungent,
 irritating odor (US EPA, 2013a).

157

# 158 **Combinations of the Substance:**

159 Formulated pesticide products may contain more than one active ingredient, as well as surfactants, carriers

and other adjuvants. The Isagro USA products included in the current petition contain synthetic allyl

161 isothiocyanate (AITC) at 99.8% and 96.3% with no other active ingredients listed on the label (Isagro USA,

162 2013). Alternatively, a related insect control concentrate contains a mixture of AITC (3.7%) and capsicum

163 oleoresin (0.42%) as the active ingredients (Champon, 2012). No other ingredients are listed on the label for

164 this product. Dog and cat repellent products contain a complex mixture of essential oils and synthetic

active ingredients, including oil of lemongrass (2.0%), oil of citronella (1.2%), AITC (0.20%), oil of orange

166 (0.02%), methyl salicylate (0.02%), geraniol (0.04%), ionone alpha (0.01%), and oil of bergamot (0.11%).

167 However, the manufacturer does not disclose the identity of other formulation ingredient on the label

168 (Bakers, 2008). Overall, product formulations are considered confidential business information, and

169 companies may reformulate products at any time.170

170

Status

# 172

# 173 Historic Use:

174 Mustard oils produced through the pressing of black mustard seeds consist mostly of fatty acids as well as

small amounts of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC). In fact, it is the AITC component of mustard oil that imparts its characteristic fragrance. Pressed mustard oil has been used for cooking and other cultural purposes for

- centuries, especially in northern India (Shiva, 2000). However, the available literature suggests that it is the
  fatty acid composition, and not the AITC content, that is responsible for its historical uses in Indian culture.
- 180 The process of biofumigation or 'green manuring' utilizes Brassica plants (e.g., the mustard plant) as cover
- 181 crops. The biofumigation process takes advantage of the naturally occurring volatile compounds
- (allelochemicals such as AITC) that are specific to the Brassicaceae genus and are released from damaged
- 183 plant tissues when the cover crop is plowed under before reaching full maturity. It has been found that
- 184 volatile chemicals like AITC are useful in the control of soil-borne pests and pathogens. In situations where
- 185 green manuring or plow down crops are not practical, growers may utilize de-oiled mustard seed meals
- and powders in which the fatty acids have been removed from the seed through extraction. Noticeable
- differences in the amount of AITC produced from these meals is observed depending on how the mustard
- 188 was grown, handled and processed (MPT, 2011).
- 189
- 190 US EPA first registered naturally occurring AITC as a component of oil of mustard in 1962 (US EPA,
- 191 2013a). As the key component of Oil of Mustard, EPA determined that AITC was the residue of concern
- and characterized the hazards to human health and the environment in the Reregistration Eligibility
- 193 Decision for Flower Oils and Vegetable Oils (US EPA, 1993), the Biopesticides Registration Action
- 194 Document for Oriental Mustard Seed (US EPA, 2008), and the Vegetable and Flower Oil Summary
- 195 Document for Registration Review (US EPA, 2010). Products containing synthetic AITC are currently
- registered as pre-plant soil biofumigants and animal repellents. The biofumigation products included in
- 197 the current petition are registered for use as insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and nematicides, and are
- 198 applied by drip or shank injection (US EPA, 2013a; Isagro USA, 2013).
- 199

# 200 Organic Foods Production Act, USDA Final Rule:

- 201 Neither of the terms "allyl isothiocyanate" or "oil of mustard" are mentioned in the Organic Foods
- 202 Production Act of 1990 (OFPA). However, the OFPA states that handlings operators shall not "use any
- 203 packaging materials, storage containers or bins that contain synthetic fungicides, preservatives, or
- fumigants." None of the National List sections for organic crop production (7 CFR 205.601 and 205.602),
- organic livestock production (7 CFR 205.603 and 205.604), or organic handling (7 CFR 205.605 and 205.606)
- 206 mention the use of AITC, oil of mustard, or fumigants. The current petition represents the first
- 207 consideration of synthetic AITC biofumigants in any form of organic production in the United States.

# 208

# 209 <u>International</u>

- 210 Guidelines and regulations from a number of international organizations and regulatory bodies indicate
- 211 that allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) is not permitted for use in organic production. Below, international
- standards and regulations regarding the use of chemical fumigants in any form of organic production are summarized.
- 213 sur 214
- 215 Canadian General Standards Board
- 216 Canadian organic production standards forbid the use of "equipment, packaging materials and store
- containers, or bins that contain a synthetic preservative or fumigant" (CAN, 2011a). In addition, allyl
- isothiocyanate and oil of mustard are not listed on the Canadian Organic Production Systems Permitted
- 219 Substances List (CAN, 2011b).
- 220
- 221 Codex Alimentarius
- Allyl isothiocyanate and oil of mustard are not allowed for use in organic production under the Codex
- 223 guidelines. Although pre-plant soil fumigation is not specifically mentioned, item six of Annex 1states that
- steam sterilization may be used for the control of soil diseases and pests when proper rotation of soil
- renewal cannot take place (Codex, 2013). It is further noted in item seven that "only in cases of imminent or
- serious threat to the crop and where the measures identified in 6 (above) are, or would not be effective,
- 227 recourse may be had to products referred to in Annex 2." Synthetic allyl isothiocyanate is not currently
- included in Annex 2 as a permitted substance for plant pest and disease control (Codex, 2013).
- 229

- Technical Evaluation Report Allyl Isothiocyanate 230 European Economic Community Council 231 Commission Regulations (EC) No 834/2007 and 889/2008 do not permit the use of allyl isothiocyanate, oil of mustard or any other synthetic substance for pre-plant soil fumigation. As stated in EC 889/2008: 232 233 234 Where plants cannot be adequately protected from pests and diseases by measures provided for in Article 12 235 (1)(a), (b), (c) and (g) of Regulation (EC) No 834/2007, only products referred to in Annex II to this 236 Regulation may be used in organic production. Operators shall keep documentary evidence of the need to use 237 the product. 238 239 Neither "allyl isothiocyanate" nor "oil of mustard" is listed in Annex II of EC 889/2008. 240 Japan Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries 241 242 According to the Japanese standard, allyl isothiocyanate and oil of mustard are not listed as allowed 243 substances for any purpose in organic plant production. Carbon dioxide is the only synthetic substance 244 allowed for plant pest and disease control, and is limited to use in storage facilities (JMAFF, 2005a). This 245 allowance is also listed in the Japanese standards for organic livestock products (JMAFF, 2005b). No 246 mention of allyl isothiocyanate, oil of mustard, or fumigation was identified in the Japanese standards for 247 organic feeds (JMAFF, 2005c) and organic processed foods (JMAFF, 2005d). 248 249 International Federation of Organic Agricultural Movements Under the IFOAM Norms, fumigation with ethylene oxide, methyl bromide, aluminum phosphide or other 250 251 substance not contained in Appendix 4 of the Norms is a prohibited pest control practice (IFOAM, 2014). 252 Neither "oil of mustard" nor "allyl isothiocyanate" is listed in Appendix 4, and therefore AITC is not 253 allowed for use in any form of organic production. 254 255 United Kingdom Soil Association 256 According to section 4.13.3 of the UK Soil Association organic crop production guide, growers may not use 257 chemical fumigants in stores or on premises where organic crops are stored (Soil Association, 2014). There 258 is no mention of AITC as a permitted pre-plant soil fumigant under the UK Soil Association standards. 259 Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Crop or Livestock Production 260 261 Evaluation Question #1: Indicate which category in OFPA that the substance falls under: (A) Does the 262 263 substance contain an active ingredient in any of the following categories: copper and sulfur 264 compounds, toxins derived from bacteria; pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals; livestock parasiticides and medicines and production aids including 265 266 netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleansers? (B) Is 267 the substance a synthetic inert ingredient that is not classified by the EPA as inerts of toxicological concern (i.e., EPA List 4 inerts) (7 U.S.C. § 6517(c)(1)(B)(ii))? Is the synthetic substance an inert 268 269 ingredient which is not on EPA List 4, but is exempt from a requirement of a tolerance, per 40 CFR part 180?
- 270 271
- 272 (A) As indicated in its chemical name and molecular formula (C4H5NS), allyl isothiocyanate (AITC)
- 273 contains a single sulfur atom; therefore, AITC may be considered a sulfur compound.
- 274

275 (B) AITC is an active ingredient; it is not considered an inert ingredient when used in pesticide products.

276 According to EPA regulation, AITC is exempt from the requirement of a tolerance for residues when used 277 as a component of food grade oil of mustard, in or on all raw agricultural commodities (40 CFR 180.1167).

278 The petitioned non-food use of AITC as a pre-plant fumigant and rapid dissipation of AITC in the

279 environment precludes the occurrence of AITC residues on food.

280

281 Evaluation Question #2: Describe the most prevalent processes used to manufacture or formulate the 282 petitioned substance. Further, describe any chemical change that may occur during manufacture or

# formulation of the petitioned substance when this substance is extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral sources (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).

A variety of preparatory techniques are available for allyl isothiocyanate (AITC), ranging from the *in situ* generation of AITC in agricultural fields using Brassica cover crops and mustard seed meal to synthetic production processes such as extraction of AITC from natural plant sources and industrial production techniques. The sections below provide details regarding three general strategies of producing AITC as a soil biofumigant.

291

# 292 Natural Formation from Plant Materials

Growers seeking to reduce the application of chemical inputs commonly utilize specialized cover crops for soil quality improvement and pre-plant pest management. In particular, cover crops consisting of mustard plants and related Brassica species (i.e., cole crops) are capable of naturally producing AITC for soil biofumigation (Haramoto, 2004). Mustards and related plants contain elevated amounts of glucosinolates<sup>1</sup> and the hydrolase enzyme, myrosinase (Borek, 1995). The glucosinolate sinigrin and enzyme myrosinase remain in separate compartments of the plant cell under typical growing conditions (Romanowski, 2000).

- 299 Once the plant tissue is damaged, however, the enzyme myrosinase is released and liberates AITC from the
- 300 glucosinolate sinigrin through enzymatic hydrolysis (bond cleavage with water) (Figure 2). Therefore,
- flailing and plowing under mustard and related cover crops is a natural way of generating AITC in soil for pre-plant soil fumigation.
- 303



304 305 306

Figure 2. AITC is naturally produced through the enzymatic reaction of myrosinase with the glucosinolate sinigrin under moist conditions.

307 When living plant tissues containing the glucosinolate sinigrin and the enzyme myrosinase (e.g., mustard 308 plants) are crushed, water within the plant material is available to facilitate AITC formation. Alternatively, 309 crushing dried mustard seed in the absence of water does not lead to an immediate reaction. Commercial 310 mustard meals prepared through the crushing of mustard seeds followed by removal of fatty acids using a 311 hexane wash are marketed as sources of AITC for biofumigation (US EPA, 2008). Mincing mustard seed 312 brings the key reaction components into physical proximity, but the enzymatic reaction resulting in 313 liberation of AITC from the sinigrin precursor is initiated only through the introduction of water. AITC is 314 released when mustard seed meal is wetted, and therefore incorporation of mustard seed meal into moist 315 soil represents a natural approach to generating AITC on-site for soil biofumigation (Johnson, 2011). With 316 the typical application rate of 1 ton/acre (Farm Fuel Inc., 2013b) and AITC content of mustard seed meal ranging from 2–17 g/kg (Dai and Lim, 2014), the equivalent application rate of AITC is 4–33 lb/acre. The 317 available resources indicate that some organic growers, including organic strawberry producers, are 318 319 adopting mustard seed meal as a natural option for soil pest control.

