### United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service | National Organic Program Document Cover Sheet https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/organic/petitioned-substances

Document Type:

# □ National List Petition or Petition Update

A petition is a request to amend the USDA National Organic Program's National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (National List).

Any person may submit a petition to have a substance evaluated by the National Organic Standards Board (7 CFR 205.607(a)).

Guidelines for submitting a petition are available in the NOP Handbook as NOP 3011, National List Petition Guidelines.

Petitions are posted for the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

# ⊠ Technical Report

A technical report is developed in response to a petition to amend the National List. Reports are also developed to assist in the review of substances that are already on the National List.

Technical reports are completed by third-party contractors and are available to the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

Contractor names and dates completed are available in the report.

# **Aquatic Plant Extracts**

## Crops

1	Identification of F	Petitioned	Substance
2	Chemical Names:	20	Technical Ingredients Soluble Seaweed
3	Aquatic plant extracts; kelp extracts; liquid	21	Extract Powder 0.5-0.0-17; BioAtlantis
4	seaweed fertilizer; seaweed concentrate;	22	SuperFifty 0-0-8; Kelpak 24201 Liquid
5	seaweed extracts	23	Fertiliser; Maxicrop Soluble Seaweed
6		24	Powder 0-0-17; Pro-Pell-It! Micronized
7	Other Names:	25	Kelp Meal 2-0-4; Seasol® Seaweed
8	Agar; alginic acid; Ascophyllum nodosum	26	Concentrate; numerous others
9	extracts; calcium alginate; carrageenan;	27	
0	Durvillaea potatorum; Ecklonia maxima; Fucus	28	CAS Numbers:
1	serratus.; Kappaphycus alvarezii; Laminaria spp.;	29	84775-78-0 (extracts of Ascophyllum
2	macroalgae extracts; Macrocystis spp.; marine	30	nodosum); 9002-18-1 (agar); 9000-07-1
3	algae extracts; rockweed; sea algae extracts;	31	(carrageenan); 9005-32-7 (alginic acid);
4	sodium alginate; Sargassum spp.; Ulva spp.	32	9005-35-0 (calcium alginate); 9005-38-3
5		33	(sodium alginate)
6	Trade Names:	34	
7	Acadian Organic Liquid Seaweed Concentrate	35	Other Codes:
8	0.1-0-5; Acadian® Marine Plant Extract	36	EC No. 283-907-6
9	Powder 0.5 1 0.0 1 17; AscoStar 0-0-17; ASL	37	

## Summary of Petitioned Use

40 This limited scope technical report provides information to the National Organic Standards Board

41 (NOSB) to support the sunset review of aquatic plant extracts, listed at 7 CFR 205.601(j)(1). This report

focuses on the extraction processes used to make aquatic plant extracts (APEs). APEs are used in organiccrop production as plant and soil amendments.

44

38

39

APEs were included on the National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (hereafter referred to as
the "National List") with the first publication of the National Organic Program (NOP) Final Rule
(<u>65 FR 80548</u>, December 21, 2000). The NOSB has continued to recommend their renewal in 2006, 2010,

- 48 2015, and 2020 (NOSB, 2009, 2010, 2015, 2020).
- 49

50 As APEs are listed at § 205.601(j)(1), synthetic forms are allowed. The annotation for APEs specifies the 51 following: "Aquatic plant extracts (other than hydrolyzed) – Extraction process is limited to the use of 52 potassium hydroxide or sodium hydroxide; solvent amount used is limited to that amount necessary for 53 extraction." While the annotation notates "other than hydrolyzed", discussion at the Fall 2004 NOSB 54 meeting clarified this was a transcription error (see page 4044; NOSB, 2009). The language from the NOSB 55 crops materials review during the Spring 1995 NOSB meeting denotes the original intent for inclusion of 56 hydrolyzed aquatic plant extracts on the National List (see page 344; NOSB, 2009). Based on this 57 information, this report is prepared with the understanding that synthetic hydrolyzed aquatic plant 58 extracts are allowed as a crop input material when manufactured via alkali extraction with either of the 59 allowed solvents: potassium hydroxide or sodium hydroxide. This understanding is also consistent with 60 the "Aquatic Plant Products" entry in NOP 5034-1 Guidance: Materials for Organic Crop Production (NOP, 2016b).

