UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURE MARKETING SERVICE (AMS) NATIONAL ORGANIC PROGRAM (NOP)

+ + + + +

MEETING OF THE NATIONAL ORGANIC STANDARDS BOARD (NOSB)

+ + + + +

THURSDAY APRIL 28, 2011

+ + + + +

The National Organic Standards Board convened, at 8:00 a.m., in the Emerald I Meeting Room at the Red Lion Hotel, 1514 Fifth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, Tracy Miedema, Chairperson, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT

(202) 234-4433

TRACY MIEDEMA, Chairperson COLEHOUR BONDERA STEVE DEMURI JOSEPH DICKSON KRISTINE "TINA" ELLOR BARRY FLAMM JOHN FOSTER WENDY FULWIDER KATRINA HEINZE NICHOLAS MARAVELL ROBERT "MAC" STONE JENNIFER TAYLOR C. REUBEN WALKER STAFF PRESENT

MILES McEVOY, Deputy Administrator, National Organic Program MELISSA BAILEY, Director, Standards Division, National Organic Program LISA BRINES, Standards Division, National Organic Program EMILY BROWN ROSEN, Standards Division, National Organic Program LISA AHRAMJIAN, NOSB Executive Director

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S Call to Order 11 Tracy Miedema Chair Opening Remarks 11 Miles McEvoy Deputy Administrator National Organic Program Public Comments 13 Jackie Von Ruden 13 MOSA David Will 21 Chino Valley Ranchers 28 Paul Frey Frey Winery Todd Brendlin 35 Grimmway Farms and Cal Organic Vegetables Christopher Ely 42 Applegate Farms Steven Frenkel 50 Organic Vintages Ron Christensen 58 Idalou Egg Ranch Matthew Miller 64 Organic Inspector David Gard 72 Greq Herbruck 78 Organic Egg Producer Michigan

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)

Kim Dietz Former NOSB Member and Manager Smuckers Natural Foods	85
Paul Klingerman Washington Pork Producer	95
Anne Mosness Commercial Salmon Fisherman	97
Beth Unger CROPP Cooperative	101
Didier Jacquet Winemaker	107
Dag Falck Nature's Path Foods	112
Elissa Sosland Animal Welfare Institute	121
Phil LaRocca LaRocca Vineyards	124
Harriet Behar	130
Mohamed Mousa Geneticist and Immune System Development in Poultry	139
Seri Sedlacek Badger Mountain Vineyard and Powers Winery	145
Josh Hinerfeld Organically Grown Company	152

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)

Kathy Pryor Physicians for Social Responsibility	158
Roxanne Green Organics Consumer	164
Leslie Zuck Pennsylvania Certified Organic	167
Dave Carter National Bison Association and Pet Food Institute	175
Anne Schwartz Organic Farmer	183
Sam Carruth SQM Producer of Natural Chilean nitrate	189
David Lively Organically Grown Company	196
Jake Lewin CCOF Certification Services	207
Joseph Ward Iowa Organic Association	216
Dragan Macura AgroThrive, Incorporated	225
Tony Dryak Farmer	236
Richard Mathews NOP Solutions, Incorporated	249
Julie Weisman Former NOSB Member	251

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)	
Robin Allan CCOF	262
Darryl Williams Oregon Tilth	268
Terry Shistar Beyond Pesticides	276
Mel Gehman Heritage Poultry Management	282
George Bass Organic Egg Farmer	285
Trudy Bialic PCC Natural Markets	287
Joan Smiley Falcon Lab	291
Patty Lovera Food and Water Watch	297
Alexis Randolph QAI	304
Zea Sonnabend CCOF	315
Susan Cheney Martek Biosciences	325
Andrew Wilcox Egg Farmer	329
Beth Robinette Cattle Rancher	332
Howard Koozer Chicken Egg Farmer	337

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)

Elizabeth Fry Whole Foods Market	342
Cathy Franck Real Food Watch Club Biodiversity Project	348
Gwendolyn Wyard Organic Trade Association	353
Eli Penberthy Consumer and PCC Natural Markets	363
John Ashby California Natural Products	366
David Martinelli Coleman Natural Foods	370
Brynn Arborico Student Chicken Owner	374
Mark Kastel Cornucopia Institute	377
Marley Arborico Student Chicken Owner	386
Hal Kreher Chicken Farmer	389
Eiko Vojkovich Cattle, Hog, and Egg Farmer	395
Zareb Herman Hain Celestial Group	399

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)	
Maurice Robinette Cattle Rancher	405
Fritzi Cohen Concerned Citizen	410
Mabell Rivas Pennsylvania Certified Organic	415
Margaret Wittenberg Whole Foods Market	419
Richard Reese	423
Keith Stavrum Independent Shellfish Growers of Washington State	428
Pat Kane Accredited Certifiers Association	432
Nicole Dehne Vermont Organic Farmers	437
Carrie Little Mother Earth Farm Little Earth Farm	442
Julianne Lamsek Concerned Consumer	445
Jim Koan Apple Grower	448
Jeremy Shapely Wine Importer	451
Luis Monge Dole Fresh Fruit International and Organic Pineapple Industry of Costa Rica	456

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)	
Dain Craver Organic Farmer	463
Robert Beauregard Country Hen Organic Egg Producer	471
Diane Dempster On Behalf of Melody Meyer Albert's Organics	480
Jerry Bartlett Cedar Grove Composting and	486
Washington Organic Recycling Council Matthew Grieshop Assistant Professor of Organic Pest Management Michigan State University	489
John Baker Giving Nature Foods	497
Bob Durst Simple Organic Solutions	501
Herwig Opdebeeck Scientist Opdebeeck Consulting Switzerland	508
Cheryl Van Dyne Representing J.M. Huber, SASSI and DITA	512
Peggy Miars On Behalf of Bill Wolf	516
Callyn Kirchar Oregon Tilth	521

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S (CONTINUED)

Garth Kahl Organic Consultant	525
Jason Woulfin SQM	531
OTA Flavor Task Force Update	536
Gwendolyn Wyard, Co-Chair Julie Weisman, Co-Chair	
Adjournment	541

	11
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	8:00 a.m.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Good morning,
4	everyone.
5	We have quorum, and we will go
6	ahead and get back in session for day three of
7	our spring 2011 meeting.
8	We have an announcement this
9	morning from Deputy Administrator Miles McEvoy
10	of the National Organic Program.
11	MR. McEVOY: Good morning.
12	We are making progress on more
13	topics. We do have the proposed residue-
14	testing rule that will be published in The
15	Federal Register tomorrow. It goes on display
16	today. The residue-testing rule meets the
17	OFPA requirements outlined in the Organic Food
18	Production Act, was identified in the OIG
19	audit from last year as a missing piece of the
20	requirements that certifiers have to conduct
21	periodic residue testing.
22	The proposed rule will require
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	certifiers to collect and test from 5 percent
2	of the operations that they certify, provides
3	flexibility of who they choose to collect
4	samples and tests. The testing is in addition
5	to any targeted testing that they may be
6	currently conducting. The sample collection
7	must be done by a qualified third party.
8	Certifiers must pay for the sampling and
9	testing. We estimate that the cost of this
10	will be less than 1 percent of their operating
11	budget. We believe that this is very
12	important to monitor compliance, to deter
13	fraud, and to meet consumer expectations of
14	what the certification process is all about.
15	So, we will have a press release
16	out later today, and, then, the full rule will
17	be published in The Federal Register tomorrow.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
20	Any questions for Miles?
21	(Laughter.)
22	MR. McEVOY: Any questions from
ļ	

		13
1	the Board?	
2	(Laughter.)	
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I will recognize	
4	Marty Mesh.	
5	MR. MESH: What is the effective	
6	date of this proposed rule?	
7	MR. McEVOY: There's no effective	
8	date. There's a comment period. So, we have	
9	a 60-day comment period that's open until June	
10	20th, yes, late June.	
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Miles.	
12	All right, let's proceed with	
13	public testimony.	
14	The first speaker this morning is	
15	Jackie Von Ruden, and David Will is standing	
16	by.	
17	MS. VON RUDEN: Jackie Von Ruden	
18	from MOSA.	
19	Livestock guidance versus rule.	
20	The new pasture rule has added time to organic	
21	inspections, even on operations that are	
22	compliant. Although MOSA called for	
	I	

(202) 234-4433

operations to provide pasture before the added pasture rule, we have accommodated and appreciated its elucidation of the requirements.

1

2

3

4

also been faced with 5 We have animal welfare issues and confronted them as 6 7 non-compliances without additional rulemaking. But still receptive to more 8 we are 9 specificity, particularly relating to organic There are comments in 10 poultry. 11 regulations.gov from certified poultry operations claim their second-story 12 that aviary hens have outdoor access by going down 13 Do hens really go up and down 14 ladders. ladders? 15 At the same time we endorse more 16 specificity so that certifiers and producers 17 must meet basic tenets of animal welfare, we 18 19 want to caution against so much specificity 20 that a four-hour livestock inspection takes Rule is rule, and guidance can 21 eight hours.

serve to educate producers and inspectors on

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

what management practices may need to change to meet the rule.

1

2

3 We do not want inspectors required to body score or lameness score every animal 4 5 on an organic farm. But we believe that quidance documents like the example that we 6 7 provided on sheep could help inspectors and certifiers identify of 8 the causes an 9 operation's deficiencies in meeting the requirements of feed, living conditions, and 10 11 handling as related to animal welfare. 12 Transport, handling, and MOSA is concerned that requiring 13 slaughter. plants all 14 slaughter to meet FSIS 15 requirements, including the Humane Slaughter Act, could eliminate the use of small plants 16 that operate solely under state inspection. 17 The Act does not specify the percentages noted 18 19 in this recommendation, who is responsible for 20 verifying those. The Act does not address poultry 21

slaughter. Poultry are covered in 9 CFR 381,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

www.nealrgross.com

1	which requires thorough bleeding and that
2	breathing be stopped before scalding. Please
3	consider our handout which describes slaughter
4	requirements under these two regulations.
5	We also note that it is not clear
6	who is responsible for verifying the
7	percentages of livestock slips and falls.
8	Animal welfare. The revised
9	animal welfare recommendation would require
10	that calves over two months of age shall not
11	be tied. This new proposal needs more farmer
12	input on the impact to calf management. We
13	have farmers who are doing an excellent job
14	with calf-grazing systems utilizing tethers up
15	to six months of age. The contradiction with
16	the current rule which allows tethering up to
17	six months would need to be reconciled.
18	More consideration needs to be
19	given to space requirements. We maintain that
20	the requirements for hogs and layers are too
21	low. If organic layers are to go outside,
22	pullets need to go outside before 12 weeks of
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 age. We do not support changing 2 Crops. 3 annotations of materials without adequate technical review. We support the relisting of 4 tetracycline and streptomycin to allow for 5 viable alternatives for fire blight control to 6 7 be researched and developed for humid, as well as arid conditions. 8 9 And last, we have a pretty good and well-recognized materials reviews program, 10 11 and we would welcome the opportunity to provide more input. 12 13 Thank you. Any questions? 14 Colehour? 15 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 16 MR. BONDERA: Thank you. Sorry, but you spoke so fast and I 17 just sat down. So, I am going to be a little 18 19 slower. I apologize. 20 I think that the first thing that came to me, though, I would like to hear 21 22 regarding livestock, because in your quick

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	18
1	comments I didn't hear, at least clearly
2	enough, how the issues that you raised could
3	be improved. Like, do you have any
4	suggestions or recommendations for "therefore,
5	what?" Like you said something about guidance
6	versus rules. So, what should happen?
7	And you mentioned how to get
8	something about farmer input. It's like you
9	didn't say how. And so, I just wonder if you
10	could address I think my question is, how
11	or what to improve or address those issues?
12	That's my question.
13	MS. VON RUDEN: Specific to the
14	line that was added at this meeting, the
15	calves over two months of age shall not be
16	tethered, that was added here. We haven't had
17	time yet to ask our farmers whether or not
18	that would impact their farming systems.
19	I have been on several farms I
20	am an inspector as well where the tethering
21	systems that they have are fantastic systems.
22	I would really hate to see them not be able to

(202) 234-4433

do that.

1

2

3

4

5

So, I would like the opportunity to poll our 650 livestock farmers to find out what kind of an impact this line specifically would have.

in qeneral, the added 6 But, 7 regulations of all of these specifics into the rule -- the rule is rule; we have to stand by 8 9 it, but quidance could serve to provide the the certifiers 10 inspectors and with just 11 additional requirements no, not requirements -- additional guidelines 12 that would help us assess whether or not the farms 13 are meeting basic animal welfare principles. 14 15 So, we would prefer to see a lot of things in quidance rather than specifics in the rule. 16 17 Does that answer your question? Excellent. 18 19 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any more 20 questions? Mac? So, Jackie, the 21 MR. STONE: 22 changes that we heard yesterday that, as you

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	say, haven't been sort of vetted out, back
2	out, and in line with the guidance versus
3	rulemaking, as a certifier, rulemaking is a
4	deal-breaker versus guidance which allows
5	certifiers to work with growers to develop
6	these systems.
7	And I guess I am pretty concerned.
8	I know we have been talking about it a long
9	time, but a rush to judgment here can have
10	such long-ranging impacts on the farm later
11	and in the certifier office.
12	So, I guess I am mostly concurring
13	with what you say, as a certifier, because it
14	is a big deal that will last a long time.
15	MS. VON RUDEN: Yes.
16	MR. STONE: All right. Thank you.
17	MS. VON RUDEN: Thank you.
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Reuben?
19	MR. WALKER: You mentioned space
20	requirement was too low. Was that for all
21	species or were there any in particular?
22	MS. VON RUDEN: You might have

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	21
1	
1	missed me saying layers and hogs.
2	MR. WALKER: Layers and hogs,
3	okay.
4	MS. VON RUDEN: Those are the two
5	areas that we feel need some work.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?
7	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, if you do go
8	back out to your producers, could you also ask
9	them a little bit about the paperwork
10	requirements that would be necessary here? We
11	heard some of that earlier, and I have been
12	hearing that from farmers. So, if you develop
13	any information on that, that would be helpful
14	to the Board.
15	MS. VON RUDEN: Excellent. We
16	would be happy to provide you with any
17	information.
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
19	MS. VON RUDEN: Thank you.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: David Will is up,
21	and Paul Frey is standing by.
22	MR. WILL: Good morning.

(202) 234-4433

		22
1	My name is David Will, and I work	
2	for Chino Valley Ranchers.	
3	While we don't own any organic	
4	chickens, we are owned by a husband and wife	
5	that own six different ranches that have	
6	organic layers and, in addition, we source	
7	product from 20 family-owned, single-barn	
8	farms.	
9	Just to give you a little	
10	background, we have no aviary systems, and 100	
11	percent of our production is, from 1997, when	
12	we have been certified organic, has access to	
13	dirt or soil at all times.	
14	I also want to personally thank a	
15	lot of the NOSB members and the NOP for this	
16	last little time you have gone out and visited	
17	some farms. And I know that you have seen	
18	some different styles of houses, and we	
19	appreciate the fact that you guys have taken	
20	the effort to educate yourself.	
21	I really have three things I	
22	wanted to address. The first one was pullets	

(202) 234-4433

qoing outside at 12 weeks of age. We know 1 that the document was originally written with 2 3 an age to be out at six weeks, but now it has changed to 12. And if there is any way you 4 5 can provide us, as an industry, any feedback, what that shift was, we would sure appreciate 6 7 it because we still don't feel that 12 weeks is sufficient. 8 9 We all operate in different environments, and have different 10 climates, 11 challenges from those, due to disease and

other things that can impact the health of the birds. We have all over years developed a vaccine program and schedule for our climate in order to allow us to have a chicken, when it reaches the environment where it is going to live for the rest of its life, to be able to be healthy and productive.

That is probably the most important thing that I wanted to get across to you guys, is that our goal is to develop and produce a healthy chicken that can go on for

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	24
1	a long and productive life.
2	The tantamount rule in farming is
3	to take care and stewardship of your animals.
4	And having us put birds out at 12 weeks is a
5	new and interesting challenge for us because
6	all of our experts tell us that it is just too
7	soon, that the birds haven't worked up their
8	time to get anything into their blood, and we
9	still are finishing with major vaccines.
10	Also, Mr. Foster, I wanted to
11	address a comment that you had made yesterday.
12	You asked a specific question about what
13	percentage of the birds would not comply to
14	the outside access. I would like to challenge
15	you guys to go and challenge the NOP, as you
16	did with the Canadian equivalency standards,
17	and actually go back and ask through the ACAs
18	all of the producers to answer that question
19	for you. Because, in my humble opinion, and
20	just doing a grassroots poll, the number is
21	shocking. I don't think it's insignificant at
22	all.
	I

		25
1	And, then, the last thing is you	
2	have a temperature regulation in there for low	
3	temperature, but you don't have one for high.	
4	We would like to propose you look at somewhere	
5	between 90 and 100 degrees for that.	
6	How am I doing? Okay. And that's	
7	it. Thank you very much.	
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Questions?	
9	MS. FULWIDER: We went with the	
10	six weeks originally, and, then, we had	
11	changed it back to 18 for public comment.	
12	And, then, we had a lot of public comment that	
13	they felt it should be taken back to six weeks	
14	because there are producers that get them out	
15	when they are fully feathered and by six weeks	
16	of age without any problems.	
17	And, also, the other species, we	
18	don't keep any other species indoors until	
19	they are adults because they might get sick if	
20	they are not fully vaccinated by the time they	
21	go outdoors.	
22	And I guess I don't see any	

problem with putting in a high temp, but I 1 would assume the birds would choose to go back 2 3 indoors or be in the shade when it is a high And so, that is why we did not 4 temperature. address that. 5 MR. WILL: Okay. Yes, we just 6 7 thought it was interesting you had one range and not a temperature to the other side. 8 Ι 9 appreciate the clarity on that, too, Ms. Fulwider. 10 11 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Colehour? MR. BONDERA: Thank you, and thank 12 13 you. You know what struck me, and I 14 15 want to ask you about, is just to clarify what I think I heard, which was that it seemed like 16 what you were saying at the beginning is that 17 all farms aren't the same, and that you can't 18 19 easily just take numbers, whether they are 20 temperature numbers or weeks, or whatever, and 21 apply them everywhere. 22 And so, I just want to verify that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

you were saying, you know, you need to make 1 sure that this is more flexible and 2 incorporates the whole range of truths. And if that to ask is Ι want an accurate interpretation.

MR. WILL: I think that is. Where 6 I was going is that our main operations are in 7 southern California. We don't have the 8 9 benefits of freezes. So, we have a much more aggressive vaccination schedule than perhaps 10 11 company would in the Northeast or in а northern Michigan, just because of the fact 12 that they have a cleaner environment than we 13 do. 14

15 It is also, in part, associated with who your neighbors are. 16 There's a variety of different factors. 17 None of us use the same vaccination schedule. None of us use 18 19 the same timing between. We have all had to 20 add some due to the new FDA egg rule, but we all have a different program that we have to 21 22 follow that works for us.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3

4

5

www.nealrgross.com

		28
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
2	MR. WILL: Thank you.	
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Paul Frey is up	
4	next.	
5	MR. FREY: Good morning.	
6	My name is Paul Frey from Frey	
7	Winery, a winemaker at Frey Winery. We have	
8	been making organic non-sulfide wines for	
9	almost 30 years. I come from a family of 12.	
10	Mother and Father both medical doctors. And	
11	we have won over 300 awards on 100 wines over	
12	the last 13 years.	
13	So, we are going to do a three-	
14	minute presentation on the 8,000-year history	
15	of non-sulfide winemaking.	
16	(Laughter.)	
17	Next slide, please.	
18	There's no solid evidence that	
19	sulfur dioxide was really used in ancient	
20	times. Greeks and Romans didn't seem to use	
21	them. Most of the 8,000-year history was	
22	without sulfides.	
	I	

1	Many natural plant and mineral-
2	based additions were added to help
3	preservation, but they still considered the
4	best wines to be those without additions.
5	A quote from Columella, AD 65, "We
6	regard the best wine as any that can keep
7	without preservatives."
8	Strabo, AD 18, "Again, our valley
9	wine was excellent. It did not need to be
10	resinated and could be aged 50 years."
11	They did use neutral pine resin as
12	a mild preservative. Natural preservatives
13	were sometimes needed in the past because they
14	did not have the use of hot water
15	sterilization, micron filtration, and zero
16	oxygen bottling, which is what modern organic
17	winemakers use today.
18	Next slide, please.
19	Sulfur dioxide use was
20	controversial from the very beginning. It
21	appears that around 1450 AD sulfur dioxide was
22	used, mostly to sanitize barrels. They soon

www.nealrgross.com

realized that if you put enough sulfur in, it will stop unwanted fermentations. This isn't needed in today's world because sterile micron filtration does the same thing as sulfur dioxide.

After much controversy, they did 6 allow very limited amounts of sulfur use. 7 The rule stated you could only use it once because 8 9 overuse of sulfur dioxide was hurting regional wine reputations. Burning sulfur once in a 10 11 barrel leaves a small amount of sulfur; after a couple of years, approximately 10 parts per 12 million. 13 Prohibitions against the use of 14 15 sulfur in Germany in many towns. Next slide, please. 16 From 1450 to the 1970s, sulfur 17

wasn't really extensively accepted. 18 In 1865, 19 Jules Guyot says, "I cannot recommend it." 20 Jules Chauvet, 1960, "Sulfur is not indispensable. The ideal would be not to use 21 22 it."

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

www.nealrgross.com

	31
1	Organic grape growers and also a
2	UC Davis professor are some of the early
3	organic no-sulfur-dioxide winemaking pioneers
4	of the seventies and eighties.
5	Next slide, please.
6	Conclusion: organic grape growing
7	and winemaking, an 8,000-year success story.
8	Total NOP wine grape acreage is about 12,000
9	acres, equal to about 4 million cases or 50
10	million bottles of wine, or about 1.5 percent
11	of all wines sold.
12	Some of the bigger wineries only
13	mention that it is organic on their websites,
14	brochures, and tasting rooms because it is
15	great PR. Other NOP wineries make from
16	organically-grown grapes and, then, some add
17	no sulfides just to give consumers a choice.
18	Any changes to organic standards
19	should strengthen rather than weaken
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
21	MR. FREY: existing standards.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

		32
1	much.	
2	MR. FREY: Thank you.	
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for	
4	Mr. Frey? Jay?	
5	MR. FELDMAN: Can you tell us what	
6	the impact I have two questions. One is	
7	the issue of need, essentiality of the	
8	sulfites in producing the wine.	
9	And, then, if we were to change	
10	what our existing practices are, what economic	
11	impact do you think that would have on you?	
12	MR. FREY: Sulfites isn't needed	
13	in any winemaking in our approximately 30-year	
14	experience.	
15	The second part I didn't quite	
16	understand.	
17	MR. FELDMAN: If we were to change	
18	the rules as they currently exist	
19	MR. FREY: Meaning?	
20	MR. FELDMAN: You know, the	
21	labeling, if the labeling were to change on	
22	wine.	
ļ		

		33
1	MR. FREY: Right. To where you	
2	had the USDA seal and, then, said contains	
3	sulfite?	
4	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. What economic	
5	impact do you think or would that have any	
6	economic impact on those that are currently	
7	not using sulfites?	
8	MR. FREY: Well, we believe it	
9	would have an economic impact on all of	
10	organics because it would water down the	
11	entire standards of organics. So, for all the	
12	other people that are making eggs and other	
13	things, the perception of organics would be	
14	harmed.	
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mr. Frey, one more	
16	question.	
17	Steve?	
18	MR. DeMURI: All other things	
19	being equal, if I took two bottles of wine	
20	side by side, everything else was exactly the	
21	same, variety, processing methods, bottling,	
22	everything, the one with sulfites and without,	

(202) 234-4433

		34
1	is there a difference in shelf life between	
2	the two?	
3	MR. FREY: Not that we have found.	
4	We have opened 15-year-old Cabernet Sauvignon.	
5	It tastes outstanding. The wine you drank	
6	yesterday at the party, those were both non-	
7	sulfite, organic wines comparable to anything	
8	out there.	
9	As I mentioned, 300 awards with	
10	100 wines over the last 13 years. These wines	
11	can stand up to any other wines out there in	
12	the marketplace.	
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Steve?	
14	MR. DeMURI: So, a quick followup.	
15	I want to make sure I understand. You are	
16	saying that there would not be any shelf-life	
17	difference between the two?	
18	MR. FREY: Not that we have seen.	
19	And there is a statistic that says most wine	
20	that was drunk between six and twelve months,	
21	actually, a lot of people don't realize that,	
22	that the majority of all wines, a lot of	
ļ	1	

(202) 234-4433

35 people don't really hold wines, you know, red 1 wines, for 10 years much anymore. 2 3 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any more questions? 4 (No response.) 5 Thank you. 6 7 Thank you. MR. FREY: Todd Brendlin, you 8 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 9 are up next. And, Christopher Ely is standing 10 by. 11 MR. BRENDLIN: Good morning. 12 I'm Todd Brendlin, the Organic Production Manager of Grimmway Farms and Cal 13 Organic Vegetables. 14 Natural Chilean nitrate or sodium 15 16 nitrate has an available form of nitrogen like no other material and is a complement to other 17 organic nitrogen sources that contain little 18 19 or no nitrate. 20 30 different We grow over five different 21 veqetables year-round in 22 regions of California. Sodium nitrate is

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

36

1	especially crucial to the production of many
2	of these vegetables during the months of
3	December through February, when soil
4	temperatures dip below 60 degrees and
5	microbial activity slows down.
6	We anticipate that we will not be
7	able to supply our customers with the quantity
8	and quality of lettuce, spinach, green onions,
9	and sprouts because they demand, if we cannot
10	use the material.
11	I came to this meeting somewhat
12	baffled by the two sodium nitrate
13	recommendations from the Crops Committee. The
14	two different votes seemed to contradict each
15	other. I was relieved yesterday when I heard
16	the Crops Committee discussion between, I
17	believe, Mr. Maravell and others explaining
18	that the first recommendation to be voted on
19	will be the one to remove the annotation, and
20	the second one is merely a backup, should the
21	first one fail to pass.
22	This explanation relieved my

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	concerns that the full Board would be robbed
2	of a fair opportunity to choose whether to
3	completely prohibit sodium nitrate or to allow
4	current limited use. Considering the likely
5	split-vote outcomes, it is easy to see that
6	reversing the order of voting on the
7	recommendations would change the entire
8	situation to one in which the Board members
9	would no longer have a fair choice, but would
10	be forced to choose between completely
11	prohibiting sodium nitrate or allowing
12	unlimited use. In effect, this is not a
13	choice at all since no one in the industry
14	wants to see unlimited use.
15	Regarding liquid fertilizer
16	alternatives mentioned by the Crops Committee,
17	we have elected not to use them at this point
18	in time because of concerns about the past
19	synthetically-adulterated products on the
20	market as well as the uncertainty of corn
21	steep liquor being ruled as a synthetic.
22	The judicious use of sodium
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	nitrate has proven to be a valuable
2	contribution to the success of organic ag and
3	Grimmway Farms. It allows organic ag to
4	improve its productivity, sustainability, and
5	its potential to produce fresh food of high
6	quality year-round. Food production of high
7	quality and in sufficient quantity is one of
8	the most important objectives of organic ag.
9	We support relisting sodium
10	nitrate with the annotation.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
13	Can we have a clarification from
14	the Crops Committee Chair, John Foster, on how
15	you expect the voting to proceed tomorrow? I
16	think there might be some confusion.
17	And if you need to, we can refer
18	back to the process that we have all agreed
19	on.
20	MR. FOSTER: I would prefer to do
21	that.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay.

www.nealrgross.com

		39
1	MR. FOSTER: My head is not in the	
2	space of clarifying that kind of thing right	
3	now.	
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: No problem.	
5	MR. FOSTER: I mean, honestly, I	
6	know we can get there.	
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sure.	
8	MR. FOSTER: But, in this moment,	
9	it would not be a good thing, I don't think.	
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: No sweat.	
11	(Laughter.)	
12	Mr. Brendlin, I believe there's	
13	some confusion, and it resulted from the way	
14	the published recommended was organized. The	
15	voting procedure tomorrow will proceed like	
16	this:	
17	All materials that have an	
18	annotation change during Sunset, the Sunset	
19	change has to be voted on after the material	
20	is initially has a chance to be relisted as	
21	is.	
22	So, let me recap. Vote No. 1 is	
ļ	I	

(202) 234-4433

to relist the material as is with the current 1 annotation. Vote No. 2, in this situation, 2 3 would be to remove the annotation, which, because of this place on the National List, 4 has the effect of making the material 5 completely prohibited. 6 7 There's nothing on the table, there's no motion that anyone has discussed so 8 9 far being on the table tomorrow that provides for sodium nitrate to be used at the 100 10 11 percent nutrient level. MR. BRENDLIN: 12 Okay. Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: 13 Sure. Any NOSB members need to check me 14 15 on that? Do I have that right? 16 Jay? 17 MR. FELDMAN: I think our process is to vote first on the annotation and, then, 18 19 vote to relist, so as to enable the material 20 to remain on the list in the case of OMB and OP not being able to facilitate action before 21 22 the expiration of the Sunset.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

		4.7
		41
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina?	
2	MS. HEINZE: I believe that is	
3	correct. That is what I had in my	
4	presentation on Tuesday, but I will verify in	
5	the actual policy.	
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay.	
7	MS. HEINZE: But I would concur	
8	with your statement that we have nothing on	
9	the table for tomorrow that would allow it	
10	unilaterally. So, I think that is probably	
11	the most important thing that you said.	
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Right. Okay.	
13	This is a really important question that you	
14	raised. Over the very first break, we will	
15	get absolutely clear on that and make an	
16	announcement because it sounds like there's	
17	some real concern there.	
18	MR. BRENDLIN: Okay. Thank you.	
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sure.	
20	Any other questions?	
21	(No response.)	
22	Okay. Christopher Ely is up.	
	I	

		42
1	Steven Frenkel is standing by.	
2	MR. ELY: Morning.	
3	I am Christopher Ely, co-founder	
4	of Applegate Farms, and I am also a PACO-	
5	certified animal welfare auditor.	
6	Applegate welcomes the idea of a	
7	single national organic animal welfare	
8	standard and congratulates the NOSB Livestock	
9	Committee for their hard work in the creation	
10	of the proposed standards.	
11	Even though Applegate has posted a	
12	more detailed document regarding the proposed	
13	animal welfare standards, I would like to	
14	reiterate a portion of our posted comments.	
15	Applegate has been working with	
16	organic and antibiotic-free livestock and	
17	poultry farmers since 1986. We have seen a	
18	full gamut of animal welfare practices and	
19	have learned what does and doesn't work. In	
20	the end, there are two categories of	
21	standards, science-based and perception-based,	,
22	which at times can cause contradictions.	
	1	

(202) 234-4433

1	There is perception amongst the
2	general public, and even within the organic
3	community, that organic-handling and slaughter
4	standards address animal welfare at a much
5	higher level than commercial operations that
6	follow the AMI guidelines written by Dr.
7	Temple Grandin. This is not true.
8	As much as it would be wonderful
9	to greatly distance organic from commercial
10	standards, the reality is you would be hard-
11	pressed to improve the present system of
12	measurable core criteria that is science-
13	based. It would not make sense to arbitrarily
14	add different audit criteria to slaughter
15	operations who are already using the standards
16	developed by respected experts in the
17	slaughter industry.
18	Applegate commends the NOSB
19	Livestock Committee for recommending the
20	proposed livestock standards to adopt these
21	AMI standards. Most plants today are already
22	participating in at least one, if not more,
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

third-party animal welfare slaughter audits on an annual basis. Organic auditors would only need to verify that the plant has had an AMIbased animal welfare audit on an annual basis and that that plant has passed the audit.

Our only concern are small stateinspected plants that generally do not adapt AMI standards and protocol and may not have annual animal welfare plant audits nor the proper resources to comply to these standards.

11 In the NOSB Livestock Committee document, the Proposed Recommendations Animal 12 Handling, Transport, and Slaughter, as dated 13 March 1st, 2011, it is stated in the section 14 15 Slaughter Plant Audits that "Organic 16 certifying agents can review documentation from these third-party animal welfare audits 17 additional 18 and can do an auditing as 19 necessary."

20 Applegate feels this leaves a very 21 gray open area of interpretation by certifying 22 agents who are not properly trained in animal

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

www.nealrgross.com

welfare by such organizations as PAACO. This 1 could lead to unbalanced standards between 2 3 certifying agencies who may interpret established welfare protocol, who may 4 5 misinterpret established _ _ excuse me _ _ welfare protocol. 6 7 Applegate believes that sciencebased and perception-based standards are both 8 9 important criteria to consider when proposing animal welfare standards --10 11 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 12 MR. ELY: -- but there is an abundance of science -- thank you. 13 Does anyone have a 14 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 15 question for Mr. Ely? Katrina? MS. HEINZE: I am trying to figure 16 out what that means you are asking us to do. 17 It sounded like keep working on it. 18 Am I 19 correctly interpreting that? 20 MR. ELY: Yes. I know it was more 21 MS. HEINZE: 22 detailed than that.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

		46
		10
1	(Laughter.)	
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Wendy?	
3	MS. FULWIDER: I would like for	
4	you to propose some way to address this.	
5	MR. ELY: Well, my biggest concern	
6	is the state-inspected plants. An example	
7	that I will use, that even federally-inspected	
8	plants, the very small ones, do not have the	
9	resources. When I have audited, I have	
10	occasionally failed them because they lacked	
11	the resources to have proper programs to pass	
12	their standards.	
13	Having grown up in both the state-	
14	and federally-inspected meat industry, I have	
15	been at many state plants, and they are going	
16	to struggle. The bad part of that is much of	
17	the organic industry, the very small	
18	producers, need somebody like the state	
19	inspectors. It is important so that they can	
20	market their product. But I am not sure the	
21	resources are there for these standards to be	
22	passed by the state or by these state-	

(202) 234-4433

47 inspected plants. So, I think that this is a 1 2 real touchy area, and I am not quite sure what 3 the answer is. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other 4 5 questions? Colehour? I am going to follow 6 MR. BONDERA: 7 up on what you just said, which is you are not really sure what the answer is. 8 And so, the 9 implication I hear is, so let's figure out what the answer is or let's address it. 10 Is 11 that --12 MR. ELY: Yes. 13 MR. BONDERA: What are you suggesting by saying that, is my question. 14 Well, the standards, if 15 MR. ELY: small federal-inspected plants are having a 16 hard enough time to pass the MI standards, I 17 am not sure whether the state-inspected plants 18 19 are even aware of some of these standards. 20 They may be. And because they are doing a lot of what is referred to as "custom kill", they 21 22 haven't followed them.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	48
1	So, how do we get them onto that
2	protocol to help the small organic growers?
3	I don't have the answer to that. I just think
4	it is something that hasn't been addressed and
5	needs to be addressed.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Colehour?
7	MR. BONDERA: Thank you. I
8	understand what you are saying. You don't
9	know how. I guess my followup question is, if
10	you were in this position, what would you do?
11	What would you do to seek that or pursue that
12	or figure out how to deal with it? Any ideas
13	of what you might do?
14	MR. ELY: Well, if I was a small
15	plant and I knew that I now had to follow AMI
16	standards, I would probably have to hire
17	somebody to teach me how to do it. So, there
18	are people out there on occasion because some
19	of the small federal plants use these people,
20	and a lot of them are sometimes ex-USDA plant
21	inspectors who no longer wanted to be
22	inspector. They are now running this service
	I

(202) 234-4433

49

1	and they teach them how to put together HACCP
2	programs, and they teach them how to put
3	together these can teach them the AMI
4	standard.
5	But their plant themselves may not
6	meet the standards, meaning that the equipment
7	itself may be so archaic that they are going
8	to struggle, and it is going to be a huge
9	capital expenditure for them to update their
10	plants to meet the standards.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac, and then
12	Nick, and then we will need to wrap up.
13	MR. STONE: I guess I would
14	suggest that that is another additional burden
15	that the certifier is going to have to take on
16	to accomplish that on the producer's behalf,
17	but working through that facility. It is
18	going to follow the certifier at this point.
19	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, you referred
20	to what the plants, small plants, state-
21	inspected and small federal plants might have
22	to do to come into compliance, so to speak.
ļ	I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

		50
1	What is the possibility that they simply won't	
2	meet these standards and we won't have access	
3	to anything but custom kill, so to speak? Are	
4	you getting my drift here? Am I using the	
5	right words?	
6	MR. ELY: Well, if I understand	
7	it, you are saying that what they need is	
8	capital, and a lot of it, because the	
9	equipment is expensive.	
10	MR. MARAVELL: Right, and what I	
11	am saying is I know the plants I deal with,	
12	you know, they might just say I'm opting out	
13	of this. Then, what do I do?	
14	MR. ELY: Good question.	
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
16	Steven Frankel, you're up. Ron	
17	Christensen is standing by.	
18	MR. FRENKEL: Hi. I'm Steve	
19	Frenkel from Organic Vintages.	
20	We are probably one of the largest	
21	organic wine distributors in the U.S. at this	
22	time. We service the New York Metropolitan	
	I	

Region, licensed in New York, New Jersey, and 1 And we just celebrated our 23rd 2 Connecticut. 3 year in business on April 1st. So, we have a lot of history behind selling and marketing 4 5 organic wines. We represent a large portfolio, 6 7 representing more than 35 different wineries, including many that are true organic wines and 8 9 have the USDA seal, and, then, many others in the made-with category. 10 11 It is my strong contention that it will be a big mistake to change the rule as it 12 We find that the majority of the 13 exists. wines we sell and that the retailers want and 14 15 the consumers want are the ones that are 16 organic with no sulfites with the USDA seal, the vast majority. Even though we make every 17 effort to market the wines in the made-with 18 19 categories well, and those sales for us have 20 been growing over the years, we do pretty well; we represent lots of different made-with 21 22 wines that are very, very good, but a lot of

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	the consumers have tried both or some
2	consumers who don't mind sulfites buy the
3	made-with and are satisfied with them. We
4	sell them to lots of restaurants, lots of
5	stores as well, but some retailers only want
6	USDA organic wines on their shelf and none in
7	the made-with category, a lot of the smaller
8	mom-and-pop stores, in particular.
9	Larger stores like Whole Foods
10	that we sell to, they buy a range of our
11	wines, and they feel that the consumers can
12	tell the difference. They look at a label;
13	they can see what they are looking for. If it
14	says "USDA", that gives them the trust and
15	confidence that they are buying the wine they
16	want.
17	Others don't mind the sulfites.
18	They want to try different wines, and they buy
19	the made-with, and they are happy to see "made
20	with organic grapes".
21	I don't think it would make a
22	difference in sales. I know someone asked

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	about the impact, the economic impact, of
2	changing the rule. I really don't think it
3	would help the sales of the made-with category
4	significantly. And, yet, I think it would be
5	not a good idea. I think that the made-with
6	winemakers, hopefully, can move in the
7	direction of making wines without sulfites
8	because you can make world-class wines without
9	sulfites. Currently, that is the way it is.
10	There are some really good winemakers out
11	there making these USDA wines with no sulfites
12	that are really very high quality and winning
13	awards, and so on.
14	For example, a very small producer
15	we represent, Coates Vineyards, makes barrel-
16	aged organic wines with no sulfites that they
17	are just great. They are European in style.
18	People really like them. We have a lot of
19	good fans. They are not inexpensive because
20	he is using American and French oak.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
22	MR. FRENKEL: So, he is spending
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

		54
1	some money on it.	
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions?	
3	Let's start with Katrina, then Jay, then Nick.	
4	MS. HEINZE: I have two questions.	
5	MR. FRENKEL: Sure.	
6	MS. HEINZE: So, I will give them	
7	both.	
8	So, the first is sulfites, do they	
9	have to be labeled or not in wine? We have	
10	covered this before. I just can't remember.	
11	And, then, the second is, do you	
12	think consumers and this would be a	
13	hypothesis on your part prefer the USDA-	
14	certified because it is 95 percent or because	
15	of the no sulfites?	
16	MR. FRENKEL: The regulation,	
17	federal regulation, requires that "contains	
18	sulfites" must be put on a label if it	
19	contains more than 10 parts per million	
20	sulfites. So, that is one requirement.	
21	And as far as the rule right now,	
22	organic wine must have 100 percent	

(202) 234-4433

organically-grown grapes and processed organically with no sulfites.

1

2

3

CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?

MR. FELDMAN: I am interested in 4 the comment you made about the industry moving 5 more toward 100 percent as a result of this 6 7 If we had, say, started out with the label. USDA organic label, allowing sulfites 8 and 9 disclosure or notification of sulfites, do you think there would be any pressure to move 10 11 toward no sulfites? Or how do you think the industry would have evolved or would evolve in 12 that situation? 13

MR. FRENKEL: Well, I think what 14 I think that there 15 you are implying is truth. 16 would have been less pressure and less motivation for a number of winemakers to learn 17 how to make wines without sulfites. 18 Because 19 there is a learning curve like in anything, 20 and more and more winemakers are going in that We just started marketing some 21 direction. 22 imported wines from Italy with no sulfites

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

that are very well made and, also, one from 1 Spain now that has come out. And Chile has 2 3 one coming out. And there are many countries, and, then, in the U.S. many winemakers are 4 5 experimenting with makinq wines without think partly because 6 sulfites, and I of 7 wanting to move in that direction. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick? 8 9 MR. MARAVELL: Yes, you made a statement that you didn't think this would 10 11 make a difference in sales and, then, you qualified that by saying it would not help 12 sales of made-with wines. Do you think it 13 could hurt sales in any way, if a change were 14 15 made? 16 MR. FRENKEL: Yes. Possibly what could happen, what I am afraid of is that the 17 consumer could be fooled into thinking the 18 19 wine that they are looking for that has the 20 USDA seal on it, and that they know is pure, 100 percent pure as far as they are concerned 21 22 without any sulfites, and so on, if it now had

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	a wine labeled with a USDA seal that did
2	contain sulfites and it was hidden on the back
3	label, which nowadays they are putting
4	"contains sulfites" on the back of the label
5	instead on the front, and so on, people could
6	be misled. It could create problems and
7	confusion.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?
9	MR. MARAVELL: So, I didn't
10	realize that. So that, made with sulfite can
11	be on the back of the label and the USDA seal
12	could be on the front of the label, is that
13	correct?
14	MR. FRENKEL: Yes. Now I don't
15	know if anyway, yes, that is my current,
16	saying that the TTB requires "contains
17	sulfites" on the label, but now many
18	winemakers are putting it on the back.
19	MR. McEVOY: Point of
20	clarification: with made-with products, if
21	you add sulfites to wine, you can't use the
22	USDA seal.
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	58
1	MR. MARAVELL: No, right now, yes.
2	Would that situation change if we were to
3	change the rule? That is what I was talking
4	about.
5	MR. McEVOY: Yes, if you allowed
6	sulfites in wine, in organic wine, then, yes,
7	you could use the USDA seal.
8	MR. MARAVELL: And, then, the
9	"contains sulfite" would be on the back of the
10	label?
11	MR. McEVOY: We will check into
12	that.
13	MR. MARAVELL: Thank you.
14	MR. FRENKEL: Thank you.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Ron Christensen is
16	up. Matthew Miller is standing by.
17	David Gard, are you here?
18	MR. GARD: Yes.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Please come up and
20	wait in the standing-by section.
21	MR. CHRISTENSEN: If I can direct
22	your attention to the slides, welcome Idalou
	I

(202) 234-4433

		59
1	Egg Ranch. I am Ron Christensen.	
2	This is our ranch. Some of you	
3	haven't been able to make it, but I will bring	
4	it to you then.	
5	In the foreground, we have our	
6	hair sheep ewes and our lambs. We have	
7	organic pecans in the back. We have are baby	
8	chick houses in the back.	
9	Next slide.	
10	Our ewes and lambs grazing on	
11	winter wheat.	
12	Next slide.	
13	We compost all our waste.	
14	Next slide.	
15	What I am here for, though, is	
16	outdoor access. These are our houses	
17	comparing a couple of things. One, we have	
18	10,000 birds on the inside of our houses. You	
19	will not see any more than 2,000 birds, or 20	
20	percent of the birds, out at any one time in	
21	these slides. So, every bird out there is	
22	getting at least five feet per bird or more.	
I		

(202) 234-4433

		60
1	Also, compare house 12, house 31	
2	access doors. House 13 on the right has only	
3	six. We did an experiment to see if access	
4	doors made the birds want to come out more.	
5	It did not.	
6	Next slide.	
7	This is now nine o'clock. The	
8	birds are out a little more. But, still, it	
9	looks like 13 with six doors has more than 31	
10	with 31 doors. Also, there is no more than	
11	2,000 birds out there.	
12	Next slide.	
13	This is 10 o'clock.	
14	Next slide.	
15	Here's indoors, fully lit, sunlit	
16	houses, curtain sided. Birds go up and down	
17	to the water, across the house, inside and	
18	out, as they need. We are really proud of	
19	what we do there.	
20	Next slide.	
21	Eleven o'clock, 12 and 13, minimum	
22	birds out, over five feet bird you're seeing	

(202) 234-4433

61 1 there. Next slide. 2 3 Next slide. One o'clock. 4 Next slide. 5 Two o'clock. 6 7 Next slide. the birds Three o'clock, 8 are 9 coming in and out as they desire. Their doors are always open. 10 11 Next slide. 12 Sunshine finally comes out today, and the birds are in and out. 13 Next slide. 14 Remember, we have got 10 feet per 15 bird right there with the number of birds 16 outside. 17 Next slide. 18 Indoors at five o'clock, again, 19 20 sunlit, beautiful, good air quality. The birds want to be inside. It is a great place. 21 22 Next slide.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

		62
1	Six o'clock.	
2	Next slide.	
3	Seven o'clock.	
4	Next slide.	
5	The sun is going down. The birds	
6	are outside more. Still no more than 20	
7	percent of the birds are outside. Five feet	
8	per bird is given.	
9	Next slide.	
10	This slide is thrown out. Some	
11	people say the birds have to be trained. This	
12	is the birds' first day out. These birds are	
13	22 weeks of age, as our vaccination program	
14	and our plan, we can have them inside until	
15	that day. This is the first day out. You	
16	will see they have no problem coming outside	
17	and enjoying the great outdoors.	
18	Next slide.	
19	This is the same houses at four	
20	o'clock. The older birds have learned that	
21	they like to be inside a little more at that	
22	same time of day. The same day, just	
	I	

(202) 234-4433

	63
1	different ages.
2	Next slide.
3	Thank you. A beautiful sunset in
4	west Texas.
5	I would like to just say please
6	reconsider. Your two feet per bird is based
7	on birds housed. And as you can see, we would
8	be totally out of compliance. We only have
9	1.2 feet per bird outside
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
11	MR. CHRISTENSEN: and 1.2 for a
12	bird inside. And the two feet per bird on
13	birds housed makes us woefully inadequate.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.
15	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Joe Dickson?
17	MR. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, Joseph?
18	MR. DICKSON: Thank you very
19	much.
20	You showed us sort of your
21	experiment where you tried different sort of
22	amounts of access to the outdoors, like in

		64
1	terms of like the linear feet of door, and saw	
2	that there was very little difference there.	
3	Have you tried the placement of food and water	
4	outside, and does that have any bearing on the	
5	birds that go outside?	
6	MR. CHRISTENSEN: No, we haven't	
7	done anything with the extra feed and water.	
8	That is all in the indoors.	
9	MR. DICKSON: Thank you.	
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any more	
11	questions?	
12	(No response.)	
13	Thanks very much.	
14	Matthew Miller, you are up next.	
15	Oh, okay, and, then, David Gard is still	
16	standing by.	
17	MR. MILLER: Thank you, NOSB	
18	members, for your commitment to organic	
19	standards and allowing me the opportunity to	
20	provide input on the proposed animal welfare,	
21	transit, and slaughtering regulations.	
22	I am Matthew Miller from Iowa.	
I	1	

(202) 234-4433

11

1	For the past seven years, as my full-time
2	occupation, I have conducted over 1600 organic
3	inspections of various operations, including
4	livestock, crops, and processing plants in the
5	upper Midwest.
6	I do not have a personal vested
7	interest in this debate. Here's my criticism:
8	you are turning organic livestock production
9	into some new form of Puritanism.
10	And here's what I mean by
11	Puritanism that comes to mind: you are making
12	a big deal out of something very small. You
13	are being overly legalistic. The Salem witch
14	trials, prohibition in the 1920s, and debating
15	the length of women's dresses are things that
16	come to mind.
17	Organic production is tiny. Only
18	1 out of every 100,000 pigs in the U.S. are
19	certified organic. One Iowa farmer could
20	raise, if these pigs are conventional, could
21	raise all the pigs in four typical confinement
22	barns. There is only 1 in every 10,000

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	broilers in the U.S. that are certified
2	organic. Only 1 in 10,000 lambs in the U.S.
3	are certified organic. For hens, it is a
4	little higher, 1.5 percent. This is tiny.
5	The other thing that is a problem
6	is that these proposals are not going to be
7	criticized too much by our farmers because
8	they are common sense. It is in the best
9	interest of the farmers to provide the best
10	environment for their animals. And I guess
11	the problem we have is with codifying it.
12	Basically, in order to save time,
13	Jackie Von Ruden from MOSA, I want to
14	rubberstamp what her concerns were about 1500
15	times because she hit the nail on the head.
16	This is going to increase the cost of
17	certification for our producers. And
18	especially small producers are going to be
19	hurt worse.
20	The man from Applegate Farm
21	alluded to that by saying that the small
22	processing plants are going to be hurt the
I	1

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

worst, and he doesn't know if they can comply with it.

1

2

3 The other thing is that these prescriptive regulations are demeaning to 4 farmers because they basically imply that 5 farmers have no common sense that they will do 6 7 the right thing. On the other hand, it also shows people 8 how naive some about are 9 commercial livestock production.

10 The other thing about Puritans is 11 they create these false devils to attack. And 12 this time it is not drinking alcohol, but from 13 listening to your discussion yesterday on 14 ethylene gas and, also, reading Cornucopia's 15 report, our devil is large organic production. 16 From my experience of visiting

17 large farms and small farms, the large farms 18 try to more perfectly meet the organic 19 regulation. And what's going to happen is 20 that --21 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.

MR. MILLER: -- the livestock

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

producers, the crop producers --1 2 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 3 MR. MILLER: -- are going to be hurt. 4 5 Go ahead. What are your questions? 6 7 (Laughter.) CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay Feldman? 8 9 MR. FELDMAN: Well, because of your enthusiasm, I will ask you a question --10 11 MR. MILLER: Sure. 12 MR. FELDMAN: -- which will give you more time to continue. 13 (Laughter.) 14 15 MR. MILLER: Okay. Well, the other thought is --16 MR. FELDMAN: I haven't asked my 17 question yet. 18 (Laughter.) 19 20 MR. MILLER: Okay. Sorry. (Laughter.) 21 22 MR. FELDMAN: You know, your

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

		69
1	comments sort of remind me of 30 years ago	
2	when we sat around and talked about organic,	
3	they told us it was a pipedream and it	
4	couldn't happen commercially. So, it is not	
5	convincing to me to hear that people who have	
6	aspirations and visions about things being	
7	different, that it can't happen. So, within	
8	that mindset, I ask you this question.	
9	MR. MILLER: Okay.	
10	MR. FELDMAN: If we believe our	
11	consumers have expectations for what they are	
12	paying for, and how operations operate, how do	
13	we balance that with your perception of how	
14	things are? How do we balance the perception	
15	or the consumer perception of what organic is	
16	with the reality of how things are going?	
17	So, my point is, could you	
18	envision, despite the way things are, that we	
19	could move closer to consumer expectations or	
20	what we perceive to be consumer expectations?	
21	MR. MILLER: A very good question,	
22	Jay.	

70 There is already organizations out 1 there, such as Global Animal Partnerships, 2 3 Certified Humane, and other organizations, that put a lot of work into animal welfare 4 And there are organic products out 5 standards. there that carry both seals. 6 7 And I think that it makes the most sense to utilize those type of organizations 8 to meet that customer demand that you are 9 talking about. But, remember, organic is 10 11 tiny, and so we are nitpicking really. We want to grow this. 12 Go ahead. 13 Oh, you've got to be called on. 14 15 I'm sorry. (Laughter.) 16 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Please proceed. 17 MR. MARAVELL: There are other 18 19 organizations out there oriented towards 20 animal welfare. 21 MR. MILLER: Yes. 22 MR. MARAVELL: And as you just

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

pointed out, some products carry both seals, 1 organic and a welfare seal. 2 3 How would that impact on the total amount of cost to the producer and the total 4 amount of paperwork, having to carry two seals 5 rather than one seal? 6 7 I will say this: MR. MILLER: it is similar to an organic farm that has crops 8 9 and livestock that they are going to certify versus one farm that just has crops and one 10 11 farm that just has livestock. 12 Put the two farms together, and there is really no cost savings because it is, 13 for me, two hours on a crop farm alone, two 14 hours on a livestock farm alone. Put them 15 16 together; it's a four-hour inspection. I think that you would see similar 17 18 things because, yes, it is just going to 19 really increase the cost. I don't see any 20 benefits to packaging it. And the other thing is 21 there's 22 already animal welfare standards in the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

72 regulation. When it is appropriate, I cite 1 those things, and really they are all 2 3 adequate, in my opinion. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any more 4 questions? 5 (No response.) 6 7 Thank you. MR. MILLER: Thank you. 8 9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: David Gard, you're Greq Herbruck is standing by. 10 up next. 11 MR. GARD: Could you back up one 12 slide, please? Or one more? I am speaking today on two topics, 13 calcium acid pyrophosphate, or CAPP, as a 14 healthy leavening option and sodium acid 15 pyrophosphate, or SAPP, for improved quality 16 in packing of produce. 17 Next. 18 19 These ingredients provide 20 opportunities to better meet the expectations of organic food consumers. 21 22 Next.

		73
		15
1	Unlike most leaveners, CAPP is	
2	based on calcium and contributes no sodium.	
3	Next, please.	
4	The USDA and Health and Human	
5	Services are recommending a reduction in	
6	sodium intake because next 90 percent of	
7	Americans consume too much sodium,	
8	contributing to hypertension.	
9	Next.	
10	Grain-based foods account for	
11	about 37 percent of the daily sodium intake	
12	for Americans.	
13	Next.	
14	Currently, monocalcium phosphate	
15	and SAPP are allowed for use in organic baked	
16	goods. SAPP provides a controlled leavening	
17	reaction, but is based on sodium. While MCP	
18	is calcium-based, its use and performance is	
19	quite different from that of CAPP. For most	
20	uses, CAPP cannot be substituted by	
21	monocalcium phosphate as the leavening	
22	functionality is greatly reduced.	
	I	

(202) 234-4433

		74
1	Next.	
2	This slide shows the potential	
3	effect of replacing the leavening agent in	
4	some current organic food products. Use of	
5	CAPP could provide approximately 25 percent	
6	reduction in sodium, and calcium claims could	
7	be advertised for the products.	
8	Next.	
9	In summary, CAPP replaces calcium	
10	for sodium in controlled-release leavening,	
11	providing advantages in the baking operation	
12	and increasing the types of healthy organic	
13	baked goods available.	
14	Also, I wanted to mention the	
15	environmental information in the technical	
16	report is really not representative of the	
17	manufacture of CAPP in the United States. It	
18	is, therefore, very misleading.	
19	Next slide.	
20	The second petition relates to the	
21	use of SAPP, or sodium acid pyrophosphate, in	
22	produce. It improves produce quality and	

(202) 234-4433

		75
1	texture, process efficiency, can provide	
2	potential health benefits, and allows for more	
3	eco-friendly packaging.	
4	Next slide.	
5	In potatoes, SAPP inhibits	
6	browning after peeling and development of a	
7	gray color after cooking.	
8	Next.	
9	Currently, organic potatoes are	
10	selectively bred for less color development,	
11	but this potentially reduces the antioxidant	
12	content. Potatoes are now processed quickly	
13	in small batches, and they may be treated with	
14	citric, but it is not very effective for the	
15	gray color and leaves an off-flavor.	
16	Products require non-ecological	
17	packaging to restrict exposure to the air; for	
18	example, Mylar bag.	
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
20	Any questions? Katrina?	
21	MS. HEINZE: I have a question	
22	about leavening. Can CAPP replace SAPP in all	-

(202) 234-4433

applications or only some applications? 1 I would say CAPP could 2 MR. GARD: 3 replace SAPP in most applications. MS. HEINZE: But not all? 4 MR. GARD: I am not sure if it is 5 good in all or not, but at least probably 6 7 most. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina, I have a 8 9 question for you. In our materials deliberations, do we use healthier products, 10 11 i.e., you know, lower sodium as a yardstick in materials consideration? 12 MS. HEINZE: You know, I think 13 that tough question about 14 that gets at 15 essentiality, right? So, if a consumer, if it drives a consumer need, I think that is always 16 that debate around essentiality. 17 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Steve, did you 18 19 have a question? 20 MR. DeMURI: Yes. Do you have any consumer data available that would show us 21 22 that it is something that consumers really

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	would like to see?
2	MR. GARD: In the handouts, which
3	has additional information, we do have some
4	results of studies from the Natural Marketing
5	Institute. Let's see if I can refer you to
6	it.
7	But there are results. Let's see,
8	this would be like around slides 28, 29.
9	They do indicate that about 43
10	percent of the general population is looking
11	to reduce or eliminate sodium from their diet.
12	And if you look at the category they refer to
13	as well beings, which would probably include
14	organic consumers, approximately 55 percent
15	are looking to reduce or eliminate sodium.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other
17	questions?
18	(No response.)
19	Thank you very much.
20	MR. GARD: Thank you.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Please state your
22	name for the record.
	1

		70
		78
1	MR. HERBRUCK: Good morning.	
2	My name is Greg Herbruck, and my	
3	brothers and I are organic egg producers in	
4	Michigan.	
5	I also submit to you letters from	
6	some of the hundreds of organic grain	
7	suppliers that sell us their grain and some of	
8	the 25 organic egg producers that are part of	
9	our system.	
10	And I wanted to comment on the	
11	Livestock Committee recommendation for animal	
12	welfare.	
13	My primary concern is with the	
14	outside access standard that would put the NOP	
15	in direct conflict with the FDA's egg safety	
16	rule. The NOP was required in the 1990	
17	Organic Food Production Act, Part No. 2120(f)	
18	titled "Effective Other Laws," to recognize	
19	and comply with other departmental authority.	
20	The FDA issued the final rule in	
21	2009 that requires egg producers to implement	
22	measures to prevent Salmonella enteritidis	
	I	

(202) 234-4433

1	from contaminating eggs on farms and from
2	further growth in storage and transport. They
3	did this because, quote, "SE is among the
4	leading bacterial causes of food-borne illness
5	in the U.S., and shell eggs are a primary
6	source of human SE infections. The final rule
7	will reduce SE-associated illness and deaths
8	by reducing the risk that shell eggs are
9	contaminated with SE." Unquote.
10	A primary component of the egg
11	safety rule, 21 CFR 118.4, is biosecurity and
12	requires producers to, quote, "ensure that
13	there is no introduction or transfer of SE
14	into or among poultry houses."
15	Among them is a requirement to,
16	quote, "prevent stray poultry, wild birds,
17	cats, and other animals from entering poultry
18	houses."
19	Science has documented that
20	rodents are a known transmission vector for SE
21	infections in birds. The FDA began auditing
22	poultry farms to this standard in the fall of
	l

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	2010 and noted non-compliances and cited
2	numerous farms with 483 warnings.
3	Specifically, there were citations for holes
4	in the sides of building perimeter as small as
5	a half-inch by two-inch.
6	Now, on to the proposal. The
7	outside access requirement for hens' openings
8	of 5-foot by 18-inch per 1,000 hens and
9	directly to the soil, organic producers in
10	this situation will be in direct conflict with
11	an FDA rule.
12	The Committee recommendation
13	specifies that porches would not comply, which
14	eliminates an effective control point. A
15	porch is currently accepted and affirmed
16	through NOP judicial rulings in 2003.
17	So, I ask, how can a federal
18	agency prescript a production standard that
19	puts food safety at risk and directly
20	conflicts with the FDA final rule?
21	Soil-based outside access may work
22	for some regions, but the farmer needs to be
Į	

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

to make the risk determination. the 1 one Lethal bacteria make no distinction between 2 3 organic and non-organic egg production systems. 4 Science and legal rulings 5 have affirmed the porch as a viable outside access 6 7 method while according the producer the ability to comply with an FDA biosecurity 8 9 requirement. Therefore, I recommend that the recommendation from the Livestock Committee be 10 11 withdrawn as proposed. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. Any questions? 14 15 (No response.) Deputy Administrator 16 I have one. Miles, may I ask you a question? 17 If the Livestock Committee 18 pass this were to 19 recommendation and farmers found themselves 20 trying to figure out which set of rules to follow, NOP and FDA, and they were at odds 21 22 with one another, how would you all sort it

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1

2	MR. McEVOY: Well, we are working
3	with FDA. Currently, we are working with them
4	in terms of the implementation of the SE rule
5	because it will start to affect operations
6	with more than 3,000 birds. It is currently
7	in effect for operations that have more than
8	50,000 birds.
9	And so, nothing in terms of our
10	conversations with them say that outside
11	access for birds is prohibited. What they are
12	concerned about food safety. So, we will
13	continue to work with them, so that the two
14	requirements of the organic rules and the food
15	safety rules that FDA has SE prevention can
16	work in harmony.
17	MR. HERBRUCK: And I think I would
18	add that we talk about the consumer
19	expectations, and food safety is, obviously,
20	one of the highest. There is no magic bullet
21	to stop this. This is one of the known risk
22	vectors, and this is a real problem that any
	I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	83
1	farmer is going to have to struggle to comply.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
3	MR. HERBRUCK: Thank you.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac, and, then, we
5	will go to Melissa. Go ahead, Mac.
6	MR. STONE: A couple of years ago,
7	it seems like there was a lot of conservation
8	about avian influenza and birds being outside,
9	and they were going to make us all put birds
10	indoors and not allowed outside. What is your
11	take on that or where is the conversation at
12	this point?
13	MR. HERBRUCK: Well, the bird is
14	not a pasture animal, but it does and is at
15	risk to many of these things. We just had in
16	one of our operations we are in Michigan;
17	the birds don't go out all winter. It is
18	below 50 degrees. It freezes. We have frozen
19	ground for five months.
20	We just started letting them out
21	in the spring, and it is migratory season in
22	our part of the world, and we had an ILT
	I

(202) 234-4433

84

breakout, which is laryngotracheitis.

1

2

3

4

5

15

16

Fortunately, it was not a strong strain, but we lost several hundred birds in this strain, just because we started letting them out, not me.

this is the problem 6 So, with 7 poultry, forcing them to have this and not giving the farmer the local -- you talk about 8 9 outcomes-based program. The outcome base of some of these things is a porch helps certain 10 11 people that have those high-risk areas, factors, the ability to prevent some of these 12 entrances to their farms. 13 Melissa Bailey? 14 CHAIR MIEDEMA:

MS. BAILEY: Melissa Bailey, NOP.

Just for the Board's

consideration, and we discussed this on the 17 Livestock Committee call as well, there is 18 19 kind of two, I just want to make sure the 20 Board understands there's two issues going on We have the current issue that the NOP 21 here. 22 regulations always required outdoor have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

		85
1	access for poultry. So, aside from what the	
2	Board decides on the animal welfare	
3	recommendation, the program is currently, and	
4	will continue to work with FDA on how to	
5	satisfy that requirement with the current	
6	regulations. And, then, anything that the	
7	Board decides would, of course, complement or	
8	be something that we address following that.	
9	So, just to keep in mind that	
10	there are two very related, but kind of	
11	distinct issues at play here.	
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
13	Any more questions?	
14	(No response.)	
15	Thank you.	
16	MR. HERBRUCK: Thank you.	
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Kim Dietz is up	
18	next. Paul Klingerman is standing by.	
19	MS. DIETZ: Good morning.	
20	My name is Kim Dietz, and I would	
21	like to welcome all the new Board members and	
22	thank each and every one of you for your	

(202) 234-4433

1 service to our industry.

-	bervice to bur indubery.
2	For those new members, I served on
3	the NOSB as handler representative from 2000
4	to 2005. During that time, I acted as
5	Materials Chair and Board Secretary.
6	I am one of the founding members
7	of OMRI as well as the Materials Working Group
8	and a manager for Smucker Natural Foods'
9	regulatory compliance, 14 operations, and in
10	my spare time I do some consulting.
11	The Sunset process. I chaired the
12	Materials Committee through the first round of
13	Sunset review. So, this process is very
14	important to me.
15	While I agreed with the concept to
16	change annotations during Sunset, it was only
17	if alternatives are available to this
18	industry. Some of the annotation changes
19	recommended during this meeting are
20	jeopardizing historical use of our materials
21	and taking away critical farming tools.
22	Sunset is not the time to make changes unless
Ī	I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	you are absolutely confident that there are
2	alternatives. You must honor prior Board
3	decisions and do your best with the ability
4	and the information you have.
5	Crop materials. The company that
6	I work for, Smuckers, manufactures organic
7	pear juice. And without Bartlett pears, we
8	would not be able to make this product.
9	Please keep that in mind.
10	Vitamins and minerals. For the
11	record, I disagree with the removal of the
12	vitamin and mineral annotation. You heard
13	public comment from a number of organizations,
14	OTA, Richard Theuer, the National Organic
15	Coalition and Cornucopia, all supporting the
16	same annotation recommendation which you have
17	in front of you. I encourage you to do the
18	right thing and support the industry
19	recommendation.
20	Materials. For the past several
21	years, I have made public comment urging you
22	to clarify the definition of "insignificant".

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

support the current Materials Committee Ι recommendation and ask the Board to approve that document.

1

2

3

4

6

question yesterday There was а asking about the difference between technical 5 and functional. When the Materials Working 7 Group presented that, we took it directly from That definition includes both the CFRs. 8 9 words, and it is also in the NOP definitions.

Decisive votes. 10 There was a 11 comment made yesterday about some of the previous NOP votes not meeting a two-thirds 12 majority. At the time when I was on the 13 Board, abstentions went with the majority; 14 15 today that is different. So, please keep that 16 in mind as you make those types of comments.

For new Board members, if you are 17 unsure of how to vote or feel you do not have 18 19 enough information, please abstain. Your 20 decisions are critical for this industry. In closing, your role as an NOSB 21 22 member is to represent your segment of the

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

industry, and it is your charge to consider 1 all current and prior information when you 2 3 look at an issue. I urge you to refrain from personal opinion and uphold your duty as your 4 industry representative. 5 There has been a lot of talk of 6 7 scale, which is healthy discussion, but please remember that --8 9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. MS. DIETZ: -- that might be a 10 11 personal biased opinion. 12 Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for 13 Kim? Jay Feldman, and, then, Reuben Walker. 14 15 MS. DIETZ: That's tough in three minutes. 16 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. 17 18 MS. DIETZ: Sorry. 19 MR. FELDMAN: I have a lot of 20 questions. Can I ask two questions, please? 21 CHAIR MIEDEMA: No problem. 22 (Laughter.)

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

90 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. I will start 1 with my second question, then, and then, if I 2 3 can have a chance to ask the other. The whole issue of significance 4 you know we have talked about. 5 MS. DIETZ: Yes. 6 7 MR. FELDMAN: It occurred to us, some of us, that we have a standard for 8 9 allowable residues in organic food, you know, from unavoidable --10 11 MS. DIETZ: Right. MR. FELDMAN: -- contamination 12 such as drift of pesticides. 13 MS. DIETZ: Five percent, up to 5 14 15 percent of EPA --16 MR. FELDMAN: Right. Now that is 5 percent of what? 17 MS. DIETZ: That is 5 percent of 18 19 the EPA tolerance. 20 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. So, we, as a Board, and as an institution, have basically 21 22 said that we don't believe that the tolerance

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	established by EPA is acceptable to the
2	organic community and have taken a very small
3	fraction of the allowable level of exposure
4	identified by the tolerance, right?
5	MS. DIETZ: Right.
6	MR. FELDMAN: That's correct.
7	So, here we have a proposal by the
8	Materials Committee, a majority of the
9	Materials Committee, that says we, as a
10	community, now will allow as insignificant
11	MS. DIETZ: Yes.
12	MR. FELDMAN: the tolerance
13	that EPA has established. How do you
14	reconcile those two concepts?
15	MS. DIETZ: Well, my background is
16	food. So, when the Materials Working Group
17	worked on this, we really were referring more
18	to the CFRs and the tolerance levels allowed
19	for an incidental additive in food. And as
20	you know, incidentals, they are not labeled.
21	Sometimes you don't even know that they are in
22	there.

91

		~~~
		92
1	And in those CFRs, there's levels.	
2	So, you know, I agree there's that difference	
3	of 5 percent of EPA and then what's allowed in	
4	a food.	
5	You know, we have just begun this	
6	discussion. I do think we need to define it	
7	because we do have a lot of critical materials	
8	pending.	
9	So, I know that didn't answer your	
10	question, but, you know, we need to just come	
11	to terms with it.	
12	MR. FELDMAN: You endorse the	
13	Committee proposal.	
14	MS. DIETZ: I do.	
15	MR. FELDMAN: And now you are	
16	saying we need more discussion?	
17	MS. DIETZ: I endorse the proposal	
18	from a food perspective.	
19	MR. FELDMAN: Yes.	
20	MS. DIETZ: And again, my	
21	background is not in EPA.	
22	MR. FELDMAN: Right, but the	

proposal specifically, in the majority 1 proposal, it specifically says, if you have a 2 choice between an OSHA standard and an EPA 3 tolerance, in the scenario described we will 4 take the EPA tolerance as insignificant. 5 That is the same tolerance that we take as 6 7 unacceptable as a residue on our farm. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Is there a 8 9 question in there? Well, the question 10 MR. FELDMAN: 11 is, am I misinterpreting? You're agreeing 12 with me. MS. DIETZ: No, you're not. 13 I do support the recommendation --14 15 MR. FELDMAN: Right. 16 MS. DIETZ: -- oops, I knew that would happen -- to allow any tolerance by law. 17 So, I do support that. 18 19 Any other questions? 20 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Do any other Board members have questions? Reuben, yes. 21 Sorry. 22 MR. WALKER: As a new member, I

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

94 appreciate seeing and hearing from 1 past Is there any other suggestion you 2 members. have for the new members? 3 MS. DIETZ: Oh, boy. 4 5 (Laughter.) MR. WALKER: Because I know that 6 7 we --MS. DIETZ: We don't have all day. 8 9 (Laughter.) had a nice 10 Ι know, and we 11 discussion last night. It was a pleasure speaking with you. 12 You know, just, again, I think I 13 put it in my three minutes. You have to do 14 15 the best job that you can with the information I know it is a lot of 16 that you have. material. It is a lot of reading. 17 history 18 Look the of the at 19 requlations. Look at the history of this 20 industry. All these people out here, most of us have been to every meeting since the 21 22 nineties. So, use us when you can and use the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

		95
1	information you have got.	
2	Thank you.	
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other Board	
4	members have questions?	
5	(No response.)	
6	Okay. Thank you, Kim.	
7	We are at our five minutes and our	
8	number of times. Thank you.	
9	I am going to go ahead and	
10	announce the person standing by, too. So,	
11	just a moment.	
12	We have got Anne Mosness standing	
13	by. Go ahead.	
14	MR. KLINGERMAN: Hello. I am Paul	
15	Klingerman, a Washington pork producer.	
16	And I understand you have made	
17	some recommended changes last night on your	
18	pig criteria. I do think they look very good	
19	from last night. I can accept that.	
20	What I had seen on the internet	
21	before wasn't an acceptable thing because it	
22	didn't even meet what the National Pork	
	1	

(202) 234-4433

Producers and the National Pork Board was recommending, and there has been a lot of research in that.

1

2

3

But I think it is very important 4 that the outside area be looked at from the 5 standpoint that the animal has enough area to 6 7 exhibit its natural behavior and what it likes And so, I wanted to just say I do 8 to do. 9 support the changes that were made last night, and I challenge the Committee to be inspired. 10 11 Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very 12 much. 13 Any questions for Mr. Klingerman? 14 15 Reuben? MR. 16 WALKER: So, I am understanding that you support the changes 17 that the Livestock Committee had made? 18 19 MR. KLINGERMAN: Last night, what 20 someone showed me this morning. 21 MR. WALKER: So, you are 22 satisfied?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	97
1	MR. KLINGERMAN: Yes. I cut
2	myself short. Thank you.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Anne Mosness is at
4	the podium, and Beth Unger is standing by.
5	MS. MOSNESS: Good morning.
6	My name is Anne Mosness. For 28
7	seasons, I commercially fished for salmon
8	locally on the Copper River and in Bristol
9	Bay, Alaska. I have worked with the Institute
10	for Agriculture and Trade Policy, Public
11	Citizen, and other organizations on
12	aquaculture and wild fish issues, and I a Food
13	and Society Policy Kellogg Leadership Alliance
14	Fellow.
15	As an ad hoc advisor to the PCC on
16	sustainable seafoods, I have written four of
17	their lead articles in The Sound Consumer, and
18	I wrote this one in this latest issue, which
19	will be given to you later on this afternoon
20	when another PCC representative will be
21	speaking.
22	This article is titled "Can Farmed

(202) 234-4433

Fish Be Organic?" And it outlines only some
 of the problems. This is a very complex
 issue.

Β. James stated in 2008, 4 As "Organic certification of seafood should be 5 determined on a case-by-case basis." 6 Some 7 species migratory that are neither nor carnivorous reared closed 8 and are in 9 containment systems could be considered. Salmon and other migratory carnivorous finfish 10 11 should not be. Around the world, open-cage notoriously incapable of 12 aquaculture is 13 confining fish, pollution, parasites, pathogens, and chemicals. 14

15 Since Washington is one of two 16 states that has allowed salmon farming, we have experience with the impacts. 17 In four years, more than 613,000 non-native Atlantic 18 19 salmon escaped into our waters. Several 20 winters ago, we had an outbreak of viral 21 hemorrhagic septicemia. Dr. Arthur Whitely 22 has calculated that the farms right across

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	-
1	from Seattle put more than 5 million pounds of
2	fecal matter annually into Puget Sound.
3	With the FDA considering approval
4	of genetically-engineered fish, and NOAA
5	promoting open-cage aquaculture three miles to
6	our coastline, it would be an additional
7	bitter blow to thousands of small fishing
8	businesses if products reared in marine
9	feedlots were considered for organic
10	certification which has been denied to wild
11	seafoods. We would also find it unfathomable
12	that wild fish could be used in feed for these
13	organic fish.
14	Many wild fish populations are
15	healthy and abundant. In Bristol Bay, between
16	25 million and 65 million sockeye salmon
17	return in a month. They are harvested by more
18	than 2500 small boats and set-net operations.
19	Those who harvest most species of wild fish
20	appreciate the exuberance they exhibit as they
21	swim freely around in our oceans and in
22	healthy and richly-biodiverse coastal regions.
	I

99

	100
1	Economic interests want to rear
2	high-value species in the cheapest way
3	possible, and they would be replicating the
4	worst practices of factory farms on land in
5	our marine environment. They may offer
6	arguments about feeding the world, but
7	independent scientists have
8	demonstrated that
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
10	MS. MOSNESS: that raising
11	those carnivorous species results in a net
12	loss of protein.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
14	much.
15	Any questions for Dr. Mosness?
16	MS. MOSNESS: Would you like me to
17	pass out the statement that I have then?
18	There's a couple more sentences.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sure.
20	MS. MOSNESS: Thank you.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Beth Unger, you
22	are up, and Didier Jacquet is standing by.

(202) 234-4433

	101
1	MS. UNGER: Thank you.
2	I am Beth Unger from CROPP
3	Cooperative.
4	I am not going to go into any
5	lengthy introductions. I am going to try the
6	three-minute drill here.
7	I want to thank the Livestock
8	Committee for considering the public comment
9	and editing your documents. Those are some
10	very good improvements. It has been a long
11	road, and we understand the need that you have
12	to move forward and go on to other business.
13	But we strongly suggest that you continue to
14	listen to public comment today, as you have
15	thus far, and take what you hear back to the
16	Committee and come back in the fall with a
17	blended document that will be easier for the
18	entire community to understand and comment on.
19	And as you do so, I would strongly
20	suggest that you take time to consider all the
21	comments and seek stakeholder input to make a
22	stronger document. It will be a year from now
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	before the full impact of the pasture rule
2	will be known to the organic community, but,
3	you know, I can tell you that, so far, it has
4	created a lot of pain and agony and increased
5	paperwork and what will surely be increased
6	inspection time. That is a burden
7	particularly for the smaller family farms
8	which we so dearly support. So, these are
9	items that need to be taken into consideration
10	as you go forward with this document.
11	I briefly want to touch on the
12	transportation and slaughter doc. I think
13	there has been some very good public comment
14	on it today. We appreciate very much the
15	rewrites that happened. It improved the
16	document considerably, but there was a
17	gentleman earlier that made some pretty good
18	points regarding the effect that it could have
19	on smaller businesses. These need to be taken
20	into further consideration.
21	And, also, there was some public
22	comment online that I wish I could speak to a
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	103
1	little more clearly. But I don't remember it
2	and I have one minute left. I have got to
3	move along here.
4	(Laughter.)
5	So, you know, talk to some of
6	these smaller businesses that have been
7	mentioned in the public comment. See how it
8	affects and how you could come to something
9	that is meaningful for the entire community
10	and doesn't hurt the smaller businesses.
11	Nutrient vitamins and minerals.
12	Jay, I agree with the suggestion that you put
13	forth to the Board yesterday about coming
14	annotation change that clarifies. And to
15	that, I would like to speak to the Board as a
16	whole. Please get this work done tomorrow.
17	It is critically important.
18	I think the best course of action
19	is to change that annotation to include 109.1
20	and 107.100. It clarifies and aligns with the
21	1995 NOSB recommendation that we have been
22	talking about since the beginning of this

(202) 234-4433

104 conversation. 1 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Beth. Mac? 4 Beth, what percentage 5 MR. STONE: of your all's producers are bumping into the 6 7 30 percent, 120-day grazing rule? None of them. MS. UNGER: At 8 9 least that is our sincere hope. This has been a policy on our books for a pretty long time. 10 11 So, this was not any news to us. 12 But I will tell you, Mac -- and, certifier, I am glad to have the 13 as а opportunity to address that with you -- the 14 15 paperwork burden that has come forth as a part 16 of that pasture rule has been pretty difficult to deal with. 17 MR. STONE: Good. I was hoping 18 19 that was where you were going with that. 20 (Laughter.) CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick? 21 22 MR. MARAVELL: I wanted to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

address, you to address, rather, some aspects 1 of the animal welfare standards. 2 You 3 mentioned, and following up a little bit on what you just mentioned about the paperwork 4 5 requirement from the access to pasture rule, we are sending things out. 6 Farmers have to 7 respond. But do you see the animal welfare 8 9 aspect as being somewhat comparable in terms of impact? Or do you see that perhaps the 10 11 impact is different, either greater or less? MS. UNGER: Both. Whatever goes 12 into rulemaking is going to come out to the 13 certifiers where they are going to have to 14 adjust their application and renewal forms to 15 include any additional rulemaking, which goes 16 off to the farmers in terms of additional 17 18 information on their organic system plans, 19 additional recordkeeping requirements to 20 assure compliance, and most painful of all is 21 getting the livestock inspectors up-to-speed 22 to deal with these issues.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	106
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I saw another
2	hand. Jay?
3	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, thank you.
4	Thanks, Beth.
5	My question goes to comparability.
6	The crop standards in terms of animal welfare,
7	how do they compare with what the Livestock
8	Committee is proposing?
9	MS. UNGER: I guess to put it in a
10	summary form it was hard to go through this
11	on a point-by-point basis our standards are
12	higher than what has been presented by the
13	Committee. And we have a method of internal
14	inspections, and this is a new program for us.
15	So, we definitely have a lot of work to do on
16	it.
17	For instance, on the outdoor
18	access for poultry, we have a five-square-foot
19	requirement, and you have two in the document.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other
21	questions?
22	(No response.)
	I

	107
1	Thank you.
2	MS. UNGER: Thank you.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Didier Jacquet,
4	you are up next, and Dag Falck is standing by.
5	MR. JACQUET: Good morning.
6	So, my name is Didier Jacquet, and
7	I am a winemaker and quality control
8	professional, active since 1997 both in the
9	United States and Europe. And my specialty is
10	certified organic sparkling wine, which I
11	pioneered in the U.S. with LaRocca Vineyards
12	out of Forest Ranch, California.
13	So, quality wine is produced every
14	day in this country and elsewhere without the
15	use of synthetic additives such as sulfur
16	dioxide. I felt it was important for the sake
17	of the organic wine industry that I address
18	this Board on that issue.
19	SO2 is an industrially-produced
20	additive used as an antioxidant and
21	preservative in many foods and beverages
22	across the globe. Three main forms are
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

commonly used in wine: pure gas, liquid
 solution, and powder known as potassium
 metabisulfite, or KMBS.

That latter form introduces potassium, which is another source of concerns in terms of consumer health. There is no obligation for producers to disclose which form of SO2 they use in wine.

9 As a winemaker, I have used SO2 10 before converting organic. It mandates the 11 use of cartridge respirator, gloves, and 12 goggles in the winery. Even with this 13 equipment, I personally experience discomfort 14 every time I use these additives.

As the winery emitted the product, we used large extraction fans, as we are instructed to end all additions one hour to the first public tour.

19I have attached the MSDSes of20commonly-used forms of SO2 to illustrate this21topic. They speak for themselves.

From an environment, health, and

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	safety standpoint, these products should be
2	phased out of the wine industry altogether
3	sooner or later. In wine, SO2 has a definite
4	smell and taste, often described as metallic
5	and harsh, modifying the natural taste of the
6	grape, even at relatively-low concentrations.
7	In excess levels, the aroma is described as
8	pungent, sharp, and soapy.
9	Heavily-sulfurated red wines often
10	lack suppleness, delicacy, and exhibit
11	decreases color properties due to the
12	bleaching effect of SO2. SO2 has been known
13	to cause severe allergic reactions, which
14	prompted legislation to impose labeling for
15	wine, but not in other food products such as
16	raisins, for instance.
17	Viable alternatives exist, such as
18	better cleanliness in the cellar, filtration,
19	and use of inert gases to protect against
20	oxidation. Unlike SO2, these gases are not
21	retained in the finished product.
22	There is no credible data to
	I

substantiate that wines produced without added 1 lifespan, 2 SO2 have a shorter if handled 3 properly like any wine. There is significant difference in the evolution of the wine over 4 time, however, as SO2 is an inhibitor of 5 enzymatic reactions, which true organic wine 6 7 producers believe essential for the are quality of their wines. 8

9 If sulfites are allowed in USDA organic wines, I wonder what will be next, 10 11 genetically-modified grapes, yeast, and 12 bacteria, industrial nutrients such as DAP or diaminophosphate. They are already being used 13 in conventional winemaking, which should not 14 15 be allowed in a truly natural food product 16 where only the freshest and unspoiled ingredients should be included. 17

of 18 There is risk а great 19 confusion --20 Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: -- and discredit 21 MR. JACOUET:

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

22 | from --

		111
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
2	MR. JACQUET: the consumer	
3	labeling laws of their country to be in their	
4	best interest.	
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.	
6	MR. JACQUET: Yes.	
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Questions?	
8	MR. JACQUET: Absolutely.	
9	MR. FELDMAN: Indulge me. As a	
10	Frenchman, how does California wine compare to	
11	French wine?	
12	(Laughter.)	
13	MR. JACQUET: It would be	
14	comparing pears and oranges.	
15	(Laughter.)	
16	We grow grapes in very different	
17	places under very different conditions. So,	
18	there's no possible comparison. Your	
19	preference and your taste should be what leads	
20	your choice.	
21	(Laughter and applause.)	
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	

(202) 234-4433

	112
1	MR. JACQUET: Any more questions?
2	(No response.)
3	All right. Thank you very much.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: We will have one
5	more comment before the break here, Dag Falck.
6	MR. FALCK: I am Dag Falck,
7	Organic Program Manager for Nature's Path
8	Food, North America's largest certified
9	organic cereal producer.
10	We have submitted comments,
11	written comments, on several of the issues on
12	the agenda, but now I will cover only two
13	issues that are not on the agenda, GMOs and
14	the confusion and threat to organic by the
15	natural label.
16	GMO. The issue of GMO
17	contamination has developed over the last few
18	years to a point where it could threaten the
19	survival of organic. I am going to make two
20	points.
21	One, the organic regulations are a
22	practice standard. We have all agreed that
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

organic should be ascertained through 1 prescribed practices, not through testing or 2 3 finished product purity for things like pesticide residue. However, in the case of 4 5 GMOs, I argue that effective and appropriate practices cannot be implemented without the 6 7 use of testing and thresholds.

second point is many organic 8 My 9 manufacturers that GMO aware are now contamination creeping 10 is into organic 11 products, and there are varying efforts being made to prevent this. Even though many know 12 this, many do not and question if there really 13 is a problem of contamination out there. 14 To establish whether or not 15 16 additional measures need to be taken, we recommend that a thorough industry-wide study 17 of current levels of GMO contamination in 18 19 certified organic raw commodities like soy, 20 corn, and cotton meal, and canola should be done. 21 22 Without such a study to establish

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	a baseline and more adequately addressing
2	potential contamination, the organic label is
3	in danger of losing consumer confidence. This
4	study must be undertaken by an NOSB and NOP
5	agreed-upon body in order to provide the
6	required credibility of a study like that.
7	As random pesticide testing is
8	being ramped up by the NOP, this is a good
9	time to also do GMO testing. To bury our
10	heads in the sand on this issue will not serve
11	us well when contamination levels get out of
12	hand and we haven't done anything about it.
13	On the issue of natural claims
14	infringing on organic markets, according to a
15	Heartland Consumer study which is in my
16	handout to you, more than half of consumers
17	think that a natural claim means more than the
18	organic claim. This, of course, is not true
19	as there is no regulation or even a consistent
20	definition for natural. The consumer then
21	believes they are getting organic benefits
22	through buying natural, which undermines the
	I

114

(202) 234-4433

	115
1	very industry that we are building.
2	The reason most of us are involved
3	with building this organic industry is to
4	provide benefit to environments, people's
5	health and livelihoods. None of those
6	benefits are being realized when the organic
7	industry does not defend its turf.
8	The NOP preamble states that the
9	final rule was implemented to provide a common
10	set of definitions on organic attributes. Now
11	those attributes are being undermined in the
12	marketplace by inconsistent and unregulated
13	natural claims.
14	Nature's Path believes that the
15	NOSB should take responsibility for defending
16	the organic claim by, one, asking for an NOP-
17	led campaign for public education about
18	organic attributes and, two, asking for
19	enforcement on truth in labeling and require
20	purported natural attributes to be labeled
21	specifically.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.

(202) 234-4433

	116
1	Any questions for Mr. Falck?
2	Nick has a question here in just a
3	moment.
4	MR. MARAVELL: I have a question,
5	but I need well, let me come out with it,
6	even though I haven't thought it through and
7	reviewed your testimony.
8	First, a conflict of interest. I
9	do eat your products.
10	(Laughter.)
11	But are you saying that you
12	believe that there should be a tolerance level
13	for GMO contamination or there should not be
14	an established tolerance level for GMO
15	contamination in commodities?
16	MR. FALCK: I think there is a lot
17	of confusion around that discussion. I would
18	not call it a tolerance level. I would call
19	it a threshold level, which I think needs to
20	be established in order to establish an
21	appropriate and effective practice.
22	We would have to focus on this

(202) 234-4433

being a practice standard, but in order for us 1 2 to practice, we do need to see where the 3 contamination is. We are now practicing without knowing when something is 4 5 contaminated. So, we say, the NOP says that we cannot knowingly add GMOs in our products 6 7 while growing or processing, but we don't know if is there. So, it is kind of 8 it 9 meaningless. practice 10 We cannot apply the 11 without the tool of testing. And if you are going to test, you need to have a threshold in 12 order to make it meaningful, so that you have 13 a goalpost. So, it is not an allowance. 14 15 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sure, Nick. 16 MR. MARAVELL: Yes, a followup There are agricultural inputs and, 17 question. then, there are agricultural products or raw 18 19 commodities let's call them raw _ _ 20 commodities -- and, then, there are products through 21 that produced the handling are 22 procedures.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	You run different places in that
2	continuum. Are you suggesting that thresholds
3	be established for all of those areas or just
4	some of those areas? And would the thresholds
5	well, you can't predict anything about the
6	thresholds now. But are you suggesting that
7	we look at all of those areas for potential
8	threshold situations?
9	MR. FALCK: Yes, I think all the
10	levels need to be addressed. There might be
11	some differences in the way it is addressed.
12	Nature's Path has enrolled our
13	products in the Non-GMO Project because we
14	feel the NOP is not stringent enough and
15	effective enough in addressing the GMO issue.
16	So, we feel like we needed to have an
17	additional step for consistency in approaching
18	the issue, and we are hoping that the whole
19	industry will work together.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac?
21	MR. FALCK: And so, we are
22	suggesting that the NOP address this and

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

incorporate more stringent rules.

1

2 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac Stone? 3 MR. STONE: What is the technology 4 for screening and/or more definitive levels of 5 contamination? And is the contamination 6 coming out of the field or as part of the 7 handling process?

8 MR. FALCK: Those are some of the 9 questions that we think really need to be 10 established. We don't currently know exactly 11 where the contamination sources are coming 12 from. Some are coming from seeds in the 13 fields, and some are coming from handling.

And we feel like, without testing, again, we are not actually applying the tool that we need to apply in order to find out where the heights of the most contamination is coming, in order for us to, then, increase our efforts to keep it out.

20 So, all of those levels have to be 21 addressed and looked at. Currently, through 22 the NOP, we are not driven to do that. There

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	120
1	is nothing that drives us to do that. So,
2	that is the entirely voluntary thing right
3	now, if companies want to do that or not. So,
4	there is no consistency.
5	MR. STONE: And is there a litmus
6	test that says the presence versus more
7	analytical definitions?
8	MR. FALCK: We think that there
9	are two systems of testing out there. One is
10	a strip test, where it is an operator-applied,
11	very simple test. It costs about \$3 per test.
12	But it is not very accurate. And so, it is a
13	good guideline, but we believe that, like the
14	Non-GMO Project requires, is a PCR laboratory
15	test which is much more accurate, that that is
16	used as a part of the system.
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any more
18	questions?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. FALCK: Thank you.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay, we are going
22	to take a 15-minute break. That puts us back
ļ	I

	121
1	here at 9:56. Board members, please be seated
2	at 9:56.
3	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
4	went off the record at 9:42 a.m. and resumed
5	at 10:04 a.m.)
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: We're back in
7	session.
8	First up is Elissa Sosland.
9	MS. SOSLAND: Thank you.
10	My name is Elissa Sosland, and I
11	am the Farm Animal Program Associate at the
12	Animal Welfare Institute in Washington, D.C.
13	At AWI, we work on policy issues
14	that affect the welfare of farm animals. We
15	support high-welfare family farms, and we work
16	toward goals to achieve more humane transport
17	and slaughter.
18	We also operate the Animal
19	Welfare-Approved Program that I know many of
20	you are familiar with. Fifty-eight percent of
21	the farms in the Animal Welfare-Approved
22	Program are dual-enrolled in the USDA National

(202) 234-4433

Organic Program. 1

2	We have been following the
3	progress of NOP's proposed standards for
4	animal welfare for the past few years, and we
5	commend your efforts to propose standards that
6	address animal welfare under USDA organic. We
7	believe setting standards according to good
8	animal welfare practices under the Organic
9	Program will bring the level of the program up
10	to match what consumers already expect from
11	the label when they buy organic animal
12	products and byproducts.
13	We do have some concerns. We
14	strongly urge the Board to improve these
15	deficiencies in stocking rates for growing
16	pigs and chickens, in particular, before
17	submitting the proposal to the USDA.
18	We are really happy to see that
19	you have increased the proposed stocking
20	densities for growing pigs. We note, however,
21	that the stocking rates are still well below
22	that of Canada organic.
Į	

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	123
1	And one thing we would really like
2	to see is harmonization between the U.S.,
3	Canada, and EU organic programs for the sake
4	of consistency and clarity.
5	The current proposed standards do
6	not satisfy the U.S. and Canada equivalency
7	agreement under which U.S. agricultural
8	products derived from animals must be produced
9	according to livestock stocking rates, as set
10	out in the Canada organic standards.
11	The current NOSB proposed space
12	allowances for chickens and pigs do not meet
13	the Canadian standard.
14	For poultry, we see a similar
15	problem. Poultry expert Anne Fanatico has
16	quoted some organic certifiers looking for
17	stocking densities of 1.5 square feet per bird
18	outdoors. So, the stocking rate of 1 square
19	foot per meat chicken in the proposed
20	standards seems to be less than what organic
21	certifiers would look for. These would appear
22	to be a step down for the welfare of the
	I

(202) 234-4433

	124
1	birds. We also contrast that with Animal
2	Welfare-approved program requirements of 4
3	square feet per bird outdoors, which just
4	highlights the deficiency there.
5	Thank you for your efforts to
6	create animal welfare standards to improve the
7	treatment of farm animals under the Organic
8	Program. The proposed standards are a great
9	start, but we do see some problem areas that
10	we think should be improved.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
12	much.
13	Any questions?
14	(No response.)
15	All right. Next up, Phil LaRocca.
16	Harriet Behar is standing by.
17	MR. LaROCCA: Good morning.
18	My name is Phil LaRocca. I am the
19	owner of LaRocca Vineyards. I have been in
20	the organic industry for over 38 years, 30 of
21	those in the wine industry, 28 of those
22	running my own operation. Pretty much my
I	

(202) 234-4433

entire income comes off my organic wine 1 2 production. I am a part-time professor at Butte Community College where Ι teach viticulture as well.

I also served as Past President of the California Organic Farmers. 6 During that 7 time, I worked very close with this Board to create the present rule that you have today 8 9 reqarding wine. I was very instrumental in getting what we have today. 10

11 This was not an easy thing to do because the Food and Production Act of 1990 12 absolutely outlawed the use of sulfur dioxide 13 in any form of organic production. 14 So, there 15 was a lot of backdoor politicking.

I may add that this rule did not 16 affect me at the time because I have always 17 18 been 100 percent organic in that. But I felt 19 that those that were qrowinq the grapes 20 organically should be allowed some form of So, we worked very hard. 21 recognition. We had 22 to deal with Senators from the State of

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3

4

5

1	California and Senators from the State of
2	Kentucky to actually get the Boxer Amendment
3	which allowed for the made-with organic
4	category. And that is what it was allowed
5	for, and that is what this Board passed.
6	And for the last 10 years, it has
7	been quite successful. There has been over
8	133 percent increase in wine, organic wine and
9	those made with organic grapes, since the
10	adoption of this rule.
11	Being a hot-headed Italian, I am a
12	little bit angered at having to do this 10
13	years later because this is working quite
14	well. There is no need for a change.
15	Earlier today, people were talking
16	about the label, the label. As a licensed
17	winemaker, I can use between 112 and 118
18	different synthetic products in my wine. The
19	only one that is required by law required
20	by law is the use of sulfur dioxide in
21	wine. This would be an absolute oxymoron to
22	have a warning label contains sulfites next to

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	the USDA organic seal. This would be
2	detrimental to the entire organic community.
3	And if there is anything that you
4	remember what I say today, it is that this
5	label represents truth and integrity in
6	organic. We can never compromise integrity.
7	You would be hurting the industry to do so.
8	There have also been arguments
9	which I passed out which say that the organic
10	industry is not growing. Contrary to that
11	fact, we see that in the last four years CCOF
12	showed a 77 percent growth in wine grapes. We
12 13	showed a 77 percent growth in wine grapes. We also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which
13	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which
13 14	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking
13 14 15	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking so fast here that Christine Bushway, the
13 14 15 16	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking so fast here that Christine Bushway, the Organic Trade Association, in her State-of-
13 14 15 16 17	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking so fast here that Christine Bushway, the Organic Trade Association, in her State-of- the-Union Organic Address at the Annual
13 14 15 16 17 18	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking so fast here that Christine Bushway, the Organic Trade Association, in her State-of- the-Union Organic Address at the Annual Meeting of the CCOF said, "If organic saw a 4
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking so fast here that Christine Bushway, the Organic Trade Association, in her State-of- the-Union Organic Address at the Annual Meeting of the CCOF said, "If organic saw a 4 percent growth, that would be considered very
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	also saw that in the last year, in 2010, which was a bad year in the economy I am talking so fast here that Christine Bushway, the Organic Trade Association, in her State-of- the-Union Organic Address at the Annual Meeting of the CCOF said, "If organic saw a 4 percent growth, that would be considered very good in this economy." Wine experienced a 12

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	1:
1	Now I have been around here for a
2	long time. I was the first certified apple
3	grower. I was told you could never grow an
4	organic apple. This is not true. This was
5	told to me by the head of the Pomology
6	Department at the University of California,
7	Davis.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.
9	MR. LaROCCA: You're welcome.
10	(Laughter.)
11	I talk a lot. So, to go three
12	minutes is hard.
13	(Laughter.)
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for
15	Mr. LaRocca? Nick?
16	MR. MARAVELL: Just a point of
17	clarification. Those increases are for
18	California or nationwide?
19	MR. LaROCCA: Well, let's just put
20	it this way: 93 percent of all wine
21	production is from the State of California.
22	So, when we are talking wine I know this is
	I

1	a new Board. So, if there's any questions of
2	how we put this rule together, I would love to
3	share that with you. I couldn't tell you the
4	whole process in three minutes, but I spent
5	over a thousand hours working with this Board
6	to come up with this made-with category and
7	the certified organic wine.
8	And how we did it was like a
9	backdoor deal. We actually piggybacked it on
10	a bill that is something to the effect that
11	said: allow senior citizens to get a discount
12	in generic drugs. Oh, and by the way, sulfur
13	dioxide could be put in the made-with
14	category.
15	(Laughter.)
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other
17	questions for Mr. LaRocca? Melissa Bailey?
18	MS. BAILEY: Melissa Bailey, NOP.
19	I think it was Nick who asked
20	pardon me if I am wrong about the sulfite
21	statement, the requirement from TTB. So, we
22	have a clarification on that, just to
	l

129

	130
1	contribute to the discussion that the
2	requirement for the sulfite declaration is
3	that it shall be stated on a front label, back
4	label, strip label, or neck label. So, the
5	fact that there is so variety there about
6	where it can be, there is no requirement that
7	it necessarily be on the front label.
8	MR. MARAVELL: Thank you very
9	much.
10	MR. LaROCCA: Thank you.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Harriet Behar is
12	up next. Mohamed Mousa is standing by.
13	MS. BEHAR: Hello, everyone.
14	I have a few I know I have to
15	be announced so I have a few comments based
16	on what has happened yesterday.
17	I want to just ask that the animal
18	welfare standards go back. I don't feel that
19	they are ready to be put forward into The
20	Federal Register for public comment or even to
21	go to the NOP.
22	I think they need to balance
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

practicality in that language that provides I think there is also a

consumer confidence. need to be more in step with the Canadian and EU regulations because those are our sister standards.

And, then, on another topic, 6 Ι 7 would like talk vesterday's to about discussion, or lack of discussion, among the 8 9 Many of us come to these meetings to Board. hear you discuss, and you are stakeholders 10 11 representing many different aspects of the organic community. And we really need to hear 12 a discussion. 13

if with 14 Even you agree the 15 proposal, we need to hear, well, the handler likes it because it helps with their inputs; 16 the farmer likes it because it is practice, or 17 18 vice versa, the consumers are concerned about 19 health effects.

20 And yesterday's lack of discussion makes it very difficult for us here in the 21 22 audience to, then, go back to our constituents

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

	132
1	and say, "Well, you know, this is why the
2	decision was made because this priority came
3	forward from this stakeholder group."
4	So, I just wanted to encourage
5	you. I think the discussion is very important
6	for you all to also build community.
7	And I know that you were
8	discussing in committee, but that is not
9	transparent. We don't see it out there in the
10	audience. We cannot, then, pass that on to
11	our constituents and get by it.
12	And I will tell you that that's
13	something that I do a lot with my farmers.
14	People do ask me, "Why was that material
15	approved?" or "How come it was written this
16	way?" That is why I come to these meetings.
17	So, then, I can pass that on. And when I
18	explain it was a compromise between these
19	issues, then they have buy-in and we can move
20	forward as a community.
21	I wanted to also talk quickly
22	about TAP reviews. I think we need to ask the
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

NOP to start working with a whole variety of 1 scientists that are going to be in waiting to 2 3 be, when you have handling issues, livestock issues, pest control, crop issues. We need to 4 be starting to train these people about what 5 compatibility with an organic system means 6 7 because I think you are having a lot of problems with your TAP reviews because you 8 9 don't have people who are prepared to do the work that you need to do. 10 11 And you don't know what is coming pike. So, you need to start 12 down the identifying a pool of reviewers. And I think 13 you really need to go back to the three-person 14 TAP review. 15 16 Ι received a phone call about sodium nitrate, and I would just say that I 17 don't believe that my opinion --18 19 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, 20 Harriet. 21 MS. BEHAR: -- was expressed. And 22 so, don't believe that whole survey. That was

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	134
1	somewhat, from my opinion, a fraud.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you,
3	Harriet.
4	I see some questions. Let's start
5	with Katrina, then Jay, then Nick.
6	MS. HEINZE: Okay. Could you
7	finish that last thought? Because I'm not
8	sure we want that hanging out there.
9	(Laughter.)
10	MS. BEHAR: I was called by
11	someone who was hired by a company that
12	produces sodium nitrate. I didn't write it
13	down, who it was. And, then, they said, "So,
14	you agree with keeping sodium nitrate as
15	written on the National List?"
16	And I said, "Well, no, actually, I
17	do not."
18	And they said, "Well, we'll mark
19	you down as being in line with this proposal."
20	And I said, "No, you won't."
21	And, then, they said, "Well, we
22	really appreciate you giving us your opinion
	l

(202) 234-4433