<sup>320</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Glucosinolates are organic anions containing a D-thioglucose moiety, a sulfonated oxime (N-O bonded group) and a unique side chain. *February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report) Page 7 of 28* 

# 321 Extraction from Natural Sources

- Chemically pure AITC was first produced through the extraction of the appropriate plant materials (e.g., mustard leaves and seeds) followed by distillation of the resulting extract residue. Much like the natural
- process described above, extraction of AITC involves the initial liberation of AITC from the glucosinolate
- sinigrin through reaction with myrosinase, an enzyme released when black mustard seeds and plant
- 326 tissues are crushed (Romanowski, 2000). The original and more recent patent literature describes processes
- in which mustard seed is cracked and then combined with water to activate the enzyme myrosinase for
- AITC production (Mustakas, 1963; Sakai, 2005a and 2005b). This "activated mustard slurry" is allowed to
- react for a specified period of time at slightly elevated temperatures (e.g., 50 °C) before the AITC generated
- through enzymatic hydrolysis of sinigrin is separated from the bulk mustard seed residue. The ground
- mustard seed powders used in these processes are commonly defatted (devoid of fatty acids) through
   washing with hexanes to accelerate the hydrolysis reaction. Isolation of the resulting AITC from mustard
- slurries typically involves solvent (e.g., hexane, ethanol, diethyl ether) extraction and/or steam distillation
- 334 (Sharma, 2012; Li, 2010).
- 335

336 Chemical Synthesis

- 337 Commercial sources of AITC are primarily produced using chemical synthetic methods. Specifically, AITC
- is produced on an industrial scale by reaction of allyl chloride, bromide or iodide (CH2=CH-CH2X, where
- 339 X = Cl, Br or I) with alkali rhodanides (e.g., potassium thiocyanate) in a two-phase solvent system
- comprised of water and 1,2-dichloroethane (Figure 3) (Romanowski, 2000). Numerous variants of this basic
- chemical reaction have been published in the scientific and patent literature. As an example, catalytic
- amounts of methyl trioctyl ammonium chloride [(CH3)(C8H17)3NCl] were used in the reaction between
- allyl bromide (CH2=CH-CH2Br) and potassium thiocyanate in acetonitrile solvent (Patent CN102452967
   A).
- 344 345

Alternatively, a method involving the initial reaction of allyl amine (CH2=CH-CH2-NH2) and carbon disulfide (CS2) followed by oxidation of the reaction intermediate using a peroxide to form AITC recently

- appeared in the published patent literature (Patent CN101735128 B). This method is not currently
- 349 employed in the industrial production of AITC.
- 350



Figure 3. AITC can be industrially produced through treatment of allyl halides such as allyl iodide with alkali rhodanides such as
 potassium thiocyanate in a mixture of water and 1,2-dichloroethane.

354

# Evaluation Question #3: Discuss whether the petitioned substance is formulated or manufactured by a chemical process, or created by naturally occurring biological processes (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).

Allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) may be considered synthetic or natural (nonsynthetic) depending on the

method utilized for its production. Under the USDA organic regulations, the NOP defines synthetic as "a

- substance that is formulated or manufactured by a chemical process or by a process that chemically
- 361 changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral sources, except that such
- 362 term shall not apply to substances created by naturally occurring biological processes" (7 CFR 205.2).
- 363

According to this definition, *in situ* production of AITC from mustard and related cover crops or mustard seed meals constitutes a natural (nonsynthetic) process. In contrast, industrial sources of AITC are produced through chemical synthesis, and would therefore be considered synthetic due to the application of synthetic chemicals (reagents and solvents) in both the production as well as the purification/processing of crude AITC. It is unlikely that residues of chemical precursors will persist in the petitioned form of the substance, synthetic AITC.

370

371 372 373	<b>Evaluation Question #4:</b> Describe the persistence or concentration of the petitioned substance and/or its by-products in the environment (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2)).
272	This southing summarizes technical information related to the nervicence of allul isothiographic (AITC) in
374 275	This section summarizes technical mormation related to the persistence of any isothocyanate (ATC) in soil suster, and the atmosphere. The comprised date indicate that ATC is readily biodegradable in all three
276	son, water, and the atmosphere. The complete data indicate that AFTC is readily blodegradable in an three
277	environmental compartments. I founction and use of ATTC as a navoring agent and ingredient in
270	furnigent and animal regulation will recover its result in direct release to the environment. Received AITC is
270	runigant and animal repenent will necessarily result in direct release to the environment. Decause ATTC is
200	a volatile organic compound and has the potential to cause inflation and systemic toxicity, exposure of and
201	potential adverse effects on non-target receptors (numans and whome) is likely considering its proposed
301	to surthetic sources. AITC is also present in the source and leaves of plants such as mustards, horseredish
302	and broccoli (HSDB 2012; US EPA 2013)
384	and broccoli (115Db, 2015, 05 El A, 2015a).
304	Sail incorporation of AITC is most relevant as the patitioned use involves addition of AITC to sails as a pro-
386	soli incorporation of ATTC is most relevant as the petitioned use involves addition of ATTC to solis as a pre-
387	of 260 mL/g Significant volatilization from moist and dry soils is expected for AITC based on its Honry's
388	Law constant and vapor pressure that are on the same order of magnitude as these same parameters for
389	conventional fumigants. Decomposition half-lives for AITC in soil range from 20 to 60 hours. The mean soil
390	half-life of 47 + 27 hours (approximately two days) was determined based on dissination studies in six
391	different soil types with the greatest AITC degradation rates observed in soils that have high organic
392	carbon and total nitrogen contents. Comparison of aerobic (with oxygen) and anaerobic (without oxygen)
393	soil dissipation studies indicates that biodegradation from soil microbial activity is not an important fate
394	process for AITC (HSDB, 2013: US EPA, 2013a, 2013b).
395	
396	Although AITC is not intended to be applied directly to water, runoff from treated fields may lead to
397	releases of the substance to neighboring water bodies. When released to water, AITC is expected to adsorb
398	to suspended solids and sediment based on its estimated organic carbon partition coefficient (Koc). Half-
399	lives for volatilization of AITC from a model river (6.5 hours) and model lake (5 days) are relatively short;
400	however, adsorption of AITC to suspended solids and sediment in the water column may diminish
401	volatilization from water surfaces. Adsorption may increase the half-life of volatilization from a model
402	pond to an estimated 30 days. With a bioconcentration factor (BCF) of 12, it is unlikely that AITC will
403	bioaccumulate in aquatic organisms. Hydrolysis is expected to be an important environmental fate process
404	since isocyanates readily hydrolyze at environmentally relevant pH levels of five to nine (HSDB, 2013).
405	At environmentally relevant pH ranges (pH between six and eight), AITC will degrade completely. Within
406	this pH range, the primary degradates identified include allyl thiocyanate (ATC), allyl amine (AA) and
407	carbon disulfide (CDS). The profile of decomposition products for AITC in water is largely dependent on
408	the temperature and pH of the aqueous medium (Figure 4). AITC and its isomerization product ATC are
409	typically observed under environmental conditions. Under basic (high pH) conditions, AA, CDS, allyl
410	dithiocarbamate (ADTC) and diallylthiourea (DATU) were the major reaction products identified. AA and
411	CDS were also the primary degradates of AITC in neutral (pH 6) and slightly acidic (pH 4) media. Traces of
412	other minor degradation products have also been observed in published decomposition studies (Pecháček,
413	1997). AA is expected to biodegrade quickly in the environment, making human and animal exposure to
414	AA unlikely following AITC application to soils (US EPA, 2013a). Background levels of CDS are found
415	naturally in the environment (US EPA, 2013a). However, assuming an AITC application rate of 300
416	Ibs/ acre (Isagro USA, 2013) and 25% transformation to CDS (Pechaček, 1997), it is conceivable that
41/	approximately 60 lbs/ acre of CDS would be released to the environment from a single application of
418	synthetic ATTC. This concentration of CDS in the environment is not representative of naturally occurring
410	hashground lovals

### Crops

## **Primary AITC Decomposition Products**



421 422

Figure 4. AITC readily isomerizes to ATC and forms a variety of decomposition products in water.

AITC released to the air will exist primarily in the vapor form considering the relatively high vapor
pressure of 3.7 mm Hg at 25 °C. Direct photolysis of AITC by sunlight will not occur due to the absence of
chromophores in the AITC chemical structure that would absorb radiation at wavelengths greater than 290
nm. However, vapor-phase AITC undergo facile degradation in the atmosphere through reaction with
photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals (half-life = 2.4 hours) (HSDB, 2013).

428

# <u>Evaluation Question #5:</u> Describe the toxicity and mode of action of the substance and of its breakdown products and any contaminants. Describe the persistence and areas of concentration in the

431 environment of the substance and its breakdown products (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2)).

432

This section summarizes allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) toxicity to four taxa groups, including mammals, fish, aquatic invertebrates and soil microorganisms. Overall, it can be concluded that the toxicity rating of AITC ranges from toxic to practically non-toxic to the few non-target taxa groups evaluated in the literature. The risk of toxicity associated with mammalian exposure to AITC is variable depending on the source and concentration of AITC used in toxicity testing. According to US EPA, oil of mustard containing AITC at a

concentration of 4.43% is practically non-toxic (Category IV) via the acute oral and inhalation routes of
 exposure. In addition, oil of mustard is not an acute dermal irritant (Category IV) or sensitizing agent.

440

441 Studies further suggest that AITC is slightly toxic via the dermal route of exposure (Category III) and is a 442 slight eye irritant (Category III) (US EPA, 2010). In contrast, acute oral toxicity testing for a product 443 containing 99.8% AITC using rats as test subjects provided an LD50 value of 425.4 mg/kg (US EPA, 2013b). 444 US EPA classifies pure AITC as moderately toxic for acute oral and inhalation exposure (Category II). 445 Likewise, highly concentrated AITC is categorized as highly toxic (Category I) for primary eye and dermal irritation because the substance is highly corrosive. US EPA classifies pure AITC as a dermal sensitizer 446 447 based on a dermal sensitization test in guinea pigs (US EPA, 2013b). The European Food Safety Authority 448 (EFSA) concluded that AITC may cause hypersensitivity, based on the occurrence of allergies to mustard and reports of allergic contact dermatitis in humans (EFSA, 2010). 449

450

Inhalation toxicity data for AITC and its degradates are not available. US EPA waived data requirements for the 90-day subchronic inhalation toxicity study despite the high volatility of AITC and the fact that the

453 label Personal Protective Equipment requirements for registered AITC products indicates concerns about

454 inhalation exposure (Isagro USA, 2013). The structural similarity of AITC to the conventional fumigant

methyl isothiocyanate (MITC) derived from metam-based fumigant pesticides raises additional concerns
 regarding inhalation toxicity, since respiratory irritation from inhalation exposure is the risk driver for
 MITC.

458

The physical properties of AITC are very similar to those of the conventional soil fumigant MITC (vapor pressure = 16 mm Hg at 25 °C, application rate = 40–300 lbs/acre), for which a great deal of environmental fate and air manitoring data are available (CDPR 2002a; CDPR 2002b; US ERA 2000a). Air manitoring

461 fate and air monitoring data are available (CDPR, 2002a; CDPR, 2002b; US EPA, 2009a). Air monitoring

- 462 studies for MITC conducted near application sites demonstrate high air concentrations of MITC in the first
- 463 24 hours after the application, tapering off over the course of a week. Indeed, MITC has been responsible464 for a number of poisoning incidents in which hundreds of people were evacuated from their homes in
- 464
   for a number of poisoning incidents in which hundreds of people were evacuated from their homes in

   February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report)
   Page 10 of 28

response to MITC drift from applications up to 0.5 miles distant (CDPR, 2014). Based on the similar physical properties of AITC to MITC, it is thus possible to predict that use of AITC will result in exposure via inhalation for pesticide applicators and residential bystanders due to the proposed use pattern in soil biofumigation. The impact of these exposures is unknown because inhalation toxicology studies are not available; however, products labels for conventional fumigant products containing AITC indicate high inhalation hazards and require applicators to utilize respirators (Isagro USA, 2014).