61 62

A small number of APEs are also allowed as inert ingredients in pesticide formulations [§ 205.601(m)],

because they are included in the 2004 EPA List 4, such as extracts from the seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum*,

65 and carrageenan (an extract from red algae).

67	Aquatic Plant Extracts Background			
68 69	Farmers use aquatic plant extracts (APEs) as soil conditioners, mineral sinks, and plant biostimulants (Ali			
70	et al., 2021; Mughunth et al., 2024).			
71				
72	The major bioactive compounds of APEs include the following (Ali et al., 2021; Michalak & Chojnacka,			
73	2014; Stirk et al., 2020):			
74	<ul> <li>polysaccharides (e.g., laminarin and fucoidans)</li> </ul>			
75	<ul> <li>auxins (e.g., indole-3-acetic acid and indole-3-acetyl-L-aspartic acid</li> </ul>			
76	abscisic acid			
77	brassinosteroids (e.g., brassinolide and castasterone)			
78 70	• cytokinins (e.g., Z and tZ)			
79	• gibberellins (e.g., GA <sub>1</sub> and GA <sub>3</sub> )			
80 81	betaines (e.g., glycine betaine and laminine)			
81 82	<ul> <li>ethylene</li> <li>storely (a.g., fuggetorel and compositorel)</li> </ul>			
82 83	<ul> <li>sterols (e.g., fucosterol and campesterol)</li> <li>carotenoids (e.g., β-carotene and lutein)</li> </ul>			
83 84	<ul> <li>carotenoids (e.g., β-carotene and lutein)</li> <li>minerals (e.g., phosphorus and potassium)</li> </ul>			
85	<ul> <li>polyphenolics and phlorotannins (e.g., bromophenols and flavonoids)</li> </ul>			
86	<ul> <li>lipids (e.g., betaine lipids and glycolipids)</li> </ul>			
87	<ul> <li>oxylipins (e.g., hydroxy and hydroperoxy fatty acids)</li> </ul>			
88	<ul> <li>protein, peptides, and amino acids (e.g., phenylalanine and proline)</li> </ul>			
89	I I I , I I , I I , I I , I I , I I , I I , I I I , I I I , I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
90	APEs are biologically complex and contain many bioactive ingredients (Ali et al., 2021; De Saeger et al.,			
91	2020). The biological mechanisms and pathways by which seaweed extracts evoke their stimulatory			
92	effects remain an area of continuing research (El Boukhari et al., 2020; Goñi et al., 2020).			
93				
94 05	Most commercial APEs are produced via an alkaline hydrolysis method. In alkaline hydrolysis, complex			
95 06	polysaccharides are broken down into shorter polysaccharide chains and novel compounds not initially			
96 97	present in the seaweed (Craigie, 2011; Zhang et al., 2024). The interaction of alkali and algal metabolites usually results in partial or complete degradation of certain amino acids as well (Echave et al., 2021;			
97 98	Kadam et al., 2017; Kapoore et al., 2021).			
99 99	Rudum et al., 2017, Rapoole et al., 2021).			
100	In practice, the production of APEs may include the following steps (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014):			
101	pretreatment			
102	• extraction			
103	• formulation			
104				
105	Pretreatment			
106	Pretreatments vary, but typically involve washing, drying, and milling the raw algal material. The raw			
107	algal material is usually dried and milled to obtain a homogeneous sample with a higher surface-to-			
108	volume ratio ideal for solvent extraction (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014). Milling is a mechanical process			
109	that typically involves a mill or homogenizer. The dried algae material is then sorted through a sieve to			
110	obtain appropriate size (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014).			
111 112	At this point, the algal material undergoes a cell wall lysis (rupture) step to optimize the extraction yield			
112	(Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014). The cell walls need to be ruptured to release the bioactive compounds			
114	found within the cell wall structure and release the cell cytoplasm and its contents into the extraction			
115	media.			
116				
117	NOP 5033-1 Guidance: Decision Tree for Classification of Materials as Synthetic or Nonsynthetic, the NOP			

