UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE _____ In re:) Docket Nos. Milk in the Northeast,) AO-14-A78, AO-388-A23, Appalachian, Florida,) AO-356-A44, AO-366-A52, Southeast, Upper Midwest,) AO-361-A44, AO-313-A53, Central, Mideast, Pacific) AO-166-A73, AO-368-A40, Northwest, Southwest, and) AO-231-A72 and AO-271-A44, Arizona Marketing Areas) DA-09-02, AMS-DA-09-0007 VOLUME II Public Hearing Administrative Law Judge Jill S. Clifton Before: Date: May 5, 2009 Time: Commencing at 8:04 a.m. Place: Westin Cincinnati Hotel 21 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Before: S. Diane Farrell, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Ohio

1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of United States Department of Agriculture:
3	
4	Garrett Stevens, Esq.
5	Heather Pichelson, Esq. of
6	United States Department of Agriculture Office of the General Counsel
7	Marketing Division Room 2343 South Building
8	Washington, D.C. 20250 Phone: (202) 720-5707
9	
10	On behalf of Continental Dairy Products and Select Milk:
11	
12	Benjamin F. Yale, Esq. of
13	Yale Law Office, LP 527 N. Westminster Street P.O. Box 100
14	Waynesfield, Ohio 45896 Phone: (419) 568-5751
15	
16	On behalf of AIDA:
17	Alfred William Ricciardi, Esq. of
18	Aiken, Schenk, Hawkins & Ricciardi Suite 100
19	4742 North 24th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85016
20	Phone: (602) 248-8203
21	Ryan K. Miltner, Esq. of
22	The Miltner Law Firm, LLC 527 North Westminster Street
23	P.O. Box 477 Waynesfield, Ohio 45896
24	Phone: (419) 568-2920
25	

1 APPEARANCES CONT'D: 2 On behalf of AIDA: 3 Nancy S. Bryson, Esq. 4 of Holland & Hart 5 Suite 900 975 F Street N.W. 6 Washington, DC 20004 Phone: (202) 654-6921 7 On behalf of National Milk: 8 9 Kevin J. Brosch, Esq. of 10 DTB Associates, LLP Third Floor 11 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-4413 12 Phone: (202) 661-7097 13 14 On behalf of the Florida Milk Producers Federation and Dairy Farmers of America, Inc.: 15 16 Marvin Beshore, Esq. of 17 Law Office of Martin Beshore 130 State Street P.O. Box 946 18 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108 19 Phone: (717) 236-0781 20 On behalf of Aurora Dairy Corporation: 21 Livia M. Kiser, Esq. 22 of Latham & Watkins, LLP 23 Sears Tower, Suite 5800 233 South Wacker Drive 24 Chicago, Illinois Phone: (312) 876-7700 25

1 APPEARANCES CONT'D: 2 On behalf of Prairie Farms Dairy, Dairy Institute of California, Northeast Dairy 3 Farmers Association, Anderson Erickson Dairy Company, Pennsylvania Association of Milk 4 Dealers, Dean Foods Company, National Dairy Holdings, LP, Shamrock Food Company, Shamrock 5 Farms, Partner Farms: 6 Charles M. English, Esq. of 7 Ober Kaler Suite 500 8 1401 H Street NW Washington, D.C. 20005-3324 9 Phone: (202) 326-5009 10 11 On behalf of Mallories Dairy, et al.: 12 John H. Vetne, Esq. 13 of Law Office of John H. Vetne 14 11 Red Sox Lane Raymond, New Hampshire 03077 15 Phone: (603) 895-4849 16 On behalf of the Mountain Dairy, Monument Dairy, Homestead Creamery: 17 18 John Benjamin Carroll, Esq. 19 of Carroll & Carroll Lawyers, P.C. 20 440 South Warren Street Syracuse, New York 13202 21 Phone: (315) 474-5356 22 23 24 25

1	On behalf of Harold Hatch:
2	Arend Tensen, Esq. of
3	85 Mechanic Street
4	Lebanon, New Hampshire 03766 Phone: (603) 448-7100
5	
б	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
7	
8	Bill Richmond, USDA, AMS, Dairy Programs
9	Veronica Douglass, USDA, AMS, Dairy Programs
10	Michael Johnson, USDA, AMS, Dairy Programs
11	Clifford Carman, USDA
12	Gino Tosi, USDA, AMS, Dairy Programs
13	Jack Rower, USDA, AMS. Dairy Programs
14	Kate Fisher, USDA, AMS, Dairy Programs
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

INDEX PAGE HOWARD HATCH Direct Examination By Mr. Tensen Cross-Examination By Mr. Brosch Cross-Examination By Mr. Carroll Cross-Examination By Mr. Vente Cross-Examination By Mr. Miltner Cross-Examination By Mr. Riccardi Cross-Examination By Mr. Chaplain Recross-Examination By Mr. Vente Cross-Examination By Mr. Yale Cross-Examination By Mr. Tosi Recross-Examination By Mr. Tosi Redirect Examination By Mr. Tensen Recross-Examination By Mr. Carroll THEODORE J. DUNASKI, JR. Direct Examination By Mr. Tensen Cross-Examination By Mr. Vente Cross-Examination By Mr. Yale Cross-Examination By Mr. Carroll Cross-Examination By Mr. Ricciardi Cross-Examination By Mr. Tosi ROGER CRYAN, PH.D. Direct Examination By Mr. Brosch DENNIS TONAK Direct Examination By Mr. Beshore Cross-Examination By Mr. Carroll Cross-Examination By Mr. Yale Cross-Examination By Mr. Ricciardi Cross-Examination By Mr. Tosi Redirect Examination By Mr. Beshore

1	MIKE ASBURY	
2	Direct Examination By Mr. Beshore	571
3	Cross-Examination By Mr. Ricciardi	580 583
2	Cross-Examination By Mr. Carroll Cross-Examination By Mr. Miltner	590
4	Redirect Examination By Mr. Beshore	593
Т	Cross-Examination By Mr. Yale	596
5	cross inaminación by m. raic	550
6	EXHIBITS MARKED	ADMITTED
7	Exhibit 5 -	234
0	Exhibit 6 –	234
8	Exhibit 7 –	234
0	Exhibit 8 –	234
9	Exhibit 9 - Exhibit 10 -	234 234
10	Exhibit 11 –	234
ΤŪ	Exhibit 12 -	234
11	Exhibit 13 -	234
	Exhibit 14 –	234
12	Exhibit 15 -	234
	Exhibit 16 -	234
13	Exhibit 17 –	234
	Exhibit 18 -	234
14	Exhibit 19 -	234
	Exhibit 20 -	234
15	Exhibit 23 395	-
	Exhibit 24 513	562
16	Exhibit 25 570	602
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
_•		

Г

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	JUDGE CLIFTON: Please come to order.
3	We're a couple of minutes late. Let's go on
4	record.
5	We're back on record. It's 8:04 on
б	Tuesday, May 5, 2009. This is the milk
7	rulemaking hearing taking place in Cincinnati,
8	Ohio. This is day two of the hearing. I'd like
9	the transcript to be shown as Volume II and the
10	pages to be numbered in sequence after those of
11	yesterday. So, for example, if yesterday ended
12	on page 301, I'd like today to begin on 302.
13	It may be necessary for you to number
14	things like the cover page and the certification
15	page in order for that to be a smooth flow.
16	That's how I'd like it to be.
17	My name is Jill Clifton. I'm the United
18	States Administrative Law Judge who's been
19	assigned to take evidence here. So I'm here to
20	preside while we gather testimony and exhibits.
21	The decision at the end of all of the
22	rulemaking procedure will be that of the
23	Secretary of the United States Department of
24	Agriculture, and the Secretary, of course, will
25	be assisted in the decision-writing process by

1

people within the Department.

After we finished on the record 2 3 yesterday, I did -- I did about three things. The first thing was that I indicated to 4 5 Mr. Carman that rather than have him be here ready to testify today, I'd rather that we 6 7 continue to work on the responses to requests that had been made. 8 I telephoned Mr. Brosch, who's at the 9 10 podium, and asked him to have Dr. Cryan prepared to testify first thing this morning. 11 And I assured Mr. Stevens that before we got to any 12 13 testimony, I would give him the opportunity to 14 move for the admission of Exhibits 5 through 20. I'd like to do that now. Mr. Stevens. 15 16 MR. STEVENS: Thank you, your Honor. Ι would like to move for admission into evidence 17 18 of Exhibits identified as 5 through 20. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to 20 the admission into evidence of any of those 21 exhibits? There is none. 2.2 Exhibit 5 through 20 are admitted into 23 evidence. 24 MR. STEVENS: Thank you, your Honor. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Stevens.

1 We now have in evidence Exhibits 1 through 22. 2 And so far we've had only one witness testify, 3 and that is Mr. Carman. Mr. Carman is not finished. Mr. Carman will return so that the 4 5 additional responses to requests for data can be examined through him first before they're 6 7 utilized in other ways. We'll continue to work with the issues 8 9 that were raised yesterday about those responses 10 and the provision of copies here in the hearing room and so forth. But I don't want to do that 11 right now. 12 13 Before Dr. Cryan comes to the stand, I do 14 want to quickly go over who else will testify 15 and then have Mr. Brosch and others help me 16 decide whether we really want Dr. Cryan on the 17 stand right this minute or whether we want some 18 others. 19 So I'm going to ask -- in addition to 20 Mr. Brosch, who's at the podium now -- I'm going 21 to ask the following people to come to the 2.2 podium, and I'll have you speak one after one to 23 tell me when you are available to testify and 24 when you would prefer to testify. 25 So when I call your name, I want you to

1 come forward, and also counsel, if you have 2 counsel with you that will be assisting you in 3 the questioning and answering. Howard Hatch, 4 Ted Dunajski. That's Ted Dunajski, I 5 mispronounced Ted. Dennis Tonak, Mike Asbury. I don't know how to say this name, but it's Leon 6 7 Berthiaume. MR. BERTHIAUME: Berthiaume. 8 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: Berthiaume, thank you. 10 Jim Buelow. Okay, and counsel with. All right. Let's have Mr. Hatch. Would you and your 11 counsel go to the podium, please? I'd like 12 13 counsel to introduce himself fully, including 14 who he represents, where he's from, how to spell his name and the like. 15 16 MR. TENSEN: Thank you, your Honor. Good 17 morning. My name is Arend Tensen. I'm from 18 Lebanon, New Hampshire, and I am here 19 representing Mr. Hatch. My name is spelled 20 A-r-e-n-d. Last name is T-e-n-s-e-n. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: A-r-e-n-d, T-e-n-s-e-n. 2.2 MR. TENSEN: Correct. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: And, Mr. Hatch, would you 24 again identify yourself? 25 MR. HATCH: Howard Hatch, H-o-w-a-r-d,

H-a-t-c-h.

1

2 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Tensen, 3 on behalf of Mr. Hatch, when are you available 4 to testify and when would you prefer? 5 MR. TENSEN: Our preference, your Honor, would be to go first or early this morning. 6 We 7 are available -- I'm available until mid to late afternoon. Mr. Hatch is available all day. 8 But 9 again, our preference would be that Mr. Hatch would prefer to go first and have that part 10 taken care of. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And on what 12 13 proposals, either for or against, do you expect 14 Mr. Hatch to testify? MR. TENSEN: Mr. Hatch is --15 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: You're so tall, you've 17 got to been really close to that mic. 18 MR. TENSEN: Thank you, your Honor. 19 Mr. Hatch will testify, approximately will be 20 20 minutes. He is against the proposal and 21 alternatively proposes a monthly cap or 2.2 exemption of 3 million pounds. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Good. Thank you. 24 Thank you, your Honor. MR. TENSEN: 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Next somebody

who is with counsel who would like to testify 1 2 early on today. Mr. Beshore? 3 MR. BESHORE: Yeah, other than Dr. Cryan, of course, we have three witnesses that would be 4 5 presenting supportive testimony with respect to Proposals 1, 2 and 26. 6 7 Dennis Tonak. He is here and prepared to proceed at the convenience of your Honor. 8 His -- his statement is -- has been left on the 9 table in the back of the room as indicated under 10 the rules. 11 Mike Asbury is also here. His statement 12 is also available in the room. And he's 13 14 prepared to proceed, you know, anytime today at 15 your Honor's convenience. 16 Mr. Berthiaume is here. His statement is 17 not yet available and he is not available today. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Good. Are 19 Mr. Tonak and Mr. Asbury up here with you? 20 MR. BESHORE: They are. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: I'd like each of them to 2.2 come to the podium and identify themselves and 23 spell their names. 24 MR. TONAK: My name is Dennis Tonak. 25 MR. ASBURY: I'm Mike Asbury, M-i-k-e,

1 A-s-b-u-r-y. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Beshore, on behalf of 3 Messrs. Tonak and Asbury, how soon would they 4 like to testify today? 5 MR. BESHORE: They're here and available at any time during the day, so I -- after -- so 6 7 after Dr. Cryan, after Dr. Yonkers, who is also available today, and then at your pleasure. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: That sounds ambitious. 9 We can finish both Dr. Cryan and Dr. Yonkers and 10 still get them in? 11 MR. BESHORE: I don't know. 12 But the 13 thought was that Dr. Cryan and Dr. Yonkers, who 14 are more, I mean, witnesses with respect to the 15 proposal -- and I'll let Mr. English speak with 16 respect to Dr. Yonkers -- that they would come 17 before the more regional witnesses. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Mr. English. 19 MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, two issues. 20 First, Mr. James Buelow, turns out he didn't get 21 here until very late last evening. He's 2.2 not prepared to go today. So he would prefer to 23 go tomorrow. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: And how do you spell his 25 name?

1 MR. ENGLISH: B-u-e-l-o-w. We mentioned 2 him yesterday. I wanted to notify you that. Ι 3 can let Dr. Yonkers speak for him. It's my understanding he is here generally throughout 4 5 the hearing and is flexible, but when he testifies, while it would be ideal, I think, 6 7 Dr. Cryan and Dr. Yonkers go, it's not necessary, and therefore Dr. Yonkers is 8 9 conceivably available should an opening occur at another time. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. As to James 11 Buelow's testimony, is he from --12 13 MR. ENGLISH: Steuben Foods. 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: Steuben Foods. And tell 15 me again, is that first letter of his name V as 16 in Victor or B as in boy? 17 MR. ENGLISH: B as in boy. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: That's what I had 19 yesterday, but I think maybe I got it wrong. 20 And he pronounces it Buelow? 21 MR. ENGLISH: I've heard it both ways, 2.2 but yes. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Is he standing 24 here right now? 25 MR. ENGLISH: I don't think he's here. Ι

1 think he got here much later than expected last 2 evening, so I think he is not here. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Very good. And who else did I call on? Who isn't at the 4 5 podium? MR. DUNAJSKI: Ted Dunajski. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Good. Come forward please, and please state and spell your name. 8 9 MR. DUNAJSKI: Name is Ted Dunajski, 10 D-u-n-a-j-s-k-i. JUDGE CLIFTON: And when are you 11 available and when would you prefer to testify? 12 13 MR. DUNAJSKI: I'm available now until 14 2:30 this afternoon. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. We need to put you 16 on early then. 17 MR. DUNAJSKI: Okay. Thank you. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. And who else? 19 MR. YONKERS: Your Honor, Robert Yonkers 20 on behalf of the International Dairy Foods 21 Association. We co-petitioned with National 2.2 Milk for Proposals 1 and 2 that led to this 23 hearing notice. While my organization would 24 like my testimony to go in right after 25 Dr. Cryan's, and I have streamlined my testimony

considerably not to duplicate a lot of the 1 2 arguments that Dr. Cryan makes. So it's much 3 shorter than his. I would prefer to go on 4 today, but as Mr. English mentioned, I am going 5 to be here this week and next week and have a flexible schedule. But my testimony is in the 6 7 back of the room this morning. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Excellent. 8 9 Thank you. Did I have you spell everything? 10 MR. YONKERS: No, you did not. Robert, last name is Yonkers, Y-o-n-k-e-r-s. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Good. 12 Thank 13 you. Now, is there anyone else here besides 14 those I have called who is available to testify today and would prefer to testify today? 15 There 16 is not. That is good. All right. I believe I would like to start the 17 18 testimony with Mr. Hatch, followed soon 19 thereafter by Mr. Dunajski. And then I'll take 20 another look at this list. 21 So Mr. Hatch and counsel, if you'd come 2.2 forward, please? And Mr. Hatch, there is a 23 little step on the far side of the platform. So 24 you can get up to the witness stand that way. 25 Please be seated and pour yourself some water,

1 if you'd like, and get comfortable. And then 2 we'll begin. You're already comfortable. Good. 3 Now, I do need you to pull the microphone just as close to your mouth as mine is to mine. 4 5 Good. All right. Counsel, you may begin. 6 7 MR. TENSEN: Would you like the court reporter to swear the witness? 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh. I would like to 9 10 swear the witness in. Thank you very much. That's my main job here. Mr. Hatch, would 11 you -- thank you, but you may remain seated so 12 13 that your yes will by picked up by the 14 microphone. But thank you. 15 (The witness was sworn.) 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Thank you 17 very much. 18 HOWARD HATCH 19 of lawful age, being duly sworn, was examined and 20 deposed as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. TENSEN: 23 Good morning, Howard. Ο. 24 Α. Good morning. 25 Howard, where did you grow up? Q.

1 Α. Grew up in a small town in northern New 2 Hampshire. And what year did you start milking cows? 3 Ο. I started milking in 1949, by hand, four 4 Α. 5 years old. I could fill a pail pretty easy, but I 6 couldn't lift it up from underneath the cow. 7 You started milking cows 1949 by hand. Ο. 8 Did you keep milking cows right through your school 9 years? I had my first -- I came from a 10 Α. Yeah. 11 farm family that always worked for other people. None 12 of them ever owned any cattle and machinery or lands or anything. And I had my first calf given to me in 1958. 13 14 I'd raised it, and then in high school -- you want to hear the history and all? 15 16 Q. Yeah. 17 Α. I milked two cows in high school and 18 delivered milk on my way to school. And I had 50 hens, 19 delivered eggs on my way to school. 20 0. So you did door-to-door deliveries 21 starting back in the '50s? 2.2 Kind of, yeah. Α. 23 Milk from your own cows? Ο. 24 Yeah. Α. 25 And what high school did you graduate Q.

from? 1 2 Lisbon High School. Α. 3 0. And did you go on to college after that? I came from a pretty large family and we 4 Α. 5 didn't really have too much. Graduation day, the 6 University of New Hampshire gave me information they'd 7 awarded me a full tuition grant for two years at the agriculture school. 8 9 Ο. And did you go on to UNH and obtain your 10 associates' degree? 11 Α. Yes. And was that in agriculture? 12 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. What did you do immediately after you completed your education at University of New Hampshire? 15 16 Α. I took on a herdsman job in Bethlehem, 17 New Hampshire, on 32 Jerseys. 18 I'm sorry. How long did you milk cows? Ο. 19 Α. I never really stopped milking cows. 20 Even at the university, I had a job at the dairy barn. 21 And how long did you work up in Lisbon as 0. 2.2 a herdsman? 23 Just kept going. And President Johnson Α. 24 sent his greetings and I was sent to basic training to 25 be a demolition specialist and sent to Vietnam for a

1 year. 2 Okay. So you were a teenager, you were Q. 3 drafted? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. Did you want to go over to Vietnam? No, I really didn't want to go. But I 6 Α. 7 don't arque. I went, I served my time and I'm proud I did it. 8 Okay. And you were in the field quite a 9 Ο. bit in Vietnam? 10 11 Α. Yeah, time went faster when you -- I 12 stayed busy. I was in the field from August all the way until two days before Christmas without coming in. 13 Okay. Now, I know you had brought a 14 Q. picture, if anybody cares to see it, of when you were in 15 16 Vietnam. Yeah, both of those are in that picture. What 17 did you have painted on that bulldozer? 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: The witness is tendering 19 to me a photograph that's contained in the 20 Valley News dated Friday, August 11th, 1989. That was after the war. But it was the 21 Α. 2.2 first -- the first time that I put my uniform back on. 23 That was 20 years after the war, you left Q. 24 Vietnam? 25 Yeah, 22 years. We weren't really Α.

1 accepted well when we came home. The issue with this 2 picture was someone has always been fiddling with us, 3 telling us what we can do and can't do. On the side of 4 the dozer I had New Hampshire, in little letters, New 5 Hampshire. Somebody would go by and they'd know where 6 you came from. I was told to take it off because it was 7 politically incorrect.

Q. So you were over there in Vietnam, and
9 somebody was worried about what you wrote on your dozer?
10 A. Yeah.

Q. You were out in the field almost fivemonths without coming in?

13 A. Yeah.

14 Ο. Suffice to say you went through a lot? I can lead your next question. 15 Α. Yeah. Ιt 16 was -- it was -- I saw a lot of combat, but one of the 17 decisions that I feel I made in my life was one night on 18 quard duty, I kind of made a vow to myself. If I got 19 back alive, I want to try farming myself. So I don't 20 look at Vietnam as a total loss to me.

I had issues to deal with since I came back. And to cope with those, I put a hundred percent into my farming. I don't actually have a savings account. When I got back, I started milking six cows by hand. I had enough money to buy six old scrub cows,

1 milked them by hand and shipped the milk in a neighbor's 2 tank. Always had to stay busy. If I could stay busy, 3 the issue of Vietnam didn't -- didn't really bother me. Did you need to work to the point of 4 Ο. 5 exhaustion to sleep? 6 I did it each day. Each day, each week, Α. 7 each season, from a hundred in a morning to zero at night, and I could sleep and I didn't have to deal with 8 flashbacks, whatever, action. And farming, I haven't 9 10 had to have much therapy or anything, that was my 11 therapy. 12 The farming was your therapy? 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. And you have a service -- I know you don't like to talk about it, but you have a pretty 15 16 significant service disability? 17 Mainly PS -- PTSD. I spend most of my Α. 18 nights sleeping in a chair now on account of issues with 19 Agent Orange. 20 Ο. So you made a decision when you were in 21 Vietnam you were going to come back and milk your own 2.2 cows? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And up to that point, you had worked for Ο. 25 others and you started milking your own cows at that

1 time when you came back? Well, I bought six old scrub cows. 2 Α. 3 0. And did you continue to milk cows through the years? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 And building the farm up? Ο. 7 Yes, I rented a farm and got up to Α. milking 22 cows. Bought another farm in '71 with an 8 additional 39 cows and some old Farmalls and stuff on 9 it, back in a town that I wanted to farm in, where my 10 father was born, in Haverhill, New Hampshire. 11 12 Is that where your operation is today? Ο. 13 Α. Yes. And when did you -- did there come a time 14 0. 15 you started bottling your own milk? 16 Α. Yeah, it came about 20 more years later, 17 when I shipped milk to a -- never shipped milk too much 18 to co-ops, I'm kind of an independent-type person. 19 But I shipped out milk for about 20 20 years, what I felt a quality product. And I never got 21 paid what I figured it was worth. I always had to do 2.2 supplemental work to keep the farming going. I either 23 did custom work, or for 20 years we had a sawdust 24 business, hauling bedding for other farmers to --25 starting with nothing and wanting things faster.

1 And I carried quite -- my debt was pretty You have heavy debt to pay the bills, you do 2 heavy. 3 what it takes to keep going. But after doing this for 20, 22 years, I 4 5 felt if I was ever going to get a quality product that I 6 put in a bulk tank, I can't get any more for it, I'd 7 have to try to do it on my own. 8 0. Is that when you decided to start bottling your own milk? 9 10 Α. Yes. What year did you start bottling milk? 11 Ο. 12 We started bottling milk in 1992. Α. 13 And tell us about your early years of Ο. 14 bottling milk and where you went with that. I didn't ask many questions in the 15 Α. 16 beginning and I didn't know anything about the Federal 17 Order, probably don't know much more now than I did. 18 But it was hard in the beginning. We were milking at that time about 125 cows. We were bottling a little bit 19 20 twice a week, and I was selling all the rest of it 21 Class IV A, which was \$9 and something, just barely \$9 2.2 before the hauling was taken out. 23 And did you -- what happened over the 0. 24 years with your -- where were you marketing your route 25 to begin?

I was marketing around home and around 1 Α. 2 the northern part of the state. And when you don't plan 3 ahead, you got 100 cows in a -- in an area where the population is not very large, a hundred cows goes a long 4 5 way, if you try to sell it and compete with other milk 6 dealers, milk handlers, and go into a small store and 7 there's already two other -- two other dealers in there 8 and you have to guarantee sales. It was -- it was 9 pretty rough.

Q. Did there come a time you startedmarketing your milk in the Boston area?

12 That's probably what kind of saved Α. Yes. There's a couple door-to-door delivery companies 13 us. 14 that had been in business. One of them, Thatcher Farms, had been in business since 1891, and Hornstra Farms has 15 been in business since 1915. And there are farms that 16 17 had cows. And over the years, the cities just -- have 18 driven them out. They lost the cows. And then they have their -- they brought their milk in and they buy in 19 20 bottles and they still do this today.

21 Q. What kind of bottles do you sell your 22 milk in mostly?

A. About 60 percent of my milk is bottled inglass. The rest is in plastic.

25

Q. Uh-huh. And most of that glass bottle is

home delivered in the Boston area? 1 2 Α. Boston area, plus New Hampshire and Yes. 3 Burlington, Vermont. And I take it that's kind of a unique 4 Ο. 5 service that you provide, supplying milk in glass 6 bottles for home delivery? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. Is there -- none of the major co-ops are in that area, doing glass bottles for door-to-door 9 delivery? 10 11 Α. No. Now, what kind of operation do you have 12 Ο. today with your bottling and your farm? 13 14 Α. Ten years ago, we were able to buy a farm that I -- as a kid I never even thought I'd get to work 15 16 on a farm like that. It was a 250-acre field right on 17 the Connecticut River. It's nice, nice land to work, and we've added on. And the business that we built, I 18 19 haven't spent one penny of advertising. 20 The only advertising we do is the 21 promotion that we're required to do. And that business 2.2 has grown from what I feel is taking the product all the 23 way from the feed, the cows, to processing, to delivery. 24 We can offer something different that other people 25 can't.

You still -- you talked about quality 1 Q. 2 earlier. Do you still strive to produce high --3 Α. If it wouldn't go in my glass on the table, it won't go in a bulk tank. 4 5 Q. How many people do you employ today? Today, we employ 23 people. 6 Α. 7 Ο. And you say we. You have family members 8 that farm with you? Well, I have a son and his stepson. 9 Α. My daughter does the office. Wife's involved. And I have 10 a brother that's -- a brother that's worked for me since 11 12 I got out of the service; been 41 years he's been with 13 me. 14 And these are some of the issues that are 15 put together. It works. And if the producer-handler 16 status ceased, and we weren't allowed to go on or had to 17 cut back to a 450,000 nonpool plant, exempt plant, I'd 18 have to cut everything in half. 19 Q. How much milk do you ship a month right 20 now? 21 Between 750 and 800,000. Α. 2.2 And would you be put out of business if Q. 23 this proposal --24 If the 3 million proposal doesn't Α. 25 succeed, or something higher than what I'm producing,

and it went to an exempt plant of 450, it wouldn't work 1 2 for us. 3 0. Okay. And basically put your processing plants, if not dairy, right out of business? 4 5 Α. We built a new -- a new processing plant in 2004 and it is not paid for yet. 6 7 0. And you owe -- I mean, that was a pretty 8 substantial investment for your farm? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And you can't compete with the co-ops Q. under volume or anything on their price structure? 11 12 One of the things that kind of Α. No. 13 bothers me is in a producer-handler, pretty much 14 balanced myself. We've gone whole years before and balanced. We have run short of milk before and had to 15 16 buy milk, and right now we have some extra milk. 17 But in the last two years, I have sold --18 bought milk. It's been 27 something -- it's been \$2.47 a gallon purchased from DFA. And in February, we sold 19 20 milk to DFA, extra milk, 67 cents a gallon, \$8.11, 4.3 fat, 3:3 protein. 21 2.2 So you balance out your milk right now Ο. 23 with DFA? 24 Α. Yes. And that's one of the things you 25 read in the papers, and the backers of this proposal to

The ones who balance me are the ones that 1 limit us. 2 want to put me out of business. I talked to their 3 members. There are four co-ops in New England, Agri-Mark, St. Albans, Dairylea and DFA. 4 5 You speak to the individual farmers, and 6 they don't say they want to put me out of business. 7 They don't feel I'm a competitor. But they're being 8 represented, so I'm mixed up a little bit. The person that balances me, a company that balances me is working 9 against me, so -- and I don't have many -- anywhere to 10 11 turn to be balanced. 12 Your experience in talking to co-op Ο. 13 members, are they even aware of what their co-ops are 14 trying to do? I don't want to speak for them. 15 Α. But I 16 don't know. 17 Your understanding is that they're not 0. 18 aware that they want to change the rules on you? 19 Α. I've been to a Farm Credit meeting and a 20 couple of farm -- annual meetings and talked to 21 individuals that belong to co-ops, and they're not aware 2.2 of it. 23 All right. And what would you like to 0. 24 see -- would you like to see the rules change at all, 25 first of all?

Looking out for just myself, I'd like to 1 Α. see a cap put high enough so that I don't reach it. 2 3 0. And what would you propose for a cap? I don't think our family would ever 4 Α. 5 see -- I'd like some room for expansion, but I don't think we'd ever surpass the 3 million pound limit. 6 7 Ο. Okay. How about the rule change in 8 general, would you prefer to see it stay status quo? The more I thought about it, I'd like to 9 Α. see it left alone. It's -- if it's competition, I want 10 to be careful because I don't want to step on anyone 11 12 else's toes. I'm here to look out for myself. But I 13 don't want to -- I don't want anybody to impose things But I don't want to set limits that are stepping 14 on me. 15 on someone else's toes. 16 Q. So if the rule changes to 3 million, that 17 would suffice for the foreseeable future for your farm? 18 Yes. In -- I can't speak for -- I can Α. 19 look at and make assumptions of what might happen within 20 our own Order. Within -- in New England, I don't see 21 farms ever getting -- I look at it in 500 gallon 2.2 500 cows is a million pounds. A thousand increments. 23 cows is two. When you get to 1,500 cows in New England, 24 you're hauling feed a long ways. 25 There are other farms near me, a couple

near a thousand pounds, not -- a thousand cow herds, and 1 they're hauling feed 50 to 60 miles one way. And it 2 3 doesn't -- I don't think it's worth it. So somewhere in the vicinity of a thousand to 1,500 cows. 4 5 Actually, in New England -- I don't know so much about New York and Pennsylvania -- but I just 6 7 don't see it working in New England. So the cost of production is an awful lot more in New England than it 8 is in other parts of the country. 9 10 Do you see any disorderly market Q. condition caused by your marketing of your milk? 11 12 I haven't seen it, because the ones we Α. sell it to charge much more. It's -- I don't know if 13 14 you want to call it a niche product, but it's -- it's different. It's -- the package is different. 15 16 Whatever we -- if we make chocolate milk 17 or an eqqnoq, I use superior products. And the whole 18 route -- and so it -- sometimes I have to be competitive in what I charge for it. But many of the -- the 19 20 delivery people and store owners, they ride a pretty 21 good wave, too. So most of the time our product is 2.2 priced higher, priced higher than -- than the standard 23 product in the store. 24 Your -- I mean, you're not competitive on Ο. 25 the price. I mean, your price is higher and your cost

1 is higher than the milk that is sold by the larger 2 co-ops in your area? 3 Α. Yes. We have dropped the low milk prices. We have dropped our price to our distributors. 4 5 But what bothers me is they don't drop -- they don't drop the price. But that's not what we're here to --6 7 Ο. Can you compete head-to-head with the 8 large processing plants in your area? Well, there's no -- there's -- in New 9 Α. 10 England, there's only a few, and they bottle in plastic. 11 And they have their own blow molds and they're set up, 12 so I can't -- I can't compete. 13 So you would like to see -- if the rule Ο. is changed -- first of all, you'd like to see the rule 14 not changed? 15 16 Α. We'd get by okay. I don't have -- I 17 don't know if I stated it correctly. I really don't 18 have a savings account. Everything I have is there. 19 But the one thing I can say today, I 20 haven't lost a penny on Wall Street in investing. 21 Safe to say all your stock has four legs? 0. 2.2 Pretty much. Α. 23 But you would like to -- if the rule is 0. 24 going to change, a monthly exemption of 3 million pounds 25 will allow you to continue to operate?

1	A. It would us, yes.
2	Q. Howard, when you were drafted, you played
3	by the rules and you went over?
4	A. Yeah.
5	Q. What happens if the rules are changed
6	here?
7	A. What happens if the rules change?
8	Depends on how radically. I mean, the contrast. I
9	mean, I could be out of business or I could be they
10	could be doctored a little bit and be back here again in
11	a few years.
12	But I don't know. I probably not the
13	proper time to inject this, but I've watched the men
14	coming in. There's a few in here older than I am.
15	But I've watched the milk process for
16	around 60 years. And it's the same as it was in the
17	early '50s. Nothing has really changed. And until
18	someone comes along with a supply management, it's
19	going until someone comes together and does something
20	about supply management, it's going to continue to have
21	these waves, the ups and downs. Strike that from the
22	record, if you want.
23	JUDGE CLIFTON: No. No, that's valuable
24	testimony.
25	Q. There is there anything else you would

Г

like to add about -- about the proposed rules or other 1 2 comments you want to make? 3 Α. No, I think you pretty much covered it. I -- I've been assured of something and Mr. Tosi has 4 5 walked me through it. 6 In the beginning, when this first came 7 out in January 29th or 30th, the first two proposals 8 came out, I was told that it was a done deal, we didn't have a chance of even being heard. 9 And I called the Market Administrator's 10 office in Boston, got ahold of Mr. Tosi in Washington, 11 12 and he made -- he made a point on two or three different calls to walk me through the process. And it's made me 13 14 feel pretty good, that the process -- there is going to be a process to be heard and people that want to be 15 16 heard will be heard. And it's not all done. So I want to 17 18 thank that table for that. But he took his time and 19 walked me through it and I haven't got much more. Ι 20 made the trip out here, I hope --21 Howard, I don't have any other questions. Ο. 2.2 One last question. When's the last time you were this 23 scared about your future? 24 In the Army bus, I guess, going in the Α. 25 service.

MR. TENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Hatch. 1 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Tensen. 3 Mr. Tensen, if you want to take a seat right at this table, that would be good. 4 5 MR. TENSEN: Thank you. JUDGE CLIFTON: And if you have any 6 7 objection during the cross-examination, I'll need you to come to a microphone. There isn't 8 9 one there. All right. Who would like to begin cross-examination. Mr. Brosch. 10 MR. BROSCH: Thank you, your Honor. 11 My name is Kevin Brosch. I'm with National Milk 12 13 Producers Federation. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BROSCH: 15 16 0. Good morning, Mr. Hatch. 17 Α. Good morning. 18 I found your life story pretty touching. 0. 19 I have to say it's an emotional way to begin the 20 morning. I have a best friend in college who just died 21 about two months ago who was a Vietnam vet. So I share 2.2 your -- your difficulties in some ways. So I salute 23 you. 24 Mr. Hatch, you've talked about being 25 opposed to the proposal. I wasn't clear from your

1 testimony which proposal you're talking about. Could 2 you explain to me? 3 Α. The original Proposals of 1 and 2. 1 and 2. Okay. Have you been --4 Ο. 5 Α. They want to do away with producer-handler and extend the exempt plant to 6 7 450,000 pounds. 8 0. Right. Are you aware that National Milk has also made a Proposal Number 26? 9 I've been told that -- I have not 10 Α. received that, until I see something in writing that 11 12 assures me it's going to happen. You're in agreement with the 3 million pounds? 13 14 Ο. There is a proposal -- you're aware that National Milk has proposed a 3 million pound cap for 15 16 existing producer-handlers in Proposal 26? 17 Α. I have not read the proposal. 18 Now, if -- if you had -- if you were Ο. 19 aware that National Milk has a proposal that is joined 20 to its Proposal Number 1 and 2 that allows for a 21 3 million pound limit for existing producer-handlers, 2.2 would that make you feel better? 23 It would make me feel better. Except can Α. 24 you explain something for me, please? 25 Well, I'm not sure -- I'm here to ask Q.

1 questions, sir. I'm not sure I'm privileged enough to 2 answer questions. 3 Α. Does it make the producer-handler name go away? Does it grandfather and not let anybody else into 4 5 the system? 6 It will grandfather the people who exist. 0. 7 What about the ones that want to start Α. 8 up? 9 0. Mr. Hatch, are you a person who wants to 10 start up? 11 No, but closing the door, I wouldn't -- I Α. 12 had an offer to me to be able to start. I wouldn't want 13 to be attached to a proposal that prevents someone else 14 from starting up. 15 Let me ask you personally about your Ο. 16 business. 17 Yes. Α. 18 I understand your testimony was you were 0. here to look out for yourself? 19 20 Α. Right. 21 And you were going to let other 0. 2.2 people look out for themselves. For your business, do 23 you ever expect -- I think your testimony is you don't 24 expect your family to ever reach the 3 million pound 25 cap?

1 Α. No. 2 Thank you. MR. BROSCH: JUDGE CLIFTON: Other questions for 3 Mr. Hatch? Mr. Carroll. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. CARROLL: 7 Mr. Hatch, tell us about your family Ο. 8 that's in this business. Who are they and what do they do? 9 I have a brother that's been with me --10 Α. been with me his whole life, but he's worked for me for 11 12 41 years. I have a son that turns 40 this year. Не fills in anywhere from mechanic, chopping corn, 13 14 spreading manure. He can -- if my brother has a vacation or 15 16 a day off, he can fill in in the dairy, or my son-in-law who drives the tractor-trailer. We send five 17 18 tractor-trailer loads of bottled milk to the 19 Boston/Portsmouth area every week. 20 If my son-in-law has a day off, he steps 21 in and does that. My daughter does the office work. Ι 22 have a sister-in-law that works in the office, a 23 grandson that's starting to help on the farm and a 24 step-grandson that helps on the farm. 25 All right. Q.

1 Α. And then we have -- other than that, we have, you know, 15 or 16 employees, 23 total paid. 2 Not 3 all of the family gets paid. I don't get paid. Now, directing your attention to the 4 Ο. 5 opportunity of other producers to someday become a 6 producer-handler, what was your position on that again? 7 I would hate to see rules written where a Α. 8 person couldn't start up a producer-handler operation. There are -- I look at -- can I go farther than what you 9 just asked? 10 11 Ο. Yes. 12 I see categories of producer-handlers. Α. And with envy, I look at some of the small ones in 13 14 Massachusetts that have population around that, that milk 40 to 60 cows, and they have a farm store and they 15 16 retail everything. I wished I could do that. 17 I have a nice farm, but it's the wrong --18 it's in the wrong place. I have to go deliver it to 19 these people. And I'm not in the same position. 20 We have a small farm store that we sell 21 30 to 50 gallons a day from. It's insignificant, and we 2.2 really don't get full retail out of it. 23 All right. Directing your attention to Ο. 24 how you do business again, would you tell us again what your distribution is? You bottle for other people. 25 Is

1 that what you're saying? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. And would you tell us how many of those there are that you bottle for? 4 5 Α. There is four delivery people. One is a 6 little milkman in Portsmouth, New Hampshire that's been 7 a startup delivery business. They deliver door-to-door, but it's more than milk. There's everything. 8 They're like a traveling Schwan's truck with milk on board. 9 We have a small distributor in 10 Burlington, Vermont, that comes and picks his milk up in 11 12 glass. And one of our -- he's actually my wife's 13 cousin, Hornstra. He was scheduled to maybe be heard 14 here. It was his family that's delivered for years and years, and we supply them milk. It's under his -- it's 15 16 bottled in his bottles, our milk, with our plant number 17 There's only a couple of differences. on it. We call 18 it wicked good milk in New Hampshire. But when it gets down there, it's just yummy. That's the way they 19 20 advertise it. 21 And would you want the right to continue 0. 2.2 bottling for that man or the others as well? 23 Α. If we're limited from doing that, again, 24 there's not room for us. Customer base in Northern New England is not there. We'd have to -- we'd be directly 25

1 competing for the market space and cutting the price to 2 do it. 3 Ο. All right. Now, in the event of your decease, do you wish to have your family continue that 4 5 business as their livelihood? 6 Very much so. Α. 7 Ο. Is that an important consideration for 8 you? That's what I've worked my life to have, 9 Α. a complete operation. Not just raising the feed or 10 11 raising the feed and milking the cow or distribution 12 system, but we nearly -- nearly have it put together 13 where we are almost complete -- where we're responsible all the way from grass to the kitchen table. 14 Are you aware of any position taken by 15 Ο. 16 the New Hampshire Agriculture Commissioner or the Office 17 on this -- on these proposals? 18 Yes. I was -- I've been following what Α. they wanted -- wanted to do. And then in -- I think it 19 20 was last Thursday or Friday, my commissioner called me 21 and said they'd changed their position from 3 million 2.2 pounds to 2 million pounds, to keep the Wisconsin people 23 on their -- their coalition or their gathering. There's 24 New Hampshire, Vermont, New York, Pennsylvania and 25 Wisconsin. Wisconsin is the only one, I think, out of

1 the Federal Order.

2 Would you state again the states, the Ο. 3 Commissioners of Agriculture that you understand have come to that point of view? 4 To my understanding --5 Α. MR. BROSCH: Objection. Objection. 6 7 Excuse me, sir. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Brosch, you may state 8 9 your objection. MR. BROSCH: Your Honor, I think that 10 this is hearsay about what the states are going 11 to do. I think the states are going to come and 12 speak for themselves. I'm not sure this witness 13 14 is qualified to speak for the states and their 15 position. Thank you, Mr. Brosch. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: 17 Mr. Carroll, your response? 18 MR. CARROLL: I'll strike the question 19 and ask another one. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. BY MR. CARROLL: 21 2.2 Are you aware of the position of the 0. 23 Commissioners of Agriculture -- of Agriculture of the 24 state of New Hampshire on these proposals? 25 MR. BROSCH: Same objection, your Honor.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: You are talking about the phone call that he had with that commissioner? 2 3 MR. CARROLL: Yes. 4 JUDGE CLIFTON: You may relate what you 5 believe you heard in that phone call. I've already -- I've already stated what 6 Α. 7 she has said. I have not read the complete proposal 8 that was changed last Thursday, Friday, and was --That's all right, I understand that. 9 Ο. 10 If -- if you were -- if your operation was fully pooled, could you make the payments from the profits of your 11 12 business? I'm not sure if I could -- if I was 13 Α. 14 pooled one month in a year, probably it'd be -- would be the limit. If I was -- I could not make it paying into 15 16 the pool. 17 MR. CARROLL: That's all. Thank you. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. 19 Other cross-examination? Mr. Vetne. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. VENTE: 2.2 Good morning, Mr. Hatch. Ο. 23 Good morning. Α. 24 It's nice to not be the only person from Ο. 25 New Hampshire at one of these hearings.

I want to ask you a little bit about -- a 1 2 little bit more about your operation. You said you had 3 23 people working for you. How are those people divided between working in the plants and working on the farm? 4 5 Α. Six full time in the plant, two delivery drivers. 6 7 Ο. Is that two drivers including the six or is that in addition? 8 Plus, plus. 9 Α. 10 Okay. Plus two. Q. Okay. 11 There is a meshing because many of my Α. people are trained to do more than one job. They might 12 be in the shop working on equipment part of the day. 13 Ιf there's a need in the barn, if there's a cow down, if 14 they need to move dry cows around, a number of things, 15 16 they change positions. 17 But I have a Jersey farmer that sold his 18 cows a few years ago, and he comes and helps me. He's 19 a -- he's a people person and that's something that 20 I'm -- I'm not a people person. And he does a lot to 21 hold things together because he has the ability to 2.2 handle people. 23 Okay. So the 15 people that are not in Ο. 24 the plant or drivers, are those 15 people going back and 25 forth and have some farm responsibilities and some

1 plant?

-	prane.
2	A. We have two pretty much like assistant
3	herdsman. We have about four regular milkers. We milk
4	at odd times. We milk at midnight and noon, mainly on
5	account of being later in the week, being a
6	producer-handler, Monday morning, most usually we've got
7	plenty of milk.
8	But toward the end of the week, you're
9	pretty close to balancing. You don't want your dairy
10	standing around waiting for milk. So they're finished
11	milking by 5:00 in the morning, and it's just a matter
12	of operation.
13	Q. What kind of organization is that? Is it
14	a corporation organization, a limited liability,
15	partnership, what?
16	A. We operate an LLC. We have to follow the
17	right guidelines to be able to be a producer-handler.
18	We have to have full ownership. We will have full
19	ownership someday when we get it paid off.
20	Q. You're saying the bank has some equity
21	interest in in your business?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. The bank doesn't make management
24	decisions, however, those are yours?
25	A. Yeah.

1 Q. Do you have a board of directors, 2 management committee, or is that your family, kitchen 3 table kind of thing? A little bit more than that. We have 4 Α. 5 management meetings. 6 And the managers are -- are part -- are Ο. your family? 7 8 Α. Yes. You mentioned that you have grown, and I 9 0. think I understood you to say that you have some 10 11 expectation and hope of growing a bit in the future? 12 Well, we've only grown to fulfill orders. Α. It isn't like I -- I don't want to be listed as a dog 13 14 chasing his tail, but yes we have -- we have goals. We're getting -- we're getting near our goals, we'd say. 15 16 Q. When you indicated that you invested in a 17 new processing plant in 2004 --18 Yes. Α. -- is that correct? What is the bottling 19 Ο. 20 capacity of the equipment that you purchased and 21 installed in 2004? 2.2 Almost all of our equipment is used Α. 23 equipment. Bottle washers are 1964 vintage, the 24 vintages, up through the vats. We do vat pasteurization 25 which is not very efficient, but it's a whole different

1

product you're putting out.