471

472 AITC has been evaluated for developmental and reproductive effects, carcinogenicity and mutagenicity 473 potential in mammals. One study evaluating the developmental toxicity of AITC and related compounds 474 found no difference in the percentage of abnormal fetuses in AITC-treated offspring compared to control 475 groups (US EPA, 2013a). The authors concluded AITC did not demonstrate teratogenic potential at the no 476 observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) of 60 mg/kg, an amounts equivalent to 4.2 grams of AITC for a 150 477 pound person. AITC was found to cause transitional-cell papillomas of the urinary bladder in male rats, 478 but the evidence of carcinogenicity in female rats was ambiguous and AITC demonstrated no carcinogenic 479 effects in mice (Dunnick, 1982; NTP, 1982). Taken together, the results of several reverse mutation studies, 480 in vitro mammalian gene mutation studies using mouse lymphoma cells, and an in vivo mammalian 481 chromosome aberration study suggest that AITC is not likely to be a mutagen. Increases in mutant 482 frequency were observed even at lower test concentrations (e.g., 0.4 to 0.8 mg/mL); however, these tests 483 were conducted without S9 activation (i.e., no mammalian enzymes for substrate metabolism were present) 484 and the tests were complicated by cytotoxicity at higher doses (US EPA, 2013a). Nevertheless, AITC is 485 included on Columbia University's list of carcinogens, mutagens, and reproductive poisons commonly 486 used in research laboratories (Columbia, 2008).

487

488 One of the degradation products of AITC is carbon disulfide, CS2 (CDS). There are concerns regarding 489 exposure to CDS because it is listed by the State of California on the Proposition 65 list as a developmental

490 toxicant (OEHHA, 2014) and is known to induce neuropathological changes and other toxic effects in

491 rodents exposed through inhalation over an intermediate during of less than one year (OEHHA, 2001). As

discussed in Evaluation Question #4, AITC biodegrades in the environment to form a variety of

breakdown products, including CDS at approximately 20–30% transformation. Because CDS is a major

degradate of AITC, the human and environmental toxicity of CDS should be considered as part of the

495 evaluation of AITC for use in organic crop production. Please see Evaluation Question #10 for additional496 information on the human toxicity potential of CDS.

497

In reviewing pesticide products containing AITC as the active ingredient, US EPA waived the data
 requirements for birds, freshwater fish, freshwater invertebrates, non-target plants and non-target insects
 (US EPA, 2013a). Details regarding the rationale for these data waivers are provided below in Table 3.

501 502

Table 3. US EPA Waiver of Non-Target Organism Data Requirements for AITC.

Study Description	Rationale Statement
Avian Acute Oral	No acute oral exposure anticipated based on the application method and rapid
	environmental degradation.
Avian Dietary	No dietary exposure anticipated based on the application method and rapid
	environmental degradation.
Freshwater Fish LC50	Very Highly Toxic (96-hour $LC_{50}$ = 0.077 ppm), but no aquatic exposure anticipat
	based on the application method and rapid environmental degradation.
Freshwater Invertebrate	Very Highly Toxic (48-hour $EC_{50} = 0.73$ ppm), but no aquatic exposure anticipate
	based on the application method and rapid environmental degradation.
Non-target Plants	No non-target exposure anticipated based on the application method and rapid
	environmental degradation.
Non-target Insects	No non-target exposure anticipated based on the application method and rapid
_	environmental degradation.
T.O.	

503 504  $LC_{50}$  = Concentration of AITC lethal to 50 percent of test organisms EC<sub>50</sub> =Effective concentration at which 50 percent of test organisms experience adverse effects, excluding death

- 506 Very few peer-reviewed papers on the ecological toxicity of AITC are available. The aquatic toxicity of 507 AITC was evaluated for Japanese rice fish (Oryzais latipes) using a continuous-flow-mini-diluter system 508 and five concentrations of AITC. Significant mortality was observed in O. latipes exposed to AITC on an 509 acute basis (96-hour LC50 = 0.077 mg/L), and the maximum allowable toxicant concentration (MATC) for 510 chronic (28-day) exposure to AITC was 0.013 mg/L (Holcombe, 1995). Another study found that pure AITC and essential oil extracts containing AITC are completely larvicidal in mosquitoes (A. aegypti) even 511 512 at the lowest concentration tested (0.1 mg/mL); however, this measurement indicates that AITC is 513 significantly less toxic compared to some synthetic pesticides. In addition, AITC was toxic to the freshwater 514 water flea (Daphnia magna) with a 50% effective concentration value of 0.735 mg/L based on combined 515 mortality and immobility measurements (Park, 2011). As expected, AITC is also highly toxic to soil 516 microorganisms and nematodes, such as the non-parasitic free-living soil nematode Caenorhabditis elegans (Donkin, 1995). See Evaluation Question #8 for additional information on the toxicity of AITC to soil 517 518 organisms.
- 519

# 520 <u>Evaluation Question #6:</u> Describe any environmental contamination that could result from the 521 petitioned substance's manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (3)).

522

523 Considering its moderately high volatility (3.7 mm Hg at 25°C), high application rates (85–340 lbs/acre), 524 and agricultural use as a soil biofumigant, releases of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) to the environment are 525 inevitable. AITC is both flammable and potentially toxic to nontarget organisms such as mammals and fish 526 (Sigma Aldrich, 2014a). Aquatic wildlife may be exposed to AITC through spills and/or irrigation runoff. 527 As with conventional fumigants, measures such as the use of plastic tarps on treated fields or application of AITC through a drip system could be taken to further protect humans (bystanders and workers) and 528 529 nontarget terrestrial organisms from exposure to AITC following soil biofumigation. The rapid breakdown 530 and dissipation of AITC in the environment reduces the probability of contamination of groundwater and

- 531 surface water due to agricultural applications of the substance.
- 532

533 In the absence of accidental spills, the risk of water contamination from the use of AITC as a soil biofumigant is considered to be minimal. The release of chemical reagents (e.g., allyl iodide and potassium 534 535 thiocyanate) and highly toxic, flammable and hazardous solvents (e.g., 1,2-dichloroethane) used in the 536 production of AITC due to improper handling/disposal could lead to serious environmental impairments 537 and ecotoxicity in both terrestrial and aquatic environments (Sigma Aldrich, 2014b). No incidents involving 538 the release of these chemical feedstocks from AITC production facilities have been reported to date. 539 Although possible, it is unlikely that large-scale spills and associated environmental contamination will occur when AITC soil biofumigation products are used in accordance with label instructions. 540

541

542 It must be noted that the application rates and the emission rates of AITC are very different between 543 mustard cover crops or seed meals (effective application rate 4–33 lbs/acre) and >95% pure AITC applied 544 at 85-340 lbs/acre. The rate of dissipation of AITC into the environment from mustard cover crops or seed 545 meals is slower than that of AITC applied as a pure substance because the rate of generation is dependent 546 on exposure of the shredded leaves or mustard meal to water, the action of the enzyme, and the rate of 547 escape of AITC from the organic matrix. Thus, while AITC is naturally produced from mustard cover crops 548 or seed meals, as well as other Brassica crop varieties in the agricultural environment without apparent 549 impacts, it is not at all clear that higher application rates of pure AITC will be equally without impact; in fact, the high volatility and high proposed application rates suggest exposure patterns similar to 550 conventional fumigants. The fact that structurally related isothiocyanates such as methyl isothiocyanate 551 552 (MITC, the active fumigant from application of metam sodium) are strong respiratory sensitizers suggests 553 that AITC may pose similar risks. Because the inhalation toxicity data are not a part of the data package 554 submitted by the registrant, it is difficult to know precisely how toxic AITC is by the inhalation route. 555 Evaluation Question #7: Describe any known chemical interactions between the petitioned substance 556

- $\frac{\text{Evaluation Question #7: Describe any known chemical interactions between the petitioned substance}{557}$
- and other substances used in organic crop or livestock production or handling. Describe any
   environmental or human health effects from these chemical interactions (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (1)).
- 559

560 Limited technical information is available regarding the potential for chemical interactions between allyl 561 isothiocyanate (AITC) and other substances used in organic livestock production. One possible interaction 562 between the petitioned substance and other materials used in organic crop production involves the reaction of AITC with free amino acids, peptides and proteins contained in organic composts and 563 564 fertilizers. Specifically, electrophilic (electron deficient) AITC is capable of reacting with the nucleophilic 565 (electron rich) amino groups of the free amino acids alanine and glycine (Cejpek, 2000), as well as cysteine, lysine and arginine residues of intact proteins (Kawakishi, 1987). Diminished enzymatic digestibility was 566 567 documented for some of the resulting protein-AITC adducts; however, it is uncertain how these chemical transformation products might affect the absorption and metabolism of amino acid building blocks in 568 plants. Related technical information on the effect of AITC on the beneficial soil organisms that facilitate 569 570 uptake of organic nutrients through plant roots is provided below in Evaluation Question #8. 571 Evaluation Question #8: Describe any effects of the petitioned substance on biological or chemical 572 573 interactions in the agro-ecosystem, including physiological effects on soil organisms (including the salt 574 index and solubility of the soil), crops, and livestock (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (5)). 575 576 The current technical evaluation report concerns the use of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) as a pre-plant soil 577 biofumigant for control of soil microorganisms and nematodes, insects and weeds in organic crop 578 production. When used for this purpose, it is understood that AITC will interact with multiple components 579 of the terrestrial agro-ecosystem (i.e., agricultural land). Although limited technical information is available 580 regarding non-target effects of AITC application on livestock and wildlife, the available literature suggests 581 the risk of impairment is minimal when label instructions and precautions are followed. Leakage of AITC, particularly large-scale spills, near the agro-ecosystem will result in the destruction to soil organisms 582 (plants, fungi, etc) and may be hazardous to non-target wildlife in the area. 583 584 Toxicity of AITC to soil-dwelling organisms is well documented in the scientific literature due to use of the 585 substance as a pre-plant soil biofumigant. The primary targets of AITC biofumigants are deleterious soil 586 microorganisms, and a significant body of research has been conducted on the efficacy of synthetic AITC in 587 addition to plant materials that naturally infuse AITC into the soil for plant pathogen control (Weerakoon, 588 589 2012). One study demonstrated inhibition of the plant pathogenic fungi Pythium ultimum and Rhizoctonia 590 solani using shredded leaves of different Brassica species. It should be noted that AITC comprised greater 591 than 90% of the volatile chemicals measured from these leaves (Charron, 1999). Another study investigated 592 Indian mustard and pure AITC suppression of mycelial growth and sclerotial germination of Atherlia rolfsii, a soil-borne plant pathogen, which causes southern blight in crops. It was shown that intact Indian 593 mustard, as opposed to pure AITC, exhibited the strongest antimicrobial action at a concentration of one 594 595 gram per liter (Harvey, 2002). 596 597 Other studies have demonstrated that AITC released from mustard plants can disrupt mutualistic fungal 598 associations (i.e., arbuscular mycorrhiza) with certain plants species. For example, even low levels of AITC 599 (i.e., approximately 0.001 millimolar) infused in soil by invasive garlic-mustard plants have the ability to

significantly suppresses fungal growth and spore germination of the beneficial soil fungus Glomus clarum
 (Cantor, 2011). In another study, it was also found that AITC emitted from garlic mustard adversely

- 601 (Cantor, 2011). In another study, it was also found that AITC emitted from garlic mustard adversely 602 impacts the abundance of entomopathogenic fungi (i.e., fungal parasite of pest insects) in forest soils
- 603 (Vaicekonyte, 2012). These reports provide direct evidence that AITC does not specifically target soil pests;
- rather, AITC is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial compound that effectively kills both plant pathogens and
- beneficial soil microorganisms. Additionally, it is known that certain species of soil fungi enhance the
- bioavailability of organic soil nutrients and mediate the uptake of these nutrients by their mycorrhiza host
- 607 plants (Näsholm, 2009). AITC drift would therefore be problematic for both the beneficial soil fungi and 608 associated plants.
- 608 609
- 610 In addition to soil microorganisms, plants, insect pests and animals have demonstrated varying responses
- 611 to AITC soil treatments. Phytotoxicity studies of various seed meals demonstrated that mustard seed meal,
- 612 which releases AITC in soil, prevented or significantly diminished germination of lettuce seeds within the
- first week after application (Meyer, 2011). Larvae of the pest Cyclocephala spp. (masked chafer beetle) were
- 614 well controlled when macerated Brassica tissue was applied as four to eight percent of the soil, giving an *February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report) Page 13 of 28*