- 118 defines "extract" as follows (NOP, 2016a): "To separate, withdraw, or obtain one or more constituents of
- an organism, substance, or mixture by use of solvents (dissolution), acid-base extraction, or mechanical or
- 120 physical methods." Extraction, as defined in NOP 5033-1, then is not limited to the extraction step itself,

- 121 but also realistically includes the cell lysis process that is a component of the pretreatment step when the 122 cellular cytoplasm is withdrawn from the cell wall structure.
- 123

124 Cell lysis can be achieved by several methods, however, they all involve one of three general mechanisms 125 (Garcia-Vaquero et al., 2017; Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014):

- mechanical-physical
- chemical •
- enzymatic
- 128 129

126

127

130 Mechanical lysing methods apply shearing forces to rupture cells. Manufacturers use bead milling, high-

131 pressure extrusion, and less commonly ultrasonication to achieve this on industrial scale (Michalak & 132 Chojnacka, 2014). Thermal or heat treatment is a physical method commonly performed in a drum dryer

- 133 and achieves cell lysing, while also removing residual water.
- 134

135 The pretreatment step can also involve the application of acidic or alkali solvents (Michalak & Chojnacka,

- 2014). This is a separate step, distinct from the application of similar solvents to the algal material in the 136 137 extraction step that is described below. The application of acidic or alkali solvents during the
- 138 pretreatment step serves to rupture the algal cell. The extraction step by contrast serves to isolate the
- 139 targeted bioactive compound(s) released either from the cell wall structure or cytoplasmic contents
- 140 during pretreatment. We found no evidence that this is common with APEs used in organic crop
- 141 production as plant and soil amendments.
- 142

143 Enzymatic cell lysis as a pretreatment is not widely practiced in the industry at the present time because

- 144 cell lysing enzymes are costly (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014).
- 145

#### 146 Extraction

- 147 The specifics of extraction methodologies are often confidential (El Boukhari et al., 2020). The most
- 148 common publicly documented methods involve heating seaweed in alkaline sodium or potassium
- 149 solutions. The reaction temperature may be elevated by pressurizing the vessel (Craigie, 2011; Stirk et al.,
- 150 2020). Alternatively, seaweed may be liquified at ambient pressure (Craigie, 2011; Stirk et al., 2020).
- 151 Pressurized alkaline extraction is advantageous in that it improves the extraction of polysaccharides, with
- 152 only moderate degradation (Ali et al., 2021). Polysaccharides (e.g., laminarin and fucoidans) are common
- 153 targeted components of seaweed extracts due to their association with a variety of plant metabolic
- 154 pathways (Ali et al., 2021; Kapoore et al., 2021).
- 155
- 156 Additional extraction methods that manufacturers use to produce APEs for organic crop production
- include water-based extraction, cell-burst extraction, and enzymatic extraction (Craigie, 2011; OMRI, 157
- 158 2024; Stirk et al., 2020). Water-based extraction is a process that typically involves blending and hydrating
- 159 dried seaweed meal in the presence of water (Shukla et al., 2019). Related to cell-burst extraction, we
- 160 found limited details available describing this. However, this method involves manufacturers applying a
- 161 combination of high velocity and low pressure to the algal material, and no heating or freezing occurs
- (Craigie, 2011; Kelp Products International, 2023; Stirk et al., 2020). Enzymatic extraction involves the 162
- 163 application of cell wall degrading enzymes (e.g. cellulase) to algal material to improve the bioavailability
- 164 of the targeted bioactive compounds (El Boukhari et al., 2020; Kadam et al., 2017). This process requires
- maintaining the pH and temperature of the production environment in a manner that optimizes 165
- 166 enzymatic activity.
- 167
- 168 Innovative methods that appear in the literature for the production of APE biostimulants include
- 169 (Kapoore et al., 2021; Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014):
- 170 ultrasound-assisted extraction • 171
  - supercritical fluid extraction
- 172 • microwave-assisted extraction
- 173 pressurized-water extraction •
- 174