2 Okay. If you were to maximize the volume Ο. 3 you put through your plant, how much could you potentially bottle in the course of a month? 4 5 Α. We have the cooler space, total operation runs between 40 and 45 hours per week. 6 Ιt 7 sits idle on the weekend. It sits idle at night. If we put a short-time system in, it would be pretty easy to 8 exceed the 3 million. 9 10 Okay. Based on your -- without Q. purchasing any new equipment? 11 12 Not with vats. If anybody is familiar, Α. my brother does 17 vats a day. It's a long day. 13 And the sizes we do, each machine has as many as 45 14 changeovers between gallons, half gallons, pints. 15 It's 16 a long day. 17 Do you -- do you have any information on Ο. 18 your cost of vat pasteurization per unit versus the 19 pasteurization costs of the larger processors? 20 Α. That figure's per vat. We do it 21 basically comparing glass, what it costs to buy a 2.2 bottle, wash a bottle, compared to plastic. 23 But as far as my cost of operation 24 compared to someone else's, that's their business. Ι 25 just worry about my own.

1 Q. Okay. So you don't have information from 2 others to compare to your own? 3 Α. No. Okay. Other than acquiring used 4 Ο. 5 processing equipment in 2004, have you made any other 6 significant investments in the past five -- nine years 7 in your farm or your plant? 8 Α. Definitely. Could you identify some categories of 9 0. investment? 10 11 I don't know how much you want me to get Α. 12 into it. I'm looking for categories, not line 13 Ο. 14 items. Categories? We're a great recycler, 15 Α. 16 things that I've done. We live right next to the 17 county's farm and they were going to demolish two 18 houses. I bought one for a dollar and guarter and I bought one for a dollar. We moved them there. One is 19 20 our office, one is for the help. 21 Our saw mill in the next village sold 2.2 out. We bought five of the -- five of the steel 23 buildings and reconstructed them for feed barns. And we 24 just put up a 186 x 110 foot -- it was a saw mill building, but it's the first machinery storage that I've 25

1 had. But you won't see many new tractors on my farm. 2 Most of them are green, but they're older vintage. I --3 that's --Okay. You talked a bit about some 4 Ο. 5 balancing costs, your costs to acquire supplemental milk 6 and what you receive when you sell your own surplus or 7 excess milk. 8 You said you purchase supplemental milk from DFA, and maybe I wrote the price down wrong. 9 \$2 10 and what per gallon? 11 Α. I think it figures 2.47. It was \$27 and something a hundred. I'm not sure if that covered the 12 13 delivery charge. 14 0. Okay. Is that a regular price charge or was that in a particular month? 15 16 Α. A particular month. Just like February 17 was a particular month that we --18 What particular month was the \$2.47 a 0. 19 gallon charge? 20 Α. I can't answer that. 21 What season, what year, what quarter? Ο. 2.2 When I need to buy milk, it's always Α. 23 high. If I have milk to sell, it's cheap. 24 Okay. Is there a reference you can give Ο. 25 us in relation to the Federal Class I price and the

premium charged to you when you need supplemental milk? 1 2 We pay Class 1 price. Α. 3 Ο. Plus? Plus handing fees, plus butterfat, plus 4 Α. 5 protein, plus -- it goes on and on. But each time it 6 changes a little bit. We were paying Class III up to 7 June last year -- Class IV, excuse me. 8 You were paid --Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 -- when you had surplus milk? Q. 11 Α. Yes. And then what happened? 12 0. 13 Well, things disappeared. All of a Α. 14 sudden, I wasn't paying anything for butterfat. We had a 4:3 test and a 3:3 protein and I was just getting 15 16 Class III price. I had to kind of go back and 17 renegotiate with them to get my -- get that back. But I 18 shouldn't be hammering on them too hard. It's pretty 19 hard to get balanced in -- in northern New England. 20 There are very few milk trucks that go 21 down the road now. Most of the milk goes on one truck 2.2 that comes down through. And if I didn't have them, I'd 23 be really up a creek. 24 Okay. Do you know whether the premiums Ο. 25 charged to when you need supplemental milk are

1 comparable to premiums charged to the major processors 2 when they need supplemental milk? Do you have any 3 reference for that? T don't. 4 Α. 5 Q. Okay. And do you know whether the purchase price for milk from you is comparable to the 6 7 purchase price for surplus milk by the major processors 8 when they have extra milk? No, I don't. 9 Α. You don't. You, I think, said a little 10 Q. bit about your -- your costs for raw materials. 11 You buy 12 sugar, for example, for some of your products? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. For your nonmilk ingredients, do you -are you able to purchase those at -- at a volume 15 16 discount? I'll start there. 17 We can buy at volume discounts, but not Α. 18 really -- sugar, for instance, we buy it by the bag, by 19 the pallet. 20 0. By the pallet. How much is in a pallet? 21 I think -- it's either a ton or a ton and Α. 2.2 a half pallet. 23 Okay. And do you know what the pallet 0. 24 price is compared to the truckload or -- or -- or 25 railroad car full that your competitors might receive?

1 Α. No, we buy it through a grocery -- a 2 restaurant supply. 3 0. Okay. And would that -- would your description of how you buy ingredients be true for the 4 5 other nonmilk ingredients that you acquire? Yeah. Probably not really, really 6 Α. 7 efficient, a lot of the large ones, liquid sugar. We 8 don't have the capacity to take volumes of liquid sugar or ingredients. 9 10 You need a place to store them and you Q. need a market, a product to put them in, don't you? 11 12 We don't handle those large volumes. Α. 13 In two or three questions by your Ο. counsel, he referred to milk sold by the larger co-ops, 14 and you responded. Adopting his question that milk was 15 16 sold by the larger co-ops, were your answers intended to 17 be in the context of milk sold by larger processors? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. And you talked about your Q. 20 packaging. You have, what, 60 percent glass and 21 40 percent plastic? Or I have that turned around. And 2.2 you referred to some of your competitors and having your 23 own blow mold. I infer from that that you don't have 24 your own blow mold. How do you acquire --25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, I'm not getting his

1 answer audibly. I know he said no, but I'm not 2 sure the no shows in the typewritten form. So 3 could we just back up? Go ahead and ask that question about the blow mold. 4 5 Do you have your own blow mold? Q. 6 Α. No. 7 0. Do you buy your plastic bottles preblown by somebody else? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 And from whom do you acquire those Q. preblown plastic bottles? 11 In the past, we bought from Sheldon 12 Α. 13 Plastics. Right now we buy from Quality. 14 Ο. And do they have to be transported at a considerable distance to get to you? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 And there -- can you relate a 0. Okay. 18 price per unit or per bottle, per other unit? 19 Α. We're running close to 40 cents on a 20 gallon. If you include the gallon, the cap, the label, 21 we run pretty close to 40 cents. Now, I -- those can --2.2 we did have a reduction of resin costs. Exactly today 23 it may vary from that sum. 24 But we pay an extra cent and a quarter. 25 Because we bottle to different people, we have to

1 warehouse. We don't have our own labeling equipment in our dairy, so we have quite -- quite a warehouse of 2 3 labeled -- every size has to be labeled for someone else. 4 5 It isn't like you can have a trailer load 6 of gallons and a trailer load of half gallons, and as 7 the orders change you change the labels. So there's another inefficiency we have in our operation. 8 So when you buy plastic preblown bottles, 9 0. you buy them with labels already attached? 10 11 Α. Yes. And that labeling order is something that 12 0. you instruct the blow mold people to do, correct? 13 14 Α. Yeah. Based on your estimate of your -- of your 15 Ο. labeled milk demands? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 The glass bottles that you talked about, Ο. 19 you don't have labeling. Are those already prelabeled? 20 Α. Some of them have the company name 21 printed on them, on the cap. It gives the information 2.2 that's required, plant -- plant number, where it's 23 bottled, the company it's bottled for, what the product 24 is, whether it's Grade A product and any additives that 25 have to be added.

1 Q. Okay. And did you make your own caps or do you order those made by somebody else also? 2 3 Α. They're ordered. They're ordered and produced by somebody 4 0. 5 else? 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. And shipped to you? 8 Α. Yes. Based on your estimate of your glass milk 9 0. customer demand? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 And that demand is made by -- in turn, by 0. four distributors, one in Portsmouth, one in Burlington 13 and two in the Boston area? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And each of those has their own unique brand name? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. You understand that there are some 20 proposals that would -- that would or may preclude you 21 from employing somebody else's brand in your package? 2.2 Do you understand that? 23 Yes. Α. 24 Okay. And what would that do to your Ο. 25 business, in your estimation?

1 Α. I haven't fully fathomed what that might 2 do. 3 0. Even if -- even if there were a 3 million pound or a 2 million pound cap on volume, you would have 4 5 trouble maintaining your business if you could not custom bottle for some other persons' distributing 6 7 needs, is that correct? 8 Α. I think so. These are companies that have been in existence. One of them has been in 9 existence for well over a hundred years, and they just 10 11 don't want to change the name and they can't have cows 12 anymore. 13 Okay. Do you bottle milk in any package 0. 14 size other than gallons, in plastic? Let's start with 15 plastic. 16 Α. Yes. Gallons, half gallons, squat 17 quarts, round pints and half pints. 18 And they're all in plastic? Ο. 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. What is a squat quart? 21 In our situation, tall skinny quarts are Α. 2.2 tippy. In our bottling operation -- and older people --23 the squat quarts are the same footprint as a half 24 gallon. And since we started using them, our sales of 25 those has increased. Older people handling them, they

don't tip over and they're handy to handle. And in 1 2 delis, people with bigger appetites drink a quart rather 3 than a pint. Do you, or have you had in the past nine 4 Ο. 5 years -- I use nine years because that's when we had the 6 Federal Order reform decision go into effect. During 7 the past nine years, have you had any institutional 8 sales? By that, I mean hospitals, schools, prisons, that kind of institution. 9 We sell to the county jail and nursing 10 Α. home on a non-- nonbid program with a local hospital. 11 12 We have gotten out of the schools. 13 Because? Ο. 14 Α. Uh-huh. Well, the poor business. We could never make any money in the schools. 15 16 Q. Are the schools all bid milk? 17 Α. They bid. And I can't put a product out, 18 for -- a white milk out for 14 cents a half pint. For 10 cents a half pint? Does that 19 Q. 20 represent the bid price of the larger processors? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 And you can't -- you can't do that? 0. 23 I can't add chocolate to it for a penny Α. 24 either. And you're saying that their bid prices 25 Q.

for chocolate milk is 15, correct? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. And you can't do that? (Shaking head.) 4 Α. 5 Because your sugar and chocolate costs Q. 6 are much higher? 7 Α. Right. 8 Ο. Counsel for National Milk asked you about your familiarity with Proposal, I think it was 26. 9 You're here primarily to talk in opposition to Proposals 10 11 1 and 2, is that correct? 12 Α. Yes. If National Milk had withdrawn or not 13 Ο. 14 made the Proposals 1 and 2 and number 26 was the only one on the table, would you have taken the time and 15 16 expense to come down and bring a lawyer down here? 17 Α. Explain that a little bit more for me, 18 please. 19 Q. If there were no proposal to eliminate 20 producer-handlers, would you have made the trip to 21 Cincinnati? And I understand there are 20 -- 20 2.2 proposals, so maybe that's a question that presumes too 23 much familiarity with the others. 24 Α. Yeah, there's been something brewing 25 within me for quite a while that I wanted to be heard.

Probably this is a vacation for me. Pretty nice room. 1 All right. Get out and see the town? 2 Q. 3 Α. Yeah. We went and had supper last night. Did you go to Mike Finke's? 4 0. 5 Α. (Shaking head.) 6 King of the River guy? 0. 7 Α. No. 8 Q. No. Okay. Thank you. 9 MR. VETNE: JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Vetne. 10 Other cross-examination? Mr. Miltner. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MILTNER: 14 Ο. Ryan Miltner. Good morning, Mr. Hatch. Good morning. 15 Α. You said earlier that in all the time 16 Q. 17 you've been dealing with cows, nothing has really 18 changed since the 1950s. Can you elaborate? 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Before you ask that next 20 question, let him respond to that one. He 21 started to. 2.2 MR. MILTNER: Sure. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is that accurate? Is 24 that what you meant? 25 THE WITNESS: What I meant was the

marketing of milk, not -- not the way cows are milked.

3 BY MR. MILTNER:

1

2

Q. Thank you for clarifying that. That was what I wanted to explore. Can you tell us what you mean by nothing has changed with the marketing of milk since the 1950s?

8 Α. Well, visually watching things. There used to be a small creamery in my town that -- and their 9 way of dealing with milk. I can remember us -- Land Ap 10 11 was right next to us. My dad ran the milk group. He 12 picked up 22 farms in that town. And the largest producer was eight jugs. It was a school teacher that 13 14 had two jugs. She had one cow, but she couldn't mix night milking with morning milking, so you had to put 15 16 them in two different cans.

When that milk came back to the creamery,
there were producers bringing theirs in and the milk
truck backed up. The milk went into the creamery,
dumped on the scales and the separator started.

The cream went onto a railroad car to go to Boston. If you looked in the river down below, the skim milk used to go right straight into the river and the falls going over was white. I mean, things have changed.

Low fat and -- low fat and skim milk are 1 2 some of our biggest sales, and the river is a lot 3 cleaner now. But towards the end of that process, they thought they would try to generate some income, and they 4 5 tried selling skim milk by the jug at 25 cents a jug to 6 feed your pig. Couldn't seem to generate much. That's 7 a pretty good value now. 8 I would say. Since the 1950s how has the Ο. average farm size changed in New Hampshire? 9 I don't think I'm qualified to give you 10 Α. exact numbers. I know that as a kid working on a diary 11 12 farm, if you had 40 cows, it was a fairly large farm. And what's a fairly large farm in New 13 Ο. Hampshire today as you see it, as somebody who's been a 14 15 farmer there for 40 years? 16 Α. There's very few at 40 or less. I would 17 gather an estimate maybe -- it might be covered in the 18 figures from New Hampshire. I'm not sure. 19 Q. But generally farms are larger now --20 Α. (Nodding head.) 21 -- than they were? 0. 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 How about the number of outlets for 0. 24 farmers to sell their milk in New Hampshire, are there 25 more or fewer or about the same?

1 Α. Many -- it's much, much more narrowed now than it used to be. 2 3 0. And if you didn't process your own milk, what would your options be for marketing your milk? 4 5 Α. If I wasn't processing our own milk now, 6 I'd probably be evicted, and I probably would not be 7 milking cows today. 8 Q. So for you, this is your only economical alternative? 9 Unless I carried another business to 10 Α. supplement the farm. I have a lawyer here that farms, 11 12 but he's a lawyer so he can keep farming. 13 I'm a lawyer and I have a garden at home. 0. It's not quite the same, though, is it? 14 If you wanted to take a second job and 15 16 continue working the farm, how many outlets are there 17 for you to sell your milk? 18 I think -- I think there's three. Α. And I 19 think two of them might be the same truck. 20 0. Okay. Two on the same truck? 21 (Nodding head.) Α. 2.2 The third outlet -- all cooperatives? Q. 23 I think -- I think I could still ship to Α. 24 Hood. 25 And how far away from your farm is the Q.

1 Hood plant? I think it'd picking up out of Hood 2 Α. 3 Brothers. It's around 38 miles. You had submitted -- Hatchland Farms, LLC 4 Ο. 5 submitted a letter to the Department after the initial 6 proposals were -- were published, and you had described 7 in that letter -- you had described in that letter your 8 cost of production on the farms. Can you -- before we talk specifically 9 about your cost of production, can you tell us about 10 11 your farm site operations a little bit? Do you -- do 12 you grow your own feed? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Exclusively? 15 Α. Yes. Oh, grains we supplement. The 16 grain is supplement. We do not -- we pay only corn and 17 soybean meal. 18 Ο. Okav. Of the 20 -- I believe it was 23 19 employees that you have, some of those people work in 20 growing and tending the crops? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 For your -- for your cows. Did you own 0. 23 all the ground that you raise crops on or do you rent 24 ground from other people, a combination of those two? 25 Combination of the two. Α.

In March you said your cost of production 1 Q. was \$21. Is that still about the same today? 2 3 Α. The guy that's the people person with me also helps do the books. And he said that those were 4 5 compiled a few months before. And those were nothing on 6 investment. Those were costs only? 7 That's cash out? Ο. 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. Doesn't include return on investment, doesn't include --10 11 Α. No. -- your debt service? 12 0. 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Okay. I'm not -- I mean, if you want to know 15 Α. 16 what that -- nothing is on -- you can ask me any 17 question. 18 I don't want to pry into -- into your 0. 19 books. If a producer-handler becomes subject to 20 regulation, the plant will be assumed to have acquired 21 the milk that it bottles at the Order 1 blend price. 2.2 What do you think? When you're looking at your 23 business, what's the cost of your raw milk? 24 From my farm? Α. 25 Uh-huh, yes. Q.

1 Α. Why wouldn't it be the cost of production? 2 3 0. Say that again. Why wouldn't it be the cost of 4 Α. 5 production? 6 Ο. I don't know. Is that what you would 7 think it is? 8 Α. Well, originally when we went to bottling milk, the price of milk was in the \$13 range. I wanted 9 the income to my farm. If I produced 4 percent milk, 10 11 \$16 a hundred, if I could generate that for the farm, I 12 knew I could make it. 13 But today, I'm in a real disadvantage 14 going to my cost of production. I could buy milk on the market and save money. But I can't guarantee -- make 15 16 the guarantees that I can when I have my own. 17 The only way I worked with balancing is, 18 DFA has been -- they've been helpful. If I have been short and need milk, they've been able to pinpoint a 19 20 specific farmer that has a -- doesn't use BSD low cell 21 counts, the quality milk that I want that can match --2.2 nearly match ours. 23 And you pay them for that service? 0. 24 Α. Yes. 25 And when you have surplus milk, they pay Q.

1 you a negotiated price for that milk, which is as you described earlier? 2 3 Α. It's -- they -- they follow the rules. 4 They pay what the Order says they can pay. 5 And they -- and they pay --Q. The check's been good. 6 Α. 7 Now, the blend price in Order 1 Ο. Okav. thus far this year has averaged about \$12.50. You don't 8 produce your milk at anything near that price, do you? 9 10 Α. No. And when the Class I price is \$12.68, 11 0. again, you're not acquiring your milk at anywhere near 12 13 that price, are you? 14 Α. No. Just so the record's 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: 16 clear, are you paying more or less than that 17 when you acquire milk? 18 THE WITNESS: Well, the Federal Order 19 says I cannot transfer money from my plant to my 20 farm. You can make an allowance of what's it 21 worth, what it's worth, but my cost of 2.2 production is what I have to work with. 23 You don't pay anything. It's just 0. 24 whatever it costs you to produce the milk? 25 It affects the bottom line. Α.

1 Q. It's all one operation? 2 It has to be. Α. Yes. 3 0. And it's all one -- yeah. It has to be one operation, and at the end of the quarter on the 4 5 year, you look at one profit or loss figure, correct? Yeah, we look at them a lot more often 6 Α. 7 than that. 8 You said a minute ago that you make Ο. certain guarantees to your customers --9 10 Α. Yeah. 11 -- about the milk you supply them. Ο. Do your customers find a value in getting milk from a 12 single farm source? 13 This is -- this is a whole avenue that 14 Α. could be addressed. The part that's really working for 15 16 dairy farmers in our location is going back to local. A 17 while ago it was organic. Now it's -- now it's local. 18 And a little bit of a different avenue to 19 follow, there's a lot of milk being sold from the farms 20 raw or at good prices that are not affected by that 21 Order at all. 2.2 And, actually looking at the letterhead 0. 23 on what you submitted to USDA, you've got -- you've got 24 a logo at the top, and I apologize, the name of the 25 statue or the rock formation?

That's the Old Man of the Mountain, but 1 Α. 2 he's not there anymore. He fell down. 3 Ο. He did. But it's native, right? That was about 10, 11 miles from 4 Α. Yeah. 5 where I was born. 6 And so that -- the -- the local aspect of Ο. 7 your operation is something that you use in 8 communicating value to your customers? You said you don't do advertising, but people find that important, 9 that it's native milk? 10 11 They do. We don't -- we don't advertise Α. 12 as such in the papers, the radio. I'd rather take a sample of my product and put it right in someone's hand 13 14 and say, this is my product; if you like it, maybe you'll come back and buy it. 15 16 And this is something I'm doing that I 17 didn't -- when I shipped to other handlers, you lost 18 that. When the milk went out the port hole in the milk room, you don't have control of that milk anymore and 19 20 it's in someone else's hands. And all we could do was 21 whine and cry about the price of milk. 2.2 And so becoming a producer-handler was --0. 23 was your response to doing more than just whining about 24 the price of milk, as you described it? 25 Α. Yeah.

1 Ο. Are there any other handlers that bottle 2 milk in the same labels that you do? And I know you 3 have several different companies that you bottle milk for and they have their own label. But is there anybody 4 5 else who uses those labels? 6 Not to my knowledge. Α. 7 Ο. Okay. 8 MR. MILTNER: I don't have any more 9 questions. Thank you, Mr. Hatch. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Miltner. Who else would like to examine Mr. Hatch? 11 12 Mr. Ricciardi. 13 MR. RICCIARDI: Thank you, your Honor. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. RICCARDI: 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hatch. 17 Α. Good morning. 18 Al Ricciardi here on behalf of AIDA. T'm 0. 19 going to ask you a few questions. Hopefully I won't 20 duplicate the questions that you've been asked before. 21 But the first thing, make sure you don't hold anything 2.2 against me because you're an UNH guy and I'm a UVM guy. 23 Okay? 24 Okay. Α. 25 Q. Good deal.

1 Α. I'll -- I won't just nod my head. 2 Give me the best --0. 3 Α. It'll be on the record. Okay. All right. A few things. 4 0. You 5 said that you had, in the past, bid for some school 6 Did I hear that correctly? milk. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. And you don't do that any longer Okay. 9 because you could not compete on the price for that milk, correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. Now, do you know who actually got Q. 13 the school milk bid that you had bid on before? It's been -- it hasn't stayed the 14 Α. Yes. 15 But it's been a couple of different companies. same. 16 0. Okay. And those companies were not 17 producer-handlers? 18 Α. Right. 19 Ο. They were part of the regulated 20 community? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 And you were not able to compete with Q. 23 them on price on those particular bids? 24 They -- are you familiar with Α. Right. 25 supplying school milk?

1 Q. I am. 2 Α. They always call an hour before lunch and 3 they're out of milk, you make special trips. And the day before vacation, there's a snow day. They have all 4 5 that extra milk, they don't want to pay for it. You go 6 pick it up. But we did have schools. We did have 7 schools that -- I'll give you a price. When they were charging 14 to 16 cents for milk and chocolate milk, we 8 were charging 25 and 30. And we had -- we had 9 schools -- our costs of the container, we're about ten 10 years ahead of a number of other milk companies about 11 12 getting out of cardboard and into plastic containers in the schools. And the children accepted them. 13 14 But we had a number of schools that wanted our milk without going through the bid process. 15 16 But we do have records kept about increased consumption. 17 When we started bidding on the schools, we got the 18 contract, and we found consumption of milk had doubled in some of the schools from some of the milk that's 19 20 being supplied. 21 And because of the fact that your milk is 0. 2.2 quality milk, single source milk, right? 23 Α. Yeah. I'll answer that question. I'11 24 speak for mine. I don't want to speak for anyone else's 25 milk.

1 Q. All right. And I am speaking for yours. 2 Okay. Α. 3 Ο. You have been a producer-handler in Federal Order Number 1 since 1992, am I correct in that? 4 5 Α. Yes. And throughout that period of time you 6 Ο. 7 have continuously been a producer-handler? 8 Α. No. I was pooled -- I was pooled one month and that was selling too much milk, more than 9 50 percent of my milk, before the Order was changed, was 10 in the Order and I was outside the Order. 11 12 Ο. Okay. 13 And three years ago, I was getting close Α. 14 to the limit of buying 150,000 pounds of milk and it was going to cost me considerably. It was going to be for a 15 16 short period of time. I went and bought ten -- nine 17 additional heifers that were ready to milk, to get the 18 milk. And actually buying the heifers was cheaper than 19 getting pooled. But it turns out now I have 40 extra 20 heifers more than what I need, so it's a balancing act. 21 Well, you used the right word because you 0. 2.2 are going to where I was heading. One of the problems 23 that a producer-handler such as you have, that the 24 regulated community doesn't have to deal with, is you 25 have to balance your needs, correct?

1 Α. Pretty close there. 2 You just described one problem. Since Ο. there's a limitation in Federal Order Number 1 on how 3 much milk you can buy, 150,000 pounds a month, in any 4 5 particular month where you're running short, now you've 6 got the issue to deal with, do I buy more milk and 7 potentially lose my producer-handler status for that 8 month or potentially lose a customer, right? We have done that. We've -- when 9 Α. Yes. the -- paid the high price, we have actually sold milk 10 11 at a loss, which would have been -- would have been 12 easier for us to left the -- leave the store shelf empty for a few days than it would to have -- than to have 13 14 bought the milk. And that's an actual cost that you have 15 Ο. 16 to deal with on a monthly basis, correct? 17 Α. That cost to purchase milk? 18 The cost to purchase milk or the --Ο. We don't -- we -- we don't -- we have 19 Α. 20 gone full years before without purchasing any. And 21 we've gone full years without -- without selling any, 2.2 too. 23 Ο. But the -- the balancing cost, the 24 decision that you have to make if you need milk, you 25 have to decide whether you can purchase it. On the

flip, when you've got too much milk, you have the issue 1 about how you get rid of it, right? 2 3 Α. Yes. Okay. And that's a cost that you have to 4 0. 5 deal with? You don't put that off to anyone else. 6 Α. Right. 7 I've got a copy of the March 5, 2009 Ο. 8 letter that was sent by Hatchland Farm, LLC to Dana Coale, the Deputy Administrator at AMS for Dairy 9 Programs. It was signed by you, Kendra Hatch, Preston 10 Hatch and Kristin May. Can you tell me who Kendra 11 12 Hatch, Preston Hatch and Kristin May are? Kendra is my wife. Preston is my son. 13 Α. 14 Kristin is my daughter. Thanks. All of the members of your 15 Ο. 16 family signed on to this response to the Department, 17 correct? 18 Just my brother, his wife, they didn't. Α. 19 I mean, they're not part of the LLC and the ownership 20 of --21 I understand. And let me -- let me make 0. sure. You testified a lot and I don't want to have you 2.2 23 stay up there any more time than you need to. I just 24 want to confirm some things. 25 In the letter you state -- make sure I

read it right. Remember, I'm from UVM. We don't think 1 2 that there is a disorderly marketing condition in 3 Federal Marketing Order Number 1 caused by producer-handlers. That is your belief on March 5th. 4 5 That's your testimony today? 6 I will speak -- there's parts of New York Α. 7 and Pennsylvania that I -- I visit there, I'm not 8 fully -- I'd like to say I'm from New England. I don't -- that's a number of states, not very big states, 9 10 but I'd like to maybe change that to addressing the New 11 England states. 12 Okay. 0. 13 My belief. Α. 14 Ο. Okay. Near the end, the last sentence, I have built this business with family involvement. 15 One 16 brother has worked with me for 41 years and we are not 17 ready to lose this because some big co-op doesn't like a 18 little competition. That's your statement on March 5. 19 That's your testimony today? 20 Α. I'll stand by it. 21 When you say, some big co-op, do you have 0. 2.2 a specific co-op in mind that you're referring to? 23 Α. Don't shoot me, but I think about all the 24 co-ops on the same level. 25 I'm not going to shoot you. All right. Q.

1 I've got one more thing. I think you were asked a question by National Milk that basically said, look, 2 3 you're here to take care of yourself, not here to take care of someone else. 4 5 Now, you were looking out for other people when you went to Vietnam, right? 6 7 Α. Yeah. 8 Ο. And with regard to this particular hearing and your testimony, obviously you're concerned 9 about yourself but you are also concerned about anyone 10 11 else in this milk business that may want to take the 12 opportunity and the risks of being a producer-handler? 13 You said it pretty well. Α. 14 Q. Thank you. JUDGE CLIFTON: Let me see if it's going 15 16 to be time for a break. They like you. Let's This witness has been on the stand now 17 see. 18 about an hour and a half. I declare a 15-minute 19 break. Please be back and -- actually, this is 20 a 19-minute break. Be back and ready to go at 10:00. 21 2.2 (A recess was taken from 9:41 to 10:00.) 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Let's go back 24 on record. We're back on record at 10:00. 25 There were two hands up wanting to cross-examine

Mr. Hatch. I'd like now to call on the 1 2 gentleman who is seated behind Mr. Vetne. 3 Mr. Vetne has a light blue shirt. 4 MR. CHAPLAIN: My name is George Chaplain 5 from Saugus, Massachusetts. JUDGE CLIFTON: How is Chaplain spelled? 6 7 MR. CHAPLAIN: C-h-a-p-l-a-i-n. JUDGE CLIFTON: And how is the town 8 9 you're from spelled? 10 MR. CHAPLAIN: S-a-u-g-u-s. JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. And tell us 11 just a little bit about what you do or why you 12 are interested in the outcome of the hearing. 13 14 MR. CHAPLIN: Basically I came here with 15 Mr. Dunajski, Dunajski, who owns a dairy farm in 16 Peabody. And I've known him for 40-odd years, 17 and his family. And I did the electrical work 18 for him. I ran a small electrical contractor 19 company for 40 years that I got into after I 20 came back from Vietnam. 21 And I dissolved that company, but I told 2.2 Ted I wouldn't abandon him because -- so I 23 started -- you know, continued to do his 24 electrical maintenance. And then he said, would 25 you like to do a little work around the farm?

1 So I've been spreading manure in the fields. So 2 his daughter asked me if I'd come out here with 3 him today, just to fly out and back with him, so he'd have a companion, and I agreed to. And I 4 5 intended to just sit here and listen. But after hearing Mr. Hatch testify, I 6 7 also am a Vietnam veteran and I came back from Vietnam, and I also -- I didn't start a small 8 9 business, but I went to work for a small business which I took over in 1975 and ran it 10 until a few years ago. 11 And one of the questions that I believe I 12 13 heard -- and I haven't read any of the documents, so this -- it seems to me like they 14 15 were going to try to limit new people from 16 trying to get into the business. And I believe 17 it's an American right. 18 I mean, Mr. Hatch and I both went to 19 Vietnam, whether we wanted to or not, and fought 20 for all Americans, not just the government. And 21 it turned into a fiasco, I agree. 2.2 When we came back, a lot of people 23 weren't -- we weren't celebrated when we came 24 But as myself, I went to work and he went back. 25 to work. And he developed a farm. And I

1 believe we have -- we have people -- we have 2 guys in Iraq and Afghanistan, and I'm sure 3 there's going to be other conflicts throughout 4 the next umpteen years. 5 And I just believe that they should be able to come back and be able to, if they want 6 7 to work hard -- if they want to become the, I guess the new word is handler-producer or 8 9 whatever, if they want to start, they should 10 have the right to do it. That's why they're over there. They're fighting for us and 11 basically that's my statement. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. CHAPLAIN: And my question to Mr. Hatch is, does he 15 Ο. 16 believe -- I know he believes in the same thing, so I 17 don't know whether this is a question. I'm going maybe 18 too long. 19 So my question is, Mr. Hatch, is that 20 your belief is that new people should be able to come 21 into the producer-handler business if they want to work 2.2 hard at it, and start a business like you did yourself? 23 Is that --24 Α. I'd like to see that, yes. 25 And that's one of the reasons you Q. Yes.

1 came here today. It wasn't just -- I heard one of the 2 people say you came here for yourself. You more or less 3 said, speak for yourself, don't worry about other people. But one of the reasons you're here today is for 4 5 other people, the same reason you went to Vietnam, is 6 that true? 7 Α. Yes. 8 MR. CHAPLAIN: And basically that's all I 9 have to say. Thank you. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Before you step down, Mr. Chaplain, spell Peabody for me. 11 MR. CHAPLIN: P-e-a-b-o-d-y. 12 13 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Mr. Carroll? 14 MR. CARROLL: I believe the witness nodded his head. I didn't hear -- I just want 15 16 to be sure they heard a spoken answer. 17 JUDGE CLIFTON: I heard a spoken answer. 18 MR. CARROLL: Okay. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. And thank you 20 for helping me with that. I appreciate that 21 very much. 2.2 Mr. Vetne, you had your hand up as well. 23 MR. VENTE: Okay. 24 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 25 BY MR. VENTE:

1 0. I count five follow-up questions here. 2 Mr. Hatch, I learned something new in the 3 cross-examination. Prior to Federal Order Reform, Hatchland Dairies was a partially regulated plant, is 4 5 that correct? 6 I've been a producer-handler. Α. No. 7 Ο. You've been a producer-handler all along? Yes. But I -- when I started, the Α. 8 Order -- we were not in the Order. And when we got to 9 selling over 50 percent of our milk in the Order, we 10 11 came under their jurisdiction and that part of the 12 rules. 13 Okay. Q. 14 Α. There was other rules we had, too, for -but we had to follow -- we jumped another river at that 15 16 time. 17 Okay. So it's your recollection that you 0. 18 became regulated by virtue of a percentage of your milk 19 that you sold into the former New England marketing 20 area? 21 It was part of the requirements of being Α. 2.2 a producer-handler. 23 Okay. And you became fully regulated Q. 24 because some requirement wasn't met? 25 I became pooled one month because I went Α.

1 part of 1 percent over the 50 percent.

2 Q. I see. You said you have, what, 40 extra 3 heifers (indicating)?

A. Well, we have 112 bred heifers in the line now that are waiting, but by having extra production and not getting much milk money for it, I have cut my grain amount down and gone to feeding more roughage and trying to produce milk on our own feeds other than purchased.

Q. Okay. And that is sort of my question. In response to some balancing questions, you said that you don't frequently buy supplemental milk and you don't frequently sell surplus milk. Was that, my recollection, correct?

A. That is right. We have been quite steady since the first of the year, with especially one of our distributors in Boston, below Boston, where a lot of financial people have lost their jobs. They don't buy quite as much milk and we've got a little extra milk.

20

21

Q. So you have a little extra surplus now?A. Yes.

Q. And is one of the ways that you deal with surplus production from your head -- from your herd is to change some of your feeding practices, for example, use grazing rather than purchased protein?

1 Α. What is stored on the farm, anything we 2 don't have to purchase. 3 Ο. Your production -- you can adjust your production a bit by your feeding practices, can't you? 4 5 Α. They go down a lot easier than they go 6 back up. 7 Do -- you indicated that the Ο. Okav. distributor in Portsmouth distributes other food 8 products along with the glass bottles that you fill for 9 him or for -- or it. 10 When you distribute milk or sell milk on 11 12 your own, for your own, do you distribute any Class II 13 or other dairy products that are not made by you along 14 with that? 15 Α. Zero. 16 Q. Zero. So you don't purchase any finished 17 milk products of any kinds from any other person? 18 No, we handle only fluid milk and we Α. 19 really don't have a good home for our -- our excess 20 cream. We're starting to get into -- it's been a long 21 time coming, but we're starting in ice cream base, not 2.2 making ice cream, just making the base. And I've 23 just -- I've just purchased a butter churn. 24 Okay. When you have a little extra milk 0. 25 or cream, do you use some of it on the farm for your own

1 livestock? When we're short of milk, we feed 2 Yeah. Α. 3 milk replacer. If we have extra milk at this price, feed whole milk to our calves. 4 5 Q. That was my question. And then, finally, 6 in dialogue with Ryan Miltner, you referred to 7 production costs of about \$21 a hundredweight, which was cash costs for your farm, is that -- is that correct? 8 That's as close as we can get it. 9 Α. 10 Ballpark? Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 In using that number, is that all of your 0. 13 farm costs, the cost to grow hay and to pay your 14 employees? And is that all of your farm costs applied to the hundredweights of milk that you have? 15 16 Α. As he stated, that's cash cost. It's cash cost. But it's -- it's for all 17 Ο. of your farm -- the farm side of your business? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. Okay. And on the farm side of your 21 business -- just to make sure there's some balance here, 2.2 on the farm side of your business, you have -- you have 23 some revenue for the milk that you sell through the 24 plant as a producer-handler, correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. You have some revenue for culled cows 2 that you sell or as the herd recycles, correct? 3 Α. Yes. You have some revenue for -- for calves 4 Ο. 5 that you sell either for other farms' livestock grazing 6 or for veal or whatever, correct? 7 Α. (Nodding head.) 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: That answer was yes. The answer was yes, I'm sorry. And do 9 0. 10 you also sell hay or other crops of the grounds to other 11 farms in your area? 12 We have -- we have sold some hay, limited Α. 13 amounts on silage. I know what my silage values are and 14 I set them high. 15 Ο. Okay. Are there any other products or 16 services of your farm for which you receive revenue, 17 that -- products that are included in the \$21, the costs 18 that you assigned to milk that were \$21? 19 Α. No. 20 0. Okay. We listed all of them? 21 I just -- when you asked if we Α. Yes. 2.2 handle any other products, we have a little farm store. 23 And we have a neighbor that goes to near Lancaster, 24 Pennsylvania and he brings back some Amish meat, butter 25 and bacon ends and pieces. And we -- when you asked me,

I'd forgotten. We -- that's not produced on our farm 1 but we do. And we have three neighbors that bring in 2 3 free range eggs. Okay. So the --4 Ο. 5 Α. So the income goes to three things. 6 That's not income --7 Ο. Okay. When you --8 Α. -- produced on the farm. When you referred earlier to your farm 9 0. retail store where you sell about 40 gallons a day, it's 10 at that location where you sell products from your 11 12 neighboring farms as well as some of the Amish meats and so forth? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Okay. Thank you. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Vetne. 17 Does that conclude the -- ah, government table. 18 Mr. Yale. I'm sorry. Everyone wants to come to 19 the podium. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. YALE: 2.2 Benjamin F. Yale on behalf of Select Milk Ο. 23 Producers and Continental Dairy Products. I've only got 24 about 2 or 3 hundred questions so we'll move this along. 25 AUDIENCE MEMBER: We won't have to

1 count. 2 Good morning. Ο. 3 Α. Good morning. I wanted to do some kind of follow up 4 Ο. 5 with some points I'm trying to clarify from the 6 testimony you've already given today. 7 In pricing your milk, do you -- you know 8 there's a minimum Class I price that's announced by the Market Administrator for your plants, right? 9 I mean, you don't have to pay it, but there's a price that's 10 11 announced for minimum Class I price. You're aware of 12 that? I'm aware of it. I don't look at it. 13 Α. 14 Q. You don't look at it? 15 Α. No. 16 0. So that's not a factor at all in your 17 pricing? 18 (Shaking head.) Α. 19 You look to cover your costs? 0. 20 I look to cover my costs. What governs Α. 21 me on change of price -- most usually our price stays 2.2 the same year round unless our distributors say we're 23 getting -- we're being undersold, can you bring it down 24 a little bit. I'll bring it down. But sometimes they 25 don't.

1 Q. And you --2 I'm in the wrong end. As I spoke before, Α. 3 I need to be in the retail delivery trucks that they drive around in supplying everything. But the most 4 5 profit is on my milk. 6 Our milk, we go through the process of 7 raising the feed, milking the cows, processing, putting 8 it in our cooler, in a glass. They take it from the cooler and deliver it, and they double the price. 9 The question I'm trying to lead up 10 Q. with -- I mean, that's -- sounds like the typical farmer 11 12 never gets full value for his product. 13 But a question I'm trying -- I wanted to 14 deal with is, as you price your product, you do not see being a producer-handler as necessary -- necessarily a 15 16 price advantageous position for you in the market, is that a fair statement? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 And I understand you sell to no chain Q. 20 stores, no box stores? 21 We have a delivery within 25 miles of Α. 2.2 We have one delivery person that -- we deliver home. 23 because the product is asked for. That's simply put. 24 Right. And you sell it in your name, not 0. 25 in --

1 Α. Yes. Now, you testified, I think, under 2 0. 3 cross-examination -- maybe have even been John Vetne's first pass, that with your plant, that if you ran full 4 5 bore and maybe got some vats for your -- from your 6 brother or brother-in-law that does the bottling, that 7 you could process maybe 3 million pounds. Is that 8 your --That's a quick answer. But with short 9 Α. time, we've -- we've added two newer fillers. We're up 10 11 to around 1979 or '80 now in years. But the bottle 12 washer, the glass didn't build up that fast. 13 Now, you say, short time. Would you 0. 14 explain for the record what short time is? Short time pass, which --15 Α. 16 Q. As opposed to your vat pasteurization. 17 Vat pasteurization, we're required to Α. 18 bring it up to 145 and hold it for 30 minutes. Flavored 19 milk and creams are at a higher temperature. And short 20 time can be from about 162 degrees up to ultra high 21 temperature, which is just a matter of being held a few 2.2 seconds. 23 But to meet that 3 million, you don't 0. 24 have enough milk production on your farm to produce 25 3 million pounds?

1 Α. No. 2 All right. So to remain a Ο. 3 producer-handler in the 3 million pounds, you also would have to add to the herd, not just the plants, but you'd 4 5 also have to add to the herd in order to meet that 6 level? 7 Α. Yes. And I think based on some other testimony 8 Ο. you'd had, you'd also have to have a market for that 9 product. You don't have a market for 3 million pounds? 10 11 Α. Not right now. Now, you made a proposal for a 3 million 12 Ο. 13 cap in New England. Did I understand that right? 14 Α. When I originally wrote it, I didn't want to speak for any farther than our own order. Since that 15 16 time, when you get out of New England, I don't know the 17 Order as maybe as well as I thought I did. 18 Did you see that as maybe a maximum of 0. 19 where your children would be able to reach if they want 20 to maintain the business after you retire, is that 21 right? 2.2 I'm not going to retire, though. Α. Yes. 23 Can't afford to. 24 I want to change the subject and go to Ο. 25 something else.

You testified, I think, also that you got 1 2 some help from the Department and the Market 3 Administrator's office in putting the proposal together. And there was a comment made that you heard in January 4 5 and you heard that it was a done deal, that this cap of 6 450,000 or --7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. And how did you get the understanding that it was a done deal? 9 The lawyer standing behind you that told 10 Α. 11 me. Standing behind me? 12 Q. 13 Mr. Ben Carroll. Α. Oh, okay. You didn't hear this from any 14 0. other -- anybody in the Department or anybody --15 16 Α. No, no. The help that I told you I got 17 from the Market Administrator's office in Boston, they 18 really couldn't give me any answers and they put me in contact with Gino Tosi, that -- and he walked me 19 20 through, and what would happen and if a hearing was 21 held, and said it always would be heard. And that's --2.2 there was no -- no help in putting the proposal 23 together. I want that clear. 24 You have computed the economic impact of Ο. 25 being regulated on your operation?

1 Α. An average, I computed 50 cents a gallon. It'd be between 45 and 50 thousand per month. 2 Ι 3 calculated 25 cents. There are figures that -- I could 4 probably struggle through one month in pool, but not 12 5 months in a row. Probably the second month, I'd be 6 borrowing money. 7 Ο. And based on that conversation that you 8 had with your -- the conversation you've had with your distributors on pricing, you don't have the ability to 9 pass that 50 cents on? 10 11 Α. I'm governed pretty much, but pretty 12 closely. 13 Pardon? Ο. 14 Α. The amount that I'm charged, I watch. 15 It's like anybody in business. If I make a mistake, 16 forget to charge something, they don't say anything 17 about it. But if I charge a nickel extra, I hear about 18 it. So the answer is yes, that the 50 cents 19 Q. 20 is something that you cannot pass along? 21 Α. That's correct. 2.2 Now, I want to go to another -- just 0. 23 another topic you talked about. I was confused as to 24 what your testimony was, but I may have been trying to multitask on something at the time. You were talking 25

1 about 40 cents a unit or 40 cents. Is that just for the 2 packaging? 3 Α. We run between 5 and 40 cents. 4 Ο. Just for the packaging? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Are you part of any producer-handler Ο. 7 association or trade group, informally or formally, where you talk about the use of costs in the 8 manufacturing? 9 10 Α. No. 11 Now, again, these are random thoughts. Ο. 12 I'm going to change the subject here to another --13 another issue. Everybody else has done a pretty good job and your own testimony has covered things pretty 14 15 well. 16 Since 2000 -- I'll use that. If you want 17 to use another period of time, that's fine. But just 18 for the moment, we'll use that as a reference, since 2000. 19 20 Are you aware of any producers in your 21 area that went out of business? And here's the key 2.2 part, because I know the answer is yes. Thousands have. 23 But any producer-distributor that went out of business 24 as a result of you being a producer-handler. Are you 25 aware of any?