Allyl Isothiocyanate

average AITC concentration of 11.4 mg per liter of soil atmosphere (Noble, 2002). AITC extracted from 615 616 horseradish was tested as a fumigant against four major pest species of stored rice, including Sitophilus 617 zeamais (maize weevil), Rhizopertha dominica (lesser grain borer), Tribolium ferrugineum and Liposcelis 618 entomophila (book louse). Adult mortality of 100% of all four pest species after 72 hour exposure to AITC fumes at an atmospheric concentration of 3 mg/mL showed no significant difference in insecticidal activity 619 620 compared to insects exposed to phosphine (PH3; a stored commodity fumigant) at 5 mg/mL (Wu, 2009). 621 622 Improper use or disposal of chemical reagents (e.g., potassium thiocyanate and allyl iodide) and highly 623 toxic solvents (e.g., 1,2-dichloroethane) during the production of AITC would likely result in adverse 624 effects to soil organisms. However, based on the chemical composition of potential contaminants, spills of 625 AITC and precursors are unlikely to alter pH and chemical composition of the soil. Improper treatment 626 and subsequent release of extraction mixtures containing volatile mustard seed meal and volatile solvents 627 (e.g., hexane) may also impair soil populations. Although possible, these types of spill scenarios are 628 unlikely due to manufacturing safeguards. 629 630 Technical information regarding the potential impacts of AITC on endangered species, populations, viability or reproduction of non-target organisms and the potential for measurable reductions in genetic, 631 632 species or ecosystem biodiversity, is not readily available. 633 As previously mentioned, AITC can have a short-term deleterious effect on beneficial soil microorganisms 634 635 and mutualistic fungal interactions, which is observed for other broad-spectrum fumigants, such as methyl bromide and Telone II<sup>™</sup>. However, long term soil effects for other fumigation agents is relatively non-636 existent, as they have not been as widely utilized as methyl bromide and have only received considerable 637 attention since the ban on methyl bromide in 2005. 638 639 In a short term study (28 days) of the effect of AITC on soil bacterial and fungal communities, the 640 application of AITC significantly decreased soil fungal populations but had negligible impact on soil 641 642 bacterial numbers (Hu 2015). However, AITC did have an influence on certain microbial community 643 composition changes. The results showed increased proportions in bacterial taxa, which include bacteria associated with fungal disease suppression. The increase in these bacteria and decrease in overall fungal 644 645 populations following amendment with AITC suggests that the observed efficacy of AITC on fungal suppression was not only due to direct toxicity of AITC against soil fungi but also to biological interactions 646 647 and competition with the altered microbial community that existed following fumigation. In comparison, a short-term study found that methyl bromide amended soil results in a complete collapse of the microbial 648 community, due to its acute toxicity, after one week following application (Ibekwe 2001). After 12 weeks, 649 the microbial diversity had recovered to a small extent but was still well below the unchanged soil control. 650 651 While there was no direct comparison to AITC in this study, methyl isothiocyanate, an aliphatic analog of 652 AITC, was used. Microbial communities from soil samples treated with methyl isothiocyanate or 1,3dichloropropene (i.e., Telone II<sup>TM</sup>) were not as severely effected. Of the three fumigants, 1,3-653 dichloropropene exerted the least effect on the microbial community structure. 654 655 Evaluation Question #9: Discuss and summarize findings on whether the use of the petitioned 656 657 substance may be harmful to the environment (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i) and 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) 658 (i)). 659 Allyl isothiocyanate is a naturally occurring essential oil and is not persistent or bioaccumulative in the 660 environment. Both synthetic and natural sources of the substance are readily biodegradable in all three 661 662 environmental compartments. Similar to other soil fumigants such as MITC, soil decomposition half-lives for AITC range from 20 to 60 hours, with higher rates of AITC degradation in soils with high organic 663 carbon and total nitrogen contents. Although AITC has the potential to adsorb to suspended solids and 664 665 sediments, it rapidly dissipates in water due to facile hydrolysis and volatilization from the water surface. Photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals degrade atmospheric AITC with a half-life of 2.4 hours. Allyl 666 667 amine and carbon disulfide, a naturally occurring sulfur compound, are the primary byproducts of AITC under environmentally relevant conditions (HSDB, 2013; US EPA, 2013a; US EPA, 2013b). 668

Based on the available literature, it can be concluded that pure AITC ranges from highly toxic to practically 669 670 non-toxic to various taxa groups. AITC is classified as an eye and skin irritant and is moderately acutely 671 toxic (Category II) to mammals via the oral route of exposure. Data are lacking on inhalation toxicity; 672 however, the structural similarity of AITC to methyl isothiocyanate (MITC; CH3N=C=S) and known 673 irritant properties of AITC (see Evaluation Question #10 below) would indicate that inhalation toxicity 674 may be a concern. The bulk of the available literature for extended dosing studies suggests that AITC is not a developmental or reproductive toxicant, and is unclassifiable as to its carcinogenicity (US EPA, 2013a; 675 676 IARC, 1999). In comparison to moderate acute oral toxicity in mammals, AITC is highly toxic to aquatic 677 organisms, such as fish and aquatic invertebrates (US EPA, 2013a). Exposure of aquatic organisms to AITC 678 may occur from spills and short-term runoff following irrigation or heavy rain. As a potent soil fumigant, 679 AITC is highly toxic to pathogenic soil organisms as well as non-parasitic free-living soil nematodes 680 (Donkin, 1995) and symbiotic soil fungi (Cantor, 2011). 681 682 The release of chemical reagents (e.g., allyl iodide and potassium thiocyanate) and highly toxic, flammable 683 and hazardous solvents (e.g., 1,2-dichloroethane) used in the production of AITC due to improper handling/disposal could lead to serious environmental impairments and ecotoxicity in both terrestrial and 684 685 aquatic environments (Sigma Aldrich, 2014b). No incidents involving the release of these chemical 686 feedstocks from AITC production facilities have been reported. In addition to targeting soil pathogens, insects and weeds, AITC is also toxic to fungi that produce mutualistic relationships with plants and prev 687 688 on pest insects (Cantor, 2011; Vaicekonyte, 2012). Therefore, non-target plants and beneficial 689 microorganisms would be damaged in treatment plots and neighboring areas due AITC drift. 690 691 Evaluation Question #10: Describe and summarize any reported effects upon human health from use of 692 the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i), 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i)) and 7 U.S.C. § 6518 693 (m) (4)). 694 Natural sources of allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) contained in natural vegetable oils (e.g., mustard oil) are 695 696 generally non-toxic to humans via the oral route of exposure. This observation is not surprising 697 considering the high concentrations of AITC (3 mg/kg to 15 g/kg) generally found in popular food items 698 such as kale, broccoli, mustard and horseradish. However, moderate doses of concentrated AITC are 699 considered toxic to mammals based on laboratory studies in animals. 700 701 Acute, sub-chronic and even chronic (long-term) exposure to AITC is likely for humans living and working 702 near AITC application sites. Studies investigating the time-course of sensitization and desensitization to 703 AITC nasal stimuli in healthy human subjects found that short-term sensitization occurred but markedly 704 decreased in intensity with increasing time between nasal stimulation with AITC (Brand, 2002). AITC 705 vapor is lacrimatory (causes tears to form), and can causes keratitis in which the front part of the eye 706 becomes inflamed and eyesight is temporary impaired (HSDB, 2013). Allyl isothiocyanate is known to 707 irritate the mucous membranes and induce inflammatory skin conditions (eczema) or skin lesions 708 (vesicles). Indeed, patch tests for irritant contact dermatitis with radishes and AITC produced positive 709 reactions (IARC, 1999). Other studies have concluded that contact dermatitis from AITC occurs in only a limited number of cases, despite frequent exposure to the substance in fresh foods and various condiments 710 (Lerbaek, 2004). There are no reports of acute systemic toxicity in humans related to ingestion of AITC 711 712 found naturally or artificially in foods. A 90-day (sub-chronic) oral toxicity study conducted by the 713 National Toxicology Program in rats determined a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) of 25 mg 714 AITC/kg-body weight/day, the highest dose tested in the study (US EPA, 2013a). 715 716 Inhalation toxicity data for AITC and its degradates are not available. Data requirements for the 90-day 717 subchronic inhalation toxicity study were waived by US EPA, which is unusual, considering the high 718 volatility of AITC and the fact that the label Personal Protective Equipment requirements for registered 719 AITC products indicates concerns about inhalation exposure (Isagro USA, 2013): 720 721 Where liquid contact is a potential all handlers (including mixers, loaders and applicators) in addition to the 722 above listed PPE must wear an air purifying respirator with an organic-vapor removing cartridge with pre-filter

approved for pesticides (MSHA/NIOSH approved number prefix TC-23C), or a canister approved for pesticides February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report) Page 15 of 28

724 725 726	(MSHA/NIOSH) approval number prefix TC-14G), or a NIOSH approved respirator with an organic vapor (OV) cartridge or canister with any N, R, P, or HE pre-filter.
727 728 728	The structural similarity of AITC to the conventional fumigant MITC derived from metam-based fumigant pesticides raises additional concerns regarding inhalation toxicity, since respiratory irritation from inhalation approaches is the rick driver for MITC. Because the inhalation toxicity data were not required by
729 730 731	US EPA, this remains as a significant data gap.
732	When taken together, the bulk of the available literature suggests that AITC is unclassifiable as to
733	carcinogenicity and mutagenicity. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) categorized
734	AITC in Group 3, "not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans," based on inadequate evidence in
735	humans and limited evidence in experimental animals for carcinogenicity of AITC (IARC, 1999). AITC was
736	initially tested for carcinogenicity as part of a 2-year carcinogenesis bioassay of food grade AITC (greater
737	than 93% pure) administered to one strain of mice and one strain of rats in corn oil five times per week for
/38	103 weeks. No incidence of tumors was observed in mice; however, a statistically significant increased
739 740	epithelial tumor) of urinary bladder was observed in male rats (US EPA, 2013a; IARC, 1999; NTP 1982).
741	
742	Subsequent studies confirmed the absence of carcinogenicity in mice treated with AITC via gavage
743	administration (IARC, 1999). Despite the carcinogenic response in male rates exposed to AITC via gavage,
744	a number of studies have demonstrated the potential AITC at lower dietary exposure levels (<1 mg/kg) to
745	protect against and in some cases reverse the development of colorectal (Musk, 1993), bladder (Zhang,
746 747	2010), and presumably other cancer cell lines (Wang, 2010).
748	National Toxicology Program (NTP) studies on AITC show inconsistent results for gene mutation studies
749	in the bacterium Salmonella typhimurium (AMES test) with and without exogenous metabolic activation
750	using extracts containing mammalian enzymes. AITC did not induce gene mutation in several Salmonella
751	strains in the absence of metabolic activation. A negative response was also observed in one trial using
752	mouse lymphoma cells without activation at concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 0.8 mg/mL; however, two
753	other trials without activation demonstrated a significant increase in average mutant frequency and
754 755	reduction in total growth at concentrations between 0.4 and 1.4 mg/mL. The authors noted that the
755 756	experimental conditions compared to natural biological (in vivo) conditions. The results of these studies are
757	also compromised by the high degree of cytotoxicity observed at moderate to high doses. An in vivo
758	mammalian chromosome aberration study conducted using mice dosed via direct injection of AITC into
759	the body cavity revealed no differences between treatment and control mice (US EPA, 2013a: IARC, 1999).
760	Accordingly,
761	
762	The [US Environmental Protection] Agency has determined that the weight of evidence demonstrates that AITC
763	is not likely to be a mutagen. In addition, the method of application and rapid degradation rate for the proposed
764	pre-plant soil treatment, together with appropriate PPE, mitigates exposure to humans.
765	
766	In comparison to AITC, the related chemical MITC has shown limited evidence of carcinogenicity in
767	animal studies. US EPA determined that the current data set is insufficient to characterize the cancer risk of
768	MITC and requested inhalation carcinogenicity studies with MITC in rats and mice (US EPA, 2009). On the
769	contrary, the parent compound (metam-sodium) and breakdown product (methyl isocyanate, MIC) of
770 771	In virious are considered to be carcinogenic and mutagenic based on the results of tissue cultures (in vitro) and lifetime animal desing studies (IIS EPA 2000; CDPR 2002). In light of the health concerns for these related
772	chemicals (MITC and MIC) it will be necessary to undate the literature review on the carcinogenic
773	notential of AITC as new scientific insights become available
774	potential of fift e us new scientific holding become available.