```
www.nealrgross.com
```

	135
1	that you're going to be right there with us."
2	And I was like, "No, I'm not, and
3	I want my name written down as saying, `No, I
4	don't.'"
5	And I don't know what you
6	received, but I really doubt that my name is
7	written down as being opposed. So, I don't
8	know.
9	The questions were very leading,
10	and I just don't feel like it was a very
11	objective survey.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
13	Any? Jay?
14	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
15	Harriet, can you tell us some
16	more, a little more detail, the elements of a
17	TAP review, you know, what the various
18	elements of a TAP review are as compared to a
19	technical review, which we are getting now?
20	We are all getting these technical reviews, as
21	you know. How do you distinguish that? Give
22	us a little more detail on the composition of
	I

(202) 234-4433

TAP panels and how that would better inform our decisions at the Committee level and the Board level?

1

2

3

Well, I know in the MS. BEHAR: 4 past we have had at least three people, and in 5 those TAP reviews there was written debate 6 7 those, between and there wasn't always agreement between the three people who were on 8 9 that TAP panel. That I think helped frame the issues for the Board, to really see that these 10 11 not black-and-white issues, and the are various competing ideas and science would come 12 It gave the Board much more of a 13 forward. broad range of what the issues really were. 14 15 I just feel, too, that you can't

have one group of scientists being able to
handle handling questions, you know, like food
science versus pest control in an orchard.
You need to start assembling a whole pool of
possible TAP reviewers. So, when you have a
pest control issue in crops, you have got
these people you can pull upon who have

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

expertise in that area.

1

2

## CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?

3 MR. MARAVELL: Yes, I would like to go back to your comments on the livestock 4 5 standards. Is there some other process that you might envision, other than the one that --6 7 I mean we tried our hardest here to meet But is there some other process 8 expectations. 9 that you might suggest that might get us closer on our first try? 10 11 MS. BEHAR: Well, I would have liked to have seen along in the chart the 12

13 animal humane standards being compared, but, 14 also, having EU and Canada up there as well, 15 so we could see what our sister organic 16 standards had.

Ι think 17 there were SO many substantial changes yesterday that we really 18 19 need to go out to the larger community and 20 find out what those effects will be. 21 So, Ι that know you were 22 listening, but I feel that there's many people

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	not here that will be affected. So, we need
2	to kind of go out and give people more chance.
3	This is way too big of an issue to kind of
4	feel like we have to get it done right now.
5	MR. MARAVELL: Who is the "we"
6	when you say, "We need to go out."? Is that
7	just the NOSB or?
8	MS. BEHAR: The process of those
9	recommendations will go out. It will give a
10	chance to certifiers, people like Moses who
11	are dealing with far more organizations, to
12	then say, "How do you feel about these new
13	proposals? Are these more in line with what
14	you are willing to do or capable of doing, or
15	how will this affect you in the long-run?"
16	So, I guess the farmer
17	organizations, NODPA, you know, the organic
18	dairy producers, et cetera.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tina?
20	MS. ELLOR: Just to answer a few
21	of those concerns, we have been working on
22	this since 2007. It has gone back and forth.
	I

(202) 234-4433

	139
1	We have gotten our ears pinned back so many
2	times.
3	(Laughter.)
4	And we also heard from a lot of
5	constituency that said, "Get something done.
6	Get some rules that everyone needs to follow."
7	And we do have a lot of support for this
8	amongst a lot of farmers.
9	MS. BEHAR: I just feel like there
10	was a lot of changes yesterday that many of us
11	haven't had a chance to digest. We need to
12	ruminate a little more.
13	(Laughter.)
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
15	Mohamed Mousa is at the podium.
16	Seri Sedlacek is standing by.
17	MR. MOUSA: My name is Mohamed
18	Mousa.
19	Thank you very much for giving me
20	this opportunity to address you.
21	I have been in this business for
22	35 years, and I am a geneticist by education

(202) 234-4433

and also immune system development in poultry. 1 I am here today to speak about science, and I 2 3 really want you to give the science in your What a great program. My heart goes 4 program. out to you and my support to you with 5 everything you are doing. 6 7 I want to make sure that I open that part of science which I see personally 8 9 and may be misinformed, but not enough, that it is missing from what I read about your 10 11 program. First, I will talk about bird 12 We are here, everybody here, even if 13 welfare. it is a few birds or a thousands or 10,000 or 14 more, bird welfare is important. Mixing the 15 birds, the domesticated birds with the wild 16 reservoir, it is a major, major undertaking. 17 In this country, over 50 years or 18 19 so now, the industry developed a system which 20 can eradicate all diseases. If we leave the outside to be mixed with the wild 21 birds 22 reservoir under any reasons, we are going to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

impact the organic movement and, also, the non-organic.

1

2

3 The other issue, Greg Herbruck, when he talked here, talked about ILT. 4 I have a farm currently, and today, because I leave 5 the birds out, and geese and ducks from the 6 7 wild birds are there, and I couldn't keep the birds in because the temperature was over 60 8 9 degrees, we lost several thousand birds. Ιt is a big loss. 10

11 The other issue is the pullets and There are two things happening 12 vaccination. in those baby chicks when we receive them, and 13 we take care of them just like our children 14 15 and grandchildren. You vaccinate them. They are not ready to go outside and fight all 16 these field viruses and bacteria until we get 17 them vaccinated. 18

The other issue I want to speak about is the issue is food safety. The food safety is a major issue. We operate under a different climate in the United States. This

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	142
1	is a continent. You know, if you have feed
2	and water and you put it outside the birds,
3	like what Mr. Joseph asked the question, what
4	you do, you are going to invite rats; every
5	other animal or bird can come down to eat with
6	those. Then, you are contaminating the feed
7	and contaminating the birds and, also,
8	contaminating the eggs.
9	Dr. Peter Holt from USDA in
10	Watkinsville, Georgia, I have got it in here
11	in my handout. You are going to have, if the
12	soil is contaminated for any reason, you are
13	going to have PCPs, DDT, whatever was in the
14	soil. Dioxin, north Germany was shut
15	completely in the last few months because of
16	only dioxin.
17	Thank you for listening to me.
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
19	much.
20	Any questions? Tina?
21	MS. ELLOR: So, what you are
22	saying is that you don't think birds should be
	I

(202) 234-4433

143 allowed, you don't think chickens should be 1 allowed to go outside? 2 3 MR. MOUSA: No, I said early I your program. The birds can go 4 approve outside and poultry can go outside in a 5 controlled environment that you know they are 6 7 safe and the soil is not contaminated. I have birds outside, and I apply these rules to 8 9 them. Wendy? 10 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 11 MS. ELLOR: If you are letting organic birds outside on organic soil, I am 12 not sure what the contamination problem would 13 14 be. 15 MR. MOUSA: This is very There is in Brazil a test that 16 interesting. the soil was not even used for nine years. 17 You have it in your packet over here. 18 It is 19 in the presentation from Victor Hall. They 20 had 1,000 DDT level toxins in the eggs after nine years from stopping using it. 21 It is in 22 the soil because the bird is not a grazing

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	144
1	animal. Birds go down in the soil and dig and
2	inhale and, also, absorb a lot of what the
3	soil contains.
4	In a town in south Egypt, a small
5	village in south Egypt, I have this report, if
6	anybody wants to see it. They had a gold mine
7	from the time of the pharaohs, 4 or 5 thousand
8	years ago. Five percent of every infant that
9	was born in that village was blind before they
10	get to 6 years old. They found out that this
11	is from the chemical that was used, and it is
12	in the soil.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Wendy?
14	MS. FULWIDER: I believe that is
15	probably the exception to the rule. But my
16	concern would be, you know, we have a lot of
17	organic poultry farms where they do have the
18	chickens having outdoor access. I don't
19	believe there has been any significant problem
20	with Salmonella or anything else.
21	MR. MOUSA: Does anybody check?
22	And is the soil checked?
	I

(202) 234-4433

		145
1	I will ask the panel over here to	
2	put in all those outside ranches like what	
3	they did over in England and, also, what Dr.	
4	Ken Anderson did over in North Carolina, put	
5	the science in there. You will get more	
6	information.	
7	I believe the science has no place	
8	with this panel at this time, and I can prove	
9	that to you from all the research I have. I	
10	have 150 researches from Europe and the United	
11	States.	
12	I am not saying the birds don't go	
13	out. I am saying the birds go out under a	
14	controlled, clean environment. That is what	
15	I am saying.	
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
17	MR. MOUSA: Thank you.	
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Next up, Seri	
19	Sedlacek. Josh Hinerfeld is standing by.	
20	MS. SEDLACEK: Hi. My name is	
21	Seri Sedlacek. I work for Badger Mountain	
22	Vineyard and Powers Winery in Kennewick,	
	I	

(202) 234-4433

11

1 Washington. We are unique in the world of wine 2 3 because we produce all three tiers, certified organic with the USDA certified seal, which we 4 put no sulfites in. We make a made-with-5 in which do add small 6 organic-grapes we 7 amounts of sulfites, and we make conventional wine under the Powers label. 8 9 I have worked for this winery for I can say from my personal 10 six years. 11 experience that, when I pour all three at a table, I have given up predicting what 12 а consumer will call quality or not. 13 It seems to me the premise of the petition before you 14 15 was based a lot on quality wine is better with sulfites added because it is a preservative, 16 and I don't find that to be true 17 in mv 18 experience, nor does my winery. 19 Ι am also an avid organic 20 consumer, and I serve on the Board of the PCC So, I am definitely an 21 Farmland Trust. 22 advocate of organics of all kind.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	For the last nine years, our
2	winery has embraced educating the public on
3	what that USDA seal means and why we are proud
4	to have that symbol on our wines. As you
5	know, educating the consumer takes time.
6	We embrace it completely, and so
7	do our consumers, as our no-sulfite-added
8	series has increased 250 percent over the last
9	nine years. We make just over 30,000 cases in
10	that category, and it outsells/outpaces the
11	growth of our other wines.
12	The current standards are easy to
13	explain. They protect the consumer. They are
14	clear and they represent pure, authentic
15	organics with no additives.
16	Consumers who are seeking organic
17	wines are very savvy and most definitely ask
18	a lot of questions, as I know Mr. LaRocca
19	would back me up as well. We get phone calls
20	every day about what that means, and we are
21	happy to take the time to explain it.
22	I have sold wines for nearly 20
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

years, and we are storytellers. We talk about 1 vineyards and trellising and pH and acid, and 2 3 we also tell the story of why the USDA symbol is very important to us. 4 5 qetting it. Т Consumers are encourage you to believe that the consumers 6 7 are smart and savvy, and that they can make decisions based on what is on the label. 8 When 9 you start adding products life sulfites to wine, it gets to be very murky. 10 It is not a 11 black-and-white story to tell anymore. It is very confusing. 12 I just want to conclude in saying, 13 in 1986, before it was a marketing buzz, our 14 15 owner, Bill Powers, decided to transition our 16 vineyard from conventional farming to organic And he did it because he believed in 17 farming. 18 the process, and now we make the three levels 19 of wine. 20 It takes courage to be the first. We were the first certified organic wine grape 21 22 vineyard Washington, behind in way Mr.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

149 LaRocca, but the Washington wine history is 1 2 fledgling compared to what they are in 3 California. But the reality is I believe in 4 authentic, pure organics, and that means no 5 additives of any kind. 6 7 Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Steve? 8 9 MR. DeMURI: So, are you finding 10 in your conversations with consumers that 11 there is а lot of confusion out there regarding this issue? 12 13 MS. SEDLACEK: That isn't my experience at all, and it is quite contrary to 14 15 the survey that accompanied the petition. In 16 the petition, I believe there were 166 people Sixty-three were in 17 that were contacted. twenty-three were in Colorado, and 18 Minnesota, 19 two were on the West Coast. I don't know 20 where most of the organics are sold in the nation, but I would speculate that perhaps on 21 22 the West Coast we sell a higher percentage

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	150
1	than .01 percent.
2	It is a story to be told, and I
3	know a lot of wine stores that spend a lot of
4	time explaining it, but that doesn't make it
5	any less valuable.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Go ahead, Steve.
7	MR. DeMURI: One other question I
8	was curious about, organic wines that have the
9	USDA seal on them, do some winemakers also put
10	"no sulfites" on that label as well?
11	MS. SEDLACEK: We do. We actually
12	put "No sulfites added." And ours always test
13	out below the 10-parts-per-million level. It
14	is a notification to our consumers, and it
15	also makes it a difference between our made-
16	with-organic-grapes label that we do add
17	sulfites to.
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: You mentioned that
19	your no-sulfite portfolio is performing very
20	well. Do you have no-sulfite conventional
21	wines or know how no-sulfite conventional
22	wines are performing out there?

(202) 234-4433

	151
1	MS. SEDLACEK: I do not have that
2	statistic.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: You don't have
4	conventionally-grown grapes?
5	MS. SEDLACEK: Yes, I would think
6	that if the winery is going to go to the
7	effort of making a wine with no sulfites, that
8	they would declare it as such.
9	I know that there are a lot of
10	organic wine grape growers that don't bottle
11	their wine organically, but it is seldom in
12	the reverse.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I think I was
14	asking more conventional grapes, but no
15	sulfites. Is that a category of wine that is
16	grown
17	MS. SEDLACEK: Not that I am
18	familiar with.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Okay. Yes,
20	I was just curious.
21	Thank you.
22	MS. SEDLACEK: Thanks.

	152
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jose Hinerfeld,
2	and Kathy Pryor is standing by.
3	MR. HINERFELD: Good morning.
4	My name is Josh Hinerfeld, and I
5	am the CEO of Organically Grown Company. We
6	are a certified organic distributor
7	headquartered in Eugene, Oregon.
8	So, decisionmaking in our company
9	is guided by our mission, which is promoting
10	health through organic agriculture as a
11	leading sustainable organization. And our
12	four core values; my comments to you this
13	morning are informed by one of our four core
14	values, partnerships.
15	We believe that the success of our
16	business and the organic trade in general
17	hinges on the cultivation of positive, long-
18	term relationships that are built on trust.
19	American consumers have placed trust in
20	growers, packers, distributors, and retailers
21	to provide them with safe, high-quality
22	produce as well as uphold the integrity of the
	I

(202) 234-4433

1 organic label.

Conversely, growers have placed 2 3 trust in the government and their trade provide of 4 partners to some measure predictability in the market for their crops. 5 I urge the Crop Committee to adopt 6 the time-limited extension for tetracycline 7 until January 2014 to create a predictable and 8 9 economically-viable way for the domestic

10 organic pear and apple industry to transition 11 away from antibiotics.

2010, our company purchased 12 In approximately 2.2 million pounds of organic 13 varieties 14 apple that are known to be 15 susceptible to fire blight. That was about 49 percent of our organic apple purchases from 16 17 Washington and Oregon.

OGC also purchased about 450,000
pounds of organic pear varieties that are
known to be susceptible to fire blight, about
47 percent of our organic pear purchases from
Washington and Oregon growers.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	1
1	So, collectively, these purchases
2	of susceptible varieties accounted for nearly
3	3 percent of our total fruit and vegetable
4	purchases.
5	According to our apple and pear
6	buyer, the growers of these crops uniformly
7	stated that they would transition to
8	conventional production if tetracycline were
9	disallowed under the NOP in 2012.
10	Another one of our buyers returned
11	last week from New Zealand, where he had
12	learned about a non-pathogenic bacterium
13	product labeled Blossom Bless that is used by
14	apple and pear growers as protection against
15	fire blight infection. The use of this
16	product down under suggested that development
17	of commercially-viable, natural alternatives
18	to antibiotics may be possible.
19	Our company believes that
20	disallowing use of tetracycline after October
21	21st, 2012, in the absence of a commercially-
22	viable natural alternative would be bad for
	I

154

(202) 234-4433

155 the organic trade and bad for our growers. 1 OGC supports the National Organic 2 3 Coalition's recommendation to allow tetracycline until January 2014, to provide 4 growers time to get up to the learning curve 5 on new protectant products. 6 7 We also support the NOC's proposal to convene an NOSB Fire Blight Task Force to 8 9 monitor progress in various alternatives to antibiotics. 10 11 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. MR. HINERFELD: 12 Thank you. Jay Feldman? 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 14 MR. FELDMAN: 15 That is interesting data. Let me 16 make sure I understand what you are saying. So, 49 percent of the apples you are 17 Okav. 18 growing are of resistant or non-resistant 19 varieties? Is that what you are saying? 20 MR. HINERFELD: Yes, let me 21 clarify that data point, yes. 22 MR. FELDMAN: So, that would mean

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	51 percent are from resistant varieties or no?
2	MR. HINERFELD: Yes, so what we
3	did is we looked at the total apple purchases,
4	organic apple purchases, from Oregon and
5	Washington growers, and I looked at the subset
6	of the varieties that are known to be
7	susceptible to fire blight; namely, Fuji,
8	Gala, Granny Smith, and Pink Lady. And those
9	collectively accounted for 49 percent of our
10	Oregon and Washington organic apple purchases
11	last year. And we did a similar exercise on
12	pears.
13	MR. FELDMAN: So, the other 51
14	percent, presumably, are varieties such as?
15	MR. HINERFELD: Well, the list is
16	long and I can't tell you with great assurance
17	that they are not susceptible to fire blight,
18	but some examples: Jonagold, Braeburn, Cameo,
19	Cripps Pink, Opal, Red Delicious, Empire,
20	Golden Delicious, McIntosh, Ginger Gold, Honey
21	Crisp. The list goes on de nova.
22	MR. FELDMAN: Red Delicious,
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	157
1	nobody is buying those, are they?
2	(Laughter.)
3	MR. HINERFELD: There are not
4	many. We don't sell a lot of Red Delicious.
5	MR. FELDMAN: Okay.
6	MR. HINERFELD: But we have a
7	pretty big list that were not on that short
8	list that we knew as susceptible varieties.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: John, and, then,
11	Tina.
12	MR. FOSTER: I just want to point
13	out there is a difference between resistant
14	varieties and less susceptible varieties. And
15	that is pretty critical. I have a feeling it
16	is going to be more critical than it has
17	already. So, when we are using that language,
18	it is going to be real important to be precise
19	with that.
20	MR. HINERFELD: And I am not a
21	scientist. So, I appreciate that, John.
22	Thank you.
I	I

(202) 234-4433

	158
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tina?
2	MS. ELLOR: Were those apples for
3	the fresh market or for processing?
4	MR. HINERFELD: These were for
5	fresh. So, we primarily are a distributor to
6	resell grocers in Oregon and Washington.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
8	MR. HINERFELD: Thank you.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Kathy Pryor
10	is up next. Roxanne Green is standing by.
11	MS. PRYOR: Hi. Thank you for the
12	opportunity to speak with you today.
13	I represent the Washington State
14	Chapter of Physicians for Social
15	Responsibility. However, the information I
16	will be presenting today was actually compiled
17	and published by one of our partner
18	organizations, Healthcare Without Harm.
19	I am here today to speak with you
20	because we oppose the inclusion of any
21	genetically-engineered foods in any phase of
22	the production of certified organic foods.
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

Genetically-engineered foods have not been 1 adequately accessed for their credible adverse 2 3 effects on human or animal health or on the environment in which they are a part. 4 Also of concern is the threat 5 posed by genetic engineering to 6 environmentally-sustainable food production 7 and the threat to the economic livelihood of 8 9 farmers pursuing sustainable production methods. 10 11 The health community is 12 particularly concerned about three primary human health impacts in the consumption of 13 genetically-engineered foods, and those are: 14 15 allergies, antibiotic resistance, and 16 toxicity. first, allergies. Genetic 17 The engineering moves proteins novel to the human 18 19 diet into the food supply. For the majority 20 of genetically-engineered crops, these novel fully assessed for 21 qenes been have not 22 allergenicity.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

And editorial in The New England 1 of Medicine stated that this 2 Journal is 3 because FDA requirements to not apply to foods that are rarely allergenic or to donor 4 organisms of unknown allergenicity. 5 Secondly, antibiotic resistance, 6 7 which you can imagine is of particular concern to the health community. Most genetically-8 9 engineered foods in production today carry fully-functioning genes that confer resistance 10 11 to one or more antibiotics. This raises concerns that genes or other determinants of 12 antibiotic resistance could occur from GE 13 plants to bacteria living in the animal or 14 15 human gut. third, toxicity. Genetic 16 And engineering can unpredictably increase levels 17 of a naturally-occurring toxin in foods. 18 19 Unexpected changes in food are common with 20 engineering, and the existing genetic safety evaluations 21 inadequate could miss 22 potentially-toxic changes.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

the use of

Finally, we oppose genetically-engineered foods due to the following threats to public health and the increased herbicide use, threats environment: to non-target species and soil ecology, and threats to organic farming.

7 Although industry groups claim GE crops reduce pesticide use, closer examination 8 9 reveals a dramatic increase in the amount of pesticides used since the adoption of GE 10 11 crops, often used to combat herbicideresistant weeds. This increase in herbicide 12 13 use adds toxic chemicals to our air, water, and soil and is known to be harmful to the 14 health of farm workers and farm communities. 15

We are concerned about threats to 16 17 non-target species because lab studies and field trials have shown that GE crops could 18 19 harm butterflies and other insects as well as 20 wildlife and soil ecology.

21 And finally, concerned we are about threats to the future of organic farming 22

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

	162
1	due to increased weed resistance, increased
2	insect resistance, and we feel it is unfair to
3	burden farmers using sustainable practices
4	with these unpredictable factors.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
6	MS. PRYOR: If I can just wrap up,
7	I will say that, because GE foods are not
8	labeled in the United States, USDA
9	certification, organic certification has been
10	the only standard by which American consumers
11	are able to avoid GE foods.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
13	MS. PRYOR: Please do not weaken
14	the organic standards resistance to these
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions?
16	Jay?
17	MS. PRYOR: Thank you.
18	MR. FELDMAN: I have a question
19	for you. Would it be appropriate to put
20	resistance in a more general context or?
21	MS. PRYOR: What was that?
22	MR. FELDMAN: In a more general

(202) 234-4433

163 context about antibiotic resistance? 1 2 MS. PRYOR: Absolutely. 3 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. I wanted to know, from a public health perspective, if you 4 could just talk briefly about the issues 5 around antibiotic resistance and the various 6 7 contributing factors. I mean we heard, we 8 have gotten some data here that we are 9 deliberating on that speaks to the issue of lateral transfer of resistant genes and the 10 11 contribution that we might be making to the of resistance to antibiotics 12 problem by utilizing antibiotics in crop reduction, 13 in organic crop reduction. 14 15 Can you enlighten us any further on that? 16 I would say, let's 17 MS. PRYOR: 18 see, I am just going to pull from the report 19 that was done here. They are saying that 20 concerns have been raised. It is actually about people who would be consuming the GE 21 22 foods while taking prescribed antibiotics.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	164
1	They are saying that it would weaken the
2	therapeutic effect of the prescribed
3	antibiotics.
4	Does that answer your question?
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
6	MS. PRYOR: Thank you.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Next up is Roxanne
8	Green. Leslie Zuck is standing by.
9	MS. GREEN: Hello. I am Roxanne
10	Green. I am a long-time employee at PCC
11	Natural Markets. Those of you that know
12	Goldie, I have been there as long as she has,
13	a long time.
14	But I am talking today as an
15	organics consumer because I am one of those
16	allergy people, and we were talking about
17	allergies a minute ago, and organics really
18	makes a difference in my quality of life. So,
19	I am here today just talking about organics
20	consumers and about animal welfare.
21	So, organic food is now a
22	mainstream item that can be found in most any
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

It is time for us 1 supermarket in the country. to ask ourselves what we want this word to 2 3 mean. Without a solid base to stand on, the word is virtually meaningless. 4 consumers who want to make 5 As ethical choices in our purchases, we are 6 7 willing to pay premium prices. However, we have expectation that our dollars will be 8 9 supporting farmers who are adhering to a higher standard than the industry average. 10 11 Along with the regular requirements of organic certification, organic 12 feeds, prohibition 13 of usinq hormones, antibiotics, or genetically-modified 14 15 organisms, we expect that organic livestock be raised in a healthy, humane environment. 16 To produce animal products with 17 integrity, the animals should be raised in an 18 19 environment that allows them to engage in 20 their natural behaviors, move about freely, and be subjected to stressful situations as 21 22 rarely as possible.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 The current NOSB Livestock 2 Committee proposal for organic pork or chicken 3 does not even come close to the standards of industrial-scale producers and falls far below 4 the European Union organic standards. 5 informed 6 As consumers, we are 7 already growing increasingly suspicious of label claims that do 8 not meet our 9 expectations. Consider the recent rash of labeling claims 10 Heart Healthy that were 11 required to be removed from product packaging. Humanely-raised, local, natural, free range, 12 and cage-free don't mean what we have been led 13 to believe they do. 14 15 What we want is transparency, 16 integrity, ethics, and honesty. Please give us some standards that we can believe in. 17 18 lip service to organic Just don't pay 19 standards. Make them mean something. 20 We deserve to have access to at least the same quality organics that are 21 22 available in other countries, if not better.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

167 If we hope to ever have a clean, healthy food 1 supply produced in a respectful way, it needs 2 3 to start here, and it needs to start now. Thank you. 4 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for 5 Ms. Green? 6 7 (No response.) Thank you. 8 9 MS. GREEN: Thank you for your time. 10 11 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Leslie Zuck is up Dave Carter is standing by. 12 next. Hi. I am Leslie Zuck. 13 MS. ZUCK: It looks like I am here to make general 14 I will see if I can do that. 15 comments. am the Executive Director of 16 Ι Pennsylvania Certified Organic. 17 I am also a certified organic farmer, which I might not be 18 19 much longer if Ι don't get my renewal 20 application in by the end of tomorrow. (Laughter.) 21 22 It may surprise you to know that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Pennsylvania ranks third in total organic production at the farmgate, after California and Washington State.

1

2

3

As I listened to your discussion 4 5 yesterday, I was again reminded of how hard You all do your homework. 6 your job is. You 7 work really hard. You want to do the right thing, and you try to make the right decision. 8 9 Then, someone stands up here and says you've 10 qot it all wrong.

11 I am not going to do that because I really do appreciate all of your well-12 13 presented and differing opinions and The problem is that you have to 14 perspectives. 15 all come together and make that decision, and 16 that is really the hard part.

And I don't know if this will help in your deliberations, but I don't think it is completely necessary to re-review every material during the Sunset process with the same scrutiny that you do if the material were being petitioned for the first time. That has

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

already been done, as you know, five or ten 1 years ago, and even longer in some cases. 2 3 Organic producers have developed organic system plans that rely on certain 4 management techniques and materials which are 5 allowed under the current organic rules, which 6 7 may not be perfect, but they are the here and And when those farmers learn that 8 now. 9 certain materials are subject to being rereviewed and might disappear on a five-year 10 11 interval, it kind of makes them want to run for the hills. 12 Obviously, if is 13 there а compelling reason, based on new evidence not 14 known at the time that the material was 15 16 originally petitioned, producers will understand that, and they will probably agree 17 18 with you. 19 An organic livestock producer who 20 in a very rare situation might resort to using a prohibited antibiotic to save the life of an 21 22 animal can sell that animal on the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

conventional market and buy a new organic animal the very next day. And this is a rare situation that that happens anyway. The farmer would not have to take their entire herd out of organic production.

An apple producer who, again, in a 6 7 rare situation resorts to antibiotics to save the life of a block of trees doesn't have the 8 9 option to replace those trees with new organic trees the very next day. If the material used 10 11 turns out to be prohibited, the producer would very likely have to take the entire acreage 12 out of organic production, not just the trees 13 actually treated. 14

15 We have been able to see an increase in the production of organic tree 16 fruit in Pennsylvania and New York with the 17 help of Penn State and Cornell. It has become 18 19 a viable means for commercial-scale, family-20 owned orchards to remain in agriculture at a time when conventional tree fruit production 21 22 in our area has taken a big hit due to mainly

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

www.nealrgross.com

171 to competition from the Pacific Northwest 1 2 apples. 3 (Laughter.) apples are grown for 4 Most processing, and the organic applesauce and 5 apple juice processor plants in Pennsylvania 6 7 have had to source organic apples from Washington State. We would like to see the 8 9 trend of organic apples in our area be able to continue, and I personally have an interest in 10 11 eating them. 12 Thanks for that, and those were my comments as a farmer and a consumer. 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Leslie. 14 15 Jay? Why did I think you 16 MS. ZUCK: were going to ask me a question? 17 (Laughter.) 18 19 MR. FELDMAN: So, you are telling 20 me I don't really need to think that much about sodium nitrate. 21 That is really good 22 news.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	172
1	(Laughter.)
2	Do you use sodium nitrate?
3	MS. ZUCK: As a farmer, no, I do
4	not.
5	MR. FELDMAN: Do you find that
6	many in Pennsylvania are using it?
7	MS. ZUCK: Yes, we usually find it
8	in blended fertilizers that come in a bag,
9	small farmers, vegetable producers. It is an
10	ingredient in that product.
11	So, it is a little complicated
12	because we have to figure out not only what
13	percentage it is in the product, but also what
14	other nitrogen sources are in there. And we
15	get a lot of help from the manufacturers to
16	figure all that out, and we do verify that.
17	So, there are a lot of those out there.
18	MR. FELDMAN: So, what impact
19	would it have on Pennsylvania organic
20	agriculture?
21	MS. ZUCK: The loss of sodium
22	nitrate?

	173
1	MR. FELDMAN: The loss of it.
2	MS. ZUCK: I don't know enough
3	about it to know what they would replace it
4	with, but I do know that it would mean that
5	there are a lot of products on our list right
6	now that farmers just automatically know are
7	allowed, and they could end up making
8	mistakes. We would have to go through and
9	review hundreds of products and making sure
10	that they don't have that in them at all,
11	because a lot of times it is just a really
12	small amount. It is not 20 percent of the
13	nitrogen source in that bag. It is just a
14	little boost, because I think it is expensive.
15	So, that's why.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?
17	MR. MARAVELL: Leslie, what would
18	be the impact of not having tetracycline and
19	steptomycin for the Pennsylvania fruit
20	growers?
21	MS. ZUCK: Well, Kyla made some
22	comments about that yesterday. We did survey

(202) 234-4433

our producers to see who was using it, what they thought about it.

1

2

And most of them said, well, they 3 hardly ever use it, but if they didn't know 4 that they could when they needed to, they 5 wouldn't really be wanting to stay in organic 6 7 production because that is really scary. They have contracts they have to meet. 8 You know, 9 they contract with someone that they are going to sell their organic apples to. You know, it 10 11 kind of has them shaking in their boots a 12 little bit, I would think. small producers. 13 And they are They are not the pictures you saw the other 14 15 day with these really giant, large farms. We wouldn't see that in Pennsylvania because it 16 would be a big hill, for one thing. 17 (Laughter.) 18 19 Thank you for those questions. 20 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thanks, Leslie. Ann Schwartz -- oh, yes, here he 21 22 is. Thanks, Dave. Ann Schwartz is standing

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 by. MR. CARTER: Good morning. 2 3 Dave Carter, National Bison Association, NOSB refugee, itinerant 4 an 5 consultant, here today representing the Organic Pet Food Manufacturers, working 6 7 through the Pet Food Institute. We came and testified a year ago 8 9 to complain that nothing was being done to develop the regulations for pet food pursuant 10 11 to the action that was taken by the NOSB in 12 2008. So, having done that, I now am compelled to come here and thank the NOP for 13 starting developing 14 to work on the 15 regulations. 16 The process has begun. Miles and his crew are doing work, and we are very 17 pleased and looking forward to seeing some 18 19 work, hopefully, later this year. 20 Having said that, I do want to

in the fight in the issue of the nutrients.

We do have, excuse the pun, a dog

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

weigh in.

21

22

www.nealrgross.com

1	What I would do, first of all, is
2	admonish you or ask you that in this
3	discussion that we eliminate the term
4	"accessory" when talking about that. There
5	are some nutrients that are accessory; there
6	are many that are necessary, and we need to
7	distinguish that.
8	This is particularly true in the
9	field of pet food. The recommendation that
10	you developed in 2008 had an appendix with 12
11	different nutrients that would have to be
12	petitioned for organic pet food.
13	And for us, this isn't a game of
14	horseshoes. We don't get points for getting
15	close. If any one of those nutrients is
16	missing from a product, we lose the ability to
17	label a product as a complete and balanced
18	diet.
19	And so, you have, then, the
20	customer that will be going in and picking up
21	rendered stuff that is labeled as complete and
22	balanced nutrition or an organic product that

(202) 234-4433

		177
1	has to be labeled for intermittent and	
2	supplemental feeding only.	
3	So, that is why we support the	
4	idea of, to the extent that a category of	
5	nutrients can be backed by regulatory or	
6	statutory reference, in our case, the NRC and	
7	AFCO would both suffice, that we feel that	
8	there is justification, then, to address those	
9	as a category.	
10	That being said, I want to welcome	
11	the new NOSB members. This is the most	
12	enjoyable experience that you will ever endure	
13	painfully for the next five years.	
14	And I know my time is running out,	
15	but I would have some advice for you, if you	
16	would care to ask a question.	
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?	
18	MR. FELDMAN: I don't want any	
19	advice, but	
20	(Laughter.)	
21	I do want some advice on this	
22	issue of having been, sitting around this	

(202) 234-4433

	178
1	table, a former Board member especially. We
2	are being asked to approve a category
3	MR. CARTER: Right.
4	MR. FELDMAN: say, nutrients.
5	You are calling it nutrients. And I
6	appreciate that. I understand that.
7	But getting down to a more
8	specific level, how do we differentiate, what
9	is the best way for us to effectively
10	differentiate between a synthetic form of an
11	individual compound or substance in that
12	category and the synthetic form?
13	I mean, do we just assume that if
14	a commercially-available form of that
15	particular nutrient becomes available, then we
16	review a petition? Or can we somehow do that
17	at the front end in a more effective way?
18	MR. CARTER: Well, I think in the
19	front end, you know, you will be looking at
20	all of the nutrients that are part of that
21	required category. In our case, it is things
22	like lycine, taurine, you know, the like.
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	As some things come available,
2	yes, they could be petitioned individually.
3	But, you know, essentially, you have got this
4	in place right now in terms of vitamins and
5	minerals. And particularly in livestock feed,
6	you have the categories of vitamins and
7	minerals that were reviewed back in 1995 and
8	brought in as a category. This is the same
9	approach that we would ask to be taken for the
10	other nutrients.
11	MR. FELDMAN: And a followup. I
12	am asking, though, was that adequate? Was
13	bringing that category in sufficiently
14	reviewed? Was that category sufficiently
15	reviewed to determine whether there was a non-
16	synthetic form of any individual nutrient or
17	vitamin in that category? I am just not
18	familiar with the history on that.
19	MR. CARTER: Yes, and I wasn't
20	there in 1995, either. So, we would have to
21	defer. But, you know, my standpoint is at
22	least from the terms from the livestock world,
l	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

and dealing with the feed that is there, I 1 mean I think there is satisfaction that the 2 3 vitamins, trace vitamins, trace minerals, as allowed by FDA, is sufficient. 4 T have a 5 CHATR MTEDEMA: clarification for my colleague Jay and, then, 6 7 a question for the program. Handling Committee brought 8 Our 9 forward the work of the Pet Food Task Force a couple of years ago. And we learned that 10 11 taurine is only available in nature in raw heart muscle. So, it is also required in all 12 dog and cat food to be called a complete and 13 balanced diet. So, in other words, a 14 synthetic is really the only way for that 15 16 organic category to exist. So, my question is now for the 17 program, now that you are developing pet food 18 19 regulations, it sounds like, organic pet food 20 regulations, would essential vitamins and minerals or essential nutrients, I should say, 21 22 required for pets need to be petitioned

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	181
1	individually?
2	We have in our Handling Committee
3	a petition for taurine right now. Will that
4	become a moot point, based on what the NOP is
5	working on?
6	MS. BAILEY: Sorry, Tracy, can you
7	just repeat the question? We were conferring.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sure. Sure. No
9	problem.
10	When the NOP develops organic pet
11	food regulations, will you have essential
12	nutrients for dogs and cats included? Or will
13	they still need to be petitioned to become
14	part of that reg?
15	MS. BAILEY: Melissa Bailey for
16	NOP.
17	Yes, as Dave mentioned, we are
18	currently working on the draft proposed rule
19	for pet food standards. One of the things
20	under consideration is a regulatory reference
21	to include those essential nutrients and
22	vitamins as required for pet food.
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	182
1	So, if there's more clarification,
2	I guess, needed on that, we can provide that,
3	but that is what we are looking at right now.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: The reason I am
5	asking it at this meeting is our Handling
6	Committee is about to embark on the work of
7	reviewing the taurine petition. I would just
8	urge that work not to be pointless. If you
9	are going to have that already being listed,
10	let's not spin our wheels on that petition for
11	the next six months.
12	MR. CARTER: And as the writer of
13	that petition, I would concur. I mean, if
14	there is an approach that will allow for a
15	review of these as a category, I would support
16	that.
17	MS. BAILEY: Yes, the regulatory
18	reference, my understanding is that it only
19	covers vitamins and minerals, and that taurine
20	would be an amino acid that would not
21	necessarily be covered by that regulatory
22	reference.

(202) 234-4433

with 1 But Ι aqree you that process 2 certainly makes sense from а 3 perspective, and we can work with the Board to provide that. So, you're not doing work that 4 5 is not really necessary. Thank you. 6 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 7 MR. CARTER: Yes, if we can't look at them as a category, I mean we are looking 8 9 at a minimum of 12 separate petitions to come before this Board. And all of them have to be 10 11 approved. Like I say, it is not horseshoes. CHAIR MIEDEMA: 12 Thank you. 13 Anne Schwartz is up. Sam Carruth is standing by. 14 15 MS. SCHWARTZ: Good morning. You didn't quite get my subject 16 matter on, but I am providing two pieces of 17 testimony, one for me and from another farmer 18 19 in eastern Washington. That other farmer does 20 refer to that. 21 Thank you for your service. Ι 22 have been involved in the world of organic

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

agriculture for over 30 years. I farm about 1 100 miles from here in eastern Skagit County. 2 3 I have been a certified organic farmer since 1980, originally certified by Tilth Producers, 4 and, then, the Washington State Department of 5 Aq, starting in 1989. 6 7 I served on the Board of Directors for Tilth Producers for over 30 years. 8 We 9 nearly 600 organic represent farmers, businesses, and research faculty working to 10 11 increase organic agriculture in Washington. Tilth Producers strongly supports 12 outdoor requirements 13 increased space for poultry, hogs, and all ruminant classes of 14 15 livestock. Sheep and goats should have 16 similar scale-appropriate requirements as defined for cattle. 17 Poultry and hogs should be turned 18 19 out to living, growing pasture during the 20 growing season that provides some significant nutritional fulfillment of the animals' daily 21 22 rations.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	185
1	The European standard provides the
2	model and creates the opportunity for the
3	benefits of such exposure to accrue, including
4	self-selection of insects and plants to eat,
5	exertion and exercise that will reduce
6	metabolic, reproductive, and musculoskeletal
7	diseases, and the opportunities for animals to
8	seek space from or companionship of other
9	animals.
10	Organic livestock systems must be
11	based one minute left, really?
12	Anyway, I really agree with
13	looking at Canadian and European standards for
14	livestock. If growers that really disagree
15	with the standard have a problem, then, they
16	can label their products as fed with
17	organically-raised feed, but raised under
18	confinement operations.
19	Tilth Producers members are also
20	strongly in support of continued availability
21	to use antibiotics, namely, streptomycin and
22	oxytetracycline, as recommended by various

(202) 234-4433

	186
1	regional management strategies to control fire
2	blight in apples and pears.
3	I have submitted my comments, and
4	I will just say that, as a 30-year veteran of
5	organic farming, the margins for farmers to
6	stay in business are extremely tight. Many of
7	the farmers that we are hearing from, the
8	threat of loss of their tree fruit in an
9	incredibly competitive arena the
10	Pennsylvania growers recognize that it is hard
11	to compete with Washington tree fruit
12	producers. Washington tree fruit producers
13	have a hard time competing with the scale of
14	tree fruit production here in Washington
15	State.
16	And I guess I am just going to
17	urge that you all recognize that the dedicated
18	farmers that are out there are not getting
19	rich doing this. The costs of production make
20	this very difficult.
21	I will take any questions.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Go ahead, Katrina.
	I

(202) 234-4433

187 MS. HEINZE: We ran out of copies 1 of Mr. McMillan's written for these two folks. 2 3 MS. SCHWARTZ: Okay. HEINZE: If you could get 4 MS. them? 5 MS. SCHWARTZ: I can do that. 6 You 7 bet. Thank you. 8 MS. HEINZE: 9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Will you please 10 state your position, then, on streptomycin and 11 tetracycline? MS. SCHWARTZ: We are in favor of 12 a continuation of the Sunset clause while 13 biological alternatives 14 are explored and 15 researched. And another point I really wanted 16 to make was that we believe that the NOP and 17 NOSB should have some agreement with USDA that 18 19 links the identified research priorities to 20 the research community, so there is a flow of priorities the 21 identified that qoes to research community that really guides their 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

188 selection process of research. 1 2 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay Feldman? 3 MR. FELDMAN: Anne, I don't think vou mentioned your written 4 in statement 5 anything about sodium nitrate. Do you use sodium nitrate? 6 7 MS. SCHWARTZ: I have never used sodium nitrate. I think for most producers in 8 9 Washington alternative protein nitrogen sources are generally available. And that is 10 11 even dealing with very cold soils and lots of rain. 12 13 MR. FELDMAN: Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina? 14 15 MS. HEINZE: We found the two 16 copies. 17 MS. SCHWARTZ: Oh, great. Thank you for your service. 18 We 19 really appreciate you being here. 20 CHAIR MIEDEMA: You're welcome. 21 Carruth, the Sam you at are 22 podium. David Lively, you are standing by.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

		100
		189
1	MR. CARRUTH: Good morning.	
2	My name is Sam Carruth,	
3	representing SQM, the producer of natural	
4	Chilean nitrate.	
5	It was mentioned yesterday that a	
6	reason to consider removing the annotation was	
7	because natural Chilean nitrate was originally	
8	put on the prohibited list 15 years ago. It	
9	is our understanding that this grew out of a	
10	concern from the certifiers over solubility of	
11	nitrates.	
12	To address the concern, initially,	
13	the use was limited to 20 percent. The only	
14	way to limit the use of a natural product in	
15	the system of rules is to put it on the	
16	prohibited list and add an annotation.	
17	We would like to point out that	
18	the solubility and leaching of nitrates is a	
19	concern, no matter what the source of	
20	nitrogen. All forms of nitrogen are	
21	eventually converted into nitrate.	
22	The key to address this is to	
	I	

manage nitrogen availability carefully and 1 synchronize it as best as possible with crop 2 3 needs. When it comes to impact on soil life, ample research has shown earthworm populations 4 between 5 depend interactions several on Negative influence on earthworm 6 factors. 7 populations is largely the effect of tractor traffic, soil acidity, and low soil organic 8 9 matter content. Natural Chilean nitrate is not 10 11 acidifying and, on the contrary, transformation of organic, ureic, and ammoniac 12 sources of nitrogen into nitrate, whether 13 synthetic or natural, does have an acidifying 14 15 effect. Natural Chilean nitrate also 16 increases yield that, in turn, leaves more 17 crop residues on the field, increasing soil 18 19 organic material, which encourages earthworms. 20 Regarding new information since the last Sunset review, the Crops Committee 21 22 speaks of availability of new, alternative,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	rapid shots of nitrogen in the form of liquid
2	fish or soy products. These are not
3	equivalent alternatives as they contain little
4	or no nitrate, consisting mostly of nitrogen
5	as a component of proteins and amino acids.
6	As such, they still need conversion into
7	nitrate mineralization and are not as quick-
8	acting.
9	In a soil that is below 60 degrees
10	Fahrenheit, the mineralization is extremely
11	slow and basically stops below 50 degrees
12	Fahrenheit. Nitrogen in its organic carbon
13	form is not available for plant uptake. This
14	is when farmers use natural nitrate for
15	available nitrogen in cold soils.
16	Specific to liquid hydrolized fish
17	fertilizers, please note that they also often
18	contain natural Chilean nitrate as an added
19	ingredient in order to give a rapid shot of
20	nitrogen and to overcome the lack of available
21	nitrogen during cold temperatures. This is
22	the only way that an alternative liquid fish

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

product could be an equivalent to natural 1 2 nitrate. And I hope that is clear. soy-based 3 So, liquid fish or protein nitrogen products are not affected 4 during cool soil temperatures. 5 If growers cannot use natural Chilean nitrate, 6 U.S. 7 production of organic cool season vegetables will decrease dramatically in both quantity 8 9 and quality, and foreign imports from more favorable climates will inevitably take over 10 11 to fill the void. aqain, natural Chilean 12 Once nitrate provides the farmer more control of 13 what he is applying to the field, preventing 14 15 excess application of other nitrogen sources 16 that may have nutrient imbalances. 17 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 18 MR. CARRUTH: Thank you. 19 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions? 20 Steve? 21 MR. DeMURI: Are you the only 22 producer of Chilean nitrate?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

	193
1	MR. CARRUTH: No. There is
2	another producer in Chile that produces it.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay, and, then,
4	Nick.
5	MR. FELDMAN: Do you have any
6	studies that look at the need for this boost
7	of nitrogen relative to the percentage of
8	organic matter in the soil?
9	MR. CARRUTH: The boost of nitrate
10	nitrogen? I am sure there are several studies
11	out there regarding availability of nitrogen.
12	I can certainly dig some up and have them
13	sent.
14	MR. FELDMAN: I am wondering if
15	there is a relationship there with higher
16	organic matter. Would you see less, you know,
17	the induction of the Chilean nitrate? Or are
18	you seeing no variability there?
19	MR. CARRUTH: Not off the top of
20	my head, I don't have a study that relates
21	organic matter to nitrate.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?
ļ	I

	194
1	MR. CARRUTH: But, again, all
2	nitrogen is eventually converted into nitrate
3	in time.
4	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, I was just
5	wondering if you have any information what
6	would be the impact of lowering the 20 percent
7	to, let's say, 10 percent or raising it to 30
8	percent? I am just trying to get an idea. I
9	don't know how the 20 percent came around.
10	This is a product you deal with all the time.
11	Let me know.
12	MR. CARRUTH: The 20 percent, from
13	our understanding, was when it was initially
14	listed, and the concern was over the
15	solubility of nitrates leaching. From my
16	understanding talking to farmers, they
17	seldomly ever get up to the 20 percent. So,
18	decreasing down to 10 percent I still think
19	would be a very valuable method, and in terms
20	of getting that quick nitrate, because the
21	majority of the product is, as mentioned
22	earlier, blended with other sources of

(202) 234-4433

nitrogen, whether it be liquid or dry. 1 And going to 30 percent, it is 2 3 hard to quantify the difference of 10 to 20 to 30 percent, but we do feel that is a good 4 restriction in there, allowing it just to be 5 supplemental tool to complement standard 6 а 7 organic breakdown, nitrogen mineralization 8 processes.

9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: I have a question for the National Organic Program. 10 This 10 11 percent allowance that the gentleman is proposing, does that help with harmonization 12 issues or international trade and organic 13 issues? Or is it a zero tolerance that that 14 15 program was looking for? MR. McEVOY: What we identified is

MR. McEVOY: What we identified is that it is a clear difference between the U.S. organic standards and other organic standards around the world. The U.S. is the only country that allows sodium nitrate under their organic standards.

So, it doesn't really matter what

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

level of allowance in terms of these trade 1 2 agreements or getting your products into 3 foreign countries. Any grower that is producing crops for export to a foreign 4 5 organic standard cannot be utilizing sodium nitrate in their production. So, 10 percent 6 7 wouldn't make any difference. MR. CARRUTH: And again, that is a 8 9 decision that should be left to the farmer. If he wants to export, then he wouldn't use 10 11 it. If he wants to serve his local community, 12 he shouldn't have that tool taken away from his arsenal. 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 14 Any more questions? 15 (No response.) 16 17 Thank you. 18 MR. CARRUTH: Thank you. 19 CHAIR MIEDEMA: David Lively is 20 Jake Lewin is standing by. up. 21 MR. LIVELY: Good morning. 22 My name is David Lively, and I am

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

www.nealrgross.com

	19
1	the Vice President of Sales and Marketing of
2	Organically Grown Company, a certified organic
3	produce distribution company headquartered in
4	Eugene, Oregon.
+ 5	
	In my comments today, I would like
6	to respond to the Crop Committee's proposals
7	on two topics, ethylene and pheromones.
8	Ethylene. OGC sources organic
9	pineapples from Costa Rica, the United States,
10	and Mexico. Last year we handled 15,433 cases
11	of the fruit.
12	OGC respectfully disagrees with
13	the Crops Committee's recommendation to
14	prohibit ethylene gas for regulation of
15	pineapple flowering. We contacted the
16	producers of the pineapples that we buy and
17	found that every one of them not only uses
18	ethylene, they consider the material essential
19	to their operation.
20	Because pineapple flowering is
21	commonly delayed or occurs unevenly throughout
22	a field, growers rely on ethylene to manage
	I

197

the timing of the plant's flowering and, 1 therefore, the timing of harvest. 2 Growers 3 report to OGC that the impact of ethylene is only beneficial at harvest, it 4 not also facilitates efficient cultivation, management 5 growing plants, and helps avoid 6 of the 7 overproduction in the peak periods. OGC concurs with the information 8 9 presented the Supplementary Technical in commissioned for 10 Report this meeting. 11 Specifically, we urge the Board to consider two points from this report. 12 One, that there has been no new 13 alternatives to the use of 14 ethylene qas identified since the 15 material was first reviewed, and, two, that there are methods of 16 applying ethylene that are both affordable and 17 practical for use by both large and small 18 19 growers. 20 OGC urges the NOSB to continue 21 listing ethylene qas for regulation of 22 pineapple flowering.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Pheromones. The Crops Committee 1 2 recommends that the NOSB continue listing of pheromones, but with an annotation that would restrict their "passive use to, quote, dispensers without added toxicants and with only approved inert ingredients". 6 7 agrees with the Crops OGC

Committee's statement that pheromones, guote, 8 9 "have become essential to organic fruit We consider pheromones to be a good 10 growing". 11 fit for organic fruit production because, in in toxicity and 12 qeneral, they are low eliminate the use of much more toxic products. 13

OGC moves many tons of tree fruit 14 15 throughout the marketplace each year. When we contacted the growers of this fruit about the 16 Committee's recommendation, they told us that 17 the impact of prohibition of pheromones as 18 19 mating disrupters would have grave impacts on 20 operations. One grower noted, their "Pheromones are our first defense for worms. 21 22 Without this tool, organic fruit growing would

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

3

4

5

www.nealrgross.com

	200
1	most likely be impossible. One fertilized
2	female can lay down 100 or more eggs. At this
3	time, there is no viable alternative to
4	pheromones to organic."
5	Another grower stated, "There is
6	no way to continue organic tree farming
7	without pheromones. The only alternative
8	would be to spray Spinosad two to three times
9	and alternate with horticultural oils.
10	Burning copious amounts of fossil fuel and
11	alternately applying three expensive spray
12	items eight times is worse than dumb.
13	Pheromone ties allow growers time out from
14	using their sprayers."
15	We agree with the Crops Committee
16	that the issue of inert ingredients in
17	pesticides is very important to address.
18	However, we urge the Board to address this
19	topic in a broader way before changing the
20	current regulations related to the types of
21	inert materials in pheromone products.
22	We ask the Board to relist
	I

(202) 234-4433

pheromones without the portion of the proposed 1 2 annotation that refers to passive dispensers. 3 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, David. MR. LIVELY: 4 Yes. 5 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac? Would you elaborate a 6 MR. STONE: 7 little more on the cost associated with not applying the ethylene, whether it is trips 8 9 through the field, application of other pest 10 controls, or aspects of it, not just 11 efficiency of harvest? MR. LIVELY: I can refer to that a 12 13 little, but I am certainly not an expert on But the materials that I have read and 14 it. 15 the growers we talked to, in both of these 16 cases, basically, you are moving from what I would call a rifle shot to a shotgun in that 17 it greatly increases the number of passes. 18 19 What I have been told in the case 20 of ethylene, cold water, ice water is the best 21 product, and it is a staggering quantity that 22 would have to be applied to the field, and the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	202
1	number of passes is tremendous.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tina?
3	MS. ELLOR: Not being very
4	familiar with pheromone use, in a practical
5	sense, what other kinds of dispensers are used
6	besides ties?
7	MR. LIVELY: Well, one I guess
8	that would be allowed and a lot of this is
9	growers' perceptions. One thing that I have
10	learned is that the growers are getting
11	information regarding this that is a little
12	different than what is actually happening.
13	But, you know, basically, there is
14	a pheromone monitoring trap which would be
15	allowed. You know, it does not dispense
16	throughout the orchard. But they regard those
17	not as a control mechanism, but simply as a
18	monitoring mechanism. And I am not sure what
19	other means they would have.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?
21	MS. ELLOR: So, what would the
22	example of a non-passive dispenser, for

(202) 234-4433

	20
1	example?
2	MR. LIVELY: To a question like
3	that, if you would forward that to Zea
4	Sonnabend, when she speaks on this topic a
5	little later, that would be great.
6	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, our intent was
7	not to I'm sorry our intent was not to
8	take away the mating disruption tool, which we
9	understood to be in the form of passive
10	dispensers. So, I am glad we will talk to
11	Zea when she comes up.
12	MR. LIVELY: Yes.
13	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: David, would you
15	mind reading the full annotation alternate
16	that you were proposing? I think you got
17	through about half of it.
18	MR. LIVELY: No, I was complete.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: That was it?
20	Okay.
21	John? John and, then, Nick.
22	MR. FOSTER: Do you have an
	I

approximation of how many acres of pineapples 1 feed your supply chain, and just a ball park? 2 3 And, then, you mentioned, you said So, I am assuming more than one. 4 growers. MR. LIVELY: 5 Yes. 6 MR. FOSTER: About how many growers 7 are you pulling from, give or take? MR. LIVELY: Well, I would have to 8 9 get you that information, which I could do easily enough. I don't know the acreage, and 10 11 I don't know that anyone has ever studied the 12 acreage. And until this, you know, there 13 are certain growers we work very directly with 14 15 and then there are others we move through 16 brokers primarily, which has been the case in a lot of what we bring in from out of the 17 country, where there are people, companies 18 19 that we work with, who are really intimately 20 involved with those growers. And usually, we 21 uptake information out of those guys. 22 know that we contacted But Ι

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1	several organizations in Costa Rica and in
2	South America. I read Dole's testimony, but
3	we also contacted some of the growers down
4	there that are fairly small-scale and are
5	doing fair trade. And they were able to just
6	quantify literally to the number of families
7	that were going to be impacted, the number of
8	people in those families, employees, and stuff
9	like that.
10	So, I can get you any of that
11	information you would like. And in fact, one
12	of the reasons we are here today, and one of
13	our goals, is to establish a long-term
14	relationship between this body, the organic
15	distributors in the United States, of which
16	there's about 10 we operate as a group
17	called the Organic Produce Wholesalers'
18	Coalition and growers, to where we can
19	become more active in things such as when we
20	talk about the antibiotics in apples, we have
21	the power as I understand one of the issues
22	on that case is that these growers have

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

actually been moving into varieties that are creating a problem, Pink Lady, for instance. You know, the ones that Josh mentioned earlier, relatively new varieties on the They have a lot of impact and volume. market. And we are in a position where we understand where you are going long-term to actually work with those growers. And you are walking into a boxed canyon, and you are not going to be able to get out of it. So, that is a lot of what we want to be able to do, is participate in that dialog with them and bring

13 the monetary aspect to the market which they 14 understand well.

15 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick? Then, we 16 will wrap it up.

MR. MARAVELL: You mentioned that there were no known alternatives to the use of ethylene gas for controlling flowering since this was put into the rule originally. I was wondering if you could elaborate just a little bit on how you determine that, particularly

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

	207
1	for the farm producers. You know, what
2	information do you have that shows that there
3	is no alternatives?
4	MR. LIVELY: In our case, we
5	relied upon the growers who we believe are on
6	the ground and trustworthy to tell us what
7	their capacities are and aren't.
8	MR. MARAVELL: And these were from
9	both U.S. and foreign sources?
10	MR. LIVELY: Yes. Costa Rican
11	primarily.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
13	MR. LIVELY: Okay.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jake Lewin is up
15	next. Joseph Ward is standing by.
16	MR. LEWIN: Hi, everyone.
17	Thank you for this opportunity to
18	address the Board and for all of your
19	dedication.
20	My name is Jake Lewin. I am the
21	Chief Certification Officer for CCOF
22	Certification Services. CCOF is one of the
	I

	208
1	oldest and largest organic certifiers in the
2	U.S. We certify about 2400 operations of all
3	types and perform about 3,000 inspections
4	annually.
5	The material reviewed, discussion
6	paper is complicated. It is important, but it
7	is a subject I can't really address here.
8	We have submitted detailed
9	comments that cover our thoughts and ideas for
10	required best practices in this area, and I am
11	now asking the Board to form an industry
12	working group to address this over time or to
13	work very closely with the commenters as you
14	deliberate.
15	Meanwhile, we do believe the NOP
16	can audit material review organizations within
17	the accreditation process of existing
18	certifiers.
19	All right, new subject,
20	unannounced inspections. I am here to ask the
21	CACC to address this item that was on their
22	work plan previously.
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

	209
1	Specifically, CCOF is asking the
2	CACC to recommend a minimum unannounced
3	inspection requirement for all certifiers.
4	NOP could, then, enforce this in the
5	accreditation process through rulemaking,
6	guidance, or some other directive mechanism to
7	certifiers.
8	CCOF's unannounced inspections are
9	particularly valuable to the certification
10	process. Further, as our community grows,
11	this underused practice is increasingly
12	important.
13	CCOF performs unannounced
14	inspections representing about 5 percent of
15	CCOF's certified operations each year. That
16	is about 100 inspections, about 115 a year.
17	Other organic standards or
18	accreditation models have enforceable minimum
19	requirements for unannounced inspections.
20	CCOF, the Accredited Certifiers' Association,
21	and other certifiers also have a wealth of
22	tools to support broad implementation by a

(202) 234-4433

1 variety of certifiers.

_	
2	Due to administration concerns,
3	cost constraints, and inertia, consistent
4	application by certifiers is unlikely with an
5	enforceable federal-level imperative.
6	Therefore, I am respectfully asking the CACC
7	to pick up this languishing item, address it,
8	and establish a required minimum number of
9	unannounced inspections annually.
10	This is really an important
11	opportunity in front of you to improve the
12	certification process for years to come. I
13	would also note that an unannounced inspection
14	requirement overlap quite well with the
15	residue-testing requirement that we have
16	learned about today. I think those two, they
17	can work together pretty well.
18	So, thank you for your
19	consideration.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
21	Steve and, then, Barry and, then,
22	John.
	I

(202) 234-4433 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	211
1	MR. DeMURI: Isn't there already a
2	requirement for unannounced inspections in the
3	regulations?
4	MR. LEWIN: There is the right to
5	perform them, but no mandate to do so.
6	MR. DeMURI: Okay.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Barry?
8	MR. FLAMM: Jake, who pays for
9	those unannounced inspections in California?
10	MR. LEWIN: Unannounced
11	inspections, by and large, are paid for by the
12	certifier, which ultimately means that they
13	are paid for by the clients. Functionally,
14	they are paid for by the clients since they
15	are ultimately going to have to be reflected
16	in certification fees. But, as a matter of
17	per-inspection, 95 percent of the time they
18	are paid for by the certifier themselves,
19	absorbed into our cost of doing business.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: John and, then,
21	Katrina.
22	MR. FOSTER: So, my question is

about kind of the infrastructure that a certifier needs to have in order to do this. How doable is it?

1

2

3

It is fully MR. LEWIN: 4 The infrastructure is the same achievable. 5 in 6 infrastructure you have existence to 7 inspections perform the you are already mandated to do. The additional expense of 8 9 performing a minimum number of unannounced all 10 inspections is really not that 11 significant. Our current expense for this is about .45 percent of our expenses to do 12 unannounced inspections at 100 a year. 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina? 14 15 MS. HEINZE: Just a followup to 16 the who pays question. MR. LEWIN: 17 Yes. 18 MS. HEINZE: I guess I have always 19 assumed, as a handler, that we would pay 20 because it would be, right, it is like our annual certification; it is just happening 21 22 I am a huge supporter of that. unannounced.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

I have said this before. It is how we do both 1 in-house and third-party food safety 2 our 3 audits. I have just assumed it would be billed like our annual certification. Is 4 there a reason it can't be? 5 MR. LEWIN: With this part of the 6 7 rule, there is no regulatory reason that I understand why it could not be paid for by the 8 9 Because we do them based on operation. 10 complaints and random, we do not want to 11 impose an additional burden on clients randomly, and so, would rather absorb the cost 12 ourselves and spread it across the entire 13 client base as a best practice. But there are 14 15 a variety of models. 16 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina, you can follow up, and, then, we have Nick, Joe, Mac. 17 Let's be brief. 18 19 MS. HEINZE: Is there a reason the 20 annual, in addition to compliance, is there a reason that the annual recertification audit 21 22 couldn't be done unannounced?

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	214
1	MR. LEWIN: There is no reason
2	that cannot happen. It is not typical since
3	it is not always fair to expect the operation
4	to be able to have every last person they need
5	ready for an unannounced annual inspection.
6	So, by and large, we use them as spot
7	inspections and not as annuals, but they do
8	have the capacity to serve that function. And
9	all models are doable.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?
11	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, I would just
12	like clarification on something you said about
13	the unannounced inspections could overlap with
14	the residue testing. Maybe I didn't hear it
15	quite right. Could you just elaborate on what
16	you were referring to there?
17	MR. LEWIN: My expectation would
18	be that some percentage of our forthcoming
19	unannounced inspections would also have a
20	residue-testing component, and we would be
21	serving both goals during the same visit.
22	MR. MARAVELL: So, you would be

(202) 234-4433

215 collecting samples on a random, unannounced 1 basis at that time? 2 3 MR. LEWIN: Yes, I would expect I don't think That would make sense. 4 so. unannounced inspection will involve 5 every residue testing. However, there will be 6 7 overlap for a number of good reasons. Mac, did you still 8 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 9 have a question? 10 MR. STONE: Yes. Jake, as 11 certifiers, and Ι agree with unannounced inspections, but if you get there and the 12 owner is at the market and the guys are out in 13 the field, how do we maintain the right 14 15 relationship with our members as a matter of order? 16 I think it is very 17 MR. LEWIN: certified operations to 18 important for 19 understand that they have granted certifiers 20 the right to perform inspections during normal business hours. And if nobody is there, you 21 22 have other legal matters. However, we have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

216 found that we can very successfully perform 1 any number of observations without trespass 2 3 and can perform unannounced inspections every single day, regardless of if people are home. 4 It is very easy to go look at a field to see 5 whether or not it appears to have herbicide 6 7 damage, and that can suffice as an unannounced inspection. 8 9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. All right. 10 MR. LEWIN: Thank you 11 very much. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Joseph Ward is up 12 Maggie Lucas is standing by. 13 next. We are not going to take a break. 14 15 I urge members, if they want to take a break, 16 to go ahead, so long as we maintain guorum up We are going to try to get caught up 17 here. before lunch. 18 19 DR. WARD: My name is Dr. Joe 20 Ward, and I have a Ph.D. in animal nutrition and currently serve as the President of the 21 22 Iowa Organic Association.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	I come before this distinguished
2	Board to voice concerns to the recent
3	recommendations that outline specific
4	parameters for animal welfare, transport, and
5	slaughter. On the surface, these
6	recommendations appear to address the animal's
7	behavioral traits as well as physical space
8	considerations. Unfortunately, the
9	recommendations do not adequately address the
10	numerous factors that are associated with
11	housing considerations, adequate floor space,
12	biosecurity, or their exposure to disease and
13	predators.
14	There are too many variables that
15	influence these decisions to set in stone
16	specific numbers as absolute requirements
17	versus recommendations. This Committee cannot
18	foresee and legislate all combinations and
19	permutations for raising livestock.
20	If the intent of this Committee
21	was to increase the awareness of the need to
22	provide outdoor access and adequate housing,
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

and to provide guidance on these issues for all species, then we commend you for your efforts.

1

2

3

Ιt would appear that 4 а more realistic expectation for providing adequate 5 floor spacing and access of the outdoors based 6 on temperature considerations should be left 7 to those that are directly, that are actively 8 9 engaged in animal food production.

The Chair of the Livestock 10 11 Committee provided testimony yesterday representing numbers for the space and housing 12 considerations for livestock from the American 13 Federation of Dairy and Animal Science that 14 15 were described as requirements from this 16 group. These space requirements are used by this group in the content as a recommendation 17 and are not viewed as absolute minimum 18 19 numbers, as described by the Chair. 20 So, these numbers when are transposed in the proposed rule by the NOSB, 21 22 they move from an industry recommendation to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	specific numerical requirements by this Board.
2	We believe that the proposed rules
3	must be tempered with realistic expectations
4	and warrant further review and further
5	discussion. We ask this Board to reconsider
6	the proposals as a must-do versus proposed in
7	the content as a guidance or a recommendation.
8	Let the scientific community composed of
9	animal behaviorists, animal nutritionists,
10	veterinarians, and farmers work together and
11	make the correct recommendations for the
12	individual operation versus this Board making
13	sweeping mandates that clearly will have far-
14	reaching, unintended consequences that would
15	not serve the highest good of the organic
16	industry nor its consumers.
17	Respectfully, on behalf of the
18	Iowa Organic Association and its members, I
19	thank you for consideration.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
21	Wendy?
22	MS. FULWIDER: We were just

219

attempting to show you that we had looked at 1 2 lot of numbers that are put out and а We did look at 3 published by other groups. other numbers that we did not put up on the 4 board yesterday in Committee, but we just 5 wanted to give you an example of the numbers 6 7 that we did look at. And we do need to have some base 8 9 for enforcement. And that is why we have put numbers forth, at the request of many that are 10 11 in the audience here today. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick? 12 13 MR. MARAVELL: Yes, I would just like some clarification on two things you 14 15 said. Are you saying that, if our recommendations were put forward as guidance 16 back-and-forth with the 17 pending further 18 community, that that would be an acceptable 19 approach? 20 DR. WARD: Absolutely. I believe when you put the word "requirement" based on 21 22 the NOP rules, they are a must-do. When you

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

put them as a recommendation, then, when the 1 certifying agency is on the farm, they can 2 3 look at the aspects that affect that particular rule and make clear recommendations 4 and clarify why a producer may be doing 5 different 6 something than the actual 7 recommendation. MR. MARAVELL: And, then, you said 8 9 let farmers, veterinarians, and Ι think certifiers, sort of work this out. 10 Are you 11 referring to on a farm-by-farm basis or are you referring to looking at our standards or 12 our proposal here? I am just trying to 13 clarify what you are saying. 14 15 DR. WARD: What I am talking about 16 is, as far as guidance and recommendations, obviously, the Board has worked very hard to 17 come up with recommendations. But when we 18 19 make them hard, fast rules across all 20 different types of farming situations, I think 21 we are in error. 22 We should allow those who are the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

222 experts that deal with it at the farm level 1 make those determinations on actual adequate 2 3 floor space or ventilation considerations or Those considerations should outside access. 4 be done at that level, not at this level. 5 6 MR. MARAVELL: And so, the 7 certifier --CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick? 8 Wendy, you 9 had a followup? Okay. All right, just one more brief one will be fine. Go ahead, Nick. 10 11 MR. MARAVELL: I will hold. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Steve? 12 MR. DeMURI: So, based on your 13 proposal, how would you propose that the 14 15 certifiers were going to enforce that? DR. WARD: Enforce what? 16 MR. DeMURI: Enforce the welfare 17 18 requirements. I mean without any hard, fast 19 numbers. 20 DR. WARD: Well, we have numbers as recommendations, but when we put them in as 21 22 requirements, then it is an absolute. Then,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	the certifying agency has to adhere to that
2	number, and the farmer may be in violation.
3	When you place them as
4	recommendations, then, at that point, the
5	farmer must provide evidence why he does what
6	he does in his organic system plan. So,
7	therefore, there are ways to review those
8	situations and not be a hard-and-fast rule, as
9	it would be as a requirement.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Do you still have
11	a followup, Nick?
12	MR. MARAVELL: Yes. It is very
13	similar to what Steve just asked. But what I
14	am wondering is, do you have a way to get
15	together with the other certifiers and come up
16	with something that we could look at that
17	would really be workable? We have to have
18	enforcement, and we have to rely on our
19	certifiers. So, is there something here that,
20	a process I'm talking about a process, not
21	a standard here is there a process here
22	that you think all the certifiers would feel
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

224 comfortable going forward with? 1 Essentially, the process you are 2 3 talking about do you think that --I believe it is DR. WARD: 4 imperative that you do involve the certifying 5 agencies and those that are on the ground 6 7 because the amount of time and the implications and understanding it at the farm 8 9 level is paramount. So, getting them involved would 10 11 sure clarify the issues, as Harriet described when she is talking with producers or talking 12 with other organic groups. You are able to 13 clear up why the NOSB does what it does. 14 So, 15 I think it would be a great idea, and I would welcome that opportunity to be involved in 16 that. 17 MIEDEMA: Wendy, last 18 CHAIR 19 question. 20 MS. FULWIDER: I think especially the new Board members need to be aware that 21 22 this has been up for public comment for a

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	225
1	number of years, and the Committee has worked
2	on this for a long time and put a lot of
3	effort to get these numbers right. And
4	certifiers and farmers and everyone have been
5	involved.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
7	DR. WARD: Thank you.
, 8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Maggie Lucas is up
9	next. Are you here, Maggie?
10	(No response.)
11	Okay, Dragan Macura is up next.
12	Tony Dryak is standing by.
13	MR. MACURA: My name is Dragan
14	Macura. I am the founder and part owner of
15	AgroThrive, Incorporated. We use corn steep
16	liquor as part of our process of making liquid
17	organic fertilizers. This is my third time
18	presenting on the topical of corn steep
19	liquor.
20	I support the recommendation by a
21	majority of the Crops Committee to continue
22	listing steep liquor as the non-synthetic and

(202) 234-4433

	2
1	allowed in the use of organic fertilizers.
2	Today I would like to present some
3	of the scientific information that seems to be
4	either misunderstood or not interpreted
5	correctly by some of the opposition who is
6	advocating the listing of steep liquor as
7	synthetic.
8	Basically, they are using the
9	information that is obtained on the basis of
10	laboratory circumstances, which are totally
11	different than the industry conditions for
12	production of corn steep liquor by the
13	countercurrent corn steeping process.
14	I have presented these before. I
15	again summarize them in this table.
16	To be sure, I have never advocated
17	or tried to present that sulfur dioxide does
18	not break disulfide bonds. Sulfur dioxide, to
19	be clear, does break disulfide bonds under
20	certain laboratory conditions, and these
21	conditions are summarized in the table, in the
22	left side of this table.
	l

(202) 234-4433

1 In particular, the corn kernel pH has to be high, relatively high. 2 The 3 introduction of high concentration of sulfur dioxide has to be continued throughout the 4 5 process. If you can take a look at the Biss 6 and Cogin, the best available science, they 7 have used a high concentration of sulfur 8 9 dioxide 11 times during the time that the process takes place in 50 hours. And, also, 10 11 SO2 must be in its active form. These conditions absolutely do not exist in the 12 13 steeping process in industry, and the conditions for that are summarized here. 14 15 Could you have the next slide, 16 please? Actually, the last slide. This is the process that actually 17 happens in the industry. And the last slide, 18 19 additional information, this is a publication 20 by Hull, et al., in 1996. That separated 21 individual components that are important in 22 determining this sterile water they found

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

227

	228
1	releases as much carbohydrate and protein as
2	the industrial process. When they add lactic
3	acid, it has no effect. When they add sulfur
4	dioxide, it actually levels or decreases the
5	release of proteins or carbohydrates, proving
6	that sulfur dioxide does not release proteins
7	
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
9	MR. MACURA: or carbohydrates,
10	as claimed by the opposition.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
12	MR. MACURA: Thank you. I would
13	entertain any questions.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tina?
15	MS. ELLOR: If sulfur dioxide is
16	not releasing proteins in varieties, why is it
17	used then?
18	MR. MACURA: From looking at the
19	process, it is used to stop the fermentation
20	the process is a biological process; there
21	is no doubt about that. The fresh corn is
22	added to steep liquor when it has very high

(202) 234-4433

lactic acid fermentation going on. It is very well known that lactic acid bacteria are proteolytic and they hydrolyze pretty well everything, carbohydrates, starches, and proteins alike.

is used to help select for 6 It 7 lactic acid bacteria that, then, produce acid, stabilize process, 8 lactic the and 9 continue the release of starches during the initial phase of the process. 10

11 It is used at the very end, and you will see in the description of the process 12 it is used at the very end, only an hour and 13 a half before the 50-hour process is complete, 14 15 to stop the fermentation, so that the 16 hydrolysis or digestion of the components does not continue and carry over into the other 17 18 components of the process. 19 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tina? 20 MS. ELLOR: So, just to follow up, and very briefly, if you could, because this 21

is such a complicated issue, could you sum up

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

22

1

2

3

4

1	what the essential we have two experts here
2	telling us two different things. Sum up very
3	simply and clearly and briefly, if you would,
4	where those differences occur.
5	MR. MACURA: First of all, the
6	experts, and I don't want to be disrespectful,
7	but one of the thing, as an expert, as a
8	science expert, the first thing you need to do
9	as a scientist is to look at the experimental
10	design of the research in question.
11	With all due respect, Dr. Johnston
12	did not read or he misinterpreted the
13	experimental design of the Biss and Cogin, the
14	most relevant research in this respect. He
15	missed, totally missed, the clear explanation
16	in the materials and methods that very high
17	concentrations of sulfur dioxide were used
18	right throughout the process every five hours
19	in the laboratory conditions that were set by
20	Biss and Cogin. That is not what happens in
21	the industry. Biss and Cogin explained that
22	very clearly, and they showed ample evidence

(202) 234-4433

that that is not what happens. He chose to in the largest part ignore that.

3 And, also, we have seen testimony yesterday, or at least the presentation by our 4 5 respected member of the NOSB here, that emphasized some of the things that were just 6 7 not expressed in the research. Some of the comments were made in the introductions and in 8 9 the textbooks, but that is not -- as a scientist, you go to the experiments. 10 You 11 evaluate whether the experiments were done correctly. Then, you look at the conclusions 12 that were made and evaluate them on the basis 13 of how the experiments were set up. 14 That 15 wasn't done in the expert opinion, and it is clear from their --16 17 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other questions? John? 18 19 MR. FOSTER: I was wondering if you 20 had had a chance to read what Richard Theuer 21 had provided on this --22 MR. MACURA: Yes.

1

2

	232
1	MR. FOSTER: and whether that
2	informed your opinion any more or less
3	MR. MACURA: Yes.
4	MR. FOSTER: relative, inasmuch
5	as it might clarify or draw attention to what
6	you have already said?
7	MR. MACURA: Yes, I did. There
8	was a question of, if sulfur dioxide was
9	involved in the breakdown, in the breaking of
10	disulfide bonds, as we all agreed that it does
11	under certain conditions, there would have
12	been an increased sulfur content in the final
13	product in steep liquor.
14	Richard Theuer did a very
15	meticulous calculation of whether there is
16	extra sulfur found in the countercurrent
17	steeping, resulting steep liquor. He
18	concluded that there was none.
19	What else? I think that was the
20	most important.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
22	Last question, Jay.

(202) 234-4433

	233
1	MR. FELDMAN: So, not only I
2	just want to make sure I understand this
3	Dr. Johnston's misinterpreting the literature,
4	and the basic textbook Corn Chemistry and
5	Technology, 2nd Edition, is not telling us the
6	right thing, is that correct?
7	MR. MACURA: I am not going to
8	comment to that. I haven't read it. But the
9	textbooks usually use general statements. I
10	did not see any discussion of any research
11	that leads to that, nor did I see any research
12	published by Dr. Johnston in the area of
13	sulfur dioxide use.
14	MR. FELDMAN: Well, he has a
15	paper
16	MR. MACURA: He has mentioned in
17	his introductions to all of his papers. His
18	expertise lies in enzyme use in the steeping
19	process; it is not in sulfur dioxide use.
20	He also has not published anything
21	peer-reviewed on the disassociation chemistry
22	of sulfurous acid. That is where the crux of
	I

(202) 234-4433

	234
1	the problem is. There is no chemical
2	environment in the countercurrent process to
3	allow for disulfide bond breakage by sulfur
4	dioxide.
5	MR. FELDMAN: Well, you just said
6	earlier that sulfur dioxide breaks disulfide
7	bonds.
8	MR. MACURA: Under the conditions
9	
10	MR. FELDMAN: Now you are saying
11	it doesn't.
12	MR. MACURA: No, under the
13	conditions, laboratory conditions, clearly
14	outlined by Biss and Cogin, which are not
15	present in the countercurrent process.
16	MR. FELDMAN: Madam Chair, I am
17	beginning to think this is the organic
18	community's evolution debate.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Excuse me. Please
20	wait to be recognized.
21	MR. FELDMAN: We have got
22	textbooks that are
I	

(202) 234-4433

	235
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?
2	MR. FELDMAN: respected in the
3	field which are being
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay, let's wait
5	to be recognized, please. Thank you.
6	I have one final question. It is
7	actually for our Materials Chair. When we
8	look at the production of the material, and it
9	can be produced in a lab one way and it can be
10	produced at scale for production another way,
11	are we usually privy to those two different
12	production methods? And which do we use in
13	evaluating the material?
14	MS. HEINZE: Well, we need to
15	review the one that is going into being used
16	as an input. And, you know, if you go back to
17	that November of 2009 recommendation, one of
18	the things we said was we needed to do this
19	deep dive. So, I think this deep dive is very
20	important and we need to understand the
21	process that is being used as an input.
22	And, then, the other thing we said
	I

(202) 234-4433

		236
1	was we needed to use annotations to clarify	
2	that.	
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.	
4	MR. FELDMAN: Madam Chair, can we	
5	ask OMRI which they have done to evaluate?	
6	Because I have been told that OMRI used our	
7	policy to evaluate the countercurrent	
8	commercial process.	
9	And so, you are asking a question	
10	that implies that we are relying on a	
11	scientific study, and we are not.	
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I asked a	
13	different question, and Katrina has answered	
14	it.	
15	Thank you.	
16	MR. MACURA: Thank you.	
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tony Dryak is up	
18	next. Richard Mathews is standing by.	
19	MR. DRYAK: I come here for the	
20	third time to talk about particularly organic	
21	laying hens.	
22	My background is I have been	

	237
1	farmer for 37 years. I have experience with
2	all species of livestock. I think, as a
3	result, I have become pretty qualified to
4	discuss through observation.
5	I am going to speak from two
6	perspectives in the three minutes allotted.
7	I am going to speak from an outsider point of
8	view. That is, as a farmer that has gone
9	outside our country to promote U.S.
10	agriculture output, Asia as well as Europe.
11	When you are in those emergents,
12	you find out how wholly inadequate the
13	standard has been up to this point in time.
14	The standard that is being suggested right now
15	is a massive improvement, but it is still a
16	work-in-progress, but it does give us some
17	guidance.
18	Today, given the USDA standard, I
19	cannot export an egg in any form to another
20	country because we simply don't meet the
21	requirements. The EU has thousands of years,
22	the area has thousand of years of experience
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

1	with laying hens, and they have evolved a
2	system that works very well. So, it behooves
3	us, and we can see that the standards that the
4	Livestock Committee has relied upon really
5	follows models that have come mainly from the
6	European continent. The humane farm animal
7	care standards are modeled after the European
8	and American farm, the same.
9	My other perspective is I look at
10	the situation from the perspective of a
11	chicken and how the consumer perceives what is
12	being produced for the U.S. market. I would
13	venture to guess that most organic eggs are
14	produced in the system you see on the right
15	and not on the system you see on the left.
16	You can go to the next slide.
17	This would be an example of a free
18	range.
19	Go to the next slide.
20	This is another example of free-
21	range organic.
22	Go to the next slide.

238

239 This is another example of cage-1 free organic production with no 2 outdoor 3 access. Go to the next slide. 4 This is another example of organic 5 within the system. 6 7 And so, as а consumer, the consumer perceives they are receiving products 8 9 from the example on the left side, which is an example from Europe where the hens range 10 11 freely and they are able to exhibit all the natural behaviors, including foraging. 12 Α chicken is an omnivore, which means he eats 13 both plant and animal material. 14 15 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 16 Mac, Katrina, and John. STONE: If your certifier 17 MR. documents that you would meet EU standards, 18 19 can you still, would you be able to export? 20 MR. DRYAK: Yes, but you first 21 a system that meets the have to set up 22 standard. One example is that the EU requires

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	240
1	that you feed grass/plant material to a
2	chicken through the wintertime. And on our
3	farm, we have done that and successfully.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina?
5	MS. HEINZE: If I have learned
6	anything from materials classification, it is
7	that a rush to do a rule gets you in trouble
8	really fast.
9	(Laughter.)
10	So, it is a little bit of
11	declaration of where I stand, since we got a
12	reminder to do that.
13	But my question for you is, if we
14	implemented the more prescriptive, the things
15	that are under debate as guidance, so
16	certifiers had a line that they were trying to
17	certify to, but, then, had certifier
18	discretion to interpret when things weren't
19	that way, do you feel that is a good first
20	step, as we grapple with this and try to get
21	to where you want us to be?
22	MR. DRYAK: Well, could you
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

241 describe the second condition? Could you 1 2 describe your second condition a little 3 better, please? MS. HEINZE: Okav. 4 So --5 MR. DRYAK: If you are using the proposal as a minimum, I am talking about the 6 7 discretionary part on the part of the certifier. 8 9 MS. HEINZE: I quess my concern is that I don't fully understand the unintended 10 11 consequences if we put this in the rule. So, if we made it quidance and said this is what 12 we think is right, certifiers would go audit 13 to that, and chances are sometimes they would 14 15 find things that didn't comply with that. If it is in the rule, they have no 16 discretion. If it is in quidance, they, then, 17 18 diq deeper. They may have to have 19 conversations, you know, with the NOP, 20 whoever, for those differences. Some are 21 going to be clearly not okay, and some might 22 You know, another way to get to the be okay.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	242
1	performance standard we are trying to get to.
2	So, that is the discretion.
3	I am starting to think that seems
4	like a first good first step. I am trying to
5	figure out if you think that is a good first
6	step.
7	MR. DRYAK: Okay. As a farmer, I
8	have been certified by four U.S. certifiers in
9	the almost 20 years of being a farmer. I have
10	never found one inspector to interpret, nor
11	the inspection agency to interpret, the rule
12	closely and similarly enough.
13	I have been a farmer that has
14	gotten in trouble three times, I haven't been
15	decertified, but even though I engage in due
16	diligence about fertilizer, about chickens,
17	whether or not they should have a beak trimmed
18	or a beak left on the bird.
19	And with the bird question, I beak
20	trimmed mildly. That certifier at that time
21	said they would not certify my hens.
22	So, I think allowing discretion is

(202) 234-4433

	243
1	a real slippery slope, and you are not going
2	to see the kind of change that really needs to
3	happen out here.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Tina Ellor?
5	MS. ELLOR: With the Chair's
6	permission, I would like to ask the NOP if
7	they have a preference, as of this time,
8	whether they would like guidance or whether
9	they would like a recommendation.
10	MS. BAILEY: Melissa Bailey for
11	NOP.
12	We talked about this a little bit
13	on the Livestock Committee call as well, but
14	for the benefit of the Board, our preference
15	would be, as with other sort of practice
16	standards that the Board may have recommended,
17	it is a little bit different than the National
18	List where we are more constrained in
19	following the recommendation provided by the
20	Board.
21	With a practice standard
22	recommendation, we, the agency, has some

(202) 234-4433

1	latitude to look at the recommendation and
2	decide what, based on the current regulations,
3	the state of affairs, what is more appropriate
4	for guidance versus rulemaking.
5	So, what we had discussed was that
6	the Livestock Committee be clear in their
7	intent, and that the program could, then, take
8	that recommendation, sift through it, and
9	figure out what is most suitable for a rule
10	change versus more appropriate guidance. That
11	was my understanding of what we discussed with
12	the Committee.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick?
14	MR. MARAVELL: Just a
15	clarification. You said the EU requires you
16	feed grass/plant material through the winter.
17	Are we talking about a green pasture with
18	grass with roots in the ground?
19	MR. DRYAK: No, the regulation
20	talks about feeding a silage grass.
21	MR. MARAVELL: So, this is
22	something that has been harvested and kept in
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

245 1 silage form? 2 MR. DRYAK: Correct. 3 MR. MARAVELL: And you do that? MR. DRYAK: And I have done it as 4 5 an experiment. Right now, I am in a position Ι do not have ongoing house 6 where an 7 But I am in another business that operating. 8 relies upon eqqs from the organic egg 9 industry. I make liquid eqq products and frozen organic egg products. 10 11 And so, I look into millions of 12 eggs' interiors and I get to see what kind of quality comes through. So, that is a long-13 winded answer. 14 John Foster? 15 CHAIR MIEDEMA: MR. FOSTER: Hi. I think 16 Thanks. you might be just exactly the right person to 17 ask this question I have been dying to ask 18 19 about European models. I was kind of jogged 20 by the photos you had up there. I am sure that there are hawks and 21 22 falcons and coyotes in Europe, too. The last

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	246
1	time I checked, they had all those.
2	MR. DRYAK: Yes. Yes.
3	MR. FOSTER: And they had all the
4	human pathogens that
5	MR. DRYAK: Yes.
6	MR. FOSTER: not all, but
7	certainly enough to carry their own.
8	MR. DRYAK: Yes.
9	MR. FOSTER: So, in those systems,
10	what is either the procedural or the consumer
11	expectation difference that would allow those
12	either predators or food safety to not be a
13	problem? Or are they? Do they have the same
14	problems and are tolerated differently or have
15	not the problems? And in the case of the
16	latter, why don't they have those problems?
17	MR. DRYAK: First of all, in
18	Europe eggs are not washed and they are not
19	refrigerated. And so, when you go into a
20	store, they are in the ambient temperatures.
21	The European poultry people will
22	argue that you compromise the integrity of the

(202) 234-4433

1	egg when you wash it because you wash off the
2	oil film that is there. An egg has 17 to 18
3	thousand pores in that surface, and when you
4	wash it, you, then, remove one of the barriers
5	to the interior of the egg.
6	On the numerous farms I have been
7	on in Europe for the purpose, I have been
8	invited there because I go and I have
9	exhibited in Germany and in London, and I
10	exhibit U.S. organic eggs, not USDA NOP. I
11	mean it is on my label, but I strive for a
12	higher standard. And I do presently move
13	products overseas, the product part, not the
14	shell egg.
15	But in being on the numerous
16	operations, when I show that picture of the
17	many birds out there in a pasture pretty far
18	away from a house, that is real. They do it.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sir, would you
20	mind addressing the question about the
21	predators?
22	MR. DRYAK: They appear, the
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	248
1	poultry producers appear to spend more time
2	with their birds. It is not just feed them
3	and collect the eggs and move on. And they
4	appear to use dogs to protect, llama.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
6	Last question, Wendy?
7	MS. FULWIDER: Could I ask what
8	the size of the farms are over there, how many
9	hens per farm?
10	MR. DRYAK: Well, I can tell you
11	from my experience that there will be, there
12	could be a farm with 20,000 hens in four
13	different groupings of 5,000. They are
14	divided.
15	Some of the technology like the
16	electric fences that exist today called web
17	electric fences, all of that innovation is
18	from Europe. All the cages, the systems we
19	use in U.S. barns, most of them, if not all of
20	them, are made where the innovation occurs,
21	which is in Europe.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.

(202) 234-4433

	249
1	MR. DRYAK: Okay. Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Richard Mathews is
3	up next. Julie Weisman is standing by.
4	MR. MATHEWS: Richard Mathews, NOP
5	Solutions, Incorporated, commenting on the
6	animal welfare recommendation, all warm
7	fuzzies removed due to time constraints.
8	Any rulemaking on this
9	recommendation will be classified as
10	significant for purposes of Executive Order
11	12866. It will be reviewed by the Office of
12	Management and Budget and other federal
13	departments such as the Small Business
14	Administration, Environmental Protection
15	Agency, and the Food and Drug Administration.
16	Executive Order 12866 requires in
17	part that NOP identify and assess the problem
18	to be addressed; assess the regulation's costs
19	and benefits; base decisions on information
20	concerning the regulation's needs and
21	consequences; identify and assess alternative
22	forms of regulation; avoid regulations that
ļ	I

are inconsistent, incompatible, or duplicative of other regulation; tailor regulations to impose the least burden.

This regulation does not define the problem, analyze the problem, or develop possible solutions. It provides NOP with nothing that enable it to comply with Executive Order 12866.

Passing this recommendation will
signal change in the absence of actual change.
While NOP wrestles with what to do about this
recommendation, some certifying agents will
try to implement its provisions, thereby
increasing the problem of inconsistency in
standards application.

16 Further, those seeking instant recommendation will 17 application of the illegitimate complaints. 18 generate These 19 complaints will incite uncertainty in the mind 20 of consumers, to the detriment of producers. 21 One example: in May 2002, Analyst 22 B heard testimony regarding dioxin hazards and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

	251
1	developed a compromise outdoor access
2	position. They also acknowledged that porches
3	would comply with outdoor access. What
4	changed in the past nine years?
5	Please withdraw this
6	recommendation until you have defined the
7	problem, analyzed the problem, documented
8	alternative solutions, and explained and
9	documented what has changed since May 2002,
10	thereby, providing NOP with an actionable
11	recommendation. Use Appendix A of your Policy
12	Manual as your guide.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
14	Any questions for Richard Mathews?
15	(No response.)
16	Julie Weisman is up next. Peggy
17	Miars is standing by.
18	Our lunch recess today will be
19	from 12:10 to 1:00 p.m. Actually, we will see
20	when we start.
21	(Laughter.)
22	MS. WEISMAN: All right. Hello.
	I

My name is Julie Weisman. I am a former NOSB 1 2 member. I was one of the handling 3 representatives from 2005 to 2010. I chaired the Handling Committee for several years and 4 5 was also Vice Chair for a year. Despite what is up on the screen, 6 7 my comments at this time are my personal They do not necessarily represent 8 opinions. 9 my companies, Elan Vanilla those of and Flavorganics or other groups of which I am a 10 11 member. In the runup to this spring 2011 12 meeting, I am seeing a pattern with regard to 13 Sunset recommendations that is disturbing to 14 15 me and which I fear belies a general attitude 16 regarding the National List. And I was feeling the need to 17 question this before I arrived in Seattle. 18 19 Even though I have things I would like to say 20 about lots of specific things, I felt this was 21 way more important. And sitting in the room 22 for the past two days has strengthened that

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	2
1	feeling.
2	During my tenure on the Board, our
3	charge during Sunset, as we understood it, was
4	to evaluate whether there was any new evidence
5	that a material was harmful to humans or the
6	environment or that a more benign material was
7	available to replace it. If neither of these
8	conditions was met, we were to respect the
9	work of previous Boards.
10	It has been long understood in
11	these meeting rooms that, once a material is
12	listed and products have entered the stream of
13	commerce, the disruption of having access to
14	ingredients, either by handlers or by farmers,
15	if that is cut off without adequate
16	replacement, it is detrimental to our entire
17	organic community.
18	Such disruption would, obviously,
19	be justified in the wake of credible, new
20	revelations about human and/or environmental
21	health and safety. But, short of that, it was

not justifiable; it was not seen that way.

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

www.nealrgross.com

1	The Crop Committee's packet of
2	Sunset recommendations and the discussion that
3	I have witnessed here over the past two days
4	flies in the face of the work of previous
5	Boards. Almost half of the 12 synthetic
6	materials, crop materials, up for Sunset
7	review, the Crop Committee has recommended
8	against relisting in situations where no new
9	information on human or environmental toxicity
10	or about the availability of alternatives has
11	emerged.
12	It appears to me that a sentiment
13	has overtaken some Committees, maybe not the
14	whole Board, that the mission of the NOSB is
15	to make the National List as short as
16	possible, and that a shortened National List
17	is equated with greater organic integrity.
18	And I challenge this view.
19	I would like to underscore the
20	words of our Deputy Administrator McEvoy on
21	Tuesday morning, that there is no requirement
22	in the statute to shorten the National List.

254

touched on this issue somewhat in my 1 Ι comments before this Board last 2 fall in 3 Madison, and I will refer you back to -- anyway, all I have time to say is that the 4 idea of adding a material --5 6 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 7 MS. WEISMAN: -- one material to the list often results in the most number of 8 9 acreage in production, and the beneficial result of that is the purpose for our being 10 11 here at all. Thank you. 12 Thanks, Julie. 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for Julie Weisman? 14 15 John Foster? 16 MR. FOSTER: Could you refer us back to any document or resource, perhaps the 17 18 one you were about to refer to a moment ago, 19 that would help clarify or elucidate, expand 20 on your opinion? I was referring to 21 MS. WEISMAN: 22 my comments last fall at the meeting in

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	Madison, and I made a comment that had mostly
2	to do with 606 and the issue of commercial
3	availability. And I guess I would encourage
4	people to go back over transcripts and
5	documents written by myself and other people
6	regarding that because it gives many, many
7	examples of situations where adding an item to
8	606, for instance, you know, on the outside it
9	is making the list longer. It is adding a
10	material that is not organic. But it is also
11	providing a way, they are providing ways to
12	actually increase organic integrity.
13	Colors was an example. Even
14	though the reason why they came off the list
15	was a bit unique, people say one item came off