- 175 However, we found no evidence of manufacturers currently using these methods for commercial
- 176 applications to produce APEs for plant and soil amendments.

# 177178 Formulation

- APEs are available commercially without further formulation. There are also soil amendments and
- 180 biostimulants available that combine APEs with additional fertilizers and micronutrients ingredients (Ali
- 181 et al., 2021; Craigie, 2011). One advantage of adding APEs to a formulated input product is that these
- 182 materials have natural chelating properties that can improve the bioavailability of micronutrients in the
- 183 formula (Battacharyya et al., 2015; Craigie, 2011). Optimization of formulated biostimulant products
- including additional biological components (*e.g.,* microorganisms and plant extracts) is an area of
- 185 continuing research (Ali et al., 2021).
- 186 187

188

# Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Crop Production

# Evaluation Question #1: What is the typical final pH range for alkali extracted aquatic plant extract products? The final pH range of alkali extracted aquatic plant extracts (APEs) is wide. Craigie (2011) reports a pH of

- 191 The final pH range of alkali extracted aquatic plant extracts (AFES) is wide. Charge (2011) reports a pH of
   192 7-10 as the typical final pH range for alkali extracted APEs. Although some manufacturers produce APEs
- 193 at an acidic pH ( $\sim$ 4).
- 194

195 We surveyed a subset of OMRI Listed products containing APEs. We found that a pH of 8-11 is common

for products marketed as fertilizers and soil amendments used in organic crop production (OMRI, 2024).
 However, products exist with pH values as low as 4 and as high as 12.5. We found no clear evidence

revealing any intent or purpose to explain the outlying extreme final pH values.

199

# Evaluation Question #2: What are the considerations when determining what amount of alkali is necessary for extraction?

202 We found no evidence of industry specific considerations when determining what amount of alkali is

203 necessary for extraction of aquatic plant extracts (APEs) manufacturers use in plant and soil amendments.

The extraction process is one aspect that manufacturers use to claim a competitive advantage and so

internally-developed methodologies are often the subject of professional secrecy (El Boukhari et al., 2020).
 Furthermore, it is unclear, from the information we found, the level of investment that manufacturers

207 give this question, if any. Unlike the extraction of a specific singular compound, such as a polysaccharide

208 or pharmaceutical, aquatic plant extraction for use as a plant and soil amendment is more complex

209 because it involves a manufacturer optimizing multiple factors with the aim to guarantee a maximum

- yield of biologically active molecules (El Boukhari et al., 2020). The amount of alkali necessary for
- 211 extraction is of particular interest to the organic sector, but it is unclear if this translates explicitly to
- 212 considerations in the manufacturing sector.
- 213

From a review of academic literature, we found that in laboratory environments, there are variables that can impact the amount of alkali necessary for extraction of APEs. These factors include the characteristics

can impact the amount of alkali necessary for extraction of APEs. These factors include the characteristic

- of the targeted compound(s) for extraction and the extraction process (Battacharyya et al., 2015; El
- 217 Boukhari et al., 2020; Stirk et al., 2020).
- 218

219 *Characteristics of the targeted compound(s)* 

220 Polysaccharides are the primary component of seaweed. The high content of cell wall polysaccharides

221 generally found in seaweeds complicates the extraction of intracellular metabolites (Michalak &