1 Α. None. 2 Are you aware of any plants that went out Ο. 3 of business because you were a producer-handler? In how large an area? 4 Α. 5 Q. No. I mean, ballpark, a producer-handler. I mean, whatever. Do you compete 6 7 with plants that you drove out of business by being a 8 producer-handler? As far as I know, there was one plant in 9 Α. Massachusetts that used to bottle for some of these 10 11 They went out. But it was a quality problem. quys. Ιt 12 wasn't an issue of pricing. 13 Okay. And how big was that handler? Ο. 14 Α. I'm not sure. I'm not sure if it was -he was a producer-handler. I think he was a 15 16 producer-handler, but I'm not -- not positive. 17 But it was a quality issue, it wasn't a 0. 18 price issue? 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. Now, again, to another -- another issue. 21 And I kind of got this from a answer but I just wanted 22 to make sure. You're aware that there are proposals out 23 there including your own that would impose some limits 24 on producer-handlers but would still allow you to 25 continue your business as is, is that right?

1 Α. Yes. And some of them are referred to -- and 2 Ο. 3 there's some that are grandfathers, grandfathers that strictly address those who are in business today but not 4 5 those who could -- you know, prohibits anybody from the 6 future, right? 7 Α. Right. 8 So your proposal, the 3 million is not a 0. It is a straight cap, right? That anybody 9 grandfather. 10 can enter the business and be a part of it, is that right? 11 12 Yes. Α. 13 All right. Now, there was a question, I Ο. 14 think, early on, if you were aware of -- you said you were opposed to 1 and 2 and were you aware of, I think 15 16 it was Proposal 26 or something. Have you been given 17 any assurances from anybody that Proposal 1 and 2 or any 18 of the proposals are going to be adopted with or without 19 grandfathering? 20 Have you received any kind of 21 knowledge -- I mean, do you have any belief that -- that 2.2 the grandfathering clause as proposed is going to be 23 adopted and therefore you have no need to participate in 24 this hearing or to worry about these conditions? 25 Everything that I've heard has been Α.

1 hearsay. 2 So but you're -- you have no assurance Ο. 3 that -- the only assurance you have is you have the 4 ability to speak and explain what your business needs 5 are, right? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. And then you are going to leave it in the hands of the Department to do the right thing to 8 preserve your family. Is that where you're at? 9 10 Α. Right on. Yes. I don't have any other 11 MR. YALE: questions of him. I'll save the other 200 for 12 13 somebody else. 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: I know you want to 15 redirect. I have a question -- I have three 16 questions for the witness, and then I want to 17 find out from the government table whether they 18 want redirect to happen first before you ask 19 your follow-up questions, or whether you want to 20 ask your follow-up questions before redirect. 21 So, Mr. Tosi, you think on that and I'm going to 2.2 ask three questions. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: The first one is: 24 Mr. Hatch, are you the proponent of Proposal 25 Number 3? And I'll allow some coaching from

1 your counsel, because he may know the number and 2 you may not know the number. 3 MR. TENSEN: And I may not either, your 4 Honor. I'm sorry, your Honor. I believe 5 Proposal Number 3 is what he submitted for the 3 million pounds. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Can someone from USDA confirm that Proposal Number 3 is 8 9 Mr. Hatch's proposal? It seems to be unanimous. 10 It is indeed Mr. Hatch's proposal. 11 MR. TENSEN: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. 12 13 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. My second 14 question, Mr. Hatch, is: You are very aware of 15 the quality of the milk that's produced at your 16 farm. And I wanted to ask you, in what aspects 17 does that milk have quality? 18 THE WITNESS: You can list quality, you can follow bacteria counts. You can list 19 20 sanitation conditions at the farm. And one 21 thing that I didn't bring up, quite consistently 2.2 we are state and federally inspected. And we 23 pull both a hundred on the farm and a hundred on 24 the plant. 25 And there aren't many milk companies that

1 can say that. When you have comingled milk and 2 you have a number of producers, you got super 3 producers, poor quality producers, and they're all mixed in the same tank. I could go on and 4 5 And I guess the best way to explain it on. would be to put a glass in front of you and have 6 7 you taste it. JUDGE CLIFTON: Does what you feed the 8 animals make a difference in the milk quality? 9 THE WITNESS: Of course it does. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: And what do you mind to 11 ensure good quality with the feed you give the 12 13 cows? 14 THE WITNESS: We feed no feed additives 15 at all. We don't feed -- some producers feed 16 protein with feathers, they have blood meal, 17 byproducts of distillers, brewery feed. Our 18 cows get alfalfa, native hay, corn silage. And 19 the grain they get is cornmeal and soybean meal 20 and salt and minerals to balance. We could 21 probably say our cows are vegetarian fed. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: My third question is: 23 Can you estimate the percentage of your 24 production that has your own label on it? 25 THE WITNESS: Well, it would be an

1 estimate. Somewhere in the vicinity of 40 to 2 45 percent. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Tosi, does the 4 government want to ask questions now? 5 MR. TOSI: Yes, your Honor, because I don't know if some of the questions that I ask 6 7 might affect how Mr. Tensen would want to conduct his redirect. 8 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: You may proceed. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. TOSI: 12 Good morning, Mr. Hatch. I really 0. 13 appreciate you coming and taking the time to come and 14 participate in this proceeding. 15 It's been a pleasure so far. Α. 16 0. I know that the hearing is just getting 17 started and we haven't had the benefit of having all of 18 the proposals presented, but there is one proposal that 19 I thought you might have an opinion on. It's noticed as 20 Proposal 24 and it deals with -- that would provide a 21 regulatory exemption for producer-handlers when they're 2.2 marketing their own milk through their own retail 23 outlets, for example, through their own stores or -- and 24 included in that would be things that have to do with 25 home delivery.

And I take it from the -- from that 1 2 proposal that -- that it would not provide for a 3 producer-handler to engage in a business practice like I understand your testimony to be, that you sold your milk 4 5 to a -- a distributor who, in turn, distributes it --6 they're buying milk from you and then distributing it 7 home deliveries, right? 8 Α. Yes. Do you have any opinion about a proposal 9 0. like that, about excluding from pooling and pricing 10 producer-handlers that sold milk through their own 11 12 retail outlets or home delivery? Could you explain -- you just said 13 Α. 14 producer-handler and distribution, home delivery. Does that mean without my name on it? Someone else? I don't 15 16 quite understand. 17 Well, I'll give you the freedom to answer 0. 18 it in any way that you have an opinion about it. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Vetne. MR.MR. VENTE: 20 The witness is being asked 21 a questions about Proposal 24. He doesn't seem 2.2 to have a copy of that in front of him. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: You know, I have been 24 trying to find it, but it's so voluminous. 25 MR.MR. VENTE: It's on page 308, your

1 Honor. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 3 MR.MR. VENTE: I'm going to hand the witness a copy of that with Proposal Number 24 4 5 circled, so he can read the introductory description. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Good. Thank you. Ι appreciate that. 8 THE WITNESS: Well, thank you. 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: And let's go off record 10 for about two minutes. 11 (Off the record.) 12 13 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Let's go back 14 on record. It's now 10:33. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: What were you starting to 16 say, Mr. Hatch? 17 I guess I'd have to have that explained Α. 18 to me a little bit more. It said, including home 19 delivery and handler control retail outlets regardless 20 of volume sales. Does that exclude them from meeting a 21 3 million pound cap? 22 I think the way that the Department 0. 23 currently understands this proposal, and we haven't had 24 the benefit of its presentation yet at this hearing, but 25 at first read, I think what the proposal is saying, I

imagine that someone is currently a producer-handler and 1 they sell their milk -- they sell all their milk in 2 their own stores, they have their own retail outlet, if 3 you will, that are selling to the public. 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 That this proposal would exempt Ο. 7 producer-handlers from any of that milk being subject to 8 pooling and pricing under the Order. And the other feature that I see in this 9 10 proposal is, is that not only would it include a 11 producer-handler's own retail stores, but any home 12 delivery that the producer-handler makes to its customers. 13 14 Α. Uh-huh. And he has total ownership of 15 these stores, and has home delivery? 16 Q. That's the way I understand the proposal 17 right now. 18 Sometimes I'm kind of like a cow and I Α. 19 chew on my cud a while. But it sounds like I'd have a 20 tendency to lean that way, but without knowing all the 21 facts --2.2 I guess one of the things that --Ο. Right. 23 I thought it was important to ask you some of this is 24 because that to the extent that you're a producer-handler, and if the Department were to adopt 25

this proposal, for example, that because you don't have total control over any of your milk that's home delivered, whether that would disqualify you from being considered a producer-handler under that future Order, that would state that this would be a -- a requirement for producer-handlers to meet?

7 Α. You're starting to lose me again. Ι 8 think -- I think I understand it, that -- any of it. The whole thing is controlled from beginning to end and 9 owned, I'd be in favor of it. But the way it is right 10 11 now, with me going to distributors, I don't have to 12 guarantee their sales. I -- I bottle by order only. 13 When they order, they get what they order, or they buy 14 what they order, and there's no returns unless we have a problem with that -- with the product. 15

16 Q. Okay. One other question. If the 17 Department were to adopt your proposal; that is, put a 18 3 million pound cap on producer-handlers on what they distribute as fluid milk products, if the Department 19 20 were to adopt that proposal, do you have an opinion then 21 about whether or not the Department should adopt also 2.2 Proposal 2, or does that change how you view the need to 23 change the limitation on distribution feature for exempt 24 plants?

25

Α.

The exempt plant, I see the -- as -- what

I see is the 450,000 limit on an exempt plant create 1 more -- creates more problem in the market than the -- a 2 3 cap on the producer-handler. It'd be pretty easy for anybody to get in the milk business and out of the milk 4 5 business if they had a 450,000 pound cap. And what would be the -- you said the 6 Ο. 7 problems. How would you characterize those problems? Well, I was told by -- when -- when some 8 Α. of the rules from the Federal Order were written, the 9 exempt handler was -- was a certain number of cows and 10 they'll say, under that, we're not worried about it and 11 12 that -- I think it was 4,300 pounds per day. And for some reason that kind of sticks in my mind. 13 I -- don't 14 cross-examine me on that. 15 But the quy's that -- parents that helped 16 write these rules have operated -- is one of 17 Mr. Dunajski's neighbors, has operated under that as an 18 exempt plant for years. And as long as he stays under 19 the 150, he can produce his own milk, he can buy milk, 20 he can sell milk. And I think it works quite well for 21 him, his farm in Dracut, Mass, Warren Shaw. I don't 2.2 like getting into areas that I don't want to talk 23 about. 24 MR. TOSI: Okay. Those are all the 25 questions that I have. I would like to say that

1 I really appreciate the service that you have 2 given to your country. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. TOSI: And any words of thanks would 4 5 always sound trite. But I -- I think we all mean that, it's the highest honor here to you, 6 7 sir. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: I just -- before you 9 10 redirect, I just want to make sure I understand Mr. Hatch's answer with regard to Proposal 24. 11 And you thought it would be all right, but is 12 13 that -- it's all right in conjunction with your 14 proposal if both of them are adopted? Or did 15 you mean it's all right as a stand-alone without 16 your proposal going along with it? THE WITNESS: You mean that I'd be 17 18 governed under that? 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: (Nodding head.) 20 THE WITNESS: Unlimited? But I have it 21 all to my own distribution stores? 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: In other words, Yes. 23 you'd be giving up the customers that are 24 already the customers of those three 25 distribution --

1 (Off the record.) 2 THE WITNESS: I would look at it with 3 both intact, the 3 million pounds and working 4 together. 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: So your Proposal Number 3 plus Proposal Number 24 would that be what, in 6 7 your opinion? THE WITNESS: Something that -- if you 8 want my opinion, something that would be -- be 9 10 workable, a 3 million pound cap on regular producer-handlers. But if you owned your --11 your producer-handler business with your own 12 13 distribution all the way through, that's quite an undertaking. And you're -- more than what I 14 would want to bite off. 15 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Tosi, any 17 other questions before I go to counsel for 18 Mr. Hatch? 19 MR. TOSI: Just one, just to make sure 20 that -- I'm not sure if I heard something that 21 was conflicting here or not. 2.2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. TOSI: 24 If the Department were to adopt your 0. 25 proposal, is there then a need to make any changes to

1 the exempt plant provision? 2 Α. From where it is now? 3 0. For example, right now it's at 150,000 pounds per month. Is there a need to make that 4 5 number larger, for example? 6 Speaking for -- speaking for myself, I Α. 7 don't see the need for it being enlarged. But, again, 8 speaking for someone else, Mr. Rasmussen could answer that more clearly than I whether the need for regulation 9 is there for the -- for an increase in that. I don't 10 11 know. MR. TOSI: Okay. That's all I have, your 12 13 Honor. Thank you very much. Appreciate it. JUDGE CLIFTON: 14 Thank you, Mr. Tosi. 15 Mr. Tensen, you may come back to the podium. 16 MR. TENSEN: Thank you, your Honor. 17 JUDGE CLIFTON: When you predicted at 18 8:00 this morning that this would be about 20 19 minutes against Proposals 1 and 2 in favor of 20 the 3 million pound proposal of Mr. Hatch's, I 21 know you were not including cross-examination. MR. TENSEN: That is correct. That was 2.2 23 the direct, your Honor. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: You may redirect. 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TENSEN:

1

2	Q. Thank you. Howard, I want to go through	
3	and talk about the repackaging a little bit. When you	
4	have been testifying about the monthly amounts, the	
5	repackaging would be part you would continue to have	
б	the right to repackage and put your milk under somebody	
7	else's label. Was that your understanding when you made	
8	that proposal?	
9	A. Right now, it needs it needs to	
10	happen. Unless I have a restructure and get those guys	
11	to sell my name.	
12	Q. Is it to continue and what when	
13	I listened to your testimony during cross-examination,	
14	it seems to me you really have a quality niche with the	
15	glass bottles into Boston. That's pretty unique almost	
16	in the country, but at least to the Class I area?	
17	A. I don't think the glass adds a quality to	
18	the to the niche. The quality has to be in what was	
19	in the packaging.	
20	Q. But as part of the packaging, is that	
21	ultimately your milk under Hatchland Dairy or other	
22	label in glass bottles delivered to the home is part of	
23	the product that ends up being delivered?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And that repackaging is under these	

different labels, it's something -- the right to do 1 that, when you built this whole business up and built 2 3 this new plant in 2004, that was all part of what you relied on in the rules, that you would continue to have 4 5 that right, so to speak, going forward to repackage and sell this milk as you've been doing for years? 6 7 I don't know if it's the proper Α. Yeah. word on repackage. It's only packaged once. It's in a 8 different label. It isn't repackaged. 9 It's --10 Relabeling. Q. Not re -- it's -- it's simply put in with 11 Α. 12 their distinction -- distinctive name on it. Same product. We don't have -- we don't put different 13 quality products -- we don't sell by price or name. 14 But the right to do -- use different 15 Ο. 16 labels or label -- put different labels on your milk is 17 essential to your business plan? 18 Yes, it is. Α. 19 And that's been an essential component Q. 20 over the years as you've built this business up? 21 There's just not enough people to Α. Yeah. 2.2 say the proper way to build a producer-handler business 23 is start with one cow and sell all the milk and always 24 have just a little bit more milk than what you need. 25 But when you start with 125 cows, it start backwards and

1 it was very hard to do. 2 So once we grew -- grew into, and then it 3 started growing faster. The -- the growing has not come from advertisement, it's gained from people wanting the 4 5 product. I don't -- I can't tell you exactly -- I think 6 it has to do with quality. 7 But quality, the people come to visit the 8 farm, the way the farm is. It costs quite a lot to keep that farm looking good. Those costs aren't really put 9 into my cost of production, but --10 11 When you made a proposal for 3 million Ο. pounds, you understood you would continue to have the 12 right to label milk for your distributors? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. And that's an essential component of your 16 3 million pound proposal? 17 Α. Yes. 18 All right. And although your preference Ο. 19 is that there be no changes in the Milk Order, if there 20 is, changes that would be the right to -- for 3 million 21 pounds a month and allowed to label it for others? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 And that's what it all comes down to, is Ο. 24 continuing your quality niche? 25 Α. Yes.

1 MR. TENSEN: Thank you very much, 2 Mr. Hatch. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hatch, I appreciate 3 very much -- I appreciate very much your taking 4 5 time to be here and to testify today. THE WITNESS: Well, thank you for letting 6 7 me go through the process and being heard. Thank you. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: You're welcome. 9 10 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, may we have recross on the Government's question? 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh, Mr. Carroll. 12 Yes. 13 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 14 BY MR. CARROLL: Mr. Hatch, do you want your distributors 15 Ο. 16 to be told by the government as a result of this hearing 17 that they cannot ever sell to stores or restaurants and 18 in order for you to maintain your exemption? Α. 19 That they can't sell to stores? 20 Ο. Stores or restaurants. I wouldn't be in favor of that. 21 Α. 2.2 MR. CARROLL: Thank you. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. 24 Now you may step down, Mr. Hatch. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: And, Mr. Dunajski, would 2 you come forward to testify? 3 MR. TENSEN: Your Honor? JUDGE CLIFTON: 4 Yes. 5 MR. TENSEN: Mr. Dunajski asked me if I would help him with my direct examination. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Excellent. MR. TENSEN: If that would be all right 8 9 with you. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: It is. Identify yourself 11 again, please. MR. TENSEN: Good morning, your Honor. 12 13 Arend Tensen, and this time on behalf of 14 Mr. Dunajski. I'll be here for his direct examination this morning, although I may head 15 16 out this afternoon to the airport. 17 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, if you are the 18 lawyer, then I will swear him in, right? 19 MR. TENSEN: Correct, your Honor. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Would you first state 21 your full name and spell it for the record, 2.2 Mr. Dunajski? 23 THE WITNESS: Theodore B. Dunajski, Jr. 24 Do you want me to spell just my last name? 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: No, you might as well

1 give it all. 2 THE WITNESS: T-h-e-o-d-o-r-e, B., 3 D-u-n-a-j-s-k-i. Jr. J-r. JUDGE CLIFTON: So it's actually not that 4 5 hard. It's just Dunajski. THE WITNESS: Still took me to the fifth 6 7 grade to learn. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Would you 9 raise your right hand, please? (The witness was sworn.) 10 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. You may 12 proceed counsel. 13 Thank you again, your Honor. MR. TENSEN: 14 THEODORE J. DUNAJSKI, JR. of lawful age, being first duly sworn, was examined and 15 testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 18 BY MR. TENSEN: Ted -- is it all right if I call you Ted? 19 Q. 20 Α. Please do. 21 It's much easier for everybody. 0. 2.2 Yes. Α. 23 Ted, we met in person for the first time Q. 24 today in this room? 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. And I believe we were both driving a 2 tractor the first time when we spoke the other day? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Ted, can you tell me where you're from? 5 Α. Peabody, Massachusetts. 6 Ο. And what business are you in in 7 Massachusetts? 8 Α. I'm a dairy farmer, producer-handler 9 dairy farmer. And how long have you, yourself, been 10 Ο. 11 milking cows? 12 I really don't remember. Α. You started as a little kid? 13 0. 14 Α. Soon as they'd let me go near the cows, 15 as soon as they trusted me. 16 Q. And you've been milking cows your whole life? 17 18 Whole life. Α. And how long has your farm in Peabody 19 Ο. 20 Mass. been in your family? 21 My grandparents settled there, I think Α. 2.2 somewhere between 1915 and 1920. 23 And when -- and what's the name of your Q. 24 farm? 25 Dunajski Dairy Cooperative. First, it Α.

was Frank Dunajski, Frank Dunajski & Sons. And then in 1 2 1952, it became Dunajski Dairy, Incorporated. Oh, it 3 was Dunajski Brothers for a while. Things changed. And what label do you sell your milk 4 Ο. 5 under? 6 Presently it's sold under Pure Country Α. 7 Milk only. And on the label it also says Dunajski 8 Dairy. And Dunajski Dairy is the owners of Pure 9 Q. Country? 10 11 Α. Yes. And can you tell me who owns Dunajski 12 Ο. 13 Dairy? 14 Α. I'm the president, and my two children are shareholders. 15 16 Q. All right. And the three of you own 17 Dunajski Dairy together? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And what are the names and ages of your Q. 20 children? My daughter's 44, and my son is 42. 21 Α. 2.2 Do you have any grandchildren helping on Q. 23 your farm? 24 Α. I have grandchildren, but my 25 granddaughters will say they help, but actually not that

1 much. 2 But your son and daughter work Q. 3 side-by-side with you? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And how long has your farm been 6 selling milk directly? 7 The whole time of its existence. Α. My 8 grandfather bought a cow, started selling milk door-to-door, horse and wagon. He bought a milk group 9 from another gentleman that's in the next town. 10 As 11 things progressed, I don't know the exact progression of 12 that. So since approximately 19 -- what year 13 Ο. 14 did it start, approximately? Somewhere between 1915 and 1920, in 15 Α. 16 there, I don't know how it progressed at what degree. Ι 17 was born in 1942, so I -- back then, it was only kind of 18 hearsay, you know, what I heard, what I know. But from 19 the best of what we can -- somewhere between 1915 and 20 1920 they settled there. 21 My grandfather worked in the leather 2.2 shops and bought a cow and started to sell the milk. 23 And I don't know how long after that he bought the other 24 group and progressed on to more and more. 25 And then my grandfather died at a young

1 age, in his 40s, left my grandmother and seven children 2 from one to 18. And they struggled through it until it 3 got to my father and myself. My uncles didn't enjoy a 4 long life, a lot of hardships.

5 And we're only on five acres in Peabody 6 so it's not a true farming community. Actually, on the 7 trucks today we have the saying, there are cows in Peabody. And then we get a lot of questions about that. 8 People have lived in Peabody for years and say, there's 9 no cows in Peabody. I say yes, because we're on the 10 11 back side of a hill bordering another town. And we've 12 got a unique operation.

13

Ο.

You produce your own milk?

A. Produce our own milk and it's all bottled right there on a farm, yes. And we have a leased farm in Rowley. We're one of the few that have a leased farm in Rowley. We sell all that milk from the Rowley farm plus our farm, and we balance with some Agrimart (sic) milk. So we always -- from different -- from Mr. Hatch, we're always a little below. We never have a surplus.

21 Q. So you balance out by buying what milk 22 you need to buy?

A. Yeah. That's getting smaller and smaller, because 2005 we built a new cattle barn so we're milking more cows than we ever milked, mainly

because our leased farm, the manager there is getting 1 older. He's in his 80s and we don't know what -- what 2 3 the outcome of that's going to become. So we're kind of like moving our farm on. Even though it would not seem 4 5 likely for Peabody to do this, we seem to be 6 well-accepted there so we're taking advantage of that. 7 We might produce a hundred percent of our milk. 8 0. How close, just so people can get an idea, are you to the Greater Boston Metro Area? 9 20 miles from Boston. 10 Α. 11 And it's essentially Metro Boston --Ο. Right. It's just unbelievable. 12 Α. 13 Everybody that comes to the farm says, you'd never think 14 there was a farm here. When we have to give directions to people 15 16 delivering stuff, we say ignore the surroundings, you 17 are coming to a farm. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Tensen, I need to 19 interrupt. I didn't understand the words you 20 used when you talked about what you used to 21 balance your total. 2.2 THE WITNESS: It's a co-op. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh. And did you name it? 24 THE WITNESS: Agrimart (sic). 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Would you spell it,

1 please? 2 THE WITNESS: A-q-r-i-m-a-r-t. I think 3 that's right. JUDGE CLIFTON: 4 Thank you. 5 MR. CARROLL: M-a-r-k. 6 MR. TENSEN: I believe it's m-a-r-k. 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Could that be right, 8 Mr. Dunajski? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Agri-Mark? 11 THE WITNESS: Agri-Mark, sorry. 12 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 13 BY MR. TENSEN: 14 Q. And do you bottle your milk right at the farm? 15 16 Α. Right at the farm. 17 Ο. And you have built your own bottling 18 plant right there on the farm? It's the same bottling plant my -- my --19 Α. my uncles built and so forth. It's been much modified 20 21 over the years. But it's the same building. It's been 22 added to, but it's -- the physical place is where it's 23 always been. 24 And how much milk do you sell Ο. 25 approximately on a monthly basis?

We're -- we're selling, I think, like --1 Α. 2 I think I looked at January was 347,000 pounds, which is 3 well under the 450 proposal. 4 Ο. How come you have come to testify today 5 if it's under the proposal? 6 Because, I don't know where -- where my Α. 7 business is going to go in the future. And if there's 8 a -- if there's a question, now is the time to -- to -to address it. 9 A hundred thousand pounds difference 10 could be a problem. We're not --11 12 You want to make sure -- are you trying 0. 13 to protect yourself for the future? 14 Α. Protect ourself for the future. 15 Ο. In case you decide to milk and be engaged 16 in the business? 17 Α. Whatever. Just leave the opportunities 18 there. 19 And how many cows are you milking between Q. 20 your two farms right now? 21 Probably 140. 70 at ours and 70 at the Α. 2.2 other farm. 23 And are your costs of production, being Ο. 24 right inside the Greater Boston Metro Area, much higher 25 than your typical dairy farmer?

1 Α. Probably. 2 Suffice it to say, you couldn't produce Q. 3 milk at today's milk prices? 4 Α. Right. 5 Q. And an essential component for you is to 6 to be able to market your milk directly? 7 Α. Exactly. 8 Do you sell in glass bottles or plastic? 0. Plastic, all plastic. We delivered in 9 Α. glass bottles until 1978. After the blizzard of '78, we 10 11 gave up all the home delivery which we had for years. 12 And we went on to just stores and nursing homes and restaurants and -- but we delivered all ours in our own 13 14 trucks and stuff. And --15 Ο. 16 Α. That's all -- all plastic. All plastic. 17 Okay. So it's all plastic? Ο. 18 All plastic. Α. Do you relabel any of it or does it all 19 Q. sell under Country Fresh? 20 21 Pure Country. It all sells under Pure Α. 2.2 Country. 23 I'm sorry. Q. 24 That's okay. And we do -- we do bring in Α. 25 a few products to balance out our -- to balance out, so

we can take care of our customers. Like -- like, if we 1 2 have eight or four ounce milk for nursing homes, we buy 3 that all packaged and we sell it, along with they'll take half gallons of our milk and other products. And 4 5 we bring in byproducts, like cream ultrapasturized for 6 the stores just so that we can be a full-service 7 company. 8 And we also -- we also do juices and I mean, juices -- juices and water so that we're 9 milk. a full -- so if we got into a store, we can take care of 10 11 all -- we can be the only distributor in that store. And do you have stores that are just 12 0. 13 dedicated to carrying Pure Country? 14 Α. Yes, most of our stores. Small stores, I take it? 15 Ο. 16 Α. Yeah, mom-and-pop type stores. 17 In kind of a long-established business 0. 18 relationship? 19 Α. Yes. 20 0. That probably almost in some cases 21 carried on for generations? 2.2 After 19 -- I don't follow you. Α. 23 I mean, have these been stores that Ο. Oh. 24 your -- your dad and your grandfather --25 No, no. Α.

1 Q. Oh. 2 This is more something that I've Α. No. 3 taken on. 4 Ο. Okay. 5 Α. They were traditionally in glass bottles going to houses. 6 7 0. And you've changed it to where you are 8 more distributing through stores? 9 Α. Right. And as you go forward, is it important 10 Q. that your family have the right -- the ability to expand 11 12 if necessary? 13 If they deem to. If they deem necessary Α. to do it. That's why I'm here today. 14 And what level would you like to see, if 15 Ο. 16 there's any level? 17 I'm -- to answer -- I'm going to give Α. 18 you -- I'm far above my grandmother's expectation. You 19 know, one of my great wishes, if she could see what 20 we're doing today, she might not approve of it. But 21 she'd say -- she thought Boston was far, far away from 2.2 Peabody. 23 We deliver milk to Boston, that's as far 24 But I don't know what the future is going to as we qo. 25 But I don't like limits on the future. be.

1 Q. Would 3 million pounds a month give you sufficient expansion in Peabody? 2 3 Α. Plenty. And would you be comfortable if that was 4 Ο. 5 the adopted level? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Would it be important for you to have the 0. 8 ability to repackage in the future, if that need came 9 up? I'm in favor of putting it under other 10 Α. people's names, but I don't see where I want to do that. 11 12 But, I mean, if the opportunity -- I have a bagel shop right now that sells our milk. And as a marketing 13 14 scheme, I'd like to put on there, specially bottled for 15 such and such a company, you know. 16 But not, you know, not as -- we're proud 17 of what we do, as Mr. Hatch is. People come and say the 18 product is great, nobody can match it. I would say --19 as long as you don't beat Mr. Hatch, I'll agree with 20 you. But I have the same -- the same -- listening to 21 Mr. Hatch, my testimony would be about the same on 2.2 quality and --23 And Mr. Hatch and you have a quality 0. 24 niche? 25 Quality niche. Α.

1 Q. Market and product? 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. And that's what is important, is you maintain your quality niche? 4 5 Α. Right. 6 And do you see any disruption in the Ο. 7 supply? You know, by your bottling milk, is there any disruption to the Class I price or anything of that 8 nature in Federal Marketing Order 1? 9 I find the other companies 10 Α. No. 11 disrupting me, only because I try to maintain my prices 12 because I know what it costs to produce milk. And then when the price of milk drops, they're asking me why my 13 14 milk is so expensive. And I have a hard job of explaining why the milk -- the milk is -- the price is 15 16 controlled by the Federal government. 17 And I don't understand why they price it 18 that way, because my costs haven't gone down, grain has 19 gone up. My labor hasn't gone down. My products 20 haven't gone down. So I don't know how it could be sold 21 at that price, but I have to maintain my prices. So 2.2 they're more disruptive to me than I think I am to them. 23 Can you compete at their price levels? Q. 24 Α. No. 25 And your costs of production are far Q.

greater and will always -- you would anticipate being 1 far greater than the large co-ops in your area? 2 3 Α. Oh, yes. And, you know, all the management is 4 0. 5 supplied by your family for this operation? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. Would you rather see -- would your 8 preference be that the rules not change at all and just stay status quo? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 And have you relied on these rules as you 0. 12 built your new barn and continued to develop your 13 operation? 14 Α. Yes. And it's been important that these --15 Ο. 16 that you have the ability to continue to operate the way 17 you had been and continue to slowly expand? 18 Α. Yes. Has that been something that's been going 19 Q. 20 on? Rather obviously, your grandfather started, I 21 believe, with one cow. 22 Right, right. Α. 23 And you have expanded over the years up Ο. 24 to approximately 140 cows today? 25 Α. Right.

And it's hard to see what the future 1 Ο. 2 holds, but then again, you can only put so many cows on 3 five acres? 4 Α. That's right. 5 Q. But right now, you currently lease a farm, there might always be a farm to lease, another 6 7 farm? 8 Always might be an option. Α. And since approximately 1920, your family 9 Ο. has been producing and selling milk? 10 11 Α. That's all I know. It's a -- you know, I 12 know nothing else. I milked cows before I went -- I went to an aggie school and we had to be there 8:30 in 13 the morning, because we started later and we stayed 14 later so that we could be home for chores in the morning 15 16 and do chores at night. We got out in March so we could 17 do crops, went on summer project. 18 The school tried to make me go to work on 19 other farms so I could learn more knowledge. And I 20 said, no, my family needs me. So I -- I had to go to 21 the director, so forth, and before they'd allow me to go 2.2 back on project on my own farm, not my -- my family 23 farm. And so it's just been sort of a way of life. 24 It's just like living in Peabody. I know 25 there are other ways of life because there's plenty of

1 other people. But it's the life I live in the city I 2 was born in, work at a job I've always had. And I enjoy 3 it. I just love what I do. And I'm proud to carry on the business that my -- that my -- my parents struggled 4 5 with. And --6 Ο. This has been your life? 7 Α. Has been my life. 8 And the life that you chose? Ο. The life I chose. Maybe chose for my 9 Α. 10 children, whether they liked it or not at some points. 11 One of them -- I have another child who chose to leave 12 it. 13 And the children that chose to stay, this Ο. 14 is --15 Α. They're -- the ones that chose to stay 16 are happy at it. 17 And this is a life that you would like to 0. be able to continue and your children be able to 18 continue? 19 20 Α. That would be up to them. 21 But if they chose to? 0. 2.2 Right, yeah. Α. 23 And the rules as proposed, at 450, could Ο. 24 impair the ability of your children to continue on this 25 family farm?

It might, because I couldn't -- there was 1 Α. 2 more stringent regulations put on my grandmother and her 3 children when she was running it that I couldn't live by today. So knowing not what the future holds, I want --4 5 I don't want limits put on -- on to my children that would limit them. 6 7 Ο. At least limit that -- that you believe 8 you could work with? Right. I want there to be reasonable 9 Α. limits, you know. 10 11 Ο. Okay. 12 Α. Go ahead. Ted, we didn't have a chance to speak 13 Ο. 14 much, other than to greet each other for 30 seconds. 15 Α. Right. 16 Q. Is there anything else you want to add that we haven't covered? 17 18 No, I think we covered it pretty much. Α. 19 And is it safe to say that the basic, Q. 20 essential reasons you are here is you support the 3 21 million pounds? 22 Right. Α. 23 Ο. You would like the ability to 24 repackage --25 Right. Α.

1 Q. -- should need arise? 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, let's define that, 3 repackage. The way he expressed it was, use labels other than --4 5 THE WITNESS: Pure Country. 6 JUDGE CLIFTON: -- Pure Country. 7 THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE CLIFTON: Is that what you mean by 8 9 that? 10 MR. TENSEN: I appreciate that clarification, your Honor, that it would be the 11 right to relabel or repackage or put another 12 name on it. 13 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, when you say re, it sounds like it used to have a different label. 15 16 MR. TENSEN: And I should say -- I'll 17 clarify that even further. The right to label 18 milk with a different name. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Now ask your 20 question. 21 MR. TENSEN: Thank you, your Honor. 2.2 BY MR. TENSEN: 23 Ted, would you -- is it important for Q. 24 potential future needs that you have the right to label 25 your products with different names other than just your

1 farm name? 2 The answer -- the way I'd like to answer Α. 3 that is being in -- being in a business that other people are in, meaning even though I'm a 4 5 producer-handler and I sell against pooled plants, they can -- they can label in any name they want by just 6 7 putting a plant's number on the label. 8 So I don't understand why a 9 producer-handler should be limited when a pooled plant is not limited. I'd like the same freedoms that 10 everybody else has. I'm not asking for more, I'm not 11 12 asking for less. That's the way I'd like to phrase it. 13 Other than -- other than just saying I have no reason to -- to have a different label, I just 14 want the same liberties that other people in the same 15 16 business enjoy. 17 Because there may be a need --Ο. 18 There might be in the future. Α. 19 -- to label it for the bagel store? 0. For some -- whoever. 20 Α. 21 And, lastly, would you prefer the Yeah. Ο. 2.2 rules just stay the way they are right now, rather than 23 change them? 24 I have no problem with the rules the way Α. 25 they are at the moment.

1 Q. Thank you very much, Ted. 2 Thank you. Α. 3 Ο. You might get a few more questions, though. 4 5 Α. That's okay. JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Tensen. 6 Ι 7 appreciate you stepping in at the late hour like that. It's very helpful to us. All right. 8 Cross-examination. Mr. Vetne. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. VENTE: 12 Mr. Dunajski, John Vetne from Raymond, 0. 13 New Hampshire, formerly Newberry Port, Massachusetts 14 with a sister-in-law in Danvers, all right close to you, 15 right? 16 Α. Right. 17 I think you have a competitor pretty 0. 18 close to you in a city called West Lynn, don't you? 19 Α. They're not really competitors. 20 Ο. There's a large --21 They were a competitor. They were a Α. 2.2 competitor when it was West Lynn Creamery. They were a 23 great competitor when it was West Lynn Creamery, which 24 originally was Pepin Creamery. They started as Skangus 25 Brothers. They lived -- they lived on the next street

1 over. I live on Buxton Lane and there's nothing to do 2 with -- they lived on Gardner Park. 3 Ο. Okay. JUDGE CLIFTON: I do need some spellings 4 5 of cities that you just named, not to mention the streets you just named. 6 7 MR.MR. VENTE: I'm glad nobody has 8 mentioned Worcester and Gloucester. AUDIENCE MEMBER: You just did. 9 10 MR.MR. VENTE: You can forget that. That can be off. Okay. Newberry Port, 11 N-e-w-b-e-r-r-y, P-o-r-t. West Lynn, West, 12 13 separate word, Lynn, L-y-n-n. I think that was 14 it. JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. And Worcester and 15 16 Gloucester. MR.MR. VENTE: Oh, for heaven's sake. 17 18 Worcester is W-o-r-c-e-s-t-e-r. Okav. 19 Gloucester is G-l-o-u-c-e-s-t-e-r. Am I 20 correct? 21 THE WITNESS: I hope so. 2.2 MR.MR. VENTE: I hope so, too. 23 THE WITNESS: Sometimes called 24 Gloucester. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: And, Mr. Dunajski, the

1 streets you mentioned, please? 2 THE WITNESS: Buxton, B-u-x-t-o-n, Lane. 3 Gardner is G-a-r-d-e-r-n. 4 MR.MR. VENTE: N-e-r? 5 THE WITNESS: N-e-r. Gardner Park, 6 P-a-r-k. 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 8 BY MR.MR. VENTE: 9 0. And do you keep your cows in a barn or a barn? 10 11 Loose housing. Α. Okay. You talked about using all plastic 12 0. 13 packaging. Now, do you, like Mr. Hatch, buy your 14 bottles, your plastic bottles, from somebody else that 15 blows them? 16 Α. We buy them from somebody else that blows them, but we label them ourself. 17 18 You put a label on, your own label? 0. 19 Α. Uh-huh. 20 What about caps, do you buy your caps? Ο. 21 Α. Buy our caps. 2.2 And the caps come printed with whatever Q. 23 you need to have on there, you order? 24 Our caps are generic. They're Α. 25 not printed because all the information is on the label.

1	Q. It's another label that you put on.		
2	Okay.		
3	JUDGE CLIFTON: Is Mr. Dunajski close		
4	enough to the microphone for those of you in the		
5	back? Yeah, you are coming through loud and		
6	clear.		
7	Q. Mr. Hatch testified to packaging costs		
8	for the blown bottles and caps and labels of 48 cents or		
9	so per gallon. Do you have any information from your		
10	operation as to what those costs are?		
11	A. I think they're a little lower. I think		
12	ours are a little lower. I think the bottles are		
13	somewhere in the 20, 20 to 25 cents range. The half		
14	gallons are a little less and pints are more than you		
15	think they should be in relation to the gallons.		
16	Packaging is high. I don't question the		
17	packaging costs. The label cost is depending on the		
18	size of the labels, varies anywhere from 4 cents down to		
19	a cent and a half.		
20	And the caps are it's generic, so I		
21	don't know whether a cent or two a piece. So I would		
22	put it more, our packaging would probably be more 30		
23	cents than what he ordered it for, but I'm hot here to		
24	debate that.		
25	Q. You indicate you distributed in your own		

Г

1	trucks?		
2	Α.	Own trucks.	
3	Q.	And the packages come in your own crates?	
4	Α.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Okay. And the crates are recycled and	
6	returned back to you?		
7	Α.	Yes.	
8	Q.	You don't distribute any boxes that are	
9	one way?		
10	А.	No, no.	
11	Q.	Okay. Do you also pasteurize by vats	
12	like Mr. Hatch?		
13	А.	No.	
14	Q.	How do you pasteurize?	
15	А.	HS short time. Short time as he	
16	called it. Short time pass, which		
17	Q.	And when did you make the investment for	
18	that kind of pasteurization?		
19	А.	We've probably been we used to vat	
20	pasteurize. I	think probably been short time	
21	pasteurizing f	or 15 or 20 years. I think it's got more	
22	to do with how	the milk's handled and how it's	
23	pasteurized, b	ut Howard and I would never agree on that.	
24	Q.	Do you buy ingredients like sugar?	
25	А.	Yes.	

1	Q. Ir	n the bag or pallets, rather than		
2	truckload or carl	truckload or carload?		
3	A. We	e buy by the pallets.		
4	Q. Ok	ay.		
5	A. Ar	nd		
б	Q. Su	gar, chocolate, that kind of thing?		
7	A. Al	l that sort of thing at a higher cost		
8	premium. The way	' I say it, it's the same as Howard		
9	said. If it's go	ood enough for my grandchildren, it's		
10	good enough for a	nybody. And everybody else has got		
11	their grandchildr	ren, love them just as well as I do, so		
12	I feel they ought	to have what my grandchildren have.		
13	Q. Yo	ou supply your producer-handler		
14	operation with a	leased herd located in Rowley, is that		
15	a fair statement,	correct?		
16	A. Th	nat's correct. That herd's more on the		
17	decline and ours	is more on the increase.		
18	Q. Is	s that adjoining land or do you have to		
19	transfer the milk	transfer the milk?		
20	A. Tr	cansfer the milk.		
21	Q. Ar	nd do you transfer it in your own truck?		
22	A. Ou	ar own truck, yeah.		
23	Q. Yo	ou have a small tank truck of some kind?		
24	Α. Υε	es.		
25	Q. Wh	nat's the capacity of that tank truck?		

Г

The capacity is, I think, 5,600 gallons 1 Α. but it's never filled. We have -- we have -- we have 2 3 one for water and one for -- one for milk. We actually have a -- I mean, we have three tank trucks. One sits 4 5 in the field. One is always full of water. And the 6 other one takes care of the milk. 7 Ο. By water, do you -- do you buy spring 8 water from someone? Spring water. Yeah, we go to Brentwood, 9 Α. New Hampshire, get spring water, because all our juices 10 are made with spring water, and we bottle the spring 11 12 water. 13 So you sell bottled spring water and Ο. 14 bottled juice using spring water from Brentwood? 15 Α. It's an ingredient, yeah. 16 Q. What's -- the five acres in Peabody, 17 what's the acreage of the leased facilities in Rowley? 18 It's probably about 140 acres or so Α. Oh. 19 there, but that feed does not come to Peabody. Our farm 20 is on five acres, but we probably have over a hundred 21 acres of land that we use to produce our feed, some in 2.2 Peabody, some in Danvers. I've got to think of a town I 23 can spell. Winham. Seriously, those are the towns. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: What's the last one you 25 said?

1 THE WITNESS: Winham. Winham. 2 W-i-n-h-a-m?Q. 3 Α. Yes. Thank you. JUDGE CLIFTON: And how do you spell the 4 5 leased location that has 140 acres? MR.MR. VENTE: Rowley, R-o-w-l-e-y. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: And how is Danvers 8 spelled? THE WITNESS: D-a-n-v-e-r-s. 9 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: It just ends with S? 11 THE WITNESS: Danvers. Peabody used to 12 be a part of Danvers. Okay. 13 So you have two locations, on Ο. which there are cows, that you own or lease, and you own 14 another location on which you grow some feed? 15 16 Α. Yes. We grow most of the feeds for the 17 cows in Peabody. We do -- we do purchase the grain. 18 The corn we are purchasing at the moment. And most of 19 the -- most of the -- most of the grass, alfalfa we 20 grow. 21 0. Okay. 2.2 Thank you. That's all. MR.MR. VENTE: 23 Thank you for coming. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Vetne.