16	and 18 items went on, but those, the addition
17	of those 18 items increased the organic
18	integrity of products because they were
19	replacing synthetics that had been used.
20	MR. FOSTER: Thank you.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina, did you
22	have a question?
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	257
1	MS. HEINZE: I think Jay was ahead
2	of me, but, yes, I do.
3	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, first of all,
4	as you know, when the Committee deliberates on
5	these things, they look at the record and
6	transcripts going back to the first Board of
7	the NOSB, and many of the materials, I would
8	say a significant number, at that time were
9	put on the list with an understanding that
10	they would be reevaluated because there were
11	concerns about missing data, and so forth.
12	But, just to give you an example,
13	my question goes to the antibiotics. Are you
14	including that, antibiotics, which includes
15	two of our materials, streptomycin and
16	tetracycline, among those that the Board is
17	not, in your judgment, following protocol?
18	MS. WEISMAN: I would say yes. I
19	would say yes.
20	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Because, as
21	you know, the Board voted on tetracycline in
22	2008
	I

	258
1	MS. WEISMAN: I was on the Board.
2	MR. FELDMAN: to remove it by
3	2011. So, that would, in effect, force us to
4	relook at that with a lens, don't you think,
5	with a lens that would be very critical of its
6	continued use? And the same would go for
7	streptomycin, wouldn't you think, because of
8	the history on the Board where we have seen
9	numerous votes that either were very close
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Please ask your
11	question.
12	MR. FELDMAN: The question is,
13	since the votes were very close, don't you
14	think the Board has a duty in that context to
15	sort of evaluate the thing more carefully than
16	just relying on a very close vote?
17	MS. WEISMAN: Certainly, but I
18	believe that there are, it feels like there
19	are factors influencing I mean, first of
20	all, that was a compromise. I was on that
21	Board. I was part of that vote, and putting
22	the extension was a compromise.

(202) 234-4433

1	And I think that I would never
2	argue against taking a look, but I feel like
3	the same information can always get
4	interpreted in different ways. I don't
5	believe that there is new information from
6	today from the time that was vote was taken.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Julie.
8	Board members, I want to remind us
9	all what we agreed on at the beginning of this
10	meeting, and what the NOP published an
11	emergency Federal Register notice on. That is
12	three minutes for public comment, and, then,
13	we agreed two minutes of repartee questions to
14	clarify.
15	Let's make sure that we don't
16	debate the public, that what we really do is
17	respect the fact that people travel long
18	distances to share their opinion, and we want
19	to have a chance to ask them questions. But
20	really let them share their opinion.
21	We have started to drift a bit
22	from that five minutes. So, we are going to

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	260
1	make sure and tighten that back up in the
2	second half of the day, and recess now for
3	lunch.
4	It is quarter after. Let's all be
5	seated at 10 after 1:00.
6	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
7	went off the record at 12:14 p.m. and resumed
8	at 1:13 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
Į	1

	261
1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	1:13 p.m.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: The NOSB is back
4	in session. We have quorum, and we will get
5	started.
6	First up today is Darren Jones,
7	and standing by is Robin Allan and, then,
8	Terry Shistar.
9	We first have a correction from
10	our Materials Chair on a piece of information
11	she provided yesterday.
12	MS. HEINZE: Hi. So, this is for
13	the Board. I have two things.
14	Are you guys ready? Okay.
15	First, right before the break,
16	John asked if there was a foundational
17	document on our Sunset process, and there is.
18	Once Lisa is back, I will ask her to get
19	copies to you guys. It is a March 2005 NOSB
20	Sunset process that goes through a lot of the
21	things that you heard Kim and Julie talk
22	through.
l	1

(202) 234-4433

1	The second thing is that yesterday
2	during the Materials Committee presentation on
3	classification, Colehour asked me a very good
4	question which was, is that "and" or "or"?
5	And I answered incorrectly. And thank you for
6	Calvin for being persistent.
7	So, the answer is the
8	recommendation says it is chemical change or
9	present at a significant level. And I don't
10	know where my brain was. That was incorrect.
11	So, either of those two things makes something
12	synthetic.
13	So, if you have questions, we can
14	talk about it tomorrow, but I wanted to get
15	that on the record.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you,
17	Katrina.
18	Okay. Any other announcements or
19	clarifications before we proceed with public
20	testimony?
21	(No response.)
22	Okay. First up, then, is Darren
	I

www.nealrgross.com

	263
1	Jones at the podium.
2	And it looks like we will need to
3	do a little switcharoo here, that Darren is
4	not quite ready. So, Robin, do you mind going
5	ahead? Okay.
6	MS. ALLAN: Everybody feel ready
7	here? Okay.
8	All right. Hello. My name is
9	Robin Allan. I'm the Director of Grower and
10	Livestock Certification for CCOF. We are the
11	largest certification agency in the United
12	States. So, we are representing a fair number
13	of livestock producers here.
14	We strongly support the move of
15	the NOSB towards explicitly addressing animal
16	welfare in the NOP standards. We are
17	committed to the long-term development of
18	enforceable and consistent standards.
19	We believe that organic can be,
20	and should be, the leading option for
21	livestock certification, and organic producers
22	should have no reason to seek additional
	I

(202) 234-4433

1

humane certification.

2 We thank you for the obvious 3 effort, Wendy, that you put into taking the public comment into account and making a 4 number of the necessary changes to the two 5 documents that you presented yesterday. 6 From 7 a non-Board member point of view, it was very, very difficult to follow the conversation and 8 9 the changes that were made, but we really thank you for making those publicly available 10 11 and posting those online, so that we could 12 look them over. Up until yesterday, I was prepared 13 to come up here to advocate that the proposals 14 15 be withdrawn and not be voted on, and be 16 reworked. After yesterday's Livestock Committee presentation, I am able to say that 17 I think that the proposals can move forward 18 19 to the NOP. I don't necessarily think that 20 these need to end up being fully in rulemaking, but I do really support, we have 21 22 stated this before, and I continue to support

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	265
1	a lot of this to go to guidance. And I trust
2	that the program can differentiate between
3	what should be guidance and what should be
4	rulemaking.
5	I do think that both the proposals
6	have some significant flaws in the specifics,
7	but we will be prepared to submit more
8	detailed comments during a future rulemaking
9	process where we have more time. This is very
10	difficult, a short period.
11	I really recognize that the Board
12	feels you have beat this proverbial horse to
13	death, that we don't beat the cows, just the
14	horses, and you are ready to move forward.
15	You know, Tina said there's a push to do
16	something, and I really recognize that.
17	So, I do want to say that, as a
18	general point, organic livestock producers are
19	really suffering under the weight of increased
20	burdens of paperwork and increased regulation.
21	Please let's be very careful about unchecked
22	increases on requirements for OSP
	I

(202) 234-4433

		266
1	descriptions, operator documentation, and	
2	inspection requirements. Such requirements	
3	often are unrealistic and do not necessarily	
4	improve animal welfare or ensure organic	
5	integrity.	
6	So, thank you very much.	
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Robin.	
8	Any questions? Reuben and, then,	
9	Nick.	
10	MR. WALKER: I was talking, and I	
11	didn't get your organization. You mentioned	
12	that you were in support of the recommendation	
13	of the Livestock Committee?	
14	MS. ALLAN: Yes, I work for CCOF.	
15	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, I was just	
16	wondering if you could comment on the	
17	increased paperwork requirements. You are	
18	probably going to hear this from me quite a	
19	bit because I am representing the producers.	
20	MS. ALLAN: Yes.	
21	MR. MARAVELL: What do you feel	
22	has contributed to that, and is there anything	
I	I	

(202) 234-4433

that the certifiers can do independently? 1 2 Just with what is there now, is there anything 3 certifiers can do to reduce that paperwork burden? Or do you feel that you can't reduce 4 it on your own? So, what's contributed to it, 5 and can you do anything now? 6 Specifically, 7 MS. ALLAN: Yes. implementation of the pasture rule for the 8 9 ruminants over the last year, starting last June and going through to this June, 10 has

really significantly increased the paperwork

And a lot of the way that that rule

was written includes all the specifics that 13 must be described in the organic system plan 14 and must be documented. 15 find 16 So, we that even for а completely free-range beef producer that may 17 feed a couple bales of alfalfa in the winter, 18 19 they now have to keep very detailed documented 20 records of their dry matter intake, dry matter

the organic integrity, but is required because

demand in a way that doesn't really improve

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

burdens.

11

12

21

22

	20
1	the rule says that it must include that.
2	We would really like to decrease
3	those requirements, but are not sure how to do
4	that well, enforcing the rule as it is
5	written.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac?
7	MR. STONE: Which part might you
8	like to see in rulemaking versus guidance?
9	MS. ALLAN: I definitely think
10	that guidance is more appropriate for the
11	specific stocking rate densities. I think
12	that those are somewhat untested in the
13	organic community, and that putting those
14	directly into rulemaking could really be
15	problematic.
16	I would say the same thing for
17	some of the requirements, some of the
18	definition-type items, too, such as soil, the
19	definition of soil. I think that these things
20	can have some long-term repercussions that are
21	often hard to anticipate right away.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
	I

68

(202) 234-4433

	269
1	Next up is Darren Jones or, sorry,
2	Darren Williams, and Terry Shistar is standing
3	by.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Hello. Darryl
5	Williams with Oregon Tilth.
6	Let's start with chlorine. We
7	agree with the annotation change by the
8	Handling Committee for chlorine materials and
9	support the relisting of chlorine. However,
10	we request that the Crops Committee revise
11	their annotation to be consistent with the
12	Handling Committee and suggest the annotation
13	from the Crops Committee is not clear or that
14	the suggested annotation for the Crops
15	Committee is not clear in stating that
16	chlorine materials are allowed for direct food
17	contact.
18	We concur with the OTA and others
19	that the annotations for both handling and
20	crop usage should allow for alternative
21	intervention steps and/or testing which will
22	reduce and verify that the residual will

(202) 234-4433

	270
1	satisfy the Safe Water Drinking Act.
2	We ask that clarification will be
3	made after this regarding the labeling of
4	organic commodities, 100 percent organic or
5	organic, which are sanitized in accordance
6	with the new annotation.
7	Vitamins and minerals. We support
8	the renewal of vitamins and minerals to the
9	National List, but do not support the current
10	annotation for vitamins and minerals, as it
11	doesn't support nutrients which were
12	originally supported by the NOSB.
13	We do not fully support the
14	revised annotation from the NOSB.
15	Verification of what is allowed could be
16	problematic for the certifier. An example, if
17	we are presented with a nutrient and we review
18	the regulation and verify compliance based on
19	our research, and down the road a complaint is
20	filed because we approved X, Y, Z, how will
21	the NOP determine if X, Y, Z is allowed or
22	not? Some certifiers are saying the only way
	I

(202) 234-4433

	271
1	to know is a prescriptive list, but,
2	generally, we don't support a closed or
3	prescriptive list.
4	Additionally, all certifiers will
5	need guidance and very good communication with
6	the NOP in making certification compliance
7	decisions based on this recommendation.
8	Corn steep liquor. We support the
9	Crop Committee's recommendation listing of
10	corn steep liquor as non-synthetic. Sulfur
11	dioxide does not chemically change the corn
12	steep liquor when consulting the definition of
13	chemical change.
14	For the proposed annotation, we do
15	not support the reoccurring process listing,
16	quote, "via the traditional countercurrent
17	corn wet milling process" as this causes a
18	burden on certifiers to determine if the
19	process follows the exact process denoted by
20	this statement.
21	Sunset materials, tocopherols.
22	Agree with the Committee to relist

(202) 234-4433

1	tocopherols, but would like an annotation
2	change or some sort of clarification be made
3	that the use of organic rosemary extracts as
4	opposed to a non-organic source of rosemary
5	extract.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Darryl,
7	and my apologies for saying your name wrong
8	twice.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: That's fine.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: It looks like a
11	couple of questions. Steve and, then, Jay.
12	MR. DeMURI: Can you reiterate
13	your agreement or non-agreement with the
14	nutrient, vitamins, and minerals proposal? I
15	am interesting in what exactly you would like
16	to see.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: So, we are not in
18	current support of the current annotation. We
19	like the way it is going with the revised
20	annotation, but we believe that it is not
21	defined enough. Yet, we don't want a
22	completely closed list because of the
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	273
1	allowance for anything new in the future that
2	is found, and, then, you know, if the FDA is
3	going to list that right away, which is
4	probably not going to happen.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Steve?
6	MR. DeMURI: No. 2, so a followup.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
8	MR. DeMURI: So, you don't like
9	the annotation as it currently stands. What
10	if there was no annotation, just nutrient
11	vitamins and minerals, period?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, from what we
13	saw yesterday in the list that was brought up,
14	because of that, we wouldn't necessarily agree
15	with no annotation, either. I think the
16	revised annotation that is coming out is the
17	best one by far, but I think it needs to be a
18	little more detailed as to where people can go
19	to find what they need.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I have one more
21	question, and, then, Jay, we will get to you.
22	So, when you say "revised

(202) 234-4433

	274
1	annotation", did you mean the recommendation
2	the Handling Committee published to
3	regulations.gov?
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Yes.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Thank you.
6	Yes, we have had a lot of information out
7	there. I just wanted to make sure
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: we all knew
10	what we were talking about.
11	Jay?
12	MR. FELDMAN: Can you give us an
13	example of this relates to the Crops'
14	motion an example of a product or a process
15	that you would think could be eliminated as a
16	result of the language that has been proposed
17	by the Crops Committee?
18	MR. WILLIAMS: I am not really
19	could you repeat? I am not really
20	MR. FELDMAN: I am trying to
21	figure out if there is a specific you said
22	that you don't think the current language will
	I

(202) 234-4433

	275
1	allow chlorine products to come into direct
2	contact with food. I think that is what you
3	said.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
5	MR. FELDMAN: So, I am trying to
6	ask if you could give us an example of a
7	product or products or even process that would
8	be at risk if this language were to be
9	adopted.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: So, I do agree with
11	what the Handling Committee is proposing.
12	MR. FELDMAN: The Crops Committee.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
14	MR. FELDMAN: I am talking about
15	the Crops Committee.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. So, the
17	Crops Committee, I think that language should
18	be added to their annotation as well, so it is
19	clear to the farmers for post-handling harvest
20	processes that they know what they can use,
21	and that it is clear to certifiers as well.
22	MR. FELDMAN: Right.
I	

(202) 234-4433

	276
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
2	Okay. Next up is and, by the
3	way, I stopped Jay there just because we were
4	at about the six-minute mark let's see,
5	Terry Shistar is next.
6	And, Lisa, I didn't quite get who
7	was standing by on the list. Thank you.
8	Bart Alexander is standing by, and
9	Mel Gaiman is after that.
10	MS. SHISTAR: Okay. I have got
11	some slides up there.
12	I am Terry Shistar, and I am on
13	the Board of Directors of Beyond Pesticides,
14	and I have been for something like 26 years.
15	And I submitted several written
16	comments. So, this is going to be fairly
17	quick.
18	Next slide.
19	The first thing I want to talk
20	about is corn steep liquor. We support the
21	minority view that corn steep liquor is
22	synthetic according to the policies adopted by
I	1

(202) 234-4433

	277
1	the Board because it is the result of chemical
2	change produced by the introduction of a
3	synthetic.
4	Every independent scientist the
5	Board has heard from has found CSL to be
6	synthetic, including the USDA scientists who
7	were requested to give their evaluation and
8	OMRI.
9	Next slide, please.
10	And when the Committee and/or
11	Board rejects the scientific expert opinion
12	that they have invited, it makes the decisions
13	appear arbitrary and capricious.
14	Next slide, please.
15	On to antibiotics. The use of
16	antibiotics contributes to resistance to
17	antibiotics in human pathogens, and this is an
18	important human health impact that I am afraid
19	that the Board is not taking, well, it has not
20	been hearing from, hearing about very much.
21	If bacteria on the plants and in
22	the soil are sprayed with an antibiotic, those
I	

(202) 234-4433

with genes for resistance to the chemical 1 2 increase compared to those susceptible to the 3 antibiotic. And this can be any bacteria, whether it is the fire blight bacteria or 4 natural soil-dwelling bacteria, whatever. 5 Those genes may be taken up by 6 7 other bacteria by a number of mechanisms collectively known horizontal gene as 8 9 transfer. They include transformation, in which the bacteria pick up DNA that is free in 10 11 the environment, for example, from dead and 12 degraded bacteria; conjugation from direct cell-to-cell contact, which may involve 13 unrelated bacteria and is mediated by plasmids 14 15 or transposons, and transduction, the transfer 16 of DNA via phage. Next slide, please. 17 So, this is just a picture, and I 18 19 think you saw it in the Committee's 20 presentation. Next slide, please. 21 22 So, once the resistant genes are

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	279
1	present in any bacteria, they increase the
2	pool of resistance genes and the likelihood
3	that human pathogens will acquire that
4	resistance. And I passed on an article to
5	that effect.
6	Next slide, please.
7	The next thing I want to talk
8	about is the significance of synthetic
9	residues. And we support the minority
10	proposed definition.
11	Next slide, please.
12	The majority's reliance on
13	standards set under other statutes is contrary
14	to the Organic Foods Production Act.
15	Standards set under FIFRA, the FFDCA, and the
16	Clean Water Act rely on risk assessments that
17	in various ways discount health and
18	environmental effects.
19	As someone who has taught courses
20	in risk assessment and hazardous materials
21	policy, I know that the number of things that
22	OFPA requires that you consider go far beyond

(202) 234-4433

	280
1	those other laws.
2	Next slide.
3	And this was covered this morning,
4	but I thought I would put it up here because
5	this shows another example of where the actual
6	tolerances are insufficient.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
8	much, Ms. Shistar.
9	Any questions? Katrina?
10	MS. HEINZE: I am wondering if you
11	could tell me what makes a scientist
12	independent, from your perspective.
13	MS. SHISTAR: Well, in this
14	regard, I think an independent scientist is
15	one who doesn't stand to benefit from the way
16	this turns out, the decision turns out.
17	Colehour or
18	(Laughter.)
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Colehour?
20	MR. BONDERA: Thank you, Dr.
21	Shistar.
22	I think I want to raise that

question, too, because it is a little 1 2 confusing to me. The Crops Committee really 3 didn't listen to the opinions of the consultant scientists. And I wonder if you 4 5 could expand on that in terms of this process related to the CSL decision that was made, and 6 7 specifically, topic, personal on the my interest -- and I don't know if you will get 8 9 to this or not, but I think this came up earlier in the CSL dialog -- was related to 10 11 the distinction between chemical and 12 biological change processes going on with the corn steep liquor issue. I don't know if you 13 qoing to fit that 14 in or not, but are 15 specifically about --Well, I might be 16 MS. SHISTAR: able to if Lisa will give me the next couple 17 of slides. Go on. 18 19 Well, I have some quotes here from 20 Dr. David Johnston from what he submitted. And I just wanted to highlight, I am going to 21 22 mention the things that are in bold here, so

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	282
1	I can flip through them fast.
2	He mentioned that the breaking of
3	disulfide bonds does occur as the result of
4	sulfur dioxide addition.
5	Can I have the next one?
6	There are new chemicals formed due
7	to the addition of sulfur dioxide, and these
8	are formed during the breaking of disulfide
9	bonds.
10	Next slide.
11	And as to whether this is a
12	necessary part of the countercurrent wet
13	milling process, he quoted from this text. I
14	guess that is about it.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any more
16	questions, just real quick as we wrap up?
17	(No response.)
18	Thank you.
19	MS. SHISTAR: Thanks.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Bart Alexander is
21	next. Are you here?
22	(No response.)
I	

	283
1	We are going to keep moving. Mel
2	"Gaiman" or "Gehman"?
3	George Bass is standing by.
4	MR. GEHMAN: Good afternoon, Board
5	and Program.
6	I am Mel Gehman, Heritage Poultry
7	Management from Pennsylvania.
8	I have worked in poultry husbandry
9	and health and nutrition all my life. I grew
10	up on a poultry farm that was a layer pullet
11	farm with cage-free birds. That is the way
12	the birds were back then.
13	I later worked, after being
14	educated in animal science, I worked for USDA
15	at the Agricultural Research Center,
16	Beltsville, Maryland, in the Poultry Branch.
17	I also served on the Pennsylvania Certified
18	Organic Board.
19	This public input is a very vital
20	part of the organic egg program as well as
21	other organic programs. At this very critical
22	time, we are making the organic egg program
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	284
1	more relevant to the consumers' viewpoint.
2	At the same time, the egg safety
3	program is being enforced. This is very
4	important for us to get this right, and we
5	must finish the job.
6	Our management company has been
7	involved in producing organic eggs under
8	certification since 1997, and we have focused
9	on doing it right and have anticipated a
10	strengthening program.
11	Our flocks have been under the Egg
12	Quality Assurance Program, specifically, the
13	Pennsylvania Egg Quality Assurance Program,
14	and our eggs have been under the PEQAP and
15	certified humane seal.
16	There are two items that I would
17	like to address in relation to the FDA egg
18	rule for egg safety. We recommend that
19	pullets are not put out during the growing
20	period. The pullets must be grown up, strong,
21	and healthy with a well-developed immune
22	system. The hens receive their last SE

(202) 234-4433

1	vaccination at 13 weeks of age with three
2	weeks to build immune response and recover
3	from the handling stress before they are
4	adapted to a new environment.
5	The other item, the house
6	openings, to meet the FDA rule of keeping the
7	house tight, we think there is excess openings
8	at the five foot per thousand birds. We think
9	that two foot per thousand would be adequate,
10	and has been shown to be adequate in our
11	systems for the birds to go outside and enter.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
13	Any questions?
14	(No response.)
15	Thank you, sir.
16	MR. GEHMAN: Thank you.
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: George Bass is up
18	next. Trudy Bialic is standing by.
19	MR. BASS: Thank you very much for
20	all the great work of the past and the present
21	for the NOP, the volunteers, the NOP staff,
22	the producers, and the public.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	286
1	In Massachusetts, we are the only
2	large egg farm left, and we have an organic
3	farm. As you know, the largest egg company in
4	the United States has about 27 million hens.
5	I bought an old farm that was out of business.
6	They had 60,000 hens and pullets. Now we have
7	about 68,000 hens in one place.
8	Now we give our hens access to the
9	outdoors on porches in good weather. The AMS
10	allowed us to use porches in 2002.
11	Here are the three points on
12	porches:
13	No. 1, there are many diseases,
14	worms, and rodents on soil. There are five
15	strong, good veterinarians that told us that
16	we should not put hens on the soil. Two vets
17	have Ph.D.s. We have those letters from the
18	scientists.
19	We have neighbors on the left, on
20	the front, on the right, in the back.
21	Massachusetts has their own area. We just
22	don't have space. If we had all the hens on
	I

(202) 234-4433

	287
1	the soil, the manure would put out an awful
2	stench.
3	No. 3, the Quabbin Reservoir is 65
4	miles west of Boston and 40 communities use
5	this for water. Every day they use 200
6	million gallons of water.
7	There was a small brook close to
8	the farm. If there were hens on the soil, the
9	manure would contaminate the brook through
10	runoff. The brook goes on a river and, then,
11	goes to a huge aqueduct for nine miles to the
12	reservoir. I present you with two maps of the
13	area.
14	Thank you for your time.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
16	much, Mr. Bass.
17	Any questions?
18	(No response.)
19	Thank you very much.
20	Trudy, you are up next.
21	Joan Smiley is standing by.
22	MS. BIALIC: Thank you for your

(202) 234-4433

	28
1	time.
2	Our company, PCC Natural Markets,
3	has nine certified organic stores, soon to be
4	ten, 46,000 active member/owners. We are the
5	largest consumer-owned, consumer-operated
6	grocery retailer in the country. Today I am
7	speaking for management.
8	We realize that synthetic nutrient
9	additives was postponed as a topic until this
10	fall, but we already have had to face consumer
11	questions and concerns. So, we feel compelled
12	to share our experience today.
13	It is very easy to empathize with
14	the expertise and time needed to review
15	individual additives; we know that. But the
16	recommendation to allow any synthetic additive
17	deemed a nutrient without petition and review
18	we feel is an abdication of responsibility
19	vested in NOSB for very good reason.
20	Organic consumers expect that, if
21	any synthetics are allowed, each one is vetted
22	through the formal petition and review

1	process. To surrender that power that we
2	fought so hard to give you to protect the
3	consumers' interest, very hard fought and hard
4	won, to surrender that authority voluntarily
5	betrays public trust.
6	When a grandmother recently asked
7	if the DHA and ARA in a certain formula was
8	safe for her grandson, we had to answer in all
9	honesty, in the interest of transparency, that
10	NOSB did not vet those additives. Yes, we
11	realize there are complaints of side effects.
12	For these reasons, we feel that
13	companies that have been using DHA and ARA,
14	knowing the petition and review process,
15	should not get an automatic pass.
16	PCC has discontinued, suspended
17	the sale of organic products with these
18	additives. We pulled the infant formula, flax
19	oil, and children's vitamins two weeks ago.
20	We support enforcement action that
21	would require removing these questionable
22	synthetics from products bearing the organic

(202) 234-4433

	290
1	seal.
2	We ask you to honor the mandate
3	given NOSB to protect the consumers' interest.
4	We sell trust, really, much more than we are
5	selling individual products. Please ensure
6	that synthetic additives of any kind, nutrient
7	or not, are the rarest exceptions, not
8	business as usual, and that each one is
9	reviewed individually from a precautionary
10	position.
11	Consumers are very grateful for
12	the NOP's age of enforcement.
13	Thank you very much. Any
14	questions?
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Trudy.
16	Any questions? Jay?
17	MR. FELDMAN: Thanks, Trudy.
18	So, what do you think we should
19	do?
20	MS. BIALIC: Enforcement action.?
21	Well
22	MR. FELDMAN: No, in terms of the

	291
1	issue of moving forward on vitamins,
2	nutrients, and minerals with open-endedness or
3	with citations?
4	MS. BIALIC: Vitamins and minerals
5	seem to be a different category than the basic
6	nutrient additives.
7	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Yes.
8	MS. BIALIC: So, I mean, to me, I
9	am trying to address specifically the
10	synthetic nutrient additive recommendation
11	that was put forth by the Committee
12	MR. FELDMAN: Okay.
13	MS. BIALIC: allow any without
14	review and process
15	MR. FELDMAN: Right.
16	MS. BIALIC: of synthetic
17	nutrient additives.
18	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Thank you.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Do PCC consumers
20	know that most of the essential vitamins and
21	minerals are synthetic?
22	MS. BIALIC: I don't well,
1	

(202) 234-4433

	292
1	essential vitamins and minerals, I know that,
2	for example, like vitamin C, for example, we
3	have discussed that a lot of the vitamin C is
4	synthetic. Yes, they do know that, for
5	example. Yes.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
7	MS. SMILEY: My name is Joan
8	Smiley, and I am withy Falcon Lab.
9	I am here to encourage the Board
10	to expedite the review and approval of the
11	ammonium nonanoate petition for use as an
12	herbicide on food crops. To support this
13	group in determining an appropriate
14	annotation, we have included recommended
15	wording in the petition.
16	Ammonium noanoate already has an
17	EPA exemption from residue tolerance. And
18	according to the EPA, residue due to the
19	active application of ammonium nonanoate as
20	labeled will likely not exceed that already
21	present in nature and, in fact, would be
22	indistinguishable.

(202) 234-4433

1	I would like to acknowledge the
2	balance this group must consider. USDA has
3	the goal of increasing organic acreage. To
4	make this happen, barriers to productivity for
5	organic farmers, weeds being the biggest
6	issue, must have better solutions.
7	Organic farmers want to know that
8	they can be productive within standards.
9	Machine-driven weed-control methods are costly
10	in time, money, and soil health, and
11	currently-allowed methods only include
12	expensive hand labor or very expensive,
13	ineffective NOP-allowed herbicides.
14	Consumers want the safety that the
15	term "organic" ensures for them and deserve
16	integrity to the standards.
17	So, what is ammonium nonanoate?
18	It is, by definition, soap. Soap is a
19	significant part of everyday living. It is in
20	every household and plays a huge role in most
21	industries, including healthcare.
22	According to the EPA, residues
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

from pesticide uses of soap salts are not 1 2 likely to exceed the levels of naturally-3 occurring fatty acids in commonly-eaten foods. And the FDA lists ammonium salts, soap, as 4 allowed food additives. 5 is already allowed 6 Soap as а 7 synthetic substance, and it is on 205.601 with restrictions to non-food. Ammonium soap salts 8 9 considered chemically-identical are to potassium and sodium salts, which were placed 10 11 on 40 CFR 180.950 in 2006. 12 That ammonium salts did not get 13 the same placement seems an incongruent technicality that significant 14 has lost 15 economic opportunity to organic farmers. Ammonium nonanoate biogrades in less than 24 16 17 hours and will not translocate in soil. Thus, environmental persistence is not an issue. 18 19 And according to the EPA, ammonium nonanoate 20 is already on soil and crops naturally. And I would like to clarify. 21 А 22 petition was submitted for a new substance

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

addition rather than an annotation change for
the broad category of soap because it has been
discussed at these meetings that, if
substances are considered, it should be in the
specific, not in the general.

Ammonium nonanoate is a precise 6 7 subset of the broad category of soap with a distinct CAS number, and is the only ammonium 8 9 soap that both occurs in nature and has herbicidal qualities. Being precise makes 10 11 sense for maintaining high standards.

organic herbicide be Can an effective? The answer is yes. Here is one example of a very persistent crop-draining weed, lamb's quarters. And less than one day 16 later, the applied areas are weed-free.

It is a no-brainer that weeds are 17 18 the most expensive productivity challenge to 19 organic farmers. This substance would be one-20 third to one-fourth the cost of other options and would provide a much-needed tool for 21 22 organic farmers.

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

	296
1	In addition, ammonium nonanoate
2	meets all the criteria for all the
3	constituencies.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
5	Any questions? Jay Feldman?
6	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. So, I have a
7	couple of questions. The current listing that
8	we have for fatty acids you are saying does
9	not include this. And ammonium nonanoate is
10	chemically-identical to what exactly?
11	MS. SMILEY: Potassium and sodium
12	salts.
13	MR. FELDMAN: Okay.
14	MS. SMILEY: According to the EPA.
15	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Now, the
16	first question.
17	MS. SMILEY: The first question is
18	soap-based herbicides are actually on
19	205.601
20	MR. FELDMAN: Right.
21	MS. SMILEY: with an annotation
22	for non-food use.
	I

	297
1	MR. FELDMAN: I see. So, you
2	would like, what you are looking for is an
3	allowance in crop reduction, essentially?
4	MS. SMILEY: Correct, for a
5	precise subset of what is already on the
6	MR. FELDMAN: Of the fatty acids?
7	MS. SMILEY: allowed
8	synthetics.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Thank you.
10	MS. SMILEY: Thank you.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sure.
12	Joan Smiley is up next.
13	(Laughter.)
14	Oh, I am so sorry. Okay.
15	Thank you, Joan.
16	Patty Lovera is up next. Alexis
17	Randolph is standing by. We'll get it.
18	MS. LOVERA: Hi. My name is Patty
19	Lovera. I work for an organization called
20	Food and Water Watch. We are a consumer
21	organization, and Food and Water Watch is a
22	member of the National Organic Coalition.

(202) 234-4433

	298
1	So, in the interest of time, I
2	will just say that we support NOC's
3	recommendation on conflict of interest.
4	And, also, my colleague Tyler
5	Shannon talked about aquaculture on Tuesday.
6	So, if folks have questions about aquaculture,
7	I could answer those as well.
8	Also, I will just say very, very
9	quickly on the animal welfare issue, you know,
10	we share the concerns a lot of other groups
11	have expressed about lining up these standards
12	with the other standards that are out there
13	and really taking a good look at that, because
14	that is a huge, huge concern of the folks who
15	are our members and supporters. They are very
16	motivated by the animal welfare issue, and
17	there is a lot of competition out there in the
18	marketplace already.
19	I am going to spend a little bit
20	more time on the nutrients issue. Given all
21	of the changes and things that have happened
22	in recent weeks, we are just interested in

(202) 234-4433

figuring out what can we deal with now. 1 And it seems like the vitamins and minerals piece 2 3 we can deal with now. I think there has been lots of good discussion about that. 4 I think we would echo a lot of 5 what Trudy was just talking about, even on the 6 7 other nutrients issues, about the control and organic having its own process for dealing 8 9 with these, as opposed to kind of a blanket approval process. Blanket approvals without 10 11 the annotations about which foods qualify for 12 fortification with which vitamins and minerals, we think would be a mistake. 13 You know, the control that organic 14 15 has, that the NOSB and the NOP have to create these lists with these kinds of conditions, 16 puts us in a position, hopefully, to then use 17 18 these systems to find the natural or non-19 synthetic alternatives. And if we give that 20 control up, we miss that opportunity to use 21 what is supposed to be this marketplace 22 incentive about finding those replacements to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	keep the number of synthetic materials down.
2	So, I think we are very interested
3	in tying that list of allowed synthetic
4	vitamins and minerals to FDA's 104.2 list with
5	annotations about what products qualify for
6	fortification and not just letting that list
7	be eligible for any food in organic, and it is
8	worth, yes, a second line to talk about infant
9	formula and to tie that to the 107.100 list.
10	The last think, quickly, I will
11	talk about is corn steep liquor. Food and
12	Water Watch supports the minority opinion that
13	it is synthetic. We think a key component of
14	that for our members and supporters who are
15	consumers is being able to explain how that
16	was decided. A widely-understood definition
17	is really a basic consumer expectation. So,
18	we think being able to explain that is
19	important. We think the minority opinion does
20	that well.
21	And we understand there are ripple
22	effects from decisions, and that making this
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	synthetic might change other materials that
2	are already in the organic world. But we have
3	to, when we have these opportunities to set a
4	good precedent and make the right decision, we
5	think that has to be the decision that is made
6	because that is integrity ripple effect is
7	just as important as the work ripple effect.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Patty.
9	Jay?
10	MR. FELDMAN: Thanks, Patty.
11	On the nutrient vitamins and
12	minerals, what exactly is the proposal that
13	you are supporting? You mentioned 104.2 and
14	107 or 107.100. So, do you want those
15	specified in the annotation? Is that what you
16	are proposing?
17	MS. LOVERA: Whether the
18	annotations are coming from FDA's listing,
19	which for most fortification is
20	MR. FELDMAN: So, you are asking
21	for that?
22	MS. LOVERA: Right. As opposed to

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	30
1	just a list of what can be used, the list has
2	the annotation that says it can be used for
3	these products that are supposed to be or
4	qualify for fortification, and that infant
5	formula seems to be a different list. So,
6	let's have that as its own item to say we are
7	tying it to this list from FDA; this is what
8	is allowed.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Colehour?
11	MR. BONDERA: So, you are here
12	from Food and Water Watch, right?
13	MS. LOVERA: Yes.
14	MR. BONDERA: I cannot remember
15	the name of the person who was up here a few
16	days ago. And I was racking my brain to try
17	to reformulate my question because I had to
18	remember it because my question by her was
19	postponed for when you were up here
20	MS. LOVERA: Right.
21	MR. BONDERA: if I remember
22	correctly.
	I

	303
1	Ahhhh.
2	(Laughter.)
3	So, my question specifically was
4	about ocean and organic farmed fish, and I
5	asked for, because she referred to the newest
6	information or recommendations that your
7	organization had on that topic, and she said
8	that I should ask you that when you were here.
9	And I think I have now asked you that, please.
10	MS. LOVERA: Okay. So, it was
11	actually Tyler Shannon, who is a guy, but I
12	won't tell him that. He's not here; he won't
13	know.
14	So, he was delivering a memo that
15	I think you all have now that we tried to
16	compile what we have seen since 2008 about two
17	main categories, escapes, environmental
18	impact, what we have learned about open-net
19	pens, and, then, also, what we have learned
20	about wild fish as feed.
21	So, that has been distributed to
22	you. It is fairly detailed. But, to

(202) 234-4433

summarize, the escapes piece is very -- we are 1 kind of always adding to our list or our file 2 3 of stories about escapes of farmed fish. And you know, it is all over the world; it is not 4 one part of the world. 5 It is Europe. It is South America. It is the Mediterranean. 6 7 And, then, in terms of the wild fish as feed, that is just a growing body of 8 9 study about the contaminants and things. So, we tried to summarize some of those studies 10 11 and put the cites in there. 12 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 13 MS. LOVERA: Thank you. Alexis Randolph, 14 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 15 you're up. 16 Zea Sonnabend is standing by. Zea, are you here? 17 MS. SONNABEND: 18 Yes. 19 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. MS. RANDOLPH: Good afternoon. 20 21 My name is Alexis Randolph, and my 22 comments are on behalf of QAI, an organic

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

certification agency.

2	QAI supports the Materials and
3	Handling Committee's decision regarding the
4	classification of materials to return to the
5	2009 definition of chemical change. However,
6	QAI feels that defining a significant level of
7	a synthetic in the final material needs
8	further thought. QAI would like clarification
9	on what the final material refers to.
10	Yesterday the Committee discussed
11	the definition of technical and functional
12	effect, but QAI would still like further
13	clarification of the technical and functional
14	effect that the final material is, for
15	example, on a 605(a) material or the finished
16	product that the 605(a) material is going
17	into.
18	This written recommendation seems
19	to be implying the effect is on the material
20	being reviewed, but discussion yesterday
21	implied the finished organic product.
22	QAI would like to encourage the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 305

1	Board to prioritize the development of a
2	guidance document and to move the school
3	recommendation forward for implementation.
4	The Board as a whole has asked for input about
5	the oversight of material review programs and
6	has successfully identified the challenges of
7	such an undertaking.
8	The expeditious publication of
9	materials classification guidance to ensure
10	this Board, certifiers, and material review
11	institutes are being consistent when
12	determining synthetic versus non-synthetic
13	will be the first, and perhaps most important,
14	step in attaining the larger goal of uniform
15	and consistent material review.
16	Our comments regarding chlorine
17	are addressed to both the Crops and Handling
18	Committee. QAI supports the Handling
19	Committee recommendation for chlorine in
20	general, and we appreciate the Committee
21	acknowledging in their discussion yesterday
22	the critical change QAI feels need to be made
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	3
1	in order to accommodate no-rinse sanitizers.
2	In addition to a potable rinse,
3	there are other methods for ensuring residual
4	chlorine is at or below the safe drinking
5	water level of 4 milligrams per liter. These
6	methods include, but are not limited to, a
7	product purge, a rinse with another approved
8	material on the National List, and testing for
9	residual chlorine.
10	We recommend that the annotation
11	be modified to require a potable rinse or
12	other intervening event that is verified
13	through testing.
14	QAI also supports the Crop
15	Committee's recommendation and requests the
16	annotation be amended to further clarify that
17	chlorine listed on 205.601 applies to pre-
18	harvest product contact only.
19	Furthermore, we would like to know
20	sorry, three minutes is stressing me out
21	(laughter) to implement either chlorine
22	annotation successfully as a certifier, it is

307

1	necessary to define when the scope of an
2	operation changes from crops to handling.
3	Many certifiers currently issue a crop
4	certificate to operators who perform post-
5	harvest on-farm washing of produce. Other
6	certifiers, including QAI, define that
7	activity as post-harvest handling.
8	We would like to know how much
9	chlorine will be allowed for edible sprout
10	production. Even though sprout seeds are
11	discussed under Crop Section 205.204, in
12	reality, most sprout production takes place in
13	a processing facility or building located
14	adjacent to a farm.
15	If the NOSB cannot address these
16	conflicts of scope through annotation changes
17	alone
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
19	MS. RANDOLPH: the QAI
20	respectfully requests you work with the NOP to
21	issue guidance that accompanies the National
22	List.

	309
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Alexis.
2	MS. RANDOLPH: Thank you.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Questions?
4	Katrina?
5	MS. HEINZE: Thank you for your
6	comments on our classification recommendation.
7	I could not agree with you more that we need
8	to move forward with guidance.
9	So, my question to you is, or I
10	guess a preface, the reason we brought the
11	significant question to a vote is we needed
12	Board direction on how to move forward. Do
13	you have any opposition to us passing it as
14	guidance, so that, then, we can move forward
15	to get the rest of the guidance completed?
16	MS. RANDOLPH: I don't have any
17	opposition if the guidance documents give
18	clear examples of types of materials where the
19	technical and functional effect are applying
20	to either the material being reviewed or the
21	final organic product being created that uses
22	that material.
ļ	I

	310
1	MS. HEINZE: I will write it down
2	right now.
3	MS. RANDOLPH: Okay.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other
5	questions? Jay and, then, Steve.
6	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you for your
7	comments.
8	I am trying to get a handle on the
9	scope issue that you mentioned with chlorine.
10	MS. RANDOLPH: Yes.
11	MR. FELDMAN: So, if you could
12	help me with that, I would appreciate it. So,
13	you are saying that any post-harvest handling
14	in the field is considered handling in your
15	world? Is that field? Because, as you know,
16	a lot of processing is happening in the field,
17	right? So, there is the pre-harvest that you
18	said the Crops Committee recommendation should
19	apply to. What kind of language would you
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay, please repeat
21	your question for the record.
22	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Okay. Sorry.

(202) 234-4433

	311
1	You have distinguished between
2	pre-harvest and post-harvest.
3	MS. RANDOLPH: Yes.
4	MR. FELDMAN: If you could just
5	help explain that for me one more time?
6	MS. RANDOLPH: Sure. The majority
7	of post-harvest activity that we see at the
8	farm is actually adjacent to the field in a
9	shed-type environment, not necessarily always
10	a shed, but also, you know, a more
11	sophisticated facility environment, where they
12	are washing produce and applying, obviously,
13	chlorine into the wash water.
14	Some certifiers certify that
15	entire activity at that location as crops, and
16	QAI would certify that as two separate
17	certifications, the second one being post-
18	harvest handling as a handling standard. And
19	therefore, in that scenario the chlorine could
20	be used according to the new annotation at any
21	level, provided there is a potable rinse.
22	MR. FELDMAN: Is that common? I

(202) 234-4433

11

	312
1	am just trying to get a sense of whether that
2	is industry-wide. Are you an exception? Or
3	are you the rule? How does it break out among
4	the certifiers? Do you know?
5	MS. RANDOLPH: I don't know
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
7	MS. RANDOLPH: the percentages,
8	you know.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thanks. No
10	problem.
11	Miles has a
12	MR. McEVOY: A point of
13	clarification from the program. This came up
14	during the ACA training in Portland this year
15	as an area where certifiers are looking at
16	post-harvest in different ways. The rule is
17	not clear in this particular area. So, you
18	have post-harvest materials like floatation
19	agents like sulfonate and sodium silicate that
20	are under the 601, and, then, most of the rest
21	of the handling materials are under 605.
22	So, this is an area that the
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	program is working on providing clarification
2	to the certifiers and the organic community,
3	so that we are all on the same page. We
4	realize there are some distinctions. The
5	standards are still being met. It is just
6	that, where do you draw the line; what do you
7	call post-harvest handling?
8	MS. RANDOLPH: Can I just respond
9	to that really quickly?
10	We recognize that not all
11	materials are up for review at this time, and
12	therefore, not all materials would be getting
13	an annotation change. For example,
14	diatomaceous earth is another example of a
15	crop material that is allowed for pesticide
16	application in the crop environment, but not
17	once the grain moves to the post-harvest, to
18	the facility processing.
19	So, we just thought at this time
20	chlorine is an opportunity to clarify the
21	annotation, but if it is more appropriate to
22	allow the program to make that clarification

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	314
1	on a larger scale, then we are fine with that
2	as well.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Thank you.
4	Jay, did that answer your
5	question, from the program?
6	MR. FELDMAN: I have a question
7	for the program.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, just quickly,
10	so in terms of the recommendation coming out
11	of the Crops Committee, Miles, what would that
12	apply to, the recommendation that is
13	currently? Because, as you know, as John
14	described yesterday, the recommendations
15	coming out of Handling and Crops are slightly
16	different. So, I was wondering what the Crops
17	Committee recommendation would apply to as you
18	are thinking about the rule.
19	MR. McEVOY: Well, we will be
20	providing clarification on this in the final
21	guidance that will be out shortly. So, I
22	would say we will take the recommendation from
	I

(202) 234-4433

	315
1	the Crops and Handling Committee about
2	chlorine into account for providing
3	clarification.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
5	MR. McEVOY: That didn't really
6	make any sense, did it?
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: It sounds like it
8	is to be determined.
9	Okay. Thank you very much.
10	Zea Sonnabend is up next, and
11	Susan Cheney is standing by.
12	MS. SONNABEND: Good afternoon.
13	I am Zea Sonnabend, Policy
14	Specialist and Organic Inspector for CCOF.
15	From 1994 to 1996, I was the
16	original contractor for the TAP reviews. So,
17	I can try to help if there is any questions
18	that arise from some of those very old TAP
19	reviews.
20	Since 1993, I have been standing
21	here commenting to this Board about the need
22	for thorough and scientifically-based
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

11

materials review. To that end, we are very 1 grateful to see the materials classification 2 3 document finally coming to fruition, and we do support the language that is now proposed and 4 hope you can get it through this meeting 5 because it will affect the whole certifier 6 7 community every day in making decisions for materials. 8 9 It is also particularly important that you have good review in considering 10 11 changes in the Sunset process because your own 12 Board Procedures Manual requires you to the force of evidence of new 13 consider information that would contribute to 14 any 15 change in Sunset. If you can't get a current technical review on time, then please respect 16 17 the deliberations of the previous NOSB members 18 who heard lots and lots of public comment and 19 deliberated long and hard, not all of which is 20 captured in the transcripts of that time.

21 That being said, I want to point 22 out some flaws in the existing crops

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 recommendations. The one for magnesium 2 sulfate has no technical report, no reasons or 3 evidence, or no assessment of commercial availability for non-synthetic sources. 4 The pheromones one has a change of 5 annotation that is seriously flawed because 6 7 the passive dispenser language is currently in the inert section, and limiting passive to 8 9 language for all inerts would thwart a lot of technologies with low environmental 10 new 11 footprint and apply a more strict standard to 12 pheromones than anything else on the list, one of the safest 13 while pheromones are list. materials on the Please work with 14 15 inerts through the Inerts Working Group and 16 not through the pheromone annotation. 17 In doing a thorough review, it is 18 important for you each to be critical thinkers 19 when it comes to looking at the information 20 presented to you, and be aware that not all 21 statements made by everyone are supported by 22 evidence that backs them up.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	The TAP reviews should be read
2	carefully for what they say and what they do
3	not say. If I had been able to see the
4	technical report for tetracycline and
5	streptomycin before the written comment
6	period, I would have liked to challenge
7	several assertions that have been made to the
8	Board in there, but it was not posted on time
9	for me to incorporate it into my written
10	comments.
11	And I have three particular things
12	that I would like to challenge, which if I run
13	out of time, you can ask me afterwards. Other
14	people got the benefit of seeing it ahead of
15	time, and I did not.
16	For instance, the statement that
17	antibiotic use in pears and apples has been
18	linked to human pathogen resistance is not a
19	statement outright made in the technical
20	report. And I will go back and read the
21	paragraph to you later. It does specifically
22	say that, while we can surmise that this
	I

(202) 234-4433

	319
1	lateral transfer of resistance could occur,
2	the link between human pathogen resistance and
3	antibiotic use in apples and pears has not yet
4	
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
6	MS. SONNABEND: been made.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
8	Any questions for Zea? Tina?
9	MS. ELLOR: Would you be willing
10	to share the other two with us, please?
11	(Laughter.)
12	MS. SONNABEND: Yes. Thank you.
13	I have one sentence on that one.
14	In the technical review, the EPA said they
15	will be reviewing this very issue, with their
16	review due to come in 2014. And so, I think
17	you should consider that if you consider a
18	compromise proposal to keep it going for a
19	short period of time.
20	Secondly, the assertion that
21	tetracycline can be taken up by apples and
22	found in the peel and core is not correct.

(202) 234-4433

1	The research was done for streptomycin which
2	could be taken up by apples, and it could be
3	present in the core at .0019 parts per
4	million.
5	But if you look at the technical
6	review carefully, you will see that the
7	tetracycline and the streptomycin behave very
8	differently in the environment. The
9	tetracycline gets into the soil and is bound
10	to the soil particles and is not that likely
11	to move. Yet, it degrades very quickly in the
12	sunlight. Plus, it is only applied at bloom
13	and is not present in the fruit.
14	So, this would lead us to think
15	that it stays in the soil and is not going to
16	be taken up by the trunk as fast. Yet, it
17	degrades in the light. And so, it is not
18	going to remain on the twigs and blossoms by
19	the time the fruit is set.
20	The streptomycin, on the other
21	hand, when it hits the soil, is fairly mobile,
22	and they have shown residues taken up by
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	vegetables. And that is new research since
2	the last Sunset review of the material. And,
3	yet, it can be sprayed once the fruit is on
4	the tree post-infection, and therefore, it has
5	a much higher likelihood of being taken up by
6	the fruit. And you would have to determine
7	whether that level is relevant to your
8	deliberations for resistance.
9	The third point, the assertion
10	about resistance transfer in genes. I read
11	that paper that was cited a little while ago.
12	And while the concerns or information are
13	valid in hospital settings and population
14	settings where there is this horizontal
15	transfer happening all the time, and in nature
16	we cannot deny that such things are possible,
17	in actual practice, from that article it looks
18	to me like a sick person would have to go into
19	an orchard that had been sprayed with the
20	tetracycline and either ingest the soil or the
21	blooms repeatedly for the resistance factor to
22	transfer to humans.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	322
1	The resistance factor is very high
2	to the fire blight organization, which is what
3	is present in the field and what is being
4	targeted repeatedly, and so will have all of
5	that gene interaction that was discussed in
6	the article. But we are not there yet in
7	proving that it actually happens to transfer
8	to human pathogens.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
10	Lisa, what are we at right now on
11	our count? Okay.
12	Quick, please.
13	MS. SONNABEND: I know people
14	wanted to ask about pheromone technologies.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Yes, make it
16	brief, please.
17	MR. MARAVELL: You mentioned that
18	the language with regard to passive pheromone
19	dispensers could thwart new technology. Could
20	you expand on that and say what the new
21	technology is?
22	MS. SONNABEND: Okay. There are

(202) 234-4433

П

1	three relatively-new technologies I am aware
2	of. The first one is material that is like a
3	putty or a paste that is designed to be
4	applied to the tree trunks and/or phone poles,
5	or anything else, and is impregnated with
6	pheromones. That material is not designed to
7	be removed from the field. It is actually a
8	clay-based sort of material that will fall off
9	the trunk as it degrades and break down into
10	clay.
11	At our insistence, because they
12	were going to use it in our emergency spray
13	situation for the light brown apple moth, they
14	reformulated the product to have only List 4
15	inerts in it. So, it doesn't even need this
16	whole List 3 exemption thing. But under your
17	proposed annotation, that would be prohibited
18	because you cannot really define that as
19	passive. The definition of passive is
20	unclear.
21	Second, puffers. Puffers are
22	things that are in the field, a few per acre.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	32
1	They emit little puffs of pheromone. Over the
2	course of a season, they actually use less
3	pheromone and, therefore, less inert
4	ingredients than the twist ties do.
5	They have been reformulated. So,
6	some brands are available that are only List
7	4. Unclear whether that is passive because it
8	does push it out, but, then, it does
9	volatilize.
10	And the third one is a product.
11	It is called microflake, disruption
12	microflake. And it is a laminated polymer
13	sandwich or flake that is about one-eighth-
14	inch square with the pheromone between two
15	layers of inert polymer that they say
16	biodegrades.
17	We have not approved, let me say
18	that we have not approved this for use in
19	organic farming, because the question of what
20	it biodegrades into is completely unclear, and
21	it does not include any List 3. It is only
22	List 4's. But it is in this polymer matrix
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

325 that is very unclear where that falls in the 1 organic regulation. 2 3 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. MS. SONNABEND: So, we have not 4 approved it. 5 Thank you very 6 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 7 much. We really do need to keep moving 8 9 out of fairness of everyone that is signed up today. 10 11 MR. FELDMAN: Can't she get a few 12 minutes for every year of service to the organic community? 13 (Laughter.) 14 We would be here a 15 CHAIR MIEDEMA: lot of minutes today. 16 17 (Laughter.) 18 Susan Cheney is up next. Andrew 19 Wilcox is standing by. 20 MS. CHENEY: Hello. Susan Cheney with Martek Biosciences. 21 22 Martek supports the continued use

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	of vitamins, minerals, and accessory nutrients
2	in organic foods, and we appreciate the work
3	the Handling Committee is doing to fully
4	evaluate the information and comments that
5	have been provided on this topic, so that they
6	can develop an annotation that takes into
7	account the 1995 Board recommendation and
8	continue to protect the integrity of organics.
9	Based on the Board's discussions,
10	and particularly some of the comments, it is
11	apparent that the fortification annotation
12	question involves many different viewpoints
13	and opinions. We have supported the original
14	Committee recommendation to replace the flawed
15	annotation with one that tracks the FDA's
16	approach on fortification, and we have also
17	supported the postponement until the fall to
18	allow the Committee to fully review the record
19	on that proposal.
20	Yesterday's suggestion to sunset
21	the flawed annotation by not voting it in
22	again, but to leave the category of vitamins

326

(202) 234-4433

and minerals intact and add new annotation 1 language in the fall, appears to be a good 2 3 compromise. The NOP's clarification of how that would work seems to be reasonable and 4 acceptable as well. 5 Separate from the fortification 6 7 question, several erroneous statements have been made about Martek's DHA 8 and ARA, 9 materials that were petitioned last summer and will be reviewed at the fall NOSB meeting. 10 11 Let me give you a quick statement of the 12 facts. DHA and ARA are safe. Thev have 13 been evaluated for more than 15 years in over 14 200 preclinical and human clinical studies, 15

17 requlatory FDA and agencies 18 worldwide have reviewed the safety of our 19 products on numerous occasions, and all have 20 agreed that these ingredients are safe for use formula, foods, 21 in infant beverages, and 22 supplements.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

including studies with infants.

1	Our DHA and ARA are products of
2	fermentation, a natural biological process
3	which provides a non-genetically-modified,
4	sustainable vegetarian and allergen-free
5	source of DHA and ARA.
6	Lastly, the health benefits of our
7	materials is continually being recognized on
8	a global basis, as is evidenced by the
9	European Parliament approving a visual
10	development claim for DHA earlier this month,
11	and the European Food Safety Authority
12	recommending several health and nutrient
13	content claims relating to DHA last fall.
14	We look forward to working with
15	you during our petition review and trusting
16	your ability to separate fact from fiction and
17	complete a fair and objective review of our
18	materials over the next few months.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions?
21	Steve and, then, Jay. Anyone else? Okay. Go
22	ahead.

	329
1	MR. DeMURI: Are you aware of any
2	studies or data regarding allergic reactions
3	to your ARA or DHA?
4	MS. CHENEY: Completely unaware of
5	any.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?
7	MR. FELDMAN: So, just to be
8	clear, you are good with subjecting your
9	products to full review outside of the
10	mineral, nutrients, and supplement category?
11	Or I always get it wrong. Vitamin, mineral,
12	and nutrients, is that right? Close enough.
13	MS. CHENEY: I understand.
14	We are. Based on the meeting last
15	April, it was very clear that these materials
16	would have to be petitioned. We left the
17	meeting I'm sorry. What did I say?
18	Okay. Yes, we are.
19	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Thank you.
20	Thank you. Thank you.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
22	much.
1	

	330
1	MS. CHENEY: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Andrew Wilcox is
3	up next. Beth Robinette is standing by.
4	MR. WILCOX: Hi. My name is Andy
5	Wilcox. I am an egg farmer from Roy,
6	Washington, approximately 60 miles south of
7	here.
8	We support the new livestock
9	standards for egg layers. Since 2005, we have
10	been letting our birds go outside. We really
11	haven't seen any issues.
12	We understand there is more risk
13	as far as disease, and it is tougher for
14	biosecurity, but we have put that into our
15	cost of production and done as much measures
16	as we can to prevent those types of issues.
17	The only area, we have started
18	building our buildings at the five square foot
19	per thousand. And actually, the cooler
20	weather and the cooler temperatures haven't
21	been a problem. The toughest with that square
22	foot is the higher temperatures. When you are
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	at 85 or 90 degrees, the ventilation systems
2	that you are trying to design, it is really
3	tough when you have that much opening space.
4	So, it has been very viable, except for at
5	those really upper temperatures above 85
6	degrees.
7	The one area we do have a concern
8	on the standards is the pullets. We
9	vaccinate, like others, at between 12 and 14
10	weeks. And what we have seen, and I
11	understand the reasoning is that you are
12	encouraging the birds to go outside if they
13	are pullets and such, what we have seen is, as
14	long as we are getting the birds outside
15	between 20 and 25 weeks of age, they are
16	learning; it takes about two to three weeks.
17	The birds learn to go outside, and they are
18	fully utilizing the paddocks or the outside
19	access.
20	That's it.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
22	much.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	332
1	Any questions for Mr. Wilcox?
2	Wendy?
3	MS. FULWIDER: What age would you
4	support sending birds outdoors?
5	MR. WILCOX: At 24 weeks. Like I
6	said, between 20 and 25 weeks is what we
7	practice on our farm.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac?
9	MR. STONE: Is it NPIP that kind
10	of regulates some of the vaccine schedules or
11	some other agency or group?
12	MR. WILCOX: Generally, there is
13	not necessarily an agency. We just utilize
14	the advice of our veterinarians. And you
15	know, there are certain ages. You have
16	multiple vaccines that you have to utilize.
17	And so, we are just going off the advice of
18	our veterinarian at those ages.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
20	Beth Robinette is up next. Howard
21	Koozer is standing by.
22	MS. BETH ROBINETTE: My name is
I	1

	333
1	Beth Robinette, and I am a fourth-generation
2	cattle rancher.
3	I am here today to ask you to
4	stand in solidarity with farmers and ranchers
5	who face the imminent threat that GMOs face to
6	producers.
7	As a young person in agriculture,
8	the proliferation of the organic standard has
9	been a beacon of hope. It has convinced me
10	that an ever-growing segment of consumers is
11	seeking food that is grown in a way that is
12	respectful to the earth. I want nothing more
13	than to produce wholesome food for my
14	community and be a steward of my land.
15	Everything we do on our ranch is
16	with an eye toward transparency and the health
17	of our animals, the earth, and our customers.
18	But the threat of GMOs could bring all that
19	crashing down.
20	As I am sure most of you are
21	aware, the scientific testing of the
22	environmental and human safety of GMOs has

(202) 234-4433

been anything but rigorous, not to mention the food security threat that is inevitable when a small handful of corporations own the intellectual property contained within the majority of food grown in this country.

Many of my customers buy our beef 6 7 because I can guarantee them that our animals genetically-modified never 8 consume any 9 organisms. With the release of Roundup Ready alfalfa, it will be extremely difficult to 10 11 guarantee that to my customers any longer. At 12 some point, the risk of contamination will become inevitable. 13

Our ranch has recently begun the process of organic certification as a way to communicate to our customers that our beef is raised according to certain standards of animal and human well-being. Being GMO-free is a large part of that.

20 Soon I fear I will have to face my 21 customers in the spirit of transparency and 22 confess to them that we can no longer provide

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

them with food that I can morally stand behind 100 percent.

1

2

3 As others have stated before me, and I am sure as many will hereafter, co-4 existence with GMOs is not possible. There is 5 for me to prevent GMOs from 6 no way 7 contaminating my fields. If nothing is done, then very soon no farmer or rancher who grows 8 9 alfalfa can make a claim that their crops are GMO-free. 10

11 In an effort to protect organic 12 producers, the NOSB has stated that GMOs will be allowed in organic food as long as they are 13 the of contamination 14 result and not intentional introduction. This erodes the 15 meaning of the word "organic". 16

no one stands up to the 17 Ιf 18 corporations that release these biological 19 weapons of capital domination into the 20 eventually, the contamination environment, will become so prevalent that consumers can no 21 22 longer trust the organic label.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	33
1	That is why I am asking the Board
2	to craft a letter to Secretary Vilsack and
3	President Obama asking that the decision on
4	Roundup Ready alfalfa be rescinded.
5	I also ask that the Board support
6	the labeling of any products that contain
7	GMOs, whether they be conventional or organic.
8	Farmers and ranchers have been robbed of their
9	choice to decide whether or not they will grow
10	GMOs on their land. Don't allow the same fate
11	to fall on consumers.
12	(Applause.)
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
14	much, Ms. Robinette.
15	Any questions? Jay Feldman?
16	MR. FELDMAN: Do you grow alfalfa?
17	MS. BETH ROBINETTE: Yes. We
18	don't sell hay. We just grow a small amount
19	of hay, and, obviously, our cattle graze on
20	pasture. We do grass-fed beef.
21	MR. FELDMAN: How do you do that
22	without GMO alfalfa?

336

	337
1	MS. BETH ROBINETTE: Well, it is a
2	little-known fact that over 90 percent of the
3	alfalfa grown in this country does not require
4	any pesticides to be grown.
5	MR. FELDMAN: I knew that. Thank
6	you.
7	(Laughter.)
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other
9	questions?
10	MS. BETH ROBINETTE: Can I quickly
11	mention that former Board Member Jennifer Hall
12	has already stated that she would gladly
13	support such a letter and would sign it.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
15	Howard Koozer is up next.
16	Elizabeth Fry is standing by.
17	MR. KOOZER: Good afternoon.
18	I am Howard Koozer. I have a
19	moderate-sized organic chicken egg farm a
20	little ways north of here.
21	The issue we have is with regard
22	to the guidelines requiring the pullets to
	I

(202) 234-4433

have outdoor access. And there are three primary reasons.

1

2

3 One, the first reason, which really was the first one to come to mind when 4 we were designing our layout, is that one of 5 the big challenges is to get the body weights 6 7 of the bird to the target weight for beginning of lay by the time, the age they need to be 8 9 transferred.

in order to keep them from 10 So, 11 going into lay too early, they developed, they 12 are utilizing a natural part of the chicken's repertoire in the wild, and when the birds 13 grow up during the fall when the day length is 14 15 decreasing. When they become adults, they 16 don't start laying eggs for reproduction because they can't successfully produce brood. 17 So, we utilize that part of their 18 19 genetic repertoire to keep them from going

into lay while their body weight is too low.

incrementally, so that they think that it is

You decrease the amount of light they have

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

20

21

22

	339
1	fall. And so, they don't start laying eggs
2	before their bodies are mature enough to
3	support it successfully.
4	Then, the next reason that is
5	quite important is the vaccination program is
6	not complete. In our program, the last
7	vaccination takes place at 14 weeks of age,
8	and it takes a few weeks for the immune system
9	to fully respond to each vaccination.
10	Some of the vaccinations are
11	incremental. There is one of them that is
12	done in three steps, and the last one is
13	combined with one that is just a single.
14	So, to put them outside when their
15	immunity is immature is risky to the welfare
16	of the bird as well the welfare of the farmer,
17	who has got a large investment in them.
18	So, the population-at-large is
19	more concerned with the welfare of the bird,
20	and it is no less the concern of the producer.
21	However, he also has to be worried about
22	staying in business.
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	340
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
2	much.
3	MR. KOOZER: Any questions?
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Wendy?
5	MS. FULWIDER: So, what age do you
6	support outdoor access?
7	MR. KOOZER: We put our birds into
8	the adult production rooms at the age of 18
9	weeks. And at that point, they have access to
10	the outdoors, weather permitting.
11	The birds that are put out into
12	these lay barns, at that point in time, take
13	about a week to learn go out. Significant
14	numbers of the birds are going out in one
15	week.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac?
17	MR. KOOZER: And as far as nest
18	training, the only thing that is necessary is
19	just wait until early afternoon, after they
20	are done laying, open the doors, and they are
21	okay. So, that is not an argument.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac?
	I

(202) 234-4433

	341
1	MR. STONE: What season do you and
2	other pullet producers shoot for? Or is there
3	a year-round pullet production? What is the
4	seasonality of your all's operation?
5	MR. KOOZER: In my operation, we
6	have six production clocks, and they are in
7	10-week age steps. So, every 10 weeks, we get
8	a group of babies. Then, after 10 weeks, they
9	get moved from the brooder rooms to the pullet
10	rooms. And, then, in another nine to ten
11	weeks, we put them into the production rooms.
12	So, it is continuous all year long.
13	MR. STONE: So, that is why the
14	light management is critical to
15	MR. KOOZER: Yes.
16	MR. STONE: development at
17	those various stages
18	MR. KOOZER: Right.
19	MR. STONE: depending on the
20	time of year?
21	MR. KOOZER: And so, letting them
22	out with the light pattern makes it very
	I

(202) 234-4433

342 difficult. 1 2 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 3 Any other questions? (No response.) 4 5 All right. Thank you. 6 MR. KOOZER: 7 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Elizabeth Fry, you're up next. Cathy Franck is standing by. 8 9 MS. FRY: Logistics. There we 10 are. 11 I am Liz Fry. I am the Whole 12 Foods Market Quality Standards Coordinator for Animal Products. I have been working on 13 welfare standards for almost nine animal 14 15 years. In the preamble to the proposed 16 animal welfare standards, it states that you 17 18 seek standards the gold to make these 19 standard. Whole Foods Market agrees with this 20 The incredible amount of work that the qoal. 21 Livestock Committee has done is a huge step in 22 this direction.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	From listening to our shoppers who
2	are looking for the best food for their
3	families, we know the prevalent belief is that
4	organic certification is already the gold
5	standard when it comes to animal welfare.
6	We believe that the standards
7	should coincide with consumer expectations.
8	Especially with emerging transparency into
9	animal production systems, it is essential
10	that the national organic standards truly
11	impact the welfare of farm animals.
12	Whole Foods Market has recently
13	launched the Global Animal Partnership Five-
14	Step Animal Welfare Rating, which is an animal
15	welfare program that rates farms according to
16	welfare practices to six different levels.
17	With the level of transparency
18	this program provides, the customers'
19	assumptions about animal welfare practices
20	will be supported or not. This is the first,
21	but judging from the enthusiastic consumer
22	response, will not be the last program of its
I	I

343

(202) 234-4433

1	type.
2	The most frequently-asked question
3	in the area where we have had this program
4	rolled out the longest is, why is the organic
5	chicken in these particular stores only a Step
6	Two? And our answer to that up to this point
7	has been because the organic standards are
8	looking at inputs and surroundings rather than
9	animal welfare; these standards focus
10	specifically on animal welfare.
11	We believe that the current
12	proposed standards are too general. Laying
13	hens and broilers have different welfare
14	needs. Under the proposed standards, beef
15	cattle welfare is addressed by the same
16	standards as dairy cattle, but the two types
17	of cattle are raised in very different
18	environments and have very different welfare
19	issues and different needs.
20	There are different types of
21	animal production that should be included in
22	the standards. Each of these types of
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

production should be identified with different standards that will provide transparency to the consumer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

On Tuesday, we heard Matt O'Hare point out that two square feet of outdoor space is insufficient for laying hens on Two square feet is appropriate for pasture. outdoor access from an indoor system. But, yet, there are no standards for what a pasture system should look like. 10

11 We heard Ashley Swaffar talk about 12 the problem that transport time limits don't pertain to poultry, and they don't 13 even pertain to, you know, they are not exactly 14 right for all the mammals. 15 Twelve hours is not right for everybody. 16

17 Different types production of 18 require different standards. Different 19 species require different standards. And the 20 species bred for different purposes same require different standards. 21

> CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

345

	34
1	MS. FRY: These complexities need
2	to be considered in the developing standards
3	for animal welfare, so they are clear and so
4	there are not more loopholes created by
5	lumping very different animals and situation
6	into the same overarching set of principles.
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Liz.
8	Any questions? We will start with
9	Nick, and, then, Jay.
10	MR. MARAVELL: I have seen the
11	Whole Foods stratification on animal welfare,
12	but I don't recall, do you manage to do what
13	you are asking us to do, which is to look at
14	species-by-species type of production and,
15	then, final product? You are able to sort
16	that through and make different standards, if
17	you will, or different criteria for the
18	different classifications?
19	MS. FRY: Yes, the different step
20	levels are focused on different types of
21	production systems, and there is a set of
22	standards. Right now, the Global Animal
	I

1	Partnership, they are not Whole Foods
2	standards. Global Animal Partnership
3	standards are finished for three species, and
4	they are working on standards for other
5	species, but, yes, essentially.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?
7	MR. FELDMAN: I think my question
8	was the same. I am trying to get at how you
9	operationalize the standards in your buying
10	practices, which is I think a similar
11	question, so that you are adhering to these
12	global standards, these global international
13	standards, and you are applying those to your
14	purchasing decisions when you go out and
15	source the product. You are currently
16	applying those standards?
17	MS. FRY: Currently applying the
18	standards for beef, chicken, and pork because
19	those are the only ones finished.
20	MR. FELDMAN: And are you having
21	trouble applying those standards?
22	MS. FRY: What Whole Foods did was
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	348
1	they asked all of the current producers to
2	become certified to the Global Animal
3	Partnership Program. They gave them a year of
4	lead time.
5	And the producers have stepped up
6	to the plate. There was a little bit of
7	resistance initially because of cost. I have
8	heard a lot of concerns about cost. There
9	have been concerns that we would lose
10	producers. I have heard that concern voiced,
11	too.
12	But what we have found is that the
13	producers step up to the plate. The producers
14	have been much more innovative than we
15	expected, and they have come up with novel
16	ways of producing animals. They have changed
17	their practices, and it has been quite
18	effective.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
20	Cathy Franck, you're up. Steven
21	Moore is standing by.
22	MS. FRANCK: Hi. My name is Cathy

(202) 234-4433

	ے۔ ا
1	Franck, and I sell real estate for my
2	livelihood, but I try to eat daily and I am
3	very concerned about our nation's food supply,
4	so concerned I have created a consumer-
5	oriented project called the Real Food Watch
6	Club Biodiversity Project.
7	I have owned a farm, but was so
8	busy with work, I didn't farm that
9	extensively.
10	The Real Food Watch Club's main
11	concern is GMOs that reproduce or in some way
12	spread their DNA in the open environment. The
13	only federal GMO-free label we have in this
14	country is the USDA certified organic label.
15	You all have an awesome task, and
16	this a complex puzzle. My hope is that you
17	keep the program logical for the farmers, so
18	they do not get frustrated or discouraged with
19	the program.
20	We consumers want to see more
21	organic labels, not fewer. When you remove or
22	restrict an existing material, tool, or

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	practice method from the program, for that one
2	farmer you find whose livelihood depends on
3	that material or practice usage, can he or she
4	be grandfathered in to continue that practice
5	until he or she is able to successfully
6	replace that practice with an alternate
7	acceptable method or material?
8	Is there a way that you can
9	educate him or her in alternate methods? And
10	is there a way you can look to the European or
11	even Canadian models for guidance, if you have
12	a need to change the program?
13	From a consumer choice standpoint,
14	I recommend keep it simple. Advanced organic
15	buyers understand the range of farming
16	philosophies, but, in general, parents want
17	healthy food for their kids; people want
18	healthy food for themselves and loved ones.
19	The target market should be huge.
20	Keep the consumers in mind. We want organic
21	and more of it. Though we are very educated
22	in label reading, we are still at our core

350

(202) 234-4433

351

1	simple people and gratefully buy organic for
2	simple reasons. No synthetic pesticides,
3	herbicides, and fungicides, and we understand
4	that there are limited exceptions. No sewage
5	sludge. No irradiation, and no GMOs.
6	Thank you all for your work in
7	protecting this label, keeping it simple for
8	the farmers who, for whatever reason, choose
9	to produce such healthy food and carrying the
10	torch forward this label, so we can eat food
11	with genetic makeup as Nature created and
12	intended.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
14	much.
15	Steve?
16	MR. DeMURI: So, from a consumer
17	standpoint, would you support mandated GMO
18	testing of organic products?
19	MS. FRANCK: That is a question I
20	haven't really totally thought through. I
21	will get back with you on an answer for that.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Fair enough.
	I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	352
1	Nick?
2	MR. MARAVELL: From the consumer
3	standpoint, you said that keep it simple, no
4	synthetics, but with limited exceptions. How
5	do you feel that is understood by the
6	consumers that are buying organic products?
7	Do you think that is how they view it, no
8	synthetics, but limited exceptions? How well-
9	known is that, I guess is what I am getting
10	at. Just your opinion.
11	MS. FRANCK: Well, I can only
12	speak for myself and people I talk to, but we
13	understand there are exceptions.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
15	John Foster?
16	MR. FOSTER: Hi. You had kind of
17	used air quotes there
18	MS. FRANCK: Yes, yes.
19	MR. FOSTER: earlier, early on,
20	where I believe you quoted GMO-free. And so,
21	I wonder if you could clarify what the air
22	quotes meant specifically.

(202) 234-4433

	353
1	MS. FRANCK: On that, it is just
2	that is what I had in my paper. Okay? I just
3	had that written down.
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. FOSTER: Okay. So, that was
6	okay.
7	MS. FRANCK: So, it didn't mean
8	MR. FOSTER: Okay.
9	MS. FRANCK: but it could. But
10	it could.
11	(Laughter.)
12	MR. FOSTER: Right. I guess maybe
13	I was reading too much into it, then, because
14	that is a hard term, as you obviously know.
15	MS. FRANCK: We understand, well,
16	I understand there is an issue, yes, but it
17	wasn't for that. Yes.
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
19	Steven Moore, you're up next. Is
20	Steven Moore in the audience?
21	(No response.)
22	No? Okay.
	I

1       Gwendolyn Wyard, you are up next.         2       Eli Penberthy is standing by.         3       Go ahead, Gwendolyn.         4       MS. WYARD: All right. Good         5       afternoon.         6       My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an         7       the Associate Director of Organic Standards         8       with the Organic Trade Association. I also         9       co-chaired the Materials Working Group with         10       Kim Dietz.         11       OTA's complete and detailed         12       comments were submitted in writing. Today I         13       will highlight several points on multiple         14       topics.         15       OTA supports the recommended         16       definition of chemical change, and we support         17       separate guidance documents for crop,         18       livestock, and handling.         19       In regards to significant and         20       insignificant, we support the direction of the         21       majority to base allowable levels on         22       applicable regulatory limits and the lack of		
2       Eli Penberthy is standing by.         3       Go ahead, Gwendolyn.         4       MS. WYARD: All right. Good         5       afternoon.         6       My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an         7       the Associate Director of Organic Standards         8       with the Organic Trade Association. I also         9       co-chaired the Materials Working Group with         10       Kim Dietz.         11       OTA's complete and detailed         12       comments were submitted in writing. Today I         13       will highlight several points on multiple         14       topics.         15       OTA supports the recommended         16       definition of chemical change, and we support         17       separate guidance documents for crop,         18       livestock, and handling.         19       In regards to significant and         20       insignificant, we support the direction of the         21       majority to base allowable levels on		354
Go ahead, Gwendolyn. MS. WYARD: All right. Good afternoon. My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an the Associate Director of Organic Standards with the Organic Trade Association. I also co-chaired the Materials Working Group with Kim Dietz. MOTA's complete and detailed comments were submitted in writing. Today I will highlight several points on multiple topics. MOTA supports the recommended definition of chemical change, and we support separate guidance documents for crop, livestock, and handling. In regards to significant and insignificant, we support the direction of the majority to base allowable levels on	1	Gwendolyn Wyard, you are up next.
4       MS. WYARD: All right. Good         5       afternoon.         6       My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an         7       the Associate Director of Organic Standards         8       with the Organic Trade Association. I also         9       co-chaired the Materials Working Group with         10       Kim Dietz.         11       OTA's complete and detailed         12       comments were submitted in writing. Today I         13       will highlight several points on multiple         14       topics.         15       OTA supports the recommended         16       definition of chemical change, and we support         17       separate guidance documents for crop,         18       livestock, and handling.         19       In regards to significant and         20       insignificant, we support the direction of the         21       majority to base allowable levels on	2	Eli Penberthy is standing by.
5       afternoon.         6       My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an         7       the Associate Director of Organic Standards         8       with the Organic Trade Association. I also         9       co-chaired the Materials Working Group with         10       Kim Dietz.         11       OTA's complete and detailed         12       comments were submitted in writing. Today I         13       will highlight several points on multiple         14       topics.         15       OTA supports the recommended         16       definition of chemical change, and we support         17       separate guidance documents for crop,         18       livestock, and handling.         19       In regards to significant and         20       insignificant, we support the direction of the         21       majority to base allowable levels on	3	Go ahead, Gwendolyn.
6My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an7the Associate Director of Organic Standards8with the Organic Trade Association. I also9co-chaired the Materials Working Group with10Kim Dietz.11OTA's complete and detailed12comments were submitted in writing. Today I13will highlight several points on multiple14topics.15OTA supports the recommended16definition of chemical change, and we support17separate guidance documents for crop,18livestock, and handling.19In regards to significant and20insignificant, we support the direction of the21majority to base allowable levels on	4	MS. WYARD: All right. Good
7the Associate Director of Organic Standards8with the Organic Trade Association. I also9co-chaired the Materials Working Group with10Kim Dietz.11OTA's complete and detailed12comments were submitted in writing. Today I13will highlight several points on multiple14topics.15OTA supports the recommended16definition of chemical change, and we support17separate guidance documents for crop,18livestock, and handling.19In regards to significant and20insignificant, we support the direction of the21majority to base allowable levels on	5	afternoon.
<ul> <li>with the Organic Trade Association. I also</li> <li>co-chaired the Materials Working Group with</li> <li>Kim Dietz.</li> <li>OTA's complete and detailed</li> <li>comments were submitted in writing. Today I</li> <li>will highlight several points on multiple</li> <li>topics.</li> <li>OTA supports the recommended</li> <li>definition of chemical change, and we support</li> <li>separate guidance documents for crop,</li> <li>livestock, and handling.</li> <li>In regards to significant and</li> <li>insignificant, we support the direction of the</li> <li>majority to base allowable levels on</li> </ul>	6	My name is Gwendolyn Wyard. I an
<ul> <li>9 co-chaired the Materials Working Group with</li> <li>10 Kim Dietz.</li> <li>11 OTA's complete and detailed</li> <li>12 comments were submitted in writing. Today I</li> <li>13 will highlight several points on multiple</li> <li>14 topics.</li> <li>15 OTA supports the recommended</li> <li>16 definition of chemical change, and we support</li> <li>17 separate guidance documents for crop,</li> <li>18 livestock, and handling.</li> <li>19 In regards to significant and</li> <li>20 insignificant, we support the direction of the</li> <li>21 majority to base allowable levels on</li> </ul>	7	the Associate Director of Organic Standards
10Kim Dietz.11OTA's complete and detailed12comments were submitted in writing. Today I13will highlight several points on multiple14topics.15OTA supports the recommended16definition of chemical change, and we support17separate guidance documents for crop,18livestock, and handling.19In regards to significant and20insignificant, we support the direction of the21majority to base allowable levels on	8	with the Organic Trade Association. I also
11OTA's complete and detailed12comments were submitted in writing. Today I13will highlight several points on multiple14topics.15OTA supports the recommended16definition of chemical change, and we support17separate guidance documents for crop,18livestock, and handling.19In regards to significant and20insignificant, we support the direction of the21majority to base allowable levels on	9	co-chaired the Materials Working Group with
12 comments were submitted in writing. Today I 13 will highlight several points on multiple 14 topics. 15 OTA supports the recommended 16 definition of chemical change, and we support 17 separate guidance documents for crop, 18 livestock, and handling. 19 In regards to significant and 20 insignificant, we support the direction of the 21 majority to base allowable levels on	10	Kim Dietz.
<ul> <li>13 will highlight several points on multiple</li> <li>14 topics.</li> <li>15 OTA supports the recommended</li> <li>16 definition of chemical change, and we support</li> <li>17 separate guidance documents for crop,</li> <li>18 livestock, and handling.</li> <li>19 In regards to significant and</li> <li>20 insignificant, we support the direction of the</li> <li>21 majority to base allowable levels on</li> </ul>	11	OTA's complete and detailed
14topics.15OTA supports the recommended16definition of chemical change, and we support17separate guidance documents for crop,18livestock, and handling.19In regards to significant and20insignificant, we support the direction of the21majority to base allowable levels on	12	comments were submitted in writing. Today I
15OTA supports the recommended16definition of chemical change, and we support17separate guidance documents for crop,18livestock, and handling.19In regards to significant and20insignificant, we support the direction of the21majority to base allowable levels on	13	will highlight several points on multiple
16 definition of chemical change, and we support 17 separate guidance documents for crop, 18 livestock, and handling. 19 In regards to significant and 20 insignificant, we support the direction of the 21 majority to base allowable levels on	14	topics.
<pre>17 separate guidance documents for crop, 18 livestock, and handling. 19 In regards to significant and 20 insignificant, we support the direction of the 21 majority to base allowable levels on</pre>	15	OTA supports the recommended
18 livestock, and handling. 19 In regards to significant and 20 insignificant, we support the direction of the 21 majority to base allowable levels on	16	definition of chemical change, and we support
19 In regards to significant and 20 insignificant, we support the direction of the 21 majority to base allowable levels on	17	separate guidance documents for crop,
20 insignificant, we support the direction of the 21 majority to base allowable levels on	18	livestock, and handling.
21 majority to base allowable levels on	19	In regards to significant and
	20	insignificant, we support the direction of the
22 applicable regulatory limits and the lack of	21	majority to base allowable levels on
	22	applicable regulatory limits and the lack of

(202) 234-4433

1	a technical or functional effect in the final
2	product. This was the language originally
3	recommended by the 2005 NOSB. It was
4	incorporated into the 2006 NOP framework
5	document and, then, supported by the Material
6	Working Group in their 2009 presentation.
7	OTA requests the NOSB pass the
8	recommendation and, then, provide further
9	guidance by detailing the applicable
10	regulatory agencies and examples of limits
11	that would be used for crops, livestock, and
12	handling.
13	Corn steep liquor. OTA urges this
14	Board to respect and support the decision of
15	the 1995 Board and their classification of
16	cornstarch as non-synthetic. Corn steep
17	liquor is produced using the same process as
18	cornstarch.
19	And in 1995, Dr. Richard Theuer
20	and his Committee asked the same questions
21	that are being asked today: does the process
22	chemically change the cornstarch? And does a
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

significant amount of SO2 remain in the 1 2 cornstarch? The answers were no and no, and 3 the same applies to corn steep liquor. OTA is pleased that we do not 4 anyone arguing the classification 5 observe criteria per se. Instead, we observe a 6 7 difference in scientific opinion. It is extremely complicated. Therefore, OTA 8 9 supports your choice as an individual Board member to abstain if you are not ready to 10 11 vote. 12 Nutrient vitamins and minerals. supports a Committee vote to retain 13 OTA nutrient vitamins and minerals with the 14 current annotation. And we thank the 15 Committee for recognizing this first and very 16 17 important step.

While we understand the concerns of adopting a new annotation at this meeting, we prefer and encourage a second vote to adopt OTA's clarified annotation referencing specific CFRs. The annotation is certifiable

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	357
1	and enforceable and has received broad support
2	at this meeting.
3	Crops. Three take-home messages.
4	NOSB decisions need to be scale-neutral. The
5	National Organic Program is a globally-applied
6	standard, and neither an operation's location
7	nor its size should be a factor when deciding
8	the essentiality or fate of a material.
9	Decisions should not be made in
10	the interest of reducing the number of
11	materials used in organic production. Growers
12	rely on the NOSB to make decisions that are
13	based on factual evidence and a thorough
14	evaluation to all of the criteria for organic
15	materials review. If a viable alternative is
16	not commercially-tested and available, a
17	material should not sunset.
18	NOSB recommendations need to be
19	based on the force of evidence. If new
20	information is needed and a technical review
21	is not received, the Committee should not
22	recommend the removal of a material.

(202) 234-4433

	358
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you,
2	Gwendolyn.
3	MS. WYARD: Thank you very much.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
5	Any questions for Gwendolyn Wyard?
6	Okay, let's start with John and, then, Jay.
7	MR. FOSTER: On the ever-popular
8	corn steep liquor discussion, when you said
9	something about differing scientific opinion,
10	could you clarify that or at least get more
11	specific about what you meant by that?
12	MS. WYARD: Absolutely. So, one
13	thing that has been really encouraging, I
14	think a great thing that is coming out of the
15	discussion is that what I see in both the
16	minority and the majority is everybody is
17	asking the questions that are in the
18	classification document as far as the criteria
19	for determining synthetic and non-synthetic.
20	The difference of opinion, the
21	main differences of opinion that have been
22	discussed today have been between Dragan
I	

(202) 234-4433

1	Macura and Dr. David Johnston from ARS, the
2	ARS researchers that have been referred to.
3	But there are also other scientists that are
4	involved.
5	And I get concerned because a lot
6	of the discussion has been about whether or
7	not the scientists have a horse in the race.
8	I think that, based on the research that I
9	did, that Dr. David Johnston, he holds a
10	patent in enzymatic process for corn wet
11	milling. I think that Dr. Johnston also has
12	a horse in the race. But I also know of
13	another individual, a very well-respected
14	scientist, that doesn't necessarily have a
15	horse in the race, but he has certainly been
16	going to the horse races and he understands
17	the context extremely well.
18	(Laughter.)
19	I would say that he doesn't even
20	have a nickel to gain. That would be Dr.
21	Richard Theuer.
22	Thank you.
	I

(202) 234-4433

	360
1	He was the TAP reviewer in 1995.
2	He knows the process very well, and he has
3	submitted multiple comments on corn steep
4	liquor. And he has determined corn steep
5	liquor to be non-synthetic.
6	So, I think if there is a
7	scientific opinion out there that has weighed-
8	in on this, Richard Theuer would be a great
9	one to focus on, and his determination focuses
10	on corn steep liquor and the chemical change
11	has not occurred in the corn steep liquor.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Thank you.
13	MS. WYARD: Thank you. Yes.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: All right. Can
15	you make it brief, Jay? We are overtime.
16	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Thanks, Gwen.
17	You have mentioned Theuer now.
18	You have impugned the reputation of David
19	Johnston. So, I am wondering what the
20	reputation of OMRI is and why, you know, the
21	new Board members should know and have your
22	explanation for this because this is critical.

(202) 234-4433

	361
1	OMRI, who we rely on as a community
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: What is your
3	question?
4	MR. FELDMAN: has a 10-member
5	Board, a Technical Advisory Board that reviews
6	all these materials, and seven of three
7	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Please ask your
8	question. NOSB members, please ask your
9	question.
10	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Why do we not
11	hear your critique of the OMRI decision and
12	history on the corn steep liquor decision,
13	which was very strong, extremely strong and
14	technical? Why does that not come up in your
15	analysis?
16	MS. WYARD: It didn't come up in
17	this analysis. It could come up. I would be
18	more than happy to do that.
19	MR. FELDMAN: But you are telling
20	us we should rely on Richard Theur; whereas,
21	the entity, the institution this community
22	relies on for technical information, it just
l	1

(202) 234-4433

	362
1	seems
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay, you have
3	asked your
4	MR. FELDMAN: It just seems like
5	you are leaving that out.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Let her
7	answer. Jay?
8	MR. FELDMAN: I am trying to
9	figure out why you are leaving that out.
10	MS. WYARD: I did not
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Let her answer
12	your question, please. Okay? Let's not
13	badger the public and let them answer the
14	questions we ask.
15	MS. WYARD: Again, it comes down
16	to scientific opinions. I would really need
17	OMRI to speak for their decision that they
18	made. I believe that their decision came down
19	to whether or not there was a change in
20	functional properties, and I would have to go
21	back and reexamine that.
22	But I did choose to focus on

(202) 234-4433

363 Richard Theuer primarily because, again, I 1 want us to respect the decision of a past NOSB 2 3 Board. And I think that Richard was an appropriate person to look at because the 4 Board had already made a determination that 5 cornstarch is non-synthetic. And I think that 6 7 that was the area I chose to focus. And I would have to ask OMRI to 8 9 discuss their determinations. I am aware of them, but I am not prepared to go into them in 10 11 detail. 12 Thank you. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Thanks very 13 much. 14 15 Next up is Eli Penberthy or "Ellie" Penberthy. John Ashby is standing by. 16 MS. PENBERTHY: Hi. 17 My name is Eli Penberthy, and I am here speaking as a 18 consumer as well as the seafood advisor at PCC 19 20 Natural Markets here in Seattle. The Board's recommendation 21 to 22 certify farmed fish is flawed as written and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	must be revised. The marine feedlots of open
2	ocean aquaculture violate fundamental organic
3	principles. I ask you to revise the
4	recommendation, so that only vegetarian
5	species in closed land-based systems may be
6	certified organic.
7	Carnivorous fish, such as salmon,
8	tuna, cod, and halibut, raised on pellets made
9	from wild fish must not be eligible to be
10	certified organic. The dioxins, PCBs, and
11	other contaminants concentrated in the feed
12	are passed along to consumers. The
13	Environmental Working Group found farmed
14	salmon have 16 times more PCBs than wild
15	salmon.
16	The Board's recommendation for
17	farmed salmon would allow up to 25 percent of
18	the feed to be wild-caught fish. This
19	violates the principle that organic animals
20	must be raised on 100 percent organic feed.
21	It also results in an unsustainable loss of
22	protein. To add a pound of weight to farmed
	l

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 salmon requires three to nine pounds of small 2 fish. Cod, halibut, tuna, and other 3 carnivorous species may need up to 15 to 20 4 pounds. 5 One-third of the ocean's harvest 6 is herring, anchovies, mackerel, and other 7 small fish which are made into fish meal and

oil for fattening farmed fish and animals. The aquaculture industry already uses more than half of the world's fish meal and more than 80 percent of the fish oil. This is simply unsustainable and violates the core organic principle to restore, maintain, and enhance ecological harmony and balance natural systems.

Floating feedlots endanger native marine species, flushing unfiltered fish waste into the environment, while sea lice and other parasites and diseases are rampant and linked to die-offs of juvenile wild salmon and other fish. Sea lice are showing resistance to chemical pesticide treatments.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Confining migratory wild fish with 1 strong instinctual drives, such as salmon, in 2 3 cages prevents them from exercising their natural behaviors, violating another core 4 organic principle. 5 Current recommendations are too 6 7 broad and should not automatically include all species. They fail to meet the high standards 8 9 that organic consumers expect. A better consider for 10 approach would be to 11 certification closed land-based systems that 12 recirculate water, collect for waste fertilizer, can provide organic feed 13 for vegetarian fish, and do not threaten wild 14 15 stocks. Catfish and tilapia would be a good 16 place to start. I also brought copies of PCC's 17 18 newsletter, "The Sound Consumer", and the 19 cover story this month is about organic 20 aquaculture, the question of whether it is feasible. 21 22 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Ms.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

367 Penberthy. 1 Any questions? 2 3 (No response.) John Ashby is up next, and, then, 4 we will go to a short break. 5 MR. ASHBY: I am John Ashby with 6 7 California Natural Products. Last time I gave comments, I gave 8 9 them in the form of haiku, and I got so much grief for it that I swore I would never do it 10 11 aqain. 12 (Laughter.) But I figure the iambic pentameter 13 14 format of the Elizabethan sonnet, that should be safe. 15 (Laughter.) 16 Hence, what silicon through yonder 17 18 window doth flow? In our mortal lives, we 19 aren't always able to sagaciously ferret the 20 fact from the fable. To make a powder seems simple enough, though to make a powder, you 21 22 must make it flow.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	368
1	(Laughter.)
2	I've been making powders for 20
3	years more. The one biggest fear is that it
4	won't pour.
5	(Laughter.)
6	A powder food maker has three
7	tools in their kit. There's fiber and silicon
8	and calcium; that's it.
9	(Laughter.)
10	There's so many forms of these
11	three simple types. Sometimes one works;
12	sometimes others. You need them all. Yipes!
13	(Laughter.)
14	One thing ain't the answer. That
15	never will work. To think otherwise is to
16	just be a unknowledgeable product
17	developer.
18	(Laughter.)
19	The petitioner's item is rice
20	hulls. Yahoo! C&P is rice. But it's 70
21	percent fiber, 17 silicon, that's true. It's
22	fiber, not mineral. So, what will it do?

(202) 234-4433

	369
1	Will work in some cases; in others, no, no.
2	Alas, though a fiber just isn't a
3	rock, it acts like a fiber, not silicon.
4	What? Shocked?
5	It don't defoam liquids because it
6	ain't SIO2. It acts like a fiber. The foam,
7	it won't do.
8	Without having silicon, my solids
9	will stick. I'll be out of business. I can't
10	sell a brick.
11	(Laughter.)
12	A fiber won't do it. Don't know
13	other tricks. Need silicon dioxide, so my
14	powders don't stick.
15	(Applause.)
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
17	Katrina?
18	MS. HEINZE: I get one off-the-
19	cuff one. Do you teach that as a skill?
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. ASHBY: I'm not sure. Okay?
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: All right. Thank
	I

(202) 234-4433

	370
1	you very much.
2	We will take a 10-minute break.
3	Five minutes after the hour, please, Board
4	members.
5	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
6	went off the record at 2:56 p.m. and resumed
7	at 3:13 p.m.)
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: We're back in
9	session.
10	Next up is Dave Martinelli. Dave,
11	are you in the room?
12	MR. MARTINELLI: Here.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
14	Brynn Arborico, you are standing
15	by. Brynn, are you in the room?
16	MS. BRYNN ARBORICO: I am.
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
18	Go ahead, Mr. Martinelli.
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Dave Martinelli,
20	Coleman Natural Foods.
21	I want to thank Madam Chair for
22	allowing a break between John Ashby and I. He

(202) 234-4433