- 222 Chojnacka, 2014). One advantage of alkaline extraction at high pressure is the high level of extractability
- 223 and moderate degradation of polysaccharides into oligomers (Åli et al., 2021). The undegraded
- 224 polysaccharides are some of the most biologically active components of seaweed extracts (Ali et al., 2021).
- 225 Plant hormonal molecules may also be degraded by alkaline extraction.
- 226
- 227 Alginate is a structural polysaccharide found in the cell wall of brown seaweeds (*Phaeophyceae*) and
- 228 alkaline treatments break down the structural integrity of the cell wall, releasing the alginate component

(Stirk et al., 2020). Using alginate oligosaccharides (a type of APE) as a soil amendment, researchers
observed a range of beneficial biostimulant activities, including (Stirk et al., 2020):

The conversion rate of the alginate is partially dependent on alkali concentration. In one study,

- 1) root-growth promoting activity
  - 2) increased seedling fresh (wet/not dried) plant weight
  - 3) promote plant defense pathways against tobacco mosaic virus
- 233 234 235

231

232

236 researchers sundried and milled M. pyrifera to make alkaline hydrolyzed extracts in a range of 237 temperature (40°C, 60°C, 80°C) and pH (pH 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12) combinations using potassium hydroxide (KOH) to achieve the required pH values. Extracts produced at higher pH values and subsequently 238 239 higher concentrations of alkali solvent initially had a higher viscosity due to solubilization of alginate and 240 other polysaccharides. Higher viscosities are one attribute researchers use to demonstrate that an extract 241 contains the maximum amount of soluble components (Briceño-Dominguez et al., 2014). 242 243 Extraction process 244 The chemical composition of extracts largely depends on the method of extraction and the chemical 245 products used during the production process (Battacharyya et al., 2015; Craigie, 2011; Stirk et al., 2020). Therefore, the biological activity of extracts of the same raw seaweed material obtained by different 246 247 extraction processes may be considerably different. 248 249 Alkali extraction also has the potential to generate compounds not present in the original algal material. 250 Mechanisms involved in this process can include degradation, rearrangement, condensation, and base 251 catalyzed synthetic reactions (Craigie, 2011). The type and concentration of these reaction by-products 252 depends on the composition of the polymers originally in the seaweed as well as the processing 253 conditions used to manufacture the soluble extract. For example, dilute sodium hydroxide (NaOH) 254 concentrations of 0.1M or 0.5M, can convert 27% to 56% (temperature dependent) of purified alginic acid 255 into a variety of products, some of which are known plant metabolites (Craigie, 2011). 256 257 Kadam et al. (2017) observed there is no statistical differences (p>0.05) found in the yield of protein 258 recovery from A. nodosom extracts using a range of NaOH concentrations (0.1 M, 0.2 M or 0.3 M). 259 However, the researchers observed higher recovery of proteins using alkali extraction compared to acid 260 extractions (HCl solvent) at comparable concentrations. Researchers hypothesize this may be explained due to alkaline conditions facilitating solubilization of water insoluble seaweed proteins. Another team of 261 262 researchers observed further evidence of the effect of pH on protein extraction from Nannochloropsis sp. 263 (microalgae) where an increase in the pH from 8.5 to 11 resulted in double the amount of proteins 264 extracted when assisted by ultrasound (Kadam et al., 2017). 265 266 *Current methods to evaluate amount of alkali necessary for extraction of APEs* Organic certifiers and material review organizations typically consider the amount of alkali necessary for 267 268 extraction with the objective to verify compliance with the annotation at § 205.601(j)(1), which requires 269 the solvent amount used be limited to the amount necessary for extraction. We interpret the intention 270 here to be that one should not use extractants for their nutrient content. 271 272 According to the latest Accredited Certifiers Association document Best Practices for Common Material 273 *Review Issues*, certifiers commonly address the question of nutrient fortification with one of two methods: 274 the declaration method, or the calculation method (Accredited Certifiers Association, 2024). 275 276 The declaration method requires one of the following: 277 an attestation from the manufacturer declaring the use of a nonsynthetic extractant ٠ 278 an attestation from the manufacturer declaring the use of KOH or NaOH in limited amounts • 279 necessary for extraction an explanation from the manufacturer of why the amount of synthetic extractant is used 280 • 281