1 Other cross-examination for Mr. Dunajski? 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh, counsel, I was hoping 3 you wouldn't. Mr. Yale first. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. YALE: 6 Benjamin F. Yale for Select Milk Ο. 7 Producers and Continental Dairy Products. Good morning. 8 Α. Good morning. There has been some discussion, there are 9 Ο. some proposals out there that are called grandfather 10 11 proposals that would allow you to continue to do your 12 business without being regulated, but not allow anybody 13 to start. Are you aware of those proposals? Not in full, but I am aware of them. 14 Α. 15 Ο. The concept, you are aware of the 16 concept? 17 Right, I'm aware of the concept. Α. 18 All right. Do you have an opinion as to 0. 19 the grandfather clauses? 20 Α. I thought America was the land of 21 opportunity. 22 I take it that that's an answer that it Ο. 23 should be available for the grandchildren as well the 24 grandfather, is that --25 It puts in easily. I think this is a Α.

country -- I think that's why my grandparents came here. 1 2 My grandmother wanted to go home when she seen the water 3 run out of the facet, and she thought it was witchcraft. Coming here with just a name tag on, she probably didn't 4 5 know how to get back. 6 You know, it's a land of opportunity. So 7 why do we have to put limits on things? Unless it's 8 very necessary. And I take it by your testimony you sell 9 Ο. to no chain stores? 10 11 No chain stores. Α. Okay. And I also -- in your testimony --12 Ο. well, let me just -- let me ask it this way. You're 13 aware that the USDA announced minimum Class I prices for 14 the Boston area and other markets, right? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Do you follow those prices? 0. 18 I don't understand it. I don't Α. 19 understand how anybody could make a living at it. I 20 don't understand where they come from. I'm aware of 21 them, I look at them. But I have a hard job 2.2 understanding why, in the year 2009, they are the way 23 they are. 24 Because in 1960, I graduated from Essex Agricultural School and stood out behind the heifer barn 25

with a guy fixing the fence, the guy that worked there, 1 saying, it's been tough in the dairy business up until 2 3 now, but you guys going on are going to have it good because the prices are going to go up for the milk. 4 5 And they're exactly the same place --6 just about the same place now. I mean, we did have a 7 big spike last year, which I -- I don't know if they 8 should go that high, but they shouldn't go back down to this low either. So I really think the system in whole 9 needs to be addressed. 10 11 I mean, it's a good food item, milk. Ιt 12 should be marketed so in this great country that we have, that as I flew in here and I looked out over Ohio, 13 seeing all the fields mowed just wondering what's going 14 to grow there. I'd like to fly over again and see -- or 15 16 just go down and visit and see what a great country we 17 are. People fought for it. 18 I'm -- you could call me a conscientious 19 objector, because I was asked to go to Vietnam, but I 20 got a 2-C deferment because if I did go, my farm might 21 not be there today. And I'm lucky that I was able to 2.2 stay and farm and not go, but I appreciate the people 23 that did go and are going now. I didn't mean to get off 24 the track. But I think that -- I think that the -- I 25

think the whole milk industry, as a whole, needs to be 1 It needs to be fixed soon. And that's why I'm 2 fixed. 3 here today. Not because -- 450,000 pound limit, my life will go on and probably would go on. But I'm here today 4 5 because I have the opportunity to state my case in the 6 business that I love growing up in, so -- that's 7 emotional as I can get. I get a little too emotional. 8 That's exactly how I feel. And that opportunity to others, not --9 0. Not just to my family. To anybody that 10 Α. wants to go down the road. Not to take away from the 11 12 astronauts or the -- that's what's great about this 13 country. 14 Ο. I want to get back to this -- the pricing issue in the sense that you're aware of that price. 15 Ι 16 take it you don't use that in setting your prices? 17 Α. No. 18 Were you here yesterday? Ο. No. Unfortunately, I didn't have the 19 Α. 20 time. I got in here at 7:00 last night. 21 Then I won't ask the question. 0. That's 2.2 all I needed to know, whether you heard some different 23 questions. 24 But the price of your milk, would you say 25 that that is consistently higher or lower than the rest

1 of the milk that's sold in your market, like in the 2 stores? 3 Α. Sometimes it's higher and sometimes it's a little lower when the prices go extremely high. 4 At 5 present, it's higher because the other prices are lower. 6 I'd have a hard time, knowing what my bills are, to drop 7 my price -- drop my prices to what they're selling the milk for, not only for myself. But I feel bad -- I feel 8 bad for the -- for the other dairy farmers. My family 9 struck out and sold their own milk and we always have. 10 And if I couldn't sell my own milk, I 11 don't think -- I don't think I could do -- I wouldn't do 12 all that hard work for somebody else. That's why I say 13 14 the system is broken. So it's safe to say, then, that you do 15 Ο. 16 not compete with the largest bottlers on the price issue? 17 18 Α. No. 19 That is a true statement, though? Q. 20 Α. That's a true statement. 21 Okay. Now, there was some mention of Ο. 2.2 West Lynn Creamery and some other manufacturers, but 23 I -- this is a question that was asked before. But are 24 you aware, as being a producer-handler of your size, 25 that duty -- that your situation, that any regulated

1 plants have gone out of business in response to your 2 status? We couldn't put anybody -- let's put it 3 Α. this way. When the Hood blimp flies around, my cousins 4 5 kid me and say -- when the Hood blimp -- Hood blimp 6 flies around, they got advertising. They've got a blimp 7 and it flies around the Boston area. My relatives say, 8 why don't I get a kite? In West Lynn, one of the West Lynn salesman said, if we had a blimp, it'd be a leaker. 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: It would be a what? 10 Leaker. 11 THE WITNESS: MR. YALE: Leaker, l-e-a-k-e-r. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Because the worst thing is 14 to have a bottle of milk in your truck that's leaking. 15 16 Α. But the Hood blimp did take a crash 17 landing one time. 18 That had nothing with --Ο. 19 Α. Nothing to do with me. 20 0. Oh, very good. And it wasn't your kite, 21 either, that did it? 2.2 But they do fly over my farm quite Α. 23 regularly to get to Beverly Airport. 24 Coming back, the same with producers, are Ο. 25 you aware of any producers who have been put at a

1 disadvantage because of your status as a 2 producer-handler? 3 Α. No. Now, I recall that you participated at 4 Q. 5 the information center that USDA put on, right, by I think you were on. 6 telephone? 7 Yes, I did. Α. 8 Okay. And have you been part of any Ο. other meetings in trying to establish some kind of 9 producer-handler policy issues in the last year or so? 10 11 Α. They had a meeting. I was invited No. 12 but I didn't go. 13 Where was that? Ο. 14 Α. It was at the Wright Farm in Rhode Island. 15 16 Ο. Is this part --17 It's -- it's a New England based group. Α. I think they call it the New England producer-handler. 18 19 I don't even know their full name. Marjorie Cooper is 20 their secretary. Mr. Carroll could fill you in on --21 But you weren't part of any discussions Ο. 2.2 with USDA or any trade groups or anything else? 23 No, no. Α. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: And is Wright spelled 25 W-r-i-g-h-t?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 3 0. I want to go back -- back to a comment you made before, that you believe the system is broken, 4 5 that there's got to be change. Do you see it necessary that there be a 6 7 wholesale change in the system as opposed to just 8 working on the PDs as a separate issue, or what do you mean by that? 9 What I mean by that is it's more educated 10 Α. people than I that run the Federal milk market. 11 They 12 have the figures, they work in figures all the time. And it doesn't take a genius to figure out. 13 14 If Mr. Hatch says the producers cost \$21 a hundred to produce milk, whether that's true or not, 15 16 that kind of scares me. You know, and I don't -- I 17 don't qo in -- in our particular farm, there was some 18 times that we tried to figure out what it costs. Ιt gets very complex. And I -- you know, it gets scary 19 20 when you start putting figures down because you can talk yourself out of business. 21 2.2 And what I'm trying to say is, if you are 23 selling milk for 12 to \$13 a hundred, it's costing \$20 24 to produce it, somebody that's in the -- in the end of regulating that ought to take a long, hard look at that. 25

They can't put their head in the sand and say, oh, the
 milk keeps coming. Because someday it ain't going to
 keep coming.

And it's -- I mean, do we have to get to 4 5 the point where -- I mean, we regulate cigarettes to the 6 point because it's bad for us. And we got a good 7 product that's good for everybody. Are we going to 8 regulate that so much so it's not here no more? So, you know, that's why I'm saying or pleading, not for me, 9 because I'm marketing my own milk. I found a way around 10 it. But for the rest of the industry, I think the 11 12 co-ops ought to be working on how to get their producers to adjust the amount of milk for their suppliers rather 13 14 than worrying about the producer-distributors who already struck out and took care of themselves. 15 16 That's the best -- that's my honest 17 I'm not trying to belittle anybody. opinion. I -- they 18 have awesome jobs, meaning the people that control the 19 milk markets, and whether it's the government or USDA. 20 But it needs to be looked at seriously.

21 MR. YALE: I don't have any other 22 questions of this witness. 33 JUDGE CLIFTON: What did you understand 24 Mr. Yale to mean when he talked about PDs? 35 THE WITNESS: Producer-distributors.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: Producer-distributors? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And what you just said confused me. When you talked about 4 5 how the co-ops, for example, should try to get a higher price for the farmers, what did you say 6 7 they should be working on? THE WITNESS: A market -- if the co-ops 8 are marketing the milk, they should be 9 getting -- they should be -- to put it simply, 10 if you have a supply of milk coming in and 11 you're the cooperative and you're marketing it, 12 13 you should be taking care of the people that are 14 producing it. If you have too much of it, you should be 15 16 going to the Federal Milk Market or something 17 and say, we need to put quotas on, we need to do 18 something so that we're not having these big 19 influxes. I don't understand it all. It's not 20 my job. 21 My job is at my farm selling my milk. 2.2 But the -- the other people that control -- I 23 hate to see a product like milk go from \$13 a 24 hundred to \$24 a hundred in a matter of a year, 25 especially in these economical times. Ι

understand things are down. But milk is, like I stated, being sold for prices today that it was sold in 1960.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

So there's not many other products that are sold at that same level. So it really needs to be looked at. I think that's -- I think that's the biggest issue of all, rather than limit -- I think producer-distributors sell 1 percent of all the milk marketed in this country, and we're targeting that 1 percent but we're not looking at the big picture.

I think between Mr. Hatch -- maybe some 12 13 other producer will come up here. We are 14 producer-dealers but we really are farmers at 15 heart. We sell the milk because it gets the 16 money, so we can play at our game -- our hobby. 17 So whether that's driving a bigger John Deere 18 tractor or -- we don't buy new ones either. But 19 I enjoy going out working with my children. 20 It's not always fun. We get in heated argument 21 sometimes. But we do run a business together at 2.2 the end of the day. 23 I'm here because my daughter took the

time to make sure I had everything, somebody to come with me. Even though she's not here,

she -- she, I guess, has the confidence that 1 2 I'll come here and do a good job. So it's a 3 team effort. So I want -- I don't go to Vietnam but I'll fight the war here. And I'll make you 4 5 people aware that something should be done for all the farmers. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Carroll. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CARROLL: 9 I want to ask you if you have an opinion 10 Q. as to whether it's in the interest of consumers or 11 12 producer-handlers that the government, as a result of 13 this hearing, says that you cannot have an exemption 14 from pooling if you sell to stores, nursing, homes schools, or if your distributor sell to stores, nursing 15 16 homes, and schools? 17 Α. What I don't understand about your 18 question is, what exemption? 19 Q. What, sir? 20 Α. What exemption? What exemption are you 21 talking about? 2.2 Either the producer-handler or the exempt Q. 23 milk provision. 24 I don't know about exempt plants so I Α. 25 can't speak on that. Producer-handlers, I don't mind

1 exemptions on producer-handlers. We've always had them. But I want realistic ones. I don't think 450,000 pounds 2 3 is a realistic exemption, along with not being -without packaging of the milk, what name it's under. 4 Т 5 don't think those are realistic exemptions. 6 Right. You think distributors of milk Ο. 7 should be free to package the milk on their own label in 8 whatever way they want and sell it wherever they want to sell? 9 With reasonable limitations. 10 Α. Right. 11 Q. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Carroll, your 12 question was about distributors of milk? 13 14 MR. CARROLL: Both -- well, distributors, 15 yes, that's right. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you -- so regardless 17 of the producer-handler status, you're just 18 talking about distributors? MR. CARROLL: Well, distributors of 19 20 producer-handlers. 21 BY MR. CARROLL: 2.2 You've heard some testimony today that Ο. 23 there's some producer-handlers who bottle milk and other 24 people distribute it? 25 Α. Right.

1 Q. And so you think they should have that right without limitation as to where they sell the milk? 2 3 Α. If your reference is to Mr. Hatch, the way he's doing it, I don't have -- I -- that's fine with 4 5 me. 6 Ο. Okay. 7 Personally all my -- like I stated Α. 8 before, all our milk presently goes out under our label. But I'd like the ability to, if I felt it necessary, to 9 put some of it out under another label, if I felt it 10 11 necessary. 12 Right. 0. The same as other people in the same 13 Α. industry have the ability to do. 14 Right. And you think --15 Ο. 16 Α. I would have to remark it with the plant 17 number and so forth. I'm not trying to --18 Do you think everyone should have that 0. 19 same right? 20 Α. Everybody in the same business should 21 have the same right. 22 Now, directing your attention to your 0. 23 family situation, I missed it, I'm sorry. How many 24 members of your family are currently working with you? 25 I forgotten to mention my Α.

1 daughter-in-law. I'm ashamed of that. There's four -four direct. 2 3 Ο. Right. And I take it that they'll have children? 4 5 Α. They have two children, yes. 6 And do you want to have, for that little Ο. 7 family unit, the right to increase the volume in order 8 to carry those extra expenses? If that's what they wish to do. 9 Α. 10 Q. Right. 11 Basically that's why our business has Α. 12 Not because -- well, some because the need -grown. the -- as my children got older, they got married, they 13 had families, so we -- we needed to have more income, so 14 that's why the business -- not only did people want 15 16 milk, want our milk, be we also had the need because our 17 family got larger to support. 18 And do you want enough distance to that Ο. 19 exemption so that you can plan in the future --20 Α. Exactly. 21 -- for your business --0. 2.2 Α. Exactly. 23 -- to grow? Q. 24 Exactly. Α. 25 Thank you. Q.

1 Α. Thank you. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Other cross-examination 3 for Mr. Dunajski? Mr. Tosi. 4 MR. RICCIARDI: Your Honor, I do have 5 some questions here. JUDGE CLIFTON: I'm sorry, I didn't look 6 7 your direction. I apologize. As you can tell, I'm getting -- I'm feeling some urgency to get 8 moving. But Mr. Ricciardi. 9 10 MR. RICCIARDI: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. RICCIARDI: Mr. Dunajski, good morning. 13 I'm Al Ο. I'm here on behalf of AIDA, which is a group 14 Ricciardi. of producer-handlers and actually some plants, too. 15 And 16 I have several questions, and hopefully I will be brief. 17 You sent a letter to the Deputy 18 Administrator at USDA Dairy Programs on March 9th, 2009 19 commenting on the proposal to eliminate the 20 producer-handler exemption, correct? 21 Α. Correct. 2.2 And you found out that National Milk and 0. 23 IDFA had made those proposals within just a few days 24 prior to the time you sent this? 25 Α. Yes.

1 0. And that's the first time you're aware 2 that that proposal was out there to eliminate the 3 producer-handler exemption, correct, sometime in early March of 2009? 4 5 Α. I think in January, we become -- we got a letter from the USDA to state that the proposal was put 6 7 in front of them, I think. 8 That's the first time you're aware of it? Q. First time I'm aware of it. 9 Α. 10 Were you aware that IDFA and National Q. Milk had been meeting as early as the spring of 2008 11 12 with the Department with regard to making a proposal to eliminate your producer-handler exemption? 13 14 Α. No. You think you should have been aware back 15 Ο. 16 then? 17 Α. Yes. 18 I'm reading from your letter that you Ο. 19 sent to the Department. Actually, you sent one and then 20 you sent an addendum. I'm just going to talk about your 21 first letter for a moment. 2.2 Your -- in the ultimate paragraph, almost 23 the next to the last paragraph, you say, Also -- and I 24 quote. Also it is my belief that producer-handlers 25 market only 1 percent of all milk sold in the United

States of America. Because of this, I do not understand 1 how it could disrupt the milk market. When you say it 2 3 there, you're talking about producer-handlers? 4 Α. Right. 5 Q. Was that your opinion when you sent this 6 in to the Department? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Is that your opinion today? Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Is that your opinion under oath today? Q. 11 Yes. Α. 12 You also said in response to a question, 0. could have been from Mr. Vetne, about disruption -- and 13 14 if I get your quote wrong, you'll tell me because occasionally it happens: Other companies disrupt me. 15 16 Is that about what you said? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And the other companies you're talking Ο. 19 about are other companies in the milk industry and milk 20 business that are not producer-handlers, right? 21 Α. Right. 2.2 Because one of the problems that you've 0. 23 got is you can't compete on price with those people in 24 the regulated community? 25 Α. Right.

1 MR. RICCIARDI: Nothing further. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Ricciardi, that was 2 3 excellent and brief. Thank you. Any other cross-examination before I call on Mr. Tosi? 4 5 There is none. Mr. Tosi. CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. TOSI: 8 May I call you Ted? I have a very Ο. difficult time pronouncing your last name. 9 Please do. I love -- I like the name 10 Α. Ted, especially with my last name. 11 12 Okay, great. Ted, how many employees are Ο. 13 associated with your producer-handler business? 14 Α. Just to speed it up, I'd say ten. 15 Ο. Ten. Thank you. If the Department were 16 to adopt your proposal, do you see a need to, in 17 addition to adopting your proposal, to make any 18 adjustment in the exempt plant limitation of 19 150,000 pounds per month? 20 Α. I think the exempt plants are the plants 21 that are small and they have no regulation. Is that 2.2 what an exempt plant is, 150,000 pounds? 23 Well, one of the features of the exempt 0. 24 plant definition, it tries to describe those people who 25 are not subject to pricing and pooling like

producer-handlers, but it -- but it doesn't talk about 1 2 ownership of -- where everything, like, in your 3 operation has to be under your own sole ownership and Where an exempt plant is somebody that, for 4 risk. 5 example, can buy all their milk from another source. Α. Yeah. I think I -- I think it should be 6 7 kept at 150. I don't think it should be raised to 450. 8 MR. TOSI: Okay. Thank you, sir. That's all I have. 9 THE WITNESS: 10 That's my opinion. And I really appreciate you 11 MR. TOSI: taking the time. I know it was a burden for you 12 13 to come here. We really appreciate this. 14 THE WITNESS: I appreciate the 15 opportunity and I appreciate your help. 16 MR. TOSI: Thank you. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. You've been 18 most helpful all the way through. 19 MR. TOSI: Thank you. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: I would like to have the 21 record reflect that Mr. Dunajski's proposal is 2.2 Proposal Number 7. It's on page 16,299 of this 23 notice of hearing. Is there any objection to 24 that? All right. 25 Mr. Tensen, would you like to conduct

1 redirect examination? 2 MR. TENSEN: I think I don't have any 3 further questions. 4 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you so much. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Dunajski, is there 6 7 anything else you would like to say before you step down? 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you to everybody. 9 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. It's 11:45. Mr. Brosch, would you please come to the podium? 11 Should we proceed with Dr. Cryan or should we 12 13 take Mr. Tonak or Mr. Asbury's statements first? MR. BROSCH: Well, your Honor, I'm --14 15 Mr. Cryan is going to be longer than Mr. -- the 16 other two. But on the other hand, I think he's 17 sort of the lead witness on those. So I think 18 it would be appropriate to go with -- with 19 Mr. --20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Dr. Cryan. 21 MR. BROSCH: -- Dr. Cryan first. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Get that microphone as 23 close to your mouth as you can. 24 MR. BROSCH: I think it would be 25 appropriate to go with Dr. Cryan, if possible.

He's the witness for the proposals -- the joint 1 2 proposals of National Milk. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. It's 11:45, 4 roughly. Do you want to get into that right now 5 or do you want a one-hour break first? MR. BROSCH: Well, your Honor, what we 6 7 plan to do is have Dr. Cryan essentially read his testimony. I'm not going to belabor this 8 9 hearing any more than it's already been belabored by involving long cross-examination. 10 So I think we could put Dr. Cryan on. 11 We could have him do his testimony. I may have one 12 13 or two follow-up questions. And then if you want to break for lunch and come back for 14 15 cross-examination, perhaps we could do it that 16 way. JUDGE CLIFTON: That sounds excellent for 17 18 Is there anyone that has a different idea me. 19 of how we might proceed? Mr. English. 20 MR. ENGLISH: Not so much -- not so much 21 a different idea as I wanted to point out that 2.2 there are various sandwich shops in the 23 neighborhood. One of them, Pot Belly, will take 24 orders over the line or by phone or fax, which 25 would speed the process of lunch. And Subway

1 across the street. So I think we can hold one 2 hour very easily when we get to lunch. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, good. And then the little store right here in the 4 5 building, Ingredients, has a lot of counters 6 where you can quickly get your food. Mr. 7 Carroll? MR. CARROLL: I'd like to say that 8 9 fortunately we have Dr. Cryan's statement. Ιt 10 consists of 21 pages and two tables. The physical time needed to review all of those 11 pages is considerable. 12 13 I don't think we're, as a practical 14 matter, getting ahead to start that and then take probably at least 45 minutes or an hour to 15 16 read it. And then the other matters that are 17 involved, it would be better to take our lunch 18 now and then we can have a straight set. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Brosch? 20 MR. BROSCH: I'm sorry that Mr. Carroll 21 didn't bother last night to read the statement, but that statement has been available since 2.2 23 yesterday. If he wanted to read the statement, 24 he could have done so. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: No, I don't think that

1 was his concern. I think his concern was, do we 2 have to read it into the record? Is that -- am 3 I correct, Mr. Carroll? 4 MR. CARROLL: If it's going to be read 5 into the record --JUDGE CLIFTON: Go ahead and come back to 6 7 the mic, please. MR. CARROLL: If it's going to be read 8 9 into the record, or however it gets in, if it's going to be read, then obviously we should break 10 for lunch. If it's not going to be read into 11 the record, that's another matter. I understood 12 13 that they were going to have him deliver his speech. I have read it, if there's any question 14 15 about that. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Let me just 17 make a comment and then I'll hear from 18 Mr. Vetne. If anyone wants to provide an electronic version of the statement of a witness 19 20 to the court reporting service so that it will 21 be reproduced in the transcript, you're welcome to do that rather than have it get into the 2.2 23 transcript through a reading while we're all 24 waiting. But I'm going to leave it up to the 25 presenter how you want to present.

1 Giving out a handout does not focus 2 attention on the contents the same way hearing 3 it from the witness does. We're here to study I realize that for the people in the 4 this. 5 Department, they have to study it a lot more later. 6 7 But for those who want to ask questions, questions may occur to them during the delivery 8 of the -- the contents of the text that they 9 otherwise might not have thought of. So I do 10 not resent the time that it takes to have the 11 author of a document present it. 12 13 MR. BROSCH: I would note, your Honor, that the reason we're doing it this way is 14 because we think it would be much more efficient 15 16 than trying to extract that amount of testimony 17 by question and answer. It would take, I would 18 say, three or four times as long if we were to 19 do it the way this proceeding has proceeded this 20 thus far. So we're trying to speed things up 21 here. 2.2 But your point is exactly the one, which 23 is, we think there's a certain amount of 24 knowledge and emphasis that can be gained by 25 Dr. Cryan reading his testimony. We think

1 that's the most efficient way to proceed. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. What is it 3 that you have in your arm there? 4 MR. BROSCH: I have lots of copies of 5 this, your Honor. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And it's 6 7 identical as to what was on the table yesterday? MR. BROSCH: Yes, it is. Your Honor. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, Mr. Vetne? 9 MR.MR. VENTE: John Vetne. I have an 10 efficiency suggestion. I agree with your Honor 11 that the reading of the testimony or giving 12 testimony allows a focus in attention that 13 14 sometimes just reading it in private does not provide. You don't know how much attention is 15 16 going to be given to anything read in private. 17 However, this testimony, as is commonly 18 the case, includes statistical data, has some 19 long footnotes. It has a lot of arguments, and 20 it has a lot of facts. 21 I'm going to -- as I said yesterday, I'm 2.2 going to encourage my clients to focus on fact. 23 And I would hope that -- this, presumably, is 24 going to get marked as an exhibit and all the 25 argument will be in the record in some form. Ι

1 would hope that there's no compelling urgency by the witness to read the footnotes, for example, 2 3 into the record, or a lot of the tables or regulatory language. They're there, maybe they 4 5 can be characterized or referenced. But to the extent that some of the 6 7 argument or footnotes or small print can by referenced to, rather than read, we start that 8 practice, we will save a lot of time at this 9 hearing. 10 Well, I'm going to leave 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: it up to Dr. Cryan whether he wants to read 12 13 every word or whether he wants to some other way 14 communicate. One reason you have experts is for 15 their argument, which we call opinion. So --16 MR. BROSCH: Well, your Honor, we're very 17 glad to hear that Mr. Vetne is taking the view 18 now that we're going to speed this hearing up. 19 But Dr. Cryan is going to give his testimony the 20 way he's going to give his testimony. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Ricciardi. 2.2 MR. RICCIARDI: Thank you, your Honor. 23 One issue I will raise, we haven't dealt with 24 yet this morning, is the carryover from 25 yesterday and the concerns represented by my

1 client group regarding production of data from 2 the Department. We received some additional 3 information, which I assume that at some 4 point Mr. Carman is going to come up and talk 5 about? JUDGE CLIFTON: That Mr. Who is going to 6 7 talk about? MR. RICCIARDI: Mr. Carman. 8 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh, okay. Mr. Carman, 10 our witness from yesterday, would come? MR. RICCIARDI: Yes. I do not know, 11 however, whether the Department intends to 12 13 produce additional data. To the extent that it does, I'd sort of like to know when. To the 14 15 extent that it does and it's going to be later, 16 we're going to be in a position where we may and 17 probably will have to potentially recall expert 18 witnesses if we put them on now. 19 Likely, what we'll have is, if additional 20 data comes in, they may have changed their 21 opinions or we have questions as to whether or 2.2 not the data does change their opinions. 23 Now, both Dr. Cryan and also Dr. Yonkers 24 are potentially employed to be here for two 25 weeks. Their time is part of their ongoing

business operation.

1

2 One question I raise is whether or not, until we get all of the data, it makes sense to 3 finish off fact witnesses before we get into 4 5 expert witnesses, so that everybody has a full and fair opportunity to cross-examine. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. When we get to cross-examination, we'll raise this again. 8 And I'll find out what the availability of the 9 witnesses is for recall based on the additional 10 materials that you don't have yet. And then 11 later we'll find out what materials are still 12 13 coming and so forth. So I'm glad you raised it 14 now, but I won't deal with it yet. MR. RICCIARDI: I understand. 15 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Brosch, 17 what I would like you to do is begin direct 18 examination of Dr. Cryan. I'm anticipating that 19 probably we would be breaking for lunch about an 20 hour from now, probably. 21 MR. BROSCH: I think we could be done 2.2 within that time period with direct, your Honor. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. And I'd like to take just a short comfort break first so that 24 25 Dr. Cryan will have our full attention. This

1 won't be, like, a full break. Please take ten 2 minutes and be back ready to go at 12:05. 3 (A recess was taken from 11:55 to 12:11.) (Exhibit 23 was marked for 4 5 identification.) JUDGE CLIFTON: We're on the record now 6 7 at 12:11. I have marked my copy of Dr. Cryan's testimony as Exhibit 23, and I would ask that 8 everyone do that to keep track. And I'd like 9 the extra copies to go back on the back table. 10 People will be coming in from time to time, 11 including in days after today. 12 13 If you do not yet have a copy of 14 Dr. Cryan's testimony, then please obtain it from the back table. 15 16 All right. Counsel, would you identify 17 yourself again, please? 18 MR. BROSCH: My name is Kevin Brosch. 19 I'm here representing National Milk Producers 20 Federation. We've -- they have a copy of my 21 card at the table, your Honor. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Good. And 23 I'd like now the witness to state his full name 24 for the record and spell it, and then I'll swear 25 you.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, my 2 name is Roger, R-o-g-e-r, Cryan, C-r-y-a-n. And 3 the court reporter has a copy of my card as well. 4 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: Good. Thank you. Would you raise your right hand, please? 6 7 (The witness was sworn.) JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 8 9 MR. BROSCH: Your Honor, at this time, 10 could we ask the Court to accept Dr. Cryan as a expert witness, unless someone objects? I don't 11 have to go through the qualifications 12 13 unnecessarily. Without objection, I would like 14 him to be accepted as a witness in agricultural 15 economics and dairy economics. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: First of all, Dr. Cryan 17 what is your doctorate in? 18 THE WITNESS: Agricultural economics. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: That's handy. And is it 20 a Ph.D.? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to 23 Dr. Cryan being accepted as an expert in 24 agricultural economics/dairy? There's no 25 objection. Dr. Cryan is so accepted.

1 MR. BROSCH: Thank you, your Honor. I'm 2 going to let Dr. Cryan give his testimony 3 without exchanging questions and answers. At the end of his testimony, I might ask one or two 4 5 questions, but otherwise he can proceed. JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Dr. Cryan, 6 7 I'd like you to present your testimony however you wish. Just bear in mind we're going to try 8 to follow along, so keep abreast of page 9 10 numbers. If you don't read it straight through, if you skip around a bit, that's fine. 11 Just give us time to catch up with where you are. 12 13 ROGER CRYAN, PH.D. 14 of lawful age, being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. BROSCH: 18 Thank you, your Honor. My intention is Α. 19 to just read it straight through, skipping the footnotes 20 and the source citations in order to make it a clear 21 narrative. 2.2 Thank you for your assistance and thank 23 you to USDA for having this hearing. 24 My name is Roger Cryan. I have served 25 the members of the National Milk Producers Federation,

also known as NMPF, for the past nine years, first as 1 Director of Economic Research and now as Vice President 2 for Milk Marketing and Economics. Prior to that, I 3 worked in the Federal government as the economist in the 4 5 Atlanta Milk Market Administrator's Office of the USDA. 6 I received my M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in agricultural 7 economics from the University of Florida. I serve on 8 the Agricultural Advisory Committee of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and have served as a 9 Secretarial appointee to USDA's Advisory Committee on 10 Agricultural Studies. 11

I testify today on behalf of the National 12 Milk Producers Federation. NMPF is the voice of 13 America's dairy farmers, representing three-fifths of 14 America's 60,000 commercial dairy farmers through their 15 16 membership in NMPF's 31 constituent cooperative 17 associations. NMPF represents dairy farmers in all 18 parts of the country, as demonstrated by the attached 19 table, which shows NMPF's members among the top 50 U.S. 20 dairy cooperatives (which Hoard's Dairyman ranks by 21 volume). 2.2 NMPF is the proponent of Proposals 1 and

23 2, which we submitted jointly with the International
24 Dairy Foods Association. Those proposals initiated this
25 proceeding. NMPF subsequently submitted an additional

proposal, Proposal 26, which we believe complements 1 2 those initial proposals. These proposals are intended 3 to establish more equitable rules for dairy farmers in all regions and of all sizes. The small and large 4 5 producers who we represent, and the cooperative 6 businesses that they operate, are required to play by 7 one set of rules, while large producer-handlers are able 8 to play by another set of rules that tilts the playing field greatly to their advantage. 9 NMPF's three proposals, taken together, will result in the additional 10 regulation of a very small number of handlers. 11 We 12 estimate that our proposals would increase the regulation for just 3 to 5 of the largest 13 14 producer-handlers in the nation, all of whom have annual sales of at least \$10 million, in 19 -- at 2008 prices. 15 16 We estimate that the 3 largest of these each have 17 packaged fluid sales in excess of 15 million pounds per 18 month. Our proposals would not increase regulation for 19 any other producers or processors. There are dozens of 20 smaller exempt plants and producer-handlers that would 21 remain unregulated or subject to less regulation. 2.2 I would like to describe each of our 23 proposals, provide some historical context on the 24 producer-handler provisions, and explain what we seek to 25 achieve.

Regarding Proposal 1, which would 1 eliminate special status to producer-handlers. 2 3 NMPF seeks to eliminate the producer-handler provision in all Federal orders so that 4 5 producer-handlers are treated like all other handlers. 6 The Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 7 specifically authorizes the regulation of, quote, producers who are also handlers, unquote. 8 It is clear from the legislative history the legislation 9 reauthorized by the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act 10 11 of 1937 that Congress intended for marketing agreement 12 and for marketing agreements and marketing orders 13 programs to regulate producer-handlers whose volume, quote, is large enough to be an important factor in the 14 market, unquote, since their, quote, cooperation is 15 16 necessary to carry out the marketing plan, unquote. The Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 is amended with the 17 18 citation that provides that for the Secretary, in order 19 to accomplish the purposes set forth in paragraphs (A) 20 and (B) of this subsection, this subsection five, 21 providing a method for making adjustments in payments, 2.2 as among handlers (including producers who are also 23 handlers), to the extent that the total sums paid in 24 each -- by each handler shall equal the value of the 25 milk purchases by him at the prices fixed in accordance

with paragraph (A) hereof. And the emphasis is added. 1 But that -- that phrase, including producers who are 2 3 also handlers is in the original text of the Act. Treating producers like other handlers is 4 5 equitable and consistent with both the letter and the 6 intent of this law. 7 Regarding the origin of producer-handler 8 regulation. Producer-handlers were originally given special status for administrative reasons, not on legal 9 The Federal Milk Marketing Order program 10 principles. 11 itself has its origins in the Agricultural Adjustment 12 Act of 1933, which generally authorized the Secretary of Agriculture to enter into agreements with producers and 13 to license handlers, in order to, quote, restore normal 14 economic conditions in the marketing of, unquote, milk 15 16 and milk products. The Department combined these powers 17 to implement marketing agreements enforced by licensing 18 in numerous markets. These licenses are the direct 19 antecedents of today's milk marketing orders. 20 Although many markets were supplied 21 primarily by handlers who procured milk from producers and cooperative associations, in the Kansas City market 2.2 23 producers who handled their own milk sold 50 percent of 24 the milk and cream consumed when the market's license 25 was instituted in 1935. The original market license was

intended to regulate these producer-handlers in the same 1 way as other fluid milk handlers. However, the Market 2 3 Administrator encountered considerable resistance from a substantial number of these producer-handlers who failed 4 5 to submit reports and who refused to make payments to 6 the equalization fund when they did submit their 7 When the Market Administrator failed to reports. 8 enforce the market license requirements on the original non-compliers, most of the rest followed suit. 9 Successive amendments to the marketing agreement were 10 11 made to lessen the burden on producer-handlers, but 12 again there was no effective enforcement, so 13 non-compliance among producer-handlers with market 14 license requirements proliferated. In July 1935, unable or unwilling to surmount the practical difficulties of 15 16 enforcement, USDA abandoned its attempts to impose 17 regulation on these producer-handlers except for certain 18 reporting requirements.

What began as an expedient way to avoid confrontation with a group of small producers in one Marketing Order has become institutionalized across the country. This largely unregulated status was, until recently, available to producer-handlers of all sizes in all Federal Order markets.

25

Over the years, a number of milk

1 marketing orders have incorporated a variety of 2 producer-handler provisions, but the purpose of those 3 provisions has been to require producer-handlers to 4 nominally balance most of their own supply.

5 In 2003, proposals were made to limit the 6 potential size of producer-handlers in the Arizona and 7 Pacific Northwest markets. And in 2005, those proposals 8 were accepted by USDA, which issued a final rule in 2006 9 that limited the producer-handler provisions in those 10 milk marketing orders to entities that produced no more 11 than 3 million pounds of fluid milk sales per month.

12 Changing industry. One of the original 13 assumptions underlying the decision to permit a special 14 producer-handler status was that these operators had limited supply and limited marketings, and would remain 15 16 so small as to not have a significant effect on the 17 market. Over time, this has proved to be a faulty 18 assumption. Changes in this -- changes in the industry, in technology, and in the economics of the dairy 19 20 business have drastically reduced the number of 21 producer-handlers; these same changes have drastically 2.2 increased the size and market potential of those 23 remaining. Some producer-handlers have grown to become 24 much larger than could have been imagined 70 years ago. 25 While most (87 out of 117) potential

producer-handlers today still fall under the 150,000 1 2 pound size exemption exception, this is rapidly 3 changing. Until recently, the substantial growth in the scale and efficiency of fluid -- in this case of large 4 5 fluid milk processors meant that even the largest farms 6 were unable to take advantage of the same -- of the 7 scale economies; with relatively high unit costs, 8 producer-handlers did not proliferate, and in fact, they declined in number and volume processed. 9 But this is no longer the case. 10 In 1998, 11 there were only 235 dairy farms with more than 2,000 12 cows; less than a decade later, in 2007, however, there were 595. A 2,000-cow dairy produces near 13 14 4 million pounds per month. The average farm in this category produced 6.6 million pounds per month in 2007 15 16 (compared to 4.7 million in that same category in 1998). 17 These 595 farms now produce over one-quarter of the U.S. 18 milk supply, equal to nearly 80 percent of all sales of 19 fluid milk products in the U.S. 20 There are 17 producer-handlers with route 21 sales in excess of 300,000 pounds, including only 17 2.2 (sic) with route sales above 2 million pounds. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Excuse me. Mine says 24 seven. 25 THE WITNESS: What did I say?

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: Could you read the 2 sentence from the beginning? 3 Α. There are 17 producer-handlers with route sales in excess of 300,000 pounds. Did I misstate that? 4 5 Including only 7 with route sales above 2 million The average producer-handler has grown from an 6 pounds. 7 average of -- the average producer-handler has grown from 34,645 pounds of Class I sales in October 1959 8 which was the production from about 60 cows at that 9 time, to an average of 1,422,080 pounds in 10 December 2008, which is the milk -- the milk from about 11 720 cows. We estimate the sales of the 7 largest 12 13 producer-handlers to average at least a hundred million 14 pounds per year per plant. 15 Many dairy farms are now large enough to 16 exploit both the producer-handler raw milk price 17 advantage, which I will describe below, and to enjoy 18 economies of scale in both milk production and fluid 19 milk processing. Collectively, they could capture a 20 large share of the Class I sales in an individual market 21 or nationally, if many of them adopted this model. This 2.2 would be disastrous for the small pooled dairy producers 23 whose blend prices would be substantially cut. 24 The hearing on producer-handlers in the Arizona and Pacific Northwest markets demonstrated the 25

potential disruption that large producer-handlers can 1 inflict, individually and collectively, on orderly 2 3 marketing, finding specifically that large producer-handlers in those markets were, quote, the 4 5 primary source of disruption to orderly marketing of 6 milk, unquote. That was the Department's finding. 7 Producer-handlers also have a significant and growing share of Class I sales in the Northeast market; this was 8 114 million pounds in 2007, up from 69 million pounds 9 in -- up from 69 million pounds in 2002. This is the 10 only market for which such a detailed, single market 11 12 breakout is readily available; I estimate that the market share of producer-handlers in the Central Order 13 14 is equal to between 10 percent and 15 percent of Class I sales in that market. USDA data presented at this 15 16 hearing also show that producer-handlers make up a 17 growing share of sales in markets where they are present 18 and unaffected by recent restrictions as is demonstrated 19 by Exhibit 6 from the Department, from USDA. 20 Regarding the cost advantage of 21 producer-handlers and uneconomic reorganization: 2.2 A producer-handler, by avoiding full 23 Federal Order regulation as a distributing plant, can 24 pay, effectively the uniform price for milk at the 25 plant. (This is effectively the market price for

producer milk on the market, and is therefore the 1 appropriate transfer price for analysis of the 2 3 regulatory impact on the producer-handler plant.) Fully regulated competitors, by contrast, must pay the Class I 4 5 price for the same milk. Table 1 shows selected statistics for all Federal Order markets, including a 6 7 calculation of the price advantage that a 8 producer-handler has over its fully regulated competitors in each market. That advantage is equal to 9 the Class I price minus the uniform price. 10 This 11 advantage ranges from 6 cents to 15 cents per gallon, or 12 72 cents to a 1.74 per hundredweight, which is the difference between the Class I price and the uniform 13 14 price at the base point. And that difference will equal the difference between the Class I price and the uniform 15 16 price across the markets, since both prices are adjusted 17 by the same location differential. As producer-handlers become large enough, 18

19 their advantage in terms of their cost of milk can 20 become the primary basis for their existence as 21 handlers. A large producer-handler can now enter the 22 bottling business, even if it is not competitive in its 23 processing costs, purely because the disparity in the 24 regulatory scheme creates an advantage.

25

Increasingly, producer-handler provisions

undermine the principles of producer equity upon which 1 2 the Federal Order system rests. In the best case, which 3 is vertical integration of efficient milk production with efficient milk processing, the producer pool is 4 5 depleted to pay producer-handlers. In the worst case, 6 which is uneconomic reorganization of farms into 7 producer-handlers, that is either farm, the farm or the plant, is less efficient than the average, the current 8 system can create deadweight losses in the market whose 9 whole cost is borne by pooled producers. For example, a 10 producer-handler could be up to 15 cents per gallon less 11 12 efficient than the competition would otherwise require, and still be viable. 13

14 Regarding orderly marketing. Producer-handler provisions increasingly threaten 15 16 orderly marketing. As stated above, about 600 farms now 17 each produce more than 4 million pounds of milk per 18 month, 25 percent of the U.S. milk supply and the equivalent of nearly 80 percent of U.S. fluid milk 19 20 sales. 1500 farms with over 2 million pounds of monthly 21 milk production accounts for 42 percent of U.S. 2.2 production, equivalent to 140 percent of fluids -- total 23 fluid milk sales. The number of large farms is steadily 24 increasing, and their impact on other dairy farmers is 25 becoming more significant. Because of the potential for

these large farms to exploit the advantages of the current producer-handler provisions, tens of thousands of smaller dairy farmers, and the handlers who purchase their milk, are now potentially threatened. That is to say that the small dairy farms and the handlers who purchase their milk are now potentially threatened.

7 Further, such producer-handlers, even if 8 they bottle all of their milk and buy or sell no one else's, can sell to wholesalers or large retail chains 9 at a significant price advantage. Such wholesalers or 10 retailers can either balance their own supplies of milk, 11 12 with purchases from, and at the expense of, pooled market participants; or they can raise and lower their 13 prices seasonally, so that consumers will balance their 14 supply at other stores, also at the expense of pooled 15 16 market participants. For example, one large producer-handler in the Pacific Northwest testified at 17 18 the hearing in that market that a large grocery 19 customer -- that its large grocery customers can balance 20 their supplies with pool sources when the 21 producer-handler plant did not. 2.2 The upper limit of the loss to the

majority of America's dairy farmers who participate in the milk marketing pools is the loss of all Class I value. This would reduce the average pooled price by

over a dollar per hundredweight.

1

2 Regular home delivery once provided the 3 only example to support the argument that a producer-handler could balance its own supply; it is the 4 5 only marketing channel that could be consistent enough 6 to make this claim. However, home delivery has declined from 30 percent of fluid milk sales in 1963 to less than 7 8 one half of one percent in 1997; and even home delivery sellers can finds ways - through seasonal pricing and 9 seasonal sales efforts, for example, to shift their 10 balancing burden on to the rest of the market. 11 12 The reality is that no producer-handler 13 plant can truly be made to balance its own supply, because customers always have a choice of alternative 14 sources for fluid milk. 15 16 Regarding the need to eliminate the 17 producer-handler provisions. There is no legal or economic justification for the producer-handler 18 19 provisions, and the Federal Order objective of orderly 20 marketing demands their elimination. In its December 14th, 2005, final decision for the Arizona and 21 2.2 Pacific Northwest Markets, USDA stated that, quote, the 23 review of the intent of the producer-handler provision 24 and the marketing conditions arising from this provision 25 in these orders could warrant finding that the original

producer-handler exemption is no longer valid or should be limited to 150,000 pounds per month Class I route disposition -- or limited to 150,000-pound per month rout Class I route disposition limit. However, the hearing notice for this proceeding constrains such a finding to a level of not less than 3 million pounds per month Class I route dispositions, unquote.

8 And NMPF agrees with USDA's conclusion 9 that the producer-handler provisions are an anachronism, 10 and urges USDA to act on this conclusion in this new 11 hearing, whose scope is clearly defined to include the 12 entire elimination of producer-handlers provisions.

And NMPF proposes to limit producer-handlers to the same size exemption as other processors. In some Federal Order markets, large producer-handlers already capture a significant share of Class I sales, undermining the pool value at the expense of producers and pool handlers. Reform of the system is a matter both of equity and of orderly marketing.

Although several Federal Order markets are not now substantially disrupted by the operations of large producer-handlers, it is good policy to establish uniform provisions which address this issue proactively, before such a clearly foreseeable problem develops. This proactive approach minimizes the burden of

regulation by laying out the rules in advance. The
 proposed changes would simplify and clarify the
 responsibilities of the current producer-handlers,
 relaxing the regulatory constraints on their operations,
 and directing the large effort of them to participate in
 the Federal Order pool.

7 The original reason that the 8 producer-handler provisions were established, that is the inability of Market Administrators to enforce 9 compliance, is certainly no longer valid. 10 The 11 regulation of large producer-handlers would now be no 12 more difficult than that of other handlers, and would restore the principle of marketwide pooling, upon which 13 the Federal orders are based. 14

Regarding Proposal 2, expanding and 15 16 reforming the exemption for small distributing plants. 17 NMPF also proposes to raise the size limit for exempt 18 plants from 150,000 pounds of monthly Class I sales in an individual market to 450,000 pounds of monthly 19 20 Class I sales in all markets. This is a distinct 21 proposal, but effecting it concurrently with the 2.2 elimination of the producer-handler provisions can avoid 23 unduly affecting other producer-handlers who have a 24 limited individual impact on the market. Proposal 2 25 would exempt all but the 10 or 15 largest current

producer-handlers, as well as 30 to 35 plants that are
 now regulated or partially regulated.

3 Today, any plant with less than 150,000 pounds in monthly Class I sales is exempt from Federal 4 5 Order regulation. Given the growth in average farm size, and the growing economies of size in milk 6 7 processing, it is reasonable to increase the size exemption to 450,000 pounds per month -- per month. 8 For perspective, this is equal to the production of about 9 260 cows, or twice the size of the average dairy herd in 10 the United States. Plants this small have difficulty 11 12 competing with large, modern plants on cost alone, with or without the pricing advantage offered by 13 producer-handler status. 14

We urge USDA to consider this increase in 15 16 the small plant exemption concurrently with NMPF's 17 proposal to eliminate the producer-handlers provisions. 18 The principle of raising the limit is sound, and the 19 coincidence of the two proposals will mitigate for most 20 producer-handlers the regulatory impact of eliminating 21 the producer-handlers provisions of the orders. 2.2 Regarding the origin -- excuse me. 23 Regarding the origin of the current exempt plant size 24 limit. Today, any plant with fewer than 150,000 pounds 25 in monthly Class I sales is exempt from Federal Order

This limit was made uniform for all orders 1 regulation. during Federal Order reform. The proposed rule, 2 3 published in 1998 stated: Options 2 and 3 both recognize the Identical -- the Identical Provisions 4 Committee determination that a handler distributing less 5 6 than 150,000 pounds per month of fluid milk products 7 does not have a significant competitive effect on the market, and that handlers of such size should, 8 therefore, be exempt from the pricing and pooling 9 provisions of the orders. The level of route 10 11 disposition required before an exempt plant becomes 12 regulated varies in the current orders. As recommended, any plant with route disposition during the month of --13 14 with route disposition during the month of 150,000 pounds or less would be exempt in the 15 consolidated orders. This limit reflects the maximum 16 17 amount of fluid milk products allowed by an exempt plant 18 in any current Federal Milk Order and ensures plants 19 that are currently exempt from regulation would remain 20 so, end quote. 21 This decision confirmed the existing

150,000 size-based exemption in the West Texas and New Mexico Order. This limit was set in 1991, based on proponent testimony. That decision concluded that 150,000 pounds, which was smaller than the average --

size of the average producer in the market, was small 1 enough not to disrupt orderly marketing. 2 3 Another quote from that decision, from an earlier -- from that original decision, It is noted that 4 5 the 150,000-pound monthly size limitation for an exempt 6 plant is substantially less than the average size of 7 producers that are currently associated with these markets. Consequently, it would appear that a plant of 8 such size would not be a disruptive factor in the market 9 either in terms of sales of fluid milk products or in 10 11 the procurement of raw milk supplies. 12 Regarding setting a size limit. Based on 13 changed conditions, we propose to raise this limit to 450,000 pounds consistent with the principles upon which 14 the 1991 decision was made. Between 1991, the year of 15 16 the West Texas-New Mexico hearing and decision, and 17 2007, the last year for which data was available at the 18 time that I drafted this, average milk production per U.S. dairy farm tripled from 68,000 pounds to 19 20 214,814 pounds. Since the average 150,000 pounds 21 limit -- I'm sorry. Since the original 150,000 pound limit was based in part on a consideration of farm sizes 2.2 23 at that time, this tripling of average per-farm 24 production supports a tripling of the exemption limit to 25 450,000 pounds.