```
www.nealrgross.com
```

	371
1	would be a tough act to follow.
2	(Laughter.)
3	So, this time I am actually not
4	going to speak to you all about methionine, so
5	you get a break from that topic. I know it's
6	a disappointment.
7	But I have a very kind of detailed
8	comment about one aspect of the animal welfare
9	slaughter/transport document. There is a
10	provision in there, Item 5 under Section (a).
11	"Slaughter plant management shall coordinate
12	with transporters to assure that waiting time
13	on the shipping container is no more than one
14	hour." And my understanding is that would be
15	the wait time of the delivery truck with the
16	live animals at the processing facility.
17	I think for certain species that
18	may be appropriate. I would tell you for
19	poultry it is completely unworkable. And the
20	reason for that is those animals are not put
21	in any other holding area prior to slaughter.
22	They go immediately from the truck to the
I	

(202) 234-4433

slaughter facility. It is physically
impossible to schedule with that degree of
accuracy, that the birds would never be on the
truck for more than one hour after they arrive
at the plant.

6 Really, it is also a significant 7 disadvantage for smaller processors who, by 8 virtue of the size of their facility and the 9 speeds of their line, often it takes them 10 multiple hours to process a single load of 11 birds. So, they would be in violation of the 12 standard virtually all the time.

I would tell you that producers in 13 general have a significant disincentive from 14 keeping birds or any livestock for an extended 15 period of time in a holding, a shipping 16 container. You do have yield loss that occurs 17 18 on the animal, and purely from an economics 19 perspective most processors will self-regulate 20 and try to keep the birds or other livestock in a shipping container for the minimum amount 21 22 of time that is possible.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

1	That being said, in the case of
2	poultry specifically, the birds do need a
3	certain amount of calming and settle time
4	after transport. So, you would probably leave
5	them on the trailer for maybe an hour, up to
6	a max of six or eight hours would probably be
7	a better maximum, if you felt you needed to
8	have a maximum number in the regs.
9	One thing I would like you to
10	consider is maybe, rather than focusing
11	strictly on the amount of time that the
12	animals are waiting, is maybe beefed-up
13	language a bad pun stepped-up language
14	around the conditions under which the animals
15	are held, so the environment that they are in.
16	You know, to maybe add some more language
17	around the fact that they need to be
18	sheltered; they need to be adequately cooled
19	or heated, dependent on the outside
20	conditions. That might be a more appropriate
21	way to address the welfare of the animal
22	during that period of time.
	I

373

1	And lastly, a question that came
2	up yesterday, I believe, around whether we are
3	going down the path of having this be a
4	regulation or a guidance document. You know,
5	one concern that we would have is whatever
6	wait time is determined to be appropriate, and
7	let's say it's eight hours, and there is a
8	breakdown in the facility that requires that
9	those birds stay on the trailer for a longer
10	period of time, nine hours. Technically, at
11	that point the processor is in violation of
12	the organic standard. I mean, are those birds
13	able to be sold as organic at that point is
14	the question.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
16	Any questions for Dave Martinelli?
17	(No response.)
18	Thanks very much.
19	MR. MARTINELLI: Thank you.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Brynn Arborico is
21	up next.
22	Mark Kastel, you are standing by.
	I

	375
1	MS. BRYNN ARBORICO: So, hi. My
2	name is Brynn Arborico, and I am 16. I am a
3	tenth-grade student at Seattle Academy, as
4	well as being a five-year chicken owner.
5	So, I have four hens. It is
6	generally my job to let them out of their coup
7	where they spend the night every morning. It
8	is a pretty hard job to forget because, if I
9	do, they will just kind of kick up a ruckus
10	and start clucking and yelling.
11	And as soon as I let them out,
12	they all just kind of pour out of the coup.
13	Then, they will go to like different parts of
14	the yard and do whatever I guess it is that
15	chickens do best.
16	(Laughter.)
17	And I just say this because I
18	think that for me it is a really definitive
19	just example of how much space is important to
20	chickens. I know that my hens just really
21	aren't too happy when they are in something
22	like one square foot or two square feet per

(202) 234-4433

	37
1	bird.
2	So, my chicken ownership hasn't
3	made me a vegetarian or anything. I still
4	like my beef and my chicken. But I think it
5	has really drawn my attention to the welfare
6	of these birds and to the fact that,
7	basically, all this livestock is being raised
8	and is kind of sacrificing its life so that I
9	can eat it. I think that that makes me feel
10	like I have a responsibility towards making
11	sure that its life is as good as it can be.
12	So, like different animals require
13	different things for happiness. So, I don't
14	know. As a human, you might require a fun job
15	and a plane ticket around the world, but as a
16	dog, you might require two walks a day. As a
17	cow, you might require pasture.
18	And I think that, given my
19	experience as a chicken owner, the things that
20	chickens need most or value most is outdoor
21	access and space. So, this kind of brings me
22	to the label organic. It is that whenever I
	I

(202) 234-4433

	377
1	bug my parents or housemates to buy organic,
2	it is generally not out of like a health
3	concern for myself or something like that, but
4	it is more that I feel it is an ethical
5	choice. Because I believe that doing so
6	results in, it contributes to having like
7	happier small-scale farms, a better
8	environment, and, then, also, more humane
9	treatment of livestock.
10	I think that the same thing could
11	be said about a lot of people I know who buy
12	organic. So, for me, since happy animals is
13	such a big part of that, I just think that
14	happy animals is really more than one square
15	foot per chicken.
16	So, thank you.
17	(Applause.)
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
19	much.
20	MS. BRYNN ARBORICO: Okay.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions?
22	(No response.)

	378
1	Thank you.
2	Mark Kastel, you are next.
3	MR. KASTEL: Hello. My name is
4	Mark Allen Kastel. I am Co-Director of the
5	Cornucopia Institute. We are based in
6	Cornucopia, Wisconsin. I am here today
7	representing over 4,000 members, most of whom
8	are organic farmers, and I have a proxy from
9	Cornucopia's newest Board member and former
10	NOSB member, Kevin Engelbert.
11	The Chair and Executive Committee
12	has broken tradition this week and broken the
13	rules governing the conduct of this body, as
14	articulated in the Procedure Manual. Although
15	the language would indicate that the Chair has
16	the authority to deviate from the specified
17	five-minute public testimony, past Board
18	members have said when it was promulgated, it
19	was clear that it was written with the intent
20	to give people more time, not less.
21	But what is not open to debate is
22	that the Manual requires the use of proxies,

(202) 234-4433

1	taking testimony in the order of regulation.
2	It does not give the Chair the authority to
3	limit questions by the Board and selecting who
4	is going to get two minutes of questions and
5	who is going to get five to ten minutes of
6	questions.
7	We understand the challenges, but
8	other alternatives could have been developed
9	to provide the maximum amount of information
10	exchange between the community and these
11	valuable Board members.
12	Hogs. Although the Committee
13	modifications are an improvement and
14	acceptable in many regards, the space
15	requirements for small pigs are still woefully
16	inadequate and in line with the standards for
17	major CAFO groups in the United States. We
18	would recommend adopting the European
19	standards, and they are delineates in one of
20	the handouts I just spread out.
21	Poultry. The proof is in the
22	organic pudding. Hundreds of commercial-scale
ļ	I

379

(202) 234-4433

certified organic poultry producers around the country are complying with the law and letting their birds outside.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Birds are not dropping dead. Avian influenza and other pathogenetic outbreaks are not occurring. Consumers are willing to pay premiums for nutritionallysuperior eggs that are safe.

9 The testimony of Mr. Greq called Herbruck, 10 who himself a, quote, 11 "farmer" and his staff member represents other 12 industrial producers, Herbruck with well over somewhere between a million and 2 million 13 birds, he called himself an organic farmer. 14 15 Conventional, mostly in caqes, sold to Eqqland's Best or McDonald's. 16 These folks 17 should not be setting the standard for this 18 industry. They own one corporate-owned farm 19 with four buildings with 100,000 birds per 20 building that never go out in the legitimate And even though this debate 21 outdoor access. 22 is taking place, they are building two more

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

	38:
1	buildings at that same facility in the same
2	model.
3	I have no reason to question their
4	sincerity, but this is a voluntary program.
5	If they truly believe their birds are better
6	off and their eggs are healthier that's fine;
7	keep them inside. Just don't put the organic
8	seal on there.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Mr.
10	Kastel.
11	MR. KASTEL: So, I am open to
12	questions. I didn't get a chance to cover the
13	FDA rule, outdoor access for pullets, and the
14	vaccine protocol.
15	And here is the total of 2,000
16	signatures on the latest petition, just since
17	we gave you our signatures the other day.
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Mr.
19	Kastel.
20	Any questions?
21	MR. KASTEL: Thank you.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay Feldman and
	1

	382
1	Nick.
2	MR. FELDMAN: So, in terms of
3	outdoor access for pullets, what are you
4	saying about the safety proposal on the table?
5	MR. KASTEL: Well, I would respond
6	two ways. One is, since there is the
7	contention that outdoors in this beautiful
8	planet of ours is soiled and spoiled, and that
9	we can't safely let the birds out, I guess we
10	shouldn't be growing organic crops outdoors,
11	either. So, we should sterilize the soil,
12	grow them within greenhouse structures. You
13	know, we have to deal with the environment,
14	and, hopefully, we are all contributing to
15	improving the environment.
16	There are widespread problems with
17	avian influenza and other disease outbreaks,
18	but they haven't happened at homes, like our
19	last testifier. They haven't happened on
20	hobby farms, and they are not happening on
21	commercial-scale organic production facilities
22	for poultry.

	3
1	They have happened on CAFOs where
2	the birds' immune systems are compromised,
3	where they are being fed medicated feed, where
4	they are under tremendous stress because they
5	are not able to exhibit their natural
6	instinctive behaviors.
7	But, more specifically, I would
8	tell you that before I came here, I was asked
9	by a certifier the same question. And I
10	called Ryan Miller, who runs Farmer's Henhouse
11	in Kalona, Iowa. They manage 35 flocks.
12	Their eggs are marketed both by Farmer's
13	Henhouse and Organic Valley.
14	They have had over the years one
15	positive Salmonella outbreak that was traced
16	to rodents inside a building. I visited all
17	35 of these farms during our research study
18	that we have shared with you folks, and they
19	all legitimately get their birds outside,
20	mature birds.
21	They raise their own pullets.
22	Depending on the producer I talk to, they are

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

384 somewhere between six and twelve weeks. 1 No disease problems, no positive SE swabs. 2 3 MR. KASTEL: Nick Maravell has a question, too. 4 I'm sorry. 5 MR. KASTEL: Do you mind if we 6 CHAIR MIEDEMA: 7 get to our second --MR. KASTEL: Yes. 8 9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: -- Board member's question. 10 11 MR. KASTEL: Yes, Tracy, I was 12 Thank you. done. Okay. Nick? 13 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mark, I don't think MR. MARAVELL: 14 15 anybody on the NOSB is trying to limit debate, but we are trying to get the job done and it 16 17 is taking some time. 18 What I would like some input on is 19 how you and other members of the community might be able to help us out here. Are there 20 ways in which we can still get the information 21 22 in the way that you would like to see us get

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	it and still not make our Board meetings
2	longer than they are now? I think,
3	historically, Board meetings may have started
4	out at two, two-and-a-half days, and now we
5	are up to four days.
6	So, if there are some constructive
7	things that we can do here, things that would
8	put people's mind at ease, if there are things
9	that technology can help us with, bring us
10	something. Nobody wants to limit debate. We
11	need all the input that we are getting. We
12	just need to be able to do other things in
13	life.
14	MR. KASTEL: Nick, we sympathize
15	because we are in here, at least one of us
16	from Cornucopia, the whole time.
17	We will send the Board members
18	some ideas. But one would be to cut off
19	debate at some point or cut off registration
20	at some point. We were an hour ahead of time,
21	and I would testify that that would equal all
22	the proxies from past years at five minutes,
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

not three minutes.

1

1	
2	And, for instance, the three of us
3	at Cornucopia, if we are tight on time, we
4	don't require all three of us to have proxies
5	and 10 minutes apiece. But I can tell you,
6	some of the people that didn't show up were
7	our farmer members who said, after it was cut
8	down to three minutes, they said, "This is so
9	disrespectful. I can't invest five hours each
10	way to drive into this event to speak for
11	three minutes." They just didn't show up.
12	So, there is a happy medium
13	somehow here. The most egregious aspect of
14	this, in my opinion, is cutting off your
15	questions. I want to hear Marty Mesh talk
16	about Oprah.
17	MR. MILLER: Thank you, Mr.
18	Kastel. You did receive much more than the
19	five minutes, and it is time for
20	MR. KASTEL: Yes, I did, but not
21	everyone did.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: our next
ļ	

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	387
1	speaker.
2	MR. KASTEL: I appreciate it.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Let's go ahead and
4	move on to Marley Arborico.
5	MR. KASTEL: Thank you.
6	MS. MARLEY ARBORICO: Hi. So, I
7	am Marley Arborico. I am a freshman at
8	Seattle Academy of the Arts and Sciences.
9	When I was little and I had pets
10	like guinea pigs and rabbits, my mom, who is
11	in a psychology, taught me that the only way
12	that you can tell whether an animal is happy
13	or whether it is experiencing discomfort is to
14	give it freedom and see what it is attracted
15	towards and what it avoids.
16	And I have owned lots of chickens.
17	I have owned them for five years. Right now,
18	we have four. And when our chickens are let
19	free in our yard, they never choose to go in
20	the coup. And they are never static; they are
21	always moving, and they are changing the sites
22	of their dirt baths and changing the sites of
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	where they are eating. And they are never
2	close together, either. They are always
3	spread out. They know where each other are,
4	and they communicate, but they don't choose to
5	be packed body to body.
6	And at my house, the two things
7	that agitate my chickens the most are when a
8	cat comes in the yard or when we force them to
9	be in their coup all day.
10	Sometimes we take our chickens up
11	to our island. We have a cabin on an island.
12	There they can roam basically as much as they
13	want. When we bring them back to our home in
14	the city, they always are agitated by the fact
15	that they can't get beyond our fences. I
16	think that this really shows how important
17	freedom and space is to them.
18	To me, the idea of a chicken
19	having only one foot inside or two feet
20	outside is just horrifying. I know that when
21	I sit down at my dinner table I want to be
22	able to feel good about what I am eating. I
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	389
1	personally don't want to buy food or eat food
2	that I feel is inhumane. I don't think that
3	two feet or one foot is enough.
4	Thank you.
5	(Applause.)
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for
7	Ms. Arborico?
8	(No response.)
9	Thank you.
10	Hal Kreher is up. Eiko Vojkovich
11	is standing by.
12	MR. KREHER: Hi. My name is Hal
13	Kreher. I am a third-generation poultry
14	farmer from Buffalo, New York. My family has
15	been raising chickens for over 87 years.
16	I am submitting an entire packet
17	of information I hope you will give careful
18	consideration to. There are a few letters,
19	most noticeably, one from a parasitologist
20	from Cornell University Veterinary College
21	that details the parasites that are now rare,
22	which will become increasingly a problem for
l	I

(202) 234-4433

	390
1	organic flocks with exposure to soils.
2	These are things that my
3	grandfather and my father had to deal with.
4	Unfortunately, they are no longer with us to
5	come and talk. I sure wish they were.
6	Pardon my voice. I am a little
7	upset after a previous speaker who claimed
8	that the avian influenza is a problem of
9	CAFOs. There are currently two avian
10	influenza cases in the United States, do you
11	know that, right now?
12	There is one on a turkey farm in
13	Missouri. They have 30,000 turkeys. That is
14	technically not a CAFO. Okay?
15	And, then, there is another, and
16	this is in the packet of information that I am
17	sending around, there is another one in
18	Nebraska in backyard flocks. So, yes, it does
19	happen in backyard flocks.
20	You know, I am a little upset when
21	somebody would come and spread misinformation
22	like that. It is very upsetting to me.

(202) 234-4433

1	There is a handout, a fact sheet
2	from the USDA on avian influenza. One of the
3	things it shows is that in 1983-84 we were hit
4	by a tsunami, the outbreak of high-path avian
5	influenza in Pennsylvania and Virginia.
6	Seventeen million birds were euthanized to
7	control it. We must prevent this from ever
8	happening again. It is the right thing to do
9	for proper animal care.
10	There are also two handouts. I
11	talked about those already. There is a
12	handout that is a USDA biosecurity guide which
13	is recommended by NOP Policy Memo 11-12. This
14	memo directs organic producers and certifiers
15	should review APHIS guidance on this issue and
16	determine any action necessary to protect
17	organic poultry flocks.
18	The guidance contains a section on
19	steps to disease prevention. The first step
20	is to keep your distance, to keep flocks in
21	the best of health, editor's note, highest
22	animal welfare, you need to isolate your birds
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	392
1	from visitors and other birds. Here's how; it
2	goes on.
3	"Game birds and migratory
4	waterfowl should not have contact with your
5	flocks because they carry germs and diseases.
6	If your birds are outdoors, try to keep them
7	in an enclosed area with a solid roof" it
8	is on there right from APHIS "and wire mesh
9	or netted sides."
10	I also included a chart that was
11	developed as part of the European research
12	into the welfare implications of different
13	housing systems for laying hens, known as the
14	"Lay Well Study".
15	It is a study of the research that
16	is done on poultry welfare. What they
17	basically found was that all these different
18	housing systems had tradeoffs. There were
19	various risk factors for animal welfare, and
20	the amount of risk for each particular welfare
21	indicator varied, depending on the system.
22	There was no one system that ensured that the

(202) 234-4433

hens were cared for in the best way. 1 2 So, the system that is used in 3 order to address these risks has to be And I suggest developing a list of 4 managed. the various humane care standards, American 5 humane farm animal care, and let the farmers 6 7 choose a system off that. All of these are third-party audited to ensure compliance and 8 9 continuous improvement in the management 10 system. 11 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina? 12 MS. HEINZE: Animal welfare is turning out to be a tough one at this meeting. 13 MR. KREHER: 14 Yes. 15 MS. HEINZE: You know, we have 16 heard from so many people that they want us to move forward. So, my question for you is, if 17 18 we move forward indicating a strong desire 19 that much of this be in quidance, so that it 20 could evolve as we learn, what does that mean 21 for you? 22 MS. ALLAN: Well, first of all,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	let me say that there are no producers that
2	are against animal welfare. We all depend on
3	these animals for our livelihoods. We take
4	excellent care of them. Their health is
5	paramount to our success.
6	To me, the best thing that you
7	could do would be to develop this list. And
8	it shouldn't be just one. It should be a list
9	of American humane certified, humane farm
10	animal care, food alliance. You know, if you
11	want, that GAP five-step program.
12	And let the farmers choose off of
13	that list which one. They have all looked at
14	so many more things than you have looked at.
15	They have looked at not just perch space, but
16	they have looked at feeder space. They look
17	at nesting space. There are so many more
18	issues.
19	For American Humane care, you have
20	to look at your mortality every day and write
21	down a cause of death, so that they know you
22	looking at that, and if you have got a

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	395
1	problem, that you are addressing it.
2	Maybe it wouldn't be appropriate
3	for a small farm, but over a certain size a
4	third-party system seems to me to be a good
5	idea.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Katrina?
7	MS. HEINZE: I think that meant
8	no. Is that correct?
9	(Laughter.)
10	MR. KREHER: No to?
11	MS. HEINZE: If it moved forward
12	as guidance, you don't like that option?
13	MR. KREHER: Well, I could see it
14	moving forward as guidance.
15	MS. HEINZE: Oh, I'm glad I asked.
16	(Laughter.)
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any other
18	questions?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. KREHER: Thank you.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Mr.
22	Kreher.

	396
1	Eiko Vojkovich is up next. Zareb
2	Herman is standing by.
3	MS. VOJKOVICH: Hello. My name is
4	Eiko Vojkovich. My husband and I own Skagit
5	River Ranch, and we sell about 200 head of
6	organic grass-finished cattle, about 20,000
7	dozen eggs, 200 hogs a year.
8	We have been farming organic 12
9	years now. I also sit on the Washington State
10	Organic Advisory Board.
11	I want to thank you for bringing
12	the meeting to this area, the only reason I
13	could be here this week. I hope you continue
14	to go around the country for NOSB meetings.
15	Sitting through this week, I
16	realize I am one of those minute and
17	insignificant group of farmers that Mr. Miller
18	from Iowa talked about. And we are also proud
19	to be making a minute living. And I am proud
20	of the fact that our eggs scored the highest
21	number 5 in the Cornucopia organic egg
22	scoreboard. We sell to about 500 families
	I

(202) 234-4433

	397
1	through four local farmers' markets, eight
2	restaurants, five health food stores on a
3	weekly basis.
4	With due respect, I disagree with
5	Mr. Miller that we are an insignificant group.
6	I would even venture to say it is these small
7	organic farmers that keep the backbone of this
8	organic industry.
9	Today I want to focus on the
10	consumers' aspect of this industry, since my
11	farm mostly does direct marketing, and I know
12	knowing what my customers want directly
13	affects our farm's survival. The consumers
14	are the ones who are demanding this animal
15	welfare. And as a sustainable farmer, I fully
16	support the movement.
17	I would also like to appeal to the
18	common-sense approach of food safety,
19	providing a more healthy environment that
20	Nature intended. Therefore, increasing the
21	immune system of the animals is what leads to
22	food safety, not confining them any further.
	I

(202) 234-4433

	39
1	If USDA regulation, that conflicts
2	with the welfare of the animals, then perhaps
3	it is a USDA regulation that needs to change
4	and not the advancement of animal welfare.
5	As this country grapples with an
6	epidemic of obesity, we know that USDA is
7	changing their recommendation of what we eat.
8	So, they are also evolving.
9	I urge you to go forward with this
10	animal welfare proposal. Have courage to come
11	up with the best plan and go forward.
12	Yesterday I heard two mothers on
13	this Board speak about their kids' eating
14	habits of apples. That was the most real
15	connection to the real consumer base that I
16	saw in the entire meeting that I deal with
17	every day. I feel that is the heart of the
18	mothers we all need to have to advance this
19	program forward. Our customers seek they
20	are very educated customers they seek
21	nutrient-rich whole foods that are humanely
22	raised.

398

	399
1	Thanks to Deputy Director Miles
2	McEvoy and his crew, I see some violators are
3	being penalized to keep the integrity of the
4	program. The law has to be sound and
5	reasonable to keep farmers' and consumers'
6	faith in the system.
7	I urge you to go forward with the
8	animal welfare, and I appreciate your keeping
9	small farmers like us and consumers in mind
10	while you are making the deliberation.
11	Thank you so much for your time.
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
13	Any questions for Ms. Vojkovich?
14	Yes?
15	MR. FELDMAN: I'm curious what you
16	think the large commercial industry can learn.
17	We have heard from the younger generation what
18	they feel the large commercial industry can
19	learn from their experience with chickens.
20	What can we learn from you?
21	MS. VOJKOVICH: You know, I think
22	in many ways our chickens are you know, I

(202) 234-4433

	400
1	was calculating the requirements, stall
2	requirements, and ours is about six to seven
3	square feet per chicken. And they're happy.
4	(Laughter.)
5	I encourage you all to go visit
6	the poultry farms because there are happy
7	chickens and there are unhappy chickens,
8	period. End of story. There is no BS there.
9	So, I hope you listen to those
10	young folks because they consumers are very
11	knowledgeable. They want to eat humanely-
12	treated animals. That is what we want to do.
13	And it is our farmers'
14	responsibility to make them happy while they
15	are in care. I think that is our primary
16	goal.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. MILLER: John Foster?
19	MR. FOSTER: Thank you.
20	I had a question. If you have a
21	general sense of about how far away are your
22	consumers from you?

	401
1	MS. VOJKOVICH: They're about 100
2	miles.
3	MR. FOSTER: Just ball park?
4	MS. VOJKOVICH: A hundred, 150
5	miles.
6	MR. FOSTER: That helps me. Thank
7	you.
8	MS. VOJKOVICH: Yes.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
10	One more. Barry?
11	MR. FLAMM: I can tell you are
12	very in tune with your customers and
13	consumers. I wonder if you have an opinion on
14	the use of antibiotics and how your consumers
15	would react if they knew that the apples they
16	were eating were treated with antibiotics.
17	MS. VOJKOVICH: You know, that is
18	interesting. I think I know where you are
19	going, but I don't think I am going to bite to
20	the bait.
21	(Laughter.)
22	Because let me tell you something.
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

	402
1	That is why I said about the mothers talking
2	about that. And I am going to get back at you
3	with the questions because what you talk
4	about, the kids not eating inedible apples are
5	the real story. Unless we can satisfy the
6	consumer who wants to eat Fujis and Galas,
7	we're out of business. So, I hope you keep
8	that in mind.
9	It might be great to have a
10	McIntosh, but it is going to take millions and
11	years to develop that marketing change. So,
12	that is a marketing problem.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
15	(Applause.)
16	Zareb Herman is up at the podium,
17	and Maurice Robinette is standing by.
18	Maurice, are you in the audience?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. HERMAN: Okay. Thanks.
21	Good afternoon.
22	My name is Zareb Herman. I am a
	I

1	nutritionist with the Hain Celestial Group.
2	Prior to my employment there, I worked as a
3	research scientist for the Agricultural
4	Research Service, which is part of the USDA.
5	And I am addressing the Board on the subject
6	of nutrients in organic foods.
7	Regarding the 205.605(b) listing
8	of nutrient vitamins and minerals, it is
9	coming up for Sunset review. Our company
10	supports any action that allows the continued
11	use of vitamins and minerals in organic foods.
12	We would also agree with the clarification to
13	the annotation that includes the nutrients
14	listed in other portions of the CFR.
15	And very importantly, we would
16	support any action by the Board that allows
17	the continued use of so-called accessory
18	nutrients until those nutrients can go through
19	the petition process.
20	Now accessory nutrients are not
21	unimportant nutrients. They just are not
22	classified as vitamins and minerals. Examples
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

include amino acids, nucleotides, carotenoids, 1 fatty acids such as DHA and ARA. And we 2 3 understand that any of these substances will need to go through the National List process 4 to be evaluated by this Board. 5 Now, for women who cannot breast 6 7 feed, my company offers organic infant formula that contains of some these accessory 8 9 nutrients. And so, why do we add them? The answer is that they naturally occur in breast 10 11 milk. They are natural ingredients. They are 12 absolutely safe. They are backed by numerous clinical studies, and their use is supported 13 leading pediatricians, infant 14 bv formula 15 experts, and sound scientific research. 16 And most importantly, they provide the best possible nutrition for babies. 17 If I 18 had a baby that had to be on formula, you can 19 be assured that baby would receive these 20 accessory nutrients in that formula. 21 Now a question: do consumers want 22 fortified organic products? A recent OTA

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	survey showed that 78 percent of the
2	respondents said choosing fortified foods for
3	their family is either very important or
4	somewhat important. So, many organic
5	consumers do want to have this option, and we
6	say let the consumers decide.
7	And lastly, this Board is going to
8	be evaluating petitions on these nutrients.
9	We recognize it is a very emotional issue for
10	some persons, and you are going to be
11	bombarded with conflicting opinions. You know
12	how many opinions in public comments you have
13	already received.
14	We just strongly urge the Board to
15	make the decisions based on scientific
16	evidence that comes from scientists, doctors,
17	and other credible sources.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Mr.
20	Herman.
21	Any questions?
22	(No response.)

	406
1	Thank you.
2	Maurice Robinette is at the
3	podium. Fritzi Cohen is standing by.
4	MR. MAURICE ROBINETTE: Thank you
5	for this opportunity to exercise my right to
6	comment on a very serious issue.
7	I am a rancher, and I am
8	transitioning to organic certification for my
9	pasture and my beef. The USDA is helping me
10	do this.
11	I hope my comments can be helpful
12	to address a very divisive issue in
13	agriculture and lead to a constructive
14	solution.
15	I mention my rights because I
16	think my right to grow what I want to is being
17	jeopardized by the introduction of GMO
18	alfalfa. GMO alfalfa pollen will eventually
19	find its way to my field as long as bees fly
20	from field to field. When this happens, I
21	will be growing GMO alfalfa, and I don't want
22	to. I cannot stop the bees from pollinating

(202) 234-4433

	407
1	my alfalfa.
2	This genetic trespass is a
3	violation of my right to grow what I want. If
4	my bull trespassed onto a neighbor and bred
5	his cows, I would be responsible and I would
6	accept that responsibility.
7	I go to great lengths to prevent
8	this. I can stop my bulls, but not my bees.
9	(Laughter.)
10	When I cows eat GMO alfalfa, my
11	customers will no longer buy my beef. I may
12	lose my pending organic certification. I will
13	be damaged due to someone else's actions. I
14	won't blame the farmer that grew the crop. I
15	will blame the multinational corporation that
16	made the genes in the first place and our
17	government organization that allowed them to
18	be released. This is the United States
19	Department of Agriculture, the same
20	organization that is paying me to transition
21	to organic certification, the same
22	organization that violated the law and ignored

(202) 234-4433

thousands of comments in the Environmental 1 2 Impact Statement about GMO alfalfa, that 3 allowed them to be released, and its potential damages to our livelihoods. 4 I know this is a simple version of 5 underlying 6 reality, and the issues and 7 politics are very complex. But the basic problem is simple. I am being denied the 8 9 right to grow what I want. Consumers are being denied the right to buy what they want. 10 11 Simple problems often have simple 12 solutions. And I am asking this Board to help with that simple solution. Please consider 13 doing whatever is in your power to establish 14 mandatory labeling of all GMO food. 15 16 (Applause.) 17 You may think this is outside your 18 job description. However, isn't a label, the 19 organic label, the end product of everything 20 you do? 21 Thank you. 22 (Applause.)

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

	409
1	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
2	much, Mr. Robinette.
3	Any questions? I see a couple.
4	Let's start with Nick Maravell and, then, Jay
5	Feldman.
6	MR. MARAVELL: I think we have
7	exited from the statutory authority of the
8	NOSB. So, you can rule me out of order here,
9	Madam Chair, if you like.
10	Secretary Vilsack addressed a
11	group of us concerning the GMO alfalfa
12	decision, and he raised an issue that you are
13	also raising. I am not quite sure how to
14	respond to him. So, let me ask you.
15	He said non-organic farmers want
16	the right to grow what they want to grow. I
17	mean that is the argument he used with us.
18	Therefore, he has to respect their right if
19	they want to grow GMO alfalfa.
20	So, I have been thinking about
21	that. And if you could help with some input
22	on that, on what to say back to Secretary
	I

(202) 234-4433

	410
1	Vilsack on that matter, it would be helpful,
2	I think, to a large number of us in this
3	community.
4	MR. MAURICE ROBINETTE: Well,
5	while I don't like the idea, I think if the
6	product was labeled and everything that the
7	product consumed was labeled, I would be happy
8	with letting the consumer make the decision
9	and the end result to that.
10	MR. MARAVELL: So, you are saying
11	that the end product being, let's say, because
12	consumers don't eat alfalfa, the end product
13	being beef, let's say, in this case, that as
14	long as it were all labeled, then the consumer
15	could decide whether or not they wanted to buy
16	
17	MR. MAURICE ROBINETTE: Yes.
18	MR. MARAVELL: beef that had
19	consumed GMO
20	MR. MAURICE ROBINETTE: Yes.
21	MR. MARAVELL: alfalfa? I
22	don't know if that gets to me where I need to

(202) 234-4433

	411
1	be to convince the Secretary. But thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Thank you.
3	Fritzi Cohen is at the podium.
4	MS. COHEN: Hi. I'm excuse me.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sorry. One more.
6	Mabell Rivas is standing by.
7	MS. COHEN: I am Fritzi Cohen,
8	speaking as a mere mortal and tax-paying
9	citizen, but, also, a victim of pesticide
10	drift on my oyster beds in Willapa Bay.
11	Supporting organic agriculture is
12	not a new interest. In the eighties, the
13	Tabard Inn had a market garden in Virginia
14	that provided organic vegetables to our
15	restaurant there and others in D.C. My
16	husband's philosophy was no synthetics.
17	In a nutshell, I believe that my
18	family, including my animals, have a right to
19	good drinking water, to eat food that we know
20	is free of pesticides, pharmaceuticals, not
21	GMOed, and that the animals that we eat have
22	been treated humanely, and that the fish are

(202) 234-4433

1

2	Our small farmers should not
3	become endangered species. It is critical
4	that organic regulations distinguish between
5	large agricultural entities and small farms.
6	The notion of the family farm is as American
7	as apple pie.
8	The organic label should mean that
9	the product is produced in ways that are
10	compatible with organic principles. That is,
11	adhering to practices that restore, maintain,
12	and enhance ecological harmony.
13	We know maintaining integrity is
14	not as uncomplicated as it should be. If the
15	consuming public cannot trust the organic
16	label, then there is no point in having it.
17	That is why, as USDA and the NOSB
18	consider amendments to the soil and additives
19	to products, and I am sure there are other
20	things, they must apply sound, independent
21	science case by case. This is the only way to
22	guarantee that decisions are consistent with

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

basic notion and science of what is the organic.

1

2

3 Ι always knew that soil was everything, and that point was driven home 4 when we started gardening at the Moby Dick 5 Hotel and Oyster Farm. The soil in Virginia 6 7 was very different from that in Nahcotta, Washington, but the method of building soil 8 9 with natural nutrients and compost was very similar. What you put into the soil winds up 10 11 in the crops that grow in the soil, winds up 12 in the animals that eat those crops, winds up in the people that eat those animals, and, 13 ultimately, winds up in everything. 14 And all of the pollutants that end 15 up in our waters from agricultural runoff, 16 17 runoff, and direct pesticide storm water which is happening, tons of 18 application, 19 pesticides that is too common all over the Washington, 20 State of and which I have 21 personally observed in Willapa Bay, and, 22 basically, I think are likely to be in the

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

413

(202) 234-4433

	414
1	fish, mollusks, and crustaceans that we
2	consume.
3	Keeping carbon in the soil, rather
4	than letting it escape into the atmosphere,
5	makes for healthier crops and combats global
6	warming.
7	The USGS has found pesticide
8	residues in almost all of the rivers and
9	streams in the United States. Maintaining
10	soil free of pesticides is the only way to
11	reduce pesticide runoff into our streams and
12	rivers, and to
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Ms.
14	Cohen.
15	MS. COHEN: Excuse me?
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
17	much.
18	MS. COHEN: All right. Well, I
19	would like to just mention one thing because
20	I haven't attended all of the things. But,
21	you know, the President's Council found,
22	basically, that the true burden of

(202) 234-4433

11

	415
1	environmentally-induced cancers has been
2	grossly underestimated. I think that, based
3	on that, we really need to be very careful.
4	It is time to go organic and save our planet
5	and ourselves.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
7	(Applause.)
8	MS. COHEN: And I have something.
9	Where do I
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Any
11	questions for Ms. Cohen?
12	(No response.)
13	Thank you.
14	Okay. Mabell Rivas is at the
15	podium. Margaret Wittenberg is standing by.
16	MS. RIVAS: I am Mabell Rivas,
17	Certification Program Director of Pennsylvania
18	Certified Organic.
19	First of all, I would like to
20	thank the Livestock Committee for the changes
21	that have already been incorporated into the
22	document, based on the written comments that

(202) 234-4433