The reviewing body (certifier or material review organization) assesses whether the explanation provided demonstrates compliance and subsequently decides whether to allow or prohibit the material in organic

- 284 production, within the scope of their oversight. The evaluation process may be subject to additional 285 internal compliance policies specific to the review body (Accredited Certifiers Association, 2024). 286
- 287 Alternatively, the calculation method is used. This method is only used for products extracted with KOH 288
- (Accredited Certifiers Association, 2024; OMRI, personal communication, April 25, 2019).<sup>1</sup> This method
- 289 requires collecting a formulation statement that includes the amount of raw aquatic plant material, and 290 the amount of KOH combined in the extraction process. APEs may be considered fortified with synthetic
- 291 potassium when the ratio of aquatic plant material to KOH is below 3.20:1. The calculation method
- 292 derives from OMRI policy, in which 20% potassium claimed on the label was historically seen as the
- 293 upper limit of what is acceptable in organic production. Since KOH is the typical alkaline material
- 294 contributing to the K<sub>2</sub>O analysis value, the ratio is standardized to that material, considering the
- 295 proportions with respect to molecular weight (%K in x grams of KOH/ K<sub>2</sub>O). APEs with higher ratios
- 296 would be considered low risk for fortification (Accredited Certifiers Association, 2024; OMRI, personal 297 communication, April 25, 2019).
- 298

#### 299 Evaluation Question #3: Are there any nonsynthetic alkali materials used to extract nutrients from 300 aquatic plants?

- 301 There are few alkali materials that manufacturers use as extractants for aquatic plant extracts (APEs), and the nonsynthetic options are particularly limited. 302
- 303
- 304 Sodium carbonate
- 305 Sodium carbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) is an alkali material that can be isolated nonsynthetically. However, we did
- 306 not find any literature describing exploratory or commercial methods using sodium carbonate to extract
- 307 seaweed for the purposes of producing a plant or soil amendment.
- 308

309 However, sodium carbonate is associated with a common production method used to isolate alginate,

- 310 another APE that is more highly processed than those manufactured as plant and soil amendments. This
- 311 process involves a step where manufacturers combine ground algae with a heated solution of sodium
- 312 carbonate to form sodium alginate. The sodium alginate is then isolated to remove seaweed residues and
- 313 cellulose (Bojorges et al., 2023; Rioux & Turgeon, 2015).
- 314 315 Other methods
- 316 While alkali treatment is a common method that manufacturers use to cause cell lysis for commercial
- APEs, it is not the only method (see Aquatic Plant Extracts Background). After surveying OMRI Listed 317
- 318 products containing APE ingredients, we found examples of biologically mediated extractions, using
- 319 either microorganisms and/or enzymes. These are currently available in commercial products.
- 320
- 321 We also found examples of OMRI Listed APE products that only involve physical-mechanical
- 322 pretreatment by milling and/or pressurized extraction without further processing (OMRI, 2024).
- 323

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Use of both NaOH and KOH are limited by the APE annotation to the amount necessary for extraction, but fortification is not a concern when NaOH is used. While potassium is a common plant nutrient input, if an APE material contains high concentrations of sodium, crops may suffer (e.g., foliar damage, decreased plant growth) due to their sensitivity to salt (NOP, 2006). Furthermore, seaweed as a raw material can contribute a substantial amount of salt naturally (Suresh Kumar et al., 2015). The combination of these facts make it unfavorable for manufacturers to fortify an APE material with NaOH.