- 775 One of the major degradation products of AITC is carbon disulfide, CS2 (CDS). There are concerns
- regarding exposure to CDS because it is listed by the State of California on the Proposition 65 list as a
- 777 developmental toxicant (OEHHA, 2014) and is a known human neurotoxin. In addition to animal studies,
- 778
   CDS has been found to cause reproductive toxicity in males and females through occupational exposure. February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report)
   Page 16 of 28

Specifically, significant adverse effects on spermatogenesis, sex hormone levels and libido in men, as well 779 780 as menstrual disturbances in women were observed in workers exposed to CDS levels of 3.1-14.8 mg/m3 781 (OEHHA, 2001). Studies have also identified alterations in the nerve conduction of workers exposed to 782 lower levels of CDS over an extended period of time (chronic exposure). A NIOSH occupational study in male factory workers exposed to AITC air concentrations of 0.6 to 16 ppm for a mean duration of 12 years 783 784 resulted in a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 7.6 ppm based on minor neurological effects (OEHHA, 2001). In another study, male workers exposed to CDS for an average of 14 years had higher 785 rates (42%) of 24-hour electrocardiogram abnormalities than non-exposed workers (OEHHA, 2001). 786 787 788 Evaluation Question #11: Describe all natural (non-synthetic) substances or products which may be 789 used in place of a petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (ii)). Provide a list of allowed 790 substances that may be used in place of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)). 791 792 A variety of alternative substances are available to organic producers for controlling insect pests, weeds 793 and other soil-borne pests. These substances include natural materials for biofumigation, microbial 794 biopesticides, and naturally derived chemicals that alter soil pH. The following paragraphs describe how 795 these substances may be used in organic production, as well as their efficacy and the availability of 796 commercial products containing these substances. 797 798 Biofumigation using soil amendments or cover crops is a natural alternative to the use of commercially 799 available chemical fumigants (including methyl bromide, chloropicrin, 1,3-dichloropropene, metam-800 sodium and metam-potassium) for controlling soil-borne pathogens, nematodes, insects and weeds prior to 801 planting. Conventional soil fumigants are not allowed in the production of organic crops. In addition to allyl isothiocyanate (AITC), other naturally occurring isothiocyanates such as methyl isothiocyanate 802 (MITC) and phenyl isothiocyanate exhibit nematocidal, bactericidal, fungicidal and herbicidal properties 803 804 (Figure 5). These related isothiocyanates are generated by enzymatic degradation of the corresponding glucosinolate contained in cruciferous vegetables much like the formation of AITC. For example, MITC is 805 806 enzymatically released from glucocapparin (i.e., methyl glucosinolate) naturally contained within the caper 807 plant. MITC is primarily used in conventional agriculture as the active pesticidal substance released from 808 degradation of metam-sodium and metam-potassium, which are highly toxic and widely used chemical 809 fumigants (Johnson, 2009; Romanowski, 2000). 810



811 812

Figure 5. Chemical structures of glucocapparin, methyl isothiocyanate (MITC) and phenyl isothiocyanate.

Meals that are produced when mustard seeds are pressed to extract natural oils have been shown to suppress weeds and soil-borne pathogens. It is recommended that mustard seed meals be applied at a rate of 1,000–4,000 pounds per mulched acre and that the grower observe a waiting period of 20 days before planting (Johnson, 2011; Farm Fuel Inc, 2013). While high application rates are required to generate

sufficient amounts of AITC for biofumigation, the excess seed meal fertilizes the soil with nitrogen, carbonand other nutrients that generally accompany organic material additions to soils (Johnson, 2011).

818 819

820 Regarding biofumigation, the compiled data indicate an increased rate of AITC release to soil with

821 increasing relative humidity and temperature (Dai, 2014). Particle size and oil content of the mustard meal

powder also affects the release rate. The available literature suggests that mustard seed meal biofumigants

can lead to extended protection against deleterious soil pathogens (Weerakoon, 2012). Indeed, the

824 incorporation of AITC using intact mustard products (e.g., mustard seed meals or soil incorporation of *February 12, 2018 (updates October 3, 2014 report) Page 17 of 28*  mustard cover crops) may alter the composition of the soil fungal community. For example, seed meal-

treated soils exhibited preferential proliferation of Trichoderma spp., a genus of fungi that forms
 mutualistic relationships with several plant species, which may contribute to long-term control of

828 pathogenic fungi such as Pythium abappressorium (Weerakoon, 2012).

# 829

830 A number of field trials have been conducted using mustard green manures (plowed cover crops) and seed meals for the biofumigation of agricultural fields. For example, one study found that soil incorporation of 831 832 2,240 kg/ha to 4,480 kg/ha mustard seed meal can increase yields of plasticulture-grown strawberries 833 when compared to control plots. In addition to the partial control of soil-borne anthracnose, soil 834 incorporation of mustard seed meal can greatly decrease competition from broadleaf weeds for strawberry 835 plants established in the fall (Devton, 2010). Extension specialists and industry groups have also reported 836 vield improvement for strawberries and other crops grown in soils pre-treated with mustard meals (Farm Fuel, 2013a; Johnson, 2011). Although mustard seed meals have shown potential, specific meals or blends 837 of seed meals must be used at high application rates in combination with other practices since results vary 838 839 due to field activity (CDPR, 2013; Mazzola, 2010). In addition, some natural substances and practices are 840 not compatible with the use of mustard meals for biofumigation. Green manures and seed meals that 841 naturally produce AITC may be harmful to certain beneficial soil nematodes responsible for biologically 842 controlling deleterious soil pathogens, indicating incompatibility of mustard meals and certain biocontrol agents (Henderson, 2009). See also Evaluation Question #11 for details regarding the use of beneficial 843 844 nematodes as an alternative to soil fumigation.

845

Biologically based pesticides are also available for the management of soil-borne pests. These include both
microbial biopesticides, including products derived from microbes and their metabolites, and biochemical
biopesticides, which are naturally occurring or naturally inspired synthetic chemicals. For example, the
OMRI approved Regalia® product is formulated with extract of giant knotweed (Reynoutria sachalinensis,
20%) to induce systemic resistance to certain fungi in strawberry and other treated plants. An insufficient

number of large-scale, on-farm demonstrations have been conducted to determine the potential of this and
 related biopesticides as fumigant alternatives (CDPR, 2013).

853

854 Microbial biopesticides are also being investigated as viable fumigant alternatives. These pesticides may 855 include the entire microorganisms and/or chemical products they produce as metabolites. For example, Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108 is a naturally occurring bacterium commonly found in soil and 856 recently formulated in commercial biopesticide products (CDPR, 2013). It is thought that the bacterium 857 858 exerts its antimicrobial properties by colonizing the growing root tips of plants and parasitizing root decay 859 fungi such as Fusarium, Pythium, and other species (US EPA, 2009b). When used in strawberry production, the Actinovate® (S. lydicus) product showed good yields compared to untreated controls in 860 861 field trials. No adverse environmental or human health effects are expected from use of this bacterial strain in agriculture. Fungal species belonging to the Muscador genus produce volatile compounds that can kill 862 nematodes, insects and plant pathogens. Other examples of microbial biopesticides include Serenade® 863 864 (Bacillus subtilis strain 713), Bionematicide Melocon® (Paecilomyces lilacinas and Gliocladium), and fungal 865 biocontrol SoilGard® (Trichoderma virens) for control of soil-borne diseases caused by Pythium, 866 Rhizoctonia and Fusarium (CDPR, 2013; Certis USA, 2014). Some species of nematodes are also effective for pest control. Specifically, the beneficial nematode Heterorhabditis bacteriophora is commercially available 867 868 and effectively controls pest through production of a toxic bacterial during its development in the host 869 insect (Buglogical, 2014; Arbico Organics, 2014).

870

871 Soil pH is an important factor influencing the development of certain soil-borne diseases. The classic

872 example of this phenomenon is clubroot disease of crucifers caused by Plasmodiophora brassicae.

873 Symptoms of clubroot include aboveground stunting, severely swollen and deformed roots, root rot, and

- plant death. This condition is a major problem in acidic soils (pH of 5.7 or lower); the disease is
- dramatically reduced when the pH rises from 5.7 to 6.2 and is practically eliminated at soil pH values
- greater than 7.3 or 7.4 (Koike, 2003). Once posing a major threat in the Salinas Valley of Central California,
- this disease has been largely managed in recent decades by liming the soil (i.e., adding calcium hydroxide)
- to raise the pH (Koike, 2003). According to the National List, "hydrated lime," which is primarily

879 880 881 882	composed of calcium hydroxide [Ca(OH)2], is only approved for use as a component of foliar sprays for plant disease control in organic crop production (7 CFR 205.601(i)(4)). Organic crop producers may use naturally mined minerals, such as calcium carbonate (CaCO3), as alternatives to raise soil pH.
883 884 885	<b>Evaluation Question #12:</b> Describe any alternative practices that would make the use of the petitioned substance unnecessary (7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6)).
886 887 888 889 890	Organic farmers are generally dependent upon preventative cultural practices and physical controls for suppressing pest insects, weeds and soil-borne pathogens. The "Crop pest, weed, and disease management practice standard" in the NOP rule states that producers must use the following management practices to prevent crop pests, weeds and diseases (7 CFR 205.206(a)):
891 892 893 894 895 896	<ul> <li>Crop rotation and soil and crop nutrient management practices;</li> <li>Sanitation measures to remove disease vectors, weed seeds and habitat for pest organisms;</li> <li>Cultural practices that enhance crop health, including selection of plant species and varieties with regard to suitability to site-specific conditions and resistance to prevalent pests, weeds and diseases.</li> </ul>
897 898 899 900 901	<ul> <li>Pest problems may be controlled through mechanical or physical methods (7 CFR 205.206(b)):</li> <li>Augmentation or introduction of predators or parasites of the pest species;</li> <li>Development of habitat for natural enemies of pests;</li> <li>Nonsynthetic controls such as lures, traps and repellents.</li> </ul>
902 903 904 905 906	<ul> <li>Organic producers may control weed problems using the following activities (7 CFR 205.206(c)):</li> <li>Mulching with fully biodegradable materials;</li> <li>Mowing;</li> <li>Livestock grazing;</li> <li>Hand weeding and mechanical cultivation;</li> </ul>
907 908 909 910	<ul> <li>Flame, heat or electrical means;</li> <li>Plastic or other synthetic mulches: Provided that, they are removed from the field at the end of the growing or harvest season.</li> </ul>
911 912 913 914	<ul> <li>Lastly, the standard allows for the following activities to control plant disease problems (7 CFR 205.206(d)):</li> <li>Management practices which suppress the spread of disease organisms;</li> <li>Application of nonsynthetic biological, botanical or mineral inputs.</li> </ul>
915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923	While some conventional farms rely heavily on chemical fumigation of soil, organic producers must develop a diverse tool kit for effective pre-plant pest, weed and plant disease management that ensures acceptable yields. Grower experience and continued research has led to current practices such as soil inversion by deep plowing, the application of Brassica seed meals or other antimicrobial crop residues (Evaluation Question #11), crop rotations and anaerobic soil disinfestation. Crop rotation remains the primary method of combating soil pests. The following paragraphs describe currently developed and experimental practices that may serve as alternatives to chemical fumigants such as AITC in organic crop production.
923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932	Over the past several millennia, farmers have developed various crop rotation methods to increase yields by improving soil fertility and better controlling pests, weeds and plant diseases. Organic farmers base their crop rotations on whether various plants in their rotational lineup are considered light or heavy feeders and on the suite of pests that attack similar crops. Soil-depleting crops, including row crops like corn, soybeans, vegetables and potatoes, are typically rotated with crops that incorporate nutrients into the soil, such as the legume sods – alfalfa and clover – and various grasses (Baldwin, 2006). In addition to soil fertility, crop rotations are critical for reducing the adverse impacts of insects, weeds and pathogens. By changing the environmental conditions in the field and removing food sources to prevent pest buildup, crop rotations can enable farmers to effectively reduce pest populations (McGuire, 2003). Crops of the same