```
www.nealrgross.com
```

1	were submitted by the organic community a few
2	weeks ago. I can see a few changes that were
3	suggested by ACA that have already been
4	incorporated.
5	I would also like to say, for the
6	record, that we support this recommendation in
7	general, as we feel that it does clarify many
8	issues that are not clear in the pasture rule.
9	Today, instead of making specific
10	comments, I would like to take this
11	opportunity to suggest two general
12	improvements to the process of making changes.
13	We feel that these two process improvements
14	will ensure the best possible outcome for all
15	our stakeholders.
16	One process improvement is simply
17	that we need more time to collect more
18	comments from the industry. We support ACA's
19	comments that points toward a more careful
20	multi-step process, first, incorporating all
21	NOSB recommendations into the pasture rule
22	and, then, polishing a cohesive, integrated,

(202) 234-4433

	417
1	new document for public written comments. And
2	after receiving another round of comments,
3	doing a final overall revision and presenting
4	a final recommendation in the fall.
5	The main reason we suggest this is
6	that the voice to be heard in response to the
7	new overall system that is being proposed by
8	this new document in its totality.
9	The other process improvement that
10	we would like to see is a strong commitment to
11	an economically-reasonable transition time for
12	certified operators/corporations to build any
13	needed new infrastructure.
14	The document incorporated specific
15	measures as part of the rule, and being so
16	specific ties adjustment to the operators'
17	systems currently in place.
18	When talking about indoor and
19	outdoor areas space requirements, for example,
20	we are talking about potentially significant
21	and expensive changes in infrastructure. It
22	would be programmatic as well as unfair for a
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	418
1	certifier to start enforcing these densities
2	immediately without giving the existing
3	operators a reasonable timeframe in which to
4	make these changes. Some time for adjustment
5	of a specific system that is already in place
6	is absolutely necessary.
7	Thank you very much for
8	considering these ideas.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
10	Mac?
11	MR. STONE: How do you communicate
12	with your growers on an issue like this and
13	get info back and forth, but, then, also, a
14	final recommendation to them?
15	MS. RIVAS: Well, in Pennsylvania,
16	the vast majority of our membership is
17	actually Amish farmers. So, the way that we
18	communicate is actually very retro, I would
19	say. We use mail. Our process includes a lot
20	of handwritten forms, to be honest. So, it
21	does take time to communicate these changes
22	and receive information back and their

(202) 234-4433

419 suggestions and comments. And that is why we 1 2 feel that there should be more time given to 3 them. Thank CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. 4 you, Ms. Rivas. 5 6 MS. RIVAS: Thank you. 7 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Margaret Wittenberg is up next. Richard Reese is 8 9 standing by. Thank you. 10 MS. WITTENBERG: Okay. Good 11 afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity 12 to provide comment today. My name is Margaret Wittenberg, 13 and I am the Global Vice President of Quality 14 Standards and Public Affairs for Whole Foods 15 Market. 16 As a former retail representation 17 on the National Organic Standards Board from 18 19 1995 to 2000, I highly appreciate the enormous 20 amount of time and dedication it takes for you to fulfill your duties as Board members, as 21 22 well as I highly regard the public national

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 organic standards development process, including the opportunity for the new material 2 3 petitions process for the National List and the accompanying five-year Sunset review. 4 Accordingly, I would like to offer a couple of 5 suggestions to the Board. 6 7 First and foremost is a reminder that everything you do goes far beyond a 8 9 philosophical discussion. Each of your votes hiqhly real people's 10 impacts lives and 11 livelihoods well as the continued as 12 opportunity for the organic industry to develop and learn as it goes along. 13 difficult Ι how these 14 know 15 decisions can be to make, especially on topics that may or may not be in someone's particular 16 expertise. Ask any former NOSB member. 17 You will be learning a lot of new information 18 19 while on the Board on topics you never thought 20 you would have to know. So, thorough listening and 21 22 reading, asking questions, and being totally

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

www.nealrgross.com

1	engaged is critical. A lively Board
2	discussion during the meeting, includes each
3	of you, is one of the most important parts of
4	the process, and dare I say can even be more
5	important than ending on a prescribed time.
6	While it can sometimes seem
7	intimidating being on a stage, so to speak, it
8	is often the one who knows the least about a
9	subject that comes up with the best questions
10	that can be beneficial for the group.
11	Good decisionmaking requires a
12	step beyond your own personal opinions,
13	utilizing many filters within your decision
14	matrices, including stepping back and looking
15	at the big picture, and remembering we want to
16	have more land, animals, and products being
17	developed as organic rather than the
18	alternative.
19	This includes making sure
20	decisions are reasonable, not overly
21	burdensome, scale-neutral, rather than
22	judgmental, as well as honoring that there are

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

many ways to achieve a desired outcome.

1

2 Voting in a Sunset review also 3 includes the understanding that the industry is ever evolving with continued research and 4 experimentation, with the intent that, when 5 possible, it will provide new or additional 6 7 avenues and tools that could mean that along the way that few materials wouldn't need to be 8 9 relisted or, on the other hand, underscore why a material needs to be continued. In other 10 11 words, the five-year review provides the time 12 for that continued evolution of the organic industry to occur. 13

I appreciated Katrina's material 14 15 quidelines presentation vesterday which highlighted both the established process for 16 determining the classification material and 17 determining synthetic and non-synthetic that 18 19 Board members are expected to follow to ensure 20 consistency in the standardization process. I can ensure you that these definitions and 21 22 procedures which we former NOSB members helped

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	423
1	establish many years ago were formed with a
2	great deal of effort and deliberation, using
3	the expertise many of us had in standards and
4	process development.
5	There is something to be said for
6	maintaining and honoring foundational work.
7	It provides assurance and consistency for all
8	stakeholders.
9	Thank you very much.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
11	Any questions for Margaret
12	Wittenberg?
13	(No response.)
14	Thank you.
15	MS. WITTENBERG: Thank you.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Richard Reese is
17	up next. Keith Stavrum is standing by.
18	MR. REESE: I wanted to address
19	the question about Secretary Vilsack, and I
20	apologize for my sarcasm. But perhaps the
21	Secretary would like to be reminded that wind
22	is a vector of GMO contamination in crops.
	I

(202) 234-4433

1	I don't feel that there has been
2	enough science about using GMO in the first
3	place. What about runoff? What about the
4	effect on fish? What about the effect of our
5	own digestive bacteria? We just don't enough
6	to have it planted out.
7	And I am chagrined and quite
8	depressed that there is so much GMO crop in
9	the world today. So, one of my concerns about
10	the use of GMO currently, the way it is being
11	done, is its effect on small communities.
12	Small communities have a delicate economic
13	tapestry that is shredded by the predatory
14	business and legal practices of companies like
15	Monsanto. There may be other countries who
16	are involved in GMO dissemination, but I don't
17	know what they are.
18	There is a book that was written
19	by two guys, Miller and what's his name?
20	Conko, I think it was. It was called The
21	Frankenfood Myth. And I quote here, "USDA's
22	rules for organic production which bar the use
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	of genetically-engineered crops are based on
2	process, not outcomes. In other words, as
3	long as organic growers adhere to permissible
4	practices and do not intentionally plant
5	genetically-engineered seeds, unintentional
6	cross-pollination by genetically-engineered
7	plants does not cause those crops to lose
8	their organic status."
9	In other words, it doesn't matter
10	about the integrity of Nature. It only
11	matters if we can follow the rules and if we
12	can create the rules to follow in the first
13	place.
14	In the recent tsunami and
15	earthquake disaster, the amount that a person
16	could, of radiation exposure they could handle
17	was raised the morning of the disaster from
18	100 millirems to 250 millirems. So, that is
19	a lot of what is happening with these large
20	corporations whose predatory practices in
21	small communities, you know, a small farmer
22	cannot go up against Monsanto. They don't

425

(202) 234-4433

	426
1	have the money. They don't have the time.
2	They would like to do it. They
3	would like to be able to think that they could
4	win their case against Monsanto, but can they
5	really? It's tough. It's expensive. Often,
6	it just shreds their ability to continue doing
7	business.
8 I	I hope that you are hearing the
9	same dang thing all over the country, that
10	consumers are concerned about food safety;
11	that, as citizens, we have lost faith in the
12	government oversight of GMOs.
13	I mean, when GMO first came along,
14	I ignored it, you know. It is too crazy for
15	words. It is not going to happen. But here
16	it happened.
17	And I feel that labeling is a
18	short-term solution to give consumers some
19	sort of voice, but in the long-run I would
20	like to see GMOs withdrawn from planting in
21	the first place.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.

(202) 234-4433

	427
1	MR. REESE: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
3	Any questions?
4	(No response.)
5	All right.
6	MR. REESE: Okay. So, in answer
7	to the previous question about Secretary
8	Vilsack
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I'm sorry, I don't
10	think there was a question. Was there a
11	question posed to Mr. Reese?
12	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, if you have
13	got something that could elucidate that for
14	us, that would be helpful, because he said all
15	farmers have the right to plant what they
16	want, whether you an organic or non-organic
17	farmer.
18	I might add, I don't think that
19	Secretary Vilsack feels that this issue is
20	over.
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick, can you
22	please ask your question? Would you mind?

(202) 234-4433

	428
1	MR. REESE: Thank you.
2	MR. MARAVELL: So, what do we have
3	to go back with him on that?
4	MR. REESE: Well, my concern is
5	that there is not enough science, that the
6	decision to promote and plant out GMO is an
7	economic-based decision, rather than a
8	decision which promotes the integrity of
9	nature.
10	So, thank you. Thank you.
11	(Applause.)
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Keith Stavrum is
13	at the podium, and Pat Kane is standing by.
14	MR. STAVRUM: Hello. My name is
15	Keith Stavrum. I am the President of the
16	Independent Shellfish Growers of Washington
17	State.
18	We don't belong to the Pacific
19	Coast Shellfish Growers Association, which are
20	a big industry that sprays poisons all over
21	the waters in this State.
22	One of the things that I am here

(202) 234-4433

1	to talk about is the integrity of the tilth,
2	which I support totally. You know, we had a
3	problem in this State where they called it
4	this invasive grass, spartina, and all of our
5	members mowed this grass, got rid of this
6	grass. We didn't use any poisons whatsoever.
7	But there was this wonder chemical
8	called Amazapeer that was sold and said, look,
9	Washington State, USDA, all of the Pacific
10	Coast oyster growers, let's spray and kill
11	this horrible grass, which is loved on the
12	East Coast, by the way.
13	Well, it turns out that in 2008
14	Federal EPA, actually, and I have the document
15	and I have handed these out to you, the
16	document states, you know, to remove estuary
17	and marine from applications of this chemical.
18	This was in 2008, and there was a loophole in
19	the law that says, hey, you've got 16 months
20	to get rid of this stuff, though, if you
21	already have it.
22	And each and every one of those

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	4.
1	entities I talked to and in the back you
2	will see all over Puget Sound, Hood Canal,
3	this whole State, all the waters, the Nature
4	Conservancy, everybody sprayed this chemical.
5	These people have done something
6	that none of us do as the independents. We
7	have 327 members.
8	So, I am kind of here to piss in
9	my corner, to tell you the truth. I would
10	like to be up here and let you know, if you
11	are going to do something with shellfish,
12	whether it be clams, geoducks, whatever, you
13	need to deal with us small farmers, the ones
14	that don't poison everything, the ones that
15	get double the price for our stuff. Our
16	shellfish don't take like mud.
17	It isn't Taylor Industry, Northern
18	Oyster, Coast Hilton. These people will
19	infiltrate, and I am afraid of this, the
20	Board, as they did for the people of Puget
21	Sound, and start giving fundraisers. And in
22	doing the fundraising, all of a sudden,
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	they're loved and hugged and everything.
2	The integrity of the tilth has
3	something to do with something that goes back
4	to the farmers. I am going to address a
5	question that you kept asking. Let me say
6	this: years ago, if you stole something, you
7	know, you might have got beat up or something,
8	you know, hundreds of thousands of years ago.
9	But somewhere along the line someone said
10	we're going to cut your arms off now. If you
11	steal something, we're cut your arms off.
12	So, if you go back to the
13	beginning of time, nobody had GMOs. When GMOs
14	come, that's the problem. We have to remember
15	it that way. Answer it that way to the man.
16	But, you know, to sum this thing
17	up, I want to make sure that we are involved
18	in any aquaculture. And there is an MPDS
19	permit that comes out where people actually
20	go, hey, you know, we're going to spray
21	poisons in the waters of Washington. If
22	anyone has applied for that in the last five
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

years, they should have nothing to say to this tilth Board.

1

2

3	But, again, to get back to the old
4	farmers, say two farmers are there. One has
5	a bull. "Hey, you raise my bull, and we are
6	going to split it in two when it comes up."
7	They don't line it up and cut it down the
8	middle with two legs over here and two legs
9	over here, and, you know, the bull back end
10	and the front end. They saw it down the
11	middle and they do it equally.
12	So, let's make sure we take care
13	of that, and the integrity of the tilth is
14	with a handshake, and we keep it. All right?
15	Thank you.
16	(Applause.)
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
18	MR. STAVRUM: Any questions?
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for
20	Mr. Stavrum?
21	(No response.)
22	Pat Kane is next, and Nicole Dehne
	I

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	433
1	is standing by.
2	MS. KANE: Thank you all. I am
3	Pat Kane. I am the Coordinator of the
4	Accredited Certifiers Association.
5	I would just like to briefly thank
6	you for all your work.
7	I would like to provide comment on
8	the CACC discussion document regarding NOP
9	oversight of the Materials Review
10	Organization. ACA did provide written
11	comments. They were posted. So, I hope you
12	have had a chance to look at them.
13	In 2010, ACA had a working group
14	that did prepare a white paper regarding
15	materials review, and this identified many of
16	the same issues that the CACC discusses.
17	In our comments, we stated that we
18	believe that the development of a separate
19	accreditation scope is a long-term process and
20	should not be the primary focus at this time.
21	We believe that, prior to the establishment of
22	the scope of accreditation for review of
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

materials, the National Organic Program should 1 provide detailed guidance describing 2 the 3 appropriate process and procedures desired for review of materials. 4 believe that increased 5 We enforcement oversight by the National Organic 6 7 all organizations Program of reviewing materials is needed prior to the establishment 8 9 of the scope of accreditation for reviewing materials. 10 11 Our members have stated that 12 accreditation auditors had generally not reviewed the materials 13 review process or procedures with the same scrutiny that the 14 15 certification process receives. We do believe that there are two 16 17 distinct materials types of review 18 organizations, ones such as OMRI, WSDA, who 19 conduct material reviews for manufacturers and 20 list of materials, and, then, publish а 21 accredited certifying agents who conduct 22 materials review as an integral part of the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

certification process at the request of the client, but do not publish a list of approved materials.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

It is also noted in our comments that in Europe, it is quite common for certification agencies to conduct a review of materials on behalf of a manufacturer and publish a list. So, we have got all kinds of schemes going on.

believes ACA that 10 ACAs and 11 organizations that review materials 12 independent of the certification process should not be considered similar when it comes 13 to regulation. ACAs review materials for use 14 15 by a specific client in a specific situation rather than provide a blanket product approval 16 for a manufacturer. 17

We do believe that all forms of review programs should receive scrutiny. That is not to except ACAs that review for clients. We encourage the formation of a task force or working group with broad

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	436
1	stakeholder participation, including the
2	National Organic Program staff, the National
3	Organic Standards Board, ACAs, non-
4	governmental organizations, and
5	representatives of the input sector
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you. Go
7	ahead.
8	MS. KANE: should be formed to
9	assist with the further development of this
10	process.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
12	much.
13	Any questions for Pat Kane? Joe
14	Dickson?
15	MR. DICKSON: Thank you, Pat.
16	Just a quick clarifying question.
17	I think I understand your comments. Do you
18	have a position on whether non-ACA materials
19	review organizations should be accredited in
20	the long-term picture?
21	MS. KANE: I think our group felt
22	that, yes, they should be. The concern with

(202) 234-4433

	437
1	our group was that ACAs who review materials
2	for clients, who may choose not to proceed
3	with a scope of accreditation, how would that
4	affect the review system? They don't feel
5	that the review of materials can be taken out
6	of the certification process. So, that was
7	our concern.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac Stone?
9	MR. STONE: Pat, do you have any
10	idea or guesstimate how many lists there are
11	floating around the among the ACAs or how many
12	thousands of products are floating around
13	being used in this world?
14	MS. KANE: Many.
15	(Laughter.)
16	Since the lists aren't public I
17	mean every certifier reviews materials. So,
18	the assumption is every certifier has a list.
19	But, no.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
21	MS. KANE: Thank you all.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nicole Dehne is

(202) 234-4433

next.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2 Carrie Little, you are standing 3 by.

Hi. My name is Nicole MS. DEHNE: I am the Certification Administrator Dehne. for Organic Vermont Farmers, and Ι am representing 580 certified organic farms and processors today. And I appreciate the opportunity to speak, and I am going to try to be quick.

11 It is clear that the NOSB feels, 12 as we do, that animal welfare is an essential 13 component to organic regulations, but it not 14 a regulation that we feel that should be 15 rushed.

16 And we suggest the following 17 modifications to the current proposed 18 I want to say I was able to regulations. 19 scratch out some of my modifications due to changes that the Committee already made. 20 So, 21 I want to say thanks for that. 22 we recommend more specific But

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	language regarding minimizing pain during
2	dehorning cattle. We suggest a requirement
3	for using local anesthetics when dehorning
4	calves older than 12 weeks.
5	And in regards to stocking rates,
6	it is important to assure the consumer that
7	organic regulations require animals to have
8	more than the minimum required space. So, we
9	recommend increasing stocking rates for
10	poultry and small grower pigs to require more
11	space that is the minimum of what is
12	considered acceptable.
13	We also believe all beak trimming,
14	in addition to beak removal, should be
15	prohibited. And currently, all of our VOF-
16	certified poultry producers do not practice
17	beak trimming of any kind.
18	As far as the welfare handling,
19	transport, and slaughter standards, we are
20	concerned about the practicality of these.
21	There is a shortage of slaughter facilities in
22	Vermont, and it is not a problem that is

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

unique to our State. Slaughterhouse owners have so many customers to choose from that they often don't see the value in getting certified for a few organic producers that need them to be certified.

Ιf the regulations 6 we make 7 unachievable or too burdensome, we are afraid slaughterhouses that our three that 8 are currently certified will decide 9 to drop, leaving certified livestock producers 10 in a 11 pretty serious situation. We suggest working 12 with maybe the USDA and state inspectors already present in the facilities to audit 13 animal treatment, but we worry without a 14 15 comprehensive approach that slaughterhouses will decide 16 not to pursue organic 17 certification due to an overly-burdensome system of verification. 18

And we do not support a recommendation that expands the number of unreviewed or ill-defined accessory nutrients in organic food. And we support the Joint

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

	441
1	National Organic Coalition and Cornucopia
2	position in regards to those materials.
3	And finally, we support a limited
4	extension of the use of streptomycin and
5	tetracycline for management of fire blight in
6	organic orchards. For our producers,
7	successful and profitable organic apple
8	production in Vermont requires access to, and
9	occasional use of, those products. But we do
10	support the National Organic Coalition's
11	phaseout strategy for those materials.
12	MR. MILLER: Thank you.
13	Any questions? Joe Dickson?
14	MR. DICKSON: Nicole, you said
15	that some of the stocking rates were lower
16	than VOF would like to see them. I am
17	wondering if you can tell us which ones
18	specifically
19	MS. DEHNE: Yes.
20	MR. DICKSON: and if you have
21	suggestions for specific values.
22	MS. DEHNE: Specifically, for

	442
1	poultry, we thought that Cornucopia had some
2	good details as far as large the stocking rate
3	should be and, also, for small grower pigs.
4	Those are the ones specifically we thought
5	were too small.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac Stone? Sorry,
7	one more.
8	MR. STONE: Nicole, what percent
9	of your membership direct markets and has
10	direct contact for communication about how
11	their animals are raised?
12	MS. DEHNE: I would say the
13	majority of our livestock producers direct
14	market, and we have about 200 dairy and maybe
15	50 livestock producers, or maybe a little bit
16	more.
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
18	Carrie Little, you're up next.
19	Julianne Lamsek is standing by.
20	MS. LITTLE: Greetings. My name
21	is Carrie Little, and I come on behalf of the
22	ecosystems of two farms, Mother Earth Farm, a
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

	443
1	project of Emergency Food Network, which grows
2	75 tons of fresh produce annually for hunger
3	organizations here in Pierce County,
4	Washington.
5	In addition, I go home to a farm
6	called Little Earth, and we just became
7	certified organic as of a week ago.
8	(Applause.)
9	We raise pasture poultry, sheep,
10	pigs, and bees, as well as mixed vegetables,
11	berries, hops, and fruit trees there.
12	Thank you for taking the time to
13	hear our voices, especially of those on the
14	ground deeply involved in the actual work of
15	organic farming. It is our hope that our
16	collective voices impact your decisionmaking.
17	I have four areas of concern
18	regarding possible changes. First, please do
19	not weaken standards in regards to animal
20	welfare where stronger rules about allowing
21	animals on pasture is needed.
22	Second, please do not weaken

(202) 234-4433

11

1	standards allowing human waste in organic
2	farms. Until our culture learns to value
3	water usage and respect the end result, we
4	can't have contamination of heavy metals or
5	pharmaceuticals in our soils.
6	Third, we would like to see a much
7	stronger emphasis on the use and sourcing of
8	local materials. The petroleum factor should
9	be a top consideration when enforcing the use
10	of certain materials.
11	I find it troubling that Chilean
12	nitrate is an approved material when there are
13	local sources of nitrogen that are not
14	strongly encouraged.
15	And lastly, I would like to speak
16	to the plight of the seed. It is clear the
17	battle lines are drawn. Multinational
18	corporations like Monsanto are aiming to
19	control the seed supplies of food.
20	Seed savers like myself are
21	fearful for what is in store. GMOs, once
22	released in nature, will never go away. So

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

job critical is your in not allowing 1 contamination of GMOs, that the entire food 2 3 web depends on this decision. If we falter here, we will fail as stewards of the earth 4 and as members of this planet. 5 Thank you. 6 7 Thank you, Ms. CHAIR MIEDEMA: Little. 8 9 Any questions? (No response.) 10 11 Thank you. 12 (Applause.) Julianne Lamsek is next. 13 Dave DeCou is standing by. 14 15 Dave, are you here? (No response.) 16 17 Jim Koan is standing by. 18 MS. LAMSEK: I am Julianne Lamsek, speaking as a concerned consumer. 19 I am here to comment on animal 20 welfare recommendations for poultry and swine. 21 22 I understand the pressure you are facing from

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	the nation's largest livestock producers to
2	make organic production as cost-efficient as
3	possible. At the same time, I expect that all
4	organic livestock be treated humanely.
5	When I buy organic eggs or
6	chicken, I expect those hens to spend a good
7	portion of their lives outdoors, foraging a
8	vegetated range with sunshine. When they are
9	indoors, I expect them to be in a healthy
10	environment, not wreaking with ammonia. I
11	expect hogs to be able to roam about freely
12	and socialize.
13	It is hard for me to understand
14	why the space recommendations are so low,
15	especially compared to the standards of other
16	animal welfare certifiers.
17	Standard factory farming practices
18	in this country are inhumane. It is not
19	acceptable for organic standards for the
20	living conditions of poultry and swine to be
21	a little above the average conventional except
22	for organic feed.
I	1

446

	447
1	For these reasons, I advocate at
2	least two square feet per bird indoors for
3	layers and broilers. I am aware of the EU
4	standard for 43 square feet, and I am dismayed
5	that the United States is so far behind. I
6	advocate for at least five square feet per
7	bird outside, since Organic Valley recommends
8	it as feasible.
9	I am pleased the NOSB is
10	attempting to control ammonia levels, but the
11	25 parts per million level cited in the
12	recommendation as high should not be
13	acceptable at any time. Chronic exposure at
14	20 parts per million affects the health and
15	welfare of chickens.
16	Research shows a precautionary
17	guideline for prolonged ammonia exposure at 10
18	parts per million. So, I believe farm plans
19	must demonstrate control at this precautionary
20	level.
21	I ask the Livestock Committee to
22	adopt these recommendations as the highest

(202) 234-4433

	448
1	animal welfare standards in the nation. They
2	are what I and other organic consumers want
3	and expect.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
5	Mac?
6	MR. STONE: I hope this comes off
7	right. So, as a consumer, I raise eggs on my
8	farm, and if I told you that the chickens that
9	are roaming free and in their grass all the
10	time, that if they scratch through the cow
11	manure and eat the larvae of insects from
12	that, is that repulsive to you or a good idea?
13	MS. LAMSEK: That is not
14	necessarily repulsive to me, if it is a humane
15	environment that those chickens are existing
16	in, and the cattle are also in a sustainable
17	environment.
18	MR. STONE: Which is the case, but
19	the customer that I told that to has never
20	bought an egg from me again.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
	I

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

	449
1	MS. LAMSEK: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jim Koan is next.
3	Jeremy Shapely is standing by.
4	MR. KOAN: I have been here all
5	day. I am tired. I learned a lot. I know
6	you have learned a lot, more than I have. My
7	brain is slow.
8	I learned one important thing
9	today, and that is the people that get up here
10	and they talk fast, they are talking a foreign
11	language. I can't integrate it anymore. So,
12	I hope you can integrate what I am saying.
13	So, I am going to talk really slow.
14	I am an apple grower in Michigan.
15	I should be home right now on my farm trying
16	to grow apples and fighting scab. It has been
17	raining about two weeks.
18	But I decided I needed to come
19	here and talk to you and tell you my story
20	because, if you let streptomycin sunset, I
21	won't have a farm to fight apple scab with
22	anyhow.

(202) 234-4433

	450
1	So, I grow about 150 acres of
2	apples. That is about 2.5 million pounds. I
3	also grow some crops.
4	Barry, I retail to local citizens
5	as well as wholesale. We process, and I even
6	do a few hogs and greenhouse.
7	I have been growing apples for 35
8	years, 20 years conventionally, the last 15
9	years organically.
10	I worked with Michigan State
11	University for years, and a plant pathologist,
12	and I am here to tell you that we in the East,
13	North, and South cannot grow apples without
14	antibiotics sometimes. If you understand the
15	science, if you understand the biology and how
16	this works, once in a while, we have to have
17	that or we are going to lose our crop.
18	If we lose our crop, we are also
19	going to lose our trees. As a businessman, it
20	is impossible to recover from that. It is
21	just a given that we will phase out of the
22	business and we go into something else.

(202) 234-4433

	40
1	In the last six years, I probably
2	used the streptomycin three times. We use a
3	computer model that tells us how fast that
4	biology is building, that bacteria, and, then,
5	it predicts by plugging in weather exactly
6	when you are going to have an infection and if
7	you need to go in and spray that, so that you
8	are just not whimsically spraying this.
9	There is a lot of science out
10	there that tells you that it is not in the
11	fruit and that it is not traceable. And
12	there's a lot of people telling you a lot of
13	things that aren't true.
14	The most important thing to
15	remember here is that the growers on the East
16	Coast, Central, South regions, without
17	antibiotic, are going to have to get out of
18	the business over time.
19	Any questions?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.
22	(Applause.)
	I

	452
1	Jeremy Shapely is next. Lauren
2	Yoder is standing by.
3	Lauren, are you here?
4	(No response.)
5	Luis Monge is standing by.
6	MR. SHAPELY: Hi. As you guys
7	have heard, my name is Jeremy Shapely, and I
8	am going to speak real fast.
9	I am a wine importer, and I have
10	been such since 2003. I currently import
11	conventional wines, wines made from organic
12	grapes, and 100 percent organic wines.
13	My largest supplier is the world
14	leader in no-added-sulfate, organic wines.
15	They also are the first organic certified
16	winery in the world. The 2010 production saw
17	384,000 cases of wine globally. In 2012, we
18	should see about a 30 percent increase on
19	that. So, by standard wine volumes, they are
20	probably considered a mid-sized winery.
21	Although most folks in the wine
22	industry will tell you that there is a growing
I	1

(202) 234-4433

market in the U.S. for all three of these wine categories, the U.S. is now the No. 1 wine consumer in the world.

1

2

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

There are wine drinkers who could 4 5 less about any organic farming or care production methods. There are consumers who 6 7 like the idea of supporting pesticide-free through their purchasing habits, products 8 9 whatever is convenient to them. And there are consumers who 100 percent solely want to 10 11 consume 100 percent organic products, which is 12 largely where my consumers fall.

Although we have seen the organic industry and the FDA struggle to end up where we are today with organic labeling, I believe that the labeling rules provided today in the wine industry provide truth in labeling for wine drinkers for the wines that fall into these three categories.

The allowance of wine made with organic grapes to be labeled as such by TTB on the front and back of their labels ensures

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

that any brand-owner who wants their consumer to know that their wines are made from organic produce can very easily do so.

1

2

3

19

20

21

22

And we have seen in the farming 4 practices in both this country and throughout 5 the world, the organic farming practices that 6 7 is, explode, and it is downright false to imply that the current FDA labeling standards 8 9 in wine do anything to discourage organic farming. If anything, they are 10 grape 11 encouraging the explosion, one that I, as a 12 wine importer, see daily with new organic approaching trying 13 wineries me to qet importation and distribution into the U.S. 14 15 Some have arqued that the disallowance of SO2 in organic winemaking has 16 17 held the organic wine industry back. But as someone who works daily beside retailers and 18

consumers, I wholeheartedly disagree with this assertion.

After eight years of talking to wine drinkers, I believe the consumers who buy

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	organic milk, organic meat, and organic
2	produce, then, buy conventional beer and wine
3	because they somehow believe all wine and beer
4	to be inherently organic. Why do they believe
5	this? I believe this is because the FDA does
6	not require ingredient labeling on either beer
7	or wine. So, many consumers have no idea that
8	synthetic or artificial ingredients are being
9	added to their beer and wine.
10	They are always surprised to know
11	that there is oftentimes much more added to
12	beer and wine other than fermented grapes,
13	hops, and malt. If consumers could see what
14	else was in their wine and beer besides grapes
15	and barley, I believe that the organic wine
16	industry would mirror that of the organic milk
17	industry, which still definitely booming.
18	All of us in this room have seen
19	the organic industry evolve over the past
20	couple of years and seen large commercial
21	interests trying to weaken the labeling
22	standards in an attempt to gain more of this
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

456 growing organic market share without having to 1 change their farming or production practices 2 3 to follow organic guidelines. But other consumers --4 Thank you, Mr. 5 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Shapely. 6 7 SHAPELY: Okay. Thank you MR. much. 8 9 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions? (No response.) 10 11 MR. SHAPELY: Thank you. 12 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Luis Monge, you 13 are up next. Dain Craver is standing by. 14 MR. MONGE: Good afternoon. 15 She is Penelope Pineapple. 16 I am Luis Monge. We are both from Costa Rica. 17 18 (Laughter.) She is obviously an organic 19 20 pineapple. I am the Manager of the Organic 21 22 Program at Dole Fresh Fruit International.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	45
1	Today I am not only representing my company,
2	but, also, the organic pineapple industry from
3	my country.
4	We have read the Supplemental
5	Information Report on the use of ethylene gas
6	and we strongly support such document in most
7	of its conclusions and, also, would like to
8	provide the following information:
9	We agreed when the report when it
10	stated that controlled flower induction allows
11	for the best possible management of
12	plantations and results in better production,
13	taking the concept of management to its
14	broadest meaning, which includes, among
15	others, pest management, decision management,
16	fertilization, culture practices, et cetera.
17	We also support the report on its
18	main conclusion to this question. It says,
19	"It can be concluded that the use of ethylene
20	gas remains important to organic pineapple
21	production."
22	In fact, EcoLogica, a
I	1

(202) 234-4433

1 certification agency for Costa Rica, has full-length 2 stated on its comment that 3 ethylene has shown to be indispensable for organic pineapple production. 4 About the current use pattern for 5 ethylene, Costa Rica is by far the largest 6 7 pineapple supplier for the U.S. market. It is a good example for small, medium, and large 8 9 production entities producing high-quality organic pineapples 10 for the international 11 markets. 12 In all the scales of production, the use of ethylene is, without a doubt, a 13 major need, and it represents less than 2 14 percent of the total production cost. 15 What the farmers cannot afford is the production of 16 17 organic pineapples without an induction agent. 18 About topic of the other 19 alternatives, we fully concur with the report 20 when it stated that the commercially-available treatments worldwide have not changed since 21

the 1999 TAP review for ethylene gas. The

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

www.nealrgross.com

	*
1	alternative methods have not proven to be
2	efficient and/or consistent.
3	The report mentioned an
4	investigation made in Taiwan with a totally
5	different pineapple cultivar and under
6	conditions that did not reflect the reality on
7	the fields that supplied the organic
8	pineapples for the U.S. consumers.
9	Please take into account that,
10	according to this investigation, the
11	alternative to ethylene will mean that a small
12	grower of 1 hectare will need 1 million pounds
13	of ice during this flowering.
14	Finally, we want to state that,
15	from the farmers' point of view, successful
16	organic pineapple cultivation is not possible
17	at the present time without the use of
18	ethylene.
19	Therefore, we, the Costa Rican
20	organic pineapple industry, Miss Penelope
21	Pineapple, and myself respectfully ask the
22	NOSB to continue the releasing of ethylene gas
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	460
1	under 205.601(k) on the National List.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
4	Tina and, then, Barry.
5	MS. ELLOR: Do you have some
6	numbers at your disposal? It would be really
7	helpful. What percentage of organic pineapple
8	producers in Costa Rico use ethylene? And
9	what percentage of the import market are Costa
10	Rican pineapples to the U.S.?
11	MR. MONGE: Okay.
12	MS. ELLOR: Do you have that?
13	MR. MONGE: Yes. One hundred
14	percent of the organic pineapple producers in
15	Costa Rica. It doesn't matter the scale.
16	From 1 hectare to 500 hectares, they use
17	ethylene gas for the induction of flowering.
18	And Costa Rica represents,
19	according to one report from the United
20	Nations in 2002, more than 82 percent of the
21	organic supply of pineapple for the U.S.
22	market. And it was in 2002 when the
	I

(202) 234-4433

	461
1	production in Costa Rica was still too low.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Barry?
3	MR. FLAMM: Luis, you made the
4	remark that there was no reasonable
5	alternatives at this time, suggesting perhaps
6	that you see something down the road. Could
7	you elaborate on that?
8	MR. MONGE: Yes. It was a
9	previous question to Mr. David Lively today,
10	asking what other alternative has been proven
11	in Costa Rica, a list. We tried everything.
12	And you have to read the public comment from
13	Gabriella Soto from EcoLogica because it is
14	really in there.
15	There is an experience of two
16	American ladies opening organic pineapple
17	farms in Costa Rica, and they tried everything
18	because it was previous to the approval of the
19	ethylene and the NOP. And they failed. They
20	are no longer organic farmers there.
21	They tried the cold-water
22	treatments because it is nothing new, by the

(202) 234-4433

	462
1	way. It is there since several years now.
2	So, they tried the cold-water treatment. They
3	tried a horse urine, everything, almost
4	everything, and they failed.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Yes, real quick.
6	We are running Barry, we do have one other
7	Board member that has a question, too. So, go
8	ahead.
9	MR. FLAMM: So, I guess I
10	misinterpreted your comments. So, from you
11	have just said, you don't see a viable
12	alternative?
13	MR. MONGE: No. At this point,
14	no, not today.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
16	No? Okay. All right.
17	MR. MONGE: Thank you for the
18	opportunity to speak on behalf of so many
19	people that couldn't put their comments in
20	front of you today.
21	And it says Gibberellic acid. We
22	will talk about Gibberellic acid in Georgia

(202) 234-4433

	463
1	with Bobby Banana.
2	(Laughter.)
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
4	Dain Craver is coming to the
5	podium. Diane Dempster is standing by.
6	Diane, are you here?
7	(No response.)
8	Robert Beauregard? Robert
9	Beauregard, are you here?
10	MR. BEAUREGARD: Yes, I'm here.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
12	Mr. Craver, please proceed.
13	MR. CRAVER: Okay. Thanks. I
14	appreciate you guys letting me talk today.
15	I think it was great that we put
16	together this national Board because there
17	were so many times when some states would have
18	regulations and other states wouldn't, and it
19	was a nightmare. So, I am glad this thing is
20	put together.
21	I am glad Miles is in charge of
22	it. I worked with him on the Organic Advisory

(202) 234-4433

	464
1	Board here in Washington State. He did a
2	marvelous job.
3	I appreciate the time you guys put
4	in. I have been on boards and commissions.
5	You are pretty much probably brain-dead by
6	now, and I feel for you. I have been there.
7	But what I want to talk to you
8	today about is the tetracycline. I am an
9	organic farmer and have been for 19 years.
10	Every spring break, my daughters will go out
11	and we plant 15 acres. I have seven
12	daughters, and we would plant 15 acres and we
13	were organic. And that's how our farm got
14	started.
15	I am also a consultant, and I
16	consult on 10 organic growers. I also do
17	conventional, but I do 10 organic growers,
18	myself included. Nine of those 10 use
19	tetracycline. Only one doesn't, and that's
20	me. You can ask me that, why, later.
21	What we do, though, is I wanted to
22	tell you how we control fire blight. You
I	1

(202) 234-4433

	465
1	know, you have heard a lot of comments about
2	it. But this is from a grower's standpoint.
3	This is what I tell my guys.
4	First of all, we have to have
5	cultural practices. We have got to keep our
6	vigor down low. The disease will spread real
7	easy. We have got to keep moisture out of the
8	orchard. That triggers the whole problem.
9	And so, we come in and we try to
10	do those things. And, then, we come in right
11	as we start to bloom and we spray an
12	antagonist bacteria. We spray it at 20, 50,
13	and full 100 percent bloom.
14	We use this material, and, then,
15	we come in. If we get a bad infection, we use
16	a blight model, just like my bro there from
17	Michigan was talking about. We use a computer
18	model from WSU. And when we see that coming,
19	we come in and we spray and we use
20	tetracycline.
21	And, then, we do a lot of scouting
22	through the orchard to cut out any of the
	I contraction of the second

(202) 234-4433

	466
1	blight strikes, infect the cutting materials
2	each time so that we don't have that spread.
3	And this is how we pretty much
4	control it. It is like building a house. You
5	have all these tools in the toolbox. Well, if
6	you look at one of the most important tools,
7	it is a hammer. And that's what tetracycline
8	is for us.
9	It is a product that I think we
10	can use, and I think it would be a shame if we
11	took it out. Like I said, nine of my growers
12	would drop out.
13	I am the only European-certified
14	grower out of the bunch, and the reason why is
15	because I chose not to use the tetracycline.
16	But I still think it is a product that we need
17	really bad.
18	I heard somebody ask, well, do the
19	consumers like to eat that? Well, I go and do
20	in-store demos across the United States. I go
21	from Minnesota to Washington to Idaho. I grow
22	14 different varieties and some of my own.

(202) 234-4433

	46
1	So, I want to get those out in there.
2	And I show them exactly how we
3	take care of it. And do you know that the
4	consumer doesn't have a real issue when I tell
5	them what we spray for fire blight. Their big
6	issue is when I tell them we spray a virus for
7	codling moth control. That gets them a little
8	kinked. And so, I just want you to know that
9	consumer preference on this I think would be
10	okay.
11	I want you to know that we do not
12	spray the fruit. We spray the flowers only.
13	And we only spray when we have the problem.
14	So, when you see a usage that says only five
15	out of ten, 50 percent of the growers used it,
16	well, the reason that is because we didn't
17	have an infection period.
18	So, my time is up. I appreciate
19	it. If you have any questions
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
21	MR. CRAVER: Yes.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Steve and, then,
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	468
1	Jay.
2	MR. DeMURI: So, on an average,
3	how many times every five years would a grower
4	have to spray it?
5	MR. CRAVER: Well, my growers
6	right now, I would say we spray it seven out
7	of ten years because of the chance with the
8	fire blight.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay?
10	MR. FELDMAN: My first question
11	is, why? Right? You said we could ask you
12	why you're
13	MR. CRAVER: Oh, why I don't?
14	Yes, I'll tell you.
15	MR. FELDMAN: And, then, my second
16	question is for you and your bro from
17	Michigan, who was speaking so slow that my
18	brain slowed down, and I forgot my question.
19	(Laughter.)
20	That is, why you know, we are
21	talking about some modeling here that goes to
22	the question of a prediction, predicting a

(202) 234-4433

1	problem that you are spraying for. And we
2	have heard statements in terms of strep that
3	that can be more of a curative application
4	after that's what I meant to ask him
5	after the disease expresses itself.
6	MR. CRAVER: Once the disease
7	expresses itself, there is nothing you can do
8	but cut it out of the tree. There is nothing
9	that is going to cure it.
10	The guys back East might have a
11	little different idea on that. But if you
12	have got fire blight, if you have got an
13	infection period, the only way to get rid of
14	it is through sanitation and cutting it out
15	and making sure you don't have any things
16	later.
17	And again, it is a bloom spray.
18	And after that, if you missed it and you got
19	fire blight, then you have got to go through
20	and cut it out.
21	And the other thing about fire
22	blight is a neighbor can have a really bad
ļ	

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	470
1	infection next door to you, and you could be
2	the cleanest, greatest grower, but those
3	bacteria can move throughout the orchards.
4	MR. FELDMAN: So, why aren't you
5	using it?
6	MR. CRAVER: Why aren't I using
7	it? Okay. My orchard was, you know, I
8	started 20 years ago. My orchard is located
9	around a game preserve. And so, I am very
10	isolated. Isolation is important for
11	organics.
12	At that time, I wanted to get into
13	Europe. I felt that was where the demand was
14	for apples, smaller fruit, and whatnot. So,
15	I made the choice to go European certified.
16	Like I said, I grow 14 varieties
17	of apples, five of cherries, and I used to
18	grow three varieties of pears. Two of them
19	became infected with fire blight, and I made
20	the decision to cut my trees out instead of
21	spreading it to some of my apple varieties.
22	I use all of the other care that I

(202) 234-4433

	471
1	have talked to you about of doing it. And I
2	just made that choice not to spray Mycoshield
3	and I want to stay in the European Union.
4	But the growers that I consult on,
5	they don't care. I think if you pull this
6	off, they are just going to immediately drop
7	out of the program.
8	We can't go on in pear production
9	and some varieties of apples without it. I
10	just made a personal decision on that. I
11	think my orchard is located in a situation
12	where that is able to do it.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Mr.
14	Craver.
15	MR. CRAVER: Okay. Thanks.
16	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Robert Beauregard
17	is up next.
18	Is that Diane Dempster?
19	Come on up and work that out with
20	Lisa while Mr. Beauregard goes.
21	MR. BEAUREGARD: Hi. My name is
22	Bob Beauregard, and I am with the Country Hen.

(202) 234-4433

1

We are organic egg producers.

2	We here at the Country Hen would
3	like to thank you for the opportunity to
4	comment on the proposed recommendations for
5	animal welfare. We greatly appreciate the
6	time and effort that goes into creation of
7	improved standards and are happy to provide
8	our comments from a producer's point of view.
9	We do, however, have very serious
10	concerns about the challenges we face as
11	organic egg producers, based upon suggested
12	recommendations to free-range poultry at two
13	square feet per bird directly on soil.
14	I have included several
15	attachments with regards to our primary
16	concerns of disease, internal and external
17	parasites, and the health and safety of the
18	hens.
19	The Country Hen respectfully
20	suggests that the Livestock Committee
21	reevaluate the recommendations and consider
22	both the intended and unintended consequences

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	4
1	that may occur as a result of pursuing these
2	recommendations.
3	During this process, we hope you
4	will take the following into consideration:
5	Will or should the recommendations
6	overlap other federal, state, or local agency
7	regulations with regards to food safety,
8	environmental protection, drinking water
9	quality, and/or storm runoff pollution?
10	The risk involved for poultry
11	producers with regards to potential health and
12	disease issues that will increase nationwide
13	due to the increase of poultry exposed to the
14	presence of geese, ducks, and shorebirds,
15	coupled with the inability to ensure these
16	birds against these diseases.
17	As far as food safety concerns, is
18	the anatomy of the chicken prepared to handle
19	the possible contamination of soil dioxins and
20	internal parasites which could be incorporated
21	into the egg during the shell-forming process?
22	The financial impact that
I	I

473

	4
1	currently-certified organic producers would
2	incur in either attempting to adhere to these
3	standards or as a result of being unable to
4	adhere because they cannot possibly
5	accommodate these changes to their production
6	model due to space, building size,
7	environmental issues, and/or topographical
8	location to watersheds.
9	A science-based study that would
10	clearly demonstrate the viability of soil-
11	based runs at two square foot per bird and how
12	many rotational paddocks would be required at
13	this density to maintain soil integrity.
14	And finally, in an effort to
15	resolve these issues and work together to
16	improve the standards in a safe and viable
17	manner, should an organic poultry symposium be
18	formed, as was formed with the organic dairy
19	producers before those recommendations were
20	made?
21	Again, we thank you for the
22	opportunity to comment on these
ļ	I

474

(202) 234-4433

475 recommendations and for your hard work and 1 2 efforts. 3 CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Mr. Beaureqard. 4 Any guestions? Nick Maravell has 5 6 one. 7 Mr. Beauregard? Mr. Beauregard? We have one question for you. Thank you. 8 9 MR. MARAVELL: You are suggesting an organic poultry symposium be formed. 10 11 MR. BEAUREGARD: Yes. 12 MR. MARAVELL: So, obviously, because of your background, your concern is 13 only for poultry. How would that work in your 14 mind, and how would that help? 15 The Committee has heard a lot. 16 17 So, what did we miss here that --18 Well, I don't MR. BEAUREGARD: 19 think the Committee has heard everything. And 20 in three minutes, that is almost impossible to do. 21 22 Our concerns, basically, are all

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

based on internal and disease control. When 1 2 you put chickens at two square feet per bird, 3 and with no recommendations or guidance as far as how they should be rotated in order to 4 maintain the soil -- we are right on the 5 Boston water supply. So, I would have to 6 7 rotate, in my own point of view, based on European standards at 43 square feet per bird, 8 9 if I am going to put them at two, I would have to move those birds on a daily basis in order 10 11 to maintain that soil integrity. So, that 12 means I would need over 800 acres of land in order to move my birds. I just couldn't do 13 There is no way that we can move those 14 that. birds around. 15 I mean we are considered as a 16 factory farm from consumer advocate groups. 17 And my largest flock size is 7,000 birds. 18 We 19 base our standards --20 MR. MARAVELL: I don't think you 21 are addressing my question, but I appreciate 22 the input. And in the interest of time, Madam

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	477
1	Chair, should we move on?
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.
3	Melissa?
4	MS. BAILEY: I think Nick raised
5	the issue of a poultry symposium. Just to
6	follow up on Bob's comment, and for the
7	benefit of the Board, the program is currently
8	considering for poultry conducting a symposium
9	early this summer. So, that is something that
10	is on our radar.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Wonderful. Thank
12	you.
13	I just want to do a quick
14	housekeeping check-in here. I would like to
15	go ahead and call out the names of everyone
16	still scheduled today to provide public
17	comment, just so I can plan whether or not we
18	should take a break.
19	And, then, I also had a question
20	for the program. This is very general. I
21	received a concern from a member of the public
22	who wished to refute something that was said

(202) 234-4433

	478
1	about them or their organization. We don't
2	provide a forum for sort of cross-postings
3	here at the Board level.
4	And I wanted to just make a
5	general announcement for members of the public
6	how they can put on the record in some other
7	way a response, if they feel their reputation
8	has been impugned and they don't have a chance
9	to get up and speak again.
10	MR. MILLER: I am sorry. This is
11	a full
12	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Sir
13	MR. MILLER: I need to correct it
14	in the public. I'm sorry.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: You're out of
16	order. You are out of order, sir.
17	But, Miles, the Deputy
18	Administrator, please reply.
19	MR. McEVOY: Yes, I think we need
20	to think about this a little bit because there
21	could be many people that want to submit
22	comments in response to things that were
	I

	4
1	submitted. So, it is all part of the public
2	record. As the Board continues to work on
3	things, as the program continues to work on
4	things, there is ample opportunity to provide
5	continued public input and comments into this
6	process.
7	So, we will think about it.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Might we suggest
9	the next comment period as one forum for that?
10	Would that be something appropriate?
11	MR. McEVOY: You are suggesting
12	that there be one forum just for people to
13	respond to
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Not at all. Not
15	at all.
16	(Laughter.)
17	No. We will have a comment period
18	again before our next meeting.
19	Okay. So, I am going to go ahead
20	and call out the names of everyone signed up.
21	If you would mind waving, so we can find out
22	how many of you are still here?
	I

	480
1	Diane Dempster, I see you are
2	here.
3	Jerry Bartlett?
4	Matthew John Baker? John
5	Baker, are you here? Okay. Thank you.
6	Jenise Silva? Jenise Silva, are
7	you here? Or has anyone seen her? Okay.
8	Bob Durst?
9	MR. DURST: Here.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Herwig
11	Opdebeeck? Mr. Opdebeeck?
12	MR. DURST: He's here.
13	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. All right.
14	Well, we have already said enough names that
15	a break will be appropriate.
16	Board members, please be seated,
17	again, in 10 minutes. Thank you.
18	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
19	went off the record at 4:57 p.m. and resumed
20	at 5:11 p.m.)
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: We're back in
22	session. The NOSB is back in session.

(202) 234-4433

	481
1	Diane Dempster is coming to the
2	podium. Jerry Bartlett is standing by. Thank
3	you.
4	MS. DEMPSTER: Hi. My name is
5	Diane Dempster, and I am delivering public
6	comments on the Crop Committee's proposal on
7	ethylene on behalf of Melody Meyer, Vice
8	President of Global Initiatives at Albert's
9	Organics, who is unable to be here today.
10	Albert's is headquartered in
11	Bridgeport, New Jersey, and has been a pioneer
12	of the organic fresh foods industry since
13	1982. They have grown to become America's
14	largest organic produce distributor with
15	centers in California, Colorado, Florida, New
16	Hampshire, Minnesota, North Carolina, and New
17	Jersey. They have their own national,
18	regional, and international buying centers,
19	creating longstanding relationships with
20	organic farmers locally, regionally, and
21	globally.
22	Albert's Organics maintains an in-

	482
1	stock status of over 300 seasonal fruits and
2	vegetables, providing product to over 5,000
3	natural food stores and supermarkets.
4	Albert's sources organic pineapple
5	from Ecuador, Costa Rica, the USA, and Mexico.
6	Last year they sold over 65,000 cases of
7	organic pineapple. In 2010, they began
8	directly importing pineapple from Ecuador and
9	Costa Rica, developing direct relationships
10	with small and medium-sized growers.
11	Most of the growers are in
12	underprivileged areas of the central and
13	southern hemisphere where organic agriculture
14	and international trade is the only means of
15	achieving financial stability.
16	In visits to these growing
17	regions, it is evident that good roads,
18	electricity, and running water are the
19	benefits that would not be achieved without
20	the organic agriculture activities.
21	Albert's Organics respectfully
22	disagrees with the Crop Committee's

(202) 234-4433

recommendation to prohibit ethylene for 1 regulation of pineapple flowering. 2 Melody 3 just made a trip to Latin America last week and talked to many pineapple producers. 4 Every grower ethylene 5 and considers it uses essential to their operation. 6 7 From the mouth of one producer, "If ethylene use is eliminated in organic 8 9 pineapple production, it is the end of organic pineapple." 10 11 This, then, would result in the 12 loss of thousands of labor jobs on farms and scores of additional jobs in the community. 13 14 It is the very essence of 15 pineapples for the flowering to be delayed and occur unevenly throughout the field. Organic 16 pineapple growers rely on ethylene to manage 17 the timing of the plants' flowering and, 18 19 therefore, the timing of harvest. 20 The impact of ethylene is not only beneficial at harvest, it also facilitates 21 22 efficient cultivation, size management, and

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	4
1	helps to avoid over- and underproduction.
2	Without even ripening and size consistency, a
3	grower cannot fill containers to ship to our
4	northern climates.
5	Good management includes pest and
6	disease management, fertilization, and
7	cultural practices. Plant uniformity during
8	harvest reduces the incidence of worker injury
9	and accidents.
10	Allowing the organic pineapple
11	producer to maximize all management and
12	cultural practices, including ethylene, will
13	result in healthier plants, prosperous people,
14	a sustainable crop, and a vital U.S. sales
15	market.
16	The result of banning ethylene
17	will mean more conventional pineapple and,
18	hence, more pesticides, herbicides, and
19	fertilizers in the soils and waters. The
20	organic produce market will be less vital as
21	a result.
22	Please also reference the
	I

484

	40
1	information presented in the Supplementary
2	Technical Report commissioned for this meeting
3	that supports our position that there have
4	been no new alternatives to the use of
5	ethylene gas identified since the material was
6	first reviewed, and that there are methods of
7	applying ethylene that are both affordable,
8	practical for use by large and small growers.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Ms.
10	Dempster.
11	Any questions?
12	(No response.)
13	Thank you.
14	Oh, we have one. Barry Flamm?
15	MR. FLAMM: Did I understand that
16	you say that you are getting organic from
17	Ecuador?
18	MS. DEMPSTER: Ecuador and Costa
19	Rica.
20	MR. FLAMM: I understood from
21	previous comments that all the shipment to the
22	United States was from Costa Rica. So, I just
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	486
1	wanted to make sure I understood.
2	What percent of the total
3	production is from Ecuador?
4	MS. DEMPSTER: You know, I don't
5	know because I am speaking on behalf of
6	someone else.
7	MR. FLAMM: Okay.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Barry, you are
9	referring to a statistic earlier. It was 82
10	percent that Luis Monge said, not 100 percent.
11	MS. DEMPSTER: I also want to just
12	say quickly that we support the tetracycline
13	in apple and pear production.
14	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
15	Jerry Bartlett is up next.
16	Matthew Grieshop is standing by.
17	MR. BARTLETT: Thank you.
18	I am Jerry Bartlett. I am the
19	Chief Environmental Sustainability Officer for
20	Cedar Grove Composting, and I am also
21	representing the Washington Organic Recycling
22	Council today.

(202) 234-4433

	487
1	I am a composter in this State and
2	have had a registered compost product for over
3	a decade.
4	The issue before us today has to
5	do with the types of feedstocks that we take
6	into our composting business. As the food
7	waste recycling or food waste diversion
8	programs have intensified in the United
9	States, particularly in the Seattle area, we
10	have also been kind of forced with the issue
11	of taking in lots of compostable food or
12	dinnerware, compostable bags, and those types
13	of products. And we take those feedstocks in
14	and we compost those.
15	There are a couple of test methods
16	that I think that you should look at as
17	adopting as rules for feedstocks going to
18	composting. They are ASTM methods. There are
19	three of them that do heavy-metal analysis,
20	vital toxicity, and microbial disintegration
21	of the material.
22	Now, remember, these products
	I

	488
1	aren't applied directly to agricultural lands.
2	They are feedstocks for composters.
3	Composters turn them into compost, and, then,
4	that product is then sold. Currently, we
5	separate out all of these materials from our
6	feedstocks that are going to organic compost
7	production.
8	So, I wanted you to be aware of
9	that, and I also wanted to turn in kind of a
10	letter from the Washington Organic Recycling
11	Council that kind of explains the test methods
12	a little bit more clear and more in detail.
13	It also happens to be the time
14	with the ASTM methods that are being opened up
15	for changes as well. So, I think that it is
16	important, if you are likely to approve an
17	ASTM test method as opposed to having each
18	product individually petitioned, which takes,
19	obviously, months, and as I found out in this
20	conference, years, it would probably save the
21	Committee a lot of time by adopting these
22	methods. And if there is something wrong with

(202) 234-4433

	489
1	those methods, maybe advocating some changes
2	in those methods that would satisfy the
3	organic growers.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
6	Any questions?
7	(No response.)
8	Okay. I guess not.
9	MR. BARTLETT: Okay. Can I turn
10	them into you? Great. Thank you.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Matthew Grieshop,
12	and please correct my mispronunciation.
13	MR. GRIESHOP: It gets pronounced
14	all kinds of ways, but usually "Greeship" or
15	"Gership". But it is just fine.
16	So, my name is Matt Grieshop. I
17	am the Assistant Professor of Organic Pest
18	Management at Michigan State University. I am
19	also on the Board of MOFA, the Michigan
20	Organic Food and Farm Alliance.
21	I am here to talk about
22	streptomycin and its importance to organic
I	

(202) 234-4433

	490
1	apple production in Michigan and, really, the
2	more humid part of the country, so the eastern
3	portion of the country.
4	The reason I brought MOFA up is
5	really, to a large extent, I think this is
6	also an issue of the production of local
7	organic food.
8	I was going to talk a lot about
9	management, but Dain did a much better job
10	than I was in that regard. Growers typically
11	do a good job of doing that.
12	But I will talk a little bit about
13	fire blight. Fire blight is really a concern
14	when we hit an epidemic. To give you a point
15	of reference, in 2000, in Michigan, in
16	southwestern Michigan primarily, we had a fire
17	blight epidemic. We lost 400,000 trees. Many
18	of those trees were Red Delicious, which is
19	one of the most resistant fruiting varieties
20	to fire blight. That translates to \$42
21	million.
22	Now this wasn't organic acreage,

(202) 234-4433

1	but it was significant to the Michigan fruit
2	industry. And essentially, we lost 18 percent
3	of our acreage in Michigan. We also lost 15
4	percent of our farmers. They just weren't
5	able to come back. They lost their massive
6	investment in their trees, and that was it for
7	them. They had to roll up the carpet.
8	And that epidemic was due to some
9	very unusually warm, wet weather followed by
10	winds and hail. And it is when we get these
11	kinds of conditions that fire blight is truly
12	a threat, and really farmers without an
13	antibiotic treatment are one epidemic away
14	from crop loss and total farm failure.
15	I was going to talk about
16	management at this point, but, as I said, Dain
17	did a good job.
18	I did want to clear what sounded
19	like some confusion about resistance. When we
20	talk about resistance in fire blight, it is
21	not total resistance or a complete resistance
22	or what oftentimes plant pathologists call

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	492
1	qualitative resistance. It is quantitative
2	resistance.
3	To the best of my knowledge, all
4	commercially-produced apples are susceptible
5	to fire blight. The level of susceptibility
6	is what is variable.
7	Fujis are very susceptible. Pink
8	Ladies are very susceptible. Things like
9	Liberty how many people here have eaten a
10	Liberty apple? Okay, we've got two people.
11	Hey, that's good. Jim will like that. He
12	likes those apples, yes.
13	(Laughter.)
14	At any rate, those are quite
15	resistant, but the problem is that consumers
16	don't have a good recognition of that fruit.
17	So, that is an important thing to
18	think about. We don't have true resistance in
19	the sense that there is a gene-to-gene or even
20	multi-gene-to-gene relationship that is well
21	understood.
22	So, why streptomycin? So, I think

(202) 234-4433

	493
1	Dain said it best. He talked about early on
2	in the season in Washington he asks his
3	growers to make sure they keep things dry.
4	The reason that is is that fire blight
5	develops under moist conditions and under warm
6	conditions.
7	Now I don't know how many of you
8	are familiar with Michigan. We get rain all
9	the time. I mean the natural landscape there
10	is a temperate forest.
11	So, we can't keep our trees dry.
12	I mean it is, like this time of year, we have
13	had two weeks of rain. Right now, in bloom is
14	coming up, when we are susceptible to fire
15	blight.
16	And I can stop there.
17	Does anyone have any questions?
18	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Mac Stone?
19	MR. STONE: Matthew, we, the
20	Board, has been hesitant just to automatically
21	renew a Sunset, and what is the incentive for
22	growers? But could you speak to potential
Į	

(202) 234-4433

resistance to tetracycline? Like, how long do 1 the growers have or is there work being done? 2 3 Because, regardless of this Board, isn't there work being done because of the fear of lack of 4 efficacy of tetracycline anyway? 5 That is a really 6 MR. GRIESHOP: 7 qood question. I would say, yes, I think both conventional and organic growers are dreading 8 9 the dav that resistance we see to tetracycline. Its mode of action is not guite 10 11 as extreme as streptomycin. So, it has been 12 slower to develop. That said, you know, it is 13 going to happen. As far as I know, there aren't any 14 15 organically-acceptable antibiotic replacements 16 on the pipeline. And Dr. George Sundin, my colleague who is a plant pathologist, a tree 17 18 fruit plant pathologist at MSU, has informed 19 me of that. I think there may be some 20 products for the conventional market, but that is not going to do our organic growers much 21 22 good.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	To segue a little bit, but,
2	hopefully, not too much, and I am not trying
3	to butter my own bread here as a researcher
4	because I am not a microbiologist by training,
5	but we really need research funding for fire
6	blight management. And this really crosses
7	the line between organic and conventional.
8	Right now, our management strategies are very
9	unified across the two, these two types of
10	cropping, crop production systems.
11	I would say that a good place to
12	start would be to look at integration of some
13	of the biological tools that Dain mentioned.
14	So, competitive organisms with antibiotics,
15	and some early work that George Sundin has
16	done has shown that you can actually
17	potentially reduce antibiotic use by doing
18	that.
19	But, beyond that, I think we need
20	new biologicals that produce antibiotics, like
21	Serenade. Not everyone realizes that, but
22	Serenade is an antibiotic product as well. It
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	49
1	is an unknown antibiotic, but it is an
2	antibiotic produced by that organism. But we
3	need to move in that direction.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
5	Jay Feldman?
6	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
7	Do you see any difference in terms
8	of susceptibility to the infection between
9	conventional orchards and organic orchards?
10	MR. GRIESHOP: Well, there
11	definitely is. I think, again, I mean Dain
12	touched on it. And, to me, it was a good
13	explanation, but maybe to someone who is not
14	in orchards a lot it wouldn't be.
15	What it really comes down to there
16	is nutrient management. Fire blight does well
17	on young nitrogen-rich foliage, and it does
18	even better when that foliage gets a little
19	torn.
20	So, typically, in an organic
21	nutrient management plan, you are not putting
22	on a lot of nitrate or straight ammonium.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	Well, I guess there might be a little Chilean
2	nitrite, but you should be putting on compost
3	or manure, depending on your management plan.
4	And that usually cuts down on tree vigor and
5	you don't see as much susceptible tissue to
6	fire blight.
7	Pruning is also important. If you
8	prune at the wrong time of the year, and I
9	have learned this in my orchard, if you prune
10	around bloom, you are asking for it because
11	you get a lot of young foliage coming back and
12	some nice wounds, and if any bacterial ooze
13	gets on that, then you are going to have some
14	fire blight problems.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
16	MR. GRIESHOP: All right.
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: John Baker, please
18	come up to the podium. Jenise Silva is
19	standing by.
20	Jenise, are you here?
21	(No response.)
22	Bob Durst, please come on up and

	498
1	in the standby area.
2	Go ahead, Mr. Baker.
3	MR. BAKER: Okay. Hello. My name
4	is John Baker, President of Giving Nature
5	Foods. My company contracts for and markets
6	organic eggs in the Mid-Atlantic and the
7	greater New York City regions of the United
8	States.
9	I am also the founding member of
10	PATHS, Progressing Agriculture Towards Healthy
11	Sustainability, a sustainable farmers'
12	advocacy group.
13	I am here to tell the story of two
14	studies regarding laying hen diseases.
15	Specifically, these studies examine frequency
16	of certain disease occurrence under different
17	housing formats. Both studies were done in
18	Europe, and the results were published in the
19	mid-2000s.
20	The first one I will present is
21	often used to condemn outdoor pasture access,
22	and the second one is used to promote it.

(202) 234-4433

studies are often referred to in These 1 2 comments and press releases, but are not 3 specifically named. So, it is likely you have not heard of them and do not know their 4 5 sources. This study, most often cited by 6 7 anti-pasture advocates, was done in Sweden. The source for its results -- excuse me a 8 9 second; okay - the source for its results -- okay, it was done in Sweden. 10 The 11 source for its results were extrapolated from 12 mortality records and were not a result of laboratory research. 13 study carried 14 The was out by 15 Sweden's National Veterinary Institute. This data research found a higher incidence of 16 mortality and evidence of various diseases in 17 18 cage-free and free-range flocks when compared 19 to caged layer houses. The incidence of live 20 SE Salmonella were not studied because the results were gleaned from mortality records 21 22 and not live samples.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	While the conclusions reached by
2	the study found litter-based and free-range
3	systems to be the culprit, it also concluded
4	that poor knowledge of best or good management
5	practices to be the biggest reason for the
6	higher mortality. This is because many
7	operators of the flocks studied had only
8	recently converted from cage systems to cage-
9	free or free-range systems and lacked the
10	husbandry knowledge needed to be successful.
11	The study that supports greater
12	outdoor access and, indeed, pasture
13	availability as an important component of that
13 14	availability as an important component of that access comes from a study commissioned by the
14	access comes from a study commissioned by the
14 15	access comes from a study commissioned by the European Union. For this study, the EU
14 15 16	access comes from a study commissioned by the European Union. For this study, the EU directed their food safety and disease control
14 15 16 17	access comes from a study commissioned by the European Union. For this study, the EU directed their food safety and disease control agencies to collect data on laying flocks in
14 15 16 17 18	access comes from a study commissioned by the European Union. For this study, the EU directed their food safety and disease control agencies to collect data on laying flocks in EU countries phasing out barren cages, using
14 15 16 17 18 19	access comes from a study commissioned by the European Union. For this study, the EU directed their food safety and disease control agencies to collect data on laying flocks in EU countries phasing out barren cages, using a live sample laboratory research model.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	access comes from a study commissioned by the European Union. For this study, the EU directed their food safety and disease control agencies to collect data on laying flocks in EU countries phasing out barren cages, using a live sample laboratory research model. The EU study is far more focused,

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	501
1	size of the samples taken number 30,000 while
2	the Sweden only examined 914 samples.
3	While the anti-pasture advocates
4	often cite the Sweden study to defend their
5	position, you can see that this study didn't
6	even examine occurrence of SE in its research.
7	It is used often to support concerns about
8	compromising food safety as it relates to
9	greater outdoor access for laying hens.
10	The results of that study were
11	this: 43 percent lower SE odds in cage-free
12	barns than in caged; 95 percent lower SE odds
13	in organic than in caged; 98 percent lower SE
14	odds in free-range than in cage.
15	My personal conclusion: make eggs
16	safer to eat. Get the layer hens out of the
17	cages and barns. Get them outside in pasture.
18	It is a public health issue.
19	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
20	Any questions?
21	(No response.)
22	Thank you very much.

(202) 234-4433

	502
1	Bob Durst? Herwig Opdebeeck is
2	standing by.
3	Mr. Opdebeeck, are you here?
4	MR. DURST: Bob Durst, Simple
5	Organic Solutions, a consultant.
6	I support the majority decision to
7	determine that CSL is a non-synthetic. I know
8	that the Board is quite polarized on this
9	issue. And while as a chemist, I could go
10	into the chemistry involved, you have heard
11	from many others about that and have a number
12	of detailed resources in front of you.
13	While the decision process for CSL
14	that has been recommended to be non-synthetic
15	may not be perfect, and to some this seems to
16	be a one-off decision, there are significant
17	ramifications to this decision, and it might
18	be useful to straighten out the decision
19	process before many more similar decisions
20	have to be made. But don't delay this anyway.
21	When agricultural waste is
22	composted, the synthetic/non-synthetic

(202) 234-4433

1	decision tree from the Materials Working
2	Group, which I know has not been adopted yet,
3	is not a suitable tool to determine the
4	acceptability of composted material.
5	I heard earlier today that
6	consumers want an explanation of how one can
7	justify acceptance of CSL. The answer is the
8	composting process. This has been deemed to
9	be an acceptable intervening step for a wide
10	variety of nasties that are present in green
11	waste.
12	There is no debate about the GMO
12 13	There is no debate about the GMO status of the input corn. Composting makes it
13	status of the input corn. Composting makes it
13 14	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the
13 14 15	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the presence of herbicides and pesticides in the
13 14 15 16	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the presence of herbicides and pesticides in the corn or with bifenthrin in yard waste, as an
13 14 15 16 17	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the presence of herbicides and pesticides in the corn or with bifenthrin in yard waste, as an example, that gets composted. It is
13 14 15 16 17 18	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the presence of herbicides and pesticides in the corn or with bifenthrin in yard waste, as an example, that gets composted. It is acceptable.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the presence of herbicides and pesticides in the corn or with bifenthrin in yard waste, as an example, that gets composted. It is acceptable. Sulfurous acid, which is SO2 and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	status of the input corn. Composting makes it acceptable. There is no debate about the presence of herbicides and pesticides in the corn or with bifenthrin in yard waste, as an example, that gets composted. It is acceptable. Sulfurous acid, which is SO2 and water, made on farm has been accepted,

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	5
1	insignificant levels to SO2 early in the
2	process of producing corn steep liquor is
3	deemed to make it synthetic. This just does
4	not seem consistent or justifiable.
5	Remember that CSL is not being
6	applied directly to the soil. It is an input
7	which undergoes further microbial digestion
8	and blending with other ingredients to
9	formulate a suitable soil amendment.
10	The fallout from CSL being deemed
11	synthetic would have wide-ranging adverse
12	impact on farmers' ability to provide adequate
13	plant nutrition to an organic farm.
14	Since I have a little more time, I
15	am going to talk about pheromones for a quick
16	second. Don't change the annotation. The
17	suggested language for "added toxicants or
18	substantially similar to" are not definitive
19	language. The current rule and language
20	noting acceptance of EPA List 3 and List 4
21	items are more than adequate to define the
22	acceptability of pheromone materials.
Ţ	

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	505
1	Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any question?
3	Okay, Jay Feldman?
4	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you.
5	A great way to end the day, Bob,
6	huh?
7	(Laughter.)
8	MR. DURST: Why not?
9	MR. FELDMAN: Our favorite topic.
10	(Laughter.)
11	I was wondering if you are
12	familiar with the OMNI decision tree when
13	MR. DURST: The OMRI decision
14	tree?
15	MR. FELDMAN: What did I say?
16	OMRI. See, it is late. The OMRI decision
17	tree on CSL, which, as you know, found it to
18	be synthetic because of the breaking of bonds
19	in portions of the process, although
20	biological
21	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Question, please.
22	Question.

(202) 234-4433

	506
1	MR. FELDMAN: Well, these are the
2	decisions. Include a chemical change process.
3	Are you familiar with the decision tree of
4	OMRI?
5	MR. DURST: Yes, I am.
6	MR. FELDMAN: And so, what do you
7	think about it?
8	MR. DURST: Again, just like the
9	Materials Working Group decision tree, I don't
10	think it adequately captures the process that
11	composting goes through and is used when
12	making a decision. There's too many really
13	close or knife-edge kinds of decisions that
14	have to be made in that decision tree about
15	whether something is synthetic or not, when
16	there is this massive biological process of
17	composting going on which is massively
18	changing the chemical structure of the
19	material, which is deemed acceptable.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: I have a followup
21	question, and, then, Nick. Okay. Then, if we
22	have time, we can do round two.

(202) 234-4433

1	I have a question on a different
2	topic. You mentioned during a break that the
3	prestigious Linus Pauling Institute wished to
4	weigh-in on the nutrient vitamins and minerals
5	material for relisting on 605(b), and that
6	they decided not to attend the meeting once
7	the NOP announcement was put forth.
8	Do you have any idea what their
9	comments would have been, had they known to
10	come?
11	MR. DURST: I don't know exactly
12	what the comments would have been, but I will
13	read you what the mission statement is just
14	real quickly from the Pauling Institute.
15	It is "To determine the function
16	and role of vitamins and essential minerals,
17	micronutrients, and chemicals from plants,
18	phytochemicals, in promoting optimum health
19	and preventing or treating disease."
20	We strongly weigh-in in favor of
21	these kinds of materials.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay. Thank you.
	I

	508
1	We are at five. If we can make
2	our followup questions from Nick and Jay very
3	quick, we can indulge them.
4	MR. MARAVELL: In stating that we
5	need to look at compost feedstock a little bit
6	differently than we might look at other
7	agricultural inputs, because it goes through
8	a composting process, is your argument
9	basically that the composting process would
10	ameliorate or remediate anything that was
11	coming in that might be deemed objectionable?
12	MR. DURST: Yes.
13	MR. MARAVELL: I am just saying I
14	just want to know if that is your argument.
15	MR. DURST: Yes, absolutely.
16	MR. MARAVELL: Okay.
17	MR. DURST: The kinds of materials
18	that are going in would never be deemed
19	acceptable if they had not gone through a
20	composting process which is degrading them and
21	breaking them down.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay, do you have

(202) 234-4433

	509
1	a okay.
2	Thanks.
3	Herwig Opdebeeck, and Cheryl Van
4	Dyne is standing by.
5	MR. OPDEBEECK: Good afternoon.
6	My name is Herwig Opdebeeck. I am
7	a scientist. My company is Opdebeeck
8	Consulting from Switzerland.
9	Eight years ago, on my own
10	initiative, I started looking into natural
11	nitrate, trying to understand why there was so
12	much dramatically-charged opposition against
13	it in Europe.
14	I found that the origin of the
15	sentiment was based on fundamental
16	misunderstandings about crop nutrition related
17	to organic principles, which I explain in the
18	book I wrote based on more than 100 peer-
19	reviewed references, about half of them
20	originating from organic research institutes.
21	That is the book.
22	These misunderstandings that led

(202) 234-4433

1	to the total prohibition of natural nitrite in
2	Europe did have, and still has, its negative
3	consequences. For example, in Switzerland,
4	over 90 percent of organic wheat is imported
5	from overseas, where it is the case for only
6	40 percent of conventional wheat. The reason
7	Swiss organic wheat has such a poor baking
8	quality is because of lack of protein caused
9	by too low of nitrogen availability during
10	critical growing stages in the early spring.
11	As explained by people that
12	preceded me, similar nitrogen availability
13	problems exists in this country for all the
14	crops. Such unintended consequences would not
15	have happened if the EU wouldn't have been
16	married to the outcome, but would, instead,
17	have looked more carefully into scientific
18	evidence.
19	For example, the conclusions of a
20	recent study on the renown DOC trial plots,
21	the Swiss Organic Research Institute reached,
22	"The nitrogen use efficiency of any fertilizer
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	can only be increased by better
2	synchronization of the nitrogen supply, and
3	that this is best achieved by combining animal
4	manure and other carbon sources with mineral
5	fertilizer."
6	This and other evidence are,
7	indeed, relevant new science-based information
8	since the last Sunset review.
9	Equivalency may be an underlying
10	concern, but it shall be up to the farmer to
11	decide if it could be used for the local
12	market or to export overseas. In any case,
13	the non-use of natural nitrate in organic
14	agriculture in Europe is not a done deal.
15	Wouldn't it be ironic that maybe a
16	synthetic soluble liquid, but less efficient
17	nitrogen source such as CSL and other inputs
18	would replace the natural soluble and more
19	efficient nitrogen source? Let's not take
20	away from farmers a tool that exists for over
21	150 years
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, sir.

www.nealrgross.com

	510
	512
1	MR. OPDEBEECK: and replace it
2	with a non-natural and less effective source.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for
5	Mr. Opdebeeck?
6	(No response.)
7	Thank you, sir.
8	MR. OPDEBEECK: Thank you.
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Cheryl Van Dyne is
10	coming to the podium, and Peggy Miars is
11	standing by.
12	MS. VAN DYNE: Hi. My name is
13	Cheryl Van Dyne. I am representing J.M. Huber
14	and, also, a couple of organizations. One is
15	SASSI, and the other one is DITA. SASSI is an
16	organization about silicon dioxide, and SASSI
17	is a defoamer institute. The organizations
18	have provided some data for us as well as from
19	Huber.
20	We are here to talk about the
21	difference between ground-up rice hulls and
22	silicon dioxide, and to provide you with some
	I

(202) 234-4433

	513
1	facts for helping you make your decisions. We
2	are hoping that you will decide not to delist
3	silicon dioxide because there is a need for it
4	in the industry.
5	You asked for information about
6	its approval status and its use. This
7	presentation is very long, and I don't intend
8	to give it all to you. But I did want to
9	provide it to you, so that you had an
10	opportunity to review it after the meeting is
11	over and at your leisure.
12	Next slide, please.
13	Silicon dioxide is approved by the
14	FDA in all kinds of areas, defoamers. It goes
15	into dried egg yolks, animal feed, feed
16	additives, drinking water.
17	The FCC monograph provides the
18	purity criteria for silicon dioxide, and it is
19	very important to understand that the purity
20	criteria, as an international standard, allows