324	Report Authorship
325 326 327	The following individuals were involved in research, data collection, writing, editing, and/or final approval of this report:
328	Colleen E. Al-Samarrie, Technical Research Analyst, OMRI
329	Jarod T. Rhoades, Standards Manager, OMRI
330 331	<ul> <li>Peter O. Bungum, Research and Education Manager, OMRI</li> <li>Ashley Shaw, Technical Research and Administrative Specialist, OMRI</li> </ul>
332	• Ashey Shaw, rechnical Research and Administrative Specialist, Olviki
333 334 335	All individuals are in compliance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Subpart 3.11–Preventing Personal Conflicts of Interest for Contractor Employees Performing Acquisition Functions.
336	References
337 338 339 340	Accredited Certifiers Association. (2024, January). Best Practices for Common Material Review Issues Version 4.4. https://www.accreditedcertifiers.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/Jan-2024-Version-4.4-Practices-for-Common- Material-Review-Issues.pdf
341 342 343 344	Ali, O., Ramsubhag, A., & Jayaraman, J. (2021). Biostimulant properties of seaweed extracts in plants: Implications towards sustainable crop production. <i>Plants</i> , 10(3), 531. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10030531</u>
345 346 347	Battacharyya, D., Babgohari, M. Z., Rathor, P., & Prithiviraj, B. (2015). Seaweed extracts as biostimulants in horticulture. <i>Scientia</i> Horticulturae, 196, 39–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.012</u>
348 349 350 351	Bojorges, H., López-Rubio, A., Martínez-Abad, A., & Fabra, M. J. (2023). Overview of alginate extraction processes: Impact on alginate molecular structure and techno-functional properties. <i>Trends in Food Science &amp; Technology</i> , 140, 104142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2023.104142</u>
352 353 354 355	Briceño-Dominguez, D. R., Hernandez-Carmona, G., Moyo, M., Stirk, W., & van Staden, J. (2014). Plant growth promoting activity of seaweed liquid extracts produced from Macrocystis pyrifera under different pH and temperature conditions. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Applied Phycology</i> , 26, 2203–2210. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-014-0237-2</u>
356 357 358	Craigie, J. S. (2011). Seaweed extract stimuli in plant science and agriculture. <i>Journal of Applied Phycology</i> , 23(3), 371–393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9560-4
359 360 361 362	De Saeger, J., Van Praet, S., Vereecke, D., Park, J., Jacques, S., Han, T., & Depuydt, S. (2020). Toward the molecular understanding of the action mechanism of Ascophyllum nodosum extracts on plants. <i>Journal of Applied Phycology</i> , 32(1), 573–597. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-019-01903-9</u>
363 364 365 366	Echave, J., Fraga-Corral, M., Garcia-Perez, P., Popović-Djordjević, J., H. Avdović, E., Radulović, M., Xiao, J., A. Prieto, M., & Simal-Gandara, J. (2021). Seaweed Protein Hydrolysates and Bioactive Peptides: Extraction, Purification, and Applications. <i>Marine Drugs</i> , 19(9), 500. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/md19090500</u>
367 368 369 370	El Boukhari, M. E. M., Barakate, M., Bouhia, Y., & Lyamlouli, K. (2020). Trends in seaweed extract based biostimulants: Manufacturing process and beneficial effect on soil-plant systems. <i>Plants</i> , 9(3), Article 3. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9030359</u>
371 372 373 374	Garcia-Vaquero, M., Rajauria, G., O'Doherty, J. V., & Sweeney, T. (2017). Polysaccharides from macroalgae: Recent advances, innovative technologies and challenges in extraction and purification. <i>Food Research International</i> , 99, 1011–1020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.11.016</u>
375 376 377	Goñi, O., Quille, P., & O'Connell, S. (2020). 3 seaweed carbohydrates. In <i>The chemical biology of plant biostimulants</i> (1st ed., pp. 57–95). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epub/10.1002/9781119357254</u>
378 379 380	Kadam, S. U., Álvarez, C., Tiwari, B. K., & O'Donnell, C. P. (2017). Extraction and characterization of protein from Irish brown seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum. Food Research International, 99, 1021–1027. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.07.018</u>
381 382 383	Kapoore, R. V., Wood, E. E., & Llewellyn, C. A. (2021). Algae biostimulants: A critical look at microalgal biostimulants for sustainable agricultural practices. <i>Biotechnology Advances</i> , 49, 107754. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107754</u>
384 385 386	Kelp Products International. (2023). <i>Kelpak brochure.</i> Kelp Products International. <u>https://kelpakusa.com/pdf/publications/kelpak_brochure.pdf</u>