933 family should not follow one another in the field, and should typically be separated by at least two years 934 and as much as five years to minimize the occurrence of pests and pathogens in the soil (Baldwin, 2006). A 935 rotation of crop families might include Brassicaceae (cole crops), followed by Asteraceae (lettuce, cut 936 flowers), followed by Solanaceae (tomatoes, potatoes, peppers, eggplants), followed by Curbitaceae 937 (squashes, cucumbers and melons). Specific plant diseases will require tailored crop rotations; for example, 938 detection of Sclerotium rolfsii (southern blight) in vegetable crops may require a rotation of corn, grass, hay 939 or pasture crop for two or three years (Baldwin, 2006). Crop rotations are most effective when combined 940 with such practices as composting, cover cropping, green manuring and short pasturing cycles.

941

942 Planting cover crops for biological fumigation prior to planting has the potential to significantly reduce the 943 need for chemical fumigation in conventional crop production and is a commonly used approach in 944 organic agriculture. Specifically, certain varieties of mustard cover crops (e.g., Ida Gold, Mighty Mustard 945 and Pacific Gold) planted in a resting field are grown for a certain period of time and then plowed under 946 before reaching full maturity in order to maximize the concentration of nutrients and allelochemicals (e.g., 947 AITC and glucosinolates) available from the mustard crop (Johnson, 2009). The damaged plant tissues 948 naturally release AITC for biofumigation, as discussed in previous sections of this report. Cover crops of 949 wheat, barley, oats, rye, sorghum and sudangrass have been shown to suppress weeds and in some cases 950 nematodes and insect pests (Baldwin, 2006). Some cover crops, such as vetches and clovers, encourage 951 populations of beneficial insects like ladybugs that prev on pest insects (Baldwin, 2006). Green manures 952 from various cover crops may also serve as energy sources for beneficial microorganisms that out-compete 953 plant pathogens and potentially confer disease resistance to crops (McGuire, 2003). In the larger context of 954 sustainable agriculture, planting cover crops between production cycles can help minimize soil erosion, 955 naturally enhance soil fertility without the use of synthetic fertilizers, and improve weed, insect and 956 disease management in fields (Baldwin, 2006).

957

958 Non-chemical methods including anaerobic soil disinfestation (ASD), steam sterilization and soil

959 solarization are being further developed as alternatives to chemical fumigation. ASD is a method that 960 creates anaerobic (without oxygen) conditions in the soil profile by incorporating readily available carbon 961 sources into topsoil that irrigated to field capacity and covered by a tarp. The tarp is left covering the soil for a certain period of time to maintain the high soil moisture level and oxygen-free conditions. Anaerobic 962 963 organisms produce byproducts that are toxic to soil pathogens through their metabolisms of the added carbon (UCANR, 2014). The typical procedure involves the following steps: 1) spread carbon source such 964 965 as rice bran, 2) incorporate in soil, 3) form beds and lay drip tape, 4) cover with plastic tarp, 5) irrigate and keep at field capacity, 6) leave for three weeks, 7) punch holes in plastic, 8) plant fruit or vegetable crop 966 967 (e.g., strawberries) a few days later (Shennan, 2012). Rice bran is the primary carbon source used to date; other potential sources include molasses, grape pommace and ethanol (used in Japan) (CDPR, 2013). 968 969 Researchers are currently experimenting with application rates of organic matter and ways of managing

nitrogen runoff before the technique is adopted in large-scale agricultural systems.

971 972 Steam treatments effectively manage pathogens and weeds in soil directly contacted by the steam. While 973 steam application to static soil may take hours to heat, physically mixing steam and soil results in rapid 974 heating of the soil within approximately 90 seconds. Trials indicate strawberry yields in steamed soils are 975 equal to yields from fumigated soils, and weed and pathogen management using this method is equivalent 976 to fumigation in the soil zone where steam is applied (CDPR, 2013). Because of the labor intensive and 977 expensive nature of steam treatments, questions remain about the economic and environmental practicality 978 of this approach. Steam treatments could be combined with alternative substances such as biopesticides to 979 reduce cost and other limitations, but these combinations must be investigated before implementation in 980 agriculture (CDPR, 2013).

981

A third non-chemical approach involves the use of plastic sheets to trap solar energy and kill soil-borne organisms with heat. Known as soil solarization, the heat produced using this method kills soil-borne seeds

and microorganisms near the surface, but fails to reach organisms deeper in the root zone (CDPR, 2013).

Allyl Isothiocyanate

	This technique is limited to growing regions where solarization temperatures are high enough to be
986	effective. Although additional trials are needed, the combination of soil solarization with biofumigants
987 988	such as mustard seed mear may improve control of son pests (CDFR, 2013).
989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996	A significant amount of funding has been made available for research into biofumigation and non-chemical approaches to soil disinfestation in light of the methyl bromide phase-out and environmental impacts of related chemical fumigants. While some of the methods described above are ready for implementation in crop production, research efforts aimed at improving existing techniques and developing new strategies to eliminate the use of fumigants are ongoing. In addition to traditional crop rotation, the available information suggests that the variety of available management techniques preclude the application of synthetic biofumigants such as AITC in organic crop production.
997	Report Authorship
998	
999 1000	The following individuals were involved in research, data collection, writing, editing, and/or final approval of this report:
1001	October 3, 2014, Technical Evaluation Report:
1002	Pesticide Research Institute
1004	
1005	February 12, 2018, Technical Evaluation Report Update (substantive revisions to October 3, 2014, Technical
1006	Evaluation Report are highlighted):
1007	Bradley Aaron McKeown, Ph. D. Research Scientist, University of Virginia
1008	• Anna Arnoid, Technical Editor, Savan Group
1010	All individuals are in compliance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Subpart 3.11 – Preventing
1011	Personal Conflicts of Interest for Contractor Employees Performing Acquisition Functions.
1012	
1013	References
1013 1014	References
1013 1014 1015	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved
1013 1014 1015 1016	References           Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved           September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-bacteriophora">http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-bacteriophora</a> heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2">http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2</a> .
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni, 2013, "Nematicidal Activity
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita."
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025	ReferencesArbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2">http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2</a> .Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from <a href="http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf</a> .
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2">http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2</a> .         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita."         Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from <a href="http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf</a> .         Baldwin KB. 2006. Cron Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.         Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.         Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/ organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.         Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/ organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.         Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/ organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.         Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the glucosinolate-derived
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.         Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.         Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the glucosinolate-derived allelochemicals allyl isothiocyanate and allylnitrile in soil. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 43:
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032	References           Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved           September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2">http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2</a> .           Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita."           Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61(20): 4723-4727.           Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from <a href="http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf</a> .           Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf">http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf</a> .           Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the glucosinolate-derived allelochemicals allyl isothiocyanate and allylnitrile in soil. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 43: 1935-1940.
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033	References         Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved         September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb-heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.         Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita."         Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61(20): 4723-4727.         Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.         Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.         Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the glucosinolate-derived allelochemicals allyl isothiocyanate and allylnitrile in soil. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 43: 1935-1940.         Brand C, Lagaust L, 2002. Sancitization and Decarstification to Allel Lepthic groups (Musterd Oil) in the
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035	References           Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes - Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.           Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.           Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.           Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/ organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.           Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the glucosinolate-derived allelochemicals allyl isothiocyanate and allylnitrile in soil. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 43: 1935-1940.           Brand G, Jacquot L. 2002. Sensitization and Desensitization to Allyl Isothiocyanate (Mustard Oil) in the Nasel Cavity. <i>Chem. Senses</i> 27: 593-598
1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036	References           Arbico Organics. 2014. NemaSeek Beneficial Nematodes – Hb, Heterorhabditis bacteriophora. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.arbico-organics.com/product/nemaseek-beneficial-nematodes-hb- heterorhabditis-bacteriophora/beneficial-nematodes2.           Aissani, N., P. Tedeschi, A. Maietti, V. Brandolini, V. L. Garau and P. Caboni. 2013. "Nematicidal Activity of Allylisothiocyanate from Horseradish (Armoracia rusticana) Roots against Meloidogyne incognita." <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 61(20): 4723-4727.           Bakers. 2008. Scent-off Pellets. Dog & Cat Repellent. Bakers & 18 Corporation. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/074693-00002-20080425.pdf.           Baldwin KR. 2006. Crop Rotations on Organic Farms. Center for Environmental Farming Systems. North Carolina State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from http://www.cefs.ncsu.edu/resources/ organicproductionguide/croprotationsfinaljan09.pdf.           Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the glucosinolate-derived allelochemicals allyl isothiocyanate and allylnitrile in soil. <i>Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry</i> 43: 1935-1940.           Brand G, Jacquot L. 2002. Sensitization and Desensitization to Allyl Isothiocyanate (Mustard Oil) in the Nasal Cavity. <i>Chem. Senses</i> 27: 593-598.

1037	Buglogical. 2014. Heterorhabditis bacteriophora Nematodes. Buglogical Control Systems. Retrieved
1038	September 11, 2014 from http://www.buglogical.com/beneficial-nematodes/heterorhabditis-
1039	bacteriophora-nematodes/
1040	
1041	Burdock GA. 2010. Fenaroli's Handbook of Flavor Ingredients. 6th edition. Taylor & Francis: Baco Raton, FL.
1042	Page 59.
1043	
1044	CAN. 2011a. General Principles and Management Standards. Canadian General Standards Board.
1045	Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ongc-cgsb/programme-
1046	program/normes-standards/internet/bio-org/principes-principles-eng.html
1047	
1048	CAN. 2011b. Organic Petitioned Systems Permitted Substances Lists: CAN/CGSB-32.311-2006. Canadian
1049	Organic Standards Board, Retrieved November 12, 2013 from http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ongc-
1050	cgsh/programme-program/pormes-standards/internet/bio-org/documents/032-0311-2008-eng.pdf
1050	<u>egoby programme program, normes sumaras, memer sio org, accuments, soz oorr 2000 eng.par.</u>
1051	CDPR 2014 Posticide Illness Surveillance Program California Department of Posticide Regulation
1052	Retrieved October 1, 2014 from http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/whs/pisp.htm
1053	Remeved October 1, 2014 from <u>mitp.//www.cupi.ca.gov/docs/wits/pisp.nim</u> .
1054	CDDD 2012 Manfunctional Characterization Manhing Crosses Action Dian. California Department of
1055	CDPR. 2013. Nonrumigant Strawberry Production Working Group Action Plan. California Department of
1050	resticide Regulation, April 2013. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from
1057	http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pestmgt/strawberry/work_group/action_plan.pdf.
1058	
1059	CDPR. 2003. Methyl Isothiocyanate (MITC) Following the Agricultural Use of Metam Sodium. California
1060	Department of Pesticide Regulation, July 2003. Retrieved September 8, 2014 from
1061	<u>http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/risk/rcd/mitc_sb950.pdf</u> .
1062	
1063	CDPR. 2002a. Evaluation of Methyl Isothiocyanate as a Toxic Air Contaminant: Part A – Environmental
1064	Fate. California Department of Pesticide Regulation, August 2002. Retrieved October 1, 2014 from
1065	http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/risk/rcd/.
1066	
1067	CDPR. 2002b. Evaluation of Methyl Isothiocyanate as a Toxic Air Contaminant: Part B – Exposure
1068	Assessment. California Department of Pesticide Regulation, August 2002. Retrieved October 1, 2014 from
1069	http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/risk/rcd/.
1070	
1071	Cantor A, Hale A, Aaron J, Traw MB, Kalisz S. 2011. Low allelochemical concentrations detected in garlic
1072	mustard-invaded forest soils inhibit fungal growth and AMF spore germination. <i>Biological Invasions</i> 13:
1073	3015–3025; doi:10.1007/s10530-011-9986-x.
1074	
1075	Certis USA. 2014. SoilGard Microbial Fungicide. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from
1076	http://www.certisusa.com/pest management products/biofungicides/soilgard 12g microbial fungicide
1077	-177 $-177$
1078	
1079	Champon, 2012, Insect Control ConcentrateTM, Master Label, Champon Millenium Chemicals, Inc.
1080	Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/061966-00004-
1081	20120807 pdf
1082	<u>20120007.put.</u>
1082	Charron CS Same CE 1999 Inhibition of Pythium ultimum and Rhizoctonia solani by shroddod leaves of
1005	Brassica sporios Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 124, 462, 467
1004	Diassica species. journui of the American society for morticalianal science 124. 402-407.
1003	Chamical Back 2010 Albel Jasthiaguanata Datrianad August 20, 2014 from
1080	Chemical Dook, 2010. Allyl Isotniocyanate. Ketrieved August 29, 2014 from
1087	http://www.chemicalbook.com/ChemicalFroductFroperty_EN_Cb8853857.htm.