21	for the prevention of adulteration and
22	impurities. The FCC also lists the functions

(202) 234-4433

	514
1	for silicon dioxide as anti-caking, defoaming,
2	carrier, conditioner agent, et cetera.
3	Next slide, please.
4	These are some of the lists of
5	applications on the left side. And, then, as
6	you can see, across the top are different
7	products that Huber offers. Based on the
8	particle size, the particular application of
9	the ingredient will call for a particular
10	particle size of the silicon dioxide.
11	Some of the typical properties are
12	available for you to read. These are a little
13	bit of eye charts. So, we won't stay here.
14	Next slide.
15	There is a long history of safe
16	use of silicon dioxide in the food industry,
17	and it provides anti-caking and free-flow
18	solutions. And without adequate anti-caking
19	and free-flow properties, certain organic
20	certified products would not be able to move
21	through the conditions in use of commerce and
22	arrive to the consumer of the organic

(202) 234-4433

1	products.
2	As you can see, there is just some
3	more information about clumping, lumping, and
4	what our silicon dioxide will do as an anti-
5	caking, free-flow agent.
6	We did some comparisons. Just in
7	all fairness, we are not asking silicon
8	dioxide to replace rice hull, ground-up rice
9	hulls. We are just saying take a look at what
10	the differences are.
11	The ground-up rice hulls are 14 to
12	21 percent silicon and up to 22 percent ash.
13	This comes from their specifications online.
14	Silicon dioxide product is a pure I'm done?
15	All right. Can we do just one
16	more picture? One more. One more. There.
17	(Laughter.)
18	Okay. I wasn't sure where it was.
19	On the lefthand side is the
20	control, and on the righthand side is the
21	silicon dioxide. In the middle are two new
22	flow products. And as you can see, there
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

	516
1	really isn't anti-caking going on in this.
2	So, with that, I will end.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
4	Any questions?
5	(No response.)
6	I guess we're set.
7	Ms. Van Dyne? Ms. Van Dyne, the
8	court reporter had a question for you.
9	Is that what he is called, court
10	reporter? Transcriber?
11	(Laughter.)
12	Sorry. I just elevated our entire
13	proceeding to something else. Okay.
14	Peggy Miars, come on up to the
15	podium.
16	And, Lisa, who is standing by?
17	And then Garth Kahl.
18	Go ahead, Peggy.
19	MS. MIARS: Next slide, please,
20	Lisa. Thank you.
21	Good afternoon.
22	I am Peggy Miars, using my time to
ļ	

	517
1	present comments on behalf of Bill Wolf who
2	was unable to attend this meeting at the last
3	minute.
4	And I want to make it clear that
5	these comments are neither mine nor OMRI's.
6	I am the messenger, and I will be speaking
7	quickly.
8	For those of you who don't him,
9	Bill is President of Wolf DiMatteo and
10	Associates, the oldest organic consultancy in
11	the United States and maybe even the world.
12	He has been involved in organics for 40 years.
13	And now in his words, "Colleagues,
14	I've got to be blunt. I don't like where this
15	train is headed.
16	Many of the recommendations on the
17	table, such as Epsom salts and nickel are,
18	quite frankly, an embarrassment to Bill. He
19	smells a combo of bad science and misguided
20	intention, and in some cases a dubious
21	process, all which add up to trouble for the
22	organic farmer and, ultimately, if this trend

(202) 234-4433

	518
1	continues, for the organic consumer.
2	The National List is central to
3	the NOP regulations, and everybody in the
4	supply chain depends on it. And the National
5	List has to provide organic farmers with a
6	complete and up-to-date toolbox for providing
7	nutrient-dense organic foods.
8	Whenever we are recommending a
9	product for or against inclusion on the
10	National List, it has to be based on both good
11	science and organic principles.
12	This slide shows two of the most
13	important questions we should be considering
14	when making our decisions. There was never
15	any intention to bring the National List to
16	zero. Its purpose is to support organic
17	farming and to do it responsibly. Removing
18	materials when there are no viable
19	alternatives does not support better organic
20	farming. It threatens organic.
21	And this new concept that having
22	materials on the list is bad is hamstringing

(202) 234-4433

	519
1	the organic farmer, and if it continues, will
2	halt research as well.
3	Let's look at a couple of the
4	recommendations. How many people in this room
5	like organic apple pie? Well, guess what?
6	There we go. Okay. The way we are headed,
7	this may be a oh, he was going to actually
8	have apple pie and serve it to you. So, too
9	bad for you.
10	(Laughter.)
11	So, if he had, he would say this
12	would be among your last slice of apple pie,
13	organic apple pie, and it's no joke.
14	Without tetracycline and
15	streptomycin, organic apple pie and pear crops
16	are hugely vulnerable to the devastating fire
17	blight disease. In an ideal world, would we
18	want to stop using these items? Sure, but
19	there is no fully proven alternative.
20	So, we have a choice here. We can
21	hamstring the organic farmer and stop making
22	organic applesauce for our kids or we can

(202) 234-4433

1	ground this discussion in both science and
2	reality. The choice is clear to Bill. These
3	are essential tools as part of an integrated
4	pest control strategy and can be used
5	responsibly, so organic farmers can bring
6	apples and pears successfully to market.
7	Does anyone in this room still
8	have a nickel in your pocket? Well, according
9	to the recommendation on the table, you are
10	putting yourself at risk. So, please go put
11	your nickels in the toxic nickel collection
12	containers out in the hallway.
13	But, seriously, pecan pie is the
14	same story. It is well understood that pecans
15	need trace amounts of nickel. And when pecan
16	trees are suffering a deficiency
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
18	MS. MIARS: they need to
19	receive it quickly and efficiently.
20	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Peggy.
21	MS. MIARS: Oh, I'm sorry.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: That's okay.
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	521
1	MS. MIARS: Sorry.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Okay.
3	MS. MIARS: I thought it was my
4	one-minute warning.
5	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. MIARS: Thank you.
8	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
9	Callyn? And Garth Kahl, you are
10	standing by.
11	MS. KIRCHAR: My name is Callyn
12	Kirchar. I am Farm Program Technical
13	Specialist for Oregon Tilth, and today I will
14	be commenting on the Livestock Committee
15	proposed recommendations.
16	We greatly appreciate the
17	Committee's work and their willingness to
18	discuss these proposals with us during this
19	week. We have talked during breaks, lunches,
20	dinners, and one impromptu discussion that
21	occurred in the bathroom.
22	Oregon Tilth's written comments on

(202) 234-4433

	52
1	these subjects detailed the specific sections
2	of the proposals that we found to be in some
3	cases unclear and conflicting. I will not go
4	into those specific examples today.
5	Today I wanted to bring to light
6	the far-reaching effects of these proposed
7	recommendations and the need to obtain all of
8	the necessary industry input.
9	Oregon Tilth notified all of our
10	organic producers of the Livestock Committee
11	recommendations, but, due to the short
12	timeline and the time of year, we,
13	unfortunately, received very little
14	correspondence from them.
15	We appreciate that the Committee
16	is willing to incorporate input from those
17	folks that are here prior to the Friday
18	voting. However, we would like to see that
19	all organic producers have the ability to
20	comment on these proposed recommendations.
21	It was noted by the Board that we
22	have discussed this issue for a long time, but
	1

522

we have had changes to the recommendations
 themselves as recently as yesterday, which
 gives producers no time for input prior to the
 vote.

The producers' perspective is very 5 important to this decision. Robin from CCOF 6 7 said it very well, and I would agree that we have found with the pasture rule regulation we 8 9 have clients that clearly meet the regulation, but are having difficulty keeping up with the 10 11 amount of paperwork in order to prove it. 12 And we all know as auditors that, if it wasn't written down, it didn't happen. 13

For significant rule changes such 14 as this, where modifications will need to be 15 16 made to all organic operations and recordkeeping and time, there needs to be a 17 18 look at the industry impact. Looking at the 19 economic viability of organic producers is not organic 20 counter the intentions of to 21 agriculture.

I have heard commenters also this

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

week state that it is the end consumer that is the most important. However, looking at producer viability is very important as well. Without organic farmers, processors, and handlers, there is no organic food.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

We wholeheartedly agree with MOSA who stated that they could have a producer poll about the impacts of these recommendations and provide any other data that you would like to see. If this is done, please include Oregon Tilth in this effort.

12 We also with other aqree commenters today. Please take all of the 13 public comment into account, put it into one 14 15 document, SO that we can see everything together, including have it combined against 16 as it was when it was first presented and 17 bring it back to the fall 2011 meeting. 18 We 19 can drum up more comment from our producers by 20 then. 21 Thank you.

CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	525
1	MS. KIRCHAR: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Any questions for
3	Callyn?
4	(No response.)
5	All right. Garth Kahl, you're up
6	next.
7	Jason Woulfin, you're standing by.
8	MR. KAHL: Hello. My name is
9	Garth Kahl.
10	And I, first of all, want to thank
11	all you brain-dead people for suffering
12	through this. You are probably, well, almost
13	undoubtedly, more brain-dead than all the rest
14	of us in here put together. So, thank you.
15	(Laughter.)
16	Since 1996, I have worked as an
17	organic inspector, consultant, and hired
18	policy wonk for over a half dozen certifiers,
19	including my current employer, Oregon Tilth,
20	whose views are not necessarily reflected in
21	my comments.
22	As regards my current comments, I

(202) 234-4433

1	am also co-owner of Common Treasury Farm, a
2	diversified crop and livestock operation that
3	has been certified since 1993. Common
4	Treasury Farm produces certified organic
5	blueberries, vegetable starts, seeds, locker
6	lambs, and eggs.
7	Today I want to specifically
8	address a number of issues: the vote to allow
9	magnesium sulfate to Sunset and, secondly, the
10	impact of any proposed change to the rule,
11	especially animal welfare standards, and what
12	they would have on producers and inspectors.
13	Magnesium sulfate. This material
14	with its current annotation requiring
15	documented soil deficiency is a valuable tool
16	for producers. On my own farm we use cover
17	crops, vermicompost, kelp, and dolomite to
18	supply micronutrients, including magnesium.
19	Even so, on particular crops, especially
20	garlic and onions, we have found foliar
21	applications of this material to be a very
22	valuable tool.
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	In spite of the lack of a complete
2	technical review and the fact that there does
3	not at least appear to be any widespread human
4	health, environmental, or other adverse
5	effects from the use and manufacture of this
6	product heck, thousands a people a day soak
7	their feet in it the Crops Committee chose
8	to recommend its removal from the list.
9	This would result not only in the
10	loss of another tool for organic producers,
11	but an additional burden on producers,
12	inspectors, and certifiers who will then have
13	to document that only non-synthetic sources
14	are used.
15	An uninformed observer might,
16	watching the proceedings this week, conclude
17	that there is a rampant attitude of, hey,
18	let's whack some low-lying synthetics because
19	we can.
20	I would urge that the Board please
21	remember that every change to the National
22	List represents in the aggregate massive

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	additional hours for inspectors, reviewers,
2	and certifiers, and an additional cost to
3	producers in the form of longer inspections,
4	discarded materials, and possibly responses to
5	non-compliances or even the loss of certified
6	ground. The National List should not be
7	changed without taking into full account the
8	economic effects on all parties involved,
9	particularly growers.
10	With respect to the animal welfare
11	standards, I would just conclude that my farm
12	could easily comply with them, but I will also
13	conclude that this year I spent more time
14	doing animal and crop OSPs than I did filling
15	out my taxes, which included a 1040, a
16	Schedule C, and a Schedule F. And I am an
17	"organicrat". I have been doing this for 15
18	years for multiple certifiers on multiple
19	continents in multiple languages.
20	It is a burden. It is coming down
21	on producers, and they are going to leave.
22	They are going to go to natural certified.
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	529
1	They are going to balk.
2	So, we need to do something about
3	this. Please consider that as you make
4	changes.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you, Garth.
7	(Applause.)
8	MR. KAHL: Any questions?
9	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Nick Maravell?
10	MR. MARAVELL: Yes, I would just
11	like you to fill in a little more detail.
12	What makes you say that organic producers
13	might go to other certification-type programs?
14	MR. KAHL: They already are. In
15	my experience, I know sorry.
16	MR. MARAVELL: You actually have
17	examples, anecdotal examples?
18	MR. KAHL: I have anecdotal
19	examples of at least two who have abandoned
20	organic certification in favor of natural,
21	particularly beef production, because they
22	didn't want to deal with the pasture rule.

(202) 234-4433

1	And there's others. I mean, you know, in our
2	area Farm Alliance here in the Pacific
3	Northwest, they are strong and there's a lot
4	of people that think that Farm Alliance is
5	better, although they still allow glyphosate.
6	I mean it is happening. People
7	are leaving. Producers are leaving. And the
8	recordkeeping requirements and the burden of
9	certification is part of it.
10	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Jay Feldman?
11	MR. FELDMAN: If I understand you
12	correctly, you are saying the proposal before
13	the Board is too stringent for growers?
14	MR. KAHL: With respect to which?
15	MR. FELDMAN: Animal welfare.
16	MR. KAHL: Animal welfare, I would
17	echo the comments that other people have been
18	making all afternoon. It needs to be done
19	very carefully. I agree that there needs to
20	be an improvement in animal welfare standards,
21	but it needs to be handled very carefully and
22	it needs to be done in a way that does not

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	5.
1	unduly burden producers, and that producers
2	have a lot of notice, like a big sign that
3	says, "This is coming. By the way, this is
4	coming. Oh, yeah, this is going to come."
5	It needs to be implemented slowly
6	and carefully. Producers need that because
7	they are busy doing other things. They are
8	not watching what policy is doing. They are
9	trying to farm. And, then, the inspector gets
10	out there and says, "You're non-compliant.
11	You have 30 days to respond to this non-
12	compliance or, boom, you're suspended." It
13	has just got to be more sensitive to the folks
14	on the ground out there.
15	Thank you.
16	(Applause.)
17	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
18	Jason Woulfin?
19	MR. WOULFIN: Well, good
20	afternoon, and I commend all of you on your
21	stamina today. This has been an impressive
22	process for me as a first-time attendee to see
	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	5
1	all of this.
2	My name is Jason Woulfin. I am
3	with SQM and, as you probably know, we are a
4	producer of natural sodium nitrate.
5	I simply wanted to shed some light
6	on SQM's stats and claims of statements of
7	support and petitions that have been presented
8	to the NOSB so far at this meeting.
9	Regarding the statements of
10	support, we did hire a company to contact
11	people within the organic community,
12	specifically targeting organic farmers as
13	certified on the documents we found. This was
14	done specifically because users of natural
15	Chilean nitrate began contacting us with
16	statements of support, asking how to
17	communicate this properly to the Board.
18	We felt that, as significant
19	industry support was cited as a reason for
20	considering the removal of the annotation by
21	the Crops Committee, we felt we needed to find
22	an effective means for displaying that there
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

is actually significant industry support for 1 keeping the annotation regarding the use of 2 3 natural Chilean nitrate and bridging the communication felt existed in 4 qap we portraying this information. 5 The intention of this program was 6 7 to contact individuals within the organic community, capture statements of support as it 8 9 relates to the continued use of natural Upon confirming if the 10 Chilean nitrate. 11 individual wished to make a statement of 12 support, the individual was informed that they would be recorded and their comment would be 13 shared with the NOSB. 14 15 Via this process, 273 individual comments of support from up to 40 different 16 states were recorded and transcribed to the 17 18 regulations.gov web page. 19 For anyone concerned as to 20 misrepresentation, you can clearly search the 21 to view statement. These web page а 22 statements range from quite technical to

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	534
1	general and were not edited or reviewed by SQM
2	in any way prior to being submitted via a
3	third-party company to the NOSB web page.
4	If needed, we can also provide
5	full copies of the recordings for any
6	statement of support that was submitted to the
7	NOSB on behalf of one of these individuals.
8	Separately, the petition that was
9	formally submitted to the NOSB on Tuesday by
10	Emmanuel De Marez with a little over 500
11	signatures was a written petition that went to
12	individual organic farmers throughout many
13	states for their signatures, if they chose to
14	support it. These were sent back to SQM
15	through various channels prior to submitting
16	to the NOSB.
17	Referring to another comment made
18	earlier regarding usage in the State of
19	Washington, I would like to just note that
20	close to 20 percent of the signatures did come
21	from the State of Washington in support of the
22	Chilean nitrate.
	I

(202) 234-4433

	535
1	Thank you.
2	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you very
3	much.
4	Any questions for Mr. Woulfin?
5	(No response.)
6	Thank you.
7	We are almost done. We asked the
8	Materials Committee last meeting to take a
9	look at flavors and the notion of forming a
10	Flavors Task Force. In the shuffle of
11	priorities, it was not deemed something that
12	NOP could take on right now, forming a task
13	force. And the industry came together to form
14	their own Flavors Task Force.
15	We have asked if we could get a
16	quick update from the Flavors Task Force.
17	This wasn't on the agenda, however. And so,
18	just to make sure we are following our
19	processes, it would take about three minutes,
20	and I would ask NOSB members if they would be
21	willing to make this change to the agenda with
22	a show of hands.

(202) 234-4433

	536
1	All in favor of hearing from the
2	Flavors Task Force?
3	All opposed?
4	We have two representatives from
5	the Flavors Task Force, Gwendolyn Wyard and
6	Julie Weisman. Please approach the podium.
7	Mac?
8	MR. STONE: While they are coming,
9	I need to acknowledge that I was asked by
10	Steve to represent the Board in their Task
11	Force and listen into the phone calls, and I
12	was not able to do so. So, I wanted to
13	acknowledge that.
14	Sorry about that, Steve.
15	CHAIR MIEDEMA: No problem. You
16	can catch up.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MS. WYARD: Hello. My name is
19	Gwendolyn Wyard.
20	MS. WEISMAN: My name is Julie
21	Weisman.
22	And we co-chair the OTA Flavor

1	Task Force.
2	This was originally signed up for
3	public comment because we knew we were not on
4	the agenda, and we relinquished that spot.
5	But we are going to keep it to three minutes,
6	which we are going to use to introduce the OTA
7	Flavor Task Force and draw your attention to
8	the comments that we submitted to
9	regulations.gov regarding what is a non-agenda
10	item for this meeting.
11	And I think people who have been
12	on the Board for a while have heard some of
13	this dilemma and discussed it. So, for those
14	of you who are new, basically, flavors are
15	allowed, non-agricultural, non-synthetic
16	ingredients, under 205.605(a) of the National
17	List since the National Organic Program's
18	inception, with no requirement to source
19	organic alternatives.
20	At the same time, we have a
21	steadily-increasing number of certified
22	organic flavors. And while the percentage of

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	organic flavors compared to their conventional
2	counterparts is very small, and for certain
3	types don't exist at all, organic flavors are
4	available, and they have been for a long time.
5	So, the issue, then, becomes one
6	about, because flavors are a broad category,
7	of agricultural ones and non-agricultural
8	classification, so, therefore, commercial
9	availability requirements.
10	The National Organic Standards
11	Board at the October 2010 meeting in Madison
12	recommended the formation of a Task Force on
13	Flavors, and the NOSB responded to their
14	request in a December 17th, 2010, response
15	memorandum which stated the following:
16	MS. WYARD: Now it is my turn.
17	"The NOP concurs with the need for
18	a more extensive review of the category of
19	flavors currently listed on 205.605(a) to
20	determine if there are flavors that should be
21	considered agricultural and required to be
22	organically-produced. The NOP does not
I	I

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	believe there is a need for an NOP-sponsored
2	task force on flavors at this time. The NOP
3	believes the formation of an informal industry
4	group to develop a flavor recommendation for
5	the NOP to consider would be accomplished more
6	effectively through an industry-formed group."
7	In response to the NOP and the
8	need for an information industry task force,
9	OTA invited interested parties to join such a
10	group. The OTA Flavor Task Force is comprised
11	of 12 members and has been meeting once a week
12	since February 18th of 2011.
13	We have the list of participants
14	up on the slide there. As you can see by this
15	list, we have an experienced, knowledgeable,
16	and well-rounded representation, including
17	former NOSB members, current NOSB member.
18	Thank you, Mr. Mac Stone; we will look forward
19	to you on the next calls. We have got flavor
20	manufacturers and end-users, consultants, and
21	the primary trade association for the flavor
22	industry.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

	540
1	We have completed our first round
2	of work, accomplishing our first
3	recommendation. Understanding that flavors
4	are not on the agenda for this meeting, again,
5	we simply want to make the Board aware of the
6	OTA Flavor Task Force and draw your attention
7	to our written comments and our
8	recommendation.
9	Additionally, we respectfully
10	request that the treatment of natural flavors
11	on the National List becomes a Handling
12	Committee work plan item for the fall meeting.
13	The Task Force plans to continue
14	our work, and we look forward to providing the
15	NOSB with a complete presentation at the fall
16	meeting.
17	Our comments can be found at
18	regulations.gov, Comment No time to write
19	this own AMSNOP1100143182. And you also
20	should have them in your book.
21	So, thank you very much.
22	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.

(202) 234-4433

	541
1	MS. WYARD: We really appreciate
2	your time.
3	CHAIR MIEDEMA: Thank you.
4	Any questions for representatives
5	of the industry Flavors Task Force? Steve?
6	MR. DeMURI: Not so much a
7	question, but a comment. You guys did a
8	tremendous amount of work in two months. So,
9	thank you very much. You have made great
10	progress already.
11	CHAIR MIEDEMA: All right. Super.
12	Before we recess for the day, I
13	want to remind NOSB members that we may have
14	some edits to do in Committee based on the
15	last few days. Please make sure you check in
16	with your Committee Chairs before you leave
17	the room this evening.
18	And we will reconvene at 8:00 a.m.
19	tomorrow for voting.
20	Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, at 6:06 p.m., the
22	above-entitled matter went off the record.)

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

٦

		140.15	051.0	407 10 517 01
A	<b>Academy</b> 375:3	149:15	251:2	427:18 517:21
abandoned 529:19	387:8	accompanies	acknowledging	added 13:20 14:1
abdication 288:18	ACAs 24:17 435:10	308:21	306:21	18:14,16 19:6
<b>ability</b> 81:8 84:12	435:14,20 436:3	accompanying	acquire 279:3	29:2 110:1 146:16
87:3 176:16	437:1,11	420:4	acre 323:22	150:12 191:18
328:16 426:6	ACA's 416:18	accomplish 49:16	acreage 31:8	199:5 228:22
504:12 522:19	accept 95:19 407:6	accomplished	170:12 204:10,12	275:18 455:9,11
<b>able</b> 18:22 23:17	acceptability 503:4	539:5	255:9 293:3	504:17
36:7 40:21 59:3	504:22	accomplishing	490:22 491:3	adding 148:9 255:5
87:8 136:16	acceptable 91:1	540:2	acres 31:9 204:1	256:7,9 304:2
162:11 170:15	95:21 220:18	account 73:10	450:1 464:11,12	addition 12:4 22:6
171:9 205:5	327:5 350:7	264:4 315:2 326:7	476:12	213:20 256:16
206:10,11 214:4	379:14 439:12	459:9 524:14	act 11:18 15:16,18	282:4,7 295:1
224:13 239:11,19	446:19 447:13	528:7	15:21 78:17	296:1 307:2
264:17 281:17	503:9,14,18	accounted 154:2	125:12 270:1	439:14 443:5
300:15,18 318:3	506:19 508:19	156:9	279:14,16 371:1	additional 14:7
346:15 350:5	acceptance 503:7	accreditation	<b>acted</b> 86:4	19:11,12 44:18
367:19 374:13	504:20	208:17 209:5,18	acting 191:8	49:14 77:3 99:6
383:5 384:20	accepted 30:18	433:19,22 434:9	action 40:21	105:16,17,19
385:12 388:22	80:15 503:20	434:12 437:3	103:18 175:11	113:16 118:17
426:3 438:18	access 14:13 22:12	accredited 8:13	289:20 290:20	212:8 213:11
446:11 471:12	24:14 50:2 59:16	209:20 433:4	391:16 403:10,16	227:19 263:22
491:5 514:20	60:2,3 63:22	434:21 436:19	494:10	422:6 483:13
536:12	78:14 80:7,21	<b>accrue</b> 185:3	actionable 251:10	527:11 528:1,2
above-entitled	81:6 82:11 85:1	accuracy 372:3	actions 407:13	Additionally 271:4
541:22	105:5 106:18	accurate 27:4	active 107:8 205:19	540:9
<b>absence</b> 154:21	144:18 166:20	120:12,15	227:11 288:4	additions 29:2,4
250:10	217:22 218:6	achievable 212:5	292:19	108:17
absolute 126:21	222:4 239:3 251:1	achieve 121:16	actively 218:8	additive 91:19
217:16 218:18	251:3 253:13	422:1	<b>activities</b> 482:20	107:20 288:16
222:22	286:8 331:19	achieved 482:19	activity 36:5 308:7	291:10
absolutely 41:15	338:1 340:6,9	511:3	311:7,15	additives 107:15
87:1 111:8 125:13	345:8 376:21	achieving 482:15	<b>acts</b> 369:3,6	108:14 147:15
163:2 220:20	380:21 381:13	acid 72:14,15 74:21	actual 41:5 221:6	149:6 288:9,15
227:12 358:12	382:3 441:8	148:2 182:20	222:2 250:10	289:10,18 290:6
404:12 418:6	498:21 500:12,14	228:3 229:1,2,7,8	280:5 321:17	291:6,17 294:5
508:15	501:9	233:22 462:21,22	443:14	412:18 513:16
<b>absorb</b> 144:2	accessed 159:2	503:19	<b>ad</b> 29:5,8,21 97:15	address 15:21
213:12	accessory 176:4,5	acidifying 190:11	<b>adapt</b> 44:7	18:10,11 22:22
absorbed 211:19	326:1 403:17,20	190:14	adapted 285:4	24:11 26:5 43:4
abstain 88:19	404:8,20 440:21	acidity 190:8	<b>add</b> 27:20 31:16	46:4 47:10 85:8
356:10	accidents 484:9	acids 191:5 294:3	43:14 57:21 82:18	104:14 105:1,1
abstentions 88:14	accommodate	296:8 297:6 404:1	117:6 125:16	107:17 118:22
abundance 45:13	307:1 474:5	404:2	146:6 150:16	122:6 127:17
abundant 99:15	accommodated	acknowledge 293:1	189:16 228:2,3	139:20 177:8
ACA 312:14 416:3	14:2	536:9,13	327:1 364:22	189:12,22 200:17
433:10,13 435:10	accompanied	acknowledged	373:16 404:9	200:18 207:18

208:7,12,21 210:7	488:21	315:12 337:17	131:14 134:14	<b>Ahhhh</b> 303:1
217:6,9 284:17	adoption 126:10	340:19 354:5	169:17 183:1	AHRAMJIAN
291:9 308:15	161:10	402:21 419:11	185:12 200:15	2:21
373:21 393:3	adult 340:8	456:15 509:5	215:11 269:7	aiming 444:18
406:12 423:18	adulteration	516:21 530:18	271:22 273:14	<b>ain't</b> 368:14 369:6
431:4 526:8	513:21	531:20	275:10 309:7	<b>air</b> 61:20 75:17
addressed 48:4,5	adults 25:19	<b>ag</b> 38:2,3,8 184:6	403:12 523:7	161:13 352:17,21
118:10,11 119:21	338:15	age 16:10,15 17:1	524:6,12 530:19	<b>al</b> 227:20
249:18 306:17	advance 398:18	18:15 23:1,3	agreed 38:18 86:15	Alas 369:2
344:15 409:10	Advanced 350:14	25:16 62:13 285:1	112:22 232:10	Alaska 97:9
addressing 114:1	advancement	290:12 331:15	259:9,13 327:20	Albert's 9:7 481:8
118:15 247:20	398:4	332:3 338:8 339:7	457:9	481:10,22 482:4
263:15 395:1	advantages 74:11	340:5,8 341:7	agreed-upon 114:5	482:21
403:5 476:21	adverse 159:2	aged 29:10 53:16	agreeing 93:11	alcohol 67:12
adds 161:13	504:11 527:4	agencies 45:3 224:6	agreement 123:7	Alexander 276:8
adequate 17:3 72:3	advertised 74:7	327:17 355:10	136:8 187:18	282:20
179:12 217:11,22	advice 177:15,19	435:6 500:17	272:13	Alexis 6:16 297:16
218:5 222:2	177:21 332:14,17	agency 80:18 221:2	agreements 196:2	304:14,21 309:1
253:15 285:9,10	advisor 97:15	223:1 242:11	agrees 199:7	<b>alfalfa</b> 267:18
504:12,21 514:18	363:19	243:22 249:15	342:19	334:10 335:9
adequately 114:1	Advisory 361:5	263:11 305:1	agricultural 2:19	336:4,16,22 337:3
159:2 217:9	396:10 463:22	332:11,13 458:1	117:17,18 123:7	406:18,18,21
373:18 506:10	advocacy 498:12	473:6	283:15 403:3	407:1,10 408:2
<b>adhere</b> 223:1 425:3	advocate 146:22	agenda 112:12,13	412:5 413:16	409:11,19 410:12
474:2,4	264:14 447:1,6	535:17,21 537:4	488:1 502:21	410:21
adhering 165:9	476:17	540:4	508:7 538:7,21	aligns 103:20
347:11 412:11	advocated 226:16	agent 74:3 458:17	agriculture 1:1,1	alike 229:5
adjacent 308:14	advocates 499:7	514:2 515:5	97:10 152:10	Allan 6:2 261:7
311:8	501:3	agents 44:16,22	170:20 172:20	263:6,9 266:14,20
Adjournment	advocating 226:6	250:12 312:19	184:1,11 237:10	267:7 268:9
10:22	489:1	434:21	333:7 406:13	393:22
adjust 105:15	<b>AFCO</b> 177:7	ages 63:1 332:15,18	407:19 411:11	<b>Allen</b> 378:4
adjustment 417:16	affairs 244:3	aggregate 527:22	482:13,20 498:10	allergenic 160:4
418:4	419:15	aggressive 27:10	511:14 523:21	allergenicity
administration	affect 82:5 121:14	agitate 388:7	AgroThrive 5:20	159:22 160:5
210:2 249:14,15	125:17 138:15	agitated 388:14	225:15	allergen-free 328:4
Administrator	221:3 316:6 437:4	<b>ago</b> 69:1 83:6 98:20	<b>ahead</b> 11:6 68:5	allergic 109:13
2:12 3:5 11:9	affirmed 80:15	144:8 164:17	70:13 83:5 95:9	329:2
81:16 254:20	81:6	169:2 175:8	95:13 150:6	allergies 159:15,17
438:5 478:18	afford 458:16	180:10 189:8	186:22 216:16	164:17
admonish 176:2	affordable 198:17	255:18 289:19	222:10 257:1	allergy 164:16
adopt 43:20 153:6	485:7	302:16 321:11	263:5 318:14	alliance 97:13
356:20 447:22	afraid 56:17	416:2 423:1 431:6	328:22 354:3	394:10 489:20
adopted 275:9	277:18 430:19	431:8 443:7 470:8	370:18 385:20	530:2,4
276:22 503:2	440:7	509:9	387:3 436:7 462:8	allotted 237:6
adopting 356:19	afternoon 97:19	agony 102:4	477:15 479:19	allow 17:5 23:15
379:18 487:17	283:4 304:20	<b>agree</b> 92:2 103:12	498:2 516:18	30:7 37:3 41:9
		0		
L	1		1	1

91:10 93:17	191:22 200:3,7	496:22	96:6 105:2,8	443:21
129:11 155:3	249:21 251:8	<b>amount</b> 30:11 71:4	106:6 121:11,12	<b>animal's</b> 217:6
182:14 200:13	269:20 357:15	71:5 161:9 173:12	121:18,21 122:4,6	<b>Ann</b> 174:21,22
221:22 234:3	421:18 459:1,11	224:7 336:18	122:8,11 124:1,6	<b>Anne</b> 4:8 5:10
246:11 269:20	461:10 462:12	338:21 342:20	130:17 137:13	95:12 97:3,6
275:1 288:16	519:19	356:1 372:21	142:5 144:1 159:3	123:15 183:13
291:13 313:22	alternatives 17:6	373:3,11 379:9	160:14 164:20	188:3
326:18 336:10	37:16 86:17 87:2	392:20 419:20	165:17 169:22,22	annotation 36:19
364:17 526:8	109:17 154:17	425:15 523:11	170:2 216:20	38:10 39:18 40:2
530:5	155:9 187:14	541:8	217:4 218:9,14	40:3,18 86:18
<b>allowable</b> 90:9 91:3	191:3 198:14	amounts 30:7	219:9,9 238:6	87:12,16 103:14
354:21	206:18 207:3	63:22 146:7	239:14 249:6	103:19 189:6,16
allowance 117:14	254:10 299:19	200:10 520:15	263:15 266:4	199:3 201:2
195:11 196:1	379:8 458:19	<b>ample</b> 190:4	283:14 298:9,16	203:15 269:7,11
273:1 297:3	461:5 485:4	230:22 479:4	334:18 342:13,14	269:12,14 270:6
453:20	518:19 537:19	AMS 1:1 286:9	342:17 343:5,9,13	270:10,14 271:14
allowances 123:12	altogether 109:2	AMSNOP110014	343:14,14,19	272:1,18,20 273:9
<b>allowed</b> 58:5 73:15	Amazapeer 429:8	540:19	344:9,10,21 346:3	273:10,15,16
83:10 91:18 92:3	<b>ambient</b> 246:20	<b>analysis</b> 361:15,17	346:11,22 347:2	274:1 275:18
98:16 110:9,15	ameliorate 508:10	487:19	348:2 371:8	292:14 295:1
125:20 126:3,4	<b>amended</b> 307:16	Analyst 250:21	372:18 373:21	296:21 301:15
143:1,2 169:6	amendment 126:2	analytical 120:7	387:12 391:9,22	302:2 307:10,16
173:7 180:4 202:8	503:22 504:9	analyze 250:5	392:19 393:6,12	307:22 308:16
202:15 226:1	amendments	analyzed 251:7	394:2,10 397:14	311:20 313:13,21
269:16 270:15,21	412:18	<b>anatomy</b> 473:18	398:4,10 399:8	317:6,16 323:17
286:10 288:21	America 205:2	anchovies 365:6	438:12 440:14	326:6,11,15,21
294:5,6 297:7	304:6 483:3	ancient 28:19	443:19 445:20	327:1 356:15,19
300:3 302:8 308:9	American 53:20	Anderson 145:4	446:16 448:1	356:21,22 403:13
313:15 335:13	152:19 162:10	<b>Andrew</b> 6:21	472:5 511:3	504:16 526:14
407:17 408:3	218:13 238:8	325:18 330:2	513:15 526:11	532:20 533:2
537:15	393:5 394:9,19	<b>Andy</b> 330:4	528:10,14 530:15	annotations 17:3
allowing 37:11	412:6 461:16	<b>and/or</b> 119:4	530:16,20	86:16 236:1
55:8 64:19 195:5	Americans 73:7,12	253:20 269:21	<b>animals</b> 24:3 66:10	269:19 299:11
242:22 370:22	<b>America's</b> 112:8	277:10 323:4	79:17 121:14	300:5 301:18
443:20 444:1	481:13	459:2 473:9 474:7	123:8 124:7	<b>announce</b> 95:10
445:1 484:10	<b>AMI</b> 43:6,21 44:3,8	anecdotal 529:17	165:18 184:21	announced 130:15
<b>allows</b> 16:16 20:4	48:15 49:3	529:18	185:7,9 333:17	announcement
38:3 75:2 165:19	<b>amino</b> 182:20	anesthetics 439:3	334:7 343:11	11:8 41:16 478:5
195:20 403:10,16	191:5 404:1	angered 126:12	346:5 348:16	507:7
457:10 513:20	Amish 418:17	<b>animal</b> 4:15 14:6	364:19 365:8	announcements
<b>alluded</b> 66:21	<b>ammonia</b> 446:10	14:18 15:4,11	371:16,20 373:12	262:18
<b>all's</b> 104:6 341:4	447:10,17	16:8,9 19:14 42:5	373:14 376:12	<b>annual</b> 44:2,4,9
alternate 200:9	ammoniac 190:12	42:7,13,18 43:4	377:12,14 394:3	127:17 212:21
203:15 350:6,9	<b>ammonium</b> 292:11	44:1,4,9,12,17,22	397:21 398:2	213:4,20,21 214:5
alternately 200:11	292:16,19 293:17	45:10 64:20 70:2	400:12 411:18,21	annually 99:2
alternative 154:22	294:4,8,12,16,19	70:4,20 71:22	413:12,13 421:16	208:4 210:9 443:2
188:9 190:22	295:6,8 296:1,9	78:11 83:14 85:2	439:7 442:11	<b>annuals</b> 214:7
L				

10 17 04 10	<b>57.15</b>	210 2 21 220 2	467 10 470 5	1 4 207 11
answer 19:17 24:18	anyway 57:15	319:3,21 320:2	467:18 472:5	aqueduct 287:11
47:3,8,10 48:3	170:3 185:12	398:14 401:15	476:21 521:16	<b>ARA</b> 289:7,13
92:9 138:20 164:4	255:4 494:5	402:4 449:16	522:15 541:1	327:8,13 328:1,5
245:14 262:7	502:20	450:2,7,13 470:14	appreciated 14:3	329:3 404:2
289:8 295:13	<b>APHIS</b> 391:15	470:17 471:9	422:14	arbitrarily 43:13
298:7 314:4 344:6	392:8	492:4,12 520:6	approach 179:9	arbitrary 277:13
351:21 362:7,11	<b>apiece</b> 386:5	applesauce 171:5	182:14 220:19	<b>Arborico</b> 7:14,18
362:13 368:14	apologies 272:7	519:22	326:16 366:10	370:14,16 374:20
404:10 427:6	apologize 17:19	applicable 354:22	397:18 440:15	375:1,2 377:20
431:15 503:7	423:20	355:9	536:6	387:4,6,7 389:7
<b>answered</b> 236:13	<b>apparent</b> 326:11	application 105:15	approaching	archaic 49:7
262:5	<b>appeal</b> 397:17	167:20 192:15	118:17 454:13	<b>area</b> 44:21 47:2
answers 356:2	<b>appear</b> 123:21	201:9 210:4	appropriate 72:1	96:5,6 137:1
antagonist 465:12	217:6 218:4	250:15,17 292:19	113:5 116:21	170:22 171:9
<b>anti</b> 515:4	247:22 248:1,4	313:16 413:18	162:19 244:3,10	208:10 233:12
antibiotic 159:15	277:13 527:3	469:3 503:21	268:10 292:13	237:22 286:21
160:6,13 163:1,6	appears 29:21	514:8	313:21 345:7	287:13 312:15,17
169:21 277:22	216:6 254:12	applications 76:1,1	363:4 371:18	312:22 330:17
278:3 318:17	327:2	76:3 429:17 514:5	373:20 374:6	331:7 344:3 363:7
319:3 451:17	appendix 176:10	526:21	395:2 434:3	371:21 392:7
491:13 494:15	251:11	applied 201:22	479:10 480:15	396:12 487:9
495:17,22 496:1,2	applause 111:21	295:16 320:12	approval 99:3	498:1 530:2
antibiotics 153:11	336:12 369:15	323:4 431:22	292:10 299:10	areas 21:5 84:11
154:18 155:10	377:17 389:5	488:1 504:6	435:16 461:18	118:3,4,7 124:9
160:11 163:12,13	402:15 408:16,22	<b>applies</b> 307:17	513:6	295:16 417:19
163:22 164:3	415:7 428:11	356:3	approvals 299:10	443:17 482:12
165:14 170:7	432:16 443:8	<b>apply</b> 26:21 117:10	<b>approve</b> 88:2 143:4	513:14
185:21 205:20	445:12 451:22	119:16 143:8	178:2 488:16	<b>arena</b> 186:9
257:13,14 277:15	529:7 531:16	160:3 310:19	approved 132:15	<b>argue</b> 113:5 246:22
277:16,17 401:14	<b>apple</b> 8:20 128:2,4	314:12,17 317:11	183:11 199:6	259:2
401:16 450:14	153:10,14,16	412:20	270:20 307:7	argued 454:15
495:14,20	154:5,14 156:3,4	applying 119:15	324:17,18 325:5	arguing 356:5
antibiotic-free	156:10 170:6	192:14 198:17	435:2 444:12	argument 340:21
42:16	171:6 323:13	200:11 201:8	513:13	409:17 508:8,14
anticipate 36:6	412:7 441:7	309:19 311:12	approving 328:9	arguments 100:6
268:21	449:14,21 470:21	347:13,16,17,21	approximately	127:8
anticipated 284:9	486:13 490:1	485:7	30:12 32:13 74:5	<b>arid</b> 17:8
antioxidant 75:11	492:10 519:5,8,12	appreciate 22:19	77:14 153:13	<b>arms</b> 431:10,11
107:20	519:13,15	23:6 26:9 94:1	330:6	<b>aroma</b> 109:7
anti-caking 514:1	Applegate 3:15	99:20 102:14	approximation	arrive 372:4
514:17,18 516:1	42:4,6,11,15	134:22 157:21	204:1	514:22
anti-pasture 499:7	43:18 44:20 45:7	168:12 178:6	<b>April</b> 1:8 51:3	arrived 252:18
501:3	66:20	188:19 306:20	329:15	<b>ARS</b> 359:1,2
anybody 144:6,21	apples 155:17	310:12 326:2	aquaculture 97:12	arsenal 196:13
384:15	158:2 171:2,4,7,9	387:2 399:8	98:12 99:5 298:5	<b>Arthur</b> 98:21
<b>anymore</b> 35:2	174:10 186:2	419:19 438:8	298:6 364:2 365:9	article 97:22 279:4
148:11 449:11	205:20 318:17	463:14 464:3	366:20 431:18	321:17 322:6
	200.20 010.17	100111 10110	200.20 121.10	521.17 522.0
	I		I	I

٦

	240 10 21		1 1 1 2	261 10 202 12
articles 97:17	249:18,21	attention 58:22	Avenue 1:12	261:18 283:12
articulated 378:14	assessed 159:21	232:5 376:5 537:7	avenues 422:7	286:20 318:20
artificial 455:8	assessment 279:20	540:6	average 165:10	351:21 362:21
Arts 387:8	317:3	attitude 252:15	446:21 468:2	370:8 388:13
ascertained 113:1	assessments 279:16	527:17	avian 83:8 380:5	402:2 409:22
<b>ash</b> 515:12	assist 436:9	attracted 387:14	382:17 390:8,9	418:13,22 421:14
<b>Ashby</b> 7:11 363:16	Assistant 9:12	attributes 115:10	391:2,4	428:3 430:1 431:3
367:4,6,6 369:21	489:17	115:11,18,20	aviary 14:13 22:10	431:12 432:3,9
370:22	Associate 121:11	audience 131:22	avid 146:19	453:22 454:17
<b>Ashley</b> 345:11	354:7	132:10 220:11	avoid 162:11 198:6	469:10 480:21,22
<b>Asia</b> 237:10	associated 27:15	353:20 402:18	249:22 484:1	491:5 497:11
aside 85:1	201:7 217:10	audit 11:19 43:14	avoids 387:15	524:18 534:14
asked 24:12 52:22	Associates 517:10	44:4,5 208:16	awards 28:11 34:9	backbone 397:7
68:17 129:19	association 5:8,18	213:21 241:13	53:13	backdoor 125:15
142:3 178:2	7:7 8:13 127:16	440:13	aware 47:19 113:9	129:9
223:13 236:12	175:4 209:20	audited 46:9 393:8	224:21 317:20	<b>backed</b> 177:5
261:16 262:3	216:22 219:18	auditing 44:18	323:1 329:1	404:12
289:6 303:5,9	354:8 428:19	79:21	333:21 363:9	background 22:10
306:4 348:1	433:4 539:21	auditor 42:5	447:3 488:8 540:5	91:15 92:21
355:20,21 362:3	<b>assume</b> 26:2	auditors 44:2	awareness 217:21	236:22 475:13
383:8 395:15	178:13	434:12 523:12	<b>awesome</b> 349:15	<b>backs</b> 317:22
513:5 535:7,15	assumed 212:19	<b>audits</b> 44:1,9,15,17	<b>awful</b> 287:1	<b>backup</b> 36:20
536:9	213:3	213:3	<b>AWI</b> 121:13	backyard 390:18
asking 45:17 88:5	assuming 204:4	authentic 147:14	A-F-T-E-R-N-O	390:19
115:16,18 151:14	assumption 437:18	149:5	261:1	back-and-forth
179:12 182:5	assumptions	authority 78:19	<b>a.m</b> 1:10 11:2	220:17
208:11 209:1	343:19	289:4 328:11	121:4,5 541:18	bacteria 81:2
210:6 236:9	<b>assurance</b> 156:16	378:16 379:2		110:12 141:17
301:20 336:1,3	284:12,13 423:7	409:7	B	160:14 229:2,7
346:13 358:17	<b>assure</b> 105:20	automatic 289:15	<b>B</b> 98:4 250:22	277:21 278:3,4,5
408:12 420:22	371:12 439:6	automatically	<b>babies</b> 341:8	278:7,10,12,14
431:5 461:10	assured 404:19	173:6 366:7	404:17	279:1 424:5 451:4
497:10 515:7	<b>ASTM</b> 487:18	493:20	<b>baby</b> 59:7 141:13	465:12 470:3
532:16	488:14,17	availability 185:20	404:18,19	bacterial 79:4
<b>asks</b> 493:2	Atlantic 98:18	190:1,22 193:11	back 11:6 20:1 21:8	497:12
<b>aspect</b> 105:9	atmosphere 414:4	254:10 256:3	24:17 25:11,13	bacterium 154:12
206:13 371:8	attached 108:19	317:4 500:13	26:2 38:18 57:2,4	<b>bad</b> 46:16 127:14
386:13 397:10	attachments	510:9,12 538:9	57:11,18 58:9	154:22 155:1
aspects 105:1	472:15	available 35:16	59:7,8 72:11	373:13 465:15
131:11 201:10	attack 67:11	74:13 76:21 86:17	101:15,16 120:22	466:17 469:22
221:3	attaining 306:14	166:22 178:15	121:6 130:3,18	517:19 518:22
aspirations 69:6	<b>attempt</b> 455:22	179:1 180:11	131:22 133:14	519:9
assembling 136:19	attempting 220:1	188:10 191:13,15	137:4 138:22	<b>badger</b> 4:22 145:21
assertion 319:20	447:10 474:2	191:20 227:7	139:1 147:19	362:13
321:9 454:20	<b>attend</b> 507:6 517:2	253:7 264:10	179:7 235:16	<b>baffled</b> 36:12
assertions 318:7	attended 414:20	324:6 357:16	255:3,17 256:4	<b>bag</b> 75:18 172:8
assess 19:13 249:17	attendee 531:22	514:12 538:4	257:6 260:1 261:3	173:13

		1		
<b>bags</b> 487:12	146:15 148:8	Beauregard 9:4	<b>believe</b> 12:11 15:5	273:17 343:2
Bailey 2:14 84:14	169:14 181:4	463:8,9,10 471:16	33:8 36:17 39:12	375:15 380:16
84:15,15 129:17	185:11 213:9	471:20,21,22	41:2 69:10 90:22	391:21 393:1
129:18,18 181:6	218:6 220:21	475:4,7,7,11,18	110:7 116:12	394:6 398:11
181:15,15 182:17	222:13 244:2	beautiful 61:20	120:13 122:7	404:17 416:14
243:10,10 477:4	270:18 271:7	63:3 382:7	133:18,22 144:14	421:9 457:11
<b>bait</b> 401:20	326:9 329:14	<b>beds</b> 411:10	144:19 145:7	492:3 493:1 500:4
<b>baked</b> 73:15 74:13	357:13,19 359:8	<b>beef</b> 267:17 334:6	148:6 149:4,16	511:3
<b>Baker</b> 9:14 480:4,5	378:5 405:15	334:16 336:20	152:15 166:14,17	<b>bet</b> 187:7
497:17 498:2,3,4	415:2,22 425:1	344:14 347:18	187:17 207:5	<b>Beth</b> 4:9 6:23 97:4
<b>baking</b> 74:11 510:7	472:11 474:11	376:4 406:9	208:15 219:2	100:21 101:2
<b>balance</b> 69:13,14	476:1,7 509:15,18	407:11 410:13,18	220:20 224:4	104:3,5 106:4
130:22 293:2	514:7 518:10	529:21	258:18 259:5	330:3 332:20,22
365:14	541:14	beefed-up 373:12	263:19 272:20	333:1 336:17
<b>balanced</b> 176:17,22	baseline 114:1	<b>beer</b> 455:2,3,6,9,12	343:6 344:11	337:1,10
180:14	<b>basic</b> 14:18 19:14	455:14	352:20 362:18	betrays 289:5
bales 267:18	233:4 291:5	<b>bees</b> 406:19,22	374:2 377:5 381:5	<b>better</b> 72:20 109:18
<b>balk</b> 529:1	300:17 408:7	407:8 443:10	411:17 433:18,21	136:1 146:15
<b>ball</b> 204:2 401:3	413:1	<b>began</b> 79:21 482:7	434:5,16 435:18	166:22 241:3
<b>Banana</b> 463:1	basically 66:12	532:15	439:13 447:18	293:6 366:9 373:7
<b>banning</b> 484:16	67:5 90:21 191:11	beginning 26:17	453:15 454:22	377:7 381:5
<b>bar</b> 424:22	201:16 202:13	29:20 103:22	455:3,4,5,15	457:12 490:9
barley 455:15	226:8 376:7	234:17 259:9	539:1	496:18 511:1
<b>barns</b> 65:22 248:19	388:12 392:17	338:7 431:13	believed 148:17	518:19 530:5
340:12 501:12,17	413:22 414:22	begun 92:5 175:16	believes 45:7	beverages 107:21
barrel 30:11 53:15	475:22 508:9	334:14	114:21 115:14	327:21
barrels 29:22	537:14	<b>behalf</b> 9:6,22 49:16	154:19 435:10	beyond 6:6 276:13
barren 500:18	<b>basis</b> 44:2,4 98:6	219:17 304:22	539:3	279:22 388:15
barriers 247:4	106:11 215:2	435:7 442:21	belong 428:18	420:8 421:12
293:4	221:11 226:9	462:18 481:7	Beltsville 283:16	495:19
Barry 1:17 210:21	231:13 328:8	486:5 517:1 534:7	beneficial 198:4	Bialic 6:11 285:18
211:7 401:10	397:3 476:10	Behar 4:18 124:16	255:9 421:10	287:22 290:20
450:4 460:4 461:2	Bass 6:9 283:3	130:11,13 133:21	483:21	291:4,8,13,16,22
462:6 485:14	285:17,19 287:16	134:10 136:4	<b>benefit</b> 115:4	biased 89:11
486:8	batches 75:13	137:11 138:8	243:14 280:15	bifenthrin 503:16
Bart 276:8 282:20	bathroom 521:21	139:9	318:14 477:7	<b>big</b> 20:14 51:12
Bartlett 9:8 87:7	<b>baths</b> 387:22	<b>behave</b> 320:7	<b>benefits</b> 27:9 71:20	65:12 138:3
480:3 481:2	<b>battle</b> 444:17	behavior 96:7	75:2 114:21 115:6	141:10 157:7
486:15,17,18	Bay 97:9 99:15	behavioral 217:7	185:3 249:19	170:22 174:17
489:9	411:10 413:21	behaviorists 219:9	328:6 482:19	338:6 377:13
<b>base</b> 84:9 165:3	<b>beacon</b> 333:9	behaviors 165:20	<b>benign</b> 253:6	421:15 428:20
213:14 220:8	beak 242:17,18,19	239:12 366:4	<b>berries</b> 443:11	467:5 531:2
249:19 354:21	439:13,14,17	383:6	<b>best</b> 29:4,6 66:8,9	<b>bigger</b> 31:12
398:15 476:19	bearing 64:4	behooves 238:2	87:3 94:15 103:18	<b>biggest</b> 46:5 293:5
<b>based</b> 29:2 43:13	289:22	<b>beings</b> 77:13	111:4 178:9 190:2	368:3 500:5
44:4 45:8 63:6	<b>beat</b> 265:12,13	<b>belief</b> 343:3	201:20 208:10	<b>bill</b> 9:22 129:10
73:2,17 130:15	431:7	<b>belies</b> 252:15	213:14 227:7	148:15 517:1,9,18

520:2	331:12,14,17	491:20 492:5	363:3,5 370:3	<b>books</b> 104:10
<b>billed</b> 213:4	332:4 338:13	493:4,15 495:6	378:9,17 379:3,11	<b>boom</b> 531:12
biodegrades	340:7,11,14 372:3	496:16 497:6,14	384:9 385:1,3,17	<b>booming</b> 455:17
324:16,20	372:11,15,20	519:17	396:10 398:13	<b>boost</b> 173:14 193:6
<b>Biodiversity</b> 7:5	373:2 374:9,12	<b>blind</b> 144:9	403:5,16 404:5	193:9
349:6	376:6 380:3,4,14	block 170:8	405:7,14 408:12	<b>boots</b> 174:11
biogrades 294:16	380:19 381:5	<b>blood</b> 24:8	419:18,21 420:6	<b>born</b> 144:9
biological 187:14	382:9 383:2,19,20	<b>bloom</b> 320:12	420:19 421:1	<b>Boston</b> 287:4 476:6
228:20 281:12	391:6,22 392:1,3	465:11,13 469:17	422:19 430:20	<b>bottle</b> 151:10
328:2 335:18	392:6 473:16	493:13 497:10	432:2 436:3 462:7	<b>bottles</b> 31:10 33:19
495:13 505:20	476:10,13,15,18	<b>blooms</b> 321:21	463:16 464:1	bottling 29:16
506:16	<b>Bison</b> 5:8 175:3	Blossom 154:13	477:7 478:3 479:2	33:21
biologicals 495:20	<b>Biss</b> 227:6 230:13	blossoms 320:18	480:16 489:19	bought 286:5
<b>biology</b> 450:15	230:20,21 234:14	<b>blow</b> 99:7	493:20 494:3	448:20
451:4	<b>bit</b> 21:9 105:3	blueberries 526:5	502:8 522:21	<b>bound</b> 320:9
Biosciences 6:20	126:12 174:12	<b>blunt</b> 517:14	527:20 530:13	<b>boxed</b> 206:9
325:21	206:22 240:10	board 1:5,10 13:1	532:17 536:10	Boxer 126:2
biosecurity 79:11	243:12,17 256:15	21:14 37:1,8	537:12 538:11	<b>boy</b> 94:4
81:8 217:12	259:21 266:19	84:20 85:2,7,21	540:5	Braeburn 156:18
330:14 391:12	298:19 348:6	86:5 87:2 88:2,14	<b>boards</b> 253:9 254:5	<b>brain</b> 262:10
<b>bird</b> 59:21,22 60:22	442:15 478:20	88:17 90:21 93:20	464:4	302:16 449:7
61:16 62:8 63:6,9	488:12 490:12	95:3 96:1 103:13	<b>Board's</b> 84:16	468:18
63:12,12 83:13	495:1 508:5	103:15 107:18	326:9 363:21	brain-dead 464:5
123:17 124:3	514:13	121:1 122:14	364:16	525:11,13
140:12,15 142:5	<b>bite</b> 401:19	125:7 126:5 129:1	<b>boats</b> 99:18	Branch 283:16
143:22 242:18,19	bitter 99:7	129:5 131:9 136:3	<b>Bob</b> 9:15 471:22	<b>brands</b> 324:6
338:7 339:16,19	black-and-white	136:10,13 146:20	480:8 497:22	brand-owner 454:1
376:1 447:2,7	136:11 148:11	178:1 183:3,10	502:1,4 505:5	<b>Brazil</b> 143:16
472:13 474:11	<b>blame</b> 407:14,15	184:7 198:11	<b>Bobby</b> 463:1	<b>bread</b> 495:3
476:2,8	<b>blanket</b> 299:9,10	200:18,22 207:18	<b>Bob's</b> 477:6	break 41:14 112:5
<b>birds</b> 23:13 24:4,7	435:16	208:11 217:2	<b>bodies</b> 339:2	120:22 216:14,15
24:13 26:2 59:18	bleaching 109:12	219:1,5,12 220:5	<b>body</b> 15:4 114:5	226:18,19 261:15
59:19,20 60:4,8	bleeding 16:1	221:17 224:21	205:14 304:8	312:3 323:9 367:5
60:11,16,22 61:8	<b>blended</b> 101:17	243:14,16,20	338:6,20 378:13	370:2,22 371:5
61:13,16,21 62:5	172:8 194:22	253:2 254:14	388:5,5	464:10 477:18
62:7,11,12,12,20	blending 504:8	255:2 257:6,16,21	<b>bold</b> 281:22	480:15 507:2
63:7,13 64:5	Bless 154:13	258:1,8,14,21	bombarded 405:11	breakage 234:3
79:16,21 82:6,8	<b>blight</b> 17:6 153:15	259:8 261:13	bond 234:3	<b>breakdown</b> 195:7
82:11 83:8,9,17	153:20 154:15	265:11 276:13	<b>BONDERA</b> 1:15	232:9 374:8
84:3 124:1 140:14	155:8 156:7,17	277:1,5,11,19	17:16 26:12 47:6	breaking 232:9
140:16,16,21	186:2 278:4 322:2	283:4,18 292:9	47:13 48:7 280:20	282:2,8 505:18
141:6,7,8,9 142:2	441:5 464:22	306:1,4,10 309:12	302:11,14,21	508:21
142:7,22 143:4,8	465:16 466:1	315:21 316:12	<b>bonds</b> 226:18,19	breakout 84:1
143:12 144:1	467:5 468:8	318:8 326:7 336:1 336:5 337:11	232:10 234:7	<b>breaks</b> 234:6 521:19
145:12,13 247:17 248:2 283:11,12	469:12,19,22 470:19 490:13,13	355:14,15 356:9	282:3,9 505:18 <b>book</b> 424:18	<b>breast</b> 404:6,10
248.2 285.11,12 285:8,11 330:10	490:17,20 491:11	360:21 361:5,5	509:18,21 540:20	breathing 16:2
205.0,11 550.10	+70.17,20 471.11	500.21 501.5,5	507.10,21 540.20	bicating 10.2
	I	I	I	1

<b>bred</b> 75:10 345:20	370:15,16 374:20	451:18 487:6	501:17	Canada 122:22
407:4	375:1,2 377:20	businesses 99:8	cage-free 166:13	123:3,6,10 137:14
Brendlin 3:13 35:8	<b>BS</b> 400:8	102:19 103:6,10	283:11 499:18	Canadian 24:16
35:11,12 39:12	budget 12:11	184:10	501:11	123:13 131:3
40:12 41:18	249:12	businessman	caking 515:5	185:13 350:11
brick 369:10	Buffalo 389:14	450:19	Cal 3:14 35:13	<b>Canal</b> 430:2
Bridgeport 481:11	<b>bug</b> 377:1	<b>busy</b> 349:8 531:7	calcium 72:14 73:2	cancers 415:1
bridging 533:3	<b>build</b> 132:6 285:2	Butte 125:3	74:6,9 368:8	canola 113:20
<b>brief</b> 213:18 222:10	417:12	<b>butter</b> 495:3	calcium-based	canyon 206:9
322:16 360:15	building 80:4 115:1	butterflies 161:19	73:18	capable 138:14
briefly 102:11	115:3 308:13	<b>buy</b> 52:2,10,18	calculated 98:22	capacities 207:7
163:5 229:21	330:18 380:20,22	122:11 170:1	calculating 400:1	capacity 214:8
230:3 433:5	383:16 413:8	197:16 334:6	calculation 232:15	capital 49:9 50:8
<b>BRINES</b> 2:17	451:4 466:4 474:6	351:1 377:1,11	<b>calf</b> 16:12	335:19
bring 59:3 122:9	<b>buildings</b> 330:18	389:1 407:11	calf-grazing 16:14	<b>CAPP</b> 72:14 73:1
204:17 206:12	380:19 381:1	408:10 410:15	California 7:12	73:19,20 74:5,9
333:18 385:9	<b>built</b> 152:18	446:5 454:22	27:8 35:22 107:12	74:17 75:22 76:2
388:13 518:15	<b>bull</b> 407:4 432:5,5	455:2	111:10 125:6	capricious 277:13
520:5 522:5	432:9	<b>buyer</b> 154:6	126:1 128:6,18,21	capture 533:8
524:18	<b>bullet</b> 82:20	<b>buyers</b> 154:10	149:3 168:2 211:9	captured 316:20
bringing 179:13	<b>bulls</b> 407:8	350:15	367:7 481:15	captures 506:10
396:11	<b>bumping</b> 104:6	<b>buying</b> 52:15	call 3:2 84:18	carbohydrate
brings 376:21	<b>bunch</b> 466:14	114:22 157:1	116:18,18 117:19	228:1
Bristol 97:8 99:15	<b>burden</b> 49:14	347:9 352:6	133:16 146:13	carbohydrates
<b>bro</b> 465:16 468:16	102:6 104:15	481:18	201:17 243:13	228:5,9 229:4
broad 136:14	162:3 213:11	<b>buy-in</b> 132:19	313:7 477:15	<b>carbon</b> 191:12
209:22 295:2,7	250:3 267:4	<b>buzz</b> 148:14	479:20 491:22	414:3 511:4
357:1 366:7	271:18 414:22	byproducts 122:12	514:9	care 24:3 141:14
435:22 538:6	527:11 528:20	C	called 13:22 70:14	177:16 238:7
broader 200:19	530:8 531:1		134:10 180:13	391:9 393:5,6
broadest 457:14	<b>burdens</b> 265:20	C 1:22 292:2,3	205:17 248:16	394:4,10,19
brochures 31:14	267:12	528:16 <b>Cabernet</b> 34:4	297:19 324:11	400:15 432:12
<b>broilers</b> 66:1	burdensome	<b>cabin</b> 388:11	349:5 380:10,14	453:5 467:3
344:13 447:3	421:21 440:7		383:10 424:20	470:22 471:5
broken 378:12,12	<b>Burning</b> 30:10	CACC 208:21 209:2 210:6 433:8	429:3,8 443:6	cared 393:1
<b>brokers</b> 204:16	200:10	433:16	516:9	careful 265:21
brood 338:17	<b>bury</b> 114:9	<b>CAFO</b> 379:17	<b>calling</b> 178:5	389:17 415:3
brooder 341:9	Bushway 127:15	390:14	<b>calls</b> 147:19 536:11	416:19
<b>brook</b> 287:7,9,10	<b>business</b> 51:3	<b>CAFOs</b> 383:1	539:19	<b>carefully</b> 190:1
brothers 78:3	101:12 139:21	390:9	<b>Callyn</b> 9:24 521:9	258:15 318:2
brought 179:8	152:16 186:6	cage 239:1 500:8,8	521:11 525:3	320:6 510:17
180:8 273:13	211:19 215:21	501:14	<b>calming</b> 373:3	530:19,21 531:6
309:10 366:17	245:7 249:13	caged 499:19	calves 16:10 18:15	<b>carnivorous</b> 98:8
490:4 hrown 2:10 222:12	286:5 290:8	501:12,13	439:4	98:10 100:11
brown 2:19 323:13	339:22 369:9	cages 248:18 366:3	<b>Calvin</b> 262:6	364:7 365:3
<b>browning</b> 75:6	402:7 424:14	380:15 500:18	<b>Cameo</b> 156:18	<b>Carolina</b> 145:4
<b>Brynn</b> 7:14 370:14	426:7 450:22	500.15 500.10	campaign 115:17	481:16
	I	l	I	I

٦

	170.14 17 100.16	514.10.529.2	506.2 4 509.5 00	<b>Chain</b> 2:2,11:2
carotenoids 404:1	179:14,17 180:16	514:19 538:2	526:3,4 528:5,22	<b>Chair</b> 3:3 11:3
<b>carpet</b> 491:7	182:15 183:8	certainly 183:2	532:13 537:21	12:19 13:3,11
<b>Carrie</b> 8:16 438:2	291:5 295:2,7	193:12 201:13	<b>certifier</b> 20:3,11,13	17:15 19:19 20:18
442:18,21	326:22 329:10	246:7 258:17	49:15,18 104:13	21:6,18,20 25:8
carried 499:14	538:6,18	359:15	211:12,18 212:2	26:11 28:1,3
carrier 514:2	<b>Catfish</b> 366:15	certifiable 356:22	222:7 239:17	31:20,22 32:3
Carruth 5:12	Cathy 7:4 342:8	certificate 308:4	240:17 241:8	33:15 34:13 35:3
183:13 188:21	348:20,22	certification 5:17	242:20 270:16	35:8 38:12,14,22
189:1,2 192:18	cats 79:17 181:12	12:14 66:17 98:5	307:22 316:6	39:4,7,10 40:13
193:1,9,19 194:1	<b>cattle</b> 6:23 7:22 8:2	99:10 162:9,9	383:9 418:1	41:1,6,12,19
194:12 196:8,18	184:17 333:2	165:12 207:21,22	437:17,18	45:11,14 46:2
carry 70:6 71:1,5	336:19 344:15,16	209:9 210:12	certifiers 8:13	47:4 48:6 49:11
160:9 229:17	344:17 396:6	211:16 212:21	11:20 12:1,8	50:15 53:21 54:2
246:7 392:5	439:2 448:16	213:4 263:10,11	14:17 15:8 19:10	55:3 56:8 57:8
carrying 351:9	caught 216:17	263:21 264:1	20:5 105:14	58:15,19 63:10,14
Carter 5:8 167:12	cause 42:22 109:13	271:6 284:8 305:1	123:16,21 138:10	63:16 64:10 67:21
175:2,3 178:3,18	394:21 425:7	334:15 343:4	189:10 208:1,18	68:2,8 70:17 72:4
179:19 182:12	caused 510:8	366:11 406:8	209:3,7,20,21	72:9 75:19 76:8
183:7	causes 15:8 79:4	407:12,21 415:17	210:1,4 215:11,19	76:18 77:16,21
cartridge 108:11	271:17	434:15 435:1,6,12	221:10 222:15	81:13 83:2,4
<b>CAS</b> 295:8	<b>caution</b> 14:19	437:6 438:5	223:15,19,22	84:14 85:12,17
<b>case</b> 40:20 113:4	<b>CCOF</b> 5:17 6:3,18	440:17 458:1	225:4 240:16	86:5 89:9,13,21
177:6 178:21	127:11,18 207:21	529:20 530:9	241:13 242:8	93:8,20 95:3
201:19 204:16	207:22 209:1,13	certifications	267:1,3 270:22	96:12 97:3 100:9
205:22 207:4	209:20 263:10	311:17	271:4,18 275:21	100:13,19,21
246:15 373:1	266:14 315:14	certification-type	306:10 308:3,6	104:3,21 106:1,20
410:13 412:21,21	523:6	529:13	311:14 312:4,15	107:3 110:20
426:4 448:18	<b>CCOF's</b> 209:8,15	<b>certified</b> 5:6 8:6	313:2 391:14	111:1,5,7,22
510:5 511:12	<b>Cedar</b> 9:9 486:20	14:11 22:12 42:5	433:4 446:16	112:4 115:22
<b>cases</b> 31:9 147:9	celebrated 51:2	54:14 65:19 66:1	525:18 527:12	117:15 118:20
169:2 197:10	Celestial 7:24	66:3 70:3 107:10	528:2,18	119:2 120:17,21
201:16 369:1	403:1	112:8 113:19	certify 12:2 71:9	121:6 124:11
390:10 452:17	<b>cellar</b> 109:18	128:2 129:7 146:3	208:2 240:17	128:8,14 129:16
482:6 517:20	cell-to-cell 278:13	146:4 148:21	242:21 311:14,16	130:11 133:19
522:3	<b>Center</b> 283:15	152:6 158:22	363:22	134:2 135:12
case-by-case 98:6	centers 481:15,18	167:17,18 184:3,4	certifying 44:16,21	137:2 138:19
cat 180:13 388:8	<b>central</b> 451:16	197:2 209:15	45:3 221:2 223:1	139:14 142:18
<b>catch</b> 536:16	482:12 518:2	215:18 242:8	224:5 250:12	143:10 144:13
categories 42:20	<b>CEO</b> 152:5	283:17 284:15	434:21	145:16,18 149:7
51:19 179:6	cereal 112:9	288:3 348:2	<b>cetera</b> 138:18	150:6,18 151:3,13
303:17 453:2,19	<b>certain</b> 84:10 169:4	349:14 364:6,10	457:16 514:2	151:19 152:1
category 51:10	169:9 204:14	380:1 394:9	<b>CFR</b> 15:22 79:11	155:11,13 157:10
52:7 53:3 77:12	226:20 232:11	415:18 417:12	294:11 403:14	158:1,7,9 162:5
126:4 129:6,14	289:7 332:15	438:7 439:16	<b>CFRs</b> 88:8 91:18	162:12,15 164:5,7
147:10 151:15	334:17 371:17	440:4,5,9,10	92:1 356:22	167:5,11 171:14
177:4,9 178:2,12	373:3 395:3	443:7 452:15	chagrined 424:7	173:16 174:20
178:21 179:8,13	444:10 498:16	470:15 514:20	<b>chain</b> 204:2 518:4	177:17 180:5

181:8 182:4 183:6	340:1,4,16,22	535:2 536:15	137:18 139:10	330:1
183:12 186:22	342:2,7 345:22	540:22 541:3,11	160:19,22 264:5,9	<b>cherries</b> 470:17
187:9 188:2,14,20	346:7 347:6	<b>chaired</b> 86:11	298:21 308:2,16	<b>Cheryl</b> 9:20 509:3
192:17,19 193:3	348:19 351:13,22	252:3	316:11 415:20	512:9,13
193:22 195:9	352:14 353:18	Chairperson 1:12	416:2,12 417:21	<b>chick</b> 59:8
196:14,19 201:3,5	358:1,4 360:12,14	1:14	418:4,21 438:20	chicken 6:25 7:15
202:2,20 203:14	361:2,7 362:2,6	Chairs 541:16	443:18 474:5	7:19,21 23:15,22
203:19 206:15	362:11 363:13	<b>Chair's</b> 243:5	488:15 489:1	123:19 166:2
207:12,14 210:20	366:22 369:16,22	challenge 24:5,14	523:1,14 529:4	238:11 239:13
211:7,20 212:14	370:8,13,17,21	24:15 96:10	<b>changing</b> 17:2 53:2	240:2 337:19
213:16 214:10	374:15,20 377:18	254:18 295:18	200:19 387:21,22	344:5 347:18
215:8 216:9,12	377:21 378:11,15	318:6,12	398:7 506:18	375:4 376:2,4,19
218:10,19 219:20	379:2 381:9,18,22	challenges 23:11	channels 534:15	377:15 388:18
220:12 222:8,12	384:6,9,13 386:22	306:6 338:6 379:7	Chapter 158:14	400:3 446:6
223:10 224:18	387:3 389:6	472:10	charge 89:1 253:3	473:18
225:6,8 228:8,11	393:11 395:6,17	chance 39:20 90:3	463:21	chickens 22:4
228:14 229:19	395:21 399:12	138:2,10 139:11	<b>chart</b> 137:12	122:16 123:12
231:17 232:21	401:9 402:14	231:20 259:19	392:10	143:1 144:18
234:16,19 235:1,4	405:19 409:1,9	381:12 433:12	charts 514:13	242:16 375:15,20
235:7 236:3,4,12	411:2,5 414:13,16	468:7 478:8	Chauvet 30:20	376:20 387:16,18
236:17 239:15	415:6,10 418:9	chances 241:14	cheapest 100:2	388:7,10 389:15
240:4 243:4	419:4,7 423:10,16	change 15:1 32:9	<b>check</b> 40:14 58:11	399:19,22 400:7,7
244:13 245:15	426:22 427:2,9,21	32:17,21 37:7	144:21 541:15	447:15 448:8,15
247:19 248:5,22	428:12 432:17,19	39:18,19 51:12	checked 144:22	476:2
249:2 251:13	436:6,11 437:8,20	56:14 58:2,3	246:1	chicken's 338:12
252:5 255:6,13	437:22 442:6,17	86:16 103:14,19	<b>check-in</b> 477:14	chicks 141:13
256:21 258:10	445:7 448:4,22	126:14 243:2	chemical 144:11	Chief 207:21
259:7 261:3,10	449:2 451:21	244:10 250:10,10	234:1 262:8	486:19
262:16 266:7	456:5,9,12 460:3	262:8 269:7	271:13 277:1	<b>children</b> 141:14
268:6,22 272:6,10	461:2 462:5,15	271:11,13 272:2	278:1 281:11	children's 289:19
273:5,20 274:5,9	463:3,11 467:20	277:2 281:12	305:5 354:16	Chile 56:2 193:2
276:1 280:7,19	467:22 468:9	295:1 301:1 305:5	360:10 365:22	Chilean 5:13 35:15
282:15,20 285:12	471:13,16 475:3	306:22 313:13	429:7,17 430:4	189:4,7 190:10,16
285:17 287:15	477:1,2,11 478:12	316:15 317:5	506:2,18	191:18 192:6,12
290:15 291:19	478:15 479:8,14	350:12 354:16	chemically 271:11	192:22 193:17
292:6 296:4	480:10,13,21	355:22 360:10	355:22	444:11 497:1
297:11 301:8	485:9 486:8,14	362:19 398:3	chemically-identi	532:15 533:3,10
302:10 304:12,14	489:5,11 493:18	402:11 456:2	294:9 296:10	534:22
304:19 308:18	496:4 497:15,17	504:16 506:2	chemicals 98:14	<b>Chino</b> 3:10 22:2
309:1,3 310:4,20	501:19 505:2,21	526:10 527:21	161:13 282:6	<b>chlorine</b> 269:6,8,9
312:6,9 314:3,8	506:20 507:22	535:21	507:17	269:16 275:1
315:4,7 319:5,7	508:22 511:22	changed 23:4 25:11	<b>chemist</b> 502:9	306:16,19 307:4,9
322:9,15 325:3,6	512:4,9 516:3	251:4,9 348:16	<b>chemistry</b> 233:4,21	307:17,21 308:9
325:15 328:20	520:17,20,22	458:21 528:7	502:10	310:9 311:13,19
329:6,21 330:2	521:2,5,8 524:22	changes 19:22	<b>Cheney</b> 6:19	313:20 315:2
331:21 332:8,19	525:2 529:6,9	31:18 86:18,22	315:11 325:18,20	<b>choice</b> 31:17 37:9
336:13 337:8,14	530:10 531:17	95:17 96:9,17	325:20 329:4,13	37:13 93:3 111:20
220112 20110,11	200.10 001.17	>0.11 > 0.7,11	520120 5291 1,15	57.12 55.5 111.20
		I	I	

336:9 350:13	<b>clams</b> 430:12	195:17 221:4	441:1	481:15
356:9 377:5	clarification 38:13	224:14 226:19	Coalition's 155:3	<b>Colors</b> 256:13
470:15 471:2	57:20 128:17	230:15 231:16	441:10	Columella 29:5
519:20 520:2	129:22 180:6	244:6 269:13,15	Coast 149:19,22	combat 161:11
<b>choices</b> 165:6	182:1 214:12	275:19,21 309:18	428:19 429:10,12	combats 414:5
<b>choose</b> 12:3 26:2	220:14 244:15	312:17 329:8,15	430:18 451:16	combinations
37:2,10 351:8	270:2 272:2 305:8	346:3 378:19	coastal 99:22	217:18
362:22 387:19	305:13 312:13	416:8 438:11	coastline 99:6	combined 339:13
388:4 393:7	313:1,22 314:20	444:16 488:12	<b>Coates</b> 53:15	524:16
394:12 437:2	315:3 327:3	491:18 517:4	<b>cod</b> 364:8 365:2	combining 511:3
440:2	403:12	520:2	codifying 66:11	<b>combo</b> 517:19
choosing 405:2	clarifications	clearly 18:1 103:1	codling 467:7	<b>come</b> 28:9 49:22
<b>chose</b> 231:1 363:7	262:19	219:13 230:3,22	<b>Cogin</b> 227:7 230:13	56:2 58:19 60:4
466:15 527:7	clarified 356:21	234:13 241:21	230:20,21 234:14	65:16 92:10
534:13	clarifies 103:14,20	474:10 523:9	<b>Cohen</b> 8:4 406:3	101:16 103:8
Christensen 3:18	clarify 26:15 87:22	533:20	411:3,4,7,7	104:15 105:13
50:17 58:15,21	155:21 221:5,14	<b>client</b> 213:14 435:2	414:14,15,18	116:5 129:6 131:9
59:1 63:11,15,17	224:11 232:5	435:15	415:8,11	132:15,16 136:12
64:6	236:1 255:19	clients 211:13,14	<b>cohesive</b> 416:22	142:5 166:3
Christine 127:15	259:14 294:21	213:11 435:20	coincide 343:7	168:15 172:8
Christopher 3:15	307:16 313:20	437:2 523:9	cold 188:11 191:15	175:13 179:1
35:9 41:22 42:3	352:21 358:10	<b>climate</b> 23:14	191:21 201:20	183:9 210:12
<b>Chronic</b> 447:13	416:7	141:22	cold-water 461:21	217:1 221:18
circumstances	clarifying 39:2	climates 23:10	462:2	223:15 236:19
226:10	436:16	192:10 484:4	Colehour 1:15	238:5 264:14
citations 80:3	clarity 26:9 123:4	clinical 327:15	17:15 26:11 47:5	275:1 319:16
291:3	<b>classes</b> 184:14	404:13	48:6 262:3 280:17	338:4 348:15
<b>cite</b> 72:1 501:4	classification 240:6	<b>clocks</b> 341:6	280:19 302:10	361:14,16,17
cited 80:1 321:11	262:3 305:4 306:9	<b>close</b> 125:7 166:3	Coleman 7:13	390:5,21 398:10
447:11 499:6	309:6 316:2	176:15 258:9,13	370:20	431:14 442:21
532:19	355:15 356:5	258:16 287:7	colleague 180:6	449:18 465:9,10
<b>cites</b> 304:11	358:18 422:17	329:12 388:2	298:4 494:17	465:15,19 471:19
<b>citizen</b> 8:4 97:11	538:8	506:13 534:20	Colleagues 517:13	491:5 497:18,22
411:9	classifications	<b>closed</b> 98:8 271:2	<b>collect</b> 12:1,3 248:3	507:10 516:14
citizens 129:11	346:18	272:22 364:5	366:12 416:17	531:4 534:20
426:11 450:4	classified 249:9	366:11	500:17	comes 61:12 65:11
<b>citric</b> 75:14	403:22	closely 208:13	collecting 215:1	125:1 190:3
city 388:14 498:7	clause 187:13	242:12	collection 12:6	203:11 245:13
<b>claim</b> 14:12 114:17	<b>clay</b> 323:10	<b>closer</b> 69:19 137:10	520:11	317:19 343:5
114:18 115:16	clay-based 323:8	161:8	collective 443:16	362:15 388:8
161:7 328:10	<b>clean</b> 145:14 167:1	closing 88:21	collectively 154:1	405:16 421:9
335:9	279:16	<b>Club</b> 7:5 349:6	156:9 278:8	431:19 432:6
claimed 228:10	cleaner 27:13	Club's 349:10	College 125:3	435:13 448:6
390:7	cleanest 470:2	clucking 375:10	389:20	496:15 500:14
<b>claims</b> 74:6 114:13	cleanliness 109:18	clumping 515:3	<b>color</b> 75:7,10,15	515:13
115:13 166:8,10	<b>clear</b> 16:5 41:15	Coalition 87:15	109:11	comfortable 224:1
328:13 532:6	147:14 192:2	205:18 297:22	Colorado 149:18	coming 56:3 61:9
L	-	-	-	-

	l	1	I	I
62:16 103:13	252:7 255:2,22	committee 36:13	115:9 160:19	154:19 197:2,3
119:6,11,12,13,18	265:8 276:16	36:16 37:16 38:14	311:22 413:19	284:6 286:3 288:2
133:11 273:16	304:22 306:16	42:9 43:19 44:11	435:5 526:1,3	403:9 404:7 457:1
301:18 314:10,15	309:6 310:7	78:11 80:12 81:10	commonly 108:1	498:5 509:7
316:3 358:14	318:10 326:4,10	81:18 84:18 86:12	197:21	532:10 534:3
403:9 463:4	354:12 360:3	88:1 91:8,9 92:13	commonly-eaten	comparability
465:18 481:1	367:8 405:12	96:10,18 101:8,16	294:3	106:5
493:14 497:11	406:11 408:1	106:8,13 132:8	commonly-used	comparable 34:7
508:11 512:10	415:22 416:10,18	136:2 153:6 166:2	108:20	105:9
528:20 531:3,4	416:19 417:1,2	180:8 181:2 182:6	common-sense	<b>compare</b> 60:1
536:8	419:1 433:11,17	190:21 199:1	397:18	106:7 111:10
commend 122:5	435:4 436:17	200:15 217:17,20	communicate	compared 135:18
218:2 531:20	462:10,19 465:1	218:11 220:5	334:16 388:4	137:13 149:2
commends 43:18	472:8 478:22	225:1,21 238:4	418:11,18,21	278:2 446:15
<b>comment</b> 13:8,9	479:5 481:6	243:13 244:6,12	532:17	499:18 538:1
24:11 25:11,12	485:21 499:2	252:4 254:7 257:4	communication	comparing 59:17
55:5 78:10 87:13	507:9,12 517:1,5	262:2 264:17	271:5 442:10	111:14
87:21 88:11 101:8	521:22 525:21,22	266:13 269:8,10	533:4	comparison 111:18
101:14,18 102:13	530:17 533:16	269:12,13,15	communities	comparisons 515:6
102:22 103:7	537:8 540:7,17	271:22 274:2,17	161:15 287:4	compatibility
112:5 130:20	<b>commerce</b> 253:13	275:11,12,15,17	424:11,12 425:21	133:6
224:22 233:8	514:21	277:10 281:2	community 43:3	compatible 412:10
256:1 259:12	commercial 4:8	291:11 305:10	91:2,10 101:18	compelled 175:13
264:4 266:16	43:5,9 67:9 236:8	306:18,19,20	102:2 103:9 125:3	288:11
316:18 318:5	256:2 317:3	310:18 314:11,17	127:2 131:12	compelling 169:14
371:8 406:6	399:16,18 455:20	315:1 326:3,14,18	132:6,20 137:19	<b>compete</b> 186:11
419:12 433:7	538:8	342:21 355:20	159:11 160:8	competing 136:12
445:20 458:2	commercially 69:4	356:13,16 357:21	187:20,22 196:11	186:13
461:12 472:4	97:7 154:21	378:11 379:12	209:10 219:8	competition 171:1
474:22 477:6,17	commercially-av	415:20 438:20	220:18 253:17	298:17
479:9,17 522:20	178:14 458:20	447:21 472:20	268:13 313:2	competitive 186:9
524:14,19 533:13	commercially-pr	475:16,19 488:21	316:7 325:13	495:14
534:17 537:3	492:4	521:14 522:10,15	333:14 361:1,21	<b>compile</b> 303:16
540:18 541:7	commercially-tes	527:7 532:21	379:10 384:19	compiled 158:16
commenters	357:16	535:8 540:12	410:3 416:1	complain 175:9
208:13 523:22	commercially-vi	541:14,16	483:13 532:11	complaint 270:19
524:13	154:17	Committees 254:13	533:8	complaints 213:10
commenting 249:5	commercial-scale	Committee's 197:6	community's	250:18,19 289:11
315:21 521:14	170:19 379:22	197:13 199:8,17	234:18	complement 35:17
comments 3:7	382:21	254:1 271:9	companies 120:3	85:7 195:6
14:10 18:1 42:14	commissioned	278:19 305:3	204:18 252:9	<b>complete</b> 176:17,21
69:1 88:16 101:21	198:10 485:2	307:15 481:6	289:13 424:14	180:13 203:18
112:10,11 130:15	500:14	482:22 521:17	companionship	229:14 328:17
137:4 152:12	commissions 464:4	commodities	185:8	339:6 354:11
167:15 171:13	commitment 64:18	113:19 116:15	<b>company</b> 4:25 5:15	491:21 518:6
173:22 186:3	417:10	117:19,20 270:4	27:11 87:5 134:11	527:1 540:15
197:5 208:9 231:8	committed 263:17	<b>common</b> 66:8 67:6	152:5,8 153:12	completed 309:15
L				

	1		1	
540:1	compound 178:11	66:14 108:5	confidence 52:15	210:19 219:19
completely 37:3,10	comprehensive	122:13 138:21	114:3 131:2	389:18 444:9
40:6 142:15 147:6	440:15	160:12 163:20	confident 87:1	473:4
168:19 267:17	comprised 539:10	210:2 217:2	confinement 65:21	considerations
272:22 324:20	compromise 127:6	257:11 288:11	185:18	217:8,11 218:7,13
329:4 371:19	132:18 246:22	298:10 321:12	confining 98:13	222:3,4
complex 98:2	251:1 258:20,22	348:8,9 356:18	366:1 397:22	considered 29:3
349:16 408:7	319:18 327:3	424:9 472:10,16	confirming 533:10	98:9 99:9 127:19
complexities 346:1	compromised	473:17 475:22	<b>conflict</b> 78:15	294:9 295:4
compliance 12:12	383:2	501:7	80:10 116:8 298:3	310:14 346:2
49:22 63:8 86:9	compromising	<b>conclude</b> 148:13	conflicting 405:11	435:13 439:12
105:20 213:20	501:8	527:16 528:11,13	522:3	452:20 476:16
270:18 271:6	computer 451:3	concluded 232:18	conflicts 80:20	538:21
393:8 531:12	465:17	457:19 500:3	308:16 398:1	considering 37:4
compliant 13:22	concentrated	conclusion 31:6	confronted 14:6	99:3 101:8 316:10
complicated	364:11	457:18 501:15	confusing 148:12	418:8 477:8
172:11 208:6	concentration	conclusions 231:12	281:2	518:13 532:20
229:22 356:8	227:3,8	457:7 500:1	confusion 38:16	considers 483:5
<b>comply</b> 24:13	concentrations	510:19	39:13 57:7 110:19	consistency 118:17
44:10 67:1 78:19	109:6 230:17	concur 41:7 182:13	112:14 116:17	120:4 123:4
80:13 81:8 83:1	concept 86:15	269:18 458:19	149:11 491:19	422:20 423:7
241:15 250:7	457:13 518:21	concurring 20:12	congratulates 42:8	484:2
251:3 528:12	concepts 91:14	concurs 198:8	conjugation 278:12	consistent 114:19
complying 380:2	<b>concern</b> 41:17 44:6	538:17	<b>Conko</b> 424:20	210:3 263:18
component 79:10	46:5 78:13 144:16	condemn 498:21	Connecticut 51:2	269:11 306:11,15
191:5 214:20	159:5 160:7	condition 241:1,2	connection 398:15	412:22 459:2
300:13 438:13	189:10,12,19	conditioner 514:2	consequences	504:4
500:13	194:14 241:9	conditions 15:10	219:14 241:11	consisting 191:4
components 227:21	298:14 331:7	17:8 111:17	249:21 472:22	constituencies
229:16,18	339:20 348:10	226:11,20,21	510:3,14	296:3
composed 219:8	349:11 374:5	227:12,14 230:19	<b>Conservancy</b> 430:4	constituency 139:5
composition	377:3 428:4	232:11 234:8,13	conservation 83:7	constituents 131:22
135:22	436:22 437:7	234:13 253:8	consider 16:3 45:9	132:11
compost 59:13	443:17 475:13	299:16 373:14,20	89:1 101:20 166:9	constrained 243:18
413:9 487:2,14	477:21 490:13	446:20 459:6	189:6 197:18	constraints 210:3
488:3,6 497:2	511:10	491:11 493:5,6	198:11 199:10	249:7
508:5	concerned 8:4,18	514:21	279:22 293:2	constructive 385:6
compostable	15:13 20:7 56:21	<b>conduct</b> 11:20	316:13 319:17,17	406:13
487:11,12	82:12 131:18	378:13 434:19,21	366:10 373:10	consult 464:16
composted 502:22	159:12 161:16,21	435:6	408:13 412:18	471:4
503:4,17	339:19 349:3,4	conducted 65:2	472:21 529:3	consultancy 517:10
composter 487:1	359:5 426:10	conducting 12:6	539:5	consultant 10:10
composters 488:2,3	439:20 445:19	477:8	considerably	175:5 281:4
composting 9:9	533:19	<b>confer</b> 160:10	102:16	464:15 502:5
486:20 487:6,18	concerning 249:20	conference 488:20	consideration	525:17
503:8,13 506:11	409:11	conferring 181:7	16:18 76:12 84:17	consultants 539:20
506:17 508:8,9,20	<b>concerns</b> 37:1,18	<b>confess</b> 334:22	102:9,20 181:20	consulting 9:18
			,	
	1		1	1

	1	1	1	1
86:10 271:12	397:10,13 399:5,9	335:7	contract 174:9	148:16 150:20,21
509:8	400:10,22 401:13	contamination	contractor 315:16	151:14 154:8
consume 73:7	401:14 404:21	90:12 112:17	contracts 174:8	170:1,21 336:7
334:8 414:2	405:5,6 408:9	113:10,14,18	498:5	380:15 446:21
453:11	410:12 426:10,18	114:2,11 116:13	contradict 36:14	452:11 455:2
<b>consumed</b> 410:7,19	448:2 453:6,10,12	116:15 117:3	contradiction	464:17 484:17
<b>consumer</b> 5:5 7:9	454:19,22 455:7	119:5,5,11,17	16:15	494:8,20 495:7
8:18 12:13 56:18	455:13 456:4	143:13 334:12	contradictions	496:9 510:6 538:1
69:15,19,20 76:15	459:8 466:19	335:14,20 423:22	42:22	conventionally
76:16,21 82:18	492:15 503:6	444:4 445:2	contrary 127:10	450:8
97:17 108:6 111:2	consumer-operat	473:19	149:14 190:11	conventionally-g
114:3,15,20 131:2	288:5	content 75:12	279:13	151:4
146:13,20 147:5	consumer-owned	190:9 218:17	contrast 124:1	conversation 83:11
147:13 164:15	288:5	219:7 232:12	contribute 130:1	104:1 264:8
171:13 238:11	consuming 163:21	328:13	316:14	conversations
239:7,8 246:10	412:15	contention 51:11	contributed 266:22	82:10 149:10
288:10 297:20	consumption	382:7	267:5	241:19
300:17 343:7,21	159:13	<b>context</b> 162:20	contributes 73:2	Conversely 153:2
345:3 349:4	contact 269:17	163:1 258:14	277:16 377:6	conversion 191:6
350:13 351:16	275:2 278:13	359:17	contributing 73:8	converted 189:21
352:2 363:19	307:18 392:4	continent 142:1	163:7 382:14	194:2 500:8
366:18 398:15	442:10 532:10	238:6	contribution 38:2	converting 108:10
402:6 410:8,14	533:7	continents 528:19	163:11	<b>convince</b> 411:1
439:6 445:19	contacted 149:17	continually 328:7	control 17:6 80:14	convinced 333:9
448:7 453:3 454:1	197:15 199:16	continuation	107:7 133:4	convincing 69:5
467:4,9 476:17	204:22 205:3	187:13	136:18,21 186:1	cooking 75:7
514:22 518:1	contacting 532:15	<b>continue</b> 68:13	192:13 202:17	<b>cool</b> 192:5,7
524:1	<b>contain</b> 35:18 57:2	82:13 85:4 101:13	299:7,14,20 391:7	<b>cooled</b> 373:18
consumers 31:17	191:3,18 336:6	171:10 198:20	444:19 447:10,19	<b>cooler</b> 330:19,20
51:15 52:1,2,11	contained 334:4	199:2 200:6	464:22 466:4	Cooperative 4:10
54:12 69:11 72:21	container 371:13	225:21 229:9,17	467:7 476:1	101:3
76:22 77:14	372:17,21	264:22 326:8	500:16 515:20	coordinate 371:11
114:16 122:10	containers 484:3	350:4 396:13	520:4	Coordinator
131:18 147:7,16	520:12	426:6 459:22	controlled 73:16	342:12 433:3
148:5,6 149:10	containment 98:9	540:13	143:6 145:14	<b>copies</b> 187:1
150:14 152:19	<b>contains</b> 33:2 54:17	<b>continued</b> 4:1 5:1	457:10	188:16 261:19
162:10 164:20	54:19 57:4,16	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	controlled-release	366:17 534:5
165:5 166:6	58:9 126:22 144:3	10:6 185:20 227:4	74:10	<b>copious</b> 200:10
219:16 250:20	391:18 404:8	258:6 325:22	controlling 206:19	Copper 97:8
284:1 288:20	contaminants	403:10,17 420:11	<b>controls</b> 201:10	<b>core</b> 43:12 152:12
289:3 290:3,11	304:9 364:11	422:4,10,12 479:5	controversial 29:20	152:13 319:22
291:19 293:14	contaminate 287:9	533:9	controversy 30:6	320:3 350:22
300:15 333:10	contaminated 79:9	<b>continues</b> 479:2,3	convene 155:8	365:12 366:4
335:21 336:11	117:5 142:12	518:1 519:1	convened 1:10	<b>corn</b> 37:20 113:20
349:20 350:20	143:7	continuous 341:12	convenient 453:9	225:15,18 226:12
352:6 364:12	contaminating	393:9	conventional 65:20	226:13 227:1
366:9 380:6	79:1 142:6,7,8	continuum 118:2	110:14 146:7	228:21 233:4

r				Page 550
271:8,10,11,17	460:18 461:1,11	114:18 324:2	45:9 95:18 296:2	316:22 335:9
276:20,21 281:13	461:17 482:5,9	courses 279:19	346:17 356:6	355:11 357:3
300:11 355:13,16	485:18,22	court 516:8,9	357:14 358:18	382:10 413:11,12
356:3 358:8	costly 293:9	cover 112:12 208:9	513:18,20	414:5 423:22
359:10 360:3,4,10	<b>costs</b> 120:11 186:19	366:19 381:12	<b>critical</b> 86:21 88:20	425:1,7 450:3
360:11 361:12	249:18	526:16	92:7 157:15,16	510:14 519:15
503:13,16 504:2	cost-efficient 446:2	<b>covered</b> 15:22	258:5 283:21	526:17,19 527:7
<b>Cornell</b> 170:18	<b>cotton</b> 113:20	54:10 182:21	306:22 317:18	532:21
389:20	<b>Council</b> 9:10	280:3	341:14 360:22	crop-draining
<b>corner</b> 430:9	414:21 486:22	<b>covers</b> 182:19	412:3 421:1 445:1	295:14
cornstarch 355:16	488:11	<b>cow</b> 376:17 448:10	510:10	<b>crosses</b> 495:6
355:18,22 356:2	<b>count</b> 322:11	<b>cows</b> 265:13 407:5	<b>critically</b> 103:17	cross-pollination
363:6	<b>counter</b> 523:20	407:10	criticism 65:7	425:6
<b>Cornucopia</b> 7:17	countercurrent		criticized 66:7	
87:15 378:5,6	226:13 232:16	<b>coyotes</b> 245:22 <b>co-chair</b> 10:18,20	critique 361:11	cross-postings 478:2
385:16 386:3		536:22	crop 68:1 71:14	478:2 crucial 36:1
	234:2,15 236:7	<b>co-chaired</b> 354:9	87:5 106:6 133:4	
396:21 441:1 442:1	271:16 282:12			<b>crustaceans</b> 414:1 <b>crux</b> 233:22
	counterparts 538:2 countries 56:3	<b>Co-Director</b> 378:4	153:6 163:13,14	<b>Crux</b> 233:22 <b>CSL</b> 277:5 281:6
Cornucopia's		co-founder 42:3	190:2,18 197:6	
67:14 378:9	166:22 196:3	<b>co-owner</b> 526:1	254:1,6,7 269:20	281:10 502:7,13
corporate-owned	424:15 500:18	<b>craft</b> 336:2	271:9 297:3	503:7 504:5,10
380:18	<b>country</b> 9:4 107:14	<b>crashing</b> 333:19	307:14 308:3,11	505:17 511:17
corporation 407:15	111:3 140:18	Craver 9:2 456:14	313:15,16 354:17	<b>cuff</b> 369:19
corporations 334:3	165:1 195:20	463:4,12,13	407:14 424:8	culprit 500:3
335:18 425:20	204:18 237:9,20	467:21 468:5,13	450:17,18 481:6	cultivar 459:5
444:18	288:6 334:5 337:3	469:6 470:6	482:22 484:14	cultivation 152:17
<b>correct</b> 41:3 57:13	349:14 380:2	471:14,15	491:14 495:10	198:5 459:16
91:6 219:11 233:6	396:14 398:5	<b>crazy</b> 426:14	509:16 526:2	483:22
245:2 297:4	426:9 446:18	create 57:6 67:11	528:14	cultural 465:5
319:22 395:8	454:5 457:3	124:6 125:8 153:8	<b>CROPP</b> 4:10 101:2	484:7,12
478:13 489:12	471:22 472:2,19	299:15 425:12	cropping 495:10	culture 444:2
correction 261:9	490:2,3 510:13	created 102:4	<b>crops</b> 17:2 36:13,16	
correctly 45:19	<b>County</b> 184:2	309:21 346:4	37:16 38:14 65:4	curative 469:3
226:5 231:12	443:3	349:4 351:11	71:8,10 136:21	<b>cure</b> 469:9
302:22 530:12	<b>coup</b> 375:6,12	creates 185:2	153:5 154:6	curious 150:8
correspondence	387:20 388:9	creating 206:2	159:20 161:8,11	151:20 399:15
522:14	<b>couple</b> 30:12 59:17	481:19	161:18 190:21	current 16:16 37:4
<b>cost</b> 12:9 66:16	83:6 100:18	<b>creation</b> 42:9 472:6	196:4 197:13	40:1 57:15 74:4
71:4,13,19 201:7	180:10 267:18	credibility 114:6	199:1,7 200:15	84:21 85:5 88:1
210:3 211:19	272:11 281:17	<b>credible</b> 109:22	225:21 269:10,13	89:2 113:18 123:5
213:12 295:20	296:7 409:3 420:5	159:2 253:19	269:14 274:13,17	123:11 147:12
330:15 348:7,8	455:20 487:15	405:17	275:12,15,17	166:1 169:6
458:15 528:2	512:14 519:3	creeping 113:10	281:2 292:12	200:20 212:11
Costa 8:25 197:9	coupled 473:15	crew 175:17 399:2	294:20 306:17	244:2 270:9
205:1 207:10	<b>courage</b> 148:20	Cripps 156:19	308:2 310:18	272:18,18 274:22
456:17 458:1,6	398:10	Crisp 156:21	311:15 314:11,15	296:7 316:15
459:19 460:8,9,15	course 85:7 103:18	criteria 43:12,14	314:16 315:1	344:11 348:1
		,		
	1	1	1	

		1		
356:15 366:6	<b>Dag</b> 4:13 107:4	<b>day</b> 11:6 62:12,15	440:9,16 511:11	dedication 207:19
438:17 454:8	112:5,6	62:15,22,22 94:8	513:2	419:20
458:5 504:19	daily 73:11 184:21	107:14 147:20	decided 148:15	<b>deemed</b> 288:17
525:19,22 526:14	349:2 454:12,18	170:2,10 174:15	300:16 449:18	503:8 504:3,10
539:17	476:10	216:4 260:2 287:5	507:6	506:19 508:11,18
currently 12:6	<b>Dain</b> 9:2 456:14	295:15 316:7	<b>decides</b> 85:2,7	535:11
32:18 33:6 53:9	463:4 490:9	338:14 376:16	deciding 357:7	deep 235:19,19
73:14 75:9 80:15	491:16 493:1	381:17 388:9	decision 132:2	deeper 241:18
82:3,6 85:3	495:13 496:11	394:20 398:17	168:8,15 196:9	deeply 443:14
119:10,21 141:5	dairy 138:18	449:5 494:9 505:5	280:16 281:6	defend 115:7 501:4
181:18 216:21	218:14 344:16	527:6 541:12	301:4,5 305:3	defending 115:15
273:9 308:3	442:14 474:18	<b>days</b> 252:22 254:3	336:3 355:14	defense 199:21
314:13 317:7	<b>damage</b> 216:7	302:16 385:4,5	361:11,12 362:17	defer 179:21
347:15,17 390:9	damaged 407:13	531:11 541:15	362:18 363:2	deficiencies 15:9
417:17 424:10	<b>damages</b> 408:4	<b>DDT</b> 142:13 143:20	409:12 410:8	122:15
439:15 440:9	<b>dang</b> 426:9	<b>de</b> 156:21 534:10	421:13 428:6,7,8	deficiency 124:4
452:10 477:7	<b>danger</b> 114:3	<b>dead</b> 278:11 380:4	445:3 457:15	520:16 526:15
488:4 538:19	<b>DAP</b> 110:12	<b>deal</b> 20:14 48:12	470:20 471:10	<b>define</b> 92:6 250:4
currently-allowed	<b>dare</b> 421:4	50:11 65:12	502:6,13,16,17,18	308:1,6 323:18
293:11	<b>Darren</b> 261:6	104:17 105:22	503:1 505:12,13	504:21
currently-certified	262:22 263:3	125:22 129:9	505:16 506:3,9,12	defined 184:17
474:1	269:1,2	194:10 222:1	506:14 523:6	251:6 272:21
curtain 60:16	<b>Darryl</b> 6:4 269:4	299:1,3 382:13	decisionmaking	defining 305:6
<b>curve</b> 55:19 155:5	272:6	390:3 398:16	152:8 421:11	definite 109:3
<b>custom</b> 47:21 50:3	data 76:21 109:22	423:2 430:13	443:16	definitely 106:15
customer 70:9	155:15,21 163:8	511:14 529:22	decisions 87:3	146:21 147:17
176:20 448:19	257:11 329:2	dealing 138:11	88:20 136:2 148:8	268:9 455:17
customers 36:7	499:16 500:17	180:1 188:11	217:15 249:19	496:11
333:17 334:6,11	512:18 524:9	299:8	271:7 277:12	definition 87:22
334:16,21 343:18	date 13:6,8	deal-breaker 20:4	300:22 316:7	88:8 114:20
397:12 398:19,20	dated 44:13	dearly 102:8	347:14 357:4,9,12	268:19 271:12
401:12 407:11	daughters 464:10	<b>death</b> 265:13	405:15 412:22	279:10 293:18
440:2	464:12	394:21	420:15 421:20	300:16 305:5,11
<b>cut</b> 97:1 253:15	Dave 5:8 167:12	deaths 79:7	502:19 506:2,13	323:19 354:16
385:18,19 386:7	174:22 175:3	<b>debate</b> 65:7 76:17	513:1 518:14	definitions 88:9
431:10,11 432:7	181:17 370:10,10	136:6 234:18	<b>Decisive</b> 88:10	115:10 120:7
465:22 469:8,20	370:19 374:16	240:15 259:16	declaration 130:2	422:21
470:20	445:13,15	378:21 380:21	240:11	definition-type
<b>cuts</b> 497:4	<b>David</b> 3:10,22 5:14	384:15 385:10,19	<b>declare</b> 151:8	268:18
<b>cutting</b> 386:14	7:13 13:15 21:20	503:12,14	<b>DeCou</b> 445:14	<b>definitive</b> 119:4
466:1 469:14	22:1 58:17 64:15	debating 65:14	decrease 192:8	375:18 504:18
<b>C&amp;P</b> 368:20	72:9 188:22	decade 487:3	268:2 338:21	<b>defoam</b> 369:5
C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	196:19,22 201:3	<b>December</b> 36:3 538:14	decreases 109:11 228:4	defoamer 512:17
3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:6	203:14 281:20	<b>decertified</b> 242:15		defoamers 513:14
/.1 0.1 9:1 10:0	359:1,9 360:18 461:9	decide 244:2 336:9	<b>decreasing</b> 194:18 338:15	defoaming 514:1
D	<b>Davis</b> 31:2 128:7	405:6 410:15	<b>dedicated</b> 186:17	<b>degraded</b> 278:12 <b>degrades</b> 320:11,17
	Lavis 31.2 120.1	403.0 410.13	ucuicalcu 100.1/	uczi aucs 520.11,17
		l		

	I	l	1	I
323:9	33:18 34:14 76:20	<b>design</b> 230:10,13	494:12 539:4	85:20 89:10,15,18
degrading 508:20	149:9 150:7	331:2	developed 17:7	90:6,11,14,18
<b>degree</b> 372:2	192:21 211:1,6	<b>designed</b> 323:3,6	23:13 43:16	91:5,11,15 92:14
degrees 25:5 36:4	222:13,17 272:12	designing 338:5	112:17 140:19	92:17,20 93:13,16
83:18 141:9 191:9	273:6,8 329:1	desire 61:9 393:18	169:3 176:10	94:4,8 354:10
191:11 331:1,6	351:16 468:2	desired 422:1	251:1 338:11	die-offs 365:20
<b>Dehne</b> 8:14 432:22	541:6	434:3	379:8 392:11	difference 34:1,17
437:22 438:4,5	denied 99:10 408:8	<b>despite</b> 69:18 252:6	421:17	52:12,22 56:11
441:19,22 442:12	408:10	<b>detail</b> 135:16,22	developer 368:17	64:2 88:5 92:2
<b>dehorning</b> 439:2,3	denoted 271:19	363:11 488:12	developing 175:14	110:4 150:15
delay 502:20	densities 122:20	529:11	180:18 346:2	157:13 164:18
delayed 197:21	123:17 268:11	detailed 42:12	393:4 482:9	195:3,17 196:7
483:15	418:1	45:22 208:8 265:8	development 4:20	246:11 356:7
deliberate 208:14	<b>density</b> 474:13	267:19 273:18	75:6,10 140:1	358:20 496:7
deliberated 316:19	<b>deny</b> 321:16	303:22 354:11	154:16 263:17	512:21
deliberates 257:4	Department 1:1	371:7 434:2	306:1 328:10	differences 118:11
deliberating 163:9	128:6 184:5	502:12 522:1	341:16 420:1	230:4 241:20
deliberation	407:19	detailing 355:9	423:4 433:18	358:21 515:10
399:10 423:2	departmental	details 389:21	436:9	<b>different</b> 22:5,18
deliberations 76:10	78:19	442:2	develops 181:10	23:9,10 27:17,21
168:18 316:17	departments	<b>deter</b> 12:12	493:5	35:20,21 36:14
321:8	249:13	determinants	deviate 378:16	43:14 51:7,21
<b>delicacy</b> 109:10	<b>depend</b> 190:5	160:12	<b>devil</b> 67:15	52:18 63:1,21
<b>delicate</b> 424:12	394:2	determination 81:1	devils 67:11	69:7 73:19 88:15
<b>Delicious</b> 156:19	dependent 373:19	360:9 363:5	<b>DHA</b> 289:7,13	105:11 111:16,17
156:20,22 157:4	depending 341:19	determinations	327:8,13 328:1,5	118:1 126:18
490:18	383:22 392:21	222:2 363:9	328:10,13 329:3	131:11 141:22
delineates 379:19	497:3	determine 179:15	404:2	176:11 202:12
<b>delist</b> 513:2	depends 350:2	206:22 270:21	<b>dialog</b> 206:12	221:6,20 226:11
delivering 303:14	445:3 518:4	271:18 321:6	281:10	230:2 235:11
481:5	depressed 424:8	391:16 502:7	diaminophosphate	236:13 243:17
<b>delivery</b> 371:15	<b>Deputy</b> 2:12 3:5	503:3 507:15	110:13	248:13 259:4
demand 36:9 70:9	11:9 81:16 254:20	538:20	<b>Diane</b> 9:6 463:5,6	291:5 302:5
267:21 470:13	399:1 478:17	determined 98:6	471:18 480:1	312:16 314:16
demanding 397:14	derived 123:8	315:8 360:4 374:6	481:1,5	326:12 343:16
demeaning 67:4	describe 241:1,2	determining	diatomaceous	344:13,17,18,19
demonstrate	described 93:4	227:22 292:13	313:14	344:20 345:1,17
447:19 474:10	109:4,7 218:15,19	306:12 358:19	<b>Dick</b> 413:5	345:18,18,19,20
demonstrated	224:11 267:14	422:17,18	<b>Dickson</b> 1:16 63:16	345:21 346:5,16
100:8	314:14	detriment 250:20	63:18 64:9 436:14	346:17,18,19,20
<b>demos</b> 466:20	describes 16:3	detrimental 127:2	436:15 441:13,14	375:13 376:12,13
<b>Dempster</b> 9:6	describing 434:2	253:16	441:20	392:12,17 413:7
463:5 471:18	description 229:12	devastating 519:16	<b>Didier</b> 4:11 100:22	459:5 466:22
480:1 481:1,4,5	408:18	<b>develop</b> 20:5 21:12	107:3,6	469:11 498:16
485:10,18 486:4	descriptions 266:1	23:21 175:10	diet 77:11 159:19	507:1 514:6
486:11	<b>deserve</b> 166:20	250:5 326:6 394:7	176:18 180:14	533:16
<b>DeMURI</b> 1:15	293:15	402:11 420:13	<b>Dietz</b> 4:2 85:17,19	differentiate 178:8
	l			

	1		1	
178:10 265:2	directed 500:16	discredit 110:21	dismayed 447:4	<b>DNA</b> 278:10,16
differently 246:14	direction 53:7	discretion 240:18	dispense 202:15	349:12
320:8 508:6	55:21 56:7 309:12	241:17 242:2,22	dispenser 202:22	<b>doable</b> 212:3 214:9
differing 168:13	342:22 354:20	discretionary	317:7	<b>doc</b> 102:12 510:20
358:9	496:3	241:7	dispensers 199:5	<b>doctors</b> 28:10
difficult 104:16	directive 209:6	discuss 131:10	201:2 202:5	405:16
131:21 186:20	directly 80:9,19	237:4 363:9	203:10 322:19	document 23:2
264:8 265:10	88:7 204:14 218:8	521:18	display 11:15	42:12 44:12 88:3
334:10 342:1	268:14 397:12	discussed 40:8	displaying 532:22	101:17,22 102:10
420:14	472:13 482:8	84:17 244:5,11	disposal 460:6	102:16 106:19
difficulty 523:10	488:1 504:6	292:3 295:3	disrespectful 230:6	255:17 261:17
<b>dig</b> 144:1 193:12	<b>Director</b> 2:14,21	305:10 308:11	386:9	306:2 316:3 355:5
241:18	167:16 263:9	322:5 358:22	disrupters 199:19	358:18 371:9
digest 139:11	354:7 399:1	522:22 537:13	disruption 203:8	374:4 415:22
digestion 229:16	415:17	discusses 433:16	253:13,18 324:11	417:1,8,14 429:14
504:7	Directors 184:7	discussing 132:8	dissemination	429:16 433:8
digestive 424:5	276:13	discussion 36:16	424:16	457:6 524:15
<b>dilemma</b> 537:13	directs 391:14	67:13 89:7 92:6	distance 43:9	527:13
<b>diligence</b> 242:16	<b>dirt</b> 22:13 387:22	92:16 94:11	391:20	documentation
DiMatteo 517:9	disadvantage 372:7	116:17 130:1	distances 259:18	44:16 266:1
<b>dinner</b> 388:21	<b>disagree</b> 87:11	131:8,8,13,20	distinct 85:11	documented 79:19
<b>dinners</b> 521:20	185:14 397:4	132:5 168:4 176:3	295:8 434:17	251:7,9 267:15,19
dinnerware 487:12	454:19	208:5 219:5	distinction 81:2	526:15
<b>dioxide</b> 28:19	disagrees 197:12	233:10 254:2	281:11	documents 15:6
29:19,21 30:5,9	482:22	299:4 305:20	distinctions 313:4	101:9 239:18
107:16 125:13	disallowance	306:21 358:8,15	distinguish 135:21	256:5 264:6
126:20 129:13	454:16	359:6 420:9 421:2	176:7 412:4	309:17 354:17
226:17,18 227:4,9	disallowed 154:9	433:8 520:1	distinguished	532:13
228:4,6,15 230:17	disallowing 154:20	521:20	217:1 311:1	<b>dog</b> 175:21 180:13
232:8 233:13,19	disappear 169:10	discussions 326:9	distributed 303:21	376:16
234:4,6 271:11	disappointment	<b>disease</b> 23:11	distribution 197:3	<b>dogs</b> 181:12 248:4
282:4,7 369:13	371:6	217:12 330:13	454:14	<b>doing</b> 16:13 24:20
512:16,22 513:3	disassociation	382:17 384:2	distributor 152:6	25:6 47:20 138:14
513:13,18 514:1	233:21	391:19 465:6	158:5 481:14	140:6 175:17
514:10,16 515:4,8	disaster 425:15,17	469:5,6 472:16	distributors 50:21	183:4 186:19
515:14,21	discarded 528:4	473:12 476:1	152:20 205:15	205:5 211:19
<b>dioxin</b> 142:14,16	disclose 108:7	484:6 498:16	disturbing 252:14	221:5 284:9
250:22	disclosure 55:9	500:16 507:19	<b>disulfide</b> 226:18,19	317:17 326:3
<b>dioxins</b> 364:10	discomfort 108:13	519:17	232:10 234:3,6	377:5 408:14
473:19	387:13	diseases 140:20	282:3,8	417:3 426:6
<b>dip</b> 36:4	discontinued	185:7 286:13	<b>DITA</b> 9:21 512:15	430:22 471:1
direct 58:21 78:15	289:16	365:19 392:5	<b>dive</b> 235:19,19	490:11 495:17
80:10 269:16	discount 129:11	473:16 498:14	diversified 526:2	528:14,17 531:7,8
275:1 278:12	279:17	499:17	diversion 487:7	<b>Dole</b> 8:24 456:22
397:11 413:17	discourage 454:9	disincentive 372:14	<b>divided</b> 248:14	<b>Dole's</b> 205:2
442:9,10,13 482:9	discouraged	disintegration	<b>Division</b> 2:14,17	<b>dollars</b> 165:8
503:21	349:18	487:20	<b>divisive</b> 406:12	<b>dolomite</b> 526:17
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

domestic 153:9	513:16	dying 245:18	466:19 501:16	228:3 258:3 279:5
domesticated	<b>drive</b> 386:10	<b>Dyne</b> 9:20 509:4	eaten 492:9	301:6,7 305:12,14
140:16	driven 119:22	512:9,12,13 516:7	eating 171:11	305:19 309:19
domination 335:19	413:4	516:7	388:1,22 398:13	355:1 424:4,4,11
<b>donor</b> 160:4	drives 76:16 120:1	<b>D.C</b> 121:12 411:15	401:16 402:4	effective 13:5,7
<b>door</b> 64:1 470:1	366:2		eats 239:13	75:14 78:18 80:14
<b>doors</b> 60:2,4,9,10	<b>drop</b> 440:9 466:12	E	echo 299:5 530:17	113:5 116:21
61:9 340:20	471:6	<b>earlier</b> 21:11	EcoLogica 457:22	118:15 178:17
<b>doth</b> 367:18	dropping 380:4	102:17 126:15	461:13	295:13 348:18
<b>double</b> 430:15	<b>Drug</b> 249:15	194:22 206:4	ecological 365:14	512:2 532:22
<b>doubt</b> 135:6 228:21	<b>drugs</b> 129:12	234:6 281:10	412:12	effectively 178:9
458:13	<b>drum</b> 524:19	328:10 352:19	ecology 161:5,20	539:6
downright 454:7	<b>drunk</b> 34:20	486:9 503:5	economic 32:10	effects 131:19
<b>dozen</b> 396:7 525:18	<b>dry</b> 195:1 267:20	534:18	33:4,6,9 53:1	137:20 159:3
<b>Dr</b> 43:6 98:21	267:20 493:3,11	early 31:2 143:3	100:1 159:8	279:18 289:11
100:15 142:9	<b>Dryak</b> 5:21 225:12	338:11 340:19	294:15 424:12	300:22 522:6
145:3 216:19,19	236:17,19 239:20	352:19 477:9	523:19 528:8	527:5 528:8
220:20 221:15	240:22 241:5	493:1 495:15	economically-rea	efficacy 494:5
222:16,20 224:4	242:7 244:19	504:1 510:10	417:11	efficiency 75:1
225:7 230:11	245:2,4 246:2,5,8	ears 139:1	economically-via	201:11 510:22
233:3,12 280:20	246:17 247:22	earth 8:16,17	153:9	efficient 198:5
281:20 355:19	248:10 249:1	313:14 333:12,17	economics 372:18	459:2 483:22
359:1,9,11,20	dual-enrolled	442:22 443:6	economic-based	511:16,19
494:16	121:22	445:4	428:7	efficiently 520:19
draft 181:18	<b>dubious</b> 517:20	earthquake 425:15	economy 127:14,20	effort 22:20 51:18
Dragan 5:19	<b>ducks</b> 141:6 473:14	earthworm 190:4,6	ecosystems 442:22	151:7 225:3 264:3
225:11,13 358:22	<b>due</b> 23:11 27:20	earthworms	eco-friendly 75:3	335:11 423:2
dramatic 161:9	109:11 161:2	190:19 ease 385:8	Ecuador 482:5,8	472:6 474:14
dramatically 192:8	162:1 170:22	easier 101:17	485:17,18 486:3	524:11
dramatically-cha	210:2 230:11	easily 26:19 204:10	edible 308:9	efforts 113:11
509:12	242:15 249:7	454:3 528:12	edited 534:1	119:19 122:5
drank 34:5	282:6 292:18	<b>East</b> 429:12 450:12	editing 101:9	124:5 218:3 475:2
draw 232:5 313:6	319:16 397:4	451:15 469:10	<b>Edition</b> 233:5	<b>egg</b> 3:19,23 6:10,22
537:7 540:6	407:13 438:19	<b>eastern</b> 183:19	editorial 160:1	6:25 7:22 9:5
drawn 376:5	440:17 473:13	184:2 490:2	editor's 391:21	27:20 59:1 78:3,8
444:17	474:6 491:8	easy 37:5 125:11	edits 541:14	78:15,21 79:10