1 Fluid milk bottling plants generally have 2 increasing economies of scale. That is, the bigger they 3 are, the lower their costs per gallon. This has been consistently demonstrated in industry and academic 4 5 studies. These economies of scale flatten out, so that 6 the advantages of increasing plant size are greater at 7 the bottom of the range than at the top. Several 8 published studies, including two studies at the University of Maine and a nationwide study conducted by 9 Cornell University, all clearly demonstrate this 10 principle. 11

12 Although exempt plants enjoy the same 13 price advantage that producer-handlers now do, for very small plants this advantage is greatly outweighed by 14 high processing costs; so that the price advantage is 15 16 neither the primary basis for a small handler's business 17 nor a disruptive force on the market. Given this cost 18 structure, such a plant should have little impact on the 19 market, and so its regulation is not necessary to 20 maintain conditions of orderly marketing. That is, such 21 plants will not proliferate on the basis of their 2.2 regulatory cost advantage.

23 NMPF recognizes the difficulty of setting
24 any bright line size limit. Nevertheless, there remain
25 several good reasons for setting the limit at

450,000 pounds.

1

2	It seems clear that the current limit is
3	too low. A plant processing the output of a 90-cow
4	dairy cannot compete in the milk commodity market. If
5	the current limit is too low, then raising it
6	incrementally is a positive improvement in the
7	regulation. As a matter of principle, the change should
8	be cautious, increasing to another level that is clearly
9	not too high.
10	This proposal addresses the regulatory
11	status of the milk plants with respect to size, and does
12	not attempt to effect to effect any regulation of
1 2	

dairy farms. However, milk plants obtain their milk 13 14 from dairy farms, whether they are under the same ownership or not. Farm size has served in the past as a 15 16 basis for establishing a size limit on exempt plants. 17 In addition, a very large share of size-exempt plants 18 bottle own-farm production, strongly suggesting that 19 farm bottling is the primary business model for these 20 plants, so that farm size and farm economies of scale 21 must be an important consideration in defining their 2.2 regulation.

Dairy farms have economies of scale such that there are cost disadvantages to a producer-handler with less than 500,000 pounds of monthly production.

This is the conclusion of a USDA study of farm size. 1 In 2005, it was estimated, farms with 500 to 999 cows had a 2 3 \$4.75 per hundredweight cost advantage over farms with 100 to 199. This is a difference of 41 cents per gallon 4 5 and represents a substantial scale economy. In 6 addition, this study showed that 500,000 pounds per 7 month of production (about 300 cows) is near the point 8 where the cost curve begins to get quite steep. That is, moving towards a smaller size. That is below 9 that -- below that size, farm cost of production is 10 11 clearly high enough that the value of the regulatory 12 exemption will not encourage uneconomic processing paired with production. Based on this data also, 13 14 450,000 pounds represents a reasonable bright line limit on regulation of an integrated farm and plant, which is 15 16 one very common business model -- which is the most 17 common business model for small exempt plants. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, let me just question you there, if I may. What you've written is 19 20 that it is one common business model. And what 21 did you just testify? 2.2 THE WITNESS: I just restated what I had 23 said earlier, which is that it is the most 24 common business model for these plants. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.

It's a very large share of -- size of 1 Α. 2 exempt plants bottle own-farm production. 3 Under the mandates of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 and the Small Business 4 5 Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, Federal 6 agencies must consider impacts of regulation on small 7 The formal small business definition for business. dairy farms is \$750,000 in sales or less. 8 As a practical matter, and because revenue fluctuates with 9 milk prices, USDA, the Small Business Administration, 10 11 and the Office of Management and Budget have determined 12 that regulatory flexibility analysis should be based on a small business definition of 500,000 pounds of monthly 13 milk production. Given that a fluid milk handler can be 14 hard pressed to achieve a Class I use rate of more than 15 16 90 percent of receipts, 400 -- 450,000 pounds represents 17 an approximate upper limit of the Class I use for a 18 handler within this small business definition. The small business definition was 19 20 originally intended to provide a context for 21 consideration of alternative regulatory approaches for smaller businesses, rather than serving as the basis for 2.2 23 exemption from meaningful or necessary regulation. 24 Nevertheless, Federal small business definitions are 25 used in a number of programs, such as Federal

procurement programs, where a bright line is necessary and where the limit provides one reasonable choice. Example -- and I should point out there are citations here for examples that include procurement and other -other programs.

6 The small plant exemption in Federal 7 orders was originally intended to alleviate the administrative and regulatory burden of regulating small 8 This intention was consistent with the 9 entities. objectives of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 and 10 11 the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act 12 of 1996. Those acts recognize that regulation designed with large enterprises in mind can be unfairly 13 14 burdensome when applied to small businesses, and require consideration of the impacts. Combined with 15 16 consideration of economies of scale, above, the small definition -- the small business definition for a dairy 17 18 farm provides a reasonable bright line for the exempt plant definition. The small business definition for 19 20 dairy plants, by contrast, is 500 employees; such a 21 plant would be very large and well beyond any reasonable 2.2 limit for the regulatory exemption under discussion. 23 Finally, the limit should be set at the 24 same level in all markets, consistent with the decision

©Ace-Merit, LLC (513)241-3200 30 Garfield Place, Suite 620 Cincinnati, OH 45202

made at Order reform. This should preclude setting the

25

1 limit in each market according to a proportional impact 2 on the individual market. The market-by-market approach 3 should also be avoided because the larger consideration 4 here is whether a proliferation of exempt plants is 5 probable and whether that proliferation could cause 6 disorderly marketing, rather than what the impact of an 7 individual handler may be.

8 Regarding unique labeling. NMPF further proposes that an exempt plant should not produce any 9 products under brands that are also produced by other 10 plants. Clearly associating an exempt plant's products 11 12 with plant-specific brand or brands will enforce the plant's independent nature. This is intended to reduce 13 14 the potential for the assembly of a supply of packaged milk by a cost-oriented "integrator" with substantial 15 16 control of the exempt plant's product. Without such a 17 limitation, a large retailer, for example, could recruit 18 small exempt plants, organizing production in such a way as to remove the diseconomies of scale in marketing and 19 20 distribution and even, through line specialization, of 21 processing. Such an "integrator" arrangement would 2.2 violate the intent and spirit of size -- of the 23 size-based exemption which is intended to accommodate 24 small businesses that are unlikely to affect their 25 market, either individually or collectively. This

qualification of exemption should be included in any
 decision arising from this hearing.

3 Clarifying the exempt definition as based on total plant sales. Finally, NMPF proposes a change 4 5 in the wording -- finally, with respect to Proposal 2, 6 NMPF proposes a change in the wording of the size-based 7 exemption, to make clear that the expanded 450,000-pound monthly allowance applies to a plant's total sales, not 8 only to sales in an individual market. This would avoid 9 confusion and would confirm what I believe is the 10 current interpretation applied by the Market 11 12 Administrators.

This proposal should be applied to any decision resulting from this proceeding -- this principle, I'm sorry. This principle should be applied to any decision resulting from this proceeding. That is, any size based limit on fluid sales should be applied to a plant's total fluid sales, not only sales in a particular market.

20 Regarding our Proposal 7, providing a 21 conditional exemption for existing producer-handlers. 22 I'm sorry, regarding Proposal 26. Providing a 23 conditional exemption for existing producer-handlers. 24 NMPF has submitted an additional proposal 25 that all Federal milk marketing orders be amended to

provide a conditional grandfather exemption from pooling for current producer-handlers, up to 3 million pounds per month.

This language would allow current 4 5 producer-handlers to obtain a qualified exempt plant 6 status. Route disposition and sales of packaged fluid 7 milk products by such plants may be no more than 3 million pounds per month, and most of the current 8 conditions for producer-handlers must be met. 9 In 10 addition, the provisions are tightened to prohibit 11 ownership of other farms or other distributing plants by 12 the owner of a plant exempted under this proposal. This 13 may also require conforming language similar to that now 14 used to classify receipts of, and from, 15 producer-handlers.

16 Regulatory risk is a part of doing 17 business, and we believe that USDA has a clear right to 18 regulate any and all distributing plants, provided that 19 such regulation meets the objectives of the Federal 20 orders. NMPF believes that it is crucial to regulate 21 all producer-handlers distributing more than 3 million 2.2 pounds of fluid milk products per month and to stem the 23 proliferation of producer-handlers marketing between 24 450,000 and 3 million pounds per month. NMPF -- NMPF 25 proposes to implement this proposal simultaneously with

our previously proposed elimination of the 1 producer-handler provision. These provisions, in 2 3 Proposal 26, would temper the impact of NMPF's previous proposal by reducing the regulatory impact on 10 to 15 4 5 producer-handlers with between 450,000 pounds and 6 3 million pounds of packaged fluid milk sales per month, 7 and whose businesses have relied in part upon the 8 current regulations.

Adoption of Proposal 26 remains fully 9 consistent with Proposals 1 and 2. Again, the dual 10 11 objectives of our original petition were to, 1, limit 12 the disruptive impact of existing producer-handlers above 3 million pounds, and 2, to prevent the disruptive 13 14 impact of a proliferation of new producer-handlers with sales above 450,000 pounds per month. Allowing existing 15 16 producer-handlers to maintain an exemption up to 17 3 million pounds per month will allow these objectives to be achieved without undue regulatory impact on these 18 smaller existing operations. 19 20 I will not burden the record with the 21 reading of the language provisions. But I believe they 2.2 are -- have been explained in my text.

23JUDGE CLIFTON: For the record, would you24tell what pages you find that?25A.On page 15 of my exhibit, of my

statement, we offer specific regulatory language to -to effect most of the changes that we're talking about. There may be additional conforming changes, but the principle and the thrust of everything we're proposing is contained in this -- in this language proposal, in this specific regulatory proposal.

Regarding other proposals noticed for this hearing. Most of the proposals in this hearing either advocate or assume some limits on the exemption of producer-handlers. In this sense, most of the participants here seem to agree that it is appropriate to fully regulate very large producer-handlers, and to make some accommodation for very small plants.

14 Several of the additional proposals 15 reiterate, in whole or in part, Proposals 1 and 2, as 16 offered by NMPF and IDFA. These include Proposals 10, 17 16, 19, and 22. We appreciate this full or partial 18 support from Way-Har Farms, Coopers' Hilltop Farm, and 19 the Pennsylvania -- the Pennsylvania Association of Milk 20 Dealers, and the Northeast Dairy Foods Association.

21 NMPF opposes the rest of the additional 22 proposals, while appreciating that some have been 23 offered in a spirit similar to that of our own 24 proposals.

25

Proposals 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, 18,

21, 27, and 28 each set a cap for producer-handlers and 1 allow their unlimited proliferation. Each of these is a 2 3 step in the right direction, but does not go far enough in addressing the potential of a proliferation of 4 5 medium-sized producer-handlers to disorder milk markets. 6 Although we appreciate the support from these proponents 7 for the principle of limiting the impact of 8 producer-handlers on milk markets, we have made clear above why we believe that producer-handler status should 9 not be extended to any additional plants. 10 11 Proposals 6, 9, 12, and 14 would set a 12 new size-based limit for exempt plants at a -- at a level higher than 450,000 pounds per month. 13 Those move in the same direction as we propose, but we believe they 14 go too far. We believe that a tripling of the current 15 16 limit to 450,000 pounds is appropriately conservative, as detailed above. 17 18 Two of the proposals, Numbers 13 and 14, 19 are offered by the New England Producer-Handlers 20 Association, Incorporated, et al., a group of 21 producer-handlers naturally seeking to carve out an 2.2 exemption within the current proceeding for their own 23 operations, but who we believe would not be 24 sufficient -- substantially affected by the NMPF 25 proposals.

1 Proposal Number 17 was proposed by 2 Mallorie's Dairy, Incorporated, Country Morning Farms 3 and Nature's Dairy, a group of middle-to-large-sized producer-handlers who are also naturally seeking to 4 5 carve out an exemption for their operations, but who we 6 believe would not be substantially affected by the NMPF 7 proposals. Proposal Number 20 is very similar to 8 Proposal Number 17, so I will discuss them together. These proposals are nominally a grandfathering of 9 producer-handlers up to 3 million pounds. However, they 10 11 go well beyond a simple grandfathering of existing 12 plants with producer-handler status. These proposals would allow any handler that had both fluid milk sales 13 14 and own-farm production during an historical period to exempt -- to elect to except up to 3.4 million pounds of 15 16 that historical own-farm production, whether or not they 17 had ever qualified as a producer-handler. This is, we 18 believe, a substantial widening of the producer-handler 19 exemption. These proposals would also drop many of the 20 current balancing requirements of producer-handlers, 21 allowing them to obtain pooled milk from market sources. 2.2 This is also a substantial loosening of the 23 producer-handler qualification, the requirements for 24 producer-handler qualifications. The effect is to grant a soft 25

grandfathered cap for an expanded group of would-be producer-handlers. Such a cap has been very problematic in California, where political decisions have expanded existing caps and where exempted volumes are used as a pricing weapon by large producer-handlers.

6 Under this proposal any milk in excess of 7 the defined exemption would be subject to the pricing and pooling provisions of their respective order. 8 Allocating the exempt milk to Class I, as is done in 9 California, would exacerbate this inequity, by reducing 10 and even -- by reducing and even reversing the pool 11 12 contribution associated with the pooled balance. Allocating the exempt milk pro rata to plant utilization 13 would still drain a substantial amount of Class I milk 14 from the pool. And even allocating it to the lowest 15 16 Classes would leave substantially unregulated any producer-distributor with up to about 3 1/2 or even 4 17 18 million gallons of monthly volume, in the competition with other regulated handlers; Proposal 23 has a similar 19 20 effect, but without the 3.3 million pound limit.

Proposals 23, 24, and 25 are proposed by the American Independent Dairy Alliance, a group of seven exceptionally large producer-handlers, who are also naturally seeking to carve out an exempt space for their own operations, and four of whom may be the only

handlers whose regulation would be substantially 1 increased under the three NMPF proposals taken together. 2 3 As we indicate above, and as we believe other testimony will indicate, these large producer-handlers can each 4 5 have a substantial individual impact on a milk market. 6 They also operate at such a scale that they should not 7 be exempt from pricing and pooling, because, they are large enough to operate on a level playing field with 8 other producers and handlers. 9

Proposal Number 23 contains two 10 11 apparently redundant elements. The first purports to 12 allow a distributing plant to elect partially regulated 13 status with respect to its own farm production, but 14 concludes with language that would treat a plant's own-farm milk as part of an individual handler pool, 15 16 which shall -- which, quote, shall not be included in 17 the volume of milk or milk components that are subject 18 to payments to or from the producer settlement fund pursuant to Section 71 or 72 of any Order, unquote. 19 Ι 20 will outline -- I will outline our objections to the individual handler pool shortly. 21 2.2 The second element of Proposal Number 23

exempts a plant's own-farm milk from pricing and pooling regulation. This exempt milk is down allocated to the plant's lowest Class uses when calculating the plant's

pool obligation for milk from producers. This would 1 2 mean that the plant would pay Class I first for 3 purchased milk. In other words, most distributing plants with substantial amounts of own-farm production 4 5 would incur a Class I pooling obligation for all the 6 milk that they purchase. The effect of this is that a 7 plant with 95 percent own-farm production would face a 8 pool obligation equal to a small fraction of what its obligation would be if fully regulated; but a similar 9 10 plant that elects to, quote, exempt, unquote, that 11 exempt -- pardon me. Let me take a breath. But a 12 plant -- but a similar plant that elects exempt status 13 for own-farm production equal to, say, 40 percent of its 14 receipts would face a pool obligation substantially larger than its obligation as a regulated pool handler 15 16 (and so would clearly not elect this status). For a 17 large producer-handler, this amounts to a less restrictive exemption for pooling and pricing than the 18 current producer-handler provision. And I should say 19 20 than rather than that. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And you're on 2.2 page 18? 23 THE WITNESS: On page 18. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: The next to the last 25 paragraph.

1 Α. Bottom of the middle paragraph. It says 2 "pooling that" and it should say pooling than. 3 The next proposal, Proposal 24, would exempt milk sold directly from producer to retail 4 5 customer, including through home delivery and handler-controlled retail outlets. As I described 6 7 above, even handlers who sell directly to the consumer 8 cannot be forced to completely balance their own part of the market. The plants that own retail stores can stock 9 other handlers' milk alongside their own, forcing the 10 burden of balancing upon the rest of the market. 11 Even 12 the plants that sell only their own milk, through their own retail stores or home delivery, can adjust 13 14 their pricing and sales efforts seasonally so as to indirectly encourage consumers to rely on other milk 15 16 outlets when the plant-retailer's supply is short; this 17 also forces the balancing burden on to the rest of the 18 market. We heard a witness today who indicated 19 20 that he sells milk at a loss in order to help balance 21 his -- his supplies through the retail market. 2.2 The exemption of farm-to-retailer sales 23 in this proposal is inequitable and so should be denied. 24 Proposal Number 25 which would --25 Proposal Number 25 would establish so-called individual

handler pools for all handlers across all orders. 1 This 2 is an especially pernicious proposal. It is a dagger 3 pointed at the heart of Federal orders. Individual handler pools represent cherry-picking of higher Class I 4 5 values. Because the plants and cooperatives that 6 effectively balance the fluid milk supply do not receive 7 equalization payments from the pool, they will be unable, in many markets, to maintain the manufacturing 8 capacity that is necessary to balancing. Because the 9 suppliers of fluid milk plants receive a higher price, 10 compensation (sic) for Class I outlets --11 12 JUDGE CLIFTON: Competition or 13 compensation? 14 Α. Let me start that sentence over again and make it more clear than what is written here, what I 15 16 meant. Because under this proposal, the suppliers of 17 fluid milk plants would receive a higher price, 18 competition for Class I outlets will destroy producer over-order premiums, further undermining the 19 20 compensation now paid for market balancing. Market-wide pooling of classified prices is the single most 21 2.2 important function of the Federal Milk Marketing Order; 23 with individual handlers pools, the orders would be 24 fatally wounded, and producer losses would be multiples 25 of the losses associated with producer-handlers.

The 1999 decision on Federal Order reform 1 2 included that, quote, marketwide sharing of the 3 classified use value of milk among all producers in a market is one of the most important features of the 4 5 Federal Milk Marketing Order. It ensures that all producers supplying handlers in a marketing area receive 6 7 the same uniform price for their milk, regardless of how their milk is used. This method of pooling is widely 8 supported by the dairy industry and has been universally 9 adopted for the 11 consolidated orders, unquote. 10 11 It seems beyond question that individual 12 handler pools are inimical -- I'm sorry, are inimical to modern Federal orders. 13 Ultimately, of course, the problem with 14 producer-handlers is that, under the current regulation, 15 16 they are effectively individual handler pools. The farm 17 side of the business supplies raw milk. The plant, if 18 it is of average efficiency and is operated to maximize the return to the farm, would generate gross returns in 19 20 line with the regulated Class prices, based on the 21 plant's use of milk. Like an individual handler pool, 2.2 the plant can then afford to return to the farm a price 23 skewed by high Class I use, without sharing the higher 24 value with the rest of the market. 25 The rest of the market, which balances

the milk supply, loses Class I value from the pool. 1 As a result, other producers and manufacturing plants are 2 3 less able to balance supplies, leading to disorderly 4 marketing. In conclusion, the current 5 6 producer-handler provisions have become outmoded by a 7 changing industry, and we believe that their underlying 8 intent of limiting the regulatory burden on small handlers who do not substantially impact the market is 9 better served through an expansion of the exempt handler 10 11 provisions. 12 NMPF urges USDA to eliminate the general producer-handler provisions. USDA also asks -- I'm 13 14 sorry. NMPF also asks USDA to temper these changes with a modest increase in the sized-based plant exemption and 15 16 with a grandfathering of smaller existing 17 producer-handlers, in order to avoid imposing an undue 18 regulatory burden on small businesses that have limited impact on their markets, but whose proliferation could 19 20 lead to disorderly marketing. 21 NMPF and -- and I thank USDA and USDA 2.2 staff for holding this hearing, as well as for their 23 diligence in providing requested data, and look forward 24 to a positive outcome of this hearing. 25 MR. BROSCH: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. BROSCH:

2 Q. Dr. Cryan, I -- just a couple of 3 questions to clarify some things in your -- your 4 statement.

5 On page 1 of your statement, in the --6 near the bottom of the page, you say "We estimate that 7 our proposals would increase the regulation for just 3 8 to 5 of the largest producer-handlers in the nation." 9 When you speak about your proposals, are you speaking 10 about -- well, which proposals are you speaking about?

11A.We're speaking collectively of12Proposals 1, 2 and 26.

13 Q. So it would be all three proposals would 14 have this impact?

A. All three proposals, all three proposals
together, which is in sum -- the three proposals
represent our position in sum.

Q. Thank you. On page 9, in the first full paragraph, the sentence, you say, Proposal 2 standing alone would exempt all but the 10 to 15 largest current producer-distributor harness. So the difference between the 10 to 15 on page 9 and the 3 to 5 on page 1 is -- is Proposal Number 26, is that correct?

A. Roughly -- right, that's correct. That's correct. And I'd also point out that for the record

1 copy, I struck -- I struck standing alone. I also did 2 not read that. So for the record, stand -- Proposal 2 3 and 1 together would -- would exempt all but 10 to 15 percent of the largest current producer-handlers, 4 5 because Proposal 2 by itself would be redundant --6 Ο. So --7 -- for those -- for those handlers. Α. So it might be more correct for that 8 0. 9 sentence to read, Proposal 1 and Proposal 2 would 10 exempt -- taken together would exempt? 11 Α. That would be another way to say --12 another reasonable way to say it. 13 Thank you. You also talked with respect Ο. 14 to -- in your testimony with respect to the so-called unique labeling proposal. I believe it's on page 13 of 15 16 your statement. 17 Α. Yes. 18 I note that you say here, this is 0. 19 intended -- I'm sorry. "Clearly associating an exempt 20 plant's product" --21 JUDGE CLIFTON: I'm sorry, I was making 2.2 notes to myself and I don't know where you are. 23 MR. BROSCH: On page 13, your Honor. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 25 MR. BROSCH: In the paragraph that is

1 entitled Unique Labeling. JUDGE CLIFTON: 2 Ah. 3 0. I'm trying to point to the second sentence in that paragraph which reads, "Clearly 4 5 associating an exempt plant's products with a plant-specific brand or brands will enforce the plant's 6 7 independent nature." There seem to have been some confusion in 8 the earlier testimony about multiple brands. 9 Does 10 National Milk's proposal under Proposal 26, or these proposals put together, allow for a producer-handler to 11 12 market under multiple brands? 13 Well, this is -- these are provisions for Α. 14 exempt plants. The producer-handlers provision itself has been -- has been stricken and replaced with the 15 16 substantially exempt handler status. 17 Correct. 0. 18 Including the grandfathering for existing Α. 19 producer-handlers is also an extension of the exempt 20 status. So these are exempt plants. But it would 21 limit -- it would not limit them to a single brand. Ιt 2.2 would allow them to produce as many brands as they want 23 to as long as no one else is producing the same brand. 24 Thank you. Q. 25 And that wouldn't necessarily have to be Α.

the company's brand. In fact, for example, there was a 1 2 relationship between a producer-handler and a -- and 3 a -- for example, a small -- very small grocery chain. And they were supplying a label for that chain, and they 4 5 were supplying all of that milk, all the milk with that 6 labeling, that would also be accepted. 7 Ο. Now, this morning we had testimony from 8 two other witnesses, Mr. Hatch and Mr. Dunajski. Were you here during that testimony? 9 I was. 10 Α. And you were able to hear what these 11 0. 12 gentlemen had to say about their businesses? 13 Yes, I did. Α. 14 Ο. In your testimony on -- largely on 15 pages 4 to 8, you express National Milk's concern about 16 large producer-handlers impact on the market and orderly 17 marketing. Would you consider either Mr. Hatch or 18 Mr. Dunajski a large producer-handler? I think -- I think -- I think small and 19 Α. 20 large are -- are very subjective, and I wouldn't want to 21 define too -- that too precisely. There's different 2.2 objectives at different times when you describe large 23 and small. 24 But I would say that they would -- I do 25 not believe either one of them would -- would be

substantially -- their regulation would be substantially 1 2 increased by our proposals. 3 0. So that the operations they described don't fall within the type of operations with which 4 5 you're concerned? 6 No, not as existing handlers. Α. 7 My understanding is that -- that the Ο. 8 exemption, the grandfather exemption that's in 26, Proposal 26, would allow for a party to go up to the 9 3 million pound limit, is that correct? 10 11 Α. That's right. And to be perfectly clear, 12 it would allow an operator like Mr. Dunajski to -- to increase his -- his sales up to 3 million pounds. 13 Ι don't believe any of the other proposals would allow for 14 that flexibility. 15 16 Q. So 3 million pounds --Well --17 Α. 18 I'm sorry. 0. 19 I'm sorry. I don't believe the other Α. 20 grandfathering provisions would allow for that 21 flexibility. 22 The 3 million pounds represents Ο. 23 approximately what size herd? 24 Oh, I should know that. I think it -- I Α. 25 think it's about 1,800 cows. I think that's right.

1	Q. If we assume if we assume the
2	2,000-pound average, which is a good average, that would
3	be about 1,500 cows?
4	A. That's about right.
5	Q. So I think Mr. Hatch
6	A. Depends on the volume, the sales
7	depends on the per cow volume, obviously. We have quite
8	a range across the country. Even the state average is
9	something like 14,000 to 20, 24,000. So there's
10	there's quite a variation. But anywhere in there, 1,500
11	to 1,800 is a reasonable number.
12	Q. I believe Mr. Hatch testified today that
13	he has about 347 milking cows and about 750,000 pounds
14	of volume. So is it correct that Mr. Hatch could
15	increase his operation by, say, four times?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And be within that limit?
18	A. Yes. And I heard nothing in his
19	description of his operation that suggested that he
20	would be regulated under our proposals.
21	Q. And Mr. Dunajski said I think he had 140
22	cows. So Mr. Dunajski could increase his operation by
23	about eight times and still fall within that exemption?
24	A. Yeah, yes.
25	Q. So are Mr. Hatch and Mr. Dunajski the

1	kinds of producers that National Milk had in mind when
2	it proposed the exemption under Proposal 26?
3	A. No. Oh, under 26?
4	Q. Yes, 26.
5	A. Yes. Our membership was very active in
6	developing that, that additional proposal. There was a
7	very real and reasonable concern that the original
8	proposals were would be unfair to existing operators
9	of modest size who are not disrupting the market by
10	themselves.
11	There was a recognition that that, you
12	know, a potential business model could proliferate those
13	450 to 3 million pound 450,000 to 3 million pound
14	plants in ways that could be disruptive to the market.
15	But that the existing producers, they tend to be
16	independent, they tend to operate in their own way and
17	individually, we believe they're not disruptive to the
18	market.
19	So we felt that it would be unfair to
20	to impose new regulation on them with with with
21	Proposals 1 and 2 standing alone.
22	Q. So based upon your understanding of their
23	testimony this morning, are Mr. Hatch and Mr. Dunajski
24	precisely the kinds of operators for which National Milk
25	members were concerned?

Г

1 Α. Precisely. 2 And they are precisely the kind of Ο. 3 operators for which -- for which Proposal 26 seeks to accommodate? 4 5 Α. They are exactly the kind of producers we 6 seek to accommodate. 7 MR. BROSCH: Thank you. I have no more 8 questions, your Honor. Thank you, Mr. Brosch. 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: I'd like to break for lunch. Let me see what 10 time it is. It's 1:13. Do you all want to be 11 back here at 2:00 or 2:15? 12 2:15. 13 AUDIENCE MEMBER: 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: I hear more 2:15s. 15 Please be back and ready to go at 2:15. We're off record now. 16 (A recess was taken from 1:13 to 2:23.) 17 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Let's go back on record. We're back on record at 2:23. It took me a 19 20 little longer than I thought it would for my 21 I appreciate everyone being so prompt. lunch. 2.2 Now, let's decide how to proceed. 23 The first question I have, Mr. Brosch, is 24 what is the availability of Dr. Cryan throughout 25 this proceeding to be recalled for the purpose

of cross-examination and redirect? I mean, if 1 2 we go forward with that now. 3 MR. BROSCH: Well, may I ask your Honor a 4 question before I answer that question? 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes. MR. BROSCH: Dr. Cryan will certainly 6 7 tell you what his availability is, if you want to tell him, Roger. 8 DR. CRYAN: Well, I'm tentatively 9 scheduled to sit in on the hearing for at least 10 the first two weeks. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: That's great. 12 13 MR. BROSCH: Now, your Honor, my 14 understanding is that the request to have Mr. --15 Dr. Cryan to reappear to testify from 16 Mr. Ricciardi is for the purposes of asking him 17 the question whether any new data produced by 18 USDA changes the opinions that he's expressed 19 here, is that correct? 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: That doesn't sound like 21 the kind of leading question I would expect. 2.2 MR. BROSCH: Well, your Honor, I think 23 that's Mr. Ricciardi's request. I want to know 24 whether Dr. Cryan is going to be subject to 25 cross-examination twice on the same subjects.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: The way I understood the 2 request, and I know you and I heard the same 3 thing. 4 MR. BROSCH: Yes, your Honor. 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: The way I understood the request is additional information is due in 6 7 statistical form from USDA. When we get that, that may give rise to all kinds of questions. 8 MR. BROSCH: Well, your Honor, 9 10 Dr. Cryan's testimony that he's given today is based upon the information that's already been 11 available and is referenced in his opinion -- in 12 13 his -- his statement. So with respect to --14 he's not going to be the author or the sponsor 15 of any additional data, that USDA is going to be 16 the sponsor of that. So what relevance does 17 Dr. Cryan's testimony have at that point other 18 than to ask him whether that information that we 19 supplied changes the opinions he's expressed? 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, that -- that isn't 21 the way I would examine him. If I were 2.2 concerned about certain issues that are raised 23 by additional evidence, what I would do if I had 24 an expert before me whose opinion is based on 25 certain things, I would want to ask him if he's

1 taken into account such and such and so is true 2 and I would want to be more pointed. But maybe 3 that's what you mean. MR. BROSCH: Yes, that's what I mean, 4 5 your Honor. But what I'm saying is, are you going to hold that second cross-examination to 6 7 the limitation of asking him questions about new data only? 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: No, I don't think so. 9 Ι 10 would think at that point -- when you have a valuable expert like Dr. Cryan, and the ultimate 11 decider here would like to know what his opinion 12 13 is, considering everything that the decider has 14 to consider, I think you would want to take that 15 opportunity. So, for example, let's say that 16 additional data comes in from USDA; maybe we 17 have some of it now, maybe we'll get some of it 18 in the future. That's utilized by different 19 witnesses for different purposes. We now have 20 new --21 Well, then, let me ask you MR. BROSCH: 2.2 this, your Honor. Does your Honor intend to 23 place any limitations on the second 24 cross-examination? JUDGE CLIFTON: What limitations would 25

1 you suggest, for example? 2 MR. BROSCH: I suggest that Dr. Cryan 3 should not be asked about the same subjects that 4 he's going to respond to in the 5 cross-examination the first time. He shouldn't be cross-examined twice on the same questions. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Then I believe Mr. Ricciardi was correct, we should defer his 8 cross-examination altogether until we have all 9 10 the data that might be relevant to his opinion, to his ultimate opinion. That's how I would see 11 it. 12 MR. BROSCH: Well, your Honor, we don't 13 14 want Dr. Cryan to have to go through the same 15 rigmarole twice. I think that we've seen that 16 we have a tendency in this hearing to ask a lot 17 of questions and extend this hearing beyond what 18 I think most people would expect. I don't want 19 him to have to do this twice. Okay. I respect that. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: 21 And I don't want to draw strict limits as to 2.2 what he can be asked, because I think he is a 23 very valuable expert. And if I were the 24 Secretary, I would want to know what he thinks 25 given all of the evidence that the Secretary has

1

2	And we don't want to deprive the
3	Secretary or anyone else of Dr. Cryan's
4	opinions. What we don't want is that Dr. Cryan
5	be subject to the same cross-examination twice.
6	And if your Honor is unwilling to enforce that
7	then perhaps that's the best choice then.
8	JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Good. I am
9	unwilling.
10	MR. BROSCH: Thank you, your Honor.
11	JUDGE CLIFTON: You're welcome. All
12	right. Before you step down, though, Dr. Cryan,
13	I want to look at Exhibit 23 with you. And you
14	reached the end of your testimony on page 20.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
16	JUDGE CLIFTON: And I want you just to
17	tell me what else is here in pages 21 through
18	23.
19	THE WITNESS: Page 21 is a list of of
20	publications and published statistics that were
21	used in the preparation of my testimony. And as
22	it says at the top and this was printed and
23	put on the table yesterday morning before
24	yesterday afternoon before we got started.
25	These are a list of things that we were to

1

request official notice of.

2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, because you're an 3 expert in this field and I am not, tell me why these things would be proper for official notice 4 5 being taken. Why are they depended upon in the industry, and if so, how do you know that? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Would you like me to go over the items individually and explain each one 8 9 and explain the relevance? JUDGE CLIFTON: I think that would be 10 11 very helpful, yes. THE WITNESS: The first thing appears to 12 13 There's a line right there that be two items. 14 should be -- the item that begins with Erba and 15 then the one that appears to be separate I think 16 is the Cornell. That should be one. That 17 should be one item altogether. 18 It is a study that was done about ten 19 years ago -- I guess about 12 years ago now by 20 Cornell University where they -- they did a 21 survey of fluid milk plants to assess their costs in a variety of categories and laid out a 2.2 23 relationship between the cost per gallon of 24 processing and the size of the plant; that is, 25 they broke out data by -- by plant size so that

1 it demonstrates the principle of -- of large plants having a lower cost per unit. And all 2 3 we've testified to is the -- is the principle. The second item identified beginning with 4 5 Dalton is a -- is an article from the Journal of Dairy Science -- that was published by some 6 7 professors from the University of Maine that demonstrates this same principle, based on a 8 similar study of plants, I believe, plants only 9 in Maine. 10 And again, we are not relying on the 11 specific numbers. We're simply relying --12 13 demonstrating another example of the publication 14 that expressed the principle of -- of increasing economies of scale. 15 The next item is Dairy Market Statistics, 16 17 Annual Summary. These are -- these are statistics that are published every year by the 18 19 Dairy Programs office, that summarizes the 20 results, the statistical -- the statistics that 21 are derived from the operations of the Federal Orders. It includes things like Class I sales, 2.2 23 and total milk pooled in each market, I think, 24 are probably the primary numbers that I relied 25 on from those sources in this -- in this -- in

this statement.

1

2	I'm sorry. I really just described the
3	next item, Federal Milk Bulk Marketing Order
4	Statistics. The previous item, Dairy Market
5	Statistics is also published by Dairy Programs,
6	but by their market news service in Madison,
7	which has quite a number of quite a bit of
8	information on prices and that has has
9	certain tables on Federal Order summaries that
10	are not available in other sources.
11	They're not available in the Federal Milk
12	Marketing Order statistics, so both of those
13	publication are AMS publications that are
14	published on a regular basis and feature widely
15	known statistics on the operations of the
16	Federal milk marketing orders and the dairy
17	market statistics.
18	JUDGE CLIFTON: And what years were of
19	particular interest to you on both these?
20	THE WITNESS: The it's a good
21	question. I'm sorry. I think probably the
22	the Dairy Market statistics probably the most
23	important item for the dairy market statistics
24	is the annual summary for 2007, I believe, is
25	the basis for Table 1, which is the final page

1 in my -- in my exhibit. 2 I'm not sure if there's anything else 3 that's not captured in Table 1 that's in the 4 study -- I'm sorry, that's this, the paper, the 5 exhibit. The Federal milk marketing orders 6 7 statistics there were a number of -- probably -probably the -- effectively the 2007 annual 8 summary, which probably hasn't been published in 9 10 a final form. Can somebody indicate to me whether or not 2007 Federal Milk Marketing Order 11 statistics has been published? 12 13 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Carman, can you 14 answer that and if so, would you come to a 15 microphone? Or the microphone will come to you 16 perhaps. Oh, it's tangled on this side. MR. CARMAN: Federal Milk Order Annual 17 18 Statistics, I don't believe is actually 19 published anymore. We make it available on the 20 website. And I believe 2007 has been published, 21 2008 is in preparation. It may or may not be 2.2 done prior to the conclusion of this hearing. 23 THE WITNESS: I believe I relied on the 24 2007 numbers, so I would be --25 Let me ask you a question MR. CARMAN:

1 about your Table 1, Roger. The differential 2 that you used for the Southeast Appalachian and 3 Florida Order, were they prior to or after the change in the differentials on a temporary basis 4 5 as published -- that was effective when, Gino? MR. TOSI: 2006. 6 7 MR. BROSCH: Your Honor, if -- perhaps if we could just clarify these documents and that 8 he can hold cross-examination of Dr. Cryan until 9 the rest of the cross-examination. 10 MR. CARMAN: Well, it makes a difference 11 on which table, which annual year he wants. 12 13 Depending on --14 THE WITNESS: This table contains average 15 Class I and average uniform prices for 2007. It 16 does not rely on any calculation by me of --17 involving differentials. It is the actual --18 these are the actual average Class I and uniform 19 prices for 2007. So they may be derived from 20 whichever or both or either or both class of 21 differentials. But in terms of statistics, 2.2 that's -- that's the relevant response to that. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. So what 24 you're telling me then, Dr. Cryan, is when I look at table 1, which is the last document in 25

1	Exhibit 23, I know that that's 2007 information
2	because that's labeled that way very clearly.
3	THE WITNESS: That's right.
4	JUDGE CLIFTON: When I and that came
5	from?
б	THE WITNESS: It came from two sources.
7	In fact, that demonstrates exactly where these
8	two publications I'm talking about, the ones
9	under which the columns under which the box
10	reads Dairy Market Statistics Annual Summary
11	2007, those numbers all came from Dairy Market
12	Statistics annual summary 2007. The shaded
13	columns under which the box says, source is
14	Federal Milk Marketing Order Statistics Annual
15	Summary 2007, those numbers came from that
16	source. And the and the three columns in
17	between are calculations by by me based on
18	the based on the rest of the numbers.
19	JUDGE CLIFTON: That's helpful. Very
20	good. Thank you. Now back to page 21.
21	THE WITNESS: So for those two items,
22	Dairy Market Statistics Annual Summary and the
23	FMMOs Annual Summary, I believe the only the
24	only ones we relied on were 2007. But I
25	would I would correct that when I come back

Г

1 if that's necessary to correct that. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Now, how -- I 2 3 know we're only partway through what I'm asking you about on page 21, but of what we've gone 4 5 through so far, will it be difficult to make copies of these sources available here? 6 7 THE WITNESS: I think -- if you're asking for duplication of the specific pages that we 8 relied on, I think it would be -- well, first of 9 all, the first two items are -- are published 10 studies, published university studies. 11 Those are -- those are substantial documents that I 12 13 think most of the people here are aware of and 14 have -- have discussed. They're readily available. The rest of the items, even those 15 16 are -- most of those are also readily available, 17 and I'll discuss the exception. 18 Those -- those are a limited number of 19 I could probably assemble for the -- for pages. the Dairy Market statistics, Federal Milk 20 21 Marketing Order statistics, milk production, pool calculations and -- and the handler list 2.2 23 that I would rely on, that I would -- that I 24 would expect to rely on, that I believe I relied 25 on probably -- or that I would rely on in

1 further discussion. I could probably put 2 together into -- into 15 or 20 pages that we 3 could make available. The last item is the -- the third from 4 5 the bottom Early Development of Milk marketing Plans in the Kansas City, Missouri area. 6 This 7 is a USDA publication. However, it is -- it was published in 1952. It is a very large document. 8 9 We could provide the -- the cover page and the two or three pages that are relevant, could make 10 copies of that if that would be sufficient. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: I think that would be 12 13 sufficient. Now, with regard to those top two 14 items -- well, actually, let me say it this way. 15 The top item includes both the Erba, Eric reference and the Cornell program reference. 16 17 THE WITNESS: It really -- it should be 18 It should all be together. There's a -one. 19 there was a typographical error on my part to 20 have -- to have a --21 MR. BROSCH: A space. 2.2 THE WITNESS: -- a space in there. 23 That's all one publication. The web page at the 24 bottom of that second apparent item is the web 25 page for the document identified in the first

two lines.

1

2 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. So I will be 3 entertaining at a later time any objection to my taking official notice of that without it being 4 5 here since it's voluminous and since it's available on a website, the Cornell website. 6 7 Now, the second one you said had to do with a study in the state of Maine, is that 8 9 correct? The study in the state of THE WITNESS: 10 Maine was published in the journal -- excuse me, 11 the Journal of Dairy Science, which is a widely 12 13 available academic journal that many, many 14 people in the industry are familiar with. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. But it's only 16 about seven pages, is that correct, what you relied on? 17 18 THE WITNESS: According to this, 19 apparently it is. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. So if you truly 21 relied on only those seven pages, and this publication is available on the web at the site 2.2 23 you show, I will be asking the people if they 24 have any objection to my taking official notice 25 of that without it being present here in print.

1

2 And with regard to the USDA statistics, 3 you will be able to pull for us into one reproduced document, a copy, so that everyone 4 5 can look at the pertinent statistics that you think helped you form your opinion. 6 Is that 7 correct, Dr. Cryan? THE WITNESS: I believe that's what 8 9 you -- I believe I understand that's what you will require of us, so that's what we will do. 10 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: If it's not required 12 13 though, I'd sooner not do it. But I believe you directed us to do that. So I will do that. 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: I really do believe it 15 16 helps, particularly since experts make certain 17 assumptions about certain things in formulating 18 their opinion. 19 Okay. Then tell me what's this on page 20 22 (sic). 21 THE WITNESS: Page 22 (sic) is a table 2.2 that is in all but the lines at the bottom, the 23 three bolded lines at the bottom, and the final 24 column on the right is taken from the Hoard's 25 Dairyman. Hoard's Dairyman Magazine which is

1 also a widely read trade publication for the 2 dairy industry aimed particularly close to dairy 3 farmers but read widely among the industry 4 generally. 5 This -- this is a tabulation they make every year of the 50 largest dairy farmer 6 7 cooperatives in the United States. This listing for 2007 was published last October and the --8 the asterisks indicate members of the National 9 Milk Producers Federation. Those asterisks --10 the Hoard's Dairyman marks -- also marks on 11 their list members of the National Milk 12 Producers Federation. 13 14 I cannot assure you that they -- their markings in that publication were the same as 15 16 these, because these are the current members. 17 This marks the current members of our 18 cooperative -- I'm sorry, of our federation, so 19 that it's more up to date in that aspect than --20 than the magazine might be. 21 In addition, I've added the -- at the 2.2 very bottom I've added the three additional 23 members of National Milk that are not on the top 24 50 list. 25 On the right-hand column, I have

1 calculated the estimated average cows per farm 2 simply by taking the volume of each co-op and 3 dividing it by the number of farms, which gives me a volume of milk per farm and divided by a 4 5 representative milk per cow figure, which I believe was the -- I believe I used the average 6 7 milk per cow for 2008. It might have been 2007. But it's -- it would be -- those results are --8 are -- would be almost identical. 9 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: So did you use a nationwide average milk per cow? 11 THE WITNESS: I used a nationwide 12 13 average, that's right. 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there a variability 15 region to region? 16 THE WITNESS: There's variability from 17 region to region but it's a -- it's an 18 indication of the -- of the size of the farms in 19 each co-op. Some of the co-ops are national or 20 cover relatively wide regions. Others are more 21 narrowly located. 2.2 But I thought the -- the simpler thing 23 was to use the national average rather than 24 assume the specificity that would be -- I do not 25 have the specificity to get the number exactly

1	right. So it was made more sense to use a
2	frank approximation than than a phony
3	precision.
4	JUDGE CLIFTON: Well put. And how is
5	Hoard's spelled as you've used it in the last
6	few comments?
7	THE WITNESS: H-o-a-r-d, apostrophe s,
8	Dairyman, D-a-i-r-y-m-a-n. And on the bottom I
9	have the first the first line is simply a
10	toting up of the numbers in the overall table
11	for the members of National Milk that are in the
12	top 50.
13	The next line is a toting up of all of
14	the co-ops that are in all the co-ops that
15	are in the top 50. And then the last item is
16	a is a U.S. total. Again, I believe I
17	believe that's for 2007, the total production.
18	It is it is 2007, based on the number. I'm
19	looking at the number. So these numbers should
20	all be based on 2007.
21	So that the U.S U.S. milk production
22	was 185.6 billion pounds. There were 59,131
23	licensed dairy farms in the United States in
24	2007. And that works out to an approximate
25	average of 155 cows per farm.