387 388 280	Michalak, I., & Chojnacka, K. (2014). Algal extracts: Technology and advances. <i>Engineering in Life Sciences</i> , 14(6), 581–591. https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201400139
389 390 391 392 393	Mughunth, R. J., Velmurugan, S., Mohanalakshmi, M., & Vanitha, K. (2024). A review of seaweed extract's potential as a biostimulant to enhance growth and mitigate stress in horticulture crops. <i>Scientia Horticulturae</i> , 334, 113312. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2024.113312">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2024.113312</a>
393 394 395	NOP. (2006). Technical evaluation report crops: Aquatic plant extracts (p. 10).
396 397 398	NOP. (2016a). Guidance 5033-1, decision tree for classification of materials as synthetic or nonsynthetic. National Organic Program. https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP-Synthetic-NonSynthetic-DecisionTree.pdf
399 400	NOP. (2016b). Guidance 5034-1: Materials for organic crop production. <u>https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP-5034-1.pdf</u>
401 402 403 404	NOSB. (2009). NOSB meeting minutes and transcripts 1992-2009. National Organic Program. https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOSB%20Meeting%20Minutes%26Transcripts%201992-2009.pdf
404 405 406	NOSB. (2010, October). NOSB meeting transcripts 2010 (WI) day 4.
407 408	NOSB. (2015, October). NOSB meeting transcripts 2015 (VT).
409 410	NOSB. (2020, October). NOSB meeting transcripts 2020 (virtual).
411 412	OMRI. (2019, April 25). Ratio calculations [Personal communication].
413 414	OMRI. (2024). OMRI Listed Products TM4 Database (Version 2.16.21) [Dataset].
415 416 417	Rioux, LE., & Turgeon, S. L. (2015). Chapter 7—Seaweed carbohydrates. In B. K. Tiwari & D. J. Troy (Eds.), <i>Seaweed sustainability</i> (1st ed., pp. 141–192). Academic Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-418697-2.00007-6</u>
418 419 420 421	Shukla, P. S., Mantin, E. G., Adil, M., Bajpai, S., Critchley, A. T., & Prithiviraj, B. (2019). Ascophyllum nodosum-based biostimulants: Sustainable applications in agriculture for the stimulation of plant growth, stress tolerance, and disease management. <i>Frontiers in Plant Science</i> , 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00655</u>
422 423 424 425	Stirk, W. A., Rengasamy, K. R. R., Kulkarni, M. G., & van Staden, J. (2020). Plant biostimulants from seaweed: An overview. In <i>The chemical biology of plant biostimulants</i> (1st ed., pp. 33–55). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/chapter-epub/10.1002/9781119357254.ch2</u>
426 427 428 429	Suresh Kumar, K., Ganesan, K., & Subba Rao, P. V. (2015). Seasonal variation in nutritional composition of Kappaphycus alvarezii (Doty) Doty – An edible seaweed. <i>Journal of Food Science and Technology</i> , 52(5), 2751–2760. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-014-1372-0</u>
429 430 431 432	Zhang, Y., Hawboldt, K., MacQuarrie, S., Thomas, R., & Gebregiworgis, T. (2024). Alkaline subcritical water extraction of bioactive compounds and antioxidants from beach-cast brown algae (Ascophyllum nodosum). <i>Chemical Engineering Journal</i> , 494, 153109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.153109</u>