dreading 494:8	522:11	147:12 216:5	educate 14:22	81:3 237:19 245:8
dresses 65:15	<b>dumb</b> 200:12	288:13 465:7	22:20 350:9	245:9,10 247:1,2
<b>dried</b> 513:15 <b>drift</b> 50:4 90:13	<b>duplicative</b> 250:1 <b>Durst</b> 9:15 480:8,9	eat 116:9 142:5	educated 283:14 350:21 398:20	247:5,14 283:20 283:22 284:2,11
259:21 411:10	480:12 497:22	185:4 349:2	educating 147:2,5	283:22 284:2,11 284:13,17,18
<b>drill</b> 101:6	502:1,4,4 505:8	351:10 376:9	educating 147.2,5 education 115:17	286:2,3 330:5,9
drinkers 453:4,18	505:13 506:5,8	389:1 398:7	139:22	337:19 396:21
454:22	507:11 508:12,15	400:11 402:6	effect 37:12 40:5	448:20 472:1,11
drinking 67:12	508:17	407:10 410:12	74:3 82:7 102:18	473:21 513:15
270:1 307:4	duties 419:21	411:19,21 413:12	109:12 129:10	<b>Eggland's</b> 380:16
411:19 473:8	duties 419.21 duty 89:4 258:14	413:13 448:11	164:2 190:7,15	eggs 33:12 79:1,5,8
111.17 175.0	aug 07.1 200.1 f		101.2190.1,15	-55
	I	I	I	I

1 40 0 1 40 00		202 0 205 22	1202.00	150 7
142:8 143:20	Elizabeth 7:2	292:9 305:22	ensured 392:22	159:7
200:2 238:13	337:16 342:7	356:20 400:5	ensures 293:15	environments
245:8,12 246:18	Elizabethan 367:14	435:21	453:22	23:10 115:4
247:10 248:3	<b>Ellie</b> 363:16	<b>encouraged</b> 444:14	<b>ensuring</b> 307:3	344:18
284:7,14 338:16	Ellor 1:17 138:20	encourages 190:19	enter 285:11	<b>envision</b> 69:18
339:1 380:8 381:6	142:21 143:11	encouraging	<b>entered</b> 253:12	137:6
383:12 396:7,20	158:2 202:3,21	331:12 358:13	entering 79:17 enteritidis 78:22	enzymatic 110:6 359:10
446:5 448:7 498:6 501:15 526:6	228:15 229:20 243:4,5 319:9	454:11	entertain 228:13	
egregious 386:13	460:5,12	endanger 365:16 endangered 412:3	enthusiasm 68:10	<b>enzyme</b> 233:18 <b>EPA</b> 90:15,19 91:1
Egypt 144:4,5	else's 407:13	endorse 14:16	enthusiastic 343:21	91:13 92:3,21
eight 14:21 200:12	elucidate 255:19	92:12,17	entire 33:11 37:7	93:3,5 292:17,18
373:6 374:7 397:1	427:13	endure 177:12	101:18 103:9	293:22 294:19
454:21 509:9	<b>elucidation</b> 14:3	end-users 539:20	125:1 127:2 170:4	295.22 294.19
<b>eighties</b> 31:4	Ely 3:15 35:9 41:22	<b>enforce</b> 209:4	170:12 213:13	429:14 504:20
411:12	42:2,3 45:12,15	222:15,16,17	253:16 311:15	epidemic 398:6
<b>Eiko</b> 7:22 389:10	45:20 46:5 47:12	enforceable 209:18	389:16 398:16	490:14,17 491:8
396:1,4	47:15 48:14 50:6	210:5 263:18	445:2 516:12	491:13
<b>either</b> 105:11	50:14	357:1	entirely 120:2	<b>Epsom</b> 517:17
179:20 226:4	embark 182:6	enforced 284:3	entities 412:5 430:1	equal 31:9 33:19
246:10,12 253:14	embarrassment	enforcement	458:9	385:21
258:9 262:11	517:18	115:19 220:9	entity 361:21	equally 432:11
273:15 307:21	<b>embrace</b> 147:6	223:18 289:20	entrances 84:13	equated 254:17
309:20 321:20	embraced 147:2	290:12,20 434:6	environment 23:16	equipment 49:6
382:11 388:2	Emerald 1:10	<b>enforcing</b> 268:4	27:13 66:10 100:5	50:9 108:13
405:3 455:6 474:2	emerged 254:11	418:1 444:9	108:22 143:6	equivalency 24:16
elaborate 201:6	emergency 259:11	engage 165:19	145:14 159:4	123:6 511:9
206:21 214:15	323:12 443:1	242:15	161:4 165:16,19	equivalent 191:3
461:7	emergents 237:11	engaged 218:9	234:2 253:6	192:1
Elan 252:9	emerging 343:8	421:1	278:11 285:4	eradicate 140:20
elected 37:17	<b>EMILY</b> 2:19	Engelbert 378:10	311:9,11 313:16	erodes 335:15
electric 248:16,17	emit 324:1	engineered 160:9	320:8 335:20	erroneous 327:7
electricity 482:18	emitted 108:15	engineering 159:6	349:12 365:18	error 221:21
elements 135:16,18	Emmanuel 534:10	159:18 160:17,20	373:15 377:8	<b>escape</b> 414:4
elevated 516:12	emotional 405:9	England 145:3	382:13,15 397:19	escaped 98:19
Eleven 60:21	empathize 288:13	160:1	446:10 448:15,17	escapes 303:17
Eli 7:8 354:2	emphasis 444:7	enhance 365:14	environmental	304:1,3
363:15,18	emphasized 231:6	412:12	74:15 249:14	especially 36:1
eligible 300:7 364:9	<b>Empire</b> 156:19	enjoyable 177:12	253:20 254:9	66:18 178:1
eliminate 15:16	employee 164:10	enjoying 62:17	279:18 294:18	224:20 343:8
77:11,15 176:3	employees 205:8	enlighten 163:15	303:17 317:10	420:15 443:13
199:13	employer 525:19	enormous 419:19	333:22 364:13	446:15 526:11,19
eliminated 274:15	employment 403:2	enrolled 118:12	408:1 473:8 474:7	<b>essence</b> 483:14
483:8	enable 40:19 250:7	ensure 79:12 266:4	486:19 527:4	essential 110:7
eliminates 80:14	enclosed 392:7	290:5 306:9 393:8	environmentally	180:20,21 181:11
Elissa 4:14 121:8	encourage 87:17	416:14 422:19,21	415:1	181:21 197:18
121:10	132:4 148:6 256:3	473:15	environmentally	199:9 230:1

				Page 502
291:20 292:1	246:18 247:7	evidenced 328:8	312:2	246:11 300:17
343:9 438:12	248:18,21 304:5	evident 482:17	exceptions 290:7	expectations 12:13
483:6 507:16	435:5 470:13	evolution 110:4	351:4 352:4,8,13	69:11,19,20 72:20
520:3	498:18 509:13	234:18 422:12	excess 109:7	82:19 137:8 166:9
essentiality 32:7	510:2 511:14	evolve 55:12	192:15 285:7	219:3 343:7
76:15,17 357:8	<b>European</b> 53:17	393:20 455:19	exchange 379:10	expected 348:15
essentially 179:3	166:5 185:1,13	evolved 55:12	excuse 45:5 175:21	422:19
224:2 297:3 347:5	238:6,7 245:19	238:1	234:19 411:4	expedite 292:10
491:2	246:21 328:9,11	evolving 398:8	414:15 499:8	expeditious 306:8
establish 113:15,22	350:10 379:18	422:4	Executive 2:21	expenditure 49:9
116:20 205:13	392:11 470:15	ewes 59:6,10	167:16 249:10,16	expense 212:8,11
210:8 408:14	471:3 476:8	exact 271:19	250:8 378:11	expenses 212:0,11 expenses 212:12
423:1	500:15	exactly 33:20	exemption 292:17	expensive 50:9
established 45:4,5	European-certifi	119:10 245:17	323:16	173:14 200:11
91:1,13 116:14,20	466:13	272:15 296:10	exercise 156:11	293:12,12 295:18
118:3 119:10	euthanized 391:6	301:12 345:14	185:5 406:5	417:21 426:5
422:16	evaluate 231:11,13	451:5 467:2	exercising 366:3	<b>experience</b> 32:14
establishment	236:5,7 253:4	507:11	exertion 185:5	67:16 98:17
433:21 434:8	258:15 326:4	examination 161:8	<b>exhibit</b> 96:7 99:20	108:13 146:11,18
<b>estate</b> 349:1	evaluated 327:14	<b>examine</b> 498:15	109:10 239:11	149:14 177:12
estimate 12:9	404:5	501:6	247:10 383:5	237:1,22 248:11
estuary 429:16	evaluating 235:13	examined 501:2	<b>exhibited</b> 247:9	288:12 376:19
et 138:18 227:20	405:8	<b>example</b> 15:6 46:6	exist 32:18 109:17	399:19 461:15
457:16 514:2	<b>evaluation</b> 277:7	53:14 75:18	180:16 227:12	529:15
<b>ethical</b> 165:6 377:4	357:14	202:22 203:1	248:16 538:3	<b>experienced</b> 127:20
ethics 166:16	evaluations 160:21	202.22 203.1 220:6 238:17,20	existed 533:4	127:22 539:15
<b>ethylene</b> 67:14	evening 541:17	239:1,5,9,10,22	existence 212:6	experiencing
197:7,8,14,18,22	event 307:12	250:21 256:13	335:5	387:13
197.7,8,14,18,22	386:10	257:12 270:16	existing 31:21	<b>experiment</b> 60:3
201:8,20 206:19	eventually 189:21	274:13,14 275:6	32:10 160:20	63:21 245:5
457:5,19 458:3,6	194:2 335:20	278:11 280:5	208:17 316:22	experimental 230:9
457.3,19458.3,0	406:18	292:2,2,5 295:14	349:22 418:2	230:13
,		305:15 313:13,14	448:15	experimentation
459:18,22 460:8 460:17 461:19	<b>everybody</b> 140:13 263:6 345:16	375:19 417:19	<b>exists</b> 51:13 510:13	422:5
481:7 483:1,5,8	358:16 430:4	458:8 500:22	511:20	experimenting
483:17,20 484:12	518:3	503:17 510:3,19	exited 409:7	56:5
,		,		
484:16 485:5,7 EU 123:3 131:4	everyday 293:19 ever-growing	<b>examples</b> 156:18 256:7 309:18	<b>expand</b> 255:19 281:5 322:20	<b>experiments</b> 231:10,11,14
137:14 237:21	333:10	355:10 403:22		
239:18,22 244:15			<b>expands</b> 440:20 <b>expect</b> 38:15	expert 123:15
,	ever-popular 358:7 evidence 28:18	522:4 529:17,17 529:19	-	201:13 230:7,8
447:3 500:15,18			122:10 165:15	231:15 277:11
500:20 510:15 Eugone 152:7	169:14 223:5	<b>exceed</b> 292:20	214:3 215:3	<b>expertise</b> 137:1
Eugene 152:7 197:4	230:22 253:4	294:2	288:20 366:9	233:18 288:14
	316:13 317:3,22	<b>excellent</b> 16:13	446:3,6,9,11	420:17 423:3
Europe 107:9	357:13,19 405:16	19:18 21:15 29:9 204:4	448:3	experts 24:6 43:16
145:10 237:10	499:17 510:18	394:4	<b>expectation</b> 165:8	222:1 230:1,6
239:10 245:22	511:6	exception 144:15	214:17 218:5	404:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

i				-
expiration 40:22	exuberance 99:20	462:4	221:16 247:17	184:3 192:13
<b>explain</b> 132:18	ex-USDA 48:20	<b>failure</b> 491:14	273:17 279:22	196:9 223:2,5
147:13,21 300:15	<b>eye</b> 333:16 514:13	fair 37:2,9 205:5	330:13 340:17	237:1,8 242:7,9
300:18 311:5		214:3 263:12	358:18 400:21	242:13 330:5
509:17	F	328:17 351:22	420:8 439:18	335:8 339:16
explained 230:21	<b>F</b> 528:16	<b>fairly</b> 205:4 276:16	442:2 447:5 458:6	350:2 380:11,14
251:8 510:11	fable 367:20	303:22 320:21	473:17 476:3	386:7 389:14
explaining 36:17	face 254:4 288:10	fairness 325:9	494:14 500:20	397:15 407:14
150:4	333:5,5 334:20	515:7	532:8	425:21 427:17
explains 488:11	472:10	faith 399:6 426:11	farm 8:16,17 15:5	464:9 511:10
explanation 36:22	faced 14:5	Falck 4:13 107:4	20:10 66:20 71:8	517:22 519:1,21
230:15 360:22	facilitate 40:21	112:5,6,6 116:1	71:10,11,14,15	farmers 8:14 16:13
496:13 503:6	facilitates 198:5	116:16 118:9,21	93:7 121:11,14	18:17 19:3 21:12
explicitly 263:15	483:21	119:8 120:8,20	124:7 141:5	42:17 66:7,9 67:5
explode 454:7	facilities 382:21	Falcon 6:13 292:8	161:15,15 184:1	67:6 81:19 105:6
<b>explored</b> 187:14	439:21 440:13	falcons 245:22	207:1 221:2 222:1	105:17 125:6
explosion 454:11	<b>facility</b> 49:17	fall 79:22 101:16	224:8 238:6,8	132:13 139:8
<b>export</b> 196:4,10	308:13 311:11	255:2,22 288:10	240:3 248:9,12	159:9 162:3 165:9
237:19 239:19	313:18 371:16	323:8 326:17	283:10,11 286:2,3	169:8 172:9 173:6
511:12	372:1,8 374:8	327:2,10 328:13	286:5 287:8	184:9 186:5,7,18
exposed 473:13	381:1	336:11 338:14	308:14 311:8	191:14 194:16
exposure 75:17	facing 445:22	339:1 417:4	332:7 337:19	219:10 221:9
91:3 185:3 217:12	fact 22:19 27:12	453:12,18 524:18	343:11 349:7,8	225:4 253:14
390:1 425:16	127:11 130:5	540:12,15	380:18 390:12	275:19 293:5,7
447:13,17 503:22	205:11 259:17	fallout 504:10	393:6 394:9 395:3	294:15 295:19,22
expressed 133:21	292:21 328:16	falls 16:7 166:4	397:11 412:6	333:4 336:8
231:7 298:11	337:2 367:20	325:1	413:6 442:22	349:17 351:8
<b>expresses</b> 469:5,7	373:17 376:6	false 67:11 454:7	443:5 447:18	378:8 393:6
extended 372:15	388:14 391:1	<b>falter</b> 445:3	448:8 449:15,21	394:12 396:17
extension 153:7	396:20 457:22	familiar 121:20	464:13 476:17	397:1,7 399:5,9
258:22 441:4	527:2	151:18 179:18	489:20 491:14	400:13 409:15
extensive 538:18	<b>factor</b> 321:21 322:1	202:4 493:8	503:20 504:13	412:2 418:17
extensively 30:18	357:7 444:8	505:12 506:3	521:12 526:1,4,16	427:15 430:13
349:9	<b>factors</b> 27:17 84:12	<b>families</b> 205:6,8	528:11 530:2,4	431:4 432:4,4
extent 177:4 490:5	162:4 163:7 190:6	515.5 570.22	531:9	438:6 458:16
external 472:16	217:10 258:19	family 28:9 102:7	farmed 97:22	459:15 461:20
<b>extra</b> 64:7 232:16	392:19	121:15 170:19	303:4 304:3	481:20 491:4,12
extract 272:5	<b>factory</b> 100:4	389:14 405:3	363:22 364:13,17	498:11 504:12
extraction 108:16	446:17 476:17	411:18 412:6	364:22 365:8	511:20 518:5
extracts 272:3	<b>facts</b> 327:12 513:1 <b>factual</b> 357:13	family-owned 22:7	<b>farmer</b> 5:11,22	520:5 524:4
extrapolated		<b>Fanatico</b> 123:15	6:10,22,25 7:21	532:12 534:12
499:11	<b>faculty</b> 184:10 <b>Fahrenheit</b> 191:10	<b>fans</b> 53:19 108:16	7:22 9:2 16:11	Farmer's 383:10
<b>extreme</b> 494:11	191:12	<b>fantastic</b> 18:21	18:8 65:19 80:22	383:12
<b>extremely</b> 186:6	fail 36:21 366:8	<b>far</b> 40:9 54:21	83:1 84:8 131:17	<b>farmgate</b> 168:2
191:10 334:10	445:4	56:21 101:15	138:16 167:18	<b>farming</b> 18:18 24:2
356:8 359:17	<b>failed</b> 46:10 461:19	102:3 138:11	170:4 171:13	86:21 98:16
361:13	1411CU 401.19	166:4 219:13	172:3 183:18,19	148:16,17 161:6

161:22 186:5	180:4 273:2	<b>feeds</b> 165:13	155:22 156:13,22	484:6
200:6 221:20	284:17 285:6	feedstock 508:5	157:5,9 162:18,22	fertilized 200:1
324:19 350:15	294:4 302:7	feedstocks 487:5	163:3 171:19	fertilizer 37:15
396:8 443:15	327:17 381:13	487:13,17 488:2,6	172:5,18 173:1	242:16 366:13
446:17 453:5	453:14 454:8	<b>feel</b> 21:5 23:7 52:11	177:18 178:4	510:22 511:5
454:4,6,10 456:2	455:5 513:14	88:18 118:14,16	179:11 188:2,3,13	fertilizers 172:8
518:17,20	<b>FDA's</b> 78:15 300:4	119:14 130:18	193:5,14 203:6,13	191:17 225:17
Farmland 146:21	301:18 326:15	135:10 136:15	233:1,14 234:5,10	226:1 484:19
<b>farms</b> 3:14,15	fear 252:15 334:20	137:22 138:4,12	234:16,21 235:2	fewer 349:21
18:19 19:13 22:8	368:3 494:4	139:9 162:2 177:7	236:4 257:3,20	<b>FFDCA</b> 279:15
22:17 26:18 35:13	<b>fearful</b> 444:21	195:4 223:22	258:2,12 274:12	<b>fiber</b> 368:7,21,22
38:3 42:4 67:17	<b>feasible</b> 366:21	240:19 259:2	274:20 275:5,12	369:2,3,6,12
67:17,17 71:12	447:8	263:6 266:21	275:14,22 290:17	<b>fiction</b> 328:16
79:1,22 80:2	feathered 25:15	267:4 288:11,18	290:22 291:7,12	field 119:6 141:17
84:13 98:22 100:4	February 36:3	289:12 352:5	291:15,18 296:5,6	161:18 176:9
102:7 121:15,21	539:12	376:9 377:4	296:13,15,20	190:18 192:14
144:17 174:15	<b>fecal</b> 99:2	388:22 389:2	297:1,6,9 301:10	197:22 201:9,22
247:6 248:8	<b>fed</b> 185:16 383:3	398:17 399:18	301:20 302:9	215:14 216:5
343:15 377:7	<b>federal</b> 11:15 12:17	416:7,13 419:2	310:6,11,22 311:4	235:3 310:14,15
382:20 383:17	48:19 49:21 54:17	424:1 426:17	311:22 314:6,9	310:16 311:8
400:6 412:5 438:7	80:17 130:20	437:4 438:14	325:11 329:7,19	322:3 323:7,22
442:22 444:2	249:12 259:11	464:6 478:7	336:15,16,21	406:19,20,20
461:17 483:12	349:13 429:14	feeling 157:15	337:5 347:7,20	483:16
<b>farm's</b> 397:13	473:6	252:17 253:1	360:16 361:4,10	fields 119:13 335:7
farm-by-farm	federally-inspect	feels 44:20 258:18	361:19 362:4,8	459:7
221:11	46:7,14	265:12 305:6	381:22 382:2	<b>FIFRA</b> 279:15
far-reaching 522:6	federal-inspected	306:22 427:19	399:15 409:5	<b>Fifth</b> 1:12
fast 17:17 127:15	47:16	438:11	468:10,15 470:4	Fifty-eight 121:20
221:19 222:18	federal-level 210:5	<b>fees</b> 211:16	496:5,6 505:3,4,9	<b>fight</b> 141:16 175:22
240:8 282:1	Federation 218:14	feet 59:22 60:22	505:15 506:1,6	449:21
320:16 449:10	<b>feed</b> 15:10 64:7	61:15 62:7 63:6,9	530:10,11,15	fighting 449:16
451:3 452:8	99:12 142:1,6	63:12 64:1 123:17	<b>Fellow</b> 97:14	<b>figure</b> 45:16 47:9
fate 336:10 357:8	179:5 180:1	124:3 345:5,7	<b>felt</b> 25:13 107:16	48:12 81:20
father 28:10 390:3	185:17 204:2	375:22 388:19	125:18 252:20	172:12,16 242:5
fattening 365:8	240:1 244:16	389:3 400:3 447:2	373:7 436:21	244:9 274:21
fatty 294:3 296:8	248:2 267:18	447:4,6 472:13	470:13 532:18,21	362:9 367:13
297:6 404:2	303:20 304:8	476:2,8 527:7	533:4	<b>figuring</b> 299:1
<b>favor</b> 187:12	364:11,18,20	Feldman 32:5,17	female 200:2	file 304:2
507:20 529:20	366:13 383:3	32:20 33:4 40:17	<b>fences</b> 248:16,17	<b>filed</b> 270:20
536:1	404:7 446:22	55:4 68:8,9,12,17	388:15	<b>fill</b> 192:11 484:3
favorable 192:10	513:15,15	68:22 69:10 89:14	fermentation	529:11
<b>favorite</b> 505:9	feedback 23:5	89:17,19 90:1,7	228:19 229:1,15	<b>filling</b> 528:14
FCC 513:17,22	<b>feeder</b> 394:16	90:12,16,20 91:6	328:2	<b>film</b> 247:2
<b>FDA</b> 27:20 78:20	<b>feeding</b> 100:6	91:12 92:12,15,19	fermentations 30:2	<b>filters</b> 421:13
79:21 80:11,20	177:2 244:20	92:22 93:10,15	<b>fermented</b> 455:12	<b>filtration</b> 29:15
81:8,21 82:3,15	<b>feedlots</b> 99:9 364:1	106:3 111:9	<b>ferret</b> 367:19	30:4 109:18
85:4 99:3 160:3	365:16	135:14 155:13,14	fertilization 457:16	<b>final</b> 78:20 79:6
1				

٦

				1
80:20 115:9	62:12,15 86:12	386:9,19 387:17	222:3	followup 34:14
232:12 235:6	108:18 116:8	397:2 431:22	<b>Florida</b> 481:15	48:9 117:16
305:7,9,14 309:21	121:8 128:2	447:6 467:14	<b>flow</b> 187:20 367:18	179:11 212:15
314:20 346:15	137:10 140:12	468:3 470:17	367:22 515:22	222:9 223:11
355:1 417:3,4	148:20,21 159:17	508:1	<b>flower</b> 457:10	273:6 506:20
418:14	168:22 176:1	<b>five-minute</b> 378:17	flowering 197:15	508:2
finally 61:12 161:1	198:15 199:21	five-square-foot	197:20 198:1,22	<b>food</b> 5:9 6:15 7:5
161:21 316:3	230:5,8 239:20	106:18	206:19 459:13	11:17 38:5,6 64:3
441:3 459:14	240:19 242:4,4,5	five-step 394:11	460:17 483:2,15	72:21 74:4 78:17
474:14	246:17 257:3,6	five-year 169:10	483:18	80:19 82:12,14,19
financial 473:22	258:19 261:6,9,15	375:4 420:4	<b>flowers</b> 467:12	90:9 91:16,19
482:15	262:22 276:19	422:11	<b>flushing</b> 365:17	92:4,18 97:12
<b>find</b> 19:3 51:13	296:16,17 306:13	flake 324:13	<b>fly</b> 406:19	109:15 110:15
99:11 119:16	323:2 338:3,4	Flamm 1:17 211:8	<b>foam</b> 369:6	112:8 125:12
137:20 146:17	343:20 356:16	401:11 461:3	<b>focus</b> 116:22 344:9	136:17 141:20,20
172:5,7 237:12	391:19 393:22	462:9 485:14,15	360:9 362:22	159:7,19 160:19
241:15 267:16	407:16 415:19	485:20 486:7	363:7 397:9	164:21 167:1
273:19 299:18	416:20 420:7	<b>flavor</b> 10:16 536:22	433:20	175:6,7,10 176:9
350:2 406:19	424:2 425:12	537:7 539:4,10,19	focused 284:8	176:12 180:9,13
444:11 479:21	426:13,21 443:18	539:21 540:6	346:20 500:20	180:18,19 181:11
532:21	452:15 465:4	Flavorganics	<b>focuses</b> 360:9	181:19,22 213:2
finding 149:9	468:10 485:6	252:10	focusing 373:10	218:9 246:12
299:22	498:20 524:17	<b>flavors</b> 535:9,10,14	<b>foliage</b> 496:17,18	249:15 269:16
<b>fine</b> 222:10 272:9	525:10 540:1,2	535:16 536:2,5	497:11	275:2 292:12
314:1 381:6	first-time 531:22	537:14,22 538:1,3	<b>foliar</b> 526:20	294:5 297:20,21
489:15	<b>fish</b> 97:12 98:1,13	538:6,13,19,20	folks 187:2 298:6	300:7,11 302:12
<b>finfish</b> 98:10	99:4,12,13,14,19	539:2 540:3,10	298:14 380:16	328:11 333:11,13
<b>finish</b> 134:7 284:5	191:2,16,22 192:3	541:5	383:18 400:10	334:2,5 335:1,13
<b>finished</b> 109:21	303:4,20 304:3,8	<b>flawed</b> 317:6	452:21 522:17	343:2 349:3,5,10
113:3 305:15,21	363:22 364:7,9,18	326:14,21 363:22	531:13	350:17,18 351:9
347:3,19	365:2,7,7,8,10,11	flaws 265:6 316:22	<b>follow</b> 27:22 43:6	351:10 368:6
<b>finishing</b> 24:9	365:17,21 366:1	flax 289:18	47:6 48:15 49:18	389:1,1 394:10
<b>fire</b> 17:6 153:15,20	366:14 411:22	fledgling 149:2	81:21 139:6	397:2,18,22
154:15 155:8	414:1 424:4	flexibility 12:3	213:17 229:20	408:15 411:19
156:7,17 186:1	fished 97:7	flexible 27:2	264:8 371:1	426:10 440:22
278:4 322:2 441:5	Fisherman 4:8	flies 254:4	422:19 425:11,12	443:1 444:19
464:22 467:5	<b>fishing</b> 99:7	flip 282:1	456:3 477:6	445:2 473:7,17
468:8 469:12,19	fit 199:11 281:14	floatation 312:18	<b>followed</b> 47:22	482:3 487:6,7,11
469:21 470:19	<b>five</b> 35:21 59:22	<b>floating</b> 365:16	491:9	489:20 490:7
490:13,13,16,20	60:22 61:19 62:7	437:11,12	<b>following</b> 85:8	500:16 501:8
491:11,20 492:5	83:19 90:14 95:7	flock 476:18	105:3 122:2 161:3	514:16 524:5
493:4,14 495:5	144:8 169:1	<b>flocks</b> 284:11	243:19 257:17	<b>foods</b> 4:5,13 7:3,13
496:16 497:6,14	177:13 230:18	383:11 390:1,18	438:16 457:8	8:8 9:14 52:9 73:10 86:8 107:21
519:16 <b>first</b> 13:14 17:20	259:22 285:8	390:19 391:17,20 392:5 499:18	473:4 535:18 538:15	73:10 86:8 107:21
22:22 36:18,21	286:14 330:18 343:13 370:3	500:7,17	<b>follows</b> 238:5	158:21,22 159:1 159:14 160:3,9,18
40:18 41:14 54:8	379:5 385:22	<b>floor</b> 217:11 218:6	271:19	161:2 162:7,11
40.10 41.14 34.0	517.5 505.22	11001 217.11 210.0	2/1.17	101.2 102.7,11
	l	I	1	1

163:22 279:14	191:1,13 203:9	102:10 130:19	242:8 248:12	443:2 456:22
294:3 299:11	208:11 227:11	132:3,20 136:13	375:5 380:19	481:12
326:2 327:21	237:19 245:1	175:18 180:9	385:5 387:18	freshest 110:16
342:12,19 343:12	367:9 528:3	203:3 220:16	397:1 443:17	freshman 387:7
346:11 347:1,22	535:13	224:1 264:18	fourth-generation	Frey 3:12,12 21:21
370:20 398:21	formal 288:22	265:14 291:1	333:1	28:3,5,6,6,7 31:21
403:6,11 405:2	formally 534:9	306:3 309:8,12,14	four-hour 14:20	32:2,4,12,19 33:1
419:15 481:12	format 367:14	328:14 351:10	71:16	33:8,15 34:3,18
498:5 518:7	formation 435:21	393:17,18 395:11	fraction 91:3	35:7
food-borne 79:4	538:12 539:3	395:14 398:9,11	frame 136:9	Friday 522:17
fooled 56:18	formats 498:17	398:19 399:7	framework 355:4	Fritzi 8:4 406:3
<b>foot</b> 123:19 285:8,9	formed 282:6,8	539:18 540:14	Franck 7:4 342:8	411:3,7
330:18,22 375:22	423:1 436:8	fossil 200:10	348:20,22 349:1	<b>front</b> 57:5,12 87:17
377:15 388:19	474:18,18 475:10	Foster 1:18 24:10	351:19 352:11,18	130:3,7 178:17,19
389:3 474:11	former 4:3 5:25	38:14,20 39:1,5,8	353:1,7,9,15	210:11 286:20
<b>footprint</b> 317:11	178:1 252:1	157:12 203:22	Frankel 50:16	432:10 453:22
foraging 239:12	337:11 378:9	204:6 211:22	Frankenfood	462:20 502:12
446:7	419:17 420:17	231:19 232:1,4	424:21	<b>frozen</b> 83:18
force 10:16 155:8	422:22 539:17	245:15,16 246:3,6	frankly 517:18	245:10
180:9 258:3	forming 535:9,12	246:9 255:15,16	fraud 12:13 134:1	<b>fruit</b> 8:24 154:3
316:13 357:19	forms 105:15	256:20 352:15,16	free 166:12 238:17	170:17,21 173:19
388:8 435:22	107:22 108:20	352:19 353:5,8,12	238:20 239:2	186:8,11,12,14
535:10,13,14,16	189:20 249:22	358:7 400:18,19	278:10 387:19	197:11 199:9,11
536:2,5,11 537:1	368:10 418:20	401:3,6	411:20 414:10	199:14,16,22
537:7 538:12	435:18	fought 289:2,3	448:9 500:9	320:13,19 321:3,6
539:2,8,10 540:6	formula 289:7,18	found 34:3 81:19	freedom 387:14	443:11 451:11
540:13 541:5	300:9 302:5	144:10 164:22	388:17	456:22 467:12
forced 37:10	327:21 404:7,14	188:15 197:17	freely 99:21 165:20	470:14 491:1
487:10	404:18,20	216:1 227:22	239:11 446:11	492:16 494:18
forcing 84:7	formulate 504:9	232:16 242:10	freezes 27:9 83:18	fruiting 490:19
foregoing 121:3	forth 103:13	273:2 277:5	free-flow 514:17,19	fruition 316:3
260:6 370:5	104:15 138:22	319:22 348:12	515:5	<b>fruits</b> 482:1
480:18	220:10 257:11	364:13 392:17	free-range 267:17	frustrated 349:18
foreground 59:5	291:11 418:13	414:7,21 488:19	472:12 499:18	<b>Fry</b> 7:2 337:16
<b>foreign</b> 192:9 196:3	507:7	499:16 500:2	500:2,9 501:14	342:7,9,11 346:1
196:4 207:9	forthcoming	505:17 509:14	French 53:20	346:19 347:17,22
449:10	214:18	522:2 523:8	111:11	<b>FSIS</b> 15:14
foremost 420:7	fortification 299:12	526:20 532:13	Frenchman 111:10	fuel 200:10
foresee 217:18	300:6 301:19	540:17	Frenkel 3:17 42:1	<b>Fuji</b> 156:7
forest 107:12	302:4 326:11,16	foundational	50:18,19 53:22	<b>Fujis</b> 402:6 492:7
493:10	327:6	261:16 423:6	54:5,16 55:14	fulfill 419:21
<b>forget</b> 375:8	fortified 404:22	founder 225:14	56:16 57:14 58:14	fulfillment 184:21
forgot 468:18	405:2	<b>founding</b> 86:6	frequency 498:15	<b>full</b> 12:16 37:1
form 35:16 65:9	Fortunately 84:2	498:9	frequently-asked	42:18 102:1
106:10 108:4,8	forum 478:2 479:9	four 62:19 65:21	344:2	203:15 329:9
125:14,20 178:10	479:12	97:16 98:17	fresh 8:24 38:5	465:13 478:11
178:12,14 179:16	forward 101:12	127:11 152:12,13	158:3,5 228:21	528:7 534:5
			•	•

				Page 307
fully 25:15,20	283:2	generic 129:12	54:6 68:12 135:21	423:22 424:2,8,10
60:15 159:21	gain 359:20 455:22	genes 159:21	138:2,9 140:3	424:16 426:13
212:4 241:10	<b>Gala</b> 156:8	160:10,12 163:10	166:16 191:19	428:6 503:12
264:20 270:13	Galas 402:6	278:1,6,22 279:2	204:7 220:6	<b>GMOed</b> 411:21
326:3,18 331:18	gallons 287:6	321:10 407:16	237:16 257:12	<b>GMOs</b> 112:13
339:9 397:15	game 176:13 392:3	genetic 159:6,17	274:12 275:6	113:5 117:6 333:5
458:19 519:19	470:9	160:16,20 338:19	277:7 281:17	333:18,22 335:5,6
fully-functioning	gamut 42:18	351:11 407:2	286:8 289:2	335:12 336:7,10
160:10	gap 394:11 533:4	genetically 160:8	299:19 309:17	349:11 351:5
full-length 458:2	<b>Gard</b> 3:22 58:17,18	genetically-engin	327:11 378:20	426:12,20 431:13
<b>full-time</b> 65:1	64:15 72:9,11	99:4 158:21 159:1	379:2 387:14	431:13 444:21
<b>Fulwider</b> 1:18 25:9	76:2,5 77:2,20	159:14,20 161:2	389:17 426:18	445:2
26:10 46:3 144:14	garden 411:13	425:1,5,6	490:14 513:8	<b>GMO-free</b> 334:18
219:22 224:20	gardening 413:5	genetically-modi	<b>given</b> 16:19 62:8	335:10 349:13
248:7 332:3 340:5	garlic 526:20	110:11 165:14	97:19 146:12	352:20
<b>fun</b> 376:14	<b>Garth</b> 10:9 516:17	334:8	237:18 290:3	<b>go</b> 11:5 14:14 16:21
function 214:8	521:9 525:5,9	geneticist 4:19	298:20 376:18	16:22 21:7 23:22
507:15	529:6	139:22	419:2 450:21	24:15,17 25:21
functional 88:6	<b>gas</b> 67:14 108:1	gene-to-gene	gives 52:14 256:6	26:2 60:16 64:5
305:11,13 309:19	197:14 198:14,21	492:19	523:3	68:5 70:13 83:5,5
355:1 362:20	206:19 457:5,20	gentleman 102:17	<b>giving</b> 9:14 84:8	83:17 95:9,13
functionality 73:22	458:22 459:22	195:11	134:22 139:19	101:4,12 102:10
Functionally	460:17 485:5	geoducks 430:12	418:2 430:21	101.4,12 102.10
211:13	gases 109:19,20	<b>George</b> 6:9 283:3	498:4	130:18,21 131:22
functions 513:22	<b>GE</b> 160:13 161:7	285:17 494:16	glad 104:13 203:10	133:14 137:4,19
fundamental 364:2	161:10,18 162:7	495:15	395:15 463:19,21	138:2,6,9 141:16
509:15	162:11 163:21	<b>Georgia</b> 142:10	gladly 337:12	143:2,4,5 144:1
<b>funding</b> 495:5	geese 141:6 473:14	462:22	gleaned 499:21	145:12,13 150:6
fundraisers 430:21	<b>Gehman</b> 6:8 283:2	Germany 30:15	global 70:2 328:8	145.12,13 150.0
	283:4,6 285:16	142:14 247:9	343:13 346:22	186:22 216:5,16
<b>fundraising</b> 430:22 <b>fungicides</b> 351:3	gene 278:8 322:5			222:10 231:10
further 79:2	0	germs 392:5 Gership 489:15	347:2,12,12 348:2 414:5 419:14	235:16 238:16,19
102:20 163:15	<b>general</b> 19:6 43:2	-	481:8	238:22 239:4
209:10 219:4,4	77:10 152:16	<b>getting</b> 50:4 59:22 105:21 114:21		
209:10 219:4,4 220:17 250:16	162:20,22 167:14 199:12 233:9	105:21 114:21 125:10 135:19,20	<b>globally</b> 452:17 481:21	241:13 246:19 247:8 256:4 258:6
305:8,12 307:16	252:15 265:18	125:10 135:19,20		247:8 250:4 258:0 265:1 273:18
355:8 397:22	295:5 306:20	148:5 176:14 178:7 186:18	<b>globally-applied</b> 357:5	265:1 275:18 279:22 281:18
			<b>globe</b> 107:22	
436:9 504:7 Furthermore	344:12 350:16	194:20 196:2	8	285:11 318:20
307:19	372:14 400:21	202:10 224:10	gloves 108:11	321:18 328:21
	416:7,11 477:20	313:12 331:14	<b>glyphosate</b> 530:5	330:10 331:12,17 340:13 347:14
<b>future</b> 161:22	478:5 534:1	352:9 385:11	<b>GMO</b> 112:16,16	
265:8 273:1 fuzzios 240:7	generally 44:7	440:3 485:16	113:9,18 114:9	354:3 362:20
<b>fuzzies</b> 249:7	188:10 271:2	<b>giant</b> 174:15	116:13,14 118:15	363:10 367:5
G	332:12 375:6	<b>Gibberellic</b> 462:21	336:22 351:17	370:18 371:22
Gabriella 461:13	377:2 434:12	462:22 Cincor 156:20	406:17,18,21	375:13 380:20
<b>Gaiman</b> 276:9	generate 250:18	<b>Ginger</b> 156:20	407:10 408:2,15	387:3,19 396:14
Gaimall 270.7	generation 399:17	<b>give</b> 22:9 31:17	409:11,19 410:19	398:9,11 399:7
	Ī			

400.3 403.18	137.10,18 103.18	101.10 102.13,17	granumouner	greatest 470.2
404:4 407:7 415:4	168:11 171:17	104:18 107:5	289:6	greatly 43:9 73:22
425:22 428:3	174:9 176:20	114:8 120:13	grandson 289:8	201:18 472:5
431:12,20 436:6	182:9 186:16	122:7 124:17	<b>Granny</b> 156:8	521:16
443:5 444:22	195:2 205:7 206:7	127:20 152:3	granted 215:19	Greeks 28:20
450:22 451:7	206:10 211:15	171:21 175:2	grape 31:1,6,8	green 5:4 36:8
462:7 464:10	216:14,17 222:15	183:15 189:1	109:6 127:21	158:10 164:8,9,10
466:19,20 469:19	224:1 229:1 233:7	195:4 196:21	148:21 151:10	167:6,9 244:17
470:15 471:8	235:15 237:5,7	199:10 215:7	454:10	503:10
477:15 479:19	241:21 243:1	219:15 240:19	grapes 31:16 52:20	greenhouse 382:12
498:2 502:9	257:6 259:22	242:4,5 262:3	55:1 110:11	450:6
516:18 519:6	263:4 266:18	271:5 283:4 286:9	111:16 125:19	Greeship 489:14
520:10 522:3	267:10 272:19	286:15 288:19	126:9 127:12	Greetings 442:20
528:22 529:13	273:3,4 276:16	298:13 299:4	151:4,14 452:12	Greg 3:23 72:10
goal 23:21 293:3	281:12,14,21	301:4 304:20	453:21 455:12,14	78:2 141:3 380:9
306:14 342:20	283:1 298:19	315:12 316:10	grapple 240:20	grew 189:9 283:9
400:16	305:16 319:18	327:2 329:8	grapples 398:5	407:14
goalpost 117:14	320:15,18 323:12	337:17 354:4	grass 244:18,20	grief 367:10
goals 121:16	332:17 338:11,19	366:15 376:11	429:4,5,6,11	Grieshop 9:11
205:13 214:21	340:14 359:16	388:22 395:4	448:9	486:16 489:11,13
goats 184:15	371:4 374:3 379:4	402:21 411:19	grassroots 24:20	489:16 494:6
goes 11:15 105:12	379:5 401:19,19	419:10 421:11	grass-fed 336:20	496:10 497:16
105:16 106:5	402:2,10 405:7,10	442:2 446:6	grass-finished	Grimmway 3:14
140:4 156:21	426:15 430:11	448:12 456:15	396:6	35:13 38:3
187:21 257:13	431:4,10,20 432:6	458:8 482:17	grass/plant 240:1	grocers 158:6
261:20 287:10,11	435:9 438:9	484:5 490:11	244:16	grocery 288:6
392:2 420:8,13	449:13 450:17,19	491:17 492:11,16	grateful 290:11	grossly 415:2
431:3 468:21	451:6,17 452:8	494:7,22 495:11	316:2	ground 83:19
471:20 472:6	469:9 471:6 476:9	496:12 500:4	gratefully 351:1	207:6 224:6
506:11 508:7	479:19 487:17	509:5 516:21	grave 199:19	244:18 443:14
513:14	488:6 490:8	518:10 531:19	gray 44:21 75:7,15	520:1 528:6
goggles 108:12	491:15 494:13,21	goods 73:16 74:13	graze 336:19	531:14
going 14:13 17:18	497:13 504:15	gotten 139:1 163:8	grazing 59:10	ground-up 512:21
23:1,16 27:7	506:17 508:18	242:14	104:7 143:22	515:8,11
28:13 46:15 47:6	516:1 519:7	governing 378:13	great 31:15 53:17	group 7:24 86:7
49:7,8,15,18	528:21,22 529:1	government 153:3	61:21 62:17	88:7 91:16 132:3
55:20 62:5 66:6	531:4 537:5,6	407:17 426:12	110:18 124:8	136:16 205:16
66:16,18,22 67:19	gold 144:6 156:20	governmental	140:4 156:16	208:12 218:16,17
68:3 69:16 71:9	342:18 343:4	436:4	188:17 203:5	292:13 293:2
71:18 83:1,9	<b>Golden</b> 156:20	grain 78:6,7 313:17	224:15 285:20	317:15 332:11
84:20 95:9 101:4	<b>Goldie</b> 164:12	Grain-based 73:10	358:14 360:8	341:8 354:9 355:6
101:5 104:19	good 11:3,11 17:9	grandchildren	402:9 407:7 423:2	364:13 396:17
105:13,14 112:19	21:22 28:5 35:11	141:15	463:15 489:10	397:5 403:1
117:12 120:21	39:9 50:14 51:22	grandfather 390:3	505:5 541:9	409:11 421:10
133:2 135:1	53:5,10,19 61:20	grandfathered	greater 105:11	433:13 435:22
140:22 142:4,11	69:21 76:6 78:1	350:4	254:17 498:7	436:21 437:1
142:13 151:6	85:19 95:18 97:5	Grandin 43:7	500:11 501:9	498:12 503:2

101:10 102:13,17 grandmother

157:16,18 163:18

400:5 403:18

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433 greatest 470:2

506:9 539:4,6,10	growing 31:6 51:20	354:17 355:9	<b>hammer</b> 466:7	273:4 293:4
groupings 248:13	117:7 122:15,20	374:4 391:15,18	Hampshire 481:16	390:19 426:15
groups 161:7 220:3	125:19 127:10	393:19 395:12,14	hamstring 519:21	494:13 523:13
224:13 252:10	155:18 166:7	434:2 476:3	hamstringing	happened 102:15
298:10 379:17	184:19,20 198:6	guide 251:12	518:22	130:16 298:21
476:17	199:10,22 284:19	391:12	hand 67:7 106:2	382:18,19 383:1
<b>Grove</b> 9:9 486:20	304:8 382:10	guided 152:9	114:12 293:12	426:16 510:15
grow 35:20 70:12	406:21 450:7	guideline 120:13	320:21 422:9	happening 141:12
111:16 128:3	452:22 456:1	447:17	handed 429:15	202:12 212:21
336:9,16,18	482:16 510:10	guidelines 19:12	handful 334:3	310:16 321:15
338:14 382:12	grown 4:25 5:15	43:6 337:22	handle 136:17	382:20 391:8
406:16 407:3	46:13 151:16	422:15 456:3	310:8 425:16	413:18 425:19
408:9 409:16,16	152:5 171:4 197:2	guides 187:22	473:18	530:6
409:19 413:11	284:20 333:11	guinea 387:10	handled 110:2	happens 170:3
449:16 450:1,3,13	334:5 337:3,4	gut 160:15	197:10 530:21	227:18 230:20
466:21 470:16,18	481:13	guy 303:11	handler 86:3	231:1 322:7
grower 8:20 128:3	grows 209:10 335:8	<b>Guyot</b> 30:19	131:15 212:19	406:20 488:13
196:3 199:20	443:1	guys 22:19 23:21	handlers 253:14	happier 377:7
200:5 263:9	growth 79:2 127:12	24:15 204:21	524:5	happiness 376:13
439:10 442:3	127:19,21,22	215:13 261:14,19	handling 15:11,12	happy 21:16 52:19
449:14 459:12	147:11	424:19 452:6	44:13 117:21	122:18 147:21
466:14 468:3	guarantee 334:7,11	463:14 464:3	119:7,13 133:3	361:18 375:21
470:2 483:5 484:3	412:22	465:3 469:10	136:17 180:8	377:12,14 386:12
growers 8:10 20:5	guess 20:7,12 25:22	541:7	181:2 182:5 252:2	387:12 400:3,6,14
31:1 48:2 151:10	48:9 49:13 66:10	<b>Gwen</b> 360:16	252:4 269:8,12,19	410:7 472:7
152:20 153:2,22	106:9 138:16	Gwendolyn 7:6	274:2 275:11	hard 42:9 43:10
154:6,14 155:1,5	182:2 186:16	10:18 354:1,3,6	285:3 305:3	47:17 106:10
156:5 173:20	202:7 212:18	358:2,5 536:5,19	306:17,18 308:2,7	125:21 128:12
185:14 186:10	238:13 241:9		310:13,14 311:18	168:5,7,16 186:10
192:5 197:22	256:3 282:14	Н	311:18 312:21	186:13 195:3
198:2,19 199:16	309:10 352:9	habits 398:14	313:7 314:15	221:17,19 222:18
200:13 201:15	353:12 375:14	453:8	315:1 326:3	268:21 289:2,3,3
202:9,10 204:4,6	382:9 462:9 489:8	HACCP 49:1	354:18 355:12	316:19 353:14
202:3,10 201:1,0	497:1 516:6 519:5	<b>haiku</b> 367:9	439:18 540:11	375:8 446:13
205:18,22 206:8	guesstimate 437:10	hail 491:10	handout 16:3	475:1
207:5 357:11	guidance 13:19	Hain 7:24 403:1	114:16 142:11	hardest 137:7
418:12 425:3	14:21 15:6 18:5	hair 59:6	391:1,12	hard-and-fast
428:16,19 429:10	19:9,16 20:2,4	Hal 7:21 389:10,12	handouts 77:2	223:8
451:15 464:16,17	209:6 218:1 219:7	half 114:16 203:17	379:20 391:10	harm 158:18
466:11 467:15	220:16 221:16	229:14 254:5	hands 535:22	161:19
468:5 471:4	237:17 240:15	260:2 365:10	handshake 432:14	harmed 33:14
482:10,11 483:17	241:12,17 243:8	509:19 525:18	handwritten	harmful 161:14
485:8 489:3	244:4,10 265:1,3	half-inch 80:5	418:20	253:5
490:10 493:3,22	268:8,10 271:5	halibut 364:8 365:2	hanging 134:8	harmonization
494:2,8,21 528:9	306:2,9 308:21	Hall 143:19 337:11	happen 18:6 56:17	123:2 195:12
530:13	309:8,14,15,17	hallway 520:12	67:19 69:4,7	harmony 82:16
grower's 465:2	314:21 350:11	halt 519:2	93:17 214:2 243:3	365:14 412:12
0				
	I	I	1	1

<b>H</b>		107 4 0 100 15	244.12.245.5	
Harriet 4:18	healthy 23:18,22	187:4,8 188:15	344:13 345:6	higher 43:5 66:4
124:16 130:11	72:15 74:12 89:7	212:15,18 213:19	375:5,20 392:13	106:12 149:22
133:20 134:3	99:15,22 165:16	235:14 240:5	393:1 446:6	165:10 193:15
135:15 224:11	166:10 167:1	241:4,9 257:1	472:18 501:9,16	247:12 321:5
harsh 109:5	284:21 350:17,18	261:12 280:10	herbicidal 295:10	330:22 499:16
harvest 99:19	351:9 397:19	309:5 310:1	<b>herbicide</b> 161:4,11	500:6
198:2,4 201:11	446:9 498:10	369:18 393:12,15	161:12 216:6	highest 82:20
275:19 307:18	hear 17:21 18:1	395:7,11,15	292:12 295:12	219:15 391:21
308:5 311:18	47:9 69:5 101:15	held 373:15 454:17	herbicides 293:13	396:20 447:22
365:5 483:19,21	131:10,12,15	Hello 95:14 130:13	296:18 351:3	highlight 281:21
484:8	214:14 266:18	164:9 251:22	484:18 503:15	354:13
harvested 99:17	361:11 386:15	263:8 269:4	Herbruck 3:23	highlighted 422:16
244:22	443:13	325:20 378:3	72:10 78:1,2	highlights 124:4
hate 18:22	heard 19:22 21:11	396:3 428:14	82:17 83:3,13	highly 419:19,22
hawks 245:21	26:16 36:15 87:12	498:3 525:8	85:16 141:3	420:10
hay 336:18,19	139:4 163:7	536:18	380:10,12	high-path 391:4
hazardous 279:20	250:22 261:21	help 15:7 19:13	herd 170:5	high-quality
hazards 250:22	277:5 316:18	29:2 48:2 53:3	<b>Heritage</b> 6:8 283:6	152:21 458:9
head 39:1 66:15	345:4,11 348:8,10	56:12 168:17	<b>Herman</b> 7:24 396:2	high-risk 84:11
128:5 193:20	393:16 398:12	170:18 172:15	402:16,20,22	high-value 100:2
396:5	399:17 417:6	195:12 229:6	405:20	high-welfare
headed 517:15	452:7 465:1	255:19 310:12	herring 365:6	121:15
519:6	466:18 469:2	311:5 315:17	<b>Herwig</b> 9:17	<b>hill</b> 174:17
headquartered	475:16,19 499:4	384:20 385:9	480:10 502:1	hills 169:12
152:7 197:3	502:10 503:5	408:12 409:21	509:3,6	Hilton 430:18
481:10	523:22 537:12	475:15	hesitant 493:20	Hinerfeld 4:24
heads 114:10	hearing 21:12 94:1	helped 136:9	hey 429:19 431:20	145:19 152:1,3,4
health 23:12 73:4	186:7 277:20,20	422:22	432:5 492:11	155:12,20 156:2
75:2 108:6,22	426:8 536:1	helpful 21:13	527:17	156:15 157:3,6,20
115:5 131:19	heart 140:4 166:10	406:11 410:1	<b>hi</b> 50:18 145:20	158:4,8
152:10 159:3,11	180:12 398:17	427:14 460:7	158:11 167:13	hinges 152:17
159:13 160:8	Heartland 114:15	helping 406:9	207:16 245:16	hire 48:16 532:10
161:3,15 163:4	heated 373:19	513:1	261:12 297:18	hired 134:11
253:21 277:18	Heavily-sulfurated	helps 84:10 131:16	330:4 348:22	525:17
279:17 283:9	109:9	198:6 401:6 484:1	352:16 363:17	historical 86:20
293:10 328:6,12	<b>heavy</b> 444:4	hemisphere 482:13	375:1 387:6	historically 385:3
333:16 377:2	heavy-metal	hemorrhagic 98:21	389:12 411:4	history 28:14,21
391:21 394:4	487:19	hen 9:4 471:22	438:4 452:6	51:4 94:18,19
397:2 447:14	heck 527:6	472:2,19 498:14	471:21 481:4	149:1 179:18
472:17 473:11	hectare 459:12	Henhouse 383:10	512:12	258:8 361:12
501:18 507:18	460:16	383:13	hidden 57:2	514:15
527:4	hectares 460:16	hens 14:13,14 66:3	high 25:3 26:1,3	hit 66:15 170:22
healthcare 158:18	heights 119:17	80:7,8 236:21	38:5,6 53:12	391:3 490:14
293:21	<b>HEINZE</b> 1:19 41:2	238:1 239:10	227:2,2,3,8	hits 320:21
healthier 76:10	41:7 45:16,21	242:21 248:9,12	228:22 230:16	hobby 382:20
381:6 414:5	54:4,6 75:21 76:4	284:22 286:4,6,7	295:11 322:1	<b>hoc</b> 97:15
484:13	76:13 134:6 187:1	286:8,16,22 287:8	366:8 447:12	<b>Hog</b> 7:22

٦

h 16:20 21:1 2	<b>h h</b> 176.14	515.0 11	<b>:h:</b> 2(7.12)	52.1 1 71.2 102.1
hogs 16:20 21:1,2	horseshoes 176:14	515:9,11	iambic 367:13	53:1,1 71:3 102:1
184:14,18 379:12	183:11	human 73:4 79:6	ice 201:20 459:13	105:10,11 141:1
396:7 446:11	horticultural 200:9	159:3,13,18	<b>Idaho</b> 466:21	172:18 173:18
450:6	hospital 321:13	160:15 246:4	<b>Idalou</b> 3:19 58:22	190:3 194:6 198:3
hold 35:1 222:11	<b>hot</b> 29:14	253:20 254:9	idea 42:6 53:5	199:18 206:5
holding 371:21	Hotel 1:11 413:6	277:17,18 279:3	177:4 194:8	277:18 303:18
372:16	hot-headed 126:11	318:18 319:2	224:15 255:5	343:11 408:2
holds 359:9	hour 108:17 229:13	322:8 327:15	388:18 395:5	443:16 473:22
holes 80:3	370:3 371:14	333:22 334:18	410:5 437:10	483:20 504:12
Holt 142:9	372:4 373:5	376:14 444:1	448:12 453:7	523:18 526:10
home 216:4 388:13	385:20	527:3	455:7 469:11	impacted 205:7
413:4 443:5	hours 14:21 71:14	humane 15:15 70:3	507:8	impacts 20:10
449:15	71:15 129:5	121:16 137:13	ideal 30:21 519:17	98:17 159:13
homes 382:18	215:21 227:10	165:16 238:6	ideas 48:12 136:12	199:19 420:10
homework 168:6	230:18 294:17	264:1 284:15	208:9 385:18	524:8
honest 418:20	345:15 372:10	377:8 393:5,6	418:8	imperative 210:5
honestly 39:5	373:6 374:7,10	394:9,9,19 448:14	identified 11:18	224:5
honesty 166:16	386:9 528:1	humanely 398:21	91:4 187:19,21	implement 78:21
289:9	house 60:1,1,2,17	400:11 411:22	195:16 198:15	250:13 307:21
Honey 156:20	245:6 247:18	446:4	306:6 345:1	implementation
honor 87:2 290:2	285:5,7 388:6	Humanely-raised	433:15 485:5	82:4 209:22 267:8
<b>honoring</b> 421:22	466:4	166:12	identify 15:8	306:3
423:6	housed 63:7,13	humans 253:5	249:17,21	implemented 113:6
Hood 430:2	household 293:20	321:22	identifying 133:13	115:9 240:14
hope 104:9 167:1	housekeeping	humble 24:19	ignore 231:2	531:5
192:2 316:5 333:9	477:14	humid 17:7 490:2	<b>ignored</b> 407:22	implication 47:9
349:16 389:17	housemates 377:1	hundred 84:3	426:14	implications 224:8
396:13 400:9	houses 22:18 59:8	401:4 460:13	illegitimate 250:18	392:12
402:7 406:11	59:16,18 60:16	hundreds 78:6	<b>illness</b> 79:4,7	implied 305:21
426:8 433:11	62:19 79:14,18	173:9 379:22	illustrate 108:20	<b>implies</b> 236:10
443:15 448:6	499:19	431:8	<b>ill-defined</b> 440:21	imply 67:5 454:8
449:12 473:3	housing 217:11,22	hunger 443:2	<b>ILT</b> 83:22 141:4	implying 55:15
hopefully 53:6	218:12 392:13,18	hurt 56:14 66:19	imagine 160:7	305:19
175:19 299:17	498:17	66:22 68:4 103:10	imbalances 192:16	<b>import</b> 452:10
382:14 495:2	Howard 6:25	hurting 30:9 127:7	immature 339:15	460:9
hoping 104:18	332:20 337:15,18	husband 22:4	immediately	importance 489:22
118:18 513:2	Huber 9:21 512:13	396:4	371:22 418:2	important 12:12
hops 443:11 455:13	512:19 514:7	husbandry 283:8	471:6	23:20 38:8 41:11
horizontal 278:8	huge 49:8 212:22	500:10	imminent 333:5	41:13 45:9 46:19
321:14	287:11 293:20	husband's 411:16	immune 4:19 140:1	86:14 96:4 103:17
hormones 165:13	298:14,14 342:21	hydrolized 191:16	284:21 285:2	107:16 132:5
horrible 429:11	350:19	hydrolysis 229:16	339:8 383:2	140:15 148:4
horrifying 388:20	hugely 519:16	hydrolyze 229:3	397:21	157:18 200:17
horse 265:12 359:7	hugged 431:1	hypertension 73:8	<b>immunity</b> 339:15	208:6 209:12
359:12,15,16	<b>huh</b> 505:6	hypothesis 54:13	<b>impact</b> 16:12 18:18	210:10 215:18
462:3	hull 227:20 515:8	I	19:4 23:12 32:6	227:21 232:20
horses 265:14	hulls 368:20 512:21	<b>I</b>	32:11 33:5,6,9	235:20 252:21

277:18 284:4	impugned 360:18	518:9	incremental 339:11	industries 293:21
300:19 301:7	478:8	income 125:1	incrementally	industry 8:24 23:5
306:13 316:9	impurities 513:22	incompatible 250:1	338:22	37:13 43:17 46:14
317:18 339:5	inability 473:15	incongruent	<b>incur</b> 474:2	46:17 55:5,12
356:17 375:19	inadequate 63:13	294:13	independent 8:10	86:1,18 87:18
388:16 405:3,4	160:21 237:12	inconsistency	100:7 277:4	88:20 89:1,5
421:3,5 439:6	379:16	250:14	280:12,14 412:20	94:20 107:17
449:8 451:14	inasmuch 232:4	inconsistent 115:12	428:16 435:12	109:2 115:1,3,7
457:20 466:6	incapable 98:12	250:1	independently	118:19 124:20,21
470:10 488:16	incentive 299:22	incorporate 119:1	267:1	127:7,10 140:19
492:17 497:7	493:21	318:9 522:16	independents	153:10 161:7
500:13 513:19	inception 537:18	incorporated 5:20	430:6	165:10 208:11
518:13 523:6	<b>inch</b> 324:14	5:23 225:15 249:5	<b>indicate</b> 77:9	218:22 219:16
524:2,3	<b>incidence</b> 484:8	355:4 415:21	378:15	226:11 227:13,18
importantly 403:15	499:16,19	416:4 417:14	indicating 393:18	230:21 245:9
404:16	incidental 91:19	473:20	indicator 392:21	365:9 380:18
importation 454:14	incidentals 91:20	incorporates 27:3	indispensable	397:8,10 399:16
<b>imported</b> 55:22 510:4	<b>incite</b> 250:19	incorporating 416:20	30:21 458:3	399:18 416:18
	<b>include</b> 77:13 103:19 105:16	<b>incorrect</b> 262:10	<b>indistinguishable</b> 292:22	420:12 422:3,13 428:20 430:17
<b>importer</b> 8:22 452:9 454:12	181:21 268:1	incorrectly 262:5	<b>individual</b> 178:11	452:22 453:14,17
<b>importing</b> 482:8	278:9 293:11	increase 66:16	179:16 219:12	454:17 455:16,17
imports 192:9	296:9 307:6	71:19 119:18	227:21 288:15	455:19 457:2
imports 192.9 impose 109:14	324:21 366:7	126:8 160:17	290:5 356:9	459:20 481:12
213:11 250:3	404:1 506:2	161:9,12 170:16	359:13 533:11,12	491:2 513:4
impossible 200:1	524:11 524:11	184:11 217:21	533:15 534:12	514:16 522:8
372:2 450:20	<b>included</b> 110:17	256:12 278:2	individually 179:2	523:18 532:19
475:20	181:12 292:14	279:1 452:18	181:1 290:9	533:1 535:13
impregnated 323:5	344:21 392:10	473:12,13	488:18	539:3,8,22 541:5
impressive 531:21	464:18 472:14	increased 102:4,5	individuals 533:7	industry-formed
impromptu 521:20	528:15	122:19 147:8	534:7	539:6
improve 18:11 38:4	includes 88:8	161:4 162:1,1	<b>indoor</b> 345:8	industry-wide
43:11 122:14	257:14 267:13	184:13 232:12	417:18	113:17 312:2
124:6 210:11	403:13 418:19	256:17 265:19,20	indoors 25:18 26:3	<b>inedible</b> 402:4
266:4 267:21	421:2,19 422:3	266:17 267:11	60:15 61:19 64:8	ineffective 293:13
474:16	457:14 484:5	434:5 511:1	83:10 446:9 447:2	inert 109:19 199:6
improved 18:3	including 15:15	increases 128:17	<b>induction</b> 193:17	200:16,21 317:8
72:16 102:15	51:8 65:3 185:3	190:17 201:18	457:10 458:17	324:3,15
124:10 472:7	239:12 257:14	265:22	460:17	inertia 210:3
improvement	277:6 293:21	increasing 74:12	<b>indulge</b> 111:9	inerts 317:9,15,15
237:15 379:13	308:6 327:16	190:18 250:14	508:3	323:15
393:9 416:16	411:18 420:2	293:3 397:20	industrial 110:12	inevitable 334:2,13
417:9 530:20	421:14 436:1	439:9	228:2 380:12	inevitably 192:10
improvements	484:12 524:16	increasingly 166:7	industrially-prod	inexpensive 53:19
101:10 416:12,13	525:19 526:18	209:11 389:22	107:19	infant 144:8 289:18
improves 74:22	539:16	incredible 342:20	industrial-scale	300:8 302:4
improving 382:15	inclusion 158:20	incredibly 186:9	166:4	327:21 404:7,14

infants 327:16ingredient 172:10354:20 396:17instructed 108:17interesting 24:5infect 466:1191:19 455:6397:5 504:1instrumental 125:926:7 143:16infected 470:19514:9insistence 323:11insufficient 280:6155:15 272:15infection 154:15ingredients 72:19inspected 44:7 47:1345:6401:18451:6 465:15110:17 199:649:21intact 327:1interests 100:1467:17 469:13200:16 253:14inspection 14:20intake 73:6,11455:21470:1 496:8324:4 327:2015:17 71:16 102:6267:20interior 247:5infections 79:6,21404:11 455:8209:3 210:13integral 434:22interiors 245:12infiltrate 430:19504:8 537:16214:5 215:5 216:8integrate 449:11,12intermittent 17/
infected 470:19514:9insistence 323:11insufficient 280:6155:15 272:15infection 154:15ingredients 72:19inspected 44:7 47:1345:6401:18451:6 465:15110:17 199:649:21intact 327:1interests 100:1467:17 469:13200:16 253:14inspection 14:20intake 73:6,11455:21470:1 496:8324:4 327:2015:17 71:16 102:6267:20interior 247:5infections 79:6,21404:11 455:8209:3 210:13integral 434:22interiors 245:12
infection 154:15 451:6 465:15ingredients 72:19 110:17 199:6inspected 44:7 47:1 49:21345:6 intact 327:1401:18 interests 100:1467:17 469:13 470:1 496:8200:16 253:14 324:4 327:20inspection 14:20 15:17 71:16 102:6intake 73:6,11 267:20455:21 interior 247:5 interiors 245:12
451:6 465:15110:17 199:649:21intact 327:1interests 100:1467:17 469:13200:16 253:14inspection 14:20intake 73:6,11455:21470:1 496:8324:4 327:2015:17 71:16 102:6267:20interior 247:5infections 79:6,21404:11 455:8209:3 210:13integral 434:22interiors 245:12
467:17 469:13200:16 253:14inspection 14:20intake 73:6,11455:21470:1 496:8324:4 327:2015:17 71:16 102:6267:20interior 247:5infections 79:6,21404:11 455:8209:3 210:13integral 434:22interiors 245:12
470:1 496:8324:4 327:2015:17 71:16 102:6267:20interior 247:5infections 79:6,21404:11 455:8209:3 210:13integral 434:22interiors 245:12
infections 79:6,21 404:11 455:8 209:3 210:13 integral 434:22 interiors 245:12
, 0
<b>infiltrate</b> 430:19 504:8537:16 214:5215:5216:8 <b>integrate</b> 449:11,12 <b>intermittent</b> 17
influence 190:6 inhale 144:2 242:11 266:2 integrated 416:22 internal 106:13
217:15 <b>inherently</b> 455:4 <b>inspections</b> 13:21 520:3 472:16 473:20
influencing 258:19 inhibitor 110:5 65:3 106:14 208:3 integration 495:12 476:1
influenza 83:8 inhibits 75:5 208:20 209:8,14 integrity 127:5,6 international 8:
380:5         382:17         inhumane         389:2         209:16,19         152:22         165:18         195:13         347:12
390:8,10         391:2,5         446:18         211:2,9,11         216:16         246:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22         456:22
info 418:13 initial 229:10 212:10,13 214:7 254:17 256:12,18 481:18 482:14
inform 136:1 initially 39:20 214:13,19 215:12 266:5 267:22 513:20
informal 539:3         189:12 194:13         215:20 216:3         293:16 301:6         internet 95:20
information 21:13         348:7         528:3         326:8 399:3         interpret 45:3
21:17 74:15 77:3       initiative 509:10       inspector 3:21       412:13 425:10       240:18 242:10         10 20 40 22       10 20 40 22       10 20 40 22       10 20 40 22       10 20 40 22
87:4         88:19         89:2         Initiatives         18:20         48:22         428:8         429:1         431:2         interpretation
94:15       95:1       105:18       injury       484:8       242:10       315:14       432:13       44:21
145:6 158:15         Inn 411:13         525:17 531:9         476:11         interpreted 226
190:20         194:5         innovation 248:17         inspectors 14:22         intellectual 334:4         259:4
198:8 202:11         248:20         15:3,7 19:10         intend 513:7         interpreting 45
204:9,21 205:11         innovative 348:14         46:19 48:21         intended 351:12         interval 169:11
207:2 226:3,9         input 16:12 17:12         105:21 440:12         397:20 472:22         intervening 307
227:19         18:8         64:20         101:21         526:12         527:12         intensified         487:8         503:9
254:9         259:3,5         235:16,21         283:19         528:1         intert         203:6,7         intervention
261:10 274:6         306:4 384:18         inspired 96:10         217:20 244:7         269:21
303:6 316:14         385:11 409:21         instance 106:17         378:19 422:5         intimately 204:
317:19 321:12         436:5 476:22         109:16 206:2         intention 517:20         intimidating 42
326:4 357:20       479:5 503:13       256:8 318:16       518:15 533:6       introduce 537:6
361:22 379:9         504:6 522:8,16         386:2         intentional 335:15         introduces 108:
384:21         389:17         523:3         instant         250:16         intentionally         425:4         introduction         79
<b>390:16 418:22</b> inputs 117:17 instinctive 383:6 intentions 523:20 227:3 277:2
420:18 457:5,8         131:16 344:8         instinctual 366:2         interaction 322:5         335:15 406:17
485:1 511:7 513:5         508:7 511:17         institute 4:15 5:9         interactions 190:5         introductions
515:3 533:5 539:8         insect 162:2         7:17 77:5 97:9         interest 65:7 66:9         101:5 231:8
informed 152:13 insects 161:19 121:12 175:7 111:4 116:8 233:17
166:6 232:2         185:4 448:11         378:5 499:15         171:10 281:8         invasive 429:4
494:18 533:12         inside 59:18 60:17         507:3,14 510:21         289:3,9 290:3         invest 386:9
infrastructure         61:21 62:14,21         512:17         298:1,3 357:10         investigation 45
212:1,5,6 417:13       63:12 381:7       institutes 306:11       411:12 476:22       459:10
417:21 383:16 388:19 509:20 <b>interested</b> 55:4 <b>investment</b> 339
infringing 114:14 insignificant 24:21 institution 90:21 298:22 300:2 491:6
ingest 321:20         87:22 91:10 93:5         361:21         539:9         invite 142:4

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	invited 247:8	522:22 538:5	106:2 134:5	9:14 38:14 157:10	justification 177:8	
					•	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				· · · · ·	0	
		,			•	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		,			<b>J.WI</b> 9.21 J12.15	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					K	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	,					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		,		· · · · · ·		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
528:8       344:19 394:18       314:4 328:21       Johnston 230:11       Kalona 383:11         involves 326:12       433:16 473:12       336:15       336:15       339:12,91,1360:19       432:24 433:2,3         in-store 466:20       474:7,15 526:8       360:15 362:2,7       Johnston's 233:3       436:8,13,21         fost 51 216:22       Italian 126:11       381:22 409:4       join 539:9       437:14,21         fost 51 216:22       Italy 55:22       505:3 508:2,22       joke 519:13       384:11,19,21         396:18       210:7 256:7,15       530:10       Jonagold 156:18       384:11,19,21         isolated 470:10       256:16,17 268:13       jepardizing 86:20       269:1       384:11 385:14         isolated 470:10       256:16,17 268:13       jepardizing 86:20       207:15 216:12       386:18.20 387:2,5         sta:11 51:8       iteremy 8:21 449:3       Jose 152:1       Jose 152:1       386:18.20 387:2,5         sta:12:16 114:10,13       iteremy 175:4       jepardizing 86:20       207:15 216:12       386:18.20 387:2,5         98:3 107:18       itere 6:11       Jackie 3:8 13:15,17       452:1,7       Judging 343:21       212:14 213:16         112:16 114:10,13       itere 4:11       Jackie 3:8 13:15,17       481:12 429:12       Joan 6:13 287:21       Judg				,		
		· · · · ·	,		,	
					· · · · · ·	
		,	,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				0	,	
396:18       210:7 256:7,15       530:10       Jonagodi 156:18       381:11,19,21         396:18       210:7 256:7,15       530:10       Jonagodi 156:18       Jonagodi 156:18       382:5 384:3,5,8         irradiation 351:5       368:19 371:10       497:18,20       269:1       382:5 384:3,5,8         isolate 391:22       items 102:9 200:12       337:11       Jose 152:1       Jose 152:1       Jose 152:1       386:18,20 387:2,5         isolate 470:10       256:16,17 268:18       jeopardized 406:17       jeopardizing 86:20       207:15 216:12       Kathy 52: 152:2       158:9         solation 470:10       284:16 504:21       jeopardized 406:17       jose 4:24:145:19       45:15 54:3 75:20       76:8 134:5 186:22       158:9         89:3 00:4 97:18       ite rent 175:4       jeory 9:8 480:3       Journal 160:2       188:14 211:21       19:21 45:13       184:17       25:17 12       16:13 287:21       204:4 25:6:21       236:13 239:16         138:3 141:3,11,19       Jacquet 4:11       Jacquet 4:11       Jacquet 4:11       Joan 6:13 287:21       judicious 37:22       239:31:1 395:6       226:17 280:9         163:9 175:22       Jacquet 4:11       Jake 5:16 196:20       100:21 111:2,6.8       375:8 376:1       Jule 30:19,20       262:17 280:9       309:4 369:17       309:4 369:17       <		÷.	,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			,	0	, ,	
Tradiation 351:5368:19 371:10368:19 371:10368:19 371:10368:19 371:10368:19 371:10368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">368:19 371:10sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2">Sign colspan="2"Sign colspan="2"Jack colspan="2" <th colsp<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>U</td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>U</td> <td></td>				U	
ArtanianBorn for 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 121Derinite 			,			
items 102:9 200:12 isolate 391:22items 102:9 200:12 256:16,17 268:18 jeopardized 406:17 jeopardizing 86:20 Jeremy 8:21 449:3Joseph 1:16 5:18 63:17 142:3Kathy 5:2 152:2 158:989:3 90:4 97:18 98:3 107:18519:18jeopardized 406:17 jeopardizing 86:20 Jeremy 8:21 449:3Joseph 1:16 5:18 63:17 142:3Katrina 1:19 41:1 45:15 54:3 75:2098:3 107:18 118:15,18 136:21jeopardized 406:17 jeopardizing 86:20 Jeremy 8:21 449:3Joseph 1:16 5:18 63:17 142:3Katrina 1:19 41:1 45:15 54:3 75:20118:15,18 136:21 141:20,21 149:12 163:9 175:22jJJereny 8:21 449:3 481:17Journal 160:2 207:17188:14 211:21 212:14 213:16177:22 200:16 29:22 255:1 110:21 111:2,68 29:12 193:6jacquet 4:11 100:22 107:3,5,6 100:21 111:2,68Jaes 0:45:17 19:21 66:13 29:12 207:14,20 211:8 207:14,20 211:8job 16:13 94:15 29:7 297:12,15 job 16:13 94:15judicial 80:16 judicial 80:16 judicial 80:16 judicial 80:16309:4 369:17 309:4 369:17294:18 298:9,16 294:18 298:9,16 294:18 298:9,16 291:1 293:6Jake 5:16 196:20 155:4168:6 284:5 375:6 168:6 284:5 375:6Julianne 8:18 445:1 464:2 490:9 445:1 464:2 490:9 249:3 251:1648:15 119:19 282:1 255:10:20141:7 267:19 283:1 300:1300:9 319:15 406:6,12 409:12 406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7 Jason 10:13 525:7job 433:12,17 Jos 63:16 213:17 536:6,20350:14,20 352:3 338:10,19 349:17 338:10,19 349:17467:4,6 477:5 487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22 55:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22 jogged 245:19397:7 399:3,5<			,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	•	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		,	•			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
98:3 107:18imeriant 11511Jerry 9:8 480:3Journal 160:2188:14 211:21112:16 114:10,13JJackie 3:8 13:15,17Jackie 4:11Jackie 4:11Jackie 3:8 12:12Jackie 3:8 13:15,17Jackie 4:11Jackie 4:11Ja			U U			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-			
JJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ		<b>i.e</b> 76:11	•			
138:13,141:3,11,19Jackie 3:8 13:15,17Jetsty 31:1431:11Judgment 20:3240:4 256:21141:20,21 149:1219:21 66:13Jacquet 4:11481:17257:17240:4 256:21163:9 175:22Jacquet 4:11100:22 107:3,5,6judgmental 421:22309:4 369:17107:22 200:16100:22 107:3,5,6Joan 6:13 287:21judicial 80:16309:4 369:1729:22 255:1110:21 111:2,6,8111:13 112:1job 16:13 94:15judicious 37:22393:11 395:6291:1 293:6Jake 5:16 196:20168:6 284:5 375:6Jules 30:19,20keep 25:18 29:6294:18 298:9,16207:14,20 211:8375:8 376:14Julies 5:25 10:2045:18 85:9 87:9294:19 319:15James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1310:9 319:15Jamoary 153:8155:4jobs 483:12,13259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17306:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5	,		,	• • •		
141:20,21 149:12 163:9 175:2219:21 66:13 Jacquet 4:11Jim 8:20 445:17 449:2 492:11judgmental 421:22 judicial 80:16262:17 280:9 309:4 369:17177:22 200:16 229:22 255:1100:22 107:3,5,6 110:21 111:2,6,8 111:13 112:1Joan 6:13 287:21 292:7 297:12,15judicious 37:22 juice 87:7 171:6309:4 369:17 393:11 395:629:12 293:6 294:18 298:9,16 294:18 298:9,16 293:20 308:3,21Jake 5:16 196:20 207:14,20 211:8 215:10Jake 5:16 196:20 207:14,20 211:8168:6 284:5 375:6 375:8 376:14Julianne 8:18 442:19 445:13,18Katrina's 422:14 keep 25:18 29:6309:4 369:17 393:11 395:6James 98:4 15:4James 98:4 155:4384:16 408:18 445:1 464:2 490:9 90:11 491:17 259:7 261:21Julianse 8:18 445:1 255:13,1488:15 119:19 249:3 251:16310:9 319:15 309:15 531:18 532:2 467:4,6 477:5 487:4,10 490:6Jason 10:13 525:7 533:22Joe 63:16 213:17 216:19 436:13 441:13 jogged 245:19Jume 13:9,10 267:10,10 justifiable 253:22372:20 381:7 391:20,20 392:6	,		U U	0 0		
11111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		,				
177:22 200:16100:22 107:3,5,6Joan 6:13 287:21judicious 37:22393:11 395:6229:22 255:1110:21 111:2,6,8111:13 112:1292:7 297:12,15judicious 37:22393:11 395:6291:1 293:6Jake 5:16 196:20207:14,20 211:8207:14,20 211:8375:8 376:14Jules 30:19,20Jules 30:19,20294:18 298:9,16207:14,20 211:8375:8 376:14442:19 445:13,1888:15 119:19298:20 308:3,21215:10384:16 408:18445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1310:9 319:15James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:137:21 353:16January 153:8155:4jobs 483:12,13259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3418:12 427:19531:18 532:2216:19 436:13June 13:9,1037:220 381:7467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5						
110:21 111:2,6,8110:21 111:2,6,8292:7 297:12,15juice 87:7 171:6Katrina's 422:14256:2 281:13111:13 112:1job 16:13 94:15jules 30:19,20keep 25:18 29:6291:1 293:6Jake 5:16 196:20168:6 284:5 375:6Julianne 8:1845:18 85:9 87:9294:18 298:9,16207:14,20 211:8375:8 376:14442:19 445:13,1888:15 119:19298:20 308:3,21215:10384:16 408:18445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1310:9 319:15James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:137:21 353:16January 153:8490:11 491:17252:1 255:13,14319:18 325:8391:15 405:9155:4jobs 483:12,13259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3418:12 427:19531:18 532:2216:19 436:13June 13:9,10372:20 381:7467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5		-		0		
256:2 281:13111:13 112:1job 16:13 94:15Jules 30:19,20keep 25:18 29:6291:1 293:6Jake 5:16 196:20207:14,20 211:8168:6 284:5 375:6Jules 30:19,2045:18 85:9 87:9294:18 298:9,16207:14,20 211:8375:8 376:14442:19 445:13,1888:15 119:19298:20 308:3,21215:10384:16 408:18445:1 464:2 490:9445:1 267:10310:9 319:15James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1391:15 405:9155:4490:11 491:17252:1 255:13,14319:18 325:8406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3418:12 427:19531:18 532:2216:19 436:13June 13:9,10372:20 381:7467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5				0		
291:1 293:6 294:18 298:9,16 298:20 308:3,21 310:9 319:15Jake 5:16 196:20 207:14,20 211:8 215:10168:6 284:5 375:6 375:8 376:14 384:16 408:18 445:1 464:2 490:9 490:11 491:17 jobs 483:12,13Julianne 8:18 442:19 445:13,1845:18 85:9 87:9 88:15 119:19310:9 319:15 337:21 353:16 391:15 405:9James 98:4 155:4384:16 408:18 445:1 464:2 490:9 490:11 491:17 jobs 483:12,13Julianne 8:18 442:19 445:13,1845:18 85:9 87:9 88:15 119:1930:9 319:15 337:21 353:16 391:15 405:9James 98:4 155:4384:16 408:18 445:1 464:2 490:9 490:11 491:17 jobs 483:12,13Julie 5:25 10:20 249:3 251:16 252:1 255:13,14141:7 267:19 283:1 300:1406:6,12 409:12 467:4,6 477:5 467:4,6 477:5Jason 10:13 525:7 531:18 532:2 Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3 55:3 68:8 69:22Joe 63:16 213:17 216:19 436:13 441:13259:7 261:21 536:6,20 June 13:9,10 267:10,10 391:20,20 392:6372:20 381:7 391:20,20 392:6			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0		
294:18 298:9,16207:14,20 211:8375:8 376:14442:19 445:13,1888:15 119:19298:20 308:3,21215:10384:16 408:18445:1 464:2 490:9442:19 445:13,1888:15 119:19310:9 319:15James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1337:21 353:16January 153:8490:11 491:17252:1 255:13,14319:18 325:8391:15 405:9155:4Joe 63:16 213:17259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3418:12 427:19531:18 532:2Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20372:20 381:7467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5			U	,	-	
298:20 308:3,21215:10384:16 408:18Julie 5:25 10:20141:7 267:19310:9 319:15James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1337:21 353:16January 153:8490:11 491:17252:1 255:13,14319:18 325:8391:15 405:9155:4Job 63:16 213:17259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3418:12 427:19531:18 532:2Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10372:20 381:7467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5						
Joi 20 50013,21James 98:4445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1337:21 353:16January 153:8445:1 464:2 490:9249:3 251:16283:1 300:1391:15 405:9155:4490:11 491:17252:1 255:13,14319:18 325:8406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7Job 483:12,13259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 407:15531:18 532:2Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5	,	,		· · · · · ·		
337:21 353:16 391:15 405:9January 153:8 155:4490:11 491:17 jobs 483:12,13252:1 255:13,14 259:7 261:21319:18 325:8 338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 409:12 406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7 531:18 532:2Joe 63:16 213:17 216:19 436:13259:7 261:21 536:6,20319:18 325:8 338:10,19 349:17467:4,6 477:5 487:4,10 490:6Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3 55:3 68:8 69:22Joe 210:0 400:11 491:17 jobs 483:12,13Joe 63:16 213:17 216:19 436:13319:18 325:8 338:10,19 349:17jogged 245:19june 13:9,10 267:10,10372:20 381:7 391:20,20 392:6	· · · · ·					
391:15 405:9155:4jobs 483:12,13259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17406:6,12 409:12Jason 10:13 525:7jobs 483:12,13259:7 261:21338:10,19 349:17418:12 427:19531:18 532:2Joe 63:16 213:17536:6,20350:14,20 352:3467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5						
406:6,12 409:12 418:12 427:19Jason 10:13 525:7 531:18 532:2 Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3 487:4,10 490:6Jobs 105:12,13 536:6,20 216:19 436:13 441:13205:12 10:121 536:6,20 June 13:9,10 267:10,10350:14,20 352:3 372:20 381:7 391:20,20 392:6467:4,6 477:5 487:4,10 490:6Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3 55:3 68:8 69:22Joe 63:16 213:17 216:19 436:13 441:13June 13:9,10 267:10,10350:14,20 352:3 372:20 381:7 391:20,20 392:6		•		· · · · · ·		
418:12 427:19531:18 532:2216:19 436:13June 13:9,10372:20 381:7467:4,6 477:5Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3441:13267:10,10391:20,20 392:6487:4,10 490:655:3 68:8 69:22jogged 245:19justifiable 253:22397:7 399:3,5			U ,		,	
467:4,6 477:5       Jay 32:4 40:16 54:3       441:13       267:10,10       391:20,20 392:6         487:4,10 490:6       55:3 68:8 69:22       jogged 245:19       justifiable 253:22       397:7 399:3,5	,			,		
487:4,10 490:6 55:3 68:8 69:22 <b>jogged</b> 245:19 <b>justifiable</b> 253:22 397:7 399:3,5				,		
	,			,	,	
-501.10.500.0 [ $80.14.103.17$ ] $-1.1.1.10.7.11$ ] $-504.4$ ] $-1.00.7.420.14$	,			0	,	
SUI:18 SU2:9     09.14 103.12     John 1:18 /:11     SU4:4     402:7 452:14	501:18 502:9	89:14 103:12	<b>John</b> 1:18 7:11	504:4	402:7 432:14	

465:5,7 493:3,11	Klingerman 4:6	299:14 303:13	392:13 507:9	labels 349:21
537:5	85:18 95:14,15	304:4 307:19	knows 360:2 421:8	453:22
keeping 134:14	96:14,19 97:1	308:8 310:15	Koan 8:20 445:17	labor 293:12
285:6 351:7	<b>KMBS</b> 108:3	311:10 312:4,5,8	449:2,4	483:12
372:15 399:8	knew 48:15 93:16	314:13 322:13	Koozer 6:25 332:21	laboratory 120:14
414:3 523:10	157:8 274:9 337:5	332:15 343:3	337:15,17,18	226:10,20 230:19
533:2	401:15 413:3	345:14 353:14	340:3,7,17 341:5	234:13 499:13
Keith 8:10 423:17	537:3	359:12 360:20,21	341:15,18,21	500:19
428:12,15	knife-edge 506:13	369:12 371:5	342:6	lack 109:10 131:8
Kellogg 97:13	know 20:8 22:17	373:16 374:4	Kreher 7:21	131:20 191:20
kelp 526:17	23:1 26:14 27:1	375:20 376:14	389:10,12,13	354:22 494:4
<b>Ken</b> 145:4	32:20 35:1 39:6	377:11 382:13	393:14 395:10,13	510:8 527:1
Kennewick 145:22	45:21 48:9 50:11	388:3,20 390:11	395:20,22	lacked 46:10 500:9
Kentucky 126:2	50:12 52:22 56:20	390:20 393:15	KRISTINE 1:17	lactic 228:2 229:1,2
kept 244:22 431:5	57:15 67:1 68:22	394:10,21 397:11	<b>Kyla</b> 173:21	229:7,8
kernel 227:1	76:11,13 90:5,9	398:6 399:21,22	• 	ladders 14:14,15
Kevin 378:10	91:20,21 92:2,5,9	401:17,18 405:11	L	ladies 461:16 492:8
key 189:22 300:13	92:10 94:6,10,13	408:5 410:22	<b>lab</b> 6:13 161:17	Lady 156:8 206:2
kick 375:9	94:16 102:3 103:5	411:19 412:13	235:9 292:8	lambs 59:6,10 66:2
kids 350:17 398:13	113:12 117:7	414:21 420:14,20	label 52:12 54:18	526:6
402:4 519:22	119:10 121:19	424:17 425:21	55:7,8 57:3,4,11	lamb's 295:15
kill 47:21 50:3	128:22 130:14	426:14 429:2,16	57:12,17 58:10	lameness 15:4
429:10	132:1,7 133:11	430:10 431:7,8,16	112:15 114:2	laminated 324:12
<b>Kim</b> 4:2 85:17,20	135:5,8,17,21	431:20 432:9	122:11 126:16,16	Lamsek 8:18
89:14 95:6 261:21	136:4,17 137:21	449:5 454:2	126:22 127:5	442:19 445:13,18
354:10	138:17 142:1	455:10 465:1	130:3,4,4,4,7	445:18 448:13
kind 19:4 39:2	143:6 144:16	467:3,8,11 468:20	146:8 148:8	449:1
84:19 85:10 117:8	147:5,18 149:19	470:7 486:4,5	150:10,16 153:1	land 100:4 333:14
138:2,3 146:22	150:3,21 151:9	493:7 494:12,14	166:8 176:17	336:10 421:16
149:6 169:11	163:4 164:11	499:4 502:7 503:2	185:16 247:11	476:12
174:11 212:1	167:22 168:17	505:17 507:11	335:22 349:13,14	lands 488:1
243:2 245:12,19	169:1 173:2,3,4,6	508:14 523:12	350:22 351:7,10	landscape 493:9
290:6 299:9 304:2	174:4,8,10 177:14	529:15 530:1	376:22 408:18,19	land-based 364:5
310:19 332:9	178:19,22 179:3	532:3	412:8,16	366:11
352:16 371:7	179:21 193:16	knowing 117:4	labeled 54:9 57:1	language 131:1
375:9,12 376:8,21	194:9,11 202:13	289:14 397:12	91:20 115:20	157:17 274:16,22
430:8 439:17	202:15 204:10,11	knowingly 117:6	154:13 162:8	275:8,17 310:19
487:10 488:9,11	204:13,22 206:3	knowledge 492:3	176:21 177:1	316:4 317:7,9
kinds 202:5 299:16	207:1 235:16	500:4,10	292:20 410:6,7,14	322:18 327:2
435:8 489:14	241:19,22 256:8	knowledgeable	453:21	355:2 373:13,13
491:11 506:13	257:4,21 262:10	400:11 539:15	labeling 32:21,21	373:16 378:15
507:21 508:17	265:15 271:1	known 79:20 82:21	109:14 111:3	439:1 449:11
513:14	273:2 275:20	102:2 108:2	115:19 166:10	504:17,19,19
kinked 467:8	279:21 281:8,13	109:12 153:14,20	270:3 336:6	languages 528:19
Kirchar 9:24	286:3 288:15	156:6 161:14	408:15 426:17	languishing 210:7
521:11,12 525:1	291:20 292:1,4	169:15 206:18	453:15,16,17	large 51:6 67:15,17
<b>kit</b> 368:7	293:7 298:9	229:2 278:8 352:9	454:8 455:6,21	67:17 108:16

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

## Page 575

	1		1	
174:15 198:18	240:9 251:21	Leadership 97:13	<b>legs</b> 432:8,8	109:7 113:18
211:11 214:6	280:18 297:13	leading 79:4 135:9	leisure 513:11	114:11 118:10
286:2 334:19	303:2 307:21	152:11 263:20	length 65:15	119:4,20 148:18
339:17 399:16,18	319:11 325:14,17	404:14	338:14	160:17 228:4
410:2 412:5	337:7 353:4,11	leads 111:19	<b>lengths</b> 407:7	294:2 343:16
425:19 442:2	359:18 367:12,16	233:11 397:21	lengthy 101:5	346:20 354:21
455:20 458:8	368:1,5,9,13,18	<b>learn</b> 55:17 169:8	<b>lens</b> 258:4,5	447:10 504:1
485:8 490:5	369:11,20 371:2	331:17 340:13	Leslie 5:6 164:8	Lewin 5:16 196:20
largely 190:7	375:16 395:9,16	393:20 399:16,19	167:11,13 171:14	207:14,16,20
453:12	400:4 401:21	399:20 420:13	173:17 174:20	211:4,10 212:4,17
larger 52:9 137:19	407:9 437:15	learned 42:19	Lethal 81:2	213:6 214:1,17
306:14 314:1	448:21 456:18	62:20 154:12	<b>letter</b> 336:2 337:13	215:3,17 216:10
largest 50:20 112:8	463:2 468:19	180:10 202:10	488:10	Liberty 492:9,10
208:1 231:2	479:16 492:13	210:16 240:5	letters 78:5 286:17	lice 365:18,21
263:11 286:3	505:7,10 515:17	303:18,19 449:5,6	389:18	licensed 51:1
288:5 446:1	516:11 519:10	449:8 497:9	letting 83:20 84:4	126:16
452:13 458:6	525:15 536:17	learning 55:19	143:11 300:6	<b>lies</b> 233:18
476:18 481:14	launched 343:13	155:5 331:16	330:10 341:21	life 23:17 24:1 34:1
LaRocca 4:16,17	Lauren 452:1,3	420:18	380:3 410:8 414:4	148:9 164:18
107:11 124:15,17	law 93:17 126:19	<b>learns</b> 444:2	463:14	169:21 170:8
124:18,19 128:9	126:20 380:2	leave 140:20 141:5	lettuce 36:8	190:3 283:9 376:8
128:15,19 129:17	399:4 407:22	326:22 373:4	<b>let's</b> 13:12 47:9,10	376:11 385:13
130:10 147:18	429:19	528:21 541:16	54:3 77:5,7	lifespan 110:2
149:1	laws 78:18 111:3	leaveners 73:1	117:19 128:19	light 320:17 323:13
<b>larvae</b> 448:11	280:1	leavening 72:15	134:4 163:17	338:21 341:14,22
laryngotracheitis	lay 200:2 338:8,11	73:16,21 74:3,10	182:10 194:7	522:5 532:5
84:1	338:20 340:12	75:22	213:18 235:4	<b>liked</b> 137:12 318:6
lastly 328:6 374:1	392:14	leaves 30:11 44:20	259:15 260:4	likelihood 279:2
405:7 444:15	layer 283:10	75:15 190:17	265:21 269:6	321:5
late 13:10 505:16	499:19 501:16	<b>leaving</b> 362:5,9	276:4 302:6 358:6	likes 96:7 131:16
lateral 163:10	layers 16:20,21	440:10 530:7,7	362:12 374:7	131:17 492:12
319:1	21:1,2 22:6	led 115:17 166:13	387:3 409:4	limit 189:14 379:3
latest 97:18 381:16	324:15 330:9	509:22	410:11,13 429:10	384:15 385:10
Latin 483:3	447:3	left 103:2 185:11	432:12 511:19	<b>limited</b> 30:7 37:4
latitude 244:1	laying 236:21	196:9 218:7	519:3 527:18	189:13 307:6
laughter 12:21	238:1 338:16	226:22 238:15	level 40:11 43:5	351:4 352:4,8
13:2 28:16 39:11	339:1 340:20	239:9 242:18	91:3 116:12,14,18	441:3
46:1 68:7,14,19	344:12 345:6	286:2,19 329:16	116:19 122:9	<b>limiting</b> 317:8
68:21 70:16 89:22	392:13 498:14	514:5	136:2,3 143:20	limits 345:12
94:5,9 103:4	500:17 501:9	<b>lefthand</b> 515:19	150:13 178:8	354:22 355:10
104:20 111:12,15	layout 338:5	legal 81:5 215:22	196:1 222:1,5,5	<b>line</b> 18:14 19:4 20:2
111:21 116:10	leaching 189:18	424:14	224:9 262:9 305:6	134:19 138:13
128:10,13 129:15	194:15	legalistic 65:13	307:5 311:21	240:16 300:8
134:9 139:3,13	lead 45:2 97:17	legislate 217:18	321:7 343:17	313:6 372:9
157:2 167:21	320:14 348:4	legislation 109:14	447:11,20 478:3	379:16 431:9
171:3,18 172:1	406:13	legitimate 380:20	492:5	432:7 495:7
174:18 177:20	<b>leader</b> 452:14	legitimately 383:19	levels 91:18 92:1	<b>linear</b> 64:1
		l		l

lines 444:17         504:20,20 518:2,5         446:21 467:7         415:20 440:10         481:19	••••
lining 298:11         518:10,15,22         469:11 478:20         442:13,15 446:1,4         long-rangi	0
link 319:2         527:8,22 528:6         488:12 490:12         447:21 472:20         long-run 1	38:15
linked 318:18         537:17 539:13,15         495:1 496:18         521:14 522:10         426:19	
365:19         540:11         497:1 504:14         526:2         long-term	
links 187:19         listed 182:9 194:14         508:5 514:12         living 15:10 160:14         206:7 263	
Linus 507:3         253:12 307:17         522:13 529:11         184:19 293:19         268:20 43	33:19
Lion 1:11         403:14 538:19         534:10         396:19 446:20         436:20	
lip 166:18         listen 101:14 281:3         little-known 337:2         Liz 342:11 346:7         long-time	
liquid 37:15 108:1         400:9 536:11         live 23:17 371:16         llama 248:4         look 25:4 5	
191:1,16,22       192:3       listened 168:4       499:19,22       500:19       load 372:10       77:12       89:19	
195:1 225:16         listening 67:13         500:22         local 84:8 166:12         94:19 95:1	
245:9 511:16         137:22 142:17         livelihood 159:8         196:11 397:1         123:21 18	
liquids 369:5         343:1 420:21         349:2 350:2         439:3 444:8,13         193:6 210           1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	
liquor 37:21         listing 198:21         livelihoods 115:5         450:4 473:6 490:6         220:7 22           225:16:10:22         100:2:225:22         204:2:408:4         511:11         222:16:22	
225:16,19,22 199:2 225:22 394:3 408:4 511:11 223:16 22 226:6 12 228:22 226:6 271:0 15 420:11 1223:16 22	
226:6,12     228:22     226:6     271:9,15     420:11     locally     97:8     481:20     230:9     23       222:12     17     271:9     200:7     201:18     15:5:14     189:22     15:5:14     209:12	
232:13,17 271:8         296:7 301:18         lively 5:14 188:22         located 308:13         235:8 238           271:10:12:276:20         402:7         106:10:21:22         470:8 471:11         245:11:23	
271:10,12 276:20 403:7 196:19,21,22 470:8 471:11 245:11 2: 276:21 281:12 lists 204:4 200:16 201:4 12 202:7 lisestics 211:15 250:2 26	
276:21 281:13         lists 294:4 299:16         201:4,12 202:7         location 311:15         259:2 264           300:11 355:13,17         437:10,16 513:22         203:2,12,18 204:5         357:6 474:8         298:13 32	
360:5,10,11         lit 60:15         421:1 461:9         logical 349:17         346:13 35           361:12 504:2         liter 307:5         lives 367:18 420:10         Logistics 342:9         363:4 394	
Sol.12 304.2         Inter 307.3         Inves 307.18 420.10         Logistics 342.9         Sol.4 394           Lisa 2:17,21 261:18         literally 205:6         446:7         London 247:9         429:8 433	,
Lisa 2.17,21 201.18         Interany 203.0         440.7         London 247.9         429.843.           276:6 281:17         literature 233:3         livestock 13:19         long 20:8,14 24:1         466:6 48'	
270.0 281.17         Interature 233.3         Investock 13.19         Iong 20.8,14 24.1         400.0 48           322:10 471:20         litmus 120:5         14:20 16:7 17:22         101:10 104:10         495:12 50	
522.10 471.20       Intilds 120.5       14.20 10.7 17.22       101.10 104.10       495.12 30         516:16,20       litter-based 500:2       19:3 42:8,16       128:2 152:17       515:9 519	,
list 40:4,20 134:15 little 8:16,17 17:18 43:19,20 44:11 156:16 164:12,13 523:18 53	
156:15,21 157:7,8 21:9 22:9,16 65:4,8 67:9,22 216:16 225:2 539:18 54	
173:5 189:8,16 35:18 60:8 62:21 71:9,11,15 78:11 245:13 253:10 <b>looked</b> 96::	
243:18 252:16 64:2 66:4 103:1 81:10,18 84:18 259:17 316:19 156:3,5 2	
254:15,16,22         105:3         126:12         96:18         101:7         331:14         335:13         394:13,14	
25:113,10,22         105:12         90:10         101:17         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90:111         90	,10,10
257:9 270:9 271:1 172:11 173:14 123:9 133:3 137:4 410:14 425:3 <b>looking</b> 52	13
271:3 272:22 174:12 191:3 165:15 166:1 494:1 513:7 56:19 77:	
273:3,13 276:7 201:7,13 202:11 169:19 179:5,22 514:15 522:22 123:16 1	,
300:3,4,6,9 302:1 203:5 206:21 184:15 185:10,14 538:4 178:19 18	
302:1,5,7 304:2 240:10 241:2 217:19 218:10,13 <b>longer</b> 37:9 48:21 183:8 183	
307:8 308:22 243:12,17 263:3 237:2 238:4 167:19 169:2 195:15 22	
317:12,14 323:14 273:18 281:1 243:13 244:6 256:9 334:11,22 228:18 29	
323:16 324:6,21 298:19 321:11 263:10,13,21 335:22 374:9 312:15 3	
324:22 393:4 324:1 337:20 264:16 265:18 385:2 390:4 343:2 344	
394:7,8,13 404:4 348:6 387:9 390:6 266:13 330:8 407:11 461:20 394:22 42	
420:3 434:20       390:20 438:2       342:21 354:18       528:3       509:10 52	23:18
435:2,8 437:18 442:15,18,20,21 355:11 372:15,20 <b>longest</b> 344:4 524:2	
460:1 461:11 443:6 445:8 376:7 377:9 <b>longstanding</b> looks 60:9	167:14

	1			
263:2 272:10	490:8 496:14,22	539:18	354:21 358:16	219:13
321:17	497:11 530:3	Machine-driven	418:16 442:13	mandatory 408:15
<b>loophole</b> 429:18	531:2	293:9	502:6	<b>manner</b> 474:17
loopholes 346:4	lots 51:21 52:4,4	mackerel 365:6	<b>majority's</b> 279:12	<b>Manual</b> 251:12
lose 176:16 348:9	188:11 252:20	<b>Macura</b> 5:19	<b>maker</b> 368:6	316:12 378:14,22
407:12 425:7	299:4 316:18,18	225:11,13,14	makeup 351:11	manufacture 74:17
450:17,18,19	387:16 487:11	228:9,12,18 230:5	making 11:12 28:8	527:5
losing 114:3	<b>love</b> 129:2	231:22 232:3,7	33:12 40:5 53:7	manufacturer
loss 100:12 141:10	loved 350:18	233:7,16 234:8,12	53:11 56:5 65:11	435:7,17
172:21 173:1	429:11 431:1	236:16 359:1	151:7 163:11	manufacturers
186:8 364:21	<b>Lovera</b> 6:14 297:16	<b>Madam</b> 234:16	173:7,9 219:12	113:9 172:15
372:17 483:12	297:18,19 301:17	236:4 370:21	225:16 256:9	175:6 434:19
491:14 527:10	301:22 302:13,20	409:9 476:22	264:4,10 271:6	539:20
528:5	303:10 304:13	made-with 51:10	283:22 300:22	manufactures 87:6
lost 84:3 141:9	low 16:21 20:20	51:18,21 52:3,7	316:7 368:2	<b>manure</b> 287:1,9
294:14 426:11	25:2 190:8 199:12	52:19 53:3,5	376:10 396:19	448:11 497:3
490:17 491:2,3,5	317:10 338:20	56:13 57:20 126:3	399:10 416:9,12	511:4
lot 19:15 22:15	446:14 461:1	129:6,13 146:5	421:19 469:15	<b>maps</b> 287:12
25:12 34:21,22	465:6 510:9	Madison 255:3	506:12 518:14	Maravell 1:19 21:7
47:20 48:20 50:8	lower 76:11 441:15	256:1 538:11	519:21 530:18	36:17 49:19 50:10
51:4,22 52:7	501:11,12,13	Maggie 216:13	malt 455:13	56:9 57:9 58:1,8
53:18 70:4 83:7	lowering 194:6	225:8,9	<b>mammals</b> 345:15	58:13 70:18,22
89:6,19 92:7	low-lying 527:18	magic 82:20	<b>man</b> 66:20 431:15	104:22 116:4
94:16,17 96:2	Lucas 216:13 225:8	magnesium 317:1	manage 190:1	117:16 128:16
102:4 106:15	Luis 8:23 452:5	526:9,13,18	197:22 346:12	130:8 137:3 138:5
116:16 125:15	456:12,17 461:3	mail 418:19	383:11 483:17	173:17 194:4
128:11 132:13	486:10	main 27:7 107:22	managed 393:4	206:17 207:8
133:7 139:4,7,8	<b>lumping</b> 346:5	303:17 349:10	management 6:8	214:11,22 220:13
139:10 144:2,16	515:3	358:21 417:5	9:12 15:1 16:12	221:8 222:6,11
146:15 147:18	lunch 216:18	457:18	169:5 186:1 198:5	223:12 244:14,21
149:11 150:3,3	251:18 260:3	mainstream 164:22	249:12 283:7	245:3 266:15,21
151:9 157:4	lunches 521:19	<b>maintain</b> 16:19	284:6 288:7	322:17 346:10
172:15,17 173:5	lycine 178:22	215:14 216:16	341:14 371:11	352:2 384:3,14
173:11 202:8		365:13 412:11	393:9 441:5	409:4,6 410:10,18
204:17 206:5,11	<u> </u>	474:13 476:5,11	457:11,13,15,15	410:21 427:12
220:2 225:2	Mabell 8:5 411:6	maintaining	483:22 484:5,6,11	428:2 475:5,9,12
261:20 265:1	415:14,16	295:11 412:13	489:18 490:9	476:20 508:4,13
267:12 274:6	<b>Mac</b> 1:20 19:20	414:9 423:6	491:16 495:6,8	508:16 529:9,10
292:3 298:10,17	49:11 83:4,5	maintains 481:22	496:16,21 497:3	529:16
299:5 310:16	104:4,12 118:20	<b>major</b> 24:9 140:17	500:4	<b>March</b> 44:14
317:9 325:16	119:2 201:5	140:17 141:21	manager 4:4 35:13	261:19
348:8 359:5	213:17 215:8	379:17 458:14	86:8 112:7 456:21	<b>Marez</b> 534:10
377:11 418:19	239:16 268:6	majority 34:22	mandate 211:5	Margaret 8:7
420:18 425:19	332:8 340:16,22	51:13,17 88:13,14	290:2	415:15 419:7,13
449:5,6 451:9,12	418:10 437:8	91:8 93:1 159:19	mandated 212:8	423:11
451:12 465:1,21	442:6 448:5	194:21 225:21	351:17	margins 186:5
475:16 488:21	493:18 536:7	311:6 334:5	mandates 108:10	marine 99:8 100:5
	•	•	•	

364:1 365:17	massively 506:17	434:10,13,17,20	58:11 82:2 195:16	113:16 330:15
429:17	match 122:10	434:22 435:3,7,11	254:20 312:12	417:15
mark 7:17 134:18	material 35:17	435:14 436:18	314:19 315:5	meat 46:14 123:19
276:4 374:22	36:10 39:19 40:1	437:1,5,17 441:2	399:2 478:19	455:1
378:2,4 384:14	40:5,19 94:17	441:11 444:8,10	479:11	mechanism 202:17
market 7:3 8:8	132:14 168:20,21	466:1 488:5 503:1	McIntosh 156:20	202:18 209:6
37:20 46:20 51:18	169:15 170:10	504:22 506:9	402:10	mechanisms 278:7
153:5 158:3 170:1	190:19 197:18	507:21 508:17	McMillan's 187:2	mediated 278:14
206:5,13 215:13	198:15 208:5,16	518:18,22 528:4	<b>MCP</b> 73:17	medical 28:10
238:12 342:12,19	235:8,13 239:14	535:8	<b>meal</b> 113:20 365:7	medicated 383:3
343:12 350:19	240:1 244:16	Mathews 5:23	365:10	Medicine 160:2
411:13 419:16	253:5,6,11 255:5	236:18 249:2,4,4	<b>mean</b> 39:5 65:10	Mediterranean
442:14 453:1	255:7 256:10	251:14	137:7 155:22	304:6
456:1 458:7 460:9	305:7,9,14,15,16	mating 199:19	163:7 165:3	<b>medium</b> 386:12
460:22 484:15,20	305:19 306:5,10	203:8	166:13,19 173:4	458:8
494:20 511:12	306:15 307:8	<b>matrices</b> 421:14	178:13 180:2	medium-sized
520:6	309:20,22 313:15	matrix 324:22	182:13 183:8	482:10
marketed 383:12	321:2 323:2,6,8	<b>Matt</b> 345:4 489:16	222:18 247:11	meet 12:13 14:18
marketing 1:1 2:19	349:22 350:3,7	matter 99:2 121:3	258:19 274:1	15:2,14 49:6,10
51:4 55:21 77:4	355:5 357:8,17,22	183:17 189:19	291:8 353:7	50:2 67:18 70:9
148:14 197:1	420:2 422:10,14	190:9 193:8,16,21	374:12 393:20	72:20 95:22
397:11 402:11,12	422:17 434:19	195:22 211:16	409:17 412:8	123:12 137:7
marketplace 34:12	444:12 465:14	215:15 260:6	422:7 426:13	166:8 174:8
115:12 199:15	485:5 487:21	267:20,20 370:5	437:17 459:11	237:20 239:18
298:18 299:21	503:4 506:19	410:1 425:9	476:16 484:17	285:6 366:8 523:9
markets 6:12 7:10	507:5 526:13,21	460:15 480:18	493:9,12 496:11	meeting 1:4,11
114:14 164:11	materials 17:3,10	541:22	530:1,6	11:7 15:9 18:14
288:2 363:20	39:17 76:9,12	matters 215:22	meaning 32:19	19:14 36:11 86:19
397:1 442:9	86:5,7,12,20 87:5	425:11	49:6 335:16	88:12 94:21
458:11 498:5	87:20 88:1,6 91:8	Matthew 3:20 9:11	457:14	127:18 182:5
Marley 7:18 387:4	91:9,16 92:7	58:16 64:14,22	meaningful 103:9	198:10 252:13
387:6,7	169:5,9 200:21	480:4 486:16	117:13	253:11 255:22
married 510:16	201:14 230:16	489:11 493:19	meaningless 117:9	259:10 316:5
Martek 6:20	235:7 240:6 254:6	<b>mature</b> 339:2	165:4	327:10 329:14,17
325:21,22	254:6 257:7,15	383:20	means 45:17	356:19 357:2
Martek's 327:8	261:10 262:2	Maurice 8:2	114:17 133:6	393:13 396:12
Martinelli 7:13	269:8,16 271:21	402:17,18 406:2,4	147:3,20 149:5	398:16 421:2
370:10,12,18,19	279:20 300:1	410:4,17,20	170:19 202:19	479:18 485:2
370:19 374:16,19	301:1 305:2,4	<b>max</b> 373:6	211:12 239:13	507:6 513:10
Marty 13:4 386:15	306:9 309:18	maximize 484:11	476:12 482:14	517:2 524:18
marvelous 464:2	312:18,21 313:11	<b>maximum</b> 373:7,8	532:22	532:8 535:8
Maryland 283:16	313:12 316:1,2,8	379:9	meant 352:22	537:10 538:11
Massachusetts	317:14 327:9	McDonald's	358:11 395:7	539:11 540:4,12
286:1,21	328:7,18 329:15	380:16	469:4	540:16
<b>massive</b> 237:15	354:9 357:11,15	McEVOY 2:12 3:5	measurable 43:12	meetings 131:9
491:5 506:16	361:6 422:8 433:9	11:9,11 12:22	measure 153:4	132:16 295:3
527:22	433:15 434:1,4,8	13:7 57:19 58:5	measures 78:22	385:1,3 396:14

meets 11:16 239:21	538:15	<b>MI</b> 47:17	81:13 83:2,4	240:4 243:4
296:2	mention 31:13	Miars 9:22 251:17	84:14 85:12,17	244:13 245:15
<b>Mel</b> 6:8 276:9	74:14 281:22	512:10 516:14,19	89:9,13,21 93:8	247:19 248:5,22
283:1,6	334:1 337:11	516:22 520:18,21	93:20 95:3 96:12	249:2 251:13
<b>Melissa</b> 2:14 83:5	406:15 414:19	521:1,3,7	97:3 100:9,13,19	255:6,13 256:21
84:14,15 129:17	mentioned 18:7	<b>Michigan</b> 3:24 9:13	100:21 104:3,21	258:10 259:7
129:18 181:15	20:19 34:9 37:16	27:12 78:4 83:16	106:1,20 107:3	261:3 262:16
243:10 477:3	103:7 105:3,4	449:14 450:10	110:20 111:1,5,7	266:7 268:6,22
Melody 9:6 481:7	150:18 181:17	465:17 468:17	111:22 112:4	272:6,10 273:5,20
483:2	188:4 189:5	489:18,19 490:1	115:22 117:15	274:5,9 276:1
member 4:3 5:25	194:21 204:3	490:15,16 491:1,3	118:20 119:2	280:7,19 282:15
88:22 93:22 178:1	206:3,17 233:16	493:8	120:17,21 121:6	282:20 285:12,17
231:5 252:2,11	266:11 282:2	microbial 36:5	124:11 128:8,14	287:15 290:15
264:7 297:22	301:13 310:9	487:20 504:7	129:16 130:11	291:19 292:6
337:11 356:10	322:17 360:17	microbiologist	133:19 134:2	296:4 297:11
378:9,10 380:11	459:3 495:13	495:4	135:12 137:2	301:8 302:10
420:17 462:7	507:2	microflake 324:11	138:19 139:14	304:12,14,19
477:21 498:9	mere 411:8	324:12	142:18 143:10	308:18 309:1,3
539:17	merely 36:20	micron 29:15 30:3	144:13 145:16,18	310:4,20 312:6,9
members 1:13	<b>mesh</b> 13:4,5 386:15	micronutrients	149:7 150:6,18	314:3,8 315:4,7
22:15 37:8 40:14	392:8	507:17 526:18	151:3,13,19 152:1	319:5,7 322:9,15
64:18 85:21 86:2	messages 357:3	<b>middle</b> 432:8,11	155:11,13 157:10	325:3,6,15 328:20
86:6 88:17 93:21	messenger 517:6	515:21	158:1,7,9 162:5	329:6,21 330:2
94:2,3 95:4 121:1	met 253:8 313:5	Midwest 65:5	162:12,15 164:5,7	331:21 332:8,19
177:11 185:19	metabisulfite 108:3	Mid-Atlantic 498:6	167:5,11 171:14	336:13 337:8,14
215:15 216:15	metabolic 185:6	mid-sized 452:20	173:16 174:20	340:1,4,16,22
219:18 224:21	metallic 109:4	mid-2000s 498:19	177:17 180:5	342:2,7 345:22
259:8 298:15	<b>metals</b> 444:4	<b>Miedema</b> 1:12,14	181:8 182:4 183:6	346:7 347:6
300:14 316:17	methionine 371:4	3:2 11:3 12:19	183:12 186:22	348:19 351:13,22
360:21 361:8	<b>method</b> 81:7	13:3,11 17:15	187:9 188:2,14,20	352:14 353:18
370:4 378:7,18	106:13 194:19	19:19 20:18 21:6	192:17,19 193:3	358:1,4 360:12,14
379:11 384:19	350:1,7 413:8	21:18,20 25:8	193:22 195:9	361:2,7 362:2,6
385:17 386:7	488:17	26:11 28:1,3	196:14,19 201:3,5	362:11 363:13
419:21 422:19,22	methods 33:21	31:20,22 32:3	202:2,20 203:14	366:22 369:16,22
429:5 430:7	159:10 198:16	33:15 34:13 35:3	203:19 206:15	370:8,13,17
434:11 445:5	230:16 235:12	35:8 38:12,22	207:12,14 210:20	374:15,20 377:18
478:5 480:16	293:9,11 307:3,6	39:4,7,10 40:13	211:7,20 212:14	377:21 381:9,18
535:20 539:11,17	350:9 453:6 459:1	41:1,6,12,19	213:16 214:10	381:22 384:6,9,13
541:13	485:6 487:15,18	45:11,14 46:2	215:8 216:9,12	386:22 387:3
membership	488:11,14,22	47:4 48:6 49:11	219:20 220:12	389:6 393:11
418:16 442:9	489:1,2	50:15 53:21 54:2	222:8,12 223:10	395:6,17,21
<b>member's</b> 384:9	meticulous 232:15	55:3 56:8 57:8	224:18 225:6,8	399:12 401:9
member/owners	Metropolitan	58:15,19 63:10,14	228:8,11,14	402:14 405:19
288:4	50:22	63:16 64:10 67:21	229:19 231:17	409:1 411:2,5
<b>memo</b> 303:14	<b>Mexico</b> 197:10	68:2,8 70:17 72:4	232:21 234:19	414:13,16 415:6
391:13,14	482:5	72:9 75:19 76:8	235:1,4 236:3,12	415:10 418:9
memorandum	<b>Meyer</b> 9:6 481:7	76:18 77:16,21	236:17 239:15	419:4,7 423:10,16
	I	I		

426:22 427:2,9,21	397:5 400:18	183:9 209:2,18	299:13	monitor 12:12
428:12 432:17,19	424:19 441:12	210:8 212:9	mistakes 173:8	155:9
436:6,11 437:8,20	478:10,13	218:18 241:6	misunderstandin	monitoring 202:14
437:22 442:6,17	milligrams 307:5	372:21 439:8,11	509:16,22	202:18
445:7 448:4,22	<b>milling</b> 271:17	Minnesota 149:18	misunderstood	monocalcium
449:2 451:21	282:13 359:11	466:21 481:16	226:4	73:14,21
456:5,9,12 460:3	million 30:13 31:9	minority 276:21	mixed 140:21	monograph 513:17
461:2 462:5,15	31:10 54:19 99:1	279:9 300:12,19	443:10	Monsanto 424:15
463:3,11 467:20	99:16,16 153:13	358:16	Mixing 140:15	425:22 426:4
467:22 468:9	286:4 287:6 320:4	<b>minute</b> 28:14 103:2	<b>mobile</b> 320:21	444:18
471:13,16 475:3	380:13,13 391:6	164:17 185:11	<b>Moby</b> 413:5	month 99:17
477:2,11 478:12	447:11,14,18	396:16,19 517:3	<b>mode</b> 494:10	328:10 366:19
478:15 479:8,14	450:2 459:12	minutes 89:16	model 185:2 381:2	months 16:10,15
480:10,13,21	490:21	94:14 95:7 128:12	451:3 465:16,18	16:17 18:15 34:20
485:9 486:8,14	millions 245:11	129:4 237:6	474:6 500:19	36:2 83:19 142:15
489:5,11 493:18	402:10	259:12,13,22	<b>modeled</b> 238:7	182:11 328:18
496:4 497:15,17	millirems 425:18	307:20 325:12,16	modeling 468:21	429:19 488:19
501:19 505:2,21	425:18	370:3 379:4,5	models 209:18	541:8
506:20 507:22	mind 52:2,17 65:11	385:22 386:1,5,8	213:15 214:9	<b>Moore</b> 348:21
508:22 511:22	65:16 85:9 87:9	386:11,19 475:20	238:5 245:19	353:19,20
512:4,9 516:3	88:16 203:15	480:17 535:19	350:11	<b>moot</b> 181:4
520:17,20,22	247:20 250:19	537:5	moderate-sized	morally 335:1
521:2,5,8 524:22	263:4 338:4	<b>mirror</b> 455:16	337:19	morning 11:3,9,11
525:2 529:6,9	350:20 384:6	misguided 517:19	<b>modern</b> 29:16	13:14 21:22 28:5
530:10 531:17	385:8 399:9 402:8	misinformation	modifications	35:11 42:2 78:1
535:2 536:15	427:22 475:15	390:21	379:13 438:17,19	85:19 96:20 97:5
540:22 541:3,11	479:21	misinformed 140:9	523:15	107:5 124:17
migratory 83:21	<b>mindset</b> 69:8	misinterpret 45:5	modified 307:11	152:3,13 175:2
98:7,10 366:1	mine 144:6 517:5	misinterpreted	modifying 109:5	183:15 189:1
392:3	mineral 29:1 87:12	230:12 462:10	<b>MOFA</b> 489:19	196:21 254:21
<b>mild</b> 29:12	329:10,11 368:22	misinterpreting	490:4	280:3 375:7
<b>mildly</b> 242:20	511:4	93:11 233:3	Mohamed 4:19	425:17
miles 2:12 3:5 11:9	mineralization	misleading 74:18	130:12 139:15,17	<b>mortal</b> 367:18
12:20 13:11 81:17	191:7,10 195:7	misled 57:6	<b>moist</b> 493:5	411:8
99:5 175:16 184:2	minerals 87:10	mispronunciation	moisture 465:7	mortality 394:20
287:4,11 312:11	103:11 179:5,7	489:12	mollusks 414:1	499:12,17,21
314:11 330:6	180:3,21 182:19	misrepresentation	<b>mom</b> 387:10	500:6
399:1 401:2,5	270:7,8,10 272:14	533:20	moment 39:8 95:11	<b>MOSA</b> 3:9 13:18
463:21 478:17	273:11 291:2,4,21	missed 21:1 230:15	116:3 255:18	13:22 15:13 66:13
milk 404:11 455:1	292:1 299:2,13	230:15 469:18	mom-and-pop 52:8	524:6
455:16	300:4 301:12	missing 11:19	monetary 206:13	Moses 138:10
Miller 3:20 58:16	326:1 327:1	140:10 176:16	money 54:1 293:10	Mosness 4:8 95:12
64:14,17,22 67:22	356:12,14 403:8	257:11	426:1	97:3,5,6 100:10
68:3,11,15,20	403:11,22 507:4	mission 152:9	Monge 8:23 452:5	100:15,16,20
69:9,21 70:21	507:16	254:14 507:13	456:12,15,17	moth 323:13 467:7
71:7 72:8 383:10	minimizing 439:1	<b>Missouri</b> 390:13	460:11,13 461:8	Mother 8:16 28:10
386:17 396:17	<b>minimum</b> 60:21	mistake 51:12	462:13,17 486:10	442:22
				l

	I	I	1	
mothers 398:12,18	528:19	448:1	509:10 510:1	139:11 171:20
402:1	multi-gene-to-gene	national 1:2,4,10	511:13,18 528:22	176:6 180:22
motion 40:8 274:14	492:20	2:12,16,17 3:6 5:8	529:20 532:4,14	181:13 191:6
motivated 298:16	multi-step 416:20	11:10 40:4 42:7	533:3,9 540:10	193:6 214:4
motivation 55:17	<b>murky</b> 148:10	87:14 95:22 96:1	naturally 294:2,20	217:21 220:8
Mountain 4:22	muscle 180:12	121:22 134:15	404:10	224:21 230:8
145:21	musculoskeletal	155:2 175:3	naturally-occurr	235:14,20 252:17
Mousa 4:19 130:12	185:6	195:10 243:17	160:18	263:2 264:20
139:15,17,18	<b>must-do</b> 219:6	252:16 254:15,16	nature 9:14 180:11	271:5 273:19
143:3,15 144:21	220:22	254:22 270:9	292:21 295:9	306:22 309:7
145:17	Mycoshield 471:2	297:22 307:8	321:15 351:11	315:21 323:15
mouth 483:7	<b>Mylar</b> 75:18	308:21 343:10	397:20 425:10	325:8 338:8 346:1
<b>move</b> 53:6 55:10	Myth 424:21	357:5 404:4	428:9 430:3	350:12 357:4,18
56:7 69:19 101:12		419:18,22 420:3	444:22 498:4	362:16 365:3
103:3 132:19	N	434:1,6 436:2,2	Nature's 4:13	368:12 369:13
165:20 204:15	Nahcotta 413:7	441:1,10 460:1	112:7 115:14	373:2,17,18
218:22 247:12	nail 66:15	463:16 481:17	118:12	376:20 385:11,12
248:3 263:14	<b>naive</b> 67:8	499:15 518:2,4,10	nearly 147:22	391:22 398:18
264:18 265:14	name 22:1 28:6	518:15 527:21	154:2 184:9	404:4 410:22
306:2 309:8,12,14	77:22 78:2 85:20	528:6 537:16,17	Nebraska 390:18	415:3 416:17
320:11 387:4	97:6 107:6 121:10	538:10 540:11	necessarily 130:7	422:8 430:13
393:17,18 470:3	124:18 135:3,6	Nations 460:20	182:21 252:8	440:5 451:7
476:10,13,14	139:17 145:20	nationwide 128:18	264:19 266:3	458:14 459:12
477:1 496:3	152:4 189:2	473:12	273:14 311:9	466:16 476:12
514:20	196:22 207:20	nation's 349:3	332:13 359:14	478:13,19 495:5
moved 341:9	216:19 225:13	446:1	448:14 525:20	495:19 496:3
395:11	252:1 263:8 272:7	native 365:16	necessary 21:10	508:5 513:3
movement 141:1	292:7 297:18	natural 4:5 5:13	44:19 168:19	520:15,18 522:7
397:16	302:15 304:21	6:12 7:10,12,13	176:6 183:5 264:5	523:15 529:2
moves 159:18	330:4 332:22	29:1,12 35:15	282:12 308:1	531:6 536:9
199:14 313:17	348:22 354:6	77:4 86:8 96:7	340:18 391:16	538:17 539:1,8
moving 55:5	363:17 375:2	109:5 110:15	418:6 522:8	needed 29:13 30:3
201:16 206:1	378:3 389:12	112:15 114:13,17	<b>neck</b> 130:4	32:12 118:16
283:1 291:1 325:8	396:3 402:22	114:20,22 115:13	need 15:1 16:17,22	174:5 182:2
387:21 395:14	419:13 424:19	115:20 154:17,22	21:5 27:1 29:9	235:18 236:1
mowed 429:5	428:14 438:4 442:20 452:7	164:11 165:20	32:7 38:17 40:14	288:14 309:11
<b>MPDS</b> 431:18	442:20 432:7 471:21 481:4	166:12 189:3,7,14	44:3 46:18 49:12	357:20 373:7
MSDSes 108:19	489:16 498:3	190:10,14,16	50:7 60:18 76:16	417:13 434:8
<b>MSU</b> 494:18	489:10 498:5 509:6 512:12	191:14,18 192:1,6	92:6,10,16 101:11	443:21 449:18
much-needed	521:11 525:8	192:12 239:12	102:9,19 113:16	500:10 532:21
295:21	532:2 536:18,20	278:5 288:2	116:5 117:2,12	534:4
mud 430:16 multinational	named 499:3	299:18 328:2	118:10 119:9,16	<b>needs</b> 16:11,18
407:15 444:17	names 477:15	338:12 363:20	126:14 130:22	48:5 80:22 116:19
	479:20 480:14	365:14 366:4	131:3,12,15	139:6 167:2,3
<b>multiple</b> 332:16 354:13 360:3	nasties 503:10	367:7 370:20	132:22 133:4,10	190:3 212:2 243:2 240:20 273:17
	nation 149:21	383:5 404:11 413:9 482:3 493:9	133:12,14 136:19	249:20 273:17