1 And you can see from -- from these numbers that the -- that the National Milk 2 3 average is very close to the national average. And there's a fair -- there's a clear variety --4 5 variation among the members. I believe we are a co-op, as a federation -- National Milk actually 6 7 is a qualified Capper-Volstead cooperative for a number of political reasons. 8 But as a federation, representing co-ops, 9 10 we represent a representative -- our members are representative of the nation. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, is there anything 12 else you want to say to clarify either your 13 testimony or Exhibit 23? 14 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. 15 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Brosch, 17 do you have any questions? 18 MR. BROSCH: No, your Honor. I'm done with the witness. 19 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Then, 21 Dr. Cryan, since you will be here and available 2.2 for cross-examination, I would like to defer 23 that until everybody is ready. Mr. Ricciardi, 24 did you want to make a comment? 25 MR. RICCIARDI: I do, Judge. And I'll

1 take your guidance on this point as I would with 2 anything. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, thank you. In fact, after your 4 MR. RICCIARDI: 5 explanation, maybe I'll even let you do the cross-examination, too. 6 7 But I have some -- some questions on voir dire of this witness which are limited and which 8 would be reflective of portions of Exhibit 23. 9 If you would like me to defer that until it is 10 actually offered in evidence, I am willing to do 11 that when Dr. Cryan gets back on the stand. 12 It 13 relates to whether portions of this report should come in. And, obviously, you're going to 14 15 make that decision. My voir dire would be 16 limited. But you tell me when you want to do 17 that. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. I'll hear 19 from you, Mr. Brosch, on that point. 20 MR. BROSCH: Your Honor, I think we've 21 already had a good colloquy on whether you are going to force any limitations on examinations 2.2 23 here, and so I think we'd be better at this 24 point, since Dr. Cryan is going to be called 25 back for the majority of his cross-examination,

1

to defer it to that point.

2 JUDGE CLIFTON: I agree because I'll be 3 better educated then. And we may also have some hard copy of some of these documents on which 4 5 I'm asked to take official notice, so --MR. RICCIARDI: Your Honor, I understand. 6 7 And that's fine, except what I would like to do is to do that first because the voir dire goes 8 to the question of whether or not portions of 9 this, in fact, should be admissible before we 10 get into the substantive cross-examination. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. That's a good 12 13 point. 14 MR. RICCIARDI: Thank you. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: And I appreciate all 16 counsel bringing to everyone's attention as soon 17 as they are aware of an issue so that people 18 have time to think about it and be ready for it. 19 That's very helpful. Mr. Vetne. 20 MR.MR. VENTE: Your Honor, I don't want 21 to address this witness on his testimony but I 2.2 do want to comment on and -- on the policy that 23 you've suggested on the documents to be 24 officially noticed. 25 This came up a little bit before. The

practice has been -- and I know practice doesn't need to be followed everywhere -- but if -- if a document or a data set is readily available to everybody here and to the world, at an accessible online location, that official notice may be taken and people can then refer to that document. They could go to that document. The accessibility is not limited because there isn't a physical copy. And for some of the material here and documents that will be -- for which official notice will be requested, the data is rather voluminous.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

And my opinion, that a paper copy of documents that are readily accessible, when we know the document to which and the table to which a witness has referred or on which counsel may rely simply on brief, if it's readily available, I know my clients probably would not want to pay for copying of -- of Federal Milk Order Market statistics. There are many relevant tables there.

22 More will probably come to mind as we finish the 23 hearing and even proceed to -- to briefing. 24 I do think that copies ought to be made

available and maybe scanned so they're

1 accessible online to everybody, of a document 2 like Early Development, all of it because we 3 don't have an opportunity to judge Mr. Cryan's judgment as to whether only three pages of a 4 5 lengthy document are relevant. He found three pages relevant. I'd like to see the whole 6 7 thing. I never have. So that's -- that's my comment on the 8 9 copy for official notice purposes ruling. When your Honor announced that policy, I'm not sure 10 anybody had requested it. I, for one, don't 11 If nobody cares, maybe your Honor should 12 care. 13 be less insistent. Thank you. 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: In other words, you're 15 saying you don't need the hard copies here? 16 MR. VENTE: Not if it's available readily online. 17 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: And do you know that --19 since you're interested in Early Development and 20 that you might be interested in more than three 21 pages, are -- are you confident that this 50 --2.2 56--year-old, 57-year-old -- 57-year-old 23 document is readily available? 24 MR.MR. VENTE: That one I -- the witness 25 said it's not available anyplace. And I think

that one ought to be provided. And in addition, I think it ought to be scanned and made available on a website's, whether it's USDA's or NMMF's website, so that other people may access it online. That's the one exception to all these documents that is not available online and for which a copy of the whole thing ought to be made available.

9 JUDGE CLIFTON: You see, I suspect that 10 this expert made very limited use of something 11 that is 57 years old. And if he says he used 12 three pages of it, I really don't think he ought 13 to have to bring the whole thing in here because 14 you're curious.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15 MR.MR. VENTE: Not because I'm curious, 16 your Honor. But the whole -- the whole thing, 17 like any document, was based on the data set. 18 And -- and whether other parts -- if someone 19 relies on a -- on a statement in a transcript, 20 you know, and there's context for that 21 statement, a person may do that. But the -- the 2.2 document source, the transcript source should be 23 made available for other parties to look at to 24 see if the context is -- is misleading in some 25 way or if there are other data sets,

1 conclusions, and materials in the document upon which the witness relied from which another 2 3 expert or a lawyer could make the opposite conclusion. 4 5 This document is not available to anybody as far as -- well, it's not available to us. 6 7 It's not available online. I'm not asking for -- for 30 copies, but I'm asking that if --8 if the document or any portion of the document 9 was relied upon by this witness to reach a 10 conclusion, that the whole document should be 11 made available for other experts to look at to 12 13 see about the legitimacy of that notion. 14 MR. BROSCH: Your Honor, I think we have 15 a lawyer that's been watching too much Cold 16 Case, but we certainly -- we certainly will do, 17 you know, what your Honor has requested. We 18 will make -- or we will defer to the idea that 19 those things that are available online are 20 available to everyone. 21 It seems to me that's the new world we 2.2 live in, but it's up to your Honor. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Beshore. 24 MR. BESHORE: Just very quickly. I don't 25 want to comment on the issue with respect to the

1 1952 publication that Dr. Cryan's offered, but 2 with respect to the general rules of notice, I 3 would join the position that Mr. Vetne has articulated that where they are generally 4 5 available to everyone online, that it should not be necessary, that it is not necessary. And I 6 7 would request your Honor to consider whether it should be necessary -- necessary to have them 8 physically available in the courtroom. 9 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Well, we may not -- Mr. Yale first, and then Mr. Carroll. 11 MR. YALE: Benjamin F. Yale, Continental 12 13 Dairy Products, Select Milk Producers. On the official notice, that has been the practice and 14 15 I agree on the voluminousness. The difficulty 16 is that it is so easy to reference a website 17 with a plethora of information on it and never 18 ever identify what's in that and what you're 19 going to use or anything else. 20 So I -- you know, I don't mind just being 21 able to go to the website. That's great. Ι 2.2 like to have electronic copies. But I think 23 there ought to be a lot more focus. I really, 24 really appreciated your Honor's questions of 25 Dr. Cryan that showed that although he

1 referenced a huge amount of data, I mean, all the Federal Order statistics decades -- well 2 3 almost a decade, 1999 to 2007, and it's really basically a page and a couple of numbers off 4 5 them. And the same thing with the others. So if we can discipline ourselves -- and 6 7 I'll try to do the same thing. To say, oh, yeah, I'd like to have all the Federal Order 8 statistics since the beginning of time through 9 today when all I need to know is the Class I 10 utilization out of the Texas Order for 2007 or 11 whatever -- I guess it wasn't the Texas Order 12 13 then but be that as the may. I think that ought 14 to be appropriately to be able to focus. And on the other hand, if they're small 15 16 pages, I think having the hard copies if you're 17 going to use them during cross-examination or 18 use them during the hearing, I really think 19 copies ought to be available. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: For one reason, Mr. Yale, 21 so the judge can follow along. 2.2 MR. YALE: Right. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Those things that are 24 perfectly understood by all of you are not 25 perfectly understood by me. And I have to

1 preside here. So having a hard copy is 2 sometimes helpful. MR. YALE: Right. I think it's helpful 3 to all of us. And then I would make a separate 4 5 but related request that I think's appropriate and that is that in, for example, Dr. Cryan's 6 7 testimony and some of the others, if we can have some way that those could be electronically 8 available quickly during the hearing, it would 9 help some of us who are trying to avoid the 10 paper chase. 11 So, I mean, I'm willing to give him my 12 13 e-mail address or whatever so that they could 14 e-mail to me if that's appropriate. That would be a help. And I would be willing to 15 16 reciprocate if we should do anything as well. 17 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, if USDA can put 18 these things on the website so even those people 19 who didn't come here can see them --20 MR. YALE: Right. Well they will 21 eventually. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: -- that would be good. 23 I'm talking about during the MR. YALE: 24 pendency of the hearing. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: While we're here, I have

> ©Ace-Merit, LLC (513)241-3200 30 Garfield Place, Suite 620 Cincinnati, OH 45202

470

7	
1	them.
2	MR. YALE: Thank you very much.
3	JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Yale.
4	Mr. Carroll.
5	MR. CARROLL: I agree with as long as
6	we have hard copies, I have no objections.
7	Without hard copies, I do have an objection. I
8	recently finished a case in the second highest
9	court of the State of New York where the issue
10	was raised and the court said flat out, these
11	are not infallible means of putting things on.
12	They're not infallible in translation. They're
13	subject to alteration. And they are not
14	generally admissible, at least in the state
15	courts.
16	So unless we have a hard copy, I maintain
17	my objection.
18	MR. BROSCH: Well, there you go, your
19	Honor.
20	JUDGE CLIFTON: Yeah. I didn't have
21	unanimity. I thought we were going to settle
22	the whole thing. You know, we had it going. We
23	had Beshore agreeing with Vetne, and I thought
24	this is going to be an easy hearing from here
25	out.

1 MR. BROSCH: Your Honor, I agree with 2 everything you said except when you said that 3 you don't understand things fully like everybody else here. I can tell you, if you think 4 everybody else understands it all, you're being 5 very fooled. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Then I would like to take you up on your suggestion, Dr. Cryan, 8 that with regard to those USDA statistics that 9 you relied on, if you would pull those together 10 and make them available, about 30 sets of them, 11 for those who are participating here. 12 13 And with respect to that Early 14 Development, which is a USDA publication, I just 15 want the pages that you relied on. If vou 16 happen to have some sort of a hard copy of that 17 or an electronic version of that you could make 18 available to counsel who want to peruse it, that 19 would be great. I would appreciate it, but I'm 20 not requiring it. And I'm not requiring you to 21 go fetch either of the first two since you have 2.2 those websites noted. 23 MR. BROSCH: Okay. Thank you, your 24 Honor. We'd be glad to comply with that. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you,

Mr. Brosch.

1

2 You may step down. Thank you. 3 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, I assumed, without thinking of course, that there's 4 5 automatic reservations for any overruled decision of the chair. In other words, we don't 6 7 have to keep popping up and saying I reserve or I object and I reserve? 8 9 JUDGE CLIFTON: No, you don't have to 10 keep popping up. But as you can see by what I just did, I'm not consistent. So you have to 11 keep letting me know what you care about. 12 13 MR. CARROLL: Just to repeat my original 14 objection, any piece of paper which is 15 referenced on the Internet and not produced here 16 in hard copy is our objection, and we will take 17 a respectful exception to any kind of ruling for 18 the very simple reason that that does not meet 19 proper legal standards. Thank you. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. That was well 21 said. Mr. Brosch? 2.2 MR. BROSCH: I would just note, your 23 Honor, I just finished a case in the Ninth 24 Circuit Court of Appeals, and we submitted evidence with reference to websites in the Ninth 25

1 Circuit Court of Appeals. So I don't know what 2 they're doing in the second highest appellate 3 court or whatever it is in New York, but in the 4 federal courts you can do this. 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: My concern about websites is, they do change. They do get changed. 6 7 Sometimes the reason is because what was up there was an error. And so it's appropriately 8 9 fixed. And if we don't have the hard copy, we don't know whether the erroneous version or the 10 fixed version is what a person relied on. 11 That's one of my concerns. Websites do change. 12 13 MR. BROSCH: Well, perhaps Mr. Carroll or 14 whoever objects can go onto a website and take a look at it and see if he can come into this 15 16 particular century in terms of accessibility and 17 if he has an objection after doing that, he can 18 come back here. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Yeah. That was not a 20 fair cut. Mr. Beshore. 21 MR. BESHORE: I just want to make sure 2.2 that we're not confusing taking requests for 23 notice of websites, which I didn't have -- I 24 didn't comment upon. But requests for notice of 25 publications which are available on websites,

1 like past publications, statistical 2 publications, I think there is little or no 3 possibility that those publications, published documents of Federal agencies, for instance, 4 5 which is primarily what we're talking about here, are different from one time to the other. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you. Mr. Stevens. 8 Your Honor, I know you 9 MR. STEVENS: 10 haven't heard from enough lawyers on this, but I'll add my 2 cents, or some amount of money. 11 My thought on this is that we have had, over the 12 13 course of the years, different approaches for 14 official notice in rulemaking hearings. As far as official notice of -- of USDA 15 16 publications, of the type of documents that I'm 17 hearing referred to that are generally available 18 to the public in the library, online, at various 19 sources, available from the Department by 20 request, those documents, it seems to me are --21 and I have experienced in my -- in my doing 2.2 Federal Order hearings, those documents have 23 been -- official notice has been taken of them 24 many times and no copies were ever brought to 25 the hearing room.

There are -- there have been occasions 1 2 where -- where copies have been brought for the 3 convenience of the parties of the hearing to see and use. My experience also tells me that over 4 5 the course of the years, many parties ask for official notice of -- of many types of things. 6 7 And they are noted for the record, and they become part of the record, that is, the request 8 and the identification of the documents. 9 10 And, in fact, the Department asked for official notice of many things, prior decisions, 11 other -- other documents which are public, 12 13 readily available, and they are not made a part 14 of the record. If that were the case, as voluminous as 15 16 these records are, they would just be that much more voluminous, with documents that are readily 17 18 available to anybody to get ahold of. 19 And I've heard the concerns about that 20 they're -- if they're on the web site, they 21 might be incorrect or claims of that nature. But given all that, my experience in federal 2.2 23 court hearings is that official publications, 24 official notice, judicial notice, different 25 notices are made all the time in these

rulemakings and as far as official notice is 1 2 concerned, the documents are not necessarily 3 made available. So I just add that to the mix and it is 4 5 your Honor's call, certainly. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you. 6 7 Mr. Tosi, did you want to add anything? MR. TOSI: (Shaking head.) 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: I'm not going to change 9 what I've asked of Dr. Cryan, but I will have to 10 confront this issue as we go on when other 11 people ask that I take official notice of 12 13 things. And I'll just have to see what I think. I know I would want -- in trying to 14 15 determine how to cross-examine Dr. Cryan, I 16 would want the pages in front of me that were 17 important to him out of these sources, these 18 references. Okay. Good. Now, since we have deferred 19 20 cross-examination of Dr. Cryan until more 21 information is available, this would be a good 2.2 time for me to ask for a report on what 23 additional information Mr. Carman has pulled 24 together and what is the request status 25 response, at this point. Should Mr. Carman come

1 forward or, Mr. Stevens, do you want --2 MR. STEVENS: Well, can we take a minute, 3 your Honor? 4 JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes. Let's take a 5 five-minute stretch break. Please -- well, let's go till 3:15. We'll go back on record at 6 7 3:15. (A recess was taken from 3:08 to 3:15.) 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: And let's go back on 9 10 record. All right. We're back on record at 3:15. Who would like to report? Mr. Carman 11 himself. 12 First of all, your Honor, we 13 MR. CARMAN: 14 presented some information to AIDA this morning in hard copy form and have just recently 15 16 discovered that some of the tables are the 17 incorrect tables. That's what we were just 18 doing just now. So we will get the corrected 19 tables to them. 20 In terms of item 13, in request 1, which 21 was the recalculation of the pools, I have heard 2.2 from two of the eight offices concerning 23 recalculation of the pools. In both cases those 24 are going to be restricted data of only one or 25 two entities that did not pool milk because of

adverse price relationships.

Item 7 of the second request, which dealt with the cost of a producer-handler's becoming regulated if Proposal 1 is eliminated is being calculated still, but a number of orders, as we've indicated, and other data will be restricted.

So one thought is to provide some examples of various size producer-handlers times the admin for a calculated cost with that kind of an assumption.

Last night, we responded by e-mail to 12 13 Wisconsin, Vermont, New Hampshire, New York and 14 Pennsylvania Coalition of Departments of Agriculture, and particularly Mr. Will Hughes 15 16 asked for a set of data. We provided by e-mail 17 the structure data that I presented as items 14 18 through 20, as well as PDF of the relevant 19 producer-handler regulations in 1000 part -- in 20 part in each of the individual order parts. 21 We also indicated to Mr. Hughes where he could find a PDF searchable file of all of the 2.2 23 regulation in part 7 concerning Federal Orders.

I believe Mr. Carroll's requests have been completed. Mr. Yale's requests are still

©Ace-Merit, LLC (513)241-3200 30 Garfield Place, Suite 620 Cincinnati, OH 45202

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

in progress.

1

2 The requests which were the last set of 3 data requests that we got are last in line. 4 We're working on those and Mr. Cryan's requests, 5 I believe, are completed. JUDGE CLIFTON: Dr. Cryan had requests? 6 7 Yes. A lot of that data was MR. CARMAN: information that we provided in Exhibit 5 8 9 through 20, that we would have provided anyways 10 as information for all participants in the hearing. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And did you 12 13 say it is completed or is there anything more? 14 MR. CARMAN: I believe it's completed. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: Complete. 16 MR. CRYAN: It's completed as far as I'm 17 concerned, your Honor. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Dr. Cryan believes it's 19 completed. Okay. Who did you say after 20 Mr. Yale? Mr. Vetne, what did you say about 21 Mr. Vetne? His were the last requests. 2.2 MR. CARMAN: Yes. His was the last 23 request for data that we got. And we are --24 some of what he has requested has been provided 25 in previous exhibits or will be provided. And

1 so there is some combination -- some of his 2 stuff has already been covered, but some of it 3 we're working on. 4 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Have you, to 5 Mr. Ricciardi and his group, said no to any of 6 the requests? 7 MR. CARMAN: Last night we put together a small e-mail, a hard copy indicating or 8 correcting the letter that Mr. -- that was put 9 in as Exhibit 21 yesterday, indicating the third 10 item should have covered items 14 through 16 and 11 not items 15 and 16. 12 13 Regarding your first e-mail request of 14 April 16th, 2009, items 5 and 6, average fluid milk handler volume, that -- we don't have that. 15 16 There's a possibility that we could do a 17 selected month by the number of -- of handlers. 18 But as I testified in Exhibits 14 through 19 20, some handlers have little or no route sales 20 because their unit's pooled. 21 So to divide by the total number of 2.2 handlers into -- into an area Class I route 23 sales for a particular month may or may not be 24 an accurate set of data. 25 We indicated that items 8 through 12

1	would not be provided. And that and then
2	item number 3 was items 15 and 16. That should
3	have read items 14 through 16.
4	JUDGE CLIFTON: So you had already
5	identified in Exhibit 21 all of the no responses
6	except that we need to include 14?
7	MR. CRYAN: That's my understanding.
8	JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.and you're working
9	on the rest?
10	MR. CRYAN: Yes.
11	JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Stevens,
12	do you want to ask any other questions of
13	Mr. Carman, or do you want to say anything more?
14	MR. STEVENS: Well, I don't have any
15	questions for Mr. Carman. But what I want to
16	say is, first of all, the word the word has
17	been used by by myself and by others and the
18	word is "sponsored." That word, I don't think,
19	is the word that should be used to to to
20	identify the position that Mr. Carman's taking.
21	Mr. Carman, as a government employee, is
22	responding to requests. And as he testified,
23	when he response in response to the request,
24	it came to his mind that certain documents could
25	be of use to the parties and those were created

Г

1 and they became Exhibit 5 through 20. 2 There are other requests that parties 3 have made. And given the time constraints when they were received, and how much data was being 4 5 requested, we see the results of that, that it is a voluminous amount of material and every 6 7 effort is being made by every office of the Market Administrators, each of their offices, 8 9 and by numerous employees in AMS Dairy Programs 10 to complete those requests. I want to say also that in terms of -- of 11 the weight or of what these documents mean, 12 there should be -- and I don't think sometimes 13 14 there is, but it certainly is erroneous to believe the fact that -- that the statistics are 15 16 presented by a marketing specialist or Federal 17 Marketing Order employees in and of itself means 18 that the Department will take any greater --19 will hold those in any higher level than any 20 other statistics requested by the government 21 that are presented by the parties at this 2.2 hearing. 23 So whether Mr. Carman presents this 24 evidence or whether it's presented by any party, 25 it will receive the same weight and

1 consideration by the Department. So it is 2 erroneous to say or to suggest that there is 3 some favoritism, some thought the fact that 4 Mr. Carman presents this as opposed to it being 5 presented by a party means that any greater weight will attach to whatever that material is. 6 7 If that impression is going through this hearing, that impression is erroneous and should 8 9 not be counted upon. Beyond that, when the -- when the 10 information is provided to the parties for their 11 use, they may choose to introduce it or not. 12 13 And that is their choice, of course. 14 If it's important to them, I assume 15 they're going to present it. If it's not, they 16 may not. There are certainly instances where 17 information is requested, where the parties 18 requesting it determine that it is not of an 19 importance or significance or relevance to -- to 20 put into the record. And so it is not put into 21 the record. On other occasions, it is put in 2.2 the record. 23 That choice is available to every party 24 at this hearing. So do not attach any 25 significance to the fact that Mr. Carman puts in

1 evidence as opposed to parties putting it in 2 evidence. The Secretary reviews the evidence of 3 this hearing from all sources. 4 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, every time 5 Mr. Carman pulls together a response to a request for statistical information, AMS Dairy 6 7 will put his response on its website regardless of whether there's any indication that the 8 requesting party will use it. Is that correct? 9 10 MR. STEVENS: Yes. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: So everyone can look there and determine whether to use it, is that 12 13 correct? 14 MR. STEVENS: Yes. And your Honor makes 15 a very good point. Which is the fact, because 16 of -- because of the availability of the 17 Internet, because we have gone to that format, 18 to make information available to the public and 19 as anyone that goes to the USDA AMS website can 20 see, there is voluminous material on that 21 website concerning this rulemaking and others. 2.2 And the attempt is made to put every 23 document, every one that -- that can be put up 24 there is put up there. And it is available to 25 the public.

1 So if someone requests some material and 2 they choose not to do it -- to use it for 3 whatever purpose, but some other entity part -or party, person is looking at that and wants to 4 5 use it in this hearing, well of course it's up on the website and it's available for their use. 6 7 It is -- it is as open a process as the Department can make it, so that everyone has 8 9 access to the same information. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, I had a thought yesterday after we stopped. I was going down an 11 avenue of thinking if Exhibits 5 through 20 came 12 13 in in hard copy, and there were 30 to 50 copies of them on the back table, then every response 14 15 by Mr. Carman should get equal treatment. 16 That's how I was thinking. 17 Now, my 5 through 20 -- gee, Exhibit 3 is 18 the most lengthy exhibit I've got. That's all 19 the notices. Okay, my 5 through 20 -- I'm 20 sorry, I just want to make this point. 21 Okay. My 5 though 20 are an eighth of an 2.2 inch tall. And so the burden was not great to 23 duplicate those. And I'm glad it was done and 24 I'm glad everyone has that in hard copy. I am 25 afraid that some of these other responses may be

1 so voluminous that the burden of reproducing 2 them is indeed great. 3 Now, I just heard a lot of argument from a lot of lawyers who said, you know, when we 4 5 take official notice of documents published by USDA, we really don't need the hard copies, 6 7 because they're available online. And I'm thinking, how is this different? 8 So I may have to revise my thinking a little bit 9 10 when we get to the point that someone wants to use something that Mr. Carman pulled together 11 and is posted on the AMS Dairy website. 12 13 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, it's been my 14 experience that over the course of these 15 hearings, many times this happens. Requests are 16 made and documents are provided. Parties incorporate them into their statements, they 17 18 make exhibits out of them. They use some of the 19 information, they put it together with other 20 In other words, they create maybe a new things. 21 document or certainly a modified document of 2.2 some kind. 23 Well, then, it's their document 24 certainly. I mean, in the sense that it's their 25 document with supporting material that they've

1

14

15

16

17

18

received from the Department.

2 I submit, your Honor, that that is not 3 something that the Department should be responsible for providing copies for the use of 4 5 the parties. I mean, that becomes a document 6 that -- that a pro -- or opponent is using to --7 to make their point for or against a proposal. And the documentation from the Department is 8 9 used to make that point.

And so the Department is not responsible, it seems to me, to put that in. And has not, in every hearing that I've been through, has not been required to do that.

The whole attempt at the beginning of these hearings is to provide the documentation which would be useful to all the parties and to make the copies available so that the parties can use it during the course of the hearing.

With respect to these special -- I call them special ones, requests of parties, as we've had numerous ones here, and still working on it. They go up on the website. A hard copy is presented to the requester, and they are told what documents they have received and they are told what documents they will not receive and

why.

1

2 And that is what is being done. That is 3 what will be done -- will be done. There will be no request that will not be responded to in 4 5 terms of the documents or the explanation why the documents are not available. 6 7 As Mr. Carman just indicated for the record, many of these documents, they will turn 8 9 out to have restricted data. 10 And from my mind, and certainly I'm willing to hear any other position on this, but 11 they become of very limited value if it's just 12 13 headings with R and blanks. 14 Now, the Department is going through the process of finding that, presenting it and 15 16 incurring the cost of doing all that in the 17 effort to assist the parties in the conduct of 18 this hearing. The use of the documents, of course, is 19 20 up to the proponent of any proposal that they 21 think are relevant to these requests. That's 2.2 all we can do. And, I mean, that's all we 23 should be required to do. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, the requests 25 themselves are also on the website, is that

1 correct? 2 MR. STEVENS: I believe that's the case, 3 your Honor. JUDGE CLIFTON: So what I want to make 4 5 sure we also let everyone know is when requests are refused or denied, that everyone be told 6 7 why. Well, and I believe that is MR. STEVENS: 8 the case also. If it is not the case, it 9 certainly should be the case and will be the 10 case that all the postings on the website will 11 indicate who asked for what. And, in fact, 12 13 maybe -- you know, the actual document, the letter, the request. 14 And it will contain, as I believe it 15 16 contains, our responses to those letters saying 17 what we're providing, what we are unable to 18 provide and the reasons why. And so the -- the 19 request will be as transparent as it can be. 20 Everyone can view that on the website and 21 know what requests have been made and what the 2.2 disposition of those requests -- what the 23 disposition of those requests has been. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, right this minute, 25 is this a good time for Mr. Carman to present

1 any additional statistics or would it be better deferred? 2 3 MR. STEVENS: We don't have any hard 4 copies to present at this time with respect to 5 any of the requests. They are working on them. And then as I said, when they are completed, 6 7 they are put up on the website and the hard copies are available to the parties that 8 9 requested them. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. So right now would not be a good time to have Mr. Carman 11 testify. 12 MR. STEVENS: I don't think so. 13 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Then I go 15 back to my list. I'm thinking this is a good 16 time for Mr. Tonak and Mr. Asbury. 17 Judge, can I make one point? MS. BRYSON: 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: You may. Let's see. 19 MS. BRYSON: I just want to let the 20 record reflect that the reason for denying 21 requests 8 through 12 from the Independent 2.2 American Dairy Alliance is, was the USDA is 23 unable to provide guidance on these -- those 24 requests. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Wait just a second. Let

me look at Exhibit 12 -- excuse me, Exhibit 21 and read from the e-mail. It says, items 8 through 12, the information you requested will not be provided. In addition to being beyond the scope of providing statistical data, what you seek cannot be articulated without the benefit of testimony and evidence that this hearing will examine.

9 MS. BRYSON: That's correct, Judge 10 Clifton. And I just wanted to point out that 11 what we had asked for is notice of the standard 12 of proof that Dairy Programs is going to use to 13 evaluate the evidence that they receive in this 14 formal rulemaking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, by standard of proof, are you thinking of things like, will this be by a preponderance of the evidence or clear and convincing or beyond a reasonable doubt or the like, or something else?

20 MS. BRYSON: No, your Honor. The 21 definition of disorderly marketing, what kind of 22 statistics are going to be relied on for that, 23 what the tests are for determining that. The 24 idea of being able to present evidence that's 25 responsive to a proposal that the government

itself has not made is very difficult in the 1 2 absence of any clear statement from the 3 government about what the standard of proof is 4 against which this rulemaking is going to be 5 determined. JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, is there case law 6 7 that establishes this, to your knowledge? MS. BRYSON: I think that's a question 8 9 for the Department. You know, we had asked your Honor that this be conducted under the new 10 rulemaking authority of the Farm Bill as an 11 informal rulemaking, in which the government 12 13 would consider this proposal and say, this is 14 what we, the federal government, propose, and 15 allow everybody the opportunity to comment on 16 that. 17 In that kind of proceeding, the USDA 18 gives everyone notice of what they think 19 disorderly marketing is and creates a situation 20 in which responsive evidence can be presented. USDA decided not to do that here. And I 21 2.2 just wanted to call to the -- I wanted to make 23 explicit in the record the fact that we're 24 proceeding here to gather evidence on proposals 25 as to which the government has not asserted any

1 position or standards against which we can 2 essentially marshal a case. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, that's -- that's a advantage as well as a disadvantage. It's wide 4 5 open. Go for it, I think. MS. BRYSON: I understand. I just want 6 7 it to be clear on the record, because this is happening very fast under much shorter time 8 frames than had existed in the past. 9 10 A lot of the problems, I think, people are experiencing with data here and the 11 inability of USDA to provide data in a timely 12 13 fashion is because this is being rushed in an unreasonable way. 14 And I simply want it to be clear on the 15 16 record that we asked for articulation of what 17 the standards are going to be in this new world 18 and USDA has declined to provide any. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 20 MS. BRYSON: Thank you. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. English. 2.2 MR. ENGLISH: Good afternoon, your Honor. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Good afternoon. 24 MR. ENGLISH: Charles English. I wasn't 25 sure when you would hear this. Fascinating

1 question you asked, of course, what's the case law proposition. And of course the answer was 2 3 none. Well, that wasn't the answer. Well, there wasn't any case law provided 4 5 for the assertion. What we do have, however, is 76 years of precedence within the program. 6 And 7 I have with me one of the consultants in the dairy industry going back to the 1950s. 8 9 And I can unequivocally say that these kinds of interrogatories, which is what these 10 are, directed to the Department -- they are not 11 data requests, they are interrogatories directed 12 13 to an agency, are wholly inappropriate, without 14 precedence and without case law. 15 And the Department, nor we who oppose the 16 interrogatories, should have to go find the 17 needle in the haystack that says you can't do 18 it. It would be better if those who assert they 19 can, could show that. 20 First, I believe that the requests seek 21 to violate the level of privilege, the 2.2 deliberate privilege of the Department. They 23 seek to get the Departments to say in advance 24 how they're going to deliberate. 25 Second, we frankly believe that the

1 procedures of informal rulemaking could not have 2 been used in this process precisely for the 3 controversy here. If you noticed the comment, you don't 4 5 have any evidence. What you have, of course, is what you are going to get here. You have formal 6 7 rulemaking followed by a recommended decision after briefing and an opportunity to comment and 8 9 have exceptions. 10 That is by way of saying that the very thing that those who seek to have 11 interrogatories to the Department have 12 13 provided -- will have their opportunity, if and 14 when we ever get to a recommended decision. 15 As to the rush that we are under, I think 16 this may be one instance where, at least to my 17 knowledge, everybody in the room may be on the 18 same side. 19 And that is by way of saying, the rush 20 that we're under is because of a new 21 Congressional mandate of how these proceedings will be pursued. I'm unaware of any counsel --2.2 23 and I emphasize the word counsel, I don't know 24 what individual parties may have done -- but I'm 25 unaware of any counsel who regularly, or for

that matter has for the first time participated in these proceedings who advocate -- and quite frankly, I will tell you that without any authority, I certainly thought this was the worst idea around, and I think we're now seeing But nonetheless, Congress said, here's the procedure, because it took too long to do these things, and they set deadlines. And what those deadlines did was put a real limit on our colleagues to the left. However that's --JUDGE CLIFTON: The USDA employees.

13 MR. ENGLISH: The USDA employees. That 14 isn't anybody's fault in this room, and it's 15 certainly not the fault of the USDA employees 16 who were put into this position. That is the 17 reality of what has happened as a result of a 18 Congressional enactment. And the enactments as 19 I understand it, if you work the days backwards, 20 I mean we have to have this hearing closed by 21 Friday, June 5th. That's my understanding, that 2.2 the hearing must close in Order to meet all the 23 other time deadlines. 24 So whatever we all think about this 25 proceeding, apparently Congress has told how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

why.

long we have to get it done. I will not comment on that.

As to what notice there may have been or what people have said, gee, we haven't had enough time to think about this, the Secretary quite clearly indicated in 2005 that a case could be made for eliminating the producer-handler exemption. That was published in the Federal Register. A number of the players in that procedure, in fact, are in this room today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

And no one can claim that having that 12 13 been published in the Federal Register in 2005, that a case can be made to eliminate the 14 15 definition of producer-handler should be 16 surprised that the Secretary, having said that, 17 that someone in the industry would take them up 18 on that and propose precisely that. So there 19 really isn't any notice issue.

But even if there is, I go back to the fact that Congress is the one that told us how long these proceedings take now, not us, that's the only point that had been raised specifically in these interrogatories. If we need to address the rest of the interrogatories, I had a series

1 of other documents, but that particular one has 2 been raised for now. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. English. I personally want to comment how proud I am of 4 5 USDA for holding this type of rulemaking proceeding, because I have been the subject of 6 7 rules that were made under notice and comment that were very bad. And the way they got that 8 9 way was the mindset was pretty much set in stone when comments were asked for. So not much 10 11 change happened. This is a different proceeding. This is 12 13 almost a brainstorming proceeding, but 14 definitely a proceeding in which the Secretary 15 can take into account diverse viewpoints, very 16 complicated theory and do the best he can in projecting what the impact of the rulemaking 17 18 would be. I like it a lot. It's a little 19 fluid, which makes it a little uncomfortable, 20 but I think it's an excellent process myself. 21 It's expensive. It's expensive for the 2.2 Secretary and it's expensive for the parties. 23 But it's effective. Ms. Bryson? 24 MS. BRYSON: Thank you, Judge Clifton. Ι 25 think we're delighted to hear that this is a

1 fluid brainstorming process. That's great. 2 We're behind that. 3 I would just say the 2005 decision of the Secretary was about a specific order. 4 What 5 we're faced with here is a request for a national policy applicable across all orders, 6 7 even though by proponent's own admission, there's no evidence of disorderly marketing in a 8 number of these areas. Thank you. 9 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Stevens. MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, I just want to 11 make sure the record reflects the response to 12 13 the requests, and the requests of this group, is 14 ongoing. The answer to the questions concerning 15 items -- I think it's 8 through 12, is that the 16 right number? 17 JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes. 18 MR. STEVENS: I want to be sure it's the 19 right number. 8 through 12, certainly in the 20 mind of the Department, are not the object of 21 fact-finding rulemaking. Which we are here to 2.2 make a record, a factual record, upon which the 23 Department can decide what appropriate 24 modifications to existing regulations should be, 25 if any.

1 And these are -- these items, 8 through 2 12, are the material for litigation. They are 3 not material for rulemaking. So -- and I agree 4 to the extent that they are called 5 interrogatories. What they are asking for is for the 6 7 Department to predisclose it's deliberative process and its decision-making process. 8 This is something that the courts have held 9 10 sacrosanct for many years, and it's certainly not a change in any procedures or anything of 11 that type. 12 This proceeding will be no different than 13 14 any others in terms of how the department conducts rulemaking, formal rulemaking, in terms 15 16 of making a record, evaluating the record for --17 records evidence for and against various 18 proposals and making decisions. 19 Everyone knows this is controversial. 20 Everyone knows that this will probably be the 21 object of lawsuits as the prior rule making on 2.2 producer-handlers has been and continues to be. 23 But this is not the correct forum to air 24 legal argument and legal issues. That's what 25 the court system is for. So we -- we will not

1 respond to them. And if lawsuits ensue, then so be it. But they will -- they will be -- they 2 3 will be determined in another forum, not in this forum. 4 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Stevens. Mr. Ricciardi. 6 7 MR. RICCIARDI: Two points quickly. First, I am a little bit concerned that 8 Mr. Stevens has already indicated that there's 9 going to be lawsuits. If the Department denies 10 all of the proposals, why in the world would 11 there be lawsuits? So I'm worried at this 12 13 moment that we have a prejudged issue, number 14 one. Your Honor, I object. 15 MR. STEVENS: Ι 16 object. Your Honor, this is not the place for 17 this type of argument. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: The objection is sustained. 19 20 MR. STEVENS: Please. 21 MR. RICCIARDI: Okay. That's my first 2.2 one. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Next point. 24 MR. RICCIARDI: Next point is this. The 25 reality is --

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: By the way, there could 2 still be lawsuits, but go ahead. 3 MR. RICCIARDI: Maybe. There may be, 4 Judge, but more likely there wouldn't. That's 5 the first point. Second point is case law, there is 6 7 actually the constitution. There is the due process clause that says that -- that a client, 8 9 a person, is entitled to know objectively how to conduct themselves so that they can determine 10 what they're going to do in operating their 11 business. For any hearing, you need to know 12 what the standards is. And I sit here and I'll 13 14 see if I can get Mr. Miltner to go to Westlaw 15 since I can't get my computer to open, and we 16 can find the constitution and the cases that say 17 that. 18 So having objective criteria about how it 19 is going to be determined, what the factors are, 20 are critical in any type of a judicial 21 proceeding, regulatory or not. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: I can't -- I can't 23 believe you feel blind-sided in any way as to --24 as to what it is that is being addressed in this 25 rulemaking hearing.

1 MR. RICCIARDI: How the Department will 2 determine, for example, the issue of disorderly 3 marketing is something that we asked for data about. To the extent we have not received it, 4 5 and we got a response that basically says we're not going to give it to you and we only know it 6 7 when we see it, we are blind-sided. MR. STEVENS: Your Honor. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Stevens. 9 10 MR. STEVENS: To that last point, I would direct Counsel to read how many years, over 50 11 years of administrative rulemaking. 12 If the 13 industries that are involved in this, everyone 14 in this room has been involved in numerous rulemakings, or one or more. And this is 15 16 something which is known, has been known for 17 many years. Read the decisions of the Secretary 18 of Agriculture. They tell you what disorderly 19 marketing is, and they tell you what it's not. 20 Read the decisions. The courts have sustained the decisions 21 2.2 of the Department of Agriculture and they have 23 found some to be lacking. 24 But in the vast majority, they have been 25 upheld. And the decision of the Secretary,

based on administrative records, one of which 1 2 we're conducting here is done, decisions are 3 made, court reviews are had and so the process was ongoing for many years. 4 5 In this proceeding, we are not presented with a request to tell us before we decide how 6 7 you are going to decide it, how you are going to do this. No court has ever required us to do 8 that. And if some party wants to see if they 9 can establish that in some other forum than 10 this, let it be done and the Department will 11 respond and we'll see how all that works out. 12 13 We're not going to do it without being directed to do it. We've been doing it this way 14 15 for all these many years and it seemed to have 16 worked pretty well. So until directed to do it 17 another way, I assume we're going to continue it 18 the way we have been doing it. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Stevens. Mr. English. 20 21 MR. ENGLISH: Charles English. And with 2.2 a fair amount of respect and otherwise to Syd 23 Berde, who has passed on, but from which I get 24 much of this material, the fact of the matter 25 is, your Honor, that the Agricultural Marketing

Agreement Act does not, in our view -- and I 1 2 realize they disagree on the other side -- but 3 in our view, the Agricultural Marketing 4 Agreement Act does not establish any exemption 5 for producer-handlers. It is quite clearly a creature of 6 7 administrative discretion as was held by the judicial officer in the Independent Milk 8 Distributors Association in 1961. 9 Producer-handlers, quote, have no legal right to 10 be exempt from the pricing and pooling 11 provisions of the orders. 12 13 They have no legal right to be exempt 14 from regulation. Further, in 1974, it is well-settled that the Secretary is authorized by 15 16 the Act to fully regulate producer-handlers, 17 even if they receive no milk from anyone else. 18 The Secretary is not required to provide any 19 exception for producer-handlers. That is from 20 in re: John Bertovich, B-e-r-t-o-v-i-c-h, et 21 36 AD 133, 1977, in which -al. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: 36 what? 23 MR. ENGLISH: 36 AD, Ag Decision, 133. 24 Which, of course, is part of what Mr. Stevens is 25 referencing. Is that there are 70-plus years of

1 ag decisions and federal court decisions that define what is necessary here. 2 3 The judicial officer there was quoting, in re: Associated Milk Producers, 33 A.G. 4 5 Decisions 976 -- In re: Associated Milk Producers, 33 A.G, otherwise known as Ag 6 Decisions, 976, 1974. 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: I'm glad the way we cite 8 9 that now is AGRIC.DEC. MR. ENGLISH: Well, in this case 10 Mr. Berde may have been ahead of his time or 11 something, so --12 13 I would also point out that the Third 14 Circuit, in a very relevant case called Ideal Farms, Inc. versus Benson, rejected 15 16 producer-handler contentions that the AMA did 17 not authorize the full regulation of producers 18 in their capacity as handlers. Were we to 19 accept appellate's construction of the Third Circuit --20 21 MR.MR. VENTE: I would like to object. 2.2 MR. ENGLISH: Excuse me. We were --23 excuse me. 24 We have just been challenged for what a 25 legal standard is and whether or not the

1 Department feels it necessary to respond, I think the record should have real case law on 2 3 the subject. There is no necessity to prove disorderly 4 5 marketing because the right to the exemption is not a right. It is a matter of administrative 6 7 discretion. And I am entitled to give my cases in response as opposed to getting up and saying, 8 9 oh, the constitution says that. Well, I'm responding with real cases. 10 And just because they don't like real cases 11 doesn't mean I don't have the right to stand up 12 13 here for a far less amount of time than anyone 14 else has been up today to respond to that 15 contention. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Vetne, I'm going to 17 hear him out but, you may make your objection. 18 But I'd like you to do it, please, when he's finished. 19 20 MR.MR. VENTE: Then my objection will be 21 moot. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: It will be moot. I'm 23 going to hear it, but I'll still let you put it 24 of record, if you'd like. Continue please, 25 Mr. English.

1 MR. ENGLISH: Thank you, your Honor. The Third Circuit at 288 F 2nd citing Rock Royal, 2 3 that they could avoid the intent of the Act if 4 their contention were to prevail. 5 I will, as a matter of courtesy, not continue with umpteen citations. But the fact 6 7 of the matter is, your Honor, that the exemption is one of administrative convenience and 8 discretion. Whatever a prior Secretary has said 9 10 about what may or may not be needed is, in our view, not relevant. 11 In fact, Judge Friedman, with an earlier 12 13 case from the 2005 decision, was litigated, 14 specifically said that another Secretary may view it differently. 15 16 Our view is disorderly marketing may --17 need not be shown at all. It is merely a fact 18 that the statute on its face says that everyone 19 shall have uniform prices, and there is no 20 extension, it is a creature of administrative 21 convenience, and therefore administrative 2.2 convenience can be done away with. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: Before you leave, 24 Mr. English --25 MR. ENGLISH: Yes.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: -- what is the response 2 to the actual situation on the ground when a 3 producer-handler, who has been enjoying that exemption, invests in accordance therewith, and 4 5 then, as a matter of administrative convenience, loses the exemption? 6 7 MR. ENGLISH: Well, since it has long been stated there's no legal right to it, they 8 had no right to rely on it. The Judicial 9 Officer and the Secretary have always said 10 that's what it is. 11 And since we go back to 1961 -- and I'm 12 13 not sure we've heard from a producer-handler 14 that goes back to 1961, we might talk about but 15 that's at least 1961. I suspect, although I do 16 have my cases here, that we can go back beyond 17 1961, check that the Secretary has always said, 18 and the Judicial Officer has always said, and 19 the Third Circuit and the Fifth Circuit, and now 20 the D.C. Circuit have always said that this 21 matter may be taken away because it isn't a legal right. If it's not a legal right, you are 2.2 23 not entitled to rely on it. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Thank you, 25 Mr. English.