1089 1090 1091	Codex. 2013. Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling, and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods. Codex Alimentarius Commission. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from <a href="http://www.codexalimentarius.org/standards/list-of-standards/en/?no_cache=1">http://www.codexalimentarius.org/standards/list-of-standards/en/?no_cache=1</a> .
1092 1093 1094 1095	Columbia. 2008. Highly Hazardous Substances. Environmental Health and Safety. Columbia University. Retrieved September 15, 2014 from http://ehs.columbia.edu/a4.html. Dai R, Lim L-T. 2014. Release of Allyl Isothiocyanate from Mustard Seed Meal Powder: Release of AITC
1096 1097	from mustard seed meal powder. Journal of Food Science 79: E47–E53; doi:10.1111/1750-3841.12322.
1098 1099 1100 1101	Deyton DE, Sams CE. 2010. Using Mustard Seed Meal to Biofumigate Strawberry Soil. Conference Proceedings. 2010 Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emmissions Reductions. Retrieved September 9, 2014 from <u>http://mbao.org/2010/Proceedings/079SamsC.pdf</u> .
1102	
1103	Dhingra, O. D., M. L. N. Costa, J. Silva, Geraldo J. and E. S. G. Mizubuti. 2004. "Essential oil of mustard to
1104	control Rhizoctonia solani causing seedling damping off and seedling blight in nursery." <i>Fitopatologia</i>
1105	Brasileira 29: 683-686.
1106	
1107	Donkin SG, Eiteman MA, Williams PL. 1995. Toxicity of glucosinolates and their enzymatic decomposition
1108	products to Caenorhabditis elegans. Journal of Nematology 27: 258–262.
1109	
1110	Dunnick JK, Prejean JD, Haseman J, Thompson RB, Giles HD, McConnell EE. 1982. Carcinogenesis
1111	bioassay of allyl isothiocyanate. Fundamental and Applied Toxicology 2: 114–120.
1112	
1113	EC. 2008. Commission Regulation (EC) No. 889/2008. European Commission. Retrieved November 12,
1114	2013 from <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:250:0001:0084:EN:PDF</u> .
1115	
1116	EFSA. 2010. Scientific opinion on the safety of allyl isothiocyanate for the proposed uses as a food additive.
1117	European Food Safety Authority. EFSA Journal 8(12): 1943. Retrieve August 29, 2014 from
1118	<u>http://www.etsa.europa.eu/en/etsajournal/pub/1943.htm</u> .
1119	
1120	Faleiro ML. 2011. The mode of antimicrobial action of essential oils. Science against microbial pathogens:
1121	Communicating current research and technological advances, Vol 2, pp 1143–1156. Méndez-Vilas A, Ed.
1122	Formatex Research Center. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from <u>http://www.formatex.org/microbiology3/</u>
1123	
1124	Fan F, Fang W, Gao M, LV A, Sun J, Wang L, Xu B. 2012. Method for synthesizing high-content allyl
1125	isothiocyanate. Chinese Patent # 102452967 A. Available: <u>www.google.com/patents</u> [accessed 29 August
1126	2014].
1127	
1128	Farm Fuel Inc. 2013a. Mustard Seed Cover Crop or Mustard Meal Pre-Plant? Farm Fuel, Inc. Blog.
1129	Retrieved September 9, 2014 from http://www.farmfuelinc.com/blog/2013/08/06/mustard-seed-cover-
1130	crop-or-mustard-meal-pre-plant/comment-page-1/
1131	$\mathbf{F}_{\text{res}} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{res}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{res}$
1132	Farm Fuel Inc. 2013b. Pescadero Gold Mustard Meal Fertilizer. Retrieved September 15, 2014 from
1133	http://farmfuelinc.com/products/itg-pescadero-gold.html.
1134	Constitute DE Constitute DE 11. 1. LIC 1004 Clinical Traindon (Communical Develoption Ether divisor Williams
1135	Gosselin KE, Smith KP, Hodge HC. 1984. <i>Clinical Toxicology of Commercial Products</i> . 5th edition. Williams
1127	and wirkins: Darumore, MD.
113/	LICDR 2012 National Library of Modicing TOVNET Alled Lockingerents Handred Culater of Deter
1130	Bank Retrieved Nevember 25, 2013 from http://tevnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi hin/gig/htmlgon2UCDP
1139	Dank. Retrieved November 25, 2015 from <u>http://toxnet.htm.htm.gov/cgi-bitt/sis/htmigen(HSDD</u> .
1140	Haramoto FR Callandt FR 2004 Brassica cover cronning for wood managements & review. Persovable
1141	Agriculture and Food Systems 19: 187–198; doi:10.1079/RAFS200490.

1143	
1144	Harvey SG, Hannahan HN, Sams CE. 2002. Indian Mustard and Allyl Isothiocyanate Inhibit Sclerotium
1145	rolfsii. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 127: 22–31.
1146	
1147	Henderson DR, Riga E, Ramirez RA, Wilson J, Snyder WE. 2009. Mustard biofumigation disrupts biological
1148	control by Steinernema spp. nematodes in the soil. <i>Biological Control</i> 48:316–322;
1149	doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.12.004.
1150	
1151	Holcombe GW, Benoit DA, Hammermeister DE, Leonard EN, Johnson RD, 1995, Acute and long-term
1152	effects of nine chemicals on the Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes). Archives of environmental contamination
1153	and toxicology 28: 287-297.
1154	
1155	Hu, P., F. B. Hollister, A. C. Somenabally, F. M. Hons and T. I. Gentry. 2015. "Soil bacterial and fungal
1156	communities respond differently to various isothiocyanates added for biofumigation " <i>Frontiers in</i>
1157	Microhiology 5(729)
1157	wicrobiology 5(12).
1150	Huldgeard M. Mugind T. Moyor PL 2012 Escential Oils in Food Procentation: Mode of Action Supervice
1159	and Interactions with Eaad Matrix Components. Front Microbiol 2: 12: doi: 10.2280/fmich.2012.00012
1160	
1101	LARC 1000 Ally Leathing wants International Agangy for Research on Cancer Detrived Contembor 9
1102	2014 (new later (dream and later (d/TNIC (Managements) (de 172) (de par 72) (de 16
1103	2014 from <u>http://monographs.iarc.ir/ENG/Monographs/vol/3/mono/3-6.pdf</u> .
1164	
1165	Ibekwe, A. M., S. K. Papiernik, J. Gan, S. K. Yates, CH. Yang and D. E. Crowley. 2001. "Impact of
1166	Fumigants on Soil Microbial Communities." Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67(7): 3245-3257.
1167	
1168	IFOAM. 2014. The IFOAM Norms for Organic Production and Processing. International Federation of
1169	Organic Agriculture Movements. Retrieved September 1, 2014 from <u>http://www.ifoam.org/en/ifoam-</u>
1170	<u>norms.</u>
1171	
1172	Isagro USA. 2014. IRF166 Label (EPA Registration # 89285-3). July 22, 2014. Retrieved October 1, 2014 from
1173	http://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/f?p=PPLS:102:::NO::P102_REG_NUM:89285-3.
1174	
1175	Isagro USA. 2013. Allyl Isothiocyanate (AITC): Petition for Inclusion on the National List as a Synthetic
1176	Substance Allowed for Use in Organic Crop Production. Isagro USA, Inc. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from
1177	http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSv1.0/getfile?dDocName=STELPRDC5106138.
1178	
1179	JMAFF. 2005a. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Plants (Notification No. 1605). Japanese
1180	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
1181	http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/833_2012-3.pdf.
1182	
1183	JMAFF. 2005b. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Livestock Products (Notification No 1608).
1184	Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
1185	http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/836_2012-2.pdf.
1186	
1187	JMAFF. 2005b. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Feeds (Notification No. 1607). Japanese
1188	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
1189	http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/835_2012.pdf.
1190	
1191	JMAFF. 2005d. Japanese Agricultural Standard for Organic Processed Foods (Notification No. 1606).
1192	Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Retrieved November 12, 2013 from
1193	http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/pdf/834_2012-3.pdf.
1194	
1195	Johnson G. 2011. Mustard Seed Meal as a Chemical Fumigation Alternative. University of Delaware.
1196	Retrieved September 9, 2014 from http://agdev.anr.udel.edu/weeklycropupdate/?p=3467.

