HARVEST CO-OP MARKETS

Secretary Ann Veneman,
United States Department of Agriculture
Room 200-A
1400 Independence Avenue SW
Washington, DC, 20250

December 2002

Dear Secretary Ann Veneman:

I am writing to strongly urge you to drop your plans to permit irradiated food to be served in the National School Lunch Program. I base my opposition on the following reasons:

- The most recent Farm Bill allows American children to be 'guinea pigs' for irradiated food. Section 4201 (b) (3) directs you, as the Secretary of Agriculture, not to prohibit commodities that have been treated with irradiation to be used in the various nutrition programs USDA administers, including the National School Lunch Program and the Child Nutrition Program. However, the managers of the legislation also released the following statement that accompanied the legislation: 'The Managers expect the Secretary to continue to make commodity purchases, taking into consideration the acceptability of products purchased and considering the relative costs of products available for purchase.' So, the authors of the legislation conceded that there might be public opposition to serving irradiated food in the National School Lunch Program and they also acknowledged that it costs more.

- Irradiated food has not been proven safe to eat. It not only degrades the nutritional content of food, but research has revealed a wide range of health problems in laboratory animals that ate irradiated food including premature death, fatal internal bleeding, a rare form of cancer, stillbirths and other reproductive problems, genetic damage, and organ malfunctions and nutritional deficiencies. In addition, the FDA did not follow its own testing protocols when legalizing irradiated food.

- There is even less research into the long-term health effects experienced by children who are exposed to toxic chemicals in foods. Dr. William Au, a toxicologist at the Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston, has argued that the lack of understanding regarding the ill effects suffered by children who consume toxic chemicals in foods extends to 'the toxicological risk with respect to eating irradiated food.'

- Irradiation merely masks the problems of meat processing that result in contaminated meat. It is a mistake to accept food irradiation as the true solution to our food contamination problem.

- There are proposed regulations that would strengthen inspection and testing in the meat industry that have been languishing for months in your office. However, your department has opposed legislation that would strengthen the current inspection program.

- Furthermore, current regulations do not require children, teachers, or parents to be informed that the school meals have been prepared with irradiated foods. We deserve the right-to-know what is being served in the nutrition programs offered in school. Using children as unwitting experimental subjects or to create a market for a technology that consumers don't want is reprehensible.

I strongly urge you to stop the process of implementing this change in USDA policy. There is good reason why irradiated food has been kept out of the nutritional programs that you administer. Your hasty approval of this new policy could lead to more problems than it is designed to correct.

Sincerely,

Name Adam Matlock Signature [illegible]

Address 10 E. Milton Rd #2 Brookline MA 02445

Phone (617) 739-8870 e-mail

JAMAICA PLAIN
57 South Street
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130
(617) 524 - 1667
Fax: (617) 524-8992

CAMBRIDGE
581 Mass Ave
Cambridge, MA 02139
(617) 661-1580
Fax: (617) 661-6978