1 Mr. Vetne, I'll hear you out on your 2 objection, if you still want to make it. 3 MR.MR. VENTE: I have not -- I have not gotten up before on this topic, although when 4 5 Mr. English spread his hands out he appeared to include me. 6 7 No, my objection and my standing up was I'm not sure -- I lost track of what the issue 8 9 is. But I am certain that the response of 10 Mr. English was in the nature of a lengthy argument that ought to be in the brief. 11 And now he's gotten, you know, whatever 12 13 that time was and whatever that case is, you 14 know, an argument in the middle of the case. 15 You don't want everybody else to give a counterargument, and I won't. 16 17 But I would hope that if he does that 18 again and I stand up, you will recognize me and 19 let me say, this is argument, can we have it at 20 the end of the case or in brief. That's it. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Vetne. 2.2 Mr. Yale. 23 MR. YALE: We concur. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, sir. 25 Mr. Carroll?

MR. YALE: Well, let me -- there's one 1 We'd like to have a witness and move on. 2 thing. 3 My client -- there will come a time when we'll argue, but we need to get the facts in the 4 5 record and that adds nothing to the facts. And they're going to move later on that we need to 6 7 go later in the day, which is beyond 6:00, because they're not getting anywhere. Well, 8 they're debating and causing debate. Let's move 9 on with the exhibits and the testimony. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: You know, Mr. English has 11 said very little thus far and what he has said 12 13 has been valuable and he's not wasting my time. 14 Mr. Carroll. 15 MR. CARROLL: I don't mean to impress on 16 your time, or anyone else's also, but most of my 17 career was developed on these cases he's talking 18 As far as I know, the United States about. 19 Supreme Court has never ruled in the line of 20 what he says on what the law is. Until that 21 happens, it's still an open question. And I'm 2.2 not going to go any further than that, with the 23 permission of the Court. Thank you very much. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. 25 Now, let's see. Is this the time for Dennis

1	Tonak and Mike Asbury to testify?
2	MR. RICCIARDI: Yes.
3	JUDGE CLIFTON: Who would be calling
4	them?
5	MR. BESHORE: Sure.
6	JUDGE CLIFTON: Who would be calling
7	them? Mr. Beshore. Mr. Tonak, when it's after
8	lunch, it's very good that everyone is awake.
9	MR. BESHORE: Your Honor, we have made
10	available a 12-page statement with one
11	attachment which I would ask to be marked as
12	with the next consecutive exhibit number.
13	(Exhibit 24 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. I'll need a
16	copy, please, and the next number will be 24.
17	Thank you very much. Does the USDA table have
18	enough of them? You have the record copy and
19	enough for you all to see?
20	MS. FISHER: Yes.
21	JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Tonak,
22	I'd like you to identify yourself and then I'll
23	swear you.
24	THE WITNESS: My name is Dennis Tonak.
25	JUDGE CLIFTON: You've got to be very

1 close to the mic. 2 THE WITNESS: My name is Dennis Tonak, 3 T-o-n-a-k. JUDGE CLIFTON: Would you raise your 4 5 right hand, please? (The witness was sworn.) 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 8 DENNIS TONAK of lawful age, being first duly sworn, was examined and 9 testified as follows: 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BESHORE: Mr. Tonak, would you proceed with your 13 0. statement which has been marked as Exhibit 24? Proceed 14 15 to present that for the record. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there anyone else who 17 needs a copy? 18 (Off the record.) 19 Α. I'm the Manager of Mid-West Dairymen's 20 Company, 4313 West State Street, Rockford, Illinois, 21 This testimony is in support of Proposal 1 and 2 61102. 2.2 and is on behalf of Mid-West Dairymen's Company and 23 Lakeshore Federation Dairy Cooperative. 24 Midwest is a qualified Capper-Volstead 25 cooperative representing 163 dairy farms in northern

Illinois and southern Wisconsin. Mid-West is
 responsible for supplying an Order 30 distributing plant
 which is jointly owned by Mid-West and Prairie Farms
 Dairy. Midwest also supplies milk to other Order 30
 pool and nonpool plants.

6 Lakeshore Federated Dairy Cooperative's 7 member cooperatives are all qualified Capper-Volstead 8 cooperatives. Lakeshore provides a vehicle through which its members develop direction on dairy policy, 9 legislative activities, and Federal Order issues, along 10 with providing additional member benefits and services. 11 12 The Lakeshore members are Manitowoc Milk Producers Cooperative, Manitowoc, Wisconsin organized in 1933; 13 14 Milwaukee Cooperative Milk Producers, Brookfield, Wisconsin, one of the oldest dairy bargaining and 15 16 service cooperatives in the country and formed in 1961; 17 Mid-West Dairymen's Company, Rockford, Illinois, 18 organized in 1924 and reorganized in 1932; and Scenic 19 Central Milk Producers Cooperative, Boscobel, Wisconsin, 20 formed in 1998.

Lakeshore represents about 4,000 dairy farmers with an average herd size of 75 cows and who produce approximately 4,500 pounds of milk per farm per day or around 135,000 pounds per farm per month. The milk is primarily pooled on Federal Order 30. Lakeshore

1 and its member cooperatives have supported the Federal Order system because the Federal Order system generally 2 3 provides fair and equitable treatment of both producers and handlers. We want a level playing field for both 4 5 producer and handler. It is our belief that classified 6 pricing and the market-wide pooling of those classified 7 pricing proceeds contribute to the orderly market conditions and a level playing field. The market-wide 8 sharing of the pool proceeds also enhances the income 9 for all dairy farmers participating in the pool. 10 This belief and philosophy provides the framework for our 11 12 support of Proposal 1 and 2. 13 Our concern with current producer-handler exemption is three fold: 14 15 A: A producer-handler removes a revenue

15 A. A producer-handler removes a revenue 16 source from the market-wide pool. The producer-handler 17 does not create "new" fluid milk sales or customers to 18 any great extent but cannibalizes already existing 19 sales. Since the producer-handler does not contribute 20 to the pool the net effect is to reduce the revenue for 21 all pooled producers.

B. A producer-handler has a competitive
advantage in the market that can lead to disruptive and
disorderly marketing conditions. One method of
determining the producer-handler "advantage" is to

calculate the difference between the regulated minimum 1 class I price and the statistical uniform price or 2 3 "blend price." Using this method the difference in the Upper Midwest Order is approximately 14 cents per 4 5 gallon. This is a huge price advantage for the 6 producer-handler and can easily destabilize a market. 7 An individual producer who packages С. and distributes his own milk receives much different 8 pricing treatment under the Order than a group of dairy 9 farmers who form a cooperative and package and 10 distribute their own milk. The group of producers takes 11 12 the raw milk production risk and, through their cooperative, makes the investment and ownership risk of 13 14 operating the fluid plant just as a producer-handler does. But the price risk is much different. 15 Muller Pinehurst. Much of our 16 17 perspective on producer-handlers and their potential 18 impact on the fluid market has been developed through Mid-West's joint venture ownership of a small fluid milk 19 20 plant, Muller-Pinehurst Dairy. 21 In 1981, Mid-West purchased a 50 percent 2.2 ownership in Muller-Pinehurst Dairy. Muller was a 23 family owned business started by L. Fred Muller in 1900. 24 He and his horse, Old Phillip, delivered milk to area 25 homemakers. In 1947 Muller acquired Pinehurst Farms.

Pinehurst Farm's purebred herd of Guernesey cattle
produced milk for the Pinehurst Farms milk plant. The
two dairies continued to operate as separate companies
with the Guernsey herd at Pinehurst Farms continuing to
supply milk for the Pinehurst plant. Growth in sales
necessitated the need for additional milk suppliers from
area dairy farms for the Muller plant.

8 In the early days of Mid-West, the cooperative marketed and priced the milk of dairy 9 farmers to 15 handlers in the Rockford area. 10 The 11 pricing was based on a condensery price plus a There were a number of condenseries 12 differential. within 45 miles of Rockford. The fluid handlers 13 14 attempted to buy milk from farmers at a discount to the established pricing formulas. Chaotic and disruptive 15 market conditions ensued. A committee of three 16 17 arbitrators - one representing producers, another 18 representing the handlers, and a third person from the University of Wisconsin - worked to resolve the 19 20 situation. Without a regulated price and a formula for 21 sharing that price, extreme price competition could and 2.2 did exist. An eventual result of the committee's 23 deliberations was the Rockford Freeport Federal Order, 24 instituted on September 1, 1949. 25 When Muller acquired Pinehurst in 1947,

any handler could have been a disruptive force in a 1 small local market like Rockford. A producer milking 2 3 his cows and doing home delivery with a horse and wagon would not disrupt a very large area. Home delivery was 4 5 a large part of the business and the highway 6 transportation infrastructure was not developed as it is 7 Since then with better refrigeration, roads, and today. transportation equipment, a producer-handler can be a 8 disruptive force over a very large area. 9

The Muller Dairy and Pinehurst Farm were 10 merged in 1957 to form Muller-Pinehurst Dairy. 11 A new 12 milk plant was built on then Pinehurst Farm. Eventually 13 the cows were sold. At the peak of the home delivery period, Muller-Pinehurst had 40 small trucks doing home 14 delivery 6 days per week and 15 larger straight trucks 15 doing retail deliveries. Over time, the home 16 distribution business faded and then was discontinued. 17 18 Muller's distribution area increased from the Rockford 19 and Winnebago County area to include much of the Chicago 20 suburbs. During the last years of old Order 30 - the 21 Chicago Regional Order - Muller was among the smaller 2.2 distributing plants pooled on the Order. At least it 23 was not large enough to be the major supplier to any of 24 the Chicago Region's large grocery lanes. The last of 25 Fred Muller's descendants involved in the operation and

1 manage of Muller Pinehurst, his great grandson, retired 2 last year. 3 The producer-handler advantage. A regulated handler has to account to the market-wide pool 4 5 at the minimum regulated prices. Since a 6 producer-handler has no minimum price obligation, the 7 minimum Class I price provides a pricing umbrella that 8 the producer-handler can use to undercut the regulated price thereby achieving a price advantage and enabling 9 the producer-handler to gain or retain business. 10 The producer-handler in Federal Order 30 has a 14 cent a 11 12 gallon advantage over the regulated price. This advantage is calculated using the difference between the 13 14 Class I price and the statistical blend price. Attachment 1 was compiled from the Order 30 price 15 16 Announcements. This potential loss to Mid-West's dairy 17 18 farmer members would be devastating and could easily exceed \$2.5 million annually to Mid-West. For 19 20 January 2009 Mid-West paid almost \$700,000 to the Market Administrator Producer Settlement Fund and the 21 2.2 Administrative Assessment Fund. We routinely have 23 obligations to the Market Administrator for half that 24 In fact, Mid-West maintains a \$500,000 credit amount. 25 line for the purpose of meeting our obligation to the

Market Administrator in a timely fashion. The money
 Mid-West pays to the producer settlement funds would be
 welcome cash if it could by paid directly to Mid-West's
 producer members at these times of low prices.

5 Muller and Mid-West are not competing 6 with any producer-handlers today however over the last 7 decade a number of large dairy farms have been built and began operating in our area. One farm currently under 8 construction 50 miles from our Rockford, Illinois plant 9 has announced plans for a 7,000 head milking herd. 10 An 11 additional 14 cents a gallon (the producer-handler 12 advantage) would generate an extra \$2.9 million in income annually for that farm operating as a 13 14 producer-handler. It would be completely unfair to the dairy farmer owners of Muller Pinehurst to have a 15 16 competitor 50 miles away with that kind of price 17 advantage.

Balancing the market. I have observed over my 30-plus years in the dairy industry a number of ways that producer-handlers can balance their surplus production.

Twenty years ago a producer-handler told me how he balanced his milk production and packaged milk sales. He had an arrangement with a small group of stores 200 miles away where he would work with the store

to undercut the established prices from the Federal 1 Order regulated plants. He would deliver a load of 2 3 product to the store and in a matter of days his surplus was gone. While these distant stores regular supplier 4 5 may have been upset the stores were happy about the 6 extra volume of business. And it did not noticeably 7 affect the producer-handler's local competitive price. Muller Pinehurst has variability in the 8

9 day-to-day and week-to-week needs for raw milk.
10 Muller's school business create parts of variation due
11 to filling the school pipeline and adjusting for days
12 that school is not in session. Another part of the
13 variability can be due to competitive sales among
14 grocery stores.

Many consumers perceive little difference 15 16 between brands of milk and so they will shop at the 17 store with the lowest milk price. This shifts milk volume between stores, the fluid plants that supply the 18 19 stores, and the producers who supply the fluid plants. 20 Twenty years ago in Texas my employer, a cooperative, 21 had a full supply arrangement with a fluid plant. 2.2 Whenever the large chain store supplied by that plant 23 had a sale, the fluid plant needed more milk. 24 Coincidentally, another cooperative supplying a 25 different fluid plant which was supplying a different

chain store would become long on milk. The needed
 balancing supplies could be purchased from that second
 cooperative.

A group of stores in the Rockford market 4 5 receive their "store" brand milk from a distant 6 supplier. The store brand milk has predominant 7 positioning, shelf space, and attractive pricing. Muller, as a local dairy, has a small amount of shelf 8 space in these stores. Store also attaches a premium 9 price to the Muller milk. As a result of the limited 10 11 shelf space and the high price the Muller sales volume 12 is very low. Occasionally the distant supplier of the store brand milk is unable to supply these stores. 13 Then 14 the stores call Muller with the directive to immediately deliver a much greater than normal milk volume to the 15 16 stores. Of course the Muller-labeled milk dominates the milk case and the Muller sales volume in those stores 17 18 It is apparent that one reason the stores increases. 19 maintain Muller as a secondary supplier is to help 20 supply or balance the stores' needs when their main 21 supplier cannot perform.

A producer handle can use price to help balance production. A producer-handler can use a secondary supplier status - especially when used in concert with pricing - to balance production. A

regulated handler can have a difficult time balancing a 1 milk supply while a producer-handler does not have a 2 3 price constraint. Allow me to illustrate. At certain times of the year fluid sales drop off. These drops are 4 5 especially noticeable during the holiday period --6 holiday periods and during the summer. As an example, 7 last summer and this past Christmas, Mid-West moved loads of milk 250 miles and more to find a manufacturing 8 outlet. A producer-handler would not be constrained by 9 the Federal Order minimum pricing and could be very 10 11 aggressive in pricing and selling his milk locally 12 through additional packaged product sales. The producer-handler would avoid the transportation and 13 14 other costs of moving excess production great distances. Regulated handlers whose sales are already in the 15 16 holiday slump would lose even more fluid volume. When 17 the volume shifts from one regulated plant to another 18 regulated plant for any of the above reasons, the 19 Class I value stays in the pool and is shared with all 20 producers in the pool. When the volume shifts to a 21 producer-handler, the Class I value is removed from the 2.2 pool and the milk of all producers in the pool loses 23 value. When a large producer-handler uses the 24 "producer-handler advantage" to gain business, chaotic 25 and disorderly marketing conditions exist and all

1 producers suffer.

Producer-handlers and the Federal Order. 2 3 When Muller and Pinehurst started business there wasn't a producer-handler exemption since this wasn't a Federal 4 5 Order. Since then, the Federal Order has created an 6 artificial distinction between a dairy farm family who 7 bottles their own milk and a group of dairy farmer families who bottle their milk in a plant owned by their 8 dairy cooperative. 9

In the early days of the Order program, 10 that distinction may have been justified. 11 Most 12 producer-handlers met their labor needs from the immediate family. The cows were milked by hand or with 13 14 a bucket mixer. Pipeline milkers did not exist. Processing and packaging milk was labor intensive. 15 16 Every phase of the dairy operation was slow and tedious. 17 Milk bottles were washed by hand. Vat pasteurizers were 18 small and pasteurizing milk took many hours. Bottle 19 filling was a manual operation. Ice was used to keep 20 the milk cold during delivery. For many years Muller 21 operated its own ice plant. Distribution was often by 2.2 horse and buggy. Many producer-handlers -- handlers 23 operated only one route. There would be additional 24 reporting requirements for a handler regulated by a 25 Federal Order. Since most farmers packaging their own

1 milk operated on a small scale, the benefit to the 2 Federal Order pool of regulating these producer-handlers 3 was quite small compared to the cost of auditing the 4 records and enforcing minimum payments.

5 At the outset of the producer-handler 6 exemption, much of the milk distribution was done on home delivery routes and to corner store neighborhood 7 markets. After World War II milk distribution began a 8 noticeable shift away from home delivery. While there 9 may be many reasons for this, the one I hear most often 10 11 is that more women began working outside the home and no 12 one was available to put the home delivery in the refrigerator. Between 1955 and 1975 home delivery 13 14 virtually disappeared in the Midwest. Many of the producer-handlers discontinued their milk processing. 15

16 The dairy industry has changed 17 dramatically from those early producer-handler days. Home refrigerators are much larger than 40 years ago. 18 19 My refrigerator is much larger than my parents and 20 grandparents, and my wife can buy more milk on her trip 21 to the store. Trucks are much larger, highways are 2.2 better, raw milk (at least for Grade A) is handled in bulk tanks and not cans, most Grade A farms save labor 23 24 with pipeline milkers, some farms use robotic milkers or 25 other forms of automated milking, dairy farms and plants

use computerized record keeping and process control systems, and the processing and packaging equipment is automated and faster. In 1958 Muller's new half-pint machine could package 45 units per minute and now one machine can package 300 units per minute .

6 Small business impact. The Notice of 7 Hearing states that a dairy farmer is considered a small 8 business if he has a gross revenue of \$750,000 or less. Likewise, a plant was considered a small business if the 9 plant had less than 500 employees. Producer-handlers 10 11 are currently exempt from Order regulations based on 12 their status as a dairy farmer. A dairy farmer who in addition to producing milk is also processing that milk. 13 Accordingly it would be appropriate to consider 14 producer-handlers as small businesses on the same basis 15 16 applied to dairy farmers in the Notice of Hearing, a 17 gross revenue of \$750,000 or less.

Virtually all Mid-West producers are small businesses. The average Mid-West dairy farm produced 4,100 pounds of milk per day for the last year. This equates to 124,900 pounds in a 30 day month, for 1 million --JUDGE CLIFTON: Excuse me. Do that

24

25

sentence again, will you, please, beginning with this equates?

This equates to 124,980 pounds in a 30 1 Α. day month or 1,520,590 pounds in a 365 day year. 2 The 3 average Mid-West producer milks between 60 and 70 cows based on our estimates. In fact, we have only one farm 4 5 over the 500,000 pound small business level. 6 Changes in regulation. I have already 7 discussed many of the changes in milk production, processing, and distribution that have occurred since 8 the inception of Federal Orders. The dairy industry has 9 moved from hand milking to robotic milkers, from can 10 milk to bulk milk, from distribution by horse and wagon 11 12 to distribution with large tractor trailer trucks. Federal Orders themselves have changed 13 14 many times over the years. The pooling requirements have changed, the method used to determine prices have 15 16 changed, the classes of utilization have changed, the 17 geographic area covered by the Orders and the numbers of 18 Orders themselves have changed. These changes have had 19 a financial impact at various times on Mid-West, on our 20 members, and on Muller. In 2000 we had the changes 21 brought about by Federal Order Reform. Since then 2.2 additional changes occurred in make allowances and the 23 end product pricing formulas along with pooling 24 participation requirements. A plaque in our office 25 says, quote, the only thing permanent is change, close

quote. Or to paraphrase the old saying, the only sure 1 things in life are death and taxes and change. 2 3 Over the years, producer-handlers have changed their operations to meet the changed Grade A 4 5 regulations the same as any other dairy farm or dairy 6 plant. I am sure they have changed their milk 7 production and plant operations, just as the dairy farmer owners of Muller-Pinehurst have done. It is now 8 time for a change in the Federal Order Regulation and 9 10 the pricing and pooling responsibilities that go with 11 those changes. 12 There may have been valid reasons to have 13 had a producer-handler exemption at one time during the history of the Federal Order Program. If the exemption 14 did not already exist there would be no compelling 15 16 reason to create a special producer-handler exemption 17 Mid-West Dairymen's Company and Lakeshore today. 18 Federated Dairy Cooperative urge the adoption of 19 Proposals 1 and 2 as being in the best interests of the 20 entire dairy industry. Thank you. 21 BY MR. BESHORE: 2.2 Mr. Tonak, I have just a few additional Ο. 23 questions for you. Attachment 1 to Exhibit 24, can you 24 just tell us -- first of all, did you prepare that 25 personally?

1 Α. I prepared that personally. 2 And can you tell us just briefly how you Q. 3 prepared it? I took the Federal Order 30 Class 1 and 4 Α. 5 uniform price announcements and prepared them for each 6 month, looked at the difference. Came up with an 7 average difference for the year. Divided that average 8 difference by 11.6 as a factor of the gallons of milk in a hundred pounds of milk. 9 Okay. Now, the gallons per hundred 10 Q. pound, that figure or the amount per gallon doesn't show 11 12 on attachment one, correct? 13 That is correct. It varies from year to Α. In 2006, it calculates out to 12.9 cents per 14 year. 2007, 13.8 cents. 2008, 18.7 cents for a 15 gallon. 16 three-year arithmetic average of 15.1 cents. For the 17 three month recorded there in 2009, the average is 26.7 18 cents per gallon. 19 Okay. In your -- in your testimony, you Q. 20 used a figure, I think, of 14 cents per gallon? 21 That is correct. I felt that Α. 2.2 producer-handlers would have a difficult time achieving 23 100 percent utilization in Class I milk, and so there 24 was an adjustment made to try to come with a realistic 25 number instead of a higher than what would be in

1 practicality. 2 Okay. Now, 14 cents per gallon, which is 0. 3 less than the number shown in most years, or is an average nevertheless a substantial price variation or 4 5 price advantage in competition for the sale of fluid 6 milk products, is it not? 7 Α. It is. Our Muller operation loses 8 customers for much, much less -- much lower price differentials in the bracket of pennies a gallon. 9 10 Q. And we're talking here a producer-handler having a 14 cent per gallon? 11 12 That is correct. Α. 13 Okay. Now, let me just ask you a Ο. 14 specific question about a word you used on page 7 of your testimony. And I think perhaps the word -- you 15 16 used the wrong word. In the second full paragraph, next 17 to last sentence that begins "coincidentally" on the 18 typed page, "coincidentally another cooperative." Do 19 you see that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Which page? JUDGE CLIFTON: 2.2 MR. BESHORE: Page 7. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: 7, thank you. 24 I want to ask you if what you actually Q. 25 meant -- whether the correct thought there is

1 consequently? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. Consequently would be the better choice 4 Α. 5 of word. 6 That's what you meant there, as a 0. 7 consequence of what was going on with one supplier, 8 another cooperative --9 Right, that is correct. Α. -- had the felt effects. Okay. Now, I 10 Ο. just have two other questions on additional direct, 11 12 Mr. Tonak. 13 You are aware, and I think you've referenced, that Proposals 1 and 2 were sponsored by or 14 15 presented by the National Milk Producers Federation and 16 the International Dairy Food Association? 17 Α. I'm aware of that, yes. 18 Ο. And you have testified on -- in support 19 of those proposals on behalf of Mid-West Dairymen's 20 Company and the Lakeshore Federated Dairy Cooperative, 21 correct? 2.2 Α. That is correct. 23 Now, are all of those cooperatives Q. 24 members of the National Milk Producers Federation?

A. No, they are not.

25

1 Q. Okay. So can you just break that out for 2 us, please? 3 Α. Mid-West is a member of National Milk Producers Cooperative -- or Federation. And Manitowoc 4 5 Milk Producers Cooperative is a member of National Milk. 6 Milwaukee Cooperative Milk Producers and Scenic Central 7 are not National Milk members. Okay. Roughly of the 4,000 Lakeshore 8 Ο. members, what portion is represented by Milwaukee and 9 Scenic which are not National Milk members? 10 11 I would say a thousand, maybe a little Α. 12 over. Okay. All right. Thank you. 13 Ο. Now, 14 Mr. Tonak, you have given some very interesting and detailed information both about -- about Midwest's 15 16 operation and about some experiences you've had in the 17 dairy business over the years. And one thing I didn't 18 do at the beginning of your testimony that I want to do 19 now, at the end, as the last question is, ask you to 20 just tell us about your experience in the dairy industry? First of all, what's your educational 21 2.2 background? 23 Α. I have a bachelor of science degree in 24 dairy science from South Dakota State University in 25 Brooking, South Dakota. Since 1973, I've been employed

1 in various marketing and management positions in the 2 dairy industry, throughout the Midwest and basically the 3 Southwest into the Mideast and the Southeast. For the last 12 years, I've been the 4 5 manager of Mid-West Dairymen's Company there in 6 Rockford. And we also, in addition to the 50 percent 7 joint venture ownership of Muller-Pinehurst, we have a cheese packaging sales and distribution wholly-owned 8 subsidiary in Shullsburg, Wisconsin. And I guess that 9 I've testified in a few other Federal Order hearings in 10 11 the past. MR. BESHORE: Thank you very much, 12 Mr. Tonak. We would move for the admission of 13 Exhibit 24 with attachment 1. 14 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: Don't leave yet, 16 Mr. Beshore. I just want to ask a question 17 about the attachment. When you state Class I's 18 price, I understand that. Now, is the uniform 19 price the same thing as blend price, or is it 20 something different? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, that would be one 2.2 terminology, would be the blend price, the 23 statistical uniform price. The way the Federal 24 Order 30 actually releases that number as the 25 statistical uniform price.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you. 2 If you have any follow up to that, go ahead Mr. Beshore. 3 Just the only follow-up question I'd have 4 Ο. 5 is, your column titled Uniform is the statistical uniform price for Order 30 for those months? 6 7 Α. That's correct. 8 MR. BESHORE: That's all I have. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you, 9 Mr. Tonak. 10 11 All right. Who would like to begin 12 cross-examination of Mr. Tonak? Mr. Carroll, 13 you may. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CARROLL: 15 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Tonak. 17 Α. Good morning. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh, no, afternoon. Good afternoon. Thank you. That's where 19 Q. 20 I am today. I'm correct in assuming from your speech 21 that you haven't actually had any disruption in your 22 market from producer-handlers? 23 That would be correct. Α. 24 And direct your attention to the farm Ο. 25 that you described at page 6 of your statement. There's

some large plants -- you said large farms being built, I 1 think, 50 miles from Rockford. Do you know the name and 2 3 address of that farm? I think they're calling themselves Family 4 Α. 5 Tradition Dairy. I know where the farm is located. I'm 6 trying to remember the exact road it's on, but it's just 7 south of Warren, Illinois. 8 How do you spell that? Q. 9 Α. W-a-r-r-e-n. Warren, Illinois? 10 Q. 11 Yes. Α. And do you know the name of the owner of 12 Ο. that farm? 13 14 Α. I believe it would be the A.J. Bos family. 15 16 Q. How you do you spell that, sir? 17 Α. B-o-s. 18 And can you tell me, you say it's a 0. family. Do you know who in the family is -- how do they 19 20 say it, milk the cows or turn the water on? 21 I would think that if they're milking --Α. 2.2 or when they get to milking 7,000 cows, that Mr. Bos 23 probably will not be personally milking the cows. But I 24 really don't know who will be milking the cows. 25 Assuming that's just a expression. Q. Do

you know who's in control or management of the business? 1 2 Mr. Bos is. Α. 3 Ο. Himself? Is my understanding. I do not know 4 Α. 5 Mr. Boss --6 Right. 0. 7 -- personally. I know the construction, Α. 8 I guess, going on. And I know the accounts that are in the newspapers about the operation. 9 10 Right. That's a source of your Q. information? 11 12 The partial source, yes. Α. 13 Okay. Do you know anything else about Ο. 14 the plans for that operation? 15 No, I do not. Α. 16 Q. Okay. The history of this -- of your 17 area that you described, was that personal? I mean, when did you first get into the area itself so that you 18 19 have some personal knowledge? 20 Α. I have lived there for 12 years, been in and out of the area since 1973. In 1982, Mid-West 21 22 celebrated their 50th anniversary and prepared a 23 publication. And some of that information was gained from -- some of the information came from the great 24 25 grandson.

1 Q. Right. 2 Α. You know, so it's verbal from people who 3 have been there. We've had a couple of families that are still producing milk whose roots in the dairy 4 5 industry go back at least to the formation of the 6 cooperative. We have third and fourth generation 7 families involved there. We've got board members who are now in their -- where the family has a second 8 generation serving on the board. And so the information 9 is assimilated and compiled over time from all of those. 10 11 All of those sources? Ο. Α. Various sources. 12 13 Have you ever heard of Oberweis Dairy? Q. 14 Α. Yes, I have. And where is that located? 15 Ο. Aurora, Illinois. 16 Α. 17 Is that anywhere near you? 0. 18 It's 70 miles from me, maybe. Α. 19 Right. Did you know they started as a Q. 20 producer-handler? Did you know that? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 And are they a producer-handler now? 0. 23 They are a producer-handler. Α. They 24 deliver milk to grocery stores. They operate their own 25 stores.

1 Q. All right. 2 MR. CARROLL: Thank you very much. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: And do you know how to spell Oberweis? 4 5 THE WITNESS: O-b-e-r-w-e-i-s-s (sic). JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 6 Who next for 7 cross-examination? Mr. Yale. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. YALE: 9 Benjamin F. Yale for Continental Dairy 10 Q. Products, Select Milk. Good afternoon, Dennis. 11 12 Good afternoon. Α. Just really one topic I want to discuss. 13 0. 14 You indicate support of Proposal 1 and 2. Do you support also Proposal 26 of National Milk? 15 16 Α. Lakeshore did not take a position on 17 Proposal Number 26. I know that they would not oppose 18 it. And I don't know of any reason why they wouldn't 19 support it. They just didn't take a position on it. 20 Ο. Did you understand that National Milk is 21 a package deal of Proposals 1, 2, and 26? It wasn't 2.2 bits and pieces or -- did you have any understanding one 23 way or the other on that? 24 Well, National Milk may present their Α. 25 proposals as a package of 1, 2 and 26. It is not listed

1 that way in the Notice of Hearing as being a package of 2 all three of them. And I'm assuming that's why they 3 were listed separately in the Notice of Hearing. And Lakeshore took the position of supporting 1 and 2. 4 5 MR. YALE: I have no other questions. JUDGE CLIFTON: Could I have a comment 6 7 from the USDA table as to why the proposals are in the Order that they're in? Mr. Tosi. 8 Thank you, your Honor. 9 MR. TOSI: The 10 proposals are listed in the hearing notice in the way that they are. Proposals 1 and 2 11 comprise those proposals which, if I could 12 characterize it this way, kicked off everything 13 14 that results in us being here today. Those were the proposals that initiated consideration to go 15 16 into a hearing and then deciding to come to a 17 hearing to consider the producer-handler and 18 exempt plant issue. Okay? 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: Was Proposal Number 3 the 20 next one in time that was received by AMS? 21 MR. TOSI: I don't know if they exactly reflect the Order in which we received them. 2.2 Ι 23 would characterize them that it generally does 24 We were careful because of the new that. 25 demands on the Federal Register that -- that we

1 have a lot of proposals, because they insist on 2 us showing how the regulatory language will 3 change. And in that regard, while many proposals may seem similar, conceptually, given 4 5 how they were presented to us, had subtle differences in how things would be stated in the 6 7 regulatory language. We also had a prehearing information 8 session that was well attended or participated 9 10 by telephone and computer with everyone that had submitted a proposal. And as a result of that, 11 or at that session, National Milk Producers 12 Federation offered what now is referred to as 13 14 Proposal 26. Thank you. All right. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: 16 Who will next cross examine Mr. Tonak? Mr. Ricciardi. And I bet he's not the last one. 17 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RICCIARDI: 19 20 Mr. Tonak, Al Ricciardi on behalf of Ο. 21 It wasn't on my list of questions, but based upon AIDA. 2.2 a question and an answer from Mr. Carroll, I have a 23 question of you. You're sure that Oberweis is a 24 producer-handler, correct? 25 I didn't answer that they were a Α.

producer-handler. Or if I did, I did so in error. 1 I'm 2 not aware of them being a producer-handler. I'm aware 3 of who Oberweis is. I'm aware that they started out doing home delivery, that they're doing home delivery 4 5 currently and so on. If I stated that they were a 6 producer-handler, that was in error. 7 Ο. Okay. That was in error. I'm glad you 8 corrected it because they're actually a distributing 9 pool plant in Order 30, is that correct? That is correct. 10 Α. You are how old? 11 Q. JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, is that fair? 12 13 Okay. Then tell me when you were born. 0. It's easy to answer that one because 14 Α. 15 sometimes I get my age wrong. 1951. 16 0. Okay. So what happened in 1947 or what 17 might have happened in 1947 was a little bit beyond the 18 period of time that you can personally testify to, 19 correct? 20 That is beyond the period of time in Α. 21 which I have hands-on experience, that is correct. 2.2 Personal knowledge? Q. 23 At the same time, I was raised on a farm Α. 24 in South Dakota. I milked cows by hand at a very early 25 age. We did not milk cows with a pipeline. And I want

to say it was 1964 or '65 we got a pipeline and a bulk 1 2 tank. 3 0. I'm glad for all of that. Let's go back to my question, which was that, in terms of personal 4 5 knowledge, obviously since you were born in 1951, whatever may have occurred in 1947, you don't have 6 7 personal knowledge of, correct? 8 Α. We can say that, yes. We could? 9 0. 10 (Nodding head.) Α. Okay. So that I understand it -- and I 11 Ο. 12 think I do. Let's see if I make sure I do. Take a look 13 at your attachment 1 to your statement, which is Exhibit 14 Number 24. What you have done here is a mathematical computation for the years 2006, '7, '8 and into '9. 15 You 16 simply took the Class I price, you took the uniform 17 price and you put the difference there, is that correct? 18 That is correct. Α. 19 Now, let's go back -- you were here Q. 20 during the course of the testimony of this morning when 21 Mr. Hatch and Mr. Dunajski testified, correct? 2.2 I was here for most of it, yes. Α. 23 Okay. Flip over to page 2 of your Ο. 24 You state specifically in section B near the statement. bottom of the page that, using this method you see a 25

1 difference of approximately 14 cents per gallon between 2 the regulated community and the producer-handler, 3 correct?

A. I'm saying that there's a potential price advantage for the producer-handler when you look at the difference between the Class I price and the statistical uniform price that equates to 14 cents per gallon.

Q. Okay. You did a mathematical computation. You have not done any analysis to determine if that is correct? You made an assumption in your statement, correct?

A. I said that the difference between the Class I price and the uniform price equates to 14 cents a gallon. My view is that is an advantage that could accrue to a producer-handler. That is based on fact that producers receive, as a minimum regulated price, the uniform price.

And using that as the benchmark for producer costs, if it is or isn't, that's what regulated producers receive. And so therefore the advantage that a producer-handler may have between the price that producers receive as a regulated price and the price that a regulated handler pays as a Class I price equates to 14 cents a gallon.

25

Q.

The theory I understand, but you also

1 talked about you wanted to be realistic. So let's be 2 realistic together. Let's actually look at testimony 3 from a real producer-handler, Mr. Hatch, who talked about the actual cost of production being in the range 4 5 of 21 or \$22. If you used the actual figures, the actual cost of production, there is no theoretical 6 7 advantage. In fact, there is a disadvantage, correct? Α. I would say there's still an advantage. 8 If Mr. Hatch's cost of production is \$21 a hundred, all 9 producers with similar costs, with similar production 10 environment, have the same relative costs. And we have 11 12 in the Midwest producers that today have a much higher 13 cost of production than what they're being paid for their milk. 14 And so does Mr. Hatch --15 Ο. 16 Α. And --17 0. -- correct? 18 -- to say that a producer's cost affects Α. 19 how we should look at things differently, if he's a 20 pooled producer receiving the blend price or the uniform 21 price, or a producer-handler naming a number, we're 2.2 talking here in my testimony about the regulated 23 minimum prices and the type of advantage that can 24 accrue to a producer receiving, if you will, the uniform 25 price and charging himself, if you will, the Class I

1 price. We have no evidence at this point 2 Q. that that's occurring at all. That's a theoretical 3 4 notion that you have put together in your statement, 5 correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. Ο. Now, by the way, a question. Do 8 all of the members in the Mid-West Dairymen's Co-op get the identical price for their milk? 9 10 Α. No. 11 There are over-order premiums? 0. 12 There's different component tests. Α. There's different somatic cell counts. There's some 13 14 over-order premiums involved. Let's see, the way we charge for hauling varies. We'll vary the producer's 15 16 costs for hauling based on how large or small the 17 producer is. 18 So if someone who's in the co-op who's 0. 19 larger, they may get a better deal than a smaller member 20 of the co-op, correct? 21 Α. They may. 2.2 Okay. And in fact --0. 23 And a small producer, if he's got Α. 24 good quality, may get a better deal than a large 25 producer.

But the point is, they do not get the 1 Q. 2 identical or equivalent or even equal price, correct? 3 Α. That is correct. If I have a farm next to yours and we 4 Ο. 5 both are members of Mid-West and the truck comes to our 6 joint right one after the other, the fact is that my 7 milk check in my mailbox may be totally different than 8 yours? That you -- except in the case where 9 Α. you've got the same butterfat, the same protein, the 10 11 same other solids, the same quality, the same volume, 12 you'll get the same check. But if I don't, I won't. 13 Ο. 14 Α. If two producers are the same, they get the same check. We did not differentiate what a 15 16 producer is paid based on some esoteric formula of where they're located or how well we like them. 17 If they 18 have -- if they ship the same milk they get paid the 19 same. 20 Ο. But if I have the same somatic cell 21 count, if I have the same quality as you but you are a 2.2 bigger producer in Mid-West, you get a bigger milk check 23 than I do, right? 24 Gross dollars, yeah. Α. 25 Okay. Q.

1 MR. RICCIARDI: Thanks. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Come back, Mr. Ricciardi. 3 I don't know what you just proved there. MR. RICCIARDI: Neither do I. 4 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah, we do. JUDGE CLIFTON: Did he get a bigger check 6 7 because he had greater volume? MR. RICCIARDI: He may have -- well, I 8 9 can ask. He may have a bigger check because he has greater volume. They may have a better deal 10 with him. The point is, Judge --11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, I don't know 12 13 whether you are going after the over-order 14 pricing, if that's what you meant. But if you 15 would go back to that? 16 MR. RICCIARDI: We will tie it up, Judge, 17 as we go later on into this hearing. But what 18 I'm trying to deal with is that there may be 19 distinctions between even the regulated 20 community in terms of what they receive. They 21 do not receive identical or even equal pricing. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. But follow 23 up, if you will. You've gotten an answer that 24 if one person has a greater volume of milk, he 25 gets a bigger check.

1 MR. RICCIARDI: Right. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: But I want to know if 3 it's just based on the fact he provided more milk. 4 5 MR. RICCIARDI: And I'll get to that one, I thought I had laid the foundation for 6 Judge. 7 it. If I didn't, I apologize. Maybe I wasn't clear enough. 8 BY MR. RICCARDI: 9 Assuming everything is equal in terms of 10 Q. the quality of our milk, somatic cell count, quality 11 12 issues, whatever. The fact is, because you are a larger 13 volume producer the co-op will pay you a lower -- a 14 higher price, correct? 15 Α. We have a --16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Per hundredweight? 17 0. Per hundredweight. That's your question? 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: 19 MR. RICCIARDI: Yes. JUDGE CLIFTON: You may answer. 20 21 We have a published premium schedule for Α. 2.2 quality and volume premiums. It also includes the 23 quality discounts. It includes the hauling rates. And 24 depending on where you fall within that category, you 25 may get the same price.

1	Now, if we're talking a producer milking
2	ten cows and a producer milking a hundred cows, yes,
3	there's a difference.
4	MR. RICCIARDI: Fair. Thank you, Judge.
5	JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. I know that
6	we've had testimony about the somatic cell
7	count, not just from you, but I just want to
8	make sure we're spelling that right. How do you
9	spell that?
10	THE WITNESS: Somatic is s-o-m-a-i
11	excuse me, s-o-m-a-t-i-c. Cell is c-e-l-l and
12	count is c-o-u-n-t.
13	JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And just so
14	that the terminology is clear in the transcript,
15	tell us what that how the words look for
16	over-order pricing.
17	THE WITNESS: Oftentimes the words over
18	and order are hyphenated and then pricing or
19	price.
20	JUDGE CLIFTON: I guess premiums was also
21	used in connection with over order.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. They're used
23	interchangeably.
24	JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. All right.
25	Who next will cross-examine Mr. Tonak?

1 Mr. Tosi. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. TOSI: Mr. Tonak, do you, on behalf of the 4 Ο. 5 organization that you're here speaking on behalf of, 6 Mid-West Dairymen's, are you going to be articulating 7 any position about any of the other proposals that are 8 under consideration in this proceeding? We have positions, but we weren't going 9 Α. to necessarily articulate them unless asked. 10 11 Then the reason I want to ask that Ο. Okay. 12 one, first, because I did want to ask you a question. 13 Okay. Α. 14 Ο. You talk about marketwide pooling and its 15 importance in your testimony. Are you aware that 16 there's a proposal noticed that proposes to or seeks to 17 adopt individual handler pooling for all orders? 18 Yes, I am. Α. 19 Does your organization have an opinion Q. 20 about that? 21 Lakeshore Federated Dairy Cooperative is Α. 2.2 opposed to individual handler pooling as an 23 organization. Mid-West does not support individual 24 handler pooling, although it would be very beneficial to 25 We feel it will lead to very, very disruptive, us.

chaotic conditions in the marketplace. And we will very 1 quickly have supply problems, market balancing problems. 2 3 The over-order pricing that was mentioned will disappear. So we're opposed to it. 4 5 Ο. I appreciate your testimony here that 6 you're opposed to it. Do you envision a method by which 7 the Department could implement individual handler pooling in a large orders with multiple handlers in each 8 Order? 9 I have not been able to create a method 10 Α. of doing that and being fair to all participants. 11 12 And when you speak of fairness, what do 0. 13 you mean by that? 14 Α. Mid-West as a cooperative supplying a fluid plant under an individual handler pool would 15 16 derive a large benefit from the way things exist right 17 now if we just look at that on the basis of regulated 18 pricing. At the same time, part of the reason we 19 20 deliver milk to nonpool plants is to balance out needs 21 in the marketplace at that fluid plant. We would change 2.2 our method of operation to the point where we would 23 depend on other people to balance the fluid -- our fluid 24 milk or our additional milk needs. And we would deliver 25 our producer milk only to fluid use. That would allow

1 us to pay a much higher price than anybody else in the 2 area. 3 It would be difficult for cheese plants or powder plants, Class II plants, to compete against 4 5 the price we were paying our producers. 6 And it may, when you're working in 7 a short -- short supply period or in a -- in an Order 8 that historically has been shorted supplies. It may mean that the Class II operations do not receive any 9 10 product. In our particular case, we would not sell to a 11 Class II handler. 12 The competitive issues would become much 13 larger among producers. I like to think I'm a nice quy, 14 but if I've got a big advantage because of my -- of an individual handler pool with -- competing with cheese 15 16 plants, and I've got one of our producer members that 17 looks at me crossways or I get up on the wrong side of 18 the bed in the morning, they may not have a 19 market for their milk because it'd be so easy to go out 20 and gain a producer that would be more to my -- my liking. 21 2.2 And I think it rips and tears at the very 23 fabric of cooperatives to move in the direction of an 24 individual handler pool. 25 Thank you. Is it -- is it your testimony Q.

that currently in Order 30 in the Upper Midwest market 1 that producer-handlers are not a source of disruption? 2 3 Α. No, it is not my testimony. In the area where we operate, and our producers come from about a 4 5 60-mile radius from Rockford, the majority of our milk is delivered to stores and customers in the Rockford 6 7 area and in the Chicago suburbs. So we're operating in a very, very small and defined area. 8 In that area, there are no 9 producer-handlers operating that I'm aware of, and they 10 are not disrupting our operations. 11 12 Our concern is that the population that's available for somebody with a 14 cent a gallon price 13 14 advantage could stimulate some of these large producers to put in their own bottling operations. They can 15 16 become very competitive with us, with our regulated 17 price. 18 In order to retain business, we'd have to 19 lose money or the plant would go out of business or the 20 cooperative would have to dissolve and throw the 21 producers to the wolves. 2.2 Okay. With the understanding that in 0. 23 your area that there are no producer-handlers, how big 24 could a producer-handler be or what would be the -- in 25 your opinion, when would a producer-handler become a

1 disruptive competitive factor in your area? Α. 2 I've got to decide which hat I'm going to 3 put on to answer that question perhaps. From our fluid handler standpoint, any loss of business is -- is 4 5 disruptive. We and the customers we supply are smaller 6 groups of stores, convenience stores and so on, would be 7 our ideal targets for medium-sized producer-handlers to 8 take business away from us and from our dairy farmer 9 owners. And with that in mind, I would think that 10 11 the -- a -- a smaller producer-handler would be less 12 chaotic and disruptive in the market, you know. And we 13 like the -- to gear in around the -- where some of our 14 large producers are at. Like I said, we had one producer 15 16 distributing over 500,000 pounds of milk. So I would think that somewhere in that bracket is the -- is the 17 18 appropriate level. And is that tied, then, to your position 19 Q. 20 on supporting Proposal 2, the --21 Α. Yes. 2.2 -- the 450,000 pound exemption? Ο. 23 Yes. Α. 24 Regarding -- I'm trying to figure Okay. 0. out what the issue is here. Is the issue here that 25

producer-handlers in Order 30 are a disruption? And please speak to your knowledge of all of Order 30, not just your -- your little geographical section of it. But is it that producer-handlers are somehow disruptive, or is it that there is a fear that large producers may, for example, break away from a co-op and become a producer-handler?