1197	
1198	Johnson G. 2009. Fumigation Alternatives – Biofumigants. University of Delaware. Retrieved September 8,
1199	2014 from http://agdey.anr.udel.edu/weeklycropupdate/?p=837.
1200	
1201	Kawakishi S. Kaneko T. 1987. Interaction of proteins with allyl isothiocyanate. Journal of Agricultural and
1202	Food Chemistry 35: 85-88
1202	1 000 Chemistry 55. 65-66.
1205	Keils CT California KM Desis DM Tarisi TA 2002 Marchella Disease Consella California Datharma
1204	Koike ST, Subbarao KV, Davis KW, Turini TA. 2003. Vegetable Diseases Caused by Soliborne Pathogens.
1205	Publication 8099. Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. University of California. Retrieved
1206	September 11, 2014 from <u>http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu/pdf/8099.pdf</u> .
1207	
1208	Lerbaek A, Rastogi SC, Menné T. 2004. Allergic contact dermatitis from allyl isothiocyanate in a Danish
1209	cohort of 259 selected patients. <i>Contact dermatitis</i> 51: 79–83.
1210	
1211	Li L, Lee W, Lee WJ, Auh JH, Kim SS, Yoon J. 2010. Extraction of allyl isothiocyanate from wasabi (Wasabia
1212	japonica Matsum) using supercritical carbon dioxide. Food Sci Biotechnol 19: 405-410; doi:10.1007/s10068-
1213	010-0057-3.
1214	
1215	MPT. 2011. How does MustGrowTM Differ from mustard meal? Mustard Products Technologies.
1216	Retrieved September 1, 2014 from http://www.mptmustardproducts.com/products/mustgro-differ.html.
1217	
1218	Mazzola M, Brown J. 2010. Efficacy of brassicaceous seed meal formulations for the control of apple replant
1219	disease in conventional and organic production systems. <i>Plant Disease</i> 94: 835–842.
1220	
1221	McGuire A. 2003. Using Green Manures in Potato Cropping Systems. Center for Sustaining Agriculture
1222	and Natural Resources. Washington State University. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from
1223	http://cru.cahe.wsu.edu/CEPublications/eb1951e/EB1951E.pdf.
1224	
1225	Merck 2006 Allul Isothiocuanate in The Merck Index: An Encuclonedia of Chemicals Drugs and Biologicals, 14th
1226	Ed Merck & Co. Inc. Whitehouse Station NI
1220	Eu. Werek & Co., He., Whitehouse Station, 14.
1227	Meadows R 2013 "NEWS OVERVIEW: Researchers develop alternatives to methyl bromide fumigation "
1220	California Agriculture 67(3): 125-127
122)	Culjonnu Agriculture of (5). 125-127.
1230	Mover SL Zacada IA Orizaio SB Morra MI 2011 Mustard sood meal mixtures: management of
1231	Meloidoguno incognita on ponnor and potential phytotoxicity. <i>Journal of nonatalogy</i> 43: 7
1232	Meloloogyne nicognita on pepper and potential phytotoxicity. Journal of hematology 45.7.
1233	Much CPP. Johnson IT, 1002. Ally lighting wants is salectively toxic to transformed calls of the human
1234	solorostal turnour line HT20. <i>Carringoonsia</i> 14, 2070, 2082
1255	colorectal tumour line H129. Curcinogenesis 14: 2079–2065.
1230	Mustaling CC Kink ID 10(2) Mathed of altering a data if ind mustand and me duate UC Patant # 2 10( 4(0
1237	Mustakas GC, Kirk LD. 1965. Method of obtaining detoxined mustard seed products. US Patent # 5,106,469
1238	A. Ketrieved June 12, 2014 from <u>www.google.com/patents/US3106469</u> .
1239	
1240	NTP. 1982. NTP Technical Report on the Carcinogenesis Bioassay of Allyl Isothiocyanate (CAS No. 57-06-7)
1241	in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Gavage Study). National Toxicology Program. National Institutes of
1242	Health. Retrieved September 3, 2014 from <u>http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/lt_rpts/</u>
1243	tr234.pdf#search=allylisothiocyanate.
1244	
1245	Näsholm T, Kielland K, Ganeteg U. 2009. Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants: Tansley review. New
1246	Phytologist 182: 31–48; doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02751.x.
1247	
1248	Noble RRP, Harvey SG, Sams CE. 2002. Toxicity of Indian Mustard and Allyl Isothiocyanate to Masked
1249	Chafer Beetle Larvae. Plant Health Progress   Plant Management Network. Retrieved September 4, 2014
1250	from <a href="http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/php/research/chafer">http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/php/research/chafer</a>

1251	
1252	OEHHA. 2014. Current Proposition 65 List. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. California
1253	Envionmental Protection Agency. Dated June 6, 2014. Retrieved September 15, 2014 from
1254	http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.
1255	
1256	OEHHA. 2001. Chronic Toxicity Summary: Carbon Disulfide. Office of Environmental Health Hazard
1257	Assessment. California Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved September 15, 2014 from
1258	http://oehha.ca.gov/air/chronic_rels/pdf/sum111401.pdf.
1259	
1260	Oliveira, R. D. L., O. D. Dhingra, A. O. Lima, G. N. Jham, M. A. Berhow, R. K. Holloway and S. F. Vaughn.
1261	2011. "Glucosinolate content and nematicidal activity of Brazilian wild mustard tissues against
1262	Meloidogyne incognita in tomato." <i>Plant and Soil 341</i> (1): 155-164.
1263	
1264	Park H-M, Kim J, Chang K-S, Kim B-S, Yang Y-J, Kim G-H, et al. 2011. Larvicidal Activity of Myrtaceae
1265	Essential Oils and Their Components Against Aedes accypti, Acute Toxicity on Daphnia magna, and
1266	Aqueous Residue. <i>Journal of Medical Entomology</i> 48: 405–410; doi:10.1603/ME10108.
1267	
1268	Patent CN101735128 B. 2013. Method for preparing allyl isothiocyanate. Patent # CN101735128 B.
1269	Retrieved June 12, 2014 from http://www.google.com/patents.
1270	Toure fou func 12, 2011 Hom <u>internet and the second particular</u>
1271	Patent CN102452967 A Method for synthesizing high-content allyl isothiocyanate Patent # CN102452967
1272	A Retrieved June 12, 2014 from http://www.google.com/patents
1273	n nemercu june 12, 2011 nom <u>mapi// www.google.com/ putento</u> .
1274	Pecháček R. Velíšek I. Hrabcová H. 1997. Decomposition products of allyl isothiocyanate in aqueous
1275	solutions. <i>Journal of agricultural and food chemistry</i> 45: 4584–4588. Romanowski F. Klenk H. 2000.
1276	
1277	Thiocyanates and Isothiocyanates, Organic, In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Vol. 36, pp.
1278	609–618. Weinheim: Wilev-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
1279	
1280	Sakai S. Sakai M. Tanaka H. Tsukazaki K. Kon T. 2005a. Fractionation of allyl isothiocyanate. p-
1281	hydroxybenzyl-isothiocyanate, protein and fiber from mustard. Patent # CA2436711 A1. Retrieved June 12.
1282	2014 from www.google.com/patents/CA2436711A1?cl=en.
1283	
1284	Sakai S. Sakai M. Tanaka H. Kon T. Tsukazaki K. 2005b. Comminuted with water: slurrying: deactivation
1285	with enzyme: sterilization Patent # US 20050031768 A1 Retrieved September 2, 2014 from
1286	http://www.google.com/patents/US20050031768
1287	
1288	Sharma HK, Ingle S, Singh C, Sarkar BC, Upadhyay A, 2012. Effect of various process treatment conditions
1289	on the allyl isothiocyanate extraction rate from mustard meal Journal of Food Science and Technology 49
1290	368–372: doi:10.1007/s13197-011-0282-7.
1291	
1292	Shennan C. Muramoto I. 2012. Anaerobic Soil Disinfestation (ASD). University of California Agriculture
1293	and Natural Resources (IJCANR) Retrieved Sentember 9 2014 from
1293	http://cesantabarbara.ucanr.edu/files/145020.pdf
1295	
1296	Shiva V 2000 Stolen Harvest: The Hijacking of the Global Food Supply London: Zed Books Ltd. Pages 22–23
1297	onita (1. 2000. Stoten fill vest. The fill weather of the Grout Food Supply. London. Led Books, Ed. Fages 22-25.
1298	Sigma Aldrich 2014a Material Safety Data Sheet for Allyl Isothiogyanate Retrieved Sentember 3, 2014
1290	from http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/
1300	
1300	Sigma Aldrich 2014h Material Safety Data Sheet for 1.2-Dichloroethane Retrieved Sentember 3, 2014 from
1302	http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/
1302	

1304 1305	Soil Association. 2014. Soil Association organic standards, farming and growing. Revision 17.2. United Kingdom Soil Association. Retrieved September 1, 2014 from
1306	http://www.soilassociation.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=l-LqUg6illo%3d&tabid=353.
1307	Stofberg J, Grundschober F. 1987. The consumption ratio and food predominance of flavoring materials.
1309	Perfumer Flavorist 12: 27–56. Cited in EFSA, 2010.
1310	
1311 1312 1212	Program. University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources. Retrieved September 9, 2014 from
1313	http://ucani.edu/sites/rAwwidA/rioduction/sitawbernes/Anaerobic_Son_Disnitestation/.
1315	US EPA. 2014. Pesticide Product Label System (PPLS). US Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved 29
1316	August 2014 from http://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/f?p=PPLS:1.
1317	
1318	US EPA. 2013a. Oil of Mustard and Allyl Isothiocyanate (AITC), PC Code: 004901. Biopesticide Registration
1319	Action Document. US Environmental Protection Agency. Docket # EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0658. Retrieved 29
1320	August 2014 from <a href="http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0658-0006">http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0658-0006</a> .
1321	US EPA 2013h Momorandum: Science Review in Support of the Registration of the TCAL/MP IR9804 and
1322	the FP_IRE 135_Respectively Containing 99.8% and 96.3% Allyl Isothiocyanate (AITC) as Their Active
1323	Ingredient The TGAL/MP is an unregistered source of the active ingredient US Environmental Protection
1325	Agency, May 2013. Retrieved September 3, 2014 from http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail:
1326	D=EPA-HO-OPP-2013-0658-0005.
1327	
1328	US EPA. 2010. Vegetable and Flower Oils Summary Document. Registration Review: Initial Docket. US
1329	Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved September 1, 2014 from http://www.regulations.gov/#!
1330	documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0904-0005.
1331	
1332	US EPA. 2009a. Metam Sodium: Third Revision of the HED Human Health Risk Assessment. US
1333	Environmental Protection Agency, April 2009. Retrieved September 8, 2014 from
1334	http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0125-0508.
1335	
1336	US EPA. 2009b. Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108 (006327) Fact Sheet. US Environmental Protection
1337	Agency. Retrieved September 10, 2014 from <a href="http://www.epa.gov/opp00001/cnem_search/">http://www.epa.gov/opp00001/cnem_search/</a>
1330	$\underline{\operatorname{reg}}_{\operatorname{actions/registration/rs_rC-000327_23-Nov-09.put}.$
1340	US EPA 2008 Biopesticides Registration Action Document - Oriental Mustard Seed (OMS), PC Code
1341	014921, US Environmental Protection Agency, December 2008, Retrieved September 1, 2014 from
1342	http://www.epa.gov/opp00001/chem_search/reg_actions/registration/decision_PC-014921_17-Dec-
1343	08.pdf.
1344	
1345	US EPA. 1993. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) – Flower and Vegetable Oils. US Environmental
1346	Protection Agency, December 1993. Retrieved August 29, 2014 from http://www.epa.gov/
1347	oppsrrd1/REDs/old_reds/flower_veggie_oils.pdf.
1348	
1349	Vaicekonyte R, Keesing F. 2012. Effects of Garlic Mustard (Alliaria petiolata) Removal on the Abundance of
1350	Entomopathogenic Fungi. Invasive Plant Science and Management 5:323–329; doi:10.1614/IPSM-D-12-
1351	00006.1.
1352	
1353	Velisek J, Mikulcová K, Míková K, Woldie KB, Link J and Davídek J. 1995. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und- Tashwalagia 28, 620, 624. Citad in EECA, 2010.
1334	rechnologie 20, 020-024. Cheu in EF3A, 2010.
1555	

- Wang N, Shen L, Qiu S, Wang X, Wang K, Hao J, et al. 2010. Analysis of the isothiocyanates present in
   three Chinese Brassica vegetable seeds and their potential anticancer bioactivities. *European Food Research and Technology* 231: 951–958; doi:10.1007/s00217-010-1348-x.
- 1358 and Technology 231: 951–958; doi:10.1007/s00217-010-1348-x.
  1359
- Weerakoon DMN, Reardon CL, Paulitz TC, Izzo AD, Mazzola M. 2012. Long-term suppression of Pythium
   abappressorium induced by Brassica juncea seed meal amendment is biologically mediated. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 51: 44–52: doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.03.027
- 1362 *Biochemistry* 51: 44–52; doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.03.027. 1363
- Wu H, Zhang G-A, Zeng S, Lin K. 2009. Extraction of allyl isothiocyanate from horseradish (Armoracia
   rusticana) and its fumigant insecticidal activity on four stored-product pests of paddy. *Pest Management Science* 65: 1003–1008; doi:10.1002/ps.1786.
- Yu, Q., R. Tsao, M. Chiba and J. Potter. 2005. "Selective nematicidal activity of allyl isothiocyanate." Journal
   of Food, *Agriculture & Environment* 3(2): 218-221.
- 1370

- 1371 Zhang Y. 2010. Allyl isothiocyanate as a cancer chemopreventive phytochemical. *Molecular Nutrition & Food*
- 1372 *Research* 54: 127–135; doi:10.1002/mnfr.200900323.