8 Α. In Order 30 right now there is a handful of small producer-handlers, and I'm not aware of any of 9 those producer-handlers creating any major disruption in 10 the market. And so I think it's fair to say that the 11 12 smaller producer-handlers are not a concern. Our 13 concern is that the potential exists for large producer-handlers -- especially over the last five years 14 as we've seen the larger producers, we've seen the 15 16 advantages that may accrue to being a producer-handler. 17 And that these larger producers, larger 18 producer-handlers would drastically change the 19 marketplace.

20 Q. Okay. In response to one of my -- I 21 think it was my previous question, you kind of 22 characterized things, a small producer-handler. You 23 talk about a medium-sized one. Would you please, if you 24 can, offer a number or a range that, you know, when are 25 we talking small, when are we talking medium, when are

we talking large in looking at a producer-handler? 1 Our largest producer is under a million 2 Α. 3 pounds of -- quite a bit under a million pounds of milk production a month. They have -- rely quite heavily on 4 5 family labor and a little bit of outside hired help. Ιf 6 they were going to package, distribute their own milk, 7 they would definitely have to add quite a few people or 8 a few people outside of the immediate family labor pool. And in my mind, at least, a 9 10 producer-handler who grows out of the -- the family, 11 doing it all from the cow to the marketplace, and with 12 that in mind, I would suggest that anybody over a million pounds of milk a month would be a very large 13 14 producer-handler. And a medium sized producer-handler might 15 Ο. 16 be? Under that level on down. 17 Α. 18 Under that, okay. 0. 19 Into the 500,000-pound milk production a Α. 20 month. That's all I have Mr. Tonak. 21 Ο. Okay. Ι 2.2 really appreciate you coming and testifying here today. 23 Thank you. 24 Thank you. Α. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any other

1 cross-examination of Mr. Tonak? Mr. Beshore, 2 any redirect? 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BESHORE: 4 5 Q. Just one or two questions on redirect, 6 Mr. Tonak. 7 In terms of producer price uniformity, as 8 far as the Order 30 regulations go, Federal Order regulations, there is a uniform minimum price applicable 9 to each producer dependent upon their components and 10 volume, correct? 11 12 Α. There is a --Minimum --13 0. 14 Α. -- minimum price. Minimum uniform price, I'm sorry. A 15 Ο. 16 minimum price? 17 A minimum price, that is correct. Α. 18 And it's the same, assuming the Ο. 19 producer's location of delivery is the same and their 20 component levels are the same? 21 That is correct. Α. 2.2 And there's a PPD added to the component 0. 23 levels? 24 That is correct. Α. 25 Okay. Now, I want to talk -- just a Q.

final question about the theoretical and the real, 1 because you were asked by Mr. Ricciardi whether your --2 3 your calculations of the producer-handlers advantage were theoretical or whether they're real. 4 5 At page 5 of your testimony, you talked 6 about Mid-West for January having paid almost \$700,000 7 to the Federal Market Administrator. Do you recall that? 8 9 Α. Yes. Was that bill from the Market 10 Ο. Administrator a theoretical bill or a real bill? 11 12 It was very real. Α. 13 Now, if you were a producer-handler, Ο. 14 would you have had a bill from the Market Administrator? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. If you had the very same volumes of milk, 17 the very same sales and the very same stores, would you 18 have had any bill from the Market Administrator? 19 Α. No, you would not. Is that -- would that have meant that 20 0. 21 there was a difference in the operating statement and 2.2 the bank account of those two handlers? 23 Yes. Α. 24 Is that a theoretical or a real Ο. 25 difference?

It would be a real difference. 1 Α. 2 Approximately, conservatively, 14 cents a Ο. 3 gallon, as you calculated it? In that 700,000, it was much more than a 4 Α. 5 14 cent a gallon difference. But on average, over three 6 years, 14 cents a gallon. 7 MR. BESHORE: Thank you. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Tonak, was there 9 anything else you wanted to add to your testimony? 10 THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you. 12 13 You may step down. And Mr. Beshore, you may 14 move for the admission of the exhibit. MR. BESHORE: I move the admission of 15 16 Exhibit 24, including the attachment. 17 JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to 18 the admission of Exhibit 24? 19 MR. RICCIARDI: I do have an objection, 20 Judge. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Ricciardi. 2.2 MR. RICCIARDI: The objection is clearly 23 with regard to the attachment. It is a 24 theoretical number that's based on that 25 mathematical computation without any underlying

data to support it.

1

2	And we have testimony in the record even
3	from Mr. Tonak that he hasn't gone through and
4	dealt with the actual costs; that, in fact, all
5	he's done is subtracted one number from the
6	other. And under those circumstances, there's
7	really no basis for the attachment. It becomes
8	irrelevant and unnecessary for this hearing.
9	JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Beshore, your
10	response?
11	MR. BESHORE: My response is the witness
12	testified very precisely the basis of the
13	calculations. The arithmetic is just as
14	precise, and it's completely admissible in the
15	proceedings.
16	JUDGE CLIFTON: I agree. The witness, on
17	the bottom of page 2 subsection B, explains why
18	he believes that differential can be a
19	competitive advantage. That might be one way of
20	measuring it. And I find it useful. So the
21	objection is overruled. Is there any other
22	objection to the admission into evidence of
23	Exhibit 24? There are none. Exhibit 24 is
24	admitted into evidence.
25	JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Beshore, would this

be a good time for our afternoon break? 1 I think it would. I 2 MR. BESHORE: 3 couldn't remember. JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh, my goodness. 4 It's 5 5:16. This is our evening break. Let's take 15 minutes -- 14 minutes. Please be back and ready 6 7 to go at 5:30. MR. YALE: Yes. Before we go off the 8 record --9 JUDGE CLIFTON: Your bladder is better 10 11 than some people's. MR. YALE: All right. I'll let it go. 12 13 The announcement is we're going to be closing at 14 6:00. Are we going to be done at 6:00 or are we 15 going to go on much later? 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Come back at 5:30. 17 MR. YALE: All right. (A recess was taken from 5:16 to 5:30.) 18 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, folks, it's 20 5:30, please come to order. All right. Let's 21 qo back on record. We're back on record at 2.2 5:30. Specifically, I know how Mr. Beshore 23 wants to proceed. He has a witness in the 24 stand. I'd like that witness to identify 25 himself for the record.

1 THE WITNESS: Mike Asbury, and that's 2 A-s-b-u-r-y. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: And, Mr. Beshore, I have two names written down. I have Mike Asbury and 4 5 I have Dr. Robert Yonkers. How is it that you wish to proceed? 6 7 MR. BESHORE: Mr. Asbury. 8 MR. YONKERS: I'm Robert Yonkers, on behalf of International Dairy Foods Association. 9 Your Honor, I believe I will face 10 cross-examination issues the same as Dr. Cryan 11 did. And I anticipate that. I don't know if 12 13 anybody would have comment on that. My testimony is rather short. It's been in the 14 back of the room since this morning. I'm not 15 16 sure you want to put me on until after all the 17 data is here. I could read that very short 18 testimony but that won't take very long. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: You are very happy to 20 stay. 21 I am here representing my MR. YONKERS: 2.2 organization here this week and next. I would 23 not put it the same way your Honor did. I have 24 my orders. 25 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. It does

relieve a little bit of a logjam here if you can 1 2 testify at a later time. 3 MR. YONKERS: Yes. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Good. 4 Then I 5 suggest unless there's some objection that we plow right through, complete Mr. Asbury tonight 6 7 and then call it a day. I do want to mention, before we do that, 8 though, these are what I have written down for 9 tomorrow. Now, I have written down the man from 10 Steuben Foods, who is named James Buelow, 11 spelled B-u-e-l-o-w. I have written down Rod 12 Daniels. I have written down John Hornstra 13 14 either Wednesday or Thursday and I have written down Paul Rovey, R-o-v-e-y. I have written down 15 16 three dairy farmers from DFA. I have written down Steve Rowe, R-o-w-e, either Wednesday or 17 18 Thursday and he's a Northwest Dairyman. 19 Wednesday --20 MR. BESHORE: Thursday. 21 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thursday for him, for 2.2 Steve Rowe, that helps. I have written down 23 Gary Lee, and that Wednesday is his only 24 possible day. I have written down J.T. Wilcox, 25 either Wednesday or Thursday. And I have

1 written down Leon Berthiaume. 2 MR. BESHORE: Berthiaume. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Berthiaume. I'll get it 4 right yet. 5 MR. BESHORE: He must appear tomorrow. JUDGE CLIFTON: Must be Wednesday. 6 Which 7 means it looks to me as if we will have to work until nine tomorrow night. I see no other way. 8 9 If you all can make it work a different way, 10 that's fine with me. But Thursday is full, too. Thursday is very full. Thursday is when we see 11 the Vermont/New Hampshire/Wisconsin people and 12 13 Matt Shatto and John Hornstra, Steve Rowe, Gary 14 Latta. He's either Thursday or Friday, and 15 somebody named Krueger. And somebody named J.T. 16 Wilcox. So it's just getting quite full. Mr. Yale. 17 18 MR. YALE: Your Honor, before we make 19 that commitment, the witnesses that you named by 20 and large are relatively short and quick 21 witnesses. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, then, that's good 23 news. 24 MR. YALE: That's good news. And you 25 know there isn't a person here who doesn't want

1 to get this hearing done and get it done soon 2 and I'm one of those. 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Stand closer to the 4 microphone, please. 5 MR. YALE: There isn't a person here who doesn't want to get this hearing over with 6 7 sooner than later. There's no advantage to anybody to delay it any further than needs to be 8 done. But it is, for my client, very important, 9 it's for every client here, very important, and 10 needs to be done right. And wearing people out 11 physically to simply meet some unrealistic 12 13 schedule isn't getting it done, you know, in a way that I think is going to be conducive to 14 15 creating a record. 16 I am fortunate in that right now, I do 17 not have witness prep or anything else. But I 18 can tell you that even last night I had 19 difficulty just doing my end-of-day notes for 20 the hearing to get done. So I think with the 21 schedule you'll have here, I think with these witnesses, assuming we don't get into some 2.2 23 extended discourses, which I think can be put 24 off for brief or whatever, these -- my 25 experience, these types of witnesses do go very,

1 very quickly, very, very quickly. 2 And I would be surprised if we would not 3 be able to get through those, and depending, again, whether we have the testimony or not, 4 5 whether we can get into Roger Cryan and his cross-examination without going to 9:00. 6 Ι 7 think 6:00 is a very reasonable number. I mean, that would be my request of -- next week maybe 8 we have to start forcing. But I think this is 9 really asking too much. 10 And there are some people who do have 11 some serious health issues and they need rest. 12 13 And I just -- I think that creating an endurance is not conducive to the hearing. But that's --14 15 that's my opinion. You are the judge, and if 16 you want to go, we'll be here and we'll do what 17 we've got to do. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: I like your attitude. Ι 19 kind of think I should sit up here as a 20 triumvirate and should have Mr. Carroll over 21 here, who thought we ought to finish it in a 2.2 week, was it? 23 MR. CARROLL: Could have been done. 24 JUDGE CLIFTON: Could have been, and Yale 25 on this side. Okay. Mr. English.

1 MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, we certainly, 2 you know, are not saying let's go to nine just 3 to go to nine, just to do it. There are witnesses. Rod Daniels, Mr. Buelow, we're going 4 5 to get Mr. Asbury in today. Paul Rovey, Gary Lee, the NP dairy farmers and Leon Berthiaume 6 7 that have to get done tomorrow. We just have to get them done. 8 JUDGE CLIFTON: Buelow was one of them. 9 10 MR. ENGLISH: Yes. JUDGE CLIFTON: Daniels was one of them, 11 not Hornstra? 12 13 MR. ENGLISH: Mr. Hornstra, I'll let 14 speak for himself. 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hornstra, are you 16 here? Okay he might be able to go Thursday. 17 MR. ENGLISH: But the other -- what I 18 said, Daniels, Buelow, Rovey, Lee, the DFA dairy 19 farmers and Leon. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. 21 MR. ENGLISH: Because I can't 2.2 mispronounce his last name. Sorry. Mr. Wilcox, 23 again. Now, it would be preferable for 24 Thursday, if Mr. Wilcox could get on tomorrow. 25 Obviously we can be somewhat flexible

with that, and I want to emphasize we'll be flexible on that. The others, I don't think we can be flexible. They have to go on. If we get all of those done, and it's, you know, 4:30, I would say let's do Mr. Wilcox. If we get all of those done and it's 7:00 p.m, we can discuss it and Mr. Wilcox could go the next day. We're Saying we have to go to 9:00 p.m. just to here. go to 9:00 p.m -- obviously I can tell you it is going to depend entirely on the length of cross-examination how long tomorrow is. JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Ricciardi. MR. RICCIARDI: Your Honor, I agree that obviously we need to ensure that we're getting the evidence. And I'm not going to take a long

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

time talking because that stops us from getting the next part of the evidence.

But what Mr. Yale said is correct, that 18 19 the parties and their lawyers, particularly this 20 lawyer, do have some -- some health issues. 21 Thankfully I'm not getting a daily report from home so I'm able to get by till 6:00. But, you 2.2 23 know, trying to push it beyond that becomes a 24 problem because we do have preparation 25 requirements in terms of witnesses, preparation

1 for cross-examination, and we do have to eat and 2 sleep and those sort of mundane things. 3 So we'll move it along as quickly as we I'll try to get my cross in as quickly as 4 can. 5 I can today, and I will do it throughout the course of this. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: I was very impressed with every lawyer's work today, very impressed. 8 This is such a fine bar, the dairy, the milk bar is a 9 fine group of attorneys. And I appreciate all 10 of your hard work. All right. Mr. Beshore. 11 MR. BESHORE: Has Mr. Asbury been sworn? 12 Thank you. 13 JUDGE CLIFTON: No. 14 (The witness was sworn.) 15 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 16 (Exhibit 25 was marked for identification.) 17 18 MR. BESHORE: Okay. Your Honor, we have 19 marked a two-page document as Exhibit 25, which 20 is Mr. Asbury's statement. And I would just ask 21 him to proceed with it at this time. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And mine's 23 front and back. 24 MR. BESHORE: Yeah, most of them that 25 were reproduced are front and back.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: Front and back. Very 2 fine. You may go ahead then. 3 MIKE ASBURY 4 5 of lawful age, being duly sworn, was examined and 6 deposed as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BESHORE: 8 9 Α. Thank you. My name is Mike Asbury and I've been the Director of Milk Accounting and Economic 10 Analysis for Maryland & Virginia Milk Producers 11 12 Cooperative Association, Inc. (Maryland & Virginia) since 2002. I have a B.A. in Business Administration 13 14 from the University of Virginia at Wise and have served 15 in various accounting and economic related positions 16 within the dairy industry since 1978. My experience 17 during those years includes working for the Dairy 18 Division of Pet, Inc., and its successor companies, a proprietary fluid milk processor from 1978 to 1992 in 19 20 various capacities, including Cost Accountant and 21 Assistant Controller with responsibility for fluid milk 2.2 processing. I am providing testimony on behalf of 23 Maryland & Virginia in support of the National Milk 24 Producers Federation Proposals 1, 2 and 26. I left 2 25 out there, but I want to include 2.

1 Maryland & Virginia was organized in 1920 to more effectively market the milk of its members dairy 2 3 farmers in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. Today Maryland & Virginia is a milk marketing 4 5 cooperative with over 1,500 member dairy farmer families 6 spanning eleven states from Pennsylvania to Georgia to 7 Kentucky, Tennessee, and Ohio; and producing just less 8 than 3 billion pounds per year. The average production per month for a Maryland & Virginia farm was 9 160,000 pounds in 2008, approximately 100 cows per 10 11 member farm. Over 1,400 of Maryland & Virginia's farms 12 would be classified as small businesses. Over 1,000 of our member farms, more than two-thirds of our membership 13 is in Order 1. In addition, Maryland & Virginia 14 marketed over 1.6 billion pounds of non-member --15 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Excuse me, just so it's 17 real clear. What was that 1.6 figure? 1.6 18 what? THE WITNESS: 1.6 billion. 19 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: With a b as in boy? 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Did I say -- I wrote 2.2 billion the first time. 23 JUDGE CLIFTON: I just want to make sure 24 it was understood. 25 THE WITNESS: It's b, yes.

 1
 JUDGE CLIFTON: Billion with a b. You

 2
 many proceed.

3 Α. 91 percent of our member milk is rbST-Free while the remaining 9 percent is conventional. 4 5 The cooperative markets its member and 6 non-member milk throughout the Mid-Atlantic and 7 Southeast regions. In addition, Maryland & Virginia 8 owns and operates three fluid processing plants. Through these plants, we serve a wide variety of the 9 market including grocery store chains, convenience 10 stores and local home town markets. All of our fluid 11 12 milk -- all of our fluid plants are rbST-Free. Through 13 these plants, we market our own brand names of Marva 14 Maid and Maola, and package private label for regional and local customers. Our cooperative has been in the 15 16 fluid milk processing business since 1955.

17 Maryland & Virginia owns and operates one 18 powder -- one butter/powder balancing plant in Laurel, 19 Maryland, and owns an 80 percent majority interest in an 20 additional butter/powder balancing plant in Strasburg, 21 Virginia. We have been in the balancing plant ownership and operation business since 1956. The Laurel, Maryland 2.2 23 plant is a conventional supply plant with the ability to 24 operate as a split rbST-Free/Conventional facility. 25 Both balancing plants market cream and condensed milk in

addition to processing butter and nonfat dry milk 1 In addition, the cooperative operates a Farm 2 powder. 3 Supply Warehouse for the benefits of its members. Maryland & Virginia markets the majority 4 5 of its milk in three Federal Orders: The Northeast 6 Order, Federal Orders 1, which has a Class I utilization 7 of 43.4 percent; the Appalachian Order, Federal Order 5, which has a Class I utilization of 68.9 percent; and 8 the Southeast Order, Federal Order 7, which has a 9 Class I utilization of 67.9 (sic) percent. These 10 percentages are the averages for 2008. 11 12 There are producer handlers and exempt plants in the Federal Orders in which Maryland & 13 Virginia operates. High Class I utilization and close 14 proximity to major metropolitan centers provide a 15 16 significant potential for more and larger 17 producer-handlers in our marketing area. Large farms 18 with over 3 million pounds of production per month now operate in Maryland & Virginia's marketing area. 19 The 20 proposed limits on a producer-handler's ability to take on Class -- to take Class I sales from the market-wide 21 2.2 pool must be adopted. The Federal Order market-wide 23 pool is the only way all producers, and particularly the 24 smaller producers, and members of cooperatives, can 25 share in the Class I price. The market-wide pools must

be protected throughout the adoption -- through the
 adoption of the National Milk proposals.

3 As previously stated, Maryland & Virginia owns and operates three fluid milk plants. Large 4 5 producer handlers have a price advantage over any 6 regulated plant in the market. In 2008, the average 7 difference between the uniform price and the Class I price in the Federal Order 5 was \$1.40 per hundred 8 weight or 12 cents per gallon. For the same period in 9 Federal Order 1, the difference was \$2.63 or almost 23 10 11 cents per -- per gallon. No federally regulated 12 handler, including Maryland & Virginia, would be able to compete in a market with plants with these price 13 14 advantages. The desire of large national chains to be supplied at the lowest cost, which we experience every 15 16 day in our fluid plants, is an open invitation to producer-handlers in any order, and particularly in our 17 18 marketing area. This has already occurred in the 19 Southwest.

20 Maryland & Virginia Milk Producers 21 Cooperative Association, Inc. supports the National Milk 22 Producers Federation's proposals number 1, 2 and 26, 23 which allows current producer-handlers to continue to 24 operate with a size limit of 3 million pounds per month. 25 BY MR. BESHORE:

Thank you. Mr. Asbury, I would just ask 1 Q. perhaps one additional question on direct examination. 2 3 Your -- you've indicated that your education and your professional background has been in the business side of 4 5 the -- of organization, the financial and accounting 6 side, is that correct? 7 That's correct, uh-huh. Α. 8 Ο. Okay. So you're familiar with P&Ls and and ins and outs of the flow of income and expenses for 9 Federally regulated fluid milk plants? 10 11 That's correct. Α. 12 And Class I plants, distributing plants 0. 13 in the Federal Order system which are regulated, are billed by the Market Administrator every month and 14 15 payments are required into the pool every month, is that 16 correct? 17 Α. That is correct, yes. 18 And that's a real -- that's a real bill Ο. 19 and real expense? 20 Α. Yes. We settle those difference every 21 month and pay them, yes. 2.2 And producer-handlers, on the other hand, Ο. 23 would not experience any billing from --24 Α. That's right. 25 -- the Market Administrator? Q.

1 Α. Their expense would be their cost. That 2 would be correct. 3 0. Okay. So when you calculate the possible advantage that the producer-handlers would have in your 4 5 orders, such as in Order 1, a difference of 23 cents per gallon, basically that's the -- the bill that regulated 6 7 plants have to the Market Administrator which producer-handlers would not have? 8 That is correct. 9 Α. 10 MR. BESHORE: Thank you. I have no further questions for Mr. Asbury on direct. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Beshore. 12 Cross-examination? Who would like to go first? 13 14 Mr. Vetne, thank you. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR.MR. VENTE: 17 Mr. Asbury, my name is John Vetne. Ο. I'm a 18 attorney from New Hampshire, and I represent a couple of 19 producer-handlers out in Oregon and one in New Mexico. 20 I'm looking at the second page, next to 21 the last paragraph. Start out with Maryland & Virginia 2.2 owns and operates three fluid milk plants. The 23 connection sentence is, Large producer-handlers have a 24 price advantage. You're a fluid -- or a regulated fluid 25

1 plant operator. Your testimony implies to me that there 2 are existing large producer-handlers that currently have 3 a price advantage over your three plants. Is that 4 correct? 5 Α. There's no large producer-handler in our area at this time. What I should have said: 6 Would 7 have. 8 Would have, okay. Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 So this is -- you're projecting something Q. happening in the future? 11 12 Yes, sir. Α. And the same thing is true later on when 13 Ο. 14 you say would be able to compete. You're again projecting. It's a what-if scenario? 15 16 Α. That is -- that is correct, sir. 17 Okay. And towards the end, you talk 0. 18 about the desire of large national chains to be supplied 19 at the lower cost. Am I correct in inferring that your 20 other customers do not desire to be supplied at the 21 lower cost? 2.2 The large national chains would have more Α. 23 effect on the total market pool than the others would, 24 yes. 25 You have some buyers that are indifferent Q.

1 to getting a lower cost? 2 No, they all want -- they want lower than Α. 3 what we have to pay for it, no doubt. Okay. And finally in that same paragraph 4 Ο. 5 you say, this has already occurred in the Southwest. Do 6 you have this personal knowledge of anything happening 7 in the Southwest? 8 Α. We do have a lot of agency meetings in the Southwest area and constantly hear the talk of the 9 differences in the prices. 10 11 So some -- you meet with -- what agency? Ο. 12 Is this co-op organizations or processing organizations 13 or both? 14 Α. Both. So some organizations that you 15 Ο. Both. 16 have attended, there have been handlers that have 17 complained about an occurrence in the Southwest over 18 which you have no personal knowledge? 19 Α. That's -- that's correct. MR.MR. VENTE: Okay. Thank you. 20 21 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Who would like to 23 cross-examine Mr. Asbury next? Mr. Ricciardi. 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. RICCIARDI:

Thank you. Mr. Asbury, Al Ricciardi on 1 Q. 2 behalf of AIDA who represents producer-handlers and some 3 exempt plants. And I have some questions. Were you the director of milk accounting and economic analysis for 4 5 Maryland & Virginia in 2004 when there was the hearing in Federal Orders 5 and 7? 6 7 Yes, I was. Α. 8 Okay. And you were one of the proponents Ο. 9 of a 3 million pound limitation in that particular order, correct? 10 11 Maryland & Virginia was, yes. Α. Ο. Okay. And you understand we had a full 12 13 hearing, that evidence was taken, and that that proposal 14 was never adopted? (Nodding head.) 15 Α. 16 MR. BESHORE: Your Honor --17 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Beshore, you may 18 approach the microphone. MR. BESHORE: Well, I just want to say --19 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: Get closer, please. Pull 21 it towards you. 2.2 MR. BESHORE: What occurred at that 23 proceeding, which has been terminated by the 24 Secretary is, you know, a matter of record. And, you know, I don't want to have to get into 25

1 a colloquy with Mr. Ricciardi or anybody else about characterizing what happened at that 2 3 record. It just -- we can take notice of the 4 5 Secretary's actions, but the proceeding was terminated. We're saying now something wasn't 6 7 adopted or, you know, it was proposed and not pursued or something or another. It's been 8 terminated and there shouldn't be any more 9 discussion about it. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: The position that this 11 gentleman took at a previous time might be 12 13 relevant. 14 MR. RICCIARDI: If you let me get there, I'll finish this thing up, so we can actually 15 16 get home to our families. BY MR. RICCARDI: 17 18 With regard to that issue, the complaint 0. 19 was that there could be competitors, producer-handlers, 20 that would cause a disruption in the market in Federal 21 Orders 5 and 7, correct? 2.2 That's correct. Α. 23 And I don't know if you've got Exhibit 13 0. 24 If you don't, I apologize. up there. 25 I do not. Α.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: I can hand this to him. 2 MR. RICCIARDI: That would be great, 3 Judge. 13. Now, this has been admitted, and 4 Ο. 5 it's the producer-handler regulatory status by year from 6 2005 through 2009. In 2005 through 2009 you've been at 7 Maryland & Virginia, correct? That is correct. 8 Α. And you have marketed milk in Federal 9 0. Orders 5 and 7, correct? 10 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 And you will note that if you look at Ο. 13 2005, the first page, you will see that there's one producer-handler in Order 5 and one in 7. Am I right? 14 15 Α. That's -- there's not many, so I would 16 agree with that, yes. 17 Ο. Two of them. And then if you go through 18 2006, '7, '8 and '9, it appears that we have two in Order 5 during that period of time? 19 20 Α. Okay. 21 Am I correct? 0. 2.2 I'd agree with you, yes. Α. 23 Okay. And if you were to assume, as you 0. 24 have, that there is some kind of a perceived advantage, 25 have you seen in looking at Exhibit Number 13 the

proliferation and growth of the number of 1 producer-handlers in Order 5 and 7 the areas you market 2 3 in? Orders 5 and 7 with the higher 4 Α. 5 utilization would not be as attractive as it would in Federal Order 1. 6 7 So there's no basis for adopting any Ο. limitation on producer-handlers in Federal Orders 5 and 8 Is that your testimony? 9 7. 10 No. No, sir. That was not my testimony. Α. Okay. So there's still a theoretical 11 0. 12 notion even though no growth has occurred in the last 13 five years? 14 That is correct. I mean, it could easily Α. 15 happen. 16 MR. RICCIARDI: I'm sure. Thanks. JUDGE CLIFTON: Who next would like to 17 18 cross-examine this witness. Mr. Carroll? 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. CARROLL: 21 I'm not going to say good morning. 0. 2.2 Okay. Α. 23 I want to ask you about Order 1. You did 0. 24 talk about Order 1? 25 Α. Yes, sir.

And would you tell me, do you have plants 1 Q. in Order 1 or do you ship into Order 1? 2 3 Α. We have a plant in Order 1. And where is that located? 4 Ο. 5 Α. It's located in Landover, Maryland. And in that plant -- can you tell me what 6 Ο. 7 the size of that plant is? 8 Α. It runs about 18 million pounds a month. And how does it market its milk, its 9 0. Class I milk? 10 11 It is Class I milk, yes. Α. What I mean, though, does it market to 12 Ο. stores or to -- on bid, or how do you do business? 13 We market it to customers, basically one 14 Α. 15 big customer, yes, sir. 16 Q. One big customer? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And who is the customer? 0. It would be Giant. 19 Α. 20 Q. I'm sorry? 21 Giant. Giant Foods. Α. 2.2 Giant Foods? Q. 23 Uh-huh. Α. 24 What's Giant Foods, what kind of business Q. 25 do they have?

1 Α. They have grocery stores. And where are they located? 2 Q. 3 Α. The ones we serve are located in the northern Virginia, Maryland area. 4 5 Q. All right. And northern -- or Giant 6 Foods, do you get that on a bid of some kind? Is that a 7 bid contract? 8 Α. Yes, sir. It's a signed contract, yes. And how many stores do you serve, if you 9 0. know? 10 I don't know the exact number. 11 Ι Α. 12 couldn't say. I know we serve them 18 million pounds of milk. 13 14 Q. Would you say more than five or ten? Oh, definitely. 15 Α. 16 Q. More than 20? 17 Α. Yes. 18 More than 50? Ο. More than a hundred, I'll say. 19 Α. 20 0. More than a hundred. 21 And I'll stop there. Α. 2.2 Okay. Now, at that plant do you also Q. 23 make manufactured products such as butter or --24 Α. We do not. 25 You do not. No manufacturing --Q.

1 Α. No. Basically ---- at that plant? 2 Q. 3 Α. -- gallons and half gallons. Of milk? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Of milk. 6 Okay. Do you do any manufacturing 0. 7 anywhere in your system? 8 Α. Yes, we sure do. And where is that located? 9 Ο. As I stated in my testimony in Laurel, 10 Α. 11 Maryland and Strasburg, Virginia. 12 Right. And what products do you make 0. there? 13 14 Α. Condensed. We make some powder, some 15 butter, just depends on the time of the year. 16 Q. All right. And when you file your 17 reports with the Market Administrator, do you take credit for the manufacturing that you do in the -- in 18 19 the accounting form? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 And can you explain how that would -- how 0. 2.2 that would be done? 23 The pool works just the reverse of the Α. 24 Class I. You draw out of the pool instead of pay into

25

it.

1 Q. You actually take money from the pool? Α. That's -- that's correct. 2 3 0. And how -- what is the rate at which you subtract money from the pool, on an average? 4 5 Α. The dollar per --Dollar figure, yeah. 6 0. 7 It varies. Just depends on our Α. utilization that month, but I would say in a dollar 8 9 range. 10 Do you know the average utilization of Q. 11 that plant last year? 12 The average utilization? Α. 13 Yeah. Q. 14 Α. Of which one? Well --15 Ο. 16 Α. I do not know off the top of my head the average utilization, but it's -- Class III and IV would 17 18 be --19 Q. Well, they're Class III and IV plants, 20 aren't they? 21 IV, yes, uh-huh. Α. 2.2 So virtually everything that goes through Q. 23 those two plants? We do -- with the condensed we do have 24 Α. 25 some that goes into ice cream, so II, III, and IV, based

1 on where the milk ends up when we send it out, yes. 2 Now, 2008 can you tell us how many pounds Ο. 3 of manufactured products your company handled? MR. BESHORE: Your Honor? 4 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Beshore. MR. BESHORE: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Pull the microphone 8 towards you, please. MR. BESHORE: Mr. Carroll is asking for 9 proprietary information from this witness, and I 10 think I want to object to that, but also make 11 sure that the witness understands that he's 12 under no obligation to reveal volumes, prices 13 and other confidential business information in 14 15 this proceeding. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. 17 MR. BESHORE: In fact, I object to the 18 inquiry in terms of volumes and whatnot at 19 particular plants. It's not relevant. 20 JUDGE CLIFTON: And, Mr. Carroll, why is the distinction between the Class I and the 21 2.2 other classes important with regard to your 23 questioning? 24 MR. CARROLL: Well, I'll withdraw that 25 question.

1 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you. 2 Now, one other caution I would make -- you are 3 moving so fast, which I appreciate, that you're talking over the witness. 4 5 MR. CARROLL: All right. Thank you. Ι appreciate that. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Slow down just a bit. 8 BY MR. CARROLL: Right. A producer-handler who is only 9 0. Class I, that's all he -- he had, he would not be taking 10 11 any credit for manufactured products, would he? 12 No, sir. Α. 13 Do you know the names of any Ο. 14 producer-handlers in the areas that you sign -- I'm sorry, that you serve? 15 16 Α. I know the names of the exempt people in 17 our area, a couple of them, yes, sir. 18 And can you tell us in the Order 1 who 0. 19 are the people that you compete with, if any? 20 Α. Who we compete with --21 0. Yes. 2.2 -- for Class I sales? Α. 23 Yes? Q. 24 Green Springs would be one. Shenandoah Α. 25 Pride would be one.

Q. Uh-huh. And there's others. Α. Are they producer-handlers? Q. Α. No, they're not. Q. All right. Do you have any producer-handlers you compete with in Order 1? Α. It's not an issue at this time, as I stated before. Q. Right. It's something we're looking at. Α. And how about Order 5, do you have any Q. producer-handlers you compete with there? No, none at this time. Α. MR. CARROLL: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. JUDGE CLIFTON: Who next will cross-examine, Mr. Asbury? Mr. Miltner. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MILTNER: Q. Ryan Miltner on behalf of AIDA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 Mr. Asbury speak about your plants if you'd like or 22 plants in general, but bottling plants have butterfat 23 utilization or butterfat use of about 1.8 percent on 24 average across all products, is that about right? 25 A. That's low. Ours is higher than that.

1 Q. Okay. Around 2 percent, generally? Ι 2 mean, I'm not asking for your --3 Α. It's a little higher than that, yes. Okay. Do you have -- I don't want to get 4 Ο. 5 into information about your plant, but what do you --6 what's your experience as for an average on the 7 butterfat for -- across distributing plants? What is the --8 Α. The average butterfat across all 9 Ο. 10 products. I would think a 2-2. 11 Α. 2-2. I don't have any allusions that I'm 12 0. 13 going to get you to acknowledge that the cost of production for a producer-handler is an appropriate 14 measure of how they acquire their milk, but I do want to 15 16 suggest that your calculation of the difference between 17 the Class I Price Order the uniform price is at 18 3.5 percent butterfat? That is -- that is correct. 19 Α. 20 0. And so the plants actually make pool 21 assessments based on the skim and butterfat that goes 2.2 through the plants, right? That's how the Market 23 Administrator calculates that? 24 That is correct. Α. 25 And so if you had 2.2 percent on a plant, Q.

your advantage, which, again, I don't think we're going 1 2 to go anywhere discussing that, but the advantage you calculated could be different than what you've just 3 stated here? 4 5 Α. Any butterfat product would be calculated 6 at some difference, yes. 7 Ο. Okay. 8 Α. It could be more. It could be less. Thank you. You mention in your 9 Ο. Okay. 10 statement there are some large farms that are now operating in your market area. Are farms of that size 11 12 relatively new to the areas where you do business? We've had a few in that area that have 13 Α. 14 been large, what we'd consider large for several years. 15 But as -- as time goes on, the farms get larger and the 16 small ones go away. 17 As a cooperative you're concerned that 0. 18 those large farms would open up their own bottling 19 plant? 20 Α. That would be our concern. 21 0. And so the proposals that you're 2.2 supporting would -- would require that if a producer 23 decided to open their bottling plant, that they'd have 24 to be a regulated plant, right? 25 I would like them to be -- pay the same Α.

1 price we pay. 2 Does Maryland & Virginia pay volume 0. 3 premiums? 4 Α. We do pay some volume premiums. 5 Q. Are those public knowledge at all? Do 6 you post those? 7 Α. I would not state them, no, sir. MR. MILTNER: Okay. I don't have 8 9 anything else. Thank you. 10 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you very much Mr. Miltner. Any other cross-examination? 11 Mr. Tosi, do you have any cross-examination of 12 13 Mr. Asbury? MR. TOSI: No, your Honor, I have no 14 15 questions. I appreciate the appearance. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Mr. Beshore. 17 MR. BESHORE: Yes. 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BESHORE: 19 20 Ο. Just one question on redirect. 21 Mr. Asbury, I'd just like to follow up on the questions 2.2 from Mr. Ricciardi, I think, about the potential or the 23 possibilities for producer-handlers in Orders 5 -- 5 or 24 Okay. Now, you're familiar with the -- generally 7. 25 familiar with the sources of milk, the milk -- the areas

from which those orders draw their milk supply? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. Okay. And they're deficit markets, right? 4 5 Α. Oh, definitely. Yes. 6 Very much so, right? 0. 7 Α. Yeah. We have months where we bring in 8 more than we produce. Okay. So the -- is that market supplied 9 Ο. from large farms outside the geographic marketing area? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Α. 12 And in the area of those large farms, is Ο. the local blend price utilization where the milk is 13 14 coming from --15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. -- much lower --17 Α. Yes. 18 -- than Order 5 and 7? 0. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. Okay. So if you've got a large farm, 21 let's say, in northern Indiana, perhaps, that supplies 22 milk down into orders 5 and 7, the utilization up in 23 Indiana is much lower than it is in 5 and 7, correct? 24 Α. Yes, it is. 25 Okay. If it was supplying -- if farms up Q.

1 there were to become -- large farms in that area were to 2 become producer-handlers and supplied fluid milk 3 products down into Orders 5 and 7 rather than bulk milk, they could become a producer-handler and that would be 4 5 an advantage in doing it --6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. -- potentially, correct? 8 Α. Correct. Okay. In fact, in terms of the blend 9 Ο. price where the milk is coming from, there'd be a --10 11 somewhat a blend price to Class I difference something 12 in the range of what Mr. Tonak was talking about? I would think so. I didn't look at those 13 Α. 14 numbers, but I would think so, yes. Okay. So -- and is that sort of a 15 Ο. 16 possibility -- one of the possibilities that you would 17 want the Secretary to consider in determining whether 18 there should be limits on the size of producer-handlers in Orders 5 and 7? 19 20 Α. Yes, uh-huh. 21 MR. BESHORE: Thank you. 2.2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Beshore. 23 Mr. Yale. 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. YALE:

Benjamin F. Yale on behalf of Continental 1 Ο. 2 Dairy Products and Select Milk. 3 Mr. Asbury, I want to go back to that hypothetical that Mr. Beshore just talked to you about, 4 5 Indiana and where the milk is located. It is true, is 6 it not, that farms are paid based upon the markets at 7 which they sell the milk, not where they're located, is 8 that correct? That would all be determined on what kind 9 Α. 10 of supply agreement they signed. 11 But I'm talking about the milk Ο. Yeah. 12 that is generated by their sales, whether they're blend and they're co-op and everything, might be some other 13 14 things. But by and large, as far as the Federal Order is concerned, it's the price at the plant where the milk 15 16 is delivered, right? 17 Α. That would be correct. If it's pooled in 18 that area, yes. Now, you testified earlier that because 19 Q. 20 of the high Class I utilization in Order 5 that if there 21 is a discouragement -- or not a discouragement --2.2 there's not quite the encouragement to be a producer-handler because the difference between a Class 23 24 I utilization and the hundred percent Class I utilization is so narrow that it doesn't create the 25

1	incentive that it does in an Order such as Order 1 where
2	the Class I utilization is much lower, right? Do you
3	recall that?
4	A. There wouldn't be as many dollars to
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. It wouldn't be as profitable.
7	Q. So what difference does it make if a
8	plant is the farm is located in Indiana and is
9	selling milk in Appalachia, what difference does it make
10	that it's in Indiana. If the utilization is too high to
11	not make that encouragement, it's too high regardless of
12	where the farm is located, right?
13	A. Repeat that again.
14	Q. All right. It was a terrible question.
15	Let me we'll start all over again.
16	MR. YALE: It's a good reason, by the
17	way, your Honor, we need to be recessed.
18	JUDGE CLIFTON: But what I'm amazed at,
19	you and Mr. Carroll are going 90 miles an hour
20	all of a sudden.
21	MR. YALE: It's because we're tired, and
22	we want to get to lunch or supper or whatever.
23	Q. All right. Coming back to this point, is
24	that in the decision of a farm in Indiana, Ohio, or New
25	York or wherever else that sells into the Appalachian

Г

Order is going to be determined whether -- if they want 1 to be PD or regulated, it's going to depend upon the 2 3 Order in which they sell and not where their farm is located, is that right? 4 5 Α. It would depend on where that farm is 6 selling its milk now. 7 0. That's right. Yeah. If it's --8 Α. Well, but --9 0. 10 Α. Yeah. 11 But -- okay. Okay. Let's -- so let's --Q. 12 There could be farms there --Α. 13 All right. Q. 14 Α. -- that's not --(Off the record.) 15 16 Q. Take a farm located in Ohio, all right? 17 And it sells into the Mideast Order, which is a low 18 utilization order, right? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 0. All right. So there might be an -- under 21 your statement, there'd be an encouragement to be a --2.2 might have an incentive to be a PD? 23 That's right. Α. 24 Okay. and you've testified that due to Ο. the higher utilization in Order 5 there is less of an 25

1	incentive to be a PD?
2	A. Well, if he's selling in 33.
3	Q. No, wait a minute. No, no, no. Wait a
4	minute.
5	A. I've got to understand your question.
6	Q. No. My question is, is forget that he's
7	selling in Order 33 for the moment. For any farmer to
8	sell into Order 5, if he wants to sell into Order 5, he
9	has a choice of either selling it and setting it up as
10	being fully regulated and getting the blend price,
11	right?
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. Or selling it as a PD, right?
14	A. If he qualifies as a PD, that probably
15	would be right.
16	Q. Right. So whether or not he's selling
17	milk now in Ohio, he's going he has the potential of
18	an advantage of selling into Order 5 either under a
19	regulated scheme or a PD scheme, right?
20	A. If he's under regulated, he would be on a
21	fair playing ground with us. That would be correct.
22	Q. Right.
23	A. Uh-huh.
24	Q. So the decision of whether to be a PD or
25	not depends upon the market which you're selling in and

Г

1 not the market where you're leaving? I don't know I totally agree with you. 2 Α. Ι 3 mean, if he's selling in that market and getting a lower price, and then he goes to another market -- I mean 4 5 that's --He would have --6 0. 7 Α. He would have a gain, yes. He would have a gain to go to Order 5? 8 Q. That's correct. 9 Α. Because of the higher utilization, right? 10 Q. That would be a part of it, in part. 11 Α. Ιf 12 he goes in as a regulated plant --13 Right. Q. -- yes, he would get that higher 14 Α. utilization. He wouldn't be a producer-handler, and it 15 16 would all be fair, yes. 17 Right. And his decision would be based Ο. 18 upon the market that he sells in, not where he left at 19 that point, whether he wants to stay regulated or not? 20 Α. That would be his decision. That would be his decision? 21 Ο. 2.2 Yeah. Wouldn't be mine. Yeah. Α. 23 If -- well, we'll leave it at that. Ο. You're aware of who some of the members of Continental 24 25 are?

1 Α. Yes. 2 And who are some of those members? Q. 3 Α. I know who McCloskey is. Do you know Fair Oaks Farms? 4 0. 5 Α. Yeah. That's a large farm in Indiana? 6 0. 7 I would think that's pretty large, yes. Α. 8 All right. Are you aware of the fact Q. that Continental and Select support National Milk's 9 position? 10 11 Α. That's great. I mean, you agree with that? I mean, you 12 0. 13 understand that, right? 14 Α. Yeah. That's what I support, too. Yes. So does that not -- the fact that 15 Ο. Okav. 16 something large like that supports that might suggest 17 that that there are some factors that are beyond some of 18 the theoretical opportunities that you're talking about that are entering into these business decision? 19 20 Α. No. That's -- they made that decision. 21 I don't know their background in the decision, no. 22 And you're glad that they made that, Q. 23 though? 24 Uh-huh. I said that. Α. Yes. Yes. 25

1 2 Okay. Very good. Thank you. MR. YALE: 3 JUDGE CLIFTON: Any other follow-up to what Mr. Beshore raised on redirect. 4 5 Mr. Beshore? MR. BESHORE: I simply move the admission 6 7 of Exhibit 25, your Honor. JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to 8 Exhibit 25 being admitted into evidence? 9 There is none. Exhibit 25 is hereby 10 admitted. Now before we break I have one more 11 order of business. And thank you for my exhibit 12 13 back. And, Mr. Asbury, thank you very much for 14 your testimony. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 JUDGE CLIFTON: I would like, please, for 17 Jock Gibson to come to the podium. 18 JUDGE CLIFTON: For right now, Mr. Gibson 19 this one where Mr. Beshore was, if you will. 20 Okay. And you are handing me a statement. 21 Let's see. I got two copies. Would you hand one to Mr. Tosi? 2.2 23 When I talked with you earlier today, I 24 asked if you would be willing to testify if we 25 went into the night?

1 MR. GIBSON: Yes. 2 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now I need to ask if you 3 are also willing to testify tomorrow instead? Oh, yes. MR. GIBSON: 4 5 JUDGE CLIFTON: You'll still be here? MR. GIBSON: I'll be here. 6 7 JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, you have prepared a 8 statement and it's on the letterhead of Lochmead 9 Dairy. 10 MR. GIBSON: Correct. 11 JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you have any copies of this on the back table? 12 13 MR. GIBSON: They're on the back table. So all of you be 14 JUDGE CLIFTON: Great. aware Jock Gibson will be one of tomorrow's 15 witnesses and you may want to pick up his 16 17 statement. Thank you very much. 18 MR. GIBSON: Thank you. 19 JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Is there any 20 objection to our closing for the day, coming 21 back tomorrow morning at 8:00? There is none. We're off record at 6:17. 22 23 PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 6:17 p.m. 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, S. Diane Farrell, RMR, CRR, the
3	undersigned, a court reporter for the State of Ohio, do
4	hereby certify that at the time and place stated herein,
5	I recorded in stenotypy and thereafter had transcribed
6	into typewriting under my supervision the foregoing
7	pages, and that the foregoing is a true, complete and
8	accurate report of my said stenotype notes.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	S. Diane Farrell, RMR, CRR
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	