372:10 528:18,18		413.7 402.3 473.9	137:19 138:1,6	305:7 344:14,19
			l	

	I	1	1	l
398:3 422:10	417:13 420:2,18	nitpicking 70:11	non-agricultural	187:17 208:15
523:17 530:18,19	422:6 454:12	nitrate 5:13 35:15	537:15 538:7	209:4 220:22
530:21,22 531:5	461:22 481:11,15	35:16,19,22 36:12	non-Board 264:7	241:19 243:6,11
<b>negative</b> 190:6	481:16 485:4	37:3,11 38:1,10	non-compliances	247:10 249:4,17
510:2	495:20 498:7	40:10 133:17	14:7 80:1 528:5	250:6,11 251:10
neighbor 407:4	511:7 515:21	134:12,14 171:21	non-compliant	259:10 263:16
469:22	518:21 537:14	172:2,22 188:5,6	531:10	264:19 270:21
neighbors 27:16	newest 303:5 378:9	188:8 189:4,7,21	non-ecological	271:6 285:21,21
286:19	<b>news</b> 104:11 171:22	190:10,13,16	75:16	299:15 308:20
neither 98:7 253:7	newsletter 366:18	191:4,7,14,18	<b>non-food</b> 294:8	355:4 391:13
357:6 517:5	nice 94:10 497:12	192:2,6,13,22	296:22	433:8 461:19
nest 340:17	NICHOLAS 1:19	193:9,17,21 194:2	non-genetically	507:7 518:3
<b>nesting</b> 394:17	Nick 21:6 49:12	194:20 195:20	328:3	535:12 538:17,22
<b>net</b> 100:11	54:3 56:8 57:8	196:6 444:12	Non-GMO 118:13	539:2,5,7
<b>netted</b> 392:9	104:21 116:2	496:22 509:11	120:14	<b>NOP's</b> 122:3
<b>Network</b> 443:1	117:15 128:15	511:13 532:4,15	non-native 98:18	290:12 327:3
<b>neutral</b> 29:11	129:19 134:5	533:3,10 534:22	non-natural 512:2	NOP-allowed
<b>never</b> 127:6 128:3	137:2 173:16	nitrates 189:11,18	non-organic 81:3	293:13
188:7 226:16	193:4,22 203:21	194:15	141:2 272:4	NOP-sponsored
242:10 259:1	206:15 213:17	nitrite 497:2 510:1	409:15 427:16	539:1
334:8 367:10	214:10 220:12	nitrogen 35:16,18	non-passive 202:22	normal 215:20
368:15 372:3	222:8,10 223:11	172:14 173:13	non-pathogenic	north 112:8 142:14
380:20 387:19,20	244:13 266:9	188:9 189:20,20	154:12	145:4 337:20
388:1 420:19	346:9 352:1 382:1	190:1,13 191:1,4	non-resistant	450:13 481:16
444:22 448:19	384:3,13 385:14	191:12,15,20,21	155:18	Northeast 27:11
508:18 518:14	409:4 427:21	192:4,15 193:7,10	<b>non-sulfide</b> 28:8,15	northern 27:12
<b>new</b> 13:20 16:11	475:5 477:4	193:11 194:2	non-synthetic	430:17 484:4
24:5 27:20 50:22	506:21 508:2	195:1,7 444:13	225:22 271:10	Northwest 171:1
51:1,1 65:9 85:21	529:9	510:9,12,22 511:2	306:12 317:4	530:3
86:2 88:17 93:22	nickel 359:20	511:17,19	355:16 358:19	<b>NOSB</b> 1:5 2:21 4:3
94:3 106:14 129:1	517:17 520:8,11	nitrogen-rich	360:5 363:6	5:25 22:15 40:14
138:12 154:11	520:15	496:17	422:18 502:7,14	42:8 43:18 44:11
155:6 160:1	nickels 520:11	<b>NOAA</b> 99:4	527:13 537:15	64:17 86:3 88:21
169:14 170:1,9,17	Nicole 8:14 432:22	<b>noanoate</b> 292:16	non-target 161:5	103:21 114:4
177:11 190:20,22	437:22 438:4	<b>NOC's</b> 155:7 298:2	161:17	115:15 123:11
198:13 206:4	441:14 442:8	NODPA 138:17	<b>non-use</b> 511:13	138:7 155:8 166:1
208:19 224:21	night 94:11 95:17	non 34:6 179:15	<b>NOP</b> 1:2 5:23	175:4,11 177:11
253:4,19 254:8	95:19 96:9,19	299:18 436:3	22:15 24:15 31:8	187:18 198:20
259:5 270:6 273:1	375:7	531:11	31:15 78:14,16	199:2 218:21
282:6 285:4	nightmare 463:19	nonanoate 292:11	80:16 81:21 84:15	224:14 231:5
294:22 311:20	<b>nine</b> 60:7 143:17,21	292:19 293:17	84:21 88:9,12	252:1 254:14
316:13 317:10	147:1,9 251:4	294:16,19 295:6	114:4,8 115:8,16	257:7 261:3,19
321:1 322:19,20	287:11 288:3	296:1,9	117:5 118:14,22	263:15 270:12,14
327:1 330:8	341:10 342:14	non-ACA 436:18	119:22 129:18	288:19 289:10
356:19 357:19	365:1 374:10	non-agenda 537:9	130:21 133:1	290:3 299:15
360:21 389:14	464:18 466:11	non-agreement	154:9 175:13	308:15 316:17
411:12 417:1,7,8	nineties 94:22	272:13	181:4,10,16	327:10 335:12
			<u> </u>	

	1	1	1	
355:3,7 357:4,12	55:17 61:16 87:13	nutrient-rich	occurrence 498:16	207:13 211:6
357:18 361:8	95:8 201:18 202:1	398:21	501:6	222:9 225:11
363:2 378:10	205:6,7 210:8	<b>nutrition</b> 176:22	occurring 294:3	235:4 241:4,21,22
384:15 396:14	212:9 215:7 216:2	216:20 283:9	380:6	242:7 249:1
409:8 412:17	223:2 225:1 255:8	404:17 504:13	occurs 197:21	257:20 261:14
416:21 420:17	257:8 263:12	509:16	248:20 295:9	262:18,22 263:5,7
422:22 438:11	264:5 278:7	nutritional 184:21	372:17	273:7 274:5
447:9 459:22	279:21 295:8	nutritionally 380:7	<b>ocean</b> 303:4 364:2	275:13,16 276:2
480:22 532:8	300:1 357:10	nutritionist 403:1	oceans 99:21	276:10 291:12,18
533:14 534:3,7,9	373:8 396:21	nutritionists 219:9	ocean's 365:5	296:6,13,15 297:9
534:16 535:20	410:2 440:20	nutshell 411:17	<b>October</b> 154:20	297:14 303:10
538:13 539:17,17	501:1 502:11		538:11	304:19 310:3,22
540:15 541:13	526:8 537:21	0	odds 81:21 501:11	314:3,8 315:9
note 16:5 122:20	<b>numbers</b> 26:19,20	oak 53:20	501:12,14	322:11,22 328:21
191:17 210:13	217:16 218:12,19	<b>Obama</b> 336:3	offer 100:5 420:5	329:18,19 340:21
391:21 534:19	218:20 220:2,4,6	obesity 398:6	offers 404:7 514:7	353:2,5,6,8,22
noted 15:18 80:1	220:10 222:19,20	objectionable	office 20:11 249:11	358:6 360:12
199:20 435:4	225:3 340:14	508:11	<b>Officer</b> 207:21	361:10 362:6,12
522:21	460:6	objective 135:11	486:19	363:13 369:21
<b>notice</b> 259:11 531:2	numerical 219:1	328:17	off-flavor 75:15	377:20 384:13
noticeably 389:19	numerous 80:2	objectives 38:8	<b>off-the</b> 369:18	390:14 402:20
notification 55:9	217:10 247:6,15	obligation 108:7	<b>OFPA</b> 11:17	411:2 415:10,14
150:14	258:9 327:19	observation 237:4	279:22	419:10 427:6
notified 522:9	404:12	observations 216:2	oftentimes 455:11	456:7 460:11
noting 504:20	<b>nutrient</b> 40:11	<b>observe</b> 356:5,6	491:22	462:16 463:13
notion 412:6 413:1	103:11 178:15	observed 413:21	<b>OGC</b> 153:18 155:2	467:10 470:7
535:9	179:16 192:16	observer 527:15	197:8,12 198:3,8	471:15 479:19
notoriously 98:12	270:17 272:14	obtain 522:7	198:20 199:7,14	480:5,7,10,13
<b>nova</b> 156:21	273:10 288:8,17	obtained 226:9	<b>oh</b> 64:15 70:14 94:4	486:7 489:8,9
novel 159:18,20	290:6 291:6,10,17	obvious 264:2	129:12 174:21	492:10 498:3
348:15	301:11 328:12	<b>obviously</b> 82:19	188:17 297:14	499:9,10 505:3
<b>November</b> 235:17	356:12,14 403:8	169:13 221:17	395:15 468:13	506:21 507:22
nowadays 57:3	496:16,21 507:4	253:18 311:12	485:14 519:7	508:16 509:1
no-added-sulfate	nutrients 110:12	336:19 353:14	520:21 531:4	515:18 516:13
452:14	175:22 176:5,11	456:19 475:12	<b>OIG</b> 11:18	519:6 520:22
<b>no-brainer</b> 295:17	176:15 177:5	488:19	<b>oil</b> 247:2 289:19	521:2
<b>no-rinse</b> 307:1	178:4,5,20 179:10	occasion 48:18	365:8,11	old 144:10 286:5
no-sulfite 150:19	180:21 181:12,21	occasional 441:9	<b>oils</b> 200:9	315:18 432:3
150:20,21	270:11 291:2	occasionally 46:10	<b>okay</b> 21:3 25:6 26:6	<b>older</b> 62:20 439:4
no-sulfite-added	298:20 299:7	occasions 327:19	38:22 40:12 41:6	oldest 208:1 517:10
147:7	326:1 329:10,12	occupation 65:2	41:12,18,22 64:15	<b>OMB</b> 40:20
no-sulfur-dioxide	403:6,13,18,18,20	occur 160:13 230:4	68:15,20 69:9	<b>OMNI</b> 505:12
31:3	403:21 404:9,20	282:3 319:1	90:1,20 95:6	omnivore 239:13
<b>NPIP</b> 332:9	405:8 413:9	404:10 422:13	120:21 134:6	<b>OMRI</b> 86:7 236:5,6
NRC 177:6	440:21	473:1 483:16	151:19,19 155:17	277:8 360:20
nucleotides 404:1	nutrient-dense	occurred 90:7	157:5 158:9 163:3	361:1,11 362:17
<b>number</b> 24:20	518:7	360:11 521:21	187:3 203:20	363:8 434:18
	l		l	

505:13,16,16	291:2	opportunities	478:16,16 523:11	128:4 129:7
506:4	open-net 303:18	72:20 185:7 301:3	<b>Oregon</b> 6:5 9:24	131:12 133:6
<b>OMRI's</b> 517:5	operate 15:17 23:9	opportunity 17:11	152:7 153:17,22	137:15 138:17
once 30:8,10	69:12 121:18	19:2 37:2 64:19	156:4,10 158:6	141:1 143:12,12
192:12 253:11	141:21 205:16	104:14 139:20	197:4 269:5	144:17 146:4,19
261:18 278:22	operating 12:10	158:12 185:2	521:13,22 522:9	147:16 148:16,21
313:17 321:3	245:7	207:17 210:11	524:11 525:19	150:8 151:10
444:21 450:16	operation 74:11	224:16 294:15	organic 1:2,4,10	152:6,10,16 153:1
469:6 507:6	124:22 197:19	299:20 313:20	2:13,16,18 3:6,14	153:10,13,16,19
539:11	213:9 214:3	406:5 416:11	3:17,21,23 5:6,11	153:21 155:1,2
ones 46:8 51:15	219:12 308:2	419:11 420:2,12	5:18 6:10 7:7 8:6	156:4,10 158:22
206:3 347:19	341:4,5 483:6	438:9 462:18	8:14,24 9:2,5,10	161:6,22 162:9,14
350:18 397:14	526:2	472:3 474:22	9:12,16 10:10	163:14 164:21
430:13,14 434:18	operationalize	479:4 513:10	11:10,17 13:20	165:12,12,15
441:17 442:4	347:9	oppose 158:20	14:9 15:5 16:21	166:2,5,18 167:17
538:7	operations 12:2	161:1	22:3,6,12 28:8	167:18 168:1
one-eighth 324:13	13:21 14:1,12	opposed 135:7	29:16 31:1,3,6,13	169:3,4,6,19
one-fourth 295:20	27:7 43:5,15 65:3	272:4 299:9	31:18 34:7 35:12	170:1,5,9,13,16
one-minute 521:4	69:12 82:5,7	301:22 488:17	35:14,18 38:2,3,8	171:5,7,9 172:19
one-off 502:16	83:16 86:9 99:18	536:3	42:7,16 43:2,9	174:6,10 175:6
One-third 365:5	185:18 199:20	opposition 226:5	44:2,15 46:17	176:12,22 180:16
<b>ongoing</b> 245:6	208:2 209:15	228:10 309:13,17	48:2 50:19,21	180:19 181:10
<b>onions</b> 36:8 526:20	215:18 247:16	509:12	51:5,8,16 52:6,20	183:22 184:3,9,11
<b>online</b> 102:22	523:16	<b>Oprah</b> 386:16	53:16 54:22 55:8	185:10 186:5
264:11 515:13	operation's 15:9	<b>optimum</b> 507:18	58:6 59:7 64:18	190:8,12,19
<b>on-farm</b> 308:5	357:6	<b>opting</b> 50:12	65:2,8,17,19 66:2	191:12 192:7
<b>oops</b> 93:16	<b>operator</b> 266:1	<b>option</b> 72:15 170:9	66:3 67:15,18	193:8,16,21 195:7
<b>ooze</b> 497:12	operators 308:4	263:20 395:12	69:2,15 70:5,10	195:10,13,18,18
<b>OP</b> 40:21	417:16 418:3	405:5	71:2,8 72:21	195:21 196:5
<b>Opal</b> 156:19	500:7	<b>options</b> 295:20	73:15 74:4,12	197:2,8 199:9,11
<b>Opdebeeck</b> 9:17,18	operators/corpor	oranges 111:14	75:9 77:14 78:3,6	199:22 200:4,6
480:11,11 502:1,3	417:12	orchard 136:18	78:8,17 80:9 81:3	205:14,17 208:1
509:3,5,6,7 512:1	operator-applied	202:16 321:19	82:14 87:6,14	209:17 216:22
512:5,8	120:10	465:8,22 470:7,8	90:9 91:2 98:1,5	219:15,18 223:6
<b>open</b> 13:9 44:21	<b>opinion</b> 24:19 72:3	471:11 497:9	99:9,13 102:2	224:13 225:17
61:10 140:7	89:4,11 133:18	orchards 170:20	105:18 107:10,17	226:1 234:17
340:20 349:12	134:1,22 231:15	441:6 470:3 496:9	108:10 110:6,10	236:20 238:13,21
364:1 378:21	232:2 255:20	496:9,14	112:7,9,14,19,21	239:2,5 245:8,10
381:11	259:18,20 277:11	order 3:2 23:15	113:1,8,10,19	247:10 253:17
opened 34:4 488:14	300:12,19 352:10	37:6 66:12 114:5	114:2,14,18,21	254:17 256:10,12
opening 3:4 331:3	356:7 358:9,20,21	116:20 117:1,13	115:3,6,10,16,18	256:17 263:19,21
461:16	360:7 386:14	119:16,18 191:19	122:1,6,8,11,22	265:18 266:4
openings 80:7	401:13	212:2 215:16	123:3,10,16,20	267:14,22 268:13
285:6,7	opinions 168:13	249:10,16 250:8	124:7,20 125:1,6	270:4,4,5 272:3
<b>open-cage</b> 98:11	252:8 281:3	307:1 338:10	125:14,18 126:3,8	279:14 283:18,20
99:5	326:13 362:16	379:1 393:3 409:8	126:9 127:1,2,6,9	283:21,22 284:7
open-endedness	405:11,12 421:12	476:4,10,13	127:16,17,18	286:2 288:3,20
	I			

٦

000 17 00 000 0 5	455 4 15 16 10		256 12 404 22	92.9.10.06.5
289:17,22 293:3,5	455:4,15,16,19	organics 5:5 9:7	356:13 404:22	83:8,10 96:5
293:7,15 294:15	456:1,3,19,21	33:10,11,13	536:22 537:6	140:21 141:16
295:12,19,22	457:2,20 458:4,10	146:22 147:15	539:9,10 540:6	142:2 143:2,5,5,8
297:22 299:8,14	458:17 459:7,16	149:5,20 164:15	<b>OTA's</b> 354:11	143:12 145:2
300:7 301:2 303:4	459:20 460:7,14	164:17,19 166:21	356:21	222:4 237:9 256:8
304:22 305:21	460:21 461:16,20	326:8 470:11	outbreak 98:20	285:11 329:9
309:21 313:2	463:22 464:9,13	481:9,22 482:21	383:15 391:4	330:10 331:12,14
315:14 324:19	464:16,17 472:1	517:12	outbreaks 380:6	331:17,18 339:14
325:2,13 326:2	472:11 474:1,17	organic-grapes	382:17	373:19 380:3
333:8 334:15	474:18 475:10	146:6	outcome 84:9	383:19 388:20
335:11,13,16,22	481:12,14,20	organic-handling	416:14 422:1	408:17 447:7
336:7 337:19	482:4,7,13,20	43:3	510:16	501:17
343:4,10 344:4,7	483:8,9,16 484:10	organism 496:2	outcomes 37:5	outsider 237:7
349:14,21 350:14	484:20 485:16	organisms 160:5	425:2	outstanding 34:5
350:20 351:1,18	486:21 488:6,10	165:15 334:9	outcomes-based	overall 417:3,7
352:6 354:7,8	489:3,17,20,22	495:14	84:9	overarching 346:6
357:5,11,14 364:2	490:7,22 494:8,21	organization	<b>outdoor</b> 14:13	<b>overcome</b> 191:20
364:6,10,19,20	495:7 496:9,20	152:11 266:11	59:16 84:22	overlap 210:14
365:13 366:5,9,13	498:6 501:13	297:19,21 303:7	106:17 144:18	214:13 215:7
366:19 374:12,13	502:5 504:13	322:2 407:17,20	184:13 217:22	473:6
376:22 377:1,12	509:17,20 510:4,7	407:22 433:10	239:2 251:1,3	overly 65:13
378:8 379:22	510:21 511:13	478:1 512:16	338:1 340:6 345:5	421:20
380:1,14 381:7	514:19,22 517:10	organizations 45:1	345:8 376:20	overly-burdenso
382:10,21 383:13	517:22 518:1,5,7	70:1,3,8,19 87:13	380:21 381:13	440:17
390:1 391:14,17	518:11,16,19,20	97:11 138:11,17	382:3 417:19	overproduction
396:6,8,10,21	519:1,5,13,15,21	158:18 205:1	498:21 500:12	198:7
397:7,8 403:6,11	519:22 520:5	208:16 434:7,18	501:9	overseas 247:13
404:7,22 405:4	522:10,19 523:16	435:11 436:4,19	outdoors 25:21	510:5 511:12
406:8 407:12,21	523:19,20 524:4,5	443:3 512:14,17	62:17 63:22	oversight 306:5
408:19 411:11,14	525:17 526:4	organized 39:14	123:18 124:3	426:12 433:9
412:4,8,10,15	527:10 529:12,20	oriented 70:19	218:6 286:9 332:4	434:6
413:2 415:4,18	532:11,12 533:7	349:5	340:10 382:7,10	overtaken 254:13
416:1 419:18	534:12 537:17,19	origin 509:14	392:6 446:7	overtime 360:15
420:1,12 421:17	537:22 538:1,3,10	original 315:16	outlawed 125:13	overuse 30:9
422:12 424:22	organically 4:25	326:13	outline 217:3	owned 22:4 170:20
425:3,8 427:16	5:15 55:2 125:20	originally 23:2	outlined 11:17	349:7 387:16,17
434:1,6 436:2,3	151:11 152:5	25:10 169:16	234:14	owner 7:15,19
438:6,7,13 439:7	197:2 450:9	184:4 189:7	outlines 98:1	124:19 148:15
440:4,16,22 441:1	organically-acce	206:20 270:12	output 237:10	215:13 225:14
441:6,7,10 443:7	494:15	355:2 537:2	outright 318:19	375:4 376:19
443:15 444:1	organically-grown	originating 509:20	outsells/outpaces	<b>owners</b> 440:1
446:2,4,5,19,22	31:16 55:1	<b>OSHA</b> 93:3	147:10	ownership 376:2
447:7 448:2	organically-prod	<b>OSP</b> 265:22	outside 16:21,22	oxidation 109:20
452:11,12,14,15	538:22	<b>OSPs</b> 528:14	23:1 24:14 61:17	<b>oxygen</b> 29:16
453:5,11,13,15,21	organically-raised	<b>OTA</b> 10:16 87:14	62:6,7,16 63:9	<b>oxymoron</b> 126:21
454:2,6,9,12,16	185:17	269:18 354:15	64:4,5 78:14 80:7	oxytetracycline
454:17 455:1,1,1	organicrat 528:17	355:7,13 356:4,8	80:21 81:6 82:10	185:22
· ·	<u> </u>	· · · · · ·		
	1			·

over 111.10	365:19 389:21	326:10 487:9	noth 1.12 112.7	nadiatriaians
<b>oyster</b> 411:10 413:6 429:10	472:17 473:20	528:9 529:21	<b>path</b> 4:13 112:7 115:14 118:12	<b>pediatricians</b> 404:14
430:18			374:3	
	parasitologist 389:19	parties 528:8 539:9		<b>peel</b> 319:22
<b>o'clock</b> 60:7,13,21		<b>partly</b> 56:6	<b>pathogen</b> 318:18 319:2	<b>peeling</b> 75:6
61:4,6,8,19 62:1,3	<b>pardon</b> 129:20	partner 158:17		peer 509:18
62:20	390:6	partners 153:4	pathogenetic 380:5	peer-reviewed
<b>O'Hare</b> 345:4	parents 350:16	<b>Partnership</b> 343:13	<b>pathogens</b> 98:14	233:21 <b>B</b> ₂ = === 0.22.251.16
P	377:1	347:1,2 348:3	246:4 277:17	<b>Peggy</b> 9:22 251:16
<b>PAACO</b> 45:1	park 204:2 401:3	partnerships 70:2	279:3 322:8	512:10 516:14,18
Pacific 171:1	<b>Parliament</b> 328:9	152:14	<b>pathologist</b> 450:11	516:22 520:20
428:18 429:9	part 27:15 32:15	parts 30:12 54:19	494:17,18	<b>pellets</b> 364:8
530:2	46:16 54:13 78:8	320:3 375:13	pathologists 491:22	penalized 399:3
	78:17 83:22	421:3 447:11,14	<b>PATHS</b> 498:10	Penberthy 7:8
<b>packaging</b> 71:20 75:3,17 166:11	104:15 119:6	447:18	pattern 252:13	354:2 363:15,16
packed 388:5	120:16 140:8	party 12:7 34:6	341:22 458:5	363:17,18 367:1
-	159:4 168:16	part-time 125:2	Patty 6:14 297:16	pending 92:8
packers 152:20	178:20 181:14	pass 36:21 46:11	297:18 301:8,10	220:17 407:12
<b>packet</b> 143:18 254:1 389:16	213:6 225:14,16	47:17 81:18	<b>Paul</b> 3:12 4:6 21:21	<b>Penelope</b> 456:16
	231:2 241:7,7	100:17 132:10,17	28:3,6 85:18	459:20
390:16	247:13 249:17	289:15 355:7	95:14	<b>Penn</b> 170:18
<b>packing</b> 72:17	258:21 268:7	passed 44:5 46:22	<b>Pauling</b> 507:3,14	Pennsylvania 5:6
<b>PACO</b> 42:4	282:12 283:20	126:5 127:9 279:4	pay 12:8 165:7	8:6 167:17 168:1
paddocks 331:18	293:19 304:5	364:12	166:18 212:19	170:17 171:6
474:12	334:19 338:12,18	passes 201:18	380:7	172:6,19 173:19
page 313:3 533:18	377:13 392:11	202:1	paying 69:12	174:16 186:10
533:21 534:3	403:4 417:15	passing 250:9	407:20	283:7,17 284:13
paid 211:11,13,14	434:22 479:1	309:13	pays 211:8 212:16	391:5 415:17
211:18 213:8	490:2 520:3 530:9	<b>passive</b> 199:4 201:2	<b>PCBs</b> 364:10,14	418:15
pain 102:4 439:1	participants	203:9 317:7,8	<b>PCC</b> 6:12 7:10	pens 303:19
painful 105:20	539:13	322:18 323:19,19	97:15,20 146:20	pentameter 367:13
painfully 177:13	participate 206:12	324:7	164:10 288:2	<b>people</b> 33:12 34:21
panel 136:9 145:1,8	participating 43:22	<b>paste</b> 323:3	289:16 291:19	35:1 48:18,19
panels 136:1	participation 436:1	pasture 13:20 14:1	363:19	53:18 57:5 62:11
paper 208:6 233:15	particle 514:8,10	14:2 83:14 102:1	<b>PCC's</b> 366:17	67:8 69:5 84:11
321:11 353:2	particles 320:10	104:16 105:5	<b>PCPs</b> 142:13	94:20 126:15
433:14	particular 20:21	184:19 244:17	<b>PCR</b> 120:14	132:14 133:5,9
papers 233:17	52:8 122:16 160:7	247:17 267:8	peak 198:7	136:5,8,22 137:22
paperwork 21:9	178:15 221:4	336:20 345:7,9	<b>pear</b> 87:7 153:10	138:2,10 149:16
71:5 102:5 104:15	227:1 312:17	376:17 406:9	153:19,21 154:5	163:21 164:16
105:4 265:20	318:11 344:5	416:8,21 443:9,21	154:14 471:8	204:18 205:8
266:17 267:3,11	392:20 420:16	498:21 500:12	486:13 519:15	216:4 246:21
523:11	514:8,9 526:19	501:17 523:8	pears 87:7 111:14	256:4,5,15 259:17
paragraph 318:21	particularly 14:9	529:22	156:12 186:2	273:18 318:14
parameters 217:4	102:7 159:12	Pat 8:12 428:13	318:17 319:3	322:13 350:17
paramount 224:9	176:8 179:5	432:22 433:3	470:18 520:6	351:1 352:12
394:5	206:22 209:9	436:13,15 437:9	pecan 520:13,15	377:11 378:20
parasites 98:13	236:20 316:9	patent 359:10	pecans 59:7 520:14	386:6 393:16
			•	•

413:13 430:5,18	172:13 193:7	89:11 146:10	328:15 381:16	phytochemicals
430:20 431:19	214:18 460:7,9	252:7 281:7	403:19 534:8,11	507:18
449:9 451:12	537:22	421:12 471:10	petitioned 168:22	<b>Ph.D</b> 216:20
462:19 478:21	percentages 15:18	501:15	169:16 176:12	<b>Ph.D.s</b> 286:17
479:12 484:13	16:7 312:7	personally 22:14	179:2 180:22	pick 210:7 278:10
492:9,10 510:11	perception 33:13	108:13 140:8	181:13 327:9	<b>picking</b> 176:20
519:4 525:11	43:1 69:13,14,15	171:10 389:1	329:16 488:18	<b>picture</b> 247:16
527:6 530:4,6,17	perceptions 202:9	413:21	petitioner's 368:19	278:18 421:15
532:11 537:11	perception-based	persons 405:10	petitions 183:9	436:20 515:16
people's 115:4	42:21 45:8	perspective 92:18	405:8 420:3 532:7	pictures 174:14
385:8 420:10	perch 394:15	163:4 183:3 238:9	petroleum 444:8	<b>pie</b> 412:7 519:5,8
<b>PEQAP</b> 284:14	<b>perfect</b> 169:7	238:10 280:12	pets 180:22 387:9	519:12,13,15
<b>perceive</b> 69:20	502:15	372:19 523:5	<b>pH</b> 148:2 227:1	520:13
perceives 238:11	perfectly 67:18	perspectives	phage 278:16	piece 11:19 261:10
239:8	perform 208:3	168:14 237:6	pharaohs 144:7	299:2 304:1
percent 12:1,10	211:5 212:7	pertain 345:13,14	pharmaceuticals	pieces 183:17
22:11 31:10 40:11	215:20 216:1,3	per-inspection	411:20 444:5	<b>Pierce</b> 443:3
54:14,22 55:6	308:4	211:17	phase 158:21	<b>pig</b> 95:18
56:21 59:20 62:7	performance 73:18	pest 9:12 133:4	229:10 450:21	piggybacked 129:9
66:4 73:6,11 74:5	242:1	136:18,21 201:9	phased 109:2	<b>pigs</b> 65:18,20,21
77:10,14 90:14,15	performing 150:19	457:15 484:5	phaseout 441:11	122:16,20 123:12
90:17,18 92:3	150:22 212:9	489:17 520:4	phasing 500:18	379:15 387:10
104:7 121:20	performs 209:13	pesticide 113:4	pheromone 200:13	439:10 442:3
125:18 126:8	perimeter 80:4	114:7 161:8 294:1	200:21 202:4,14	443:10
127:12,19,21,22	period 13:8,9	313:15 365:22	317:16 322:14,18	<b>pike</b> 133:12
128:20 144:8	265:10 273:11	411:9 413:17	324:1,3,14 504:22	<b>pine</b> 29:11
147:8 150:1	284:20 318:6	414:7,11	pheromones 197:7	pineapple 8:24
153:16,21 154:3	319:19 372:16	pesticides 6:6	199:1,3,8,10,18	197:15,20 198:22
155:17 156:1,9,14	373:22 374:10	90:13 161:10	199:21 200:4,7	456:16,20 457:2
173:12 189:13	400:8 467:17	200:17 276:13	201:1 317:5,12,13	457:20 458:4,7
194:6,7,8,9,12,17	469:13 479:9,17	337:4 351:2	323:6 504:15	459:5,16,20,21
194:18 195:2,4,11	periodic 11:21	411:20 413:19	<b>Phil</b> 4:16 124:15,18	460:7,14,21
196:6 209:14	periods 198:7	414:10 484:18	philosophical	461:16 482:4,7,8
211:17 212:12	permissible 425:3	503:15	420:9	483:2,4,9,10,17
270:4 335:2 337:2	permission 243:6	pesticide-free	philosophies	484:10,17
364:17,20 365:11	<b>permit</b> 431:19	453:7	350:16	pineapples 197:9
368:21 405:1	permitting 340:10	pet 5:9 175:6,7,10	philosophy 411:16	197:16 204:1
442:8 452:12,18	permutations	176:9,12 180:9,18	<b>phone</b> 133:16	458:10,17 459:8
453:10,11 458:15	217:19	180:19 181:10,19	147:19 323:4	460:10 483:15
460:14,20 465:13	persistence 294:18	181:22	536:11	<b>Pink</b> 156:8,19
467:15 486:2,10	persistent 262:6	<b>Peter</b> 142:9	phosphate 73:14	206:2 492:7
486:10 491:2,4	295:14	petition 74:20	73:21	pinned 139:1
501:11,12,13	person 95:10 214:4	146:14 149:15,16	<b>photos</b> 245:20	<b>pioneer</b> 481:11
510:4,6 515:12,12	245:17 302:15	178:16 181:3	physical 217:7	pioneered 107:11
534:20	321:18 333:7	182:7,10,13	physically 372:1	pioneers 31:3
percentage 24:13	363:4 425:15	288:17,22 289:14	<b>Physicians</b> 5:3	pipedream 69:3
104:5 149:22	<b>personal</b> 65:6 89:4	292:11,15 294:22	158:14	<b>pipeline</b> 494:16
L				

. 120.0	507.17	415 15 100 10	<b>II</b> 407.10	225 5 252 22
<b>piss</b> 430:8	507:17	415:15 428:13	pollen 406:18	335:5 372:22
<b>place</b> 40:4 61:21	<b>plant's</b> 198:1	463:5 481:2	pollinating 406:22	404:17 416:14
145:7 179:4 223:3	plasmids 278:14	497:18 512:10	pollutants 413:15	422:6 443:18
227:10 286:7	plate 348:6,13	516:15 536:6	pollution 98:13	446:3 457:11
308:12 339:7	<b>play</b> 85:11	point 37:17 49:18	473:9	459:16 473:19
366:16 380:22	<b>plays</b> 293:20	57:19 69:17 80:14	<b>polymer</b> 324:12,15	possibly 56:16
407:16 417:17	please 16:2 28:17	83:12 112:18	324:22	474:4 528:4
418:5 424:3	29:18 30:16 31:5	113:8 128:16	Pomology 128:5	post 308:4 311:17
425:13 426:21	58:19 63:5 70:17	155:21 157:12	pool 133:13 136:19	posted 42:11,14
495:11	72:12 73:3 77:21	181:4 187:16	279:2	318:8 433:11
placed 152:19	87:9 88:15,19	189:17 223:4	<b>poor</b> 500:4 510:7	posting 264:11
153:2 294:10	89:7,20 103:16	237:7,13 264:7	population 77:10	postponed 288:9
placement 64:3	121:1 162:13	265:18 312:12	321:13	302:19
294:13	166:16 187:9	316:21 321:9	populations 99:14	postponement
places 111:17	191:17 227:16	334:12 340:9,12	190:4,7	326:17
118:1	234:19 235:5	344:6 345:5	population-at-lar	post-handling
<b>plan</b> 62:14 208:22	241:3 251:5	374:11,13 385:19	339:18	275:19
223:6 267:14	258:10 265:21	385:20 412:16	<b>porch</b> 80:15 81:6	post-harvest 308:7
398:11 477:17	277:9,14 278:17	413:4 459:15	84:10	310:13 311:2,7
496:21 497:3	278:21 279:6,11	462:13 472:8	<b>porches</b> 80:13	312:16,18 313:7
540:12	290:5 303:9	476:7 490:14	251:2 286:9,10,12	313:17
<b>plane</b> 376:15	310:20 316:16	491:16	<b>pores</b> 247:3	post-infection
<b>planet</b> 382:8 415:4	317:14 319:10	pointed 71:1	<b>pork</b> 4:6 95:15,22	321:4
445:5	322:12,16 361:7,8	pointless 182:8	96:1 166:2 347:18	potable 307:2,11
<b>plans</b> 105:18 169:4	362:12 370:3	points 102:18	portfolio 51:6	311:21
447:18 540:13	408:13 427:22	112:20 176:14	150:19	potassium 108:2,5
plant 29:1 44:3,5,9	443:18,22 459:9	198:12 286:11	<b>portion</b> 42:14	294:10 296:11
44:15 48:15,20	463:12 478:18	354:13 416:19	201:1 446:7 490:3	potatoes 75:5,9,12
49:5 191:13	480:16 484:22	point-by-point	<b>portions</b> 403:14	potential 38:5 74:2
239:14 371:11	489:12 497:17,22	106:11	505:19	75:2 114:2 118:7
372:5 425:4	505:21 513:12	<b>poison</b> 430:14	<b>Portland</b> 312:14	408:3 473:11
427:15 428:6	514:3 516:19	<b>poisons</b> 428:20	portraying 533:5	493:22
450:11 464:11,12	520:10 524:11,13	429:6 431:21	posed 159:6 427:11	potentially 75:11
484:7 491:22	527:20 529:3	polarized 502:8	<b>position</b> 48:10	417:20 495:17
494:17,18 504:13	536:6 541:15	<b>poles</b> 323:4	187:10 206:6	potentially-toxic
plantations 457:12	pleased 175:18	<b>policies</b> 276:22	245:5 251:2	160:22
planted 424:6	356:4 447:9	policy 41:5 97:10	290:10 299:17	<b>poultry</b> 4:20 6:8
<b>planting</b> 426:20	pleasure 94:11	97:13 104:10	436:18 441:2	14:10,11 15:21,22
<b>plants</b> 15:14,16	<b>plight</b> 444:16	121:13 236:7	485:3 501:5	42:17 79:14,16,17
43:21 44:7 46:6,8	<b>plots</b> 510:20	251:11 279:21	<b>positive</b> 152:17	79:22 84:7 85:1
46:15 47:1,16,18	plugging 451:5	315:13 391:13	383:15 384:2	106:18 123:14,15
48:19 49:10,20,20	<b>Plus</b> 320:12	525:18 531:8	possibility 50:1	140:1 143:5
49:21 50:11 65:4	<b>pocket</b> 520:8	polishing 416:22	possible 100:3	144:17 184:14,18
66:22 160:14	<b>podium</b> 97:4	politicking 125:15	111:18 136:20	246:21 248:1
171:6 185:4 198:6	139:15 188:22	<b>politics</b> 408:7	154:18 165:22	283:6,8,10,16
277:21 425:7	263:1 402:16	poll 19:3 24:20	190:2 250:6	345:13 371:19
483:18 484:13	406:3 411:3	524:8	254:16 321:16	373:2 379:21

				Page 590
380:1 382:22	preamble 115:8	322:3 440:13	prevent 78:22	273:4 373:4,6
389:13 391:17	342:16	459:17 498:20	79:16 84:12	451:1 452:20
392:16 400:6	precautionary	503:10 517:1	113:12 330:16	464:5 488:20
439:10,16 442:1	290:9 447:16,19	presentation 28:14	335:6 391:7 407:7	525:12 532:3
443:9 445:21	preceded 510:12	41:4 143:19 231:4	preventing 192:14	problem 26:1 39:4
446:20 472:12	precedent 301:4	262:2 264:17	507:19	62:16 66:5,11
473:10,13 474:17	precise 157:18	278:20 355:6	prevention 82:15	82:22 84:6 89:21
475:10,14 477:5,8	295:6,10 297:5	422:15 513:7	391:19 513:21	113:14 123:15
<b>pound</b> 364:22	preclinical 327:15	540:15	prevents 366:3	124:9 143:13
pounds 99:1	predators 217:13	presented 88:7	previous 88:12	144:19 163:12
153:13,19 365:1,4	246:12 247:21	106:12 168:13	253:9 254:4	168:14 181:9
450:2 459:12	predatory 424:13	198:9 226:14	316:17 390:7	185:15 206:2
<b>pour</b> 146:11 368:4	425:20	264:6 270:17	427:7 461:9,18	234:1 246:13
375:12	predict 118:5	317:20 485:1	485:21	249:17 250:5,5,14
<b>powder</b> 108:2	predictability	524:17 532:7	previously 208:22	251:7,7 312:10
367:20,21 368:6	153:5	presenting 158:16	pre-harvest 310:17	330:21 345:12
<b>powders</b> 368:2	predictable 153:8	225:18 417:3	311:2	389:22 390:8
369:14	predicting 146:12		<b>price</b> 430:15	395:1 402:12
	468:22	presently 247:12	-	408:8 429:3
<b>power</b> 205:21 289:1 408:14		preservation 29:3	prices 165:7 primarily 158:5	
	prediction 468:22	<b>preservative</b> 29:12 107:21 146:16	L V	431:14 439:22
<b>Powers</b> 4:23 145:22	<b>predicts</b> 451:5		204:16 207:11	465:8 467:13
146:8 148:15	<b>preface</b> 309:10	preservatives 29:7	363:1 490:16	469:1 492:15
<b>PR</b> 31:15	<b>prefer</b> 19:15 38:20	29:12	primary 78:13 79:5	536:15
practical 198:18	54:13 356:20	preserve 470:9	79:10 159:12	problematic
202:4 485:8	<b>preference</b> 111:19	<b>President</b> 125:5	338:2 400:15	268:15 270:16
practicality 131:1	243:7,14 467:9	197:1 216:21	433:20 472:15	<b>problems</b> 25:16
439:20	<b>premise</b> 146:14	336:3 419:14	539:21	57:6 98:2 133:8
practice 112:22	<b>premium</b> 165:7	428:15 481:8	principle 364:19	246:14,15,16
116:21 117:1,2,10	premiums 380:7	498:4 517:9	365:13 366:5	382:16 384:2
131:17 209:11	prepare 433:14	President's 414:21	principles 19:14	408:11 497:14
213:14 243:15,21	prepared 133:9	presiding 1:12	346:6 364:3	510:13
321:17 332:7	264:13 265:7	press 12:15 499:2	412:10 509:17	procedural 246:10
350:1,3,4,6	363:10 473:18	pressed 43:11	518:11	procedure 39:15
439:16	prescribed 113:2	<b>pressure</b> 55:10,16	<b>prior</b> 87:2 89:2	378:14
practices 15:1	163:22 164:2	445:22	371:21 403:2	procedures 117:22
32:10 42:18 100:4	421:5	prestigious 507:3	433:21 434:8	316:12 422:22
113:2,6 122:8	prescript 80:18	presumably 156:14	522:17 523:3	434:3,14
162:3 208:10	prescriptive 67:4	pretty 17:9 20:7	534:2,15	proceed 13:12
343:16,19 347:10	240:14 271:1,3	51:20 102:17	priorities 187:19	38:15 39:15 70:17
348:17 412:11	presence 120:6	104:10,16 124:22	187:21 535:11	262:19 437:2
424:14 425:4,20	473:14 503:15	157:7,15 210:17	prioritize 306:1	463:12
446:17 454:5,6	present 1:13 2:10	229:3 237:3	priority 132:2	proceeding 516:13
456:2 457:16	43:11 125:8 226:2	247:17 375:8	<b>privy</b> 235:11	proceedings 527:16
465:5 484:7,12	226:17 234:15	440:11 464:5	probably 23:19	process 12:14
500:5	262:9 279:1	466:3	41:10 48:16 50:20	38:18 40:17 75:1
practicing 117:3	285:20 287:12	prevalent 335:21	76:6 77:13 144:15	86:11,13 119:7
<b>pre</b> 307:17	292:21 320:3,13	343:3	169:17 266:18	129:4 137:5,8
-				

	1			
138:8 148:18	processor 171:6	335:12 341:2	38:6 65:8,17 67:9	289:17,22 290:5
168:20 175:16	374:11	348:1,5,10,13,13	67:15 78:17 80:18	300:5 302:3
183:2 188:1	processors 372:7	372:13 380:1,12	81:3 125:2,12,14	327:19 328:1
208:17 209:5,10	372:19 438:8	391:14 394:1	127:21 128:21	329:9 336:6
210:12 223:20,20	524:4	439:16 440:4,10	154:8 158:22	342:13 351:18
223:21 224:2	produce 23:22 38:5	441:6 442:13,15	159:7,9 160:9	352:6 367:7
225:16 226:13	72:17 74:22,22	446:1 460:8,14	168:2 170:5,13,16	404:22 412:19
227:5,10,13,17	146:3 152:22	472:1,11 473:11	170:21 174:7	421:16 437:12
228:2,19,20,20	165:17 197:3	474:1,19 483:4	186:14,19 192:7	441:9 453:8,11
229:8,10,12,14,18	205:17 229:7	522:10,19 523:3,5	196:6 199:11	487:13,22 494:20
230:18 233:19	308:5 311:12	523:19 524:19	218:9 226:12	514:7,20 515:1,22
234:2,15 235:21	333:13 338:17	526:12,16 527:10	235:8,10,12 239:2	professional 107:8
236:8 261:17,20	351:9 443:2 454:3	527:11 528:3,21	255:9 279:14	<b>professor</b> 9:12 31:2
265:9 271:15,17	455:2 481:14	529:12 530:7	308:10,12 330:15	125:2 489:17
271:19,19 274:14	484:20 495:20	531:1,1,6	340:8 341:3,6,11	profitable 441:7
275:7 281:5	produced 107:13	producer's 49:16	343:9 344:21	program 1:2 2:13
282:13 289:1,14	110:1 117:21	472:8	345:1,17 346:14	2:16,18 3:6 11:10
291:14 299:8,10	123:8 167:2 235:9	produces 134:12	346:21 357:11	17:10 23:14 27:21
316:11 328:2	235:10 238:12,14	193:2 526:4	382:21 424:22	62:13 84:9 85:3
334:15 355:17,21	277:2 355:17	producing 32:8	441:8 446:2	106:14 112:7
359:10 360:2	412:9 496:2	196:4 284:7	452:16 453:6	121:11,19,22
372:10 403:19	producer 3:23 4:6	348:16 458:9	456:2 457:12,21	122:1,9,9 124:2,8
404:4 416:12,13	5:13 9:5 53:14	504:2	458:4,9,12,15,16	140:4,4,11 143:4
416:16,20 417:9	71:4 81:7 95:15	product 22:7 46:20	461:1 471:8 474:5	180:7,18 195:10
418:19 420:1,3	112:9 169:19	87:8 108:15	483:9 486:3,13	195:15 244:7
421:4 422:16,20	170:6,11 189:3	109:21 110:15	488:7 490:1,6	265:2 283:5,20,22
423:4 425:2	192:22 193:2	113:3 154:13,16	495:10 529:21	284:3,10,12,13
433:19 434:3,13	221:5 267:17	166:11 172:10,13	productive 23:18	312:13 313:1,22
434:15 435:1,12	339:20 383:22	176:16,17,22	24:1 293:8	314:5,7 339:5,6
436:10 437:6	483:7 484:11	189:14 192:1	productivity 38:4	343:15,18,22
450:5 473:3,21	524:3,7 532:4	194:10,21 201:21	293:4 295:18	344:3 348:3
479:6 502:13,19	producers 14:17,22	232:13 247:13	products 7:12	349:17,19 350:1
503:8 504:2	21:8 24:18 25:14	274:14 275:7	37:19 57:20 70:5	350:12 357:5
505:19 506:2,10	46:18 66:17,18	305:16,21 307:7	71:1 74:4,7 75:16	381:4 394:11
506:16 508:8,9,20	68:1,1 78:3,8,21	307:18 309:21	76:10 99:8 109:1	398:19 399:4
517:21 531:22	79:12 80:9 96:1	323:14 324:10	109:15 113:11	415:17 434:1,7
533:15	104:6 108:7 110:7	346:15 347:15	116:9 117:6,18,20	436:2 456:22
processed 55:1	138:18 166:4	355:2 368:16	118:13 122:12	471:7 477:7,20
75:12	169:3,16 172:9	408:19 410:6,7,11	123:8 126:18	479:3 521:12
processes 195:8	174:1,13 184:4,8	410:12 412:9	148:9 155:6	533:6
275:20 281:12	184:12 185:19	435:16 466:9,16	165:17 173:5,9	programmatic
535:19	186:12,12 188:8	482:2 487:2 488:4	185:16 191:2	417:22
processing 33:21	197:16 207:1	488:18 495:22	192:4 196:2	programs 46:11
65:4 66:22 117:7	224:12 248:1	515:14 518:9	199:13 200:21	49:2 123:3 283:21
158:3 171:5	250:20 263:13,21	527:6	239:8 245:9,10	306:5 435:19
308:13 310:16	265:18 266:19	production 11:18	247:13 253:12	487:8 529:13
313:18 371:16	285:22 333:6	22:11 35:13 36:1	256:18 275:1,7	<b>Program's</b> 537:17
			, .	0
	1		1	

progress 11:12	481:6 530:12	<b>prove</b> 145:8 523:11	provisions 250:13	471:5
122:3 155:9	proposals 66:6	proven 38:1 459:1	<b>proxies</b> 378:22	pulled 289:18
541:10	138:13 197:6	461:10 519:19	385:22 386:4	pullet 283:10 341:2
<b>Progressing</b> 498:10	219:6 264:14,18	proverbial 265:12	<b>proxy</b> 378:8	341:3,9
prohibit 37:3	265:5 521:18	<b>provide</b> 14:1 17:12	<b>prune</b> 497:8,9	pullets 16:22 22:22
197:14 483:1	522:2	19:9 21:16 23:5	<b>Pruning</b> 497:7	141:11 284:19,20
prohibited 40:6	<b>propose</b> 25:4 46:4	64:20 66:9 72:19	<b>Pryor</b> 5:2 152:2	286:6 331:8,13
82:11 169:21	122:5 222:14	74:5 75:1 114:5	158:9,11 162:6,13	337:22 381:13
170:11 189:8,16	proposed 11:13,22	115:4,9 152:21	162:17,21 163:2	382:3 383:21
323:17 439:15	13:6 42:10,12	153:4 155:4 182:2	163:17 164:6	pulling 204:7
prohibiting 37:11	43:20 44:12 64:20	183:4 217:22	psychology 387:11	<b>pun</b> 175:21 373:13
prohibition 65:14	81:11 122:3,19	218:1 223:5	<b>public</b> 3:7 13:13	pungent 109:8
165:13 199:18	123:5,11,19 124:8	295:21 334:22	25:11,12 43:2	purchased 153:12
510:1	181:18 201:1	345:2 355:8	87:13,21 97:10	153:18
<b>Prohibitions</b> 30:14	218:21 219:2,6	366:13 379:9	101:8,14 102:13	purchases 153:16
project 7:5 118:13	271:14 274:16	404:16 419:12	102:21 103:7	153:21 154:1,4
120:14 349:5,6	279:10 316:4	422:6 433:7,10	108:18 115:17	156:3,4,10 165:6
443:1	323:17 342:16	434:2 435:16	130:20 147:2	purchasing 347:14
proliferation 333:8	344:12,14 417:7	453:17 457:8	161:3 163:4	453:8
prolonged 447:17	438:17 472:4	472:7 477:16	224:22 259:12,16	<b>pure</b> 56:20,21
<b>promote</b> 237:9	521:15 522:6,20	478:2 479:4	262:19 264:4	108:1 147:14
428:6 498:22	526:10	504:12 512:22	283:19 285:22	149:5 515:14
promotes 428:8	proposing 45:9	513:9 518:5 524:9	289:5 316:18	purely 372:18
promoting 99:5	106:8 195:12	534:4	362:13 378:17	<b>purge</b> 307:7
152:9 507:18	203:16 275:11	provided 15:7	405:12 412:15	<b>Puritanism</b> 65:9,11
prompted 109:14	301:16	218:11 231:21	417:1 419:15,22	<b>Puritans</b> 67:10
promulgated	prosperous 484:13	243:19 261:11	437:16 461:12	<b>purity</b> 113:3
378:18	protect 109:19	311:21 326:5	477:16,21 478:5	513:18,19
pronounced 489:13	147:13 248:4	411:14 453:16	478:14 479:1,5	<b>purported</b> 115:20
<b>proof</b> 379:21	289:2 290:3 326:8	512:18	481:5 501:18	<b>purpose</b> 247:7
<b>proper</b> 44:10 46:11	335:11 391:16	<b>provides</b> 12:2 40:9	524:14 537:3	255:10 518:16
391:9	protectant 155:6	73:16 131:1	publication 227:19	<b>purposes</b> 249:10
properly 44:22	protecting 351:7	184:20 185:1	306:8	345:20
110:3 532:17	<b>protection</b> 154:14	192:13 250:6	<b>publicly</b> 264:10	<b>pursuant</b> 175:10
<b>properties</b> 109:11 362:20 514:11,19	249:14 473:8 protein 100:12	328:3 343:18 422:11 423:7	<b>publish</b> 434:20 435:2,8	<b>pursue</b> 48:11 440:16
<b>property</b> 334:4	188:9 192:4 228:1	422.11 423.7 513:17 514:17	<b>published</b> 11:14	
proposal 16:11	364:22 510:8	providing 74:11	12:17 39:14	<b>pursuing</b> 159:9 473:1
80:6 91:7 92:13		183:17 218:5	158:17 220:3	<b>push</b> 265:15 324:8
92:17 93:1,2	<b>proteins</b> 159:18 191:5 228:5,6,16	251:10 256:11,11	233:12,20 259:10	push 265:15 324:8 put 24:4 30:1 49:1
122:17 131:15	229:5	313:1 314:20	274:2 498:18	49:2 54:18 70:4
122.17 151.15 134:19 155:7	proteolytic 229:3	315:2 397:19	pudding 379:22	71:12,15 78:14
166:2 221:13	protocol 44:8 45:4	482:2 518:6	<b>putting</b> 379.22 <b>puffers</b> 323:21,21	83:9 94:14 99:1
222:14 241:6	45:6 48:2 257:17	482.2 518.0 540:14	<b>puffs</b> 324:1	103:12 106:9
272:14 301:12	381:14	proving 228:5	<b>Puget</b> 99:2 430:2	128:19 129:2,13
319:18 326:19	<b>proud</b> 60:18 147:3	322:7	430:20	130:19 142:2
382:4 398:10	396:18,19	<b>provision</b> 371:10	<b>pull</b> 136:22 163:18	145:2,4 146:5
502.1570.10	570.10,17		<b>Pun</b> 150.22 105.10	110.2,1170.5
1	I	I	I	I

150:9,12 162:19	74:22 107:7,13	374:1,14 381:3	362:14 367:2	216:16 261:4
189:8,15 206:20	110:8 146:13,15	383:9 384:4,10	374:16 377:21	<b>quote</b> 29:5 79:3,12
220:2,4,9,16,21	164:18 166:21	393:17 400:20	379:3,4,6 381:12	79:16 199:4,8
220.2,4,9,10,21	192:9 245:13	404:21 423:19	381:20 386:15	271:16 380:10
225:2 241:11	284:12,13 342:12	427:7,10,11,22	389:6 395:18	424:21
257:9 264:3 280:4	419:14 473:9	431:5 436:16	399:13 402:3	<b>quoted</b> 123:16
284:19 286:16	510:8	457:18 461:9	405:21 409:3	282:13 352:20
287:1 291:11	<b>quantify</b> 195:3	462:7 468:10,16	405.21 409.3	<b>quotes</b> 281:19
304:11 330:14	205:6	468:18,22 475:8	421:9 423:11	352:17,22
339:14 340:7,11	quantitative 492:1	476:21 477:19	427:3 432:18,19	552.17,22
341:11 371:20	quantity 36:7 38:7	494:7 505:2,21,22	436:13 441:13	R
381:7 385:8	192:8 201:21	506:21 507:1	445:9 451:19	<b>rabbits</b> 387:10
413:10 462:19	quarter 260:4	516:8 541:7	456:9 467:19	race 359:7,12,15
	-	questionable	475:5 485:11	races 359:16
463:15,20 464:3 476:2,9 478:6	<b>quarters</b> 295:15 <b>question</b> 18:10,12	289:21	475:5 485:11 489:6 493:17	racking 302:16
507:7 520:10	19:17 24:12,18		501:20 508:2	radar 477:10
	19:17 24:12,18 33:16 41:13 45:15	<b>questions</b> 12:20,22 17:14 19:20 25:8		radiation 425:16
524:14 525:14	47:14 48:9 50:14	32:3,6 35:4 41:20	512:4 516:4 518:13 521:5	rain 188:12 493:8
<b>puts</b> 80:19 120:22 299:17		47:5 54:2,4 64:11	518:13 521:5	493:13
	68:10,18 69:8,21 75:21 76:0 14 10	,		raining 449:17
<b>putting</b> 26:1 57:3 57:18 258:21	75:21 76:9,14,19	68:6 72:5 75:20 77:17 81:14 85:12	541:4	raise 65:20,21
	81:17 88:4 90:2	77:17 81:14 85:13	<b>quick</b> 17:22 34:14 191:7 194:20	280:22 383:21
268:13 496:21	92:10 93:9,10	89:13,20,20 93:19		432:5 443:9 448:7
497:2 520:10	106:5 113:13	93:21 95:4 96:14	276:17 282:16	<b>raised</b> 18:2 41:14
putty 323:3	116:2,4 117:17	100:15 106:21	322:12 327:11	163:20 165:16,18
<b>puzzle</b> 349:16	142:3 150:7	111:7 112:1 116:1	436:16 438:10	185:17 334:17
pyrophosphate	162:18 164:4	119:9 120:18	462:5 477:13	344:17 364:8,20
72:14,16 74:21	171:17 177:16	124:13 128:14	504:15 508:3	376:7 398:22
P-R-O-C-E-E-D	180:7,17 181:7	129:1,17 134:4	535:16	409:12 425:17
11:1	195:9 203:2	135:9 136:17	quickly 75:12	409.12 423.17 442:11 477:4
<b>p.m</b> 251:19 260:7,8	211:22 212:16	142:20 147:18	132:21 298:9	raises 160:11
261:2 370:6,7	215:9 224:19	162:15 167:5	300:10 313:9	raising 100:10
480:19,20 541:21	230:10 232:8,22	174:19 186:21	314:9 320:11	194:7 217:19
0	235:6 236:9,13	192:19 196:15	337:10 486:12	389:15 409:13
<b>QAI</b> 6:17 304:22	240:13 242:19	228:13 231:18	507:14 517:7	raisins 109:16
•	245:18 247:20	251:14 255:14	520:19	ranifications
305:2,6,8,12,22 306:18,22 307:14	248:6 252:18	259:13,19 262:13	<b>quite</b> 32:15 47:2	502:17
-	256:22 257:13	266:8 272:11	73:19 126:7,13	
308:6,19 311:16 Quabbin 287:3	258:11,12 262:4	280:9 282:16	149:14 183:16	<b>rampant</b> 365:19 527:17
Quabbin 287:3 qualified 12:7	273:21 281:1	285:13 287:17	210:14 214:15	
1	296:16,17 302:17	288:11 290:14,16	263:4 266:18	ramped 114:8
56:12 237:3	302:18 303:3	296:5,7 298:6	276:6 339:5	ran 187:1
<b>qualify</b> 299:11	309:9,11 310:21	309:3 310:5	348:17 409:13	ranch 3:19 59:1,2
300:5 302:4	314:5,6 324:19	315:17 319:8	424:7 435:5	107:12 333:15
qualitative 492:1	326:12 327:7	328:20 332:1	492:14 494:10	334:14 396:5
<b>qualities</b> 295:10	344:2 347:7,11	336:15 337:9	502:8 517:18	<b>rancher</b> 6:23 8:2
<b>quality</b> 36:8 38:6,7	351:19 361:3,8,9	340:3 342:3 346:8	533:22	333:2 335:8 406:7
53:12 61:20 72:16	362:12 366:20	355:20 358:5,17	<b>quorum</b> 11:5	ranchers 3:10 22:2

		I		1
333:4 336:8	507:13 514:12	204:19 208:7	338:2 351:2 447:1	250:9,12,17 251:6
ranches 22:5 145:2	reading 67:14	210:10 212:10	recall 346:12	251:11 262:8
Randolph 6:16	94:17 203:15	223:17 238:4	<b>recap</b> 39:22	266:12 271:7,9
297:17 304:14,20	350:22 353:13	240:8 243:2	receive 141:13	274:1 288:16
304:21 308:19	420:22	259:16,20 264:9	284:22 386:18	291:10 298:3
309:2,16 310:3,10	ready 130:19	264:21 265:11,16	404:19 418:22	305:18 306:3,19
311:3,6 312:5,7	141:16 214:5	265:19 267:11,21	435:19 520:19	307:15 309:6
313:8	261:14 263:4,6	268:2,14 274:18	received 133:16	310:18 314:10,12
random 114:7	265:14 334:9	274:19 281:2	135:6 357:1,21	314:17,22 326:7
213:10 215:1	336:4 356:10	290:4 298:13	405:13 477:21	326:14 355:8
randomly 213:12	real 7:5 41:17 47:2	300:17 313:9	522:13	363:21 364:4,16
<b>range</b> 26:7 27:3	82:22 157:18	315:5 323:18	<b>receives</b> 434:15	398:7 416:6 417:4
52:10 136:14	243:1 247:18	325:8 330:10	receiving 239:8	418:14 440:20
166:12 238:18,21	282:16 349:1,5,10	331:2,5 338:4	417:2	447:12 483:1
239:10 350:15	398:14,15 402:5	351:20 358:13	receptive 14:8	520:9 539:4 540:3
446:8 533:22	420:10 452:8	362:16 372:6	recertification	540:8
<b>ranks</b> 168:1	462:5 465:6 467:4	375:18,20 376:5	213:21	recommendations
rapid 191:1,19	507:14	377:14 388:16	recess 251:18 260:2	18:4 36:13 37:7
<b>rare</b> 169:20 170:2,7	realistic 218:5	415:3 426:5	541:12	44:12 138:9 217:3
389:21	219:3	449:13 460:6	recirculate 366:12	217:6,9,17 219:11
<b>rarely</b> 160:4	reality 43:10 69:16	461:14 466:17	recognition 125:21	220:16 221:4,16
165:22	149:4 308:12	469:22 490:1,5,13	492:16	221:18 222:21
<b>rarest</b> 290:7	408:6 459:6 520:2	491:12 494:6	recognize 13:3	223:4 252:14
<b>rash</b> 166:9	realize 34:21 57:10	495:5,6 496:15	78:18 186:10,17	254:2 303:6
rate 123:18 268:11	288:8 289:11	506:12 516:1	265:11,16 313:10	314:14 317:1
442:2 492:14	313:4 396:16	541:1	405:9	357:18 366:6
<b>rates</b> 122:15,21	realized 30:1 115:6	<b>rear</b> 100:1	recognized 234:20	416:21 445:21
123:9 343:15	realizes 495:21	reared 98:8 99:8	235:5 328:7	446:14 447:22
439:5,9 441:15	really 14:14 18:22	<b>reason</b> 115:2	recognizing 356:16	472:4,12,21 473:2
<b>Rating</b> 343:14	22:21 28:19 30:18	142:12 169:14	recommend 30:19	473:5 474:19
<b>rations</b> 184:22	35:1 41:13 47:8	182:4 189:6 213:5	81:9 113:17 209:2	475:1 476:3
<b>rats</b> 142:4	53:2,10,12,18	213:7,19,21 214:1	284:18 307:10	517:16 519:4
raw 113:19 117:18	60:18 70:11 71:13	256:14 263:22	350:14 357:22	521:15 522:7,11
117:19 180:11	71:19 72:2 74:16	288:19 309:10	379:18 438:22	522:20 523:1
<b>reached</b> 500:1	76:22 91:17	338:3 339:4 351:8	439:9 527:8	524:9
510:21	113:13 119:9	371:20 381:3	recommendation	recommended
reaches 23:16	122:18 123:1	396:12 417:5	15:19 16:9 36:18	39:14 86:19 95:17
reaching 219:14	131:12 133:14	466:14 467:16	78:11 80:12 81:10	185:22 243:16
react 401:15	134:22 135:6	490:4 493:4 500:5	81:19 85:3 87:16	254:7 292:14
reaction 73:17	136:10,14 137:18	510:6 532:19	87:19 88:2 93:14	354:15 355:3
reactions 109:13	140:3 164:17	reasonable 327:4	103:21 155:3	391:13 502:14
110:6 329:2	168:7,12,16	399:5 418:3	176:9 197:13	538:12
read 140:10 201:14	171:20,21 173:11	421:20 461:4	199:17 218:17,22	recommending
205:2 230:12	174:6,7,15 180:15	reasoning 331:11	219:7 221:1,7	43:19 73:5 96:2
231:20 233:8	183:5 185:11,12	reasons 140:22	225:20 235:17	328:12 518:8
318:1,20 321:10	185:14 187:16,22	205:12 215:7	243:9,19,22 244:1	recommends 199:2
457:4 461:12	188:19 195:22	289:12 317:2	244:8 249:6,9	447:7
			l	l

reconcile 91:14	reference 177:6	472:15 473:7,11	regulatory 86:9	relist 40:1,19
reconciled 16:17	181:20 182:18,22	525:22	177:5 181:20	200:22 271:22
reconsider 63:6	484:22 490:15	<b>Region</b> 51:1	182:17,21 213:7	relisted 39:20
219:5	references 509:19	regional 30:9 186:1	327:17 354:22	422:9
reconvene 541:18	referencing 356:21	481:18	355:10	relisting 17:4 38:9
record 77:22 87:11	referred 47:21	regionally 481:20	reiterate 42:14	254:8 269:9 507:5
121:4 257:5 260:7	49:19 303:5 359:2	regions 35:22	272:12	<b>relook</b> 258:4
262:15 310:21	499:1	80:22 99:22	<b>rejects</b> 277:11	rely 169:4 197:22
326:18 370:6	referring 91:17	451:16 482:17	related 15:11 85:10	223:18 279:16
416:6 478:6 479:2	214:16 221:11,12	498:7	200:20 281:6,10	357:12 361:1,20
480:19 541:22	255:21 486:9	Register 11:15	509:16	483:17
recorded 533:13,17	534:17	12:17 130:20	relates 74:20	<b>relying</b> 236:10
recordings 534:5	<b>refers</b> 201:2 305:9	259:11	193:20 274:13	258:16
recordkeeping	reflect 459:6	registered 487:2	501:8 533:9	remain 40:20
105:19 523:17	reflected 211:15	registration 385:19	relating 14:9	170:20 320:18
530:8	525:20	<b>regs</b> 373:8	328:13	356:1
records 267:20	reformulate 302:17	regular 165:11	relation 284:17	<b>remains</b> 457:20
499:12,21	reformulated	regulates 332:10	relationship 193:15	<b>remark</b> 461:4
recover 285:2	323:14 324:5	regulation 25:2	205:14 215:15	<b>Remarks</b> 3:4
450:20	refrain 89:3	54:16,17 67:19	492:20	<b>remediate</b> 508:10
<b>recycling</b> 9:10	refrigerated	72:1 114:19	relationships	<b>remember</b> 54:10
486:21 487:7	246:19	197:14 198:21	152:18 481:19	61:15 70:10 89:8
488:10	<b>refugee</b> 175:4 <b>refute</b> 477:22	244:19 249:22	482:9	103:1 127:4
red 1:11 35:1 109:9		250:2,4 265:20	<b>relative</b> 193:7 232:4	302:14,18,21
156:19,22 157:4 490:18	reg 181:14	270:18 325:2 374:4 379:1 398:1		431:14 451:15 487:22 504:5
<b>reduce</b> 77:11,15	<b>regard</b> 29:6 202:16 252:13 280:14	398:3 435:14	<b>relatively</b> 206:4 227:2	487.22 504.5 527:21
79:7 161:8 185:5	322:18 337:21	438:14 483:2	relatively-low	remembering
267:3,4 269:22	419:22 490:10	523:8,9	109:6	421:15
414:11 495:17	regarding 17:22	regulations 16:4	relatively-new	remind 69:1 259:8
reduced 73:22	37:15 42:12	19:7 64:21 67:4	323:1	541:13
reduces 75:11	102:18 125:9	84:22 85:6 94:19	release 12:15 228:5	reminded 168:5
484:8	149:12 190:20	112:21 131:4	228:6 229:9 334:9	423:21
reducing 79:8	193:11 202:11	175:10,15 180:19	335:18	<b>reminder</b> 240:12
357:10	250:22 252:16	180:20 181:11	<b>released</b> 407:18	420:7
reduction 73:5	256:6 270:3 305:3	200:20 211:3	408:3 444:22	removal 87:11
74:6 163:13,14	306:16 329:2	244:2 249:22	releases 228:1	357:22 439:14
297:3	403:7 433:8,14	250:2 412:4	499:2	527:8 532:20
<b>Reese</b> 8:9 419:8	439:1 443:18	438:13,18 439:7	releasing 228:16	remove 36:19 40:3
423:16,18 427:1,6	498:14 532:9	440:6 463:18	459:22	247:4 258:2
427:11 428:1,4	533:2 534:18	473:7 518:3	relevant 230:14	349:21 429:16
reevaluate 472:21	537:9	regulations.gov	284:1 321:7 511:7	removed 166:11
reevaluated 257:10	regardless 216:4	14:11 274:3	<b>reliance</b> 279:12	249:7 323:7
reexamine 362:21	494:3	533:18 537:9	relied 207:5 238:4	<b>removing</b> 189:6
<b>refer</b> 38:17 77:5,12	regards 354:19	540:18	<b>relies</b> 245:8 361:22	289:21 518:17
183:20 201:12	379:14 439:5	regulation's 249:18	relieved 36:15,22	rendered 176:21
255:3,16,18	441:2 443:19	249:20	relinquished 537:4	<b>renew</b> 493:21

<b>renewal</b> 105:15	541:4	220:21 223:9	researchers 359:2	respected 43:16
167:19 270:8	representing 9:21	254:21 439:2	researches 145:10	231:5 235:2
renown 510:20	51:7 131:11 175:5	537:18	resell 158:6	respectful 167:2
reoccurring 271:15	189:3 209:14	requirements	reservoir 140:17	333:12
repartee 259:13	218:12 263:12	11:17,20 14:4	140:22 287:3,12	respectfully 197:12
repeat 181:7	266:19 378:7	15:10,15 16:4,19	residual 269:22	210:6 219:17
274:19 310:20	438:7 457:1	16:20 19:11,12	307:3,9	308:20 459:21
repeatedly 321:21	486:21 512:13	21:10 82:14	<b>residue</b> 11:13,21	472:19 482:21
322:4	represents 127:5	105:19 124:2	93:7 113:4 214:14	540:9
repercussions	380:11 458:14	160:3 165:12	215:6 292:17,18	respirator 108:11
268:20	460:18 527:22	184:13,16 209:19	residues 90:9	respond 105:7
repertoire 338:13	reproduce 349:11	217:16 218:15,16	190:18 279:9	197:6 313:8 339:9
338:19	reproduction	219:1 222:18,22	293:22 320:22	382:5 409:14
<b>replace</b> 75:22 76:3	338:16	237:21 265:22	414:8	479:13 531:11
170:9 173:3 253:7	reproductive 185:6	266:2,2,17 268:3	residue-testing	responded 538:13
326:14 350:6	repulsive 448:12	268:17 379:15	11:16 210:15	respondents 405:2
511:18 512:1	448:14	400:1,2 417:19	214:20	response 35:5
515:8	reputation 360:18	530:8 538:9	resin 29:11	41:21 64:12 72:6
replacement	360:20 478:7	requires 16:1 54:17	resinated 29:10	77:18 81:15 85:14
253:16	reputations 30:10	57:16 78:21 79:12	resistance 159:15	95:5 106:22 112:2
replacements	request 220:10	120:14 239:22	160:6,10,13 162:1	120:19 124:14
299:22 494:15	269:10 435:1	244:15 249:16	162:2,14,20 163:1	167:7 196:16
replaces 74:9	538:14 540:10	279:22 316:12	163:6,12 277:16	225:10 251:15
replacing 74:3	requested 277:7	365:1 374:8	278:1 279:2,4	262:21 282:17,22
256:19	requests 307:15	378:22 421:11	318:18 319:1,2	285:2,14 287:18
replicating 100:3	308:20 355:7	441:8	321:8,10,21 322:1	342:4 343:22
reply 478:18	require 11:22 16:9	requiring 15:13	348:7 365:21	353:21 367:3
report 67:15 74:16	75:16 115:19	337:22 526:14	491:19,20,21,21	374:17 377:22
144:5 163:18	289:21 307:11	rescinded 336:4	492:1,2,18 494:1	389:8 395:19
198:3,10,12 317:2	337:3 345:18,19	research 96:3	494:9	402:19 405:22
318:4,20 457:5,9	345:21 376:12,14	145:9 184:10	resistant 155:18	415:12 417:6
457:17 458:19	376:16,17 386:4	187:19,20,22	156:1 157:13	423:13 427:4
459:3 460:19	439:7,10 455:6	188:1 190:4	161:12 163:10	432:21 445:10,16
485:2	required 15:3	230:10,14 231:7	278:22 490:19	451:20 452:4
<b>reporter</b> 516:8,10	78:16 84:22 114:6	233:10,11 270:19	492:15	456:10 463:7
<b>represent</b> 51:6,21	126:19,19 166:11	283:15 320:1	resolve 474:15	478:7,22 485:12
53:15 88:22	178:21 180:12,22	321:1 359:8	resort 169:20	489:7 497:21
147:14 158:13	181:22 208:10	383:17 392:11,15	resorts 170:7	501:21 512:6
184:9 252:8	210:8 267:22	403:3,4 404:15	<b>resource</b> 255:17	516:5 521:6 525:4
536:10	439:8 474:12	422:4 447:16	<b>resources</b> 44:10	535:5 538:14
representation	538:21	495:5 499:13,16	46:9,11,21 502:12	539:7
419:17 539:16	<b>requirement</b> 20:20	500:19 501:6	respect 230:11,14	responses 528:4
representative	54:20 79:15 80:7	509:20 510:21 510:2	253:8 259:17	responsibility 5:3
74:16 86:3 89:5 97:20	81:9 85:5 105:5	519:2 researched 17:7	316:16 355:14	115:15 158:15 288:18 376:10
representatives	106:19 129:21 130:2,6 209:3	researched 17:7 187:15	363:2 397:4 409:18 444:3	400:14 407:6
252:3 436:5 536:4	210:14,15 211:2	researcher 495:3	409:18 444:5 528:10 530:14	responsible 15:19
252.5 450.5 550.4	210.14,13 211.2	1 Cocal Cilci 473.3	520.10 550.14	1 coputione 13.19
	l	l	l	I

16.6 407.5			220.19.222.6	419.15 410.5 6
16:6 407:5	reverse 151:12	revise 269:10 364:3	230:18 233:6	418:15 419:5,6
responsibly 518:17	reversing 37:6	revised 16:8 270:14	237:14 238:14	<b>river</b> 97:8 287:10
520:5	<b>review</b> 17:4 44:16	272:19 273:16,22	241:13 245:5,17	396:5
rest 23:17 309:15	86:13 133:15	364:1	251:22 261:15	rivers 414:8,12
312:20 525:13	135:17,18,19	revision 417:3	263:8 268:21	road 101:11 270:19
restaurant 411:15	173:9 178:16	reworked 264:16	273:3 275:22	461:6
<b>restaurants</b> 52:4 397:2	182:15 190:21	rewrites 102:15	284:4,9 286:20	roads 482:17
	208:16 219:4	<b>re-review</b> 168:19	291:15 296:20	roam 388:12
restore 365:13 412:11	223:7 235:15	<b>Rica</b> 8:25 197:9	301:4,22 302:12	446:11
restrict 75:17	254:7 270:17	205:1 456:17	302:20 310:2,17 322:10 329:12	roaming 448:9 robbed 37:1 336:8
199:4 349:22	288:14,17,22 289:14 291:14	458:1,6 460:15,18 461:1,11,17 482:5	341:18 342:5	<b>Robert</b> 1:20 9:4
restriction 195:5	292:10 306:5,10	482:9 485:19,22	345:15,16 346:22	463:8,8 471:16
restrictions 294:8	306:15 313:11	<b>Rican</b> 207:10	353:12 354:4	<b>Robin</b> 6:2 261:7
result 55:6 237:3	316:1,10,16	459:19 460:10	360:14 369:22	263:4,9 266:7
255:10 274:16	317:17 319:14,16	<b>rice</b> 368:19,20	387:17 390:11	523:6
277:1 282:3	320:6 321:2	512:21 515:8,8,11	391:8 392:8 406:5	<b>Robinette</b> 6:23 8:2
335:14 410:9	326:18 328:15,17	<b>rich</b> 186:19	406:16 407:3	330:3 332:20,22
444:3 473:1 474:3	329:9 357:15,20	<b>Richard</b> 5:23 8:9	408:9,10 409:16	333:1 336:14,17
483:11 484:13,16	391:15 403:9	87:14 231:20	409:18 411:18	337:1,10 402:17
484:21 499:12	420:4 422:2,11	232:14 236:18	414:18 427:5,15	406:2,4 409:2
527:9	433:9,15,22 434:4	249:2,4 251:14	432:14 448:7	410:4,17,20
resulted 39:13	434:13,17,22	355:19 359:21	449:15 462:16	rock 369:3
resulting 232:17	435:6,11,14,19,20	360:8 361:20	465:10 468:6,11	rodents 79:20
results 77:4,7	436:19 437:1,4,5	363:1,3 419:8	476:5 480:13	286:14 383:16
100:11 255:8	458:22 511:8	423:16	493:13 495:8	<b>role</b> 88:21 293:20
364:21 377:6	513:10 527:2	richly-biodiverse	497:16 515:15	507:16
457:12 498:18	538:18	99:22	525:5 535:12	roll 491:7
499:8,10,11,21	reviewed 116:7	<b>Rico</b> 460:8	541:11	rolled 344:4
501:10	169:10 179:7,14	<b>rid</b> 429:5,20 469:13	righthand 515:20	<b>Romans</b> 28:20
resumed 121:4	179:15 198:16	rifle 201:17	<b>rights</b> 406:15	Ron 3:18 50:16
260:7 370:6	208:5 249:11	right 13:12 20:16	rigorous 334:1	58:15 59:1
480:19	290:9 305:20	33:1 39:2 40:15	<b>rinse</b> 307:2,7,11	roof 392:7
retail 419:17 450:4	309:20 327:10,18	41:12 50:5,10	311:21	room 1:11 252:21
retailer 288:6	434:13 485:6	54:21 58:1 60:2	ripening 484:2	370:11,15 455:18
<b>retailers</b> 51:14 52:5	509:19 534:1	61:16 67:7 76:15	<b>ripple</b> 300:21 301:6	519:4 520:7
152:20 454:18	<b>reviewer</b> 360:1	87:18 90:11,16	301:7	541:17
retain 356:13	<b>reviewers</b> 133:13	91:4,5 92:22	risk 79:8 80:19	<b>rooms</b> 31:14
retained 109:21	136:20 528:1	93:15 98:22 112:3	81:1 82:21 83:15	253:11 340:8
retro 418:18	reviewing 182:7	120:2 124:15	110:18 275:8	341:9,10,11
return 99:17 305:4	319:15 434:7,9	135:1 138:4 168:7	279:16,20 330:12	<b>roots</b> 244:18
returned 154:10	<b>reviews</b> 17:10	168:8 173:5 178:3	334:12 392:19,20	<b>rosemary</b> 272:3,4
<b>Reuben</b> 1:22 20:18	132:22 133:8	179:4 181:3 182:3	473:10 520:10	<b>ROSEN</b> 2:19
89:14 93:21 96:15	135:20 136:6	208:19 211:4	<b>risks</b> 393:3	<b>rotate</b> 476:7
266:8	315:16,19 318:1	212:20 214:15	<b>risky</b> 339:15	rotated 476:4
<b>reveals</b> 161:9	361:5 434:19	215:14,20 216:10	<b>Rivas</b> 8:5 411:6	rotational 474:12
revelations 253:20	437:17	222:9 225:3	415:14,16,16	round 86:12 417:2

		1		1
506:22 540:1	219:2 220:22	Salem 65:13	273:13 278:19	174:21,22 183:13
<b>Roundup</b> 334:9	221:19 378:13	sales 51:19 52:22	398:16 432:10	183:15 187:3,6,12
336:4	424:22 425:11,12	53:3 56:11,13,14	452:16	188:7,17
Roxanne 5:4	443:20 453:16	197:1 484:14	saying 21:1 26:17	science 43:12 45:7
158:10 164:7,9	487:17	salmon 4:8 97:7	27:1 34:16 47:14	45:13 79:19 81:5
<b>Roy</b> 330:5	rulings 80:16 81:5	98:10,16,19 99:16	48:8 50:7,11	136:12,18 140:2,3
rubberstamp 66:14	ruminant 184:14	364:7,14,15,17	56:12 57:16 66:21	140:8 145:5,7
<b>ruckus</b> 375:9	ruminants 267:9	365:1,20 366:2	92:16 116:11	218:14 227:7
<b>Ruden</b> 3:8 13:15,17	ruminate 139:12	Salmonella 78:22	135:3 142:22	230:8 283:14
13:17 18:13 20:15	<b>run</b> 118:1 169:11	144:20 383:15	145:12,13,15	412:21 413:1
20:17,22 21:4,15	318:12	499:20	148:13 155:16,19	424:2 428:5
21:19 66:13	running 48:22	salts 294:1,4,8,10	163:19 164:1	450:15 451:9
<b>rule</b> 11:14,16,22	124:22 177:14	294:12 296:12	220:15 221:14	517:19 518:11
12:16 13:6,19,20	462:6 482:18	517:17	234:10 270:22	520:1
14:2,21,21 15:2	runoff 287:10	Sam 5:12 183:13	272:7 296:8	Sciences 387:8
16:16 19:8,8,8,16	413:16,17 414:11	188:21 189:2	310:13 382:4	science-based
24:2 27:20 30:8	424:3 473:9	sample 12:6 500:19	410:10 449:12	42:21 474:9 511:7
51:12 53:2 54:21	<b>runs</b> 383:10 474:11	500:21	508:13 515:9	scientific 219:8
58:3 78:16,20	<b>runup</b> 252:12	samples 12:4 215:1	530:12	226:3 236:11
79:6,11 80:11,20	<b>rush</b> 20:9 240:7	499:22 501:1,2	says 30:19 34:19	277:11 333:21
82:4 102:1 104:7	rushed 438:15	sampling 12:8	52:14 91:9 93:2	356:7 358:9 360:7
104:16 105:5	<b>Ryan</b> 383:10	<b>sand</b> 114:10	117:5 120:6 168:9	362:16 404:15
115:9 125:8,16		sandwich 324:13	262:8 268:1 302:2	405:15 510:17
126:10 129:2	<u>S</u>	sanitation 469:14	429:19 457:18	scientifically-bas
144:15 181:18	sacrificing 376:8	sanitize 29:22	462:21 467:14	315:22
206:20 213:7	safe 143:7 152:21	sanitized 270:5	531:3,10	scientist 9:18
218:21 221:4	270:1 289:8 307:4	sanitizers 307:1	scab 449:16,21	157:21 230:9
223:8 240:7	327:13,20 367:15	<b>SAPP</b> 72:16 73:15	scalding 16:2	231:10 277:4
241:11,16 242:11	380:8 404:12	73:16 74:21 75:5	scale 89:7 186:13	280:11,14 359:14
244:9 267:8,12	474:16 514:15	75:22 76:3	235:10 314:1	403:3 509:7
268:1,4 284:18	safely 382:9	<b>sarcasm</b> 423:20	460:15	scientists 100:7
285:6 312:3,16	<b>safer</b> 501:16	<b>SASSI</b> 9:21 512:15	scales 458:12	133:2 136:16
314:18 381:13	<b>safest</b> 317:13	512:15,16	scale-appropriate	277:6 281:4
409:8 416:8,21	safety 78:15 79:11	sat 17:18 69:2	184:16	286:18 359:3,7
417:15 504:19	80:19 82:12,15,19	satisfaction 180:2	scale-neutral 357:4	405:16
523:8,14 526:10	109:1 141:20,21	<b>satisfied</b> 52:3 96:22	421:21	<b>scope</b> 308:1,16
529:22	160:21 213:2	satisfy 85:5 123:6	scary 174:7	310:9 433:19,22
<b>ruled</b> 37:21	246:12 253:21	270:1 402:5 489:2	scenario 93:4	434:9 437:3
rulemaking 14:7	284:2,18 293:14	Sauvignon 34:4	311:19	500:21
20:3,3 105:13,16	327:18 328:11 333:22 382:4	save 66:12 169:21	schedule 23:14	score 15:4,4
209:5 244:4 249:8	397:18,22 426:10	170:7 415:4	27:10,18 372:2	scoreboard 396:22
264:21 265:4,8	,	488:20	528:16,16	scored 396:20
268:8,14	472:17 473:7,17 500:16 501:8	savers 444:20	<b>scheduled</b> 477:16	scores 483:13
<b>rules</b> 18:6 32:18	sagaciously 367:19	savings 71:13	schedules 332:10	scouting 465:21
81:20 82:14,15	sake 107:16 123:3	<b>savvy</b> 147:17 148:7	schemes 435:9	scratch 438:19
119:1 139:6 143:8	sake 107.10 125.5 sale 289:17	saw 64:1 106:1	school 306:2	448:10
169:6 189:15	5alt 207.17	127:13,18 174:14	<b>Schwartz</b> 5:10	<b>screen</b> 252:6
	I	I	I	I

	407.7.10	500.10 504 10 15	42 12 66 0	220.10 221 14
screening 119:4	427:7,19	522:18 524:10,15	sense 43:13 66:8	230:19 231:14
scrutiny 168:21	section 44:14 58:20	531:22 539:14	67:6 70:8 183:2	239:21 279:13,15
434:14 435:19	308:11 317:8	seed 444:16,19,20	202:5 215:4	301:3 320:19
se 79:3,6,9,13,20	371:10 391:18	seeds 119:12	295:11 312:1	346:6,21 516:6
82:4,15 284:22	sections 522:1	308:10 425:5	315:6 400:21	setting 122:7
356:6 384:2	<b>sector</b> 436:5	526:5	492:19	380:17
499:20 501:6,11	security 334:2	seeing 60:22 94:1	sensitive 531:13	settings 321:13,14
501:12,13	Sedlacek 4:21	175:18 193:18	sent 193:13 534:14	<b>settle</b> 373:3
sea 365:18,21	139:16 145:19,20	252:13 318:14	sentence 319:13	set-net 99:18
seafood 98:5	145:21 149:13	seek 48:11 101:21	sentences 100:18	seven 62:3 65:1
363:19	150:11 151:1,5,17	185:8 263:22	sentiment 254:12	361:6 400:2
seafoods 97:16	151:22	342:18 398:19,20	509:15	464:11 468:6
99:11	see 18:22 19:15	seeking 147:16	separate 183:9	Seventeen 391:6
seal 33:2 51:9,16	25:22 37:5,14	250:16 333:11	311:16 327:6	seventies 31:4
56:20 57:1,11,22	52:13,19 59:19	seen 22:17 34:18	328:16 354:17	severe 109:13
58:7 71:2,6 127:1	60:3 62:16 63:7	42:17 95:20	433:18 488:5	sewage 351:4
146:4 147:3 150:9	71:17,19 77:1,5,7	137:12 231:3	separated 227:20	SE-associated 79:7
284:15 290:1	103:7 105:8,10	253:22 258:8	Separately 534:8	<b>shade</b> 26:3
381:8	117:2 122:18	303:16 330:11	septicemia 98:21	shaking 174:11
seals 70:6 71:1,5	123:2,14 124:9	331:10,13 346:10	Serenade 495:21	<b>shame</b> 466:10
search 533:20	127:11 132:9	453:13 454:4	495:22	Shannon 298:5
season 83:21	134:4 136:10	455:18,20 480:7	Seri 4:21 139:16	303:11
184:20 192:7	137:15 140:8	<b>segment</b> 88:22	145:18,21	Shapely 8:21 449:3
324:2 341:1 493:2	144:6 163:18	333:10	series 147:8	452:1,6,7 456:6,7
seasonal 482:1	167:15 170:15	<b>segue</b> 495:1	<b>serious</b> 406:6	456:11
seasonality 341:4	171:8 174:1,16	seldom 151:11	440:11 472:9	<b>share</b> 129:3 259:18
seasons 97:7	193:16 216:5	seldomly 194:17	seriously 317:6	259:20 288:12
seated 121:1 260:5	229:12 233:10,11	<b>select</b> 229:6	520:13	298:10 319:10
480:16	238:3,14,15 243:2	selecting 379:3	serve 14:22 19:9	456:1
Seattle 1:12 99:1	245:12 251:19	selection 188:1	114:10 146:20	<b>shared</b> 383:18
252:18 363:20	268:8 272:16	selectively 75:10	196:11 214:8	533:14
375:3 387:8 487:9	276:4 297:1 311:7	self-regulate	216:21 219:15	<b>sharp</b> 109:8
<b>second</b> 32:15 36:20	316:2 318:3 320:6	372:19	519:8	<b>shed</b> 311:10 532:5
54:11 74:20 90:2	349:20 358:15	self-selection 185:4	served 86:2 125:5	shed-type 311:9
113:8 241:1,2	384:22 387:14	sell 51:14 52:4,10	184:7 283:17	<b>sheep</b> 15:7 59:6
260:2 262:1 300:8	395:13 399:2	78:7 149:22 157:4	service 1:1 48:22	184:15 443:9
311:17 323:21	409:3 416:2	169:22 174:10	50:22 86:1 166:18	<b>sheet</b> 391:1
356:20 384:7	417:10 426:20	290:4 336:18	183:21 188:18	<b>shelf</b> 34:1 52:6
443:22 468:15	430:2 440:3	349:1 369:10	325:12 403:4	<b>shelf-life</b> 34:16
498:22 499:9	441:16 444:6	396:5,22	Services 5:17 73:5	<b>shell</b> 79:5,8 247:14
504:16	452:18 454:12	selling 51:4 290:5	207:22	shellfish 8:10
secondly 160:6	455:13 461:6	Senators 125:22	serving 214:21	428:16,19 430:11
319:20 526:9	462:11 465:18	126:1	session 11:6 121:7	430:16
second-story 14:12	467:14 480:1	send 385:17	261:4 370:9	shell-forming
Secretary 86:5	494:9 496:7 497:5	<b>sending</b> 105:6	480:22,22	473:21
336:2 409:10,22	501:5 505:16	332:4 390:17	set 81:20 115:10	sheltered 373:18
411:1 423:19,21	514:6 515:2,22	senior 129:11	123:9 217:15	<b>shift</b> 23:6

			1	1
<b>ship</b> 484:3	226:22 239:9	352:3 367:21	Skagit 184:2 396:4	sludge 351:5
<b>shipment</b> 485:21	289:11 514:5	368:11 408:5,8,11	skill 369:19	small 15:16 30:11
shipping 371:13	515:19,20	408:11,13 502:4	slaughter 15:13,14	44:6 46:8,17
372:16,21	<b>sided</b> 60:16	simply 50:1 202:17	15:15,22 16:3	47:16 48:2,14,19
<b>Shistar</b> 6:6 261:8	sides 80:4 392:9	230:3 237:20	43:3,14,17 44:1	49:20,21 53:14
269:2 276:5,10,12	<b>sift</b> 244:8	365:12 416:16	44:13,15 102:12	65:12 66:18,21
280:8,13,21	<b>sign</b> 337:13 531:2	532:5 540:5	121:17 217:5	67:17 75:13 80:4
281:16 282:19	signal 250:10	sincere 104:9	371:11,21 372:1	91:2 99:7,18
<b>Shocked</b> 369:4	signatures 381:16	sincerity 381:4	439:19,21	144:4 146:6 172:9
shocking 24:21	381:17 534:11,13	single 42:7 216:4	Slaughterhouse	173:12 174:13
<b>shoot</b> 341:2	534:20	339:13 372:10	440:1	198:18 249:13
shoppers 343:1	signed 325:9	single-barn 22:7	slaughterhouses	287:7 334:3
shorebirds 473:14	479:20 537:2	SIO2 369:6	440:8,15	336:18 365:1,7
<b>short</b> 97:2 157:7	significance 90:4	<b>sir</b> 63:14 67:21	slaughtering 64:21	379:15 395:3
253:21 254:15	279:8	111:5 128:8	slaughter/transp	397:6 399:9 412:2
265:10 319:19	significant 110:3	247:19 285:15	371:9	412:5 424:11,12
367:5 522:11	144:19 184:20	451:21 477:2	slice 519:12	425:21,21 430:13
<b>shortage</b> 439:21	212:11 249:10	478:12,16 511:22	<b>slide</b> 28:17 29:18	439:10 442:3,5
<b>shorten</b> 254:22	257:8 262:9 265:6	512:7	30:16 31:5 59:9	458:8 459:11
shortened 254:16	293:19 294:14	sister 131:4 137:15	59:12,14 60:6,12	482:10 485:8
shorter 110:2	305:6 309:11	sit 388:21 396:9	60:14,20 61:2,3,5	538:2
<b>shortly</b> 314:21	340:13 354:19	sites 387:21,22	61:7,11,14,18,22	smaller 52:7 102:7
<b>short-term</b> 426:18	356:1 372:6,14	sitting 177:22	62:2,4,9,10,18	102:19 103:6,10
<b>shot</b> 191:19 201:17	417:20 491:1	252:21 396:15	63:2 72:12 74:2	372:7 470:14
<b>shotgun</b> 201:17	502:16 523:14	situation 37:8 40:2	74:19 75:4 227:15	small-scale 205:4
<b>shots</b> 191:1	532:18 533:1	55:13 58:2 80:10	227:16,18 238:16	377:7
<b>show</b> 76:21 220:1	significantly 53:4	169:20 170:3,7	238:19,22 239:4	<b>smart</b> 148:7
247:16 386:6,11	267:11	238:10 323:13	276:18 277:9,14	<b>smell</b> 109:4
467:2 535:22	silage 244:20 245:1	346:5 435:15	278:17,21 279:6	smells 517:19
<b>showed</b> 63:20	silicate 312:19	440:11 471:11	279:11 280:2	Smiley 6:13 287:21
96:20 127:12	<b>silicon</b> 367:17	situations 118:8	282:10 513:12	292:7,8 296:11,14
230:22 405:1	368:7,21 369:3,8	165:21 221:20	514:3,14 516:19	296:17,21 297:4,7
<b>showing</b> 365:21	369:13 512:16,22	223:8 254:8 256:7	518:12 539:14	297:10,12
<b>shown</b> 161:18	513:3,13,18 514:1	six 16:15,17 22:5	slides 58:22 59:21	<b>Smith</b> 156:8
190:4 285:10	514:10,16 515:4,7	23:3 25:10,13,15	77:8 276:11	Smucker 86:8
320:22 458:3	515:12,14,21	34:20 60:3,9 62:1	281:18	<b>Smuckers</b> 4:5 87:6
495:16	<b>Silva</b> 480:6,6	146:10 182:11	slightly 314:15	soak 527:6
<b>shows</b> 67:8 74:2	497:18	341:6 343:16	slippery 243:1	soap 293:18,18
207:2 280:5	similar 71:8,17	373:6 384:1 400:2	slips 16:7	294:1,4,6,8 295:2
388:16 391:3	123:14 156:11	451:1	slope 243:1	295:7,9
447:16 518:12	184:16 223:13	Sixty-three 149:17	slow 191:11 449:7	soapy 109:8
<b>shredded</b> 424:13	347:10 413:10	<b>six-minute</b> 276:4	449:13 468:17	soap-based 296:18
shreds 426:6	435:13 502:19	size 248:8 357:7	slowed 468:18	Social 5:3 158:14
<b>shuffle</b> 535:10	504:18 510:12	372:8 395:3 474:6	slower 17:19	socialize 446:12
shut 142:14	similarly 242:12	476:18 483:22	494:12	Society 97:13
sick 25:19 321:18	simple 9:16 120:11	484:2 501:1 514:8	slowly 531:5	sockeye 99:16
<b>side</b> 26:8 33:20,20	350:14 351:1,2,7	514:10	<b>slows</b> 36:5	<b>sodium</b> 35:15,22
			I	

36:12 37:3,11,22	soluble 511:16,18	366:18 399:4	388:17 394:15,16	295:5 356:22
38:9 40:10 72:15	<b>solution</b> 108:2	404:15 412:20	394:17 417:19	358:11 416:9
73:2,6,7,11,17	406:14 408:13	430:2,21	439:8,11 446:14	417:14,16 418:5
74:6,10,21 76:11	426:18	<b>sounded</b> 45:18	474:6	435:15,15 438:22
77:11,15 133:17	<b>solutions</b> 5:23 9:16	491:18	<b>spacing</b> 218:6	441:21 522:1,4
134:12,14 171:21	249:5 250:6 251:8	<b>sounds</b> 41:16	<b>Spain</b> 56:2	specifically 19:4
172:2,21 188:5,6	293:6 408:12	180:19 315:7	<b>spare</b> 86:10	80:3 93:1,2
188:8 195:20	502:5 514:18	source 22:6 79:6	sparkling 107:10	115:21 198:11
196:5 294:10	somebody 46:18	108:5 171:7	spartina 429:4	209:1 267:7 281:7
296:11 312:19	48:17 390:21	173:13 189:19	speak 49:22 50:3	281:15 284:12
532:4	466:18	272:4 328:5	102:22 103:15	291:9 303:3
<b>soil</b> 22:13 36:3 80:9	<b>someone's</b> 420:16	347:15 499:8,9,11	108:21 140:2	318:21 344:10
142:12,14 143:7	somewhat 36:11	511:17,19 512:2	141:19 158:12,19	352:22 373:2
143:12,17,22	105:9 134:1 255:1	537:18	237:5,7 352:12	383:7 441:18,22
144:1,3,12,22	268:12 405:4	sources 35:18	362:17 371:4	442:4 498:15
161:5,14,20 190:3	Sonnabend 6:18	119:11 172:14	386:10 398:13	499:3 526:7
190:8,8,18 191:9	203:4 304:16,18	188:10 190:13	421:7 438:9	532:12,14
192:5 193:8	315:10,12,13	192:15 194:22	444:15 452:8	specifications
268:18,19 277:22	319:6,12 322:13	197:8 207:9 317:4	462:18 478:9	515:13
286:14,16 287:1,8	322:22 325:4	405:17 444:13	493:22	specificity 14:9,17
293:10 294:17,20	sonnet 367:14	482:4 499:5 511:4	<b>speaker</b> 13:14	14:19
320:9,10,15,21	soon 24:7 29:22	527:13	387:1 390:7	<b>specifics</b> 19:7,16
321:20 382:11	288:3 334:20	sourcing 444:7	<b>speaking</b> 72:13	265:6 267:13
412:18 413:3,6,8	335:8 375:11	south 144:4,5 205:2	94:12 97:21 288:7	<b>specified</b> 301:15
413:10,11 414:3	sooner 109:3	304:6 330:6	363:18 411:8	378:16
414:10 472:13	sophisticated	450:13 451:16	445:19 468:17	<b>specifies</b> 80:13
473:19 474:10,13	311:11	southern 27:8	486:5 517:6	specify 15:18
476:5,11 503:21 503:22 504:6,9	<b>sorry</b> 17:17 68:20 70:15 89:18 93:21	482:13 southwestern	<b>speaks</b> 163:9 190:22 203:4	speculate 149:21 speeds 372:9
526:15	181:6 203:7 269:1	490:16	<b>Specialist</b> 2:20	speeds 572:9 spend 150:3 248:1
soiled 382:8	297:14 307:20	soy 113:19 191:2	315:14 521:13	298:19 375:7
soils 188:11 191:15	310:22 329:17	soy-based 192:3	specialty 107:9	446:6
390:1 444:5	384:5 411:5 427:9	soy-based 192.3 so-called 403:17	speciary 107.9 species 20:21 25:17	<b>spending</b> 53:22
484:19	442:6 478:10,14	<b>SO2</b> 107:19 108:8,9	25:18 98:7 99:19	spent 129:4 528:13
Soil-based 80:21	516:12 520:21	108:20 109:3,12	100:2,11 161:5,17	<b>spin</b> 182:10
soil-dwelling 278:5	521:1 529:15	108.20 109.3,12	218:2 237:2	spin 182.10 spinach 36:8
sold 31:11 147:22	536:14	227:11 356:1	345:19,20 347:3,5	Spinosad 200:8
149:20 374:13	sort 20:1 63:20,21	454:16 503:19	364:5 365:3,17	<b>spirit</b> 334:21
380:15 429:8	69:1 81:22 221:10	504:1	366:8 371:17	spite 527:1
482:6 488:4	243:15 258:15	<b>space</b> 16:19 20:19	412:3	<b>split</b> 432:6
solely 15:17 453:10	272:2 323:8	39:2 123:11	species-by-species	split-vote 37:5
solid 28:18 165:3	346:15 426:19	184:13 185:8	346:14	spoiled 382:8
392:7	478:2	217:7,11 218:12	<b>specific</b> 18:13	spoke 17:17
solidarity 333:4	<b>Sosland</b> 4:14 121:8	218:16 222:3	24:12 178:8	spot 214:6 537:4
solids 369:8	121:9,10	286:22 331:3	191:16 217:3,16	spray 200:8,11
solubility 189:10	<b>Soto</b> 461:13	345:6 375:19	219:1 252:20	323:12 429:10
189:18 194:15	sound 97:17 99:2	376:21 379:14	268:11 274:21	431:20 451:7

465:11,12,19	165:3 240:11	334:17 342:12,14	419:9 423:17	186:15 187:10
467:5,6,12,12,13	280:15 333:4	342:17,18 343:6	428:13 433:1	244:3 396:9
468:4,6 469:17	335:1	343:10 344:7,9,12	438:2 442:19	413:20 428:17,21
471:2	standard 42:8 49:4	344:14,16,22	445:14,17 449:3	429:3,9 430:3
sprayed 277:22	78:14 79:22 80:18	345:2,9,18,19,21	452:2,5 456:14	440:1,12 450:10
321:3,19 430:4	90:8 93:3 112:22	346:2,16,22 347:2	463:5 481:2	459:14 464:1
sprayers 200:14	117:1 123:13	347:3,4,9,12,13	486:16 497:19	473:6 487:1
spraying 451:8	162:10 165:10	347:16,18,21	502:2 509:4	489:18 524:1
469:1	185:1,15 195:6	354:7 366:8	512:11 516:16	534:18,21
sprays 428:20	196:5 223:21	379:16,19 393:5	521:10 525:7	stated 30:8 44:14
spread 213:13	237:13,14,18	419:15,18 420:1	standing-by 58:20	98:4 130:3 154:7
349:12 379:20	239:22 242:1	423:3 436:3	standpoint 96:6	160:2 200:5
388:3 390:21	243:21 247:12	439:19 443:19	109:1 179:21	264:22 335:3,12
465:6 466:2	311:18 317:11	444:1 446:15,19	350:13 351:17	337:12 433:17
spreading 470:21	333:8 342:19	448:1 454:8	352:3 465:2	434:11 457:10
spring 11:7 83:21	343:5 357:6	455:22 472:7	stands 168:9 273:9	458:2,20 524:7
252:12 464:10	372:12 374:12	474:3,16 476:8,19	335:17	438:2,20 324:7 538:15
510:10	372:12 374:12 380:17 446:17	474:3,16 476:8,19 526:11 528:11	starches 229:4,9	<b>statement</b> 41:8
	447:4 452:19	530:20 538:10	start 54:3 82:5 90:1	56:10 100:17
<b>sprout</b> 308:9,10,12	513:20		124:9 133:1,12	129:21 188:4
<b>sprouts</b> 36:9	standardization	standby 498:1 standing 13:15	124:9 135:1,12	129:21 188:4
<b>SQM</b> 5:13 10:14 189:3 532:3 534:1	422:20	21:21 35:9 42:1		
			148:9 167:3,3 251:20 269:6	318:16,19 327:11
534:14 SOMI: 522:6	standards 1:5,10	50:17 58:16 64:16		408:2 507:13
<b>SQM's</b> 532:6	2:14,17 24:16	72:10 85:18 95:10	338:16 339:1	533:11,21 534:6
square 123:17,18	31:18,21 33:11	95:12 97:4 100:22	346:8 358:6	statements 233:9
124:3 324:14	42:10,13,21 43:4	107:4 124:16	366:16 375:10	317:21 327:7
330:18,21 345:5,7	43:10,15,20,21	130:12 139:16	409:4 418:1	469:2 532:6,9,16
375:22,22 377:14	44:8,10 45:2,8,10	145:19 152:2	430:21 465:11 495:12	533:8,22 states 1:1 74:17
400:3 447:2,4,6	46:12,21 47:15,17 47:19 48:16 49:6	158:10 164:8		
472:13 474:11		167:12 174:22 183:14 188:22	started 55:7,21	98:16 107:9 115:8
476:2,8	49:10 50:2 64:19		83:20 84:4 259:21	141:22 145:11
stability 482:15	70:5 71:22 105:2	196:20 207:15	261:5 330:17	162:8 197:9
stabilize 229:8	106:6,11 122:3,5	216:13 225:12	385:3 413:5	205:15 263:12
staff 2:10 285:21	122:7 123:5,10,20	236:18 249:3	464:14 470:8	286:4 342:17
380:11 436:2	124:6,8 130:18	251:17 261:7	509:10	379:17 390:10
stage 421:7	131:5 137:5,13,16	269:2 276:7,8	starting 133:5	407:18 414:9
stages 341:17	147:12 162:14	283:3 285:18	175:14 184:6	429:16 447:5
510:10	166:3,5,17,19	287:21 297:17	242:3 267:9	463:17,18 466:20
staggering 201:21	181:19 185:13	304:16 315:11,20	starts 526:5	485:22 487:9
stakeholder 101:21	195:18,18,21	325:19 330:3	state 8:11 9:13	498:8 517:11
132:3 436:1	209:17 221:12	332:21 337:16	15:17 44:6 46:13	533:17 534:13
stakeholders	238:3,7 239:18	342:8 348:21	46:15,18,22,22	state-inspected
131:10 416:15	243:16 250:15	354:2 363:16	49:20 77:21	46:6 47:18
423:8	263:16,18 279:13	370:14 374:22	125:22 126:1	State-of 127:16
stall 400:1	279:15 293:8,16	389:11 396:2	128:21 158:13	static 387:20
stamina 531:21	295:11 298:11,12	402:17 406:3	168:3 170:18	stating 269:15
stand 19:8 34:11	313:5 330:9 331:8	411:6 415:15	171:8 184:5	508:4

	_		_	~
statistic 34:19	391:19	stopping 143:21	strictly 373:11	subjected 165:21
151:2 486:9	steptomycin	stops 191:11	<b>strikes</b> 466:1	subjecting 329:8
stats 532:6	173:19	storage 79:2	stringent 118:14	subjects 522:1
status 425:8 482:1	sterile 30:3 227:22	store 246:20	119:1 530:13	submit 78:5 265:7
503:13 513:6	sterilization 29:15	444:21	strip 120:10 130:4	478:21
<b>statute</b> 254:22	sterilize 382:11	stores 52:5,8,9	<b>strive</b> 247:11	submitted 112:10
statutes 279:13	Steve 1:15 33:17	150:3 288:3 344:5	strong 51:11 84:2	186:3 208:8
statutory 177:6	34:13 50:18 76:18	397:2 482:3	284:20 286:15	276:15 281:20
409:7	149:8 150:6	<b>stories</b> 304:3	361:13,13 366:2	294:22 354:12
Stavrum 8:10	192:20 210:21	storm 413:17 473:9	393:18 417:10	360:3 416:1 479:1
423:17 428:12,14	222:12 223:13	story 31:7 148:3,11	530:3	534:2,6,9 537:8
428:15 432:18,20	272:11 273:5	150:2 366:19	stronger 101:22	submitting 122:17
stay 174:6 186:6	310:5 328:21	400:8 402:5	443:20 444:7	389:16 534:15
374:9 471:3	351:15 467:22	449:19 498:13	strongly 101:13,19	subset 156:5 295:7
514:13	536:10,14 541:5	520:14	122:14 184:12	297:5
<b>staying</b> 339:22	Steven 3:17 42:1	storytellers 148:1	185:20 263:14	substance 178:11
stays 320:15	50:16 348:20	Strabo 29:8	405:14 444:14	294:7,22 295:19
steadily-increasing	353:19,20	<b>straight</b> 496:22	457:6 507:20	substances 295:4
537:21	<b>steward</b> 333:14	straighten 502:18	<b>struck</b> 26:14	404:3
steal 431:11	stewards 445:4	<b>strain</b> 84:2,3	structure 506:18	substantial 137:18
steep 37:21 225:15	stewardship 24:3	strategies 186:1	structures 382:12	substantially
225:18,22 226:6	<b>stick</b> 369:9,14	495:8	<b>struggle</b> 46:16 49:8	504:18
226:12 228:22	stock 482:1	strategy 441:11	83:1 453:14	substantiate 110:1
232:13,17 271:8	stocking 122:15,19	520:4	student 7:15,19	substituted 73:20
271:10,12 276:20	122:21 123:9,17	stratification	375:3	success 31:7 38:2
276:21 281:13	123:18 268:11	346:11	studied 204:11	152:15 394:5
300:11 355:13,16	439:5,9 441:15	stray 79:16	499:20 500:7	successful 126:7
356:3 358:8 360:3	442:2	stream 253:12	studies 77:4 161:17	441:7 459:15
360:4,10,11	stocks 366:15	streams 414:9,11	193:6,10 304:10	500:10
361:12 504:2	stole 431:6	strengthen 31:19	327:15,16 329:2	successfully 216:1
steeping 226:13	stone 1:20 19:21	strengthened	404:13 498:14,15	240:3 306:6
227:13 232:17	20:16 49:13 83:6	252:22	498:17 499:1	307:22 338:17
233:18	104:5,18 119:2,3	strengthening	study 113:17,22	339:3 350:5 520:6
stench 287:2	120:5 201:6	284:10	114:4,6,15 193:20	<b>sudden</b> 430:22
step 118:17 123:22	215:10 217:15 239:17 268:7	strep 469:2	236:11 304:9	<b>suffering</b> 265:19
131:3 240:20		streptomycin 17:5 185:21 187:10	383:17 392:14,15	520:16 525:11
242:4,6 306:14 342:21 343:14	332:9 341:1,13,16		474:9 499:6,14	<b>suffice</b> 177:7 216:7
	341:19 418:11 437:8,9 442:6,8	257:15 258:7	500:2,11,14,15,20 500:22 501:4,5,10	sufficient 23:8 38:7 180:4
344:5 346:19	437.8,9442.0,8 448:6,18 493:18	318:5 320:1,7,20		
348:13 356:17	493:19 536:8	441:4 449:20	510:20 stuff 176:21 205:8	sufficiently 179:13 179:14
391:19 421:12 503:9		451:2 489:22		
stepped 348:5	539:18 stop 30:2 82:21	492:22 494:11 519:15	429:20 430:15 style 53:17	<b>suggest</b> 49:14 101:13,20 137:9
<b>stepped-up</b> 373:13	228:19 229:15	stress 285:3 383:4	styles 22:18	269:12 393:4
<b>stepping</b> 421:14	406:22 407:8	stressful 165:21	subject 169:9	416:11 417:5
stepping 421.14 steps 269:21	493:16 519:18,21	stressing 307:20	183:16 208:7,19	438:16 439:2
339:12 341:7	<b>stopped</b> 16:2 276:3	strict 317:11	403:5 421:9	440:11 479:8
557.12 571.1	stopped 10.2 270.3	Surve 517.11	TUJ.J T21.J	++0.11 +/7.0
	I		I	I

suggested 154:16	431:16	167:2 204:2 349:3	403:10 485:3	153:20 154:2
237:14 269:14	summarize 226:15	460:21 476:6	403.10 485.5 500:11	156:7,17 157:8,14
416:3 472:11	304:1,10	511:2 518:4	supposed 299:21	278:2 492:4,7,8
504:17	summarized	526:18	302:3	493:14 497:5
suggesting 47:14	226:21 227:14	support 17:2,4 38:9	sure 23:6 27:2	suspended 289:16
118:2,6,22 461:5	summary 74:9	87:18 88:1 93:14	34:15 39:7 40:13	531:12
475:9 479:11	106:10	93:18 96:9,17	41:19 46:20 47:2	suspicious 166:7
suggestion 94:2	summer 327:9	102:8 121:15	47:8,18 54:5	sustainability 38:4
103:12 326:20	477:9	139:7 140:5 155:7	68:11 76:5 84:19	486:19 498:11
suggestions 18:4	sun 62:5	177:3 182:15	100:19 117:15	sustainable 97:16
419:1 420:6	<b>Sundin</b> 494:16	185:20 209:22	134:8 140:7	152:11 159:9
441:21	495:15	225:20 263:14	143:13 155:16	162:3 328:4
suggests 472:20	sunlight 320:12	264:21,22 266:12	173:9 181:8,8	397:15 448:16
suitable 244:9	sunlit 60:15 61:20	269:9 270:7,9,11	193:10 202:18	484:14 498:11
503:3 504:9	sunset 39:18,18	270:13 271:2,8,15	224:11 226:16	swabs 384:2
sulfate 317:2 526:9	40:22 63:3 86:11	272:18 276:20	233:2 245:21	Swaffar 345:11
526:13	86:13,16,22	279:9 289:20	259:15 260:1	sweat 39:10
sulfides 28:22	168:20 187:13	292:12 298:2	268:3 274:7	Sweden 499:7,10
31:17	190:21 252:14	316:4 330:8 332:4	297:11 311:6	501:2,4
sulfite 33:3 34:7	253:3 254:2,6	336:5 337:13	333:20 335:4	Sweden's 499:15
57:10 58:9 129:20	261:17,20 271:21	339:3 340:6	369:21 376:11	sweeping 219:13
130:2	316:11,15 321:2	351:17 354:16,20	390:5 409:13	<b>swim</b> 99:21
sulfites 32:8,12	326:20 357:17	355:14 357:1	412:19 421:19	swine 445:21
33:7,22 51:16	403:9 420:4 422:2	397:16 403:16	431:17 432:12	446:20
52:2,17 53:7,9,11	449:20 493:21	416:6,18 429:2	469:15 486:1	Swiss 510:7,21
53:16 54:8,15,18	511:8 526:9	440:19,22 441:3	493:3 515:18	switcharoo 263:3
54:20 55:2,8,9,11	sunshine 61:12	441:10 457:6,17	519:18 535:18	Switzerland 9:19
55:18,22 56:6,22	446:8	486:12 501:7	541:15	509:8 510:3
57:2,4,17,21 58:6	Super 541:11	502:6 518:16,19	surely 102:5	<b>swore</b> 367:10
110:9 126:22	superior 380:8	532:7,10,16,19	surface 217:5	symbol 147:4 148:3
146:5,7,16 148:9	supermarket 165:1	533:1,8,12,16	247:3	sympathize 385:14
150:10,12,17	supermarkets	534:6,14,21	surmise 318:22	symposium 474:17
151:7,15	482:3	supported 270:12	<b>surprise</b> 167:22	475:10 477:5,8
sulfonate 312:19	supplement 329:10	317:21 326:13,17	surprised 455:10	synchronization
sulfur 28:19 29:19	supplemental	343:20 355:5	surrender 289:1,4	511:2
29:21 30:1,4,7,9	177:2 195:6 457:4	404:13	surroundings	synchronize 190:2
30:10,11,15,17,20	Supplementary	<b>supporter</b> 212:22	344:8	<b>synthetic</b> 37:21
107:15 125:13	198:9 485:1	supporters 298:15	survey 133:22	107:15 126:18
126:20 129:12	supplements	300:14	135:11 149:15	178:10,12 179:16
226:17,18 227:3,8	327:22	<b>supporting</b> 87:15	173:22 405:1	180:15 190:14
228:3,6,15 230:17	suppleness 109:10	165:9 301:13 411:11 453:7	<b>survival</b> 112:19 397:13	226:7 254:5 262:12 276:22
232:8,12,16 233:13,19 234:3,6	supplied 459:7 supplier 452:13		<b>Susan</b> 6:19 315:11	262:12 276:22 277:3,6 279:8
233:13,19 234:3,6 271:10 282:4,7	458:7	<b>supports</b> 155:2 184:12 300:12	325:18,20	288:8,16 290:6
sulfurous 233:22	458:7 suppliers 78:7	305:2 306:18	susceptibility 492:5	288:8,16 290:6 291:10,16,21
503:19	supplies 444:19	307:14 325:22	496:8	291:10,16,21 292:4 294:7
sum 229:22 230:2	supply 36:7 159:19	354:15 356:9,13	<b>susceptible</b> 153:15	292:4 294:7 299:19 300:1,3,13
5um 227.22 230.2	suppry 50.7 159.19	55 <del>4</del> .15 550.9,15	susceptible 155.15	277.17 300.1,3,13
	I	I	I	I

301:1 305:7	382:4 388:21	300:8,11 345:11	540:6,13 541:5	450:12 452:22
306:12 351:2	517:17 520:9	352:12 383:22	taste 109:4,5	464:22 465:3
358:19 422:18	tailor 250:2	386:15 390:5	111:19	467:4,6 468:14
455:8 504:3,11	<b>Taiwan</b> 459:4	402:3 429:1	tastes 34:5	498:13
505:18 506:15	take 24:3 26:19	449:10,13,19	tasting 31:14	telling 171:19
511:16	49:15 83:11 93:5	462:22 463:14	taught 279:19	230:2 233:5
synthetically-adu	93:6 101:15,20	464:7 489:21	387:11	361:19 451:12
37:19	115:15 120:22	490:8,12 491:15	taurine 178:22	tells 451:3,10
synthetics 256:19	141:14 147:21	491:20 504:15	180:11 181:3	temp 26:1
288:21 289:22	170:4,12 186:21	512:20	182:7,19	temperate 493:10
297:8 352:4,8	192:10 203:8	talked 69:2 90:5	taxes 528:15	temperature 25:2,3
411:16 527:18	204:7 216:14,15	141:4,4 201:15	tax-paying 411:8	26:4,8,20 141:8
synthetic/non-sy	227:6 244:7	243:12 298:5	<b>Taylor</b> 1:21 430:17	218:7
502:22	314:22 340:12	391:11 396:18	teach 48:17 49:1,2	temperatures 36:4
system 4:19 43:11	370:2 388:10	430:1 471:1 483:4	49:3 125:3 369:19	191:21 192:5
78:9 105:18	394:3 402:10	493:1 521:19	<b>technical</b> 17:4	246:20 330:20,22
120:16 133:6	416:10 418:21	talking 20:8 58:3	74:15 88:5 135:19	331:5
140:1,19 169:4	430:16 432:12	70:10 103:22	135:20 198:9	tempered 219:3
189:15 223:6	459:9 467:3 473:4	126:15 127:14	305:11,13 309:19	<b>Temple</b> 43:7
238:2,14,15 239:6	477:18 487:5,13	128:22 164:14,16	316:16 317:2	ten 169:1 288:4
239:21 267:14	511:19 515:9	164:19 176:4	318:4,19 319:14	341:10 379:5
284:22 339:8	524:13 535:8,12	194:16 221:15	320:5 355:1	467:15 468:7
345:8,10 392:21	535:19	223:20 224:3,12	357:20 361:5,14	tenets 14:18
392:22 393:2,7,10	taken 22:19 25:13	224:12 241:6	361:22 485:2	tenth-grade 375:3
395:4 397:21	91:2 102:9,19	244:17 266:10	521:12 527:2	tenure 253:2
399:6 417:7 418:5	113:16 170:22	274:10 275:14	533:22	term 152:18 176:3
437:4 440:18	175:11 179:9	299:6 402:1	technicality 294:14	293:15 353:14
systems 16:14	196:12 259:6	417:18,20 449:10	technically 374:10	terms 64:1 82:4,9
18:18,21,21 20:6	278:6 319:21	454:21 465:17	390:14	92:11 105:9,17
22:10 81:4 98:9	320:2,16,22 321:5	468:21	techniques 169:5	106:6 108:6 179:4
120:9 185:10	437:5 500:21	talks 244:20	technologies	179:22 194:19
246:9 248:18	501:1	tantamount 24:2	317:10 322:14	196:1 281:5
285:11 299:18	takes 14:20 147:5	<b>TAP</b> 132:22 133:8	323:1	290:22 304:7
331:1 343:9	148:20 227:10	133:15 135:17,18	technology 119:3	314:10 382:2
346:21 364:5	308:12 326:6	136:1,6,9,20	233:5 248:15	469:2 496:7
365:15 366:11	331:16 339:7,8	315:16,18 318:1	322:19,21 385:9	<b>Terry</b> 6:6 261:8
383:2 392:13,18	372:9 419:20	360:1 458:22	tell 24:6 32:5 52:12	269:2 276:5,12
417:17 495:10	488:18	tapestry 424:13	102:3 104:12	test 12:1 117:12
500:3,8,9	take-home 357:3	target 338:7 350:19	129:3 132:12	120:6,10,11,11,15
S-E-S-S-I-O-N	talk 82:18 84:8	targeted 12:5 322:4	135:15 148:3,11	143:16 150:12
261:1	89:6 103:5 128:11	targeting 532:12	156:16 207:6	487:15 488:11,17
	131:7 132:21	task 10:16 155:8	248:10 280:11	testified 175:8
T	140:12 148:1	180:9 349:15	303:12 371:18	testifier 382:19
<b>Tabard</b> 411:13	163:5 203:10	435:22 535:10,12	372:13 383:8	testify 385:21
table 40:7,9 41:9	205:20 236:20	535:14,16 536:2,5	386:5 387:12	testimony 13:13
146:12 178:1	261:21 262:14	536:10 537:1,7	401:11,22 430:9	116:7 183:18
226:15,21,22	276:19 279:7	538:12 539:2,8,10	441:17 449:19	205:2 218:11

			•	
231:3 250:22	95:2,6,8 96:11,12	325:3,6 328:19	511:22 512:3,7,8	59:17 65:15 69:6
262:20 378:17	97:2 100:9,13,20	329:19,20,20,21	516:3,20 520:17	69:14,16,18 71:18
379:1 380:9	101:1,7 104:2,3	330:1 331:21	520:20 521:7,8	72:2 83:15 84:10
testing 11:14,21	106:3 107:1,2	332:19 336:13	524:21,22 525:1	105:6 113:3
12:4,5,9 113:2,7	110:20 111:1,5,22	337:5,14 340:1	525:10,14 529:5,6	141:12 178:21
114:7,9 117:11	112:3 115:22	342:2,6 345:22	531:15,17 535:1,2	179:1 181:19
119:14 120:9	120:20 121:9	346:7 348:19	535:6 539:18	205:19 220:14
214:14 215:6	124:5,11 128:8	351:6,13 352:14	540:21,22 541:3,9	230:2 231:6
269:21 307:8,13	130:8,10 133:19	353:18 356:15	541:20	235:18 240:14,18
333:21 351:18	134:2 135:12,14	358:1,3,4 359:22	thanks 64:13 106:4	241:15 252:19,20
tests 12:4	139:14,19 142:17	360:12,13 363:12	151:22 171:12	257:5 261:13,21
tethered 18:16	142:18 145:16,17	366:22 369:16,22	174:20,22 245:16	262:11 268:19
tethering 16:16	149:7 151:21	370:13,17,21	255:13 282:19	279:21 281:22
18:20	155:11,12,14	374:15,19 377:16	290:17 301:10	298:21 304:9
<b>tethers</b> 16:14	157:9,22 158:7,8	377:18 378:1	312:9 360:16	318:11 321:16
tetracycline 17:5	158:11 162:5,12	381:9,18,21	363:13 374:18	323:22 376:13,19
153:7 154:8,20	162:17 164:5,6	384:12 386:17	399:1 402:20	385:7,7,8,12
155:4 173:18	167:4,8,9 171:14	387:5 389:4,9	438:21 463:13	388:6 390:2 391:3
187:11 257:16,21	174:19 175:13	395:20,21 396:11	471:15 509:2	394:14 412:20
318:4 319:21	183:6,12,21 187:8	399:11,12 400:17	therapeutic 164:2	414:20 428:22
320:7,9 321:20	188:13,18 192:17	400:19 401:6,9	<b>Theuer</b> 87:14	451:13 465:10
441:5 464:8,19	192:18 196:17,18	402:13,14 405:18	231:20 232:14	469:15 478:22
465:20 466:7,15	201:3 203:13	405:19 406:1,4	355:19 359:21	479:3,4 492:8
486:12 494:1,5,10	207:12,17 210:18	408:21 409:1	360:8,17 363:1	493:3 531:7
519:14	210:20 216:9,10	411:1,2 414:13,16	<b>Theur</b> 361:20	think 17:20 18:10
519:14 <b>Texas</b> 63:4		411:1,2 414:13,16 415:6,13,20 418:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>think</b> 17:20 18:10 24:21 26:16 27:6
	210:20 216:9,10	, ,	<b>Theur</b> 361:20	
<b>Texas</b> 63:4	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7	415:6,13,20 418:7	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17	24:21 26:16 27:6
<b>Texas</b> 63:4 <b>text</b> 282:13	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17 <b>thing</b> 17:20 23:20	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16
<b>Texas</b> 63:4 <b>text</b> 282:13 <b>textbook</b> 233:4	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17 <b>thing</b> 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10
<b>Texas</b> 63:4 <b>text</b> 282:13 <b>textbook</b> 233:4 <b>textbooks</b> 231:9	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10 423:14,15 426:22	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17 <b>thing</b> 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21
<b>Texas</b> 63:4 <b>text</b> 282:13 <b>textbook</b> 233:4 <b>textbooks</b> 231:9 233:9 234:22	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10 423:14,15 426:22 427:1,2 428:1,10	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17 <b>thing</b> 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21 53:2,4,5 54:12
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10 423:14,15 426:22 427:1,2 428:1,10 428:10 432:15,17	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17 <b>thing</b> 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21 53:2,4,5 54:12 55:10,11,14,15
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10 423:14,15 426:22 427:1,2 428:1,10 428:10 432:15,17 433:2,5 436:6,11	<b>Theur</b> 361:20 <b>the-Union</b> 127:17 <b>thing</b> 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21 53:2,4,5 54:12 55:10,11,14,15 56:6,10,13 70:7
<b>Texas</b> 63:4 <b>text</b> 282:13 <b>textbook</b> 233:4 <b>textbooks</b> 231:9 233:9 234:22 <b>texture</b> 75:1 <b>thank</b> 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10 423:14,15 426:22 427:1,2 428:1,10 428:10 432:15,17 433:2,5 436:6,11 436:15 437:20,21 441:12 442:17 443:12 445:6,7,11	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21 53:2,4,5 54:12 55:10,11,14,15 56:6,10,13 70:7 71:17 76:13,16 82:17 92:6 94:13 95:18 96:4 102:12
<b>Texas</b> 63:4 <b>text</b> 282:13 <b>textbook</b> 233:4 <b>textbooks</b> 231:9 233:9 234:22 <b>texture</b> 75:1 <b>thank</b> 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7	$\begin{array}{c} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ \end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21 53:2,4,5 54:12 55:10,11,14,15 56:6,10,13 70:7 71:17 76:13,16 82:17 92:6 94:13 95:18 96:4 102:12 103:18 114:17
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6	$\begin{array}{c} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\end{array}$
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19	415:6,13,20 418:7 418:9 419:4,4,6,9 419:11 423:9,10 423:14,15 426:22 427:1,2 428:1,10 428:10 432:15,17 433:2,5 436:6,11 436:15 437:20,21 441:12 442:17 443:12 445:6,7,11 448:4,22 449:1	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18	24:21 26:16 27:6 32:11 33:5 38:16 39:9 40:17 41:10 47:1 48:3 52:21 53:2,4,5 54:12 55:10,11,14,15 56:6,10,13 70:7 71:17 76:13,16 82:17 92:6 94:13 95:18 96:4 102:12 103:18 114:17
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19 287:14,15,19,22	$\begin{array}{c} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ \end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ \end{array}$
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19 287:14,15,19,22 290:13,15 291:18	$\begin{array}{r} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ \end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ \end{array}$
Texas 63:4 text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19 287:14,15,19,22 290:13,15 291:18 292:6 296:4 297:9	$\begin{array}{c} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\end{array}$
Texas $63:4$ text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14 63:3,10,14,15,18	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19 287:14,15,19,22 290:13,15 291:18 292:6 296:4 297:9 297:10,15 301:8	$\begin{array}{c} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\\ 477:2,11\;480:5,17\end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6 414:19 426:9	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\\ 136:9\ 137:17\end{array}$
Texas $63:4$ text $282:13$ textbook $233:4$ textbooks $231:9$ 233:9 234:22 texture $75:1$ thank $12:18,19$ 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14 63:3,10,14,15,18 64:9,17 67:21	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19 287:14,15,19,22 290:13,15 291:18 292:6 296:4 297:9 297:10,15 301:8 302:9 304:12,13	$\begin{array}{r} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\\ 477:2,11\;480:5,17\\ 481:2\;485:9,13\\ \end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6 414:19 426:9 431:16 449:8	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\\ 136:9\ 137:17\\ 142:22\ 143:1\end{array}$
Texas $63:4$ text $282:13$ textbook $233:4$ textbooks $231:9$ 233:9 234:22 texture $75:1$ thank $12:18,19$ 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14 63:3,10,14,15,18 64:9,17 67:21 68:2 72:7,8 75:19	210:20 216:9,10 219:19,20 225:6,7 228:8,11,12 232:21 235:5 236:3,15,16 239:15 248:5,22 249:1 251:13 255:6,12 256:20 259:7 262:5,16 264:2,10 266:6,7 268:22 272:6 274:5 276:1,7 280:7,20 282:18 285:12,15,16,19 287:14,15,19,22 290:13,15 291:18 292:6 296:4 297:9 297:10,15 301:8 302:9 304:12,13 308:18 309:1,2,5	$\begin{array}{r} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\\ 477:2,11\;480:5,17\\ 481:2\;485:9,13\\ 486:14,17\;489:4,5\end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6 414:19 426:9 431:16 449:8 451:14 463:19	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\\ 136:9\ 137:17\\ 142:22\ 143:1\\ 151:5,13\ 168:18\\ \end{array}$
Texas $63:4$ text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14 63:3,10,14,15,18 64:9,17 67:21 68:2 72:7,8 75:19 77:19,20 81:12,13	$\begin{array}{c} 210:20\ 216:9,10\\ 219:19,20\ 225:6,7\\ 228:8,11,12\\ 232:21\ 235:5\\ 236:3,15,16\\ 239:15\ 248:5,22\\ 249:1\ 251:13\\ 255:6,12\ 256:20\\ 259:7\ 262:5,16\\ 264:2,10\ 266:6,7\\ 268:22\ 272:6\\ 274:5\ 276:1,7\\ 280:7,20\ 282:18\\ 285:12,15,16,19\\ 287:14,15,19,22\\ 290:13,15\ 291:18\\ 292:6\ 296:4\ 297:9\\ 297:10,15\ 301:8\\ 302:9\ 304:12,13\\ 308:18\ 309:1,2,5\\ 310:6\ 312:6\ 314:3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\\ 477:2,11\;480:5,17\\ 481:2\;485:9,13\\ 486:14,17\;489:4,5\\ 489:10\;496:4,6\\ \end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6 414:19 426:9 431:16 449:8 451:14 463:19 469:21 492:17	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\\ 136:9\ 137:17\\ 142:22\ 143:1\\ 151:5,13\ 168:18\\ 171:16,20\ 173:14\\ \end{array}$
Texas $63:4$ text $282:13$ textbook $233:4$ textbooks $231:9$ 233:9 234:22 texture $75:1$ thank $12:18,19$ 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14 63:3,10,14,15,18 64:9,17 67:21 68:2 72:7,8 75:19 77:19,20 81:12,13 83:2,3 85:12,15	$\begin{array}{c} 210:20\ 216:9,10\\ 219:19,20\ 225:6,7\\ 228:8,11,12\\ 232:21\ 235:5\\ 236:3,15,16\\ 239:15\ 248:5,22\\ 249:1\ 251:13\\ 255:6,12\ 256:20\\ 259:7\ 262:5,16\\ 264:2,10\ 266:6,7\\ 268:22\ 272:6\\ 274:5\ 276:1,7\\ 280:7,20\ 282:18\\ 285:12,15,16,19\\ 287:14,15,19,22\\ 290:13,15\ 291:18\\ 292:6\ 296:4\ 297:9\\ 297:10,15\ 301:8\\ 302:9\ 304:12,13\\ 308:18\ 309:1,2,5\\ 310:6\ 312:6\ 314:3\\ 315:4,9\ 319:5,7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\\ 477:2,11\;480:5,17\\ 481:2\;485:9,13\\ 486:14,17\;489:4,5\\ 489:10\;496:4,6\\ 497:15\;501:19,22\end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6 414:19 426:9 431:16 449:8 451:14 463:19 469:21 492:17 things 19:15 22:21	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\\ 136:9\ 137:17\\ 142:22\ 143:1\\ 151:5,13\ 168:18\\ 171:16,20\ 173:14\\ 174:12\ 178:18\end{array}$
Texas $63:4$ text 282:13 textbook 233:4 textbooks 231:9 233:9 234:22 texture 75:1 thank 12:18,19 13:11 17:13,16 20:16,17 21:18,19 22:14 25:7 26:12 26:12 28:1,2 31:20,22 32:2 35:6,7 38:11,12 40:12 41:18 45:11 45:13 48:7 50:15 53:21 58:13,14 63:3,10,14,15,18 64:9,17 67:21 68:2 72:7,8 75:19 77:19,20 81:12,13	$\begin{array}{c} 210:20\ 216:9,10\\ 219:19,20\ 225:6,7\\ 228:8,11,12\\ 232:21\ 235:5\\ 236:3,15,16\\ 239:15\ 248:5,22\\ 249:1\ 251:13\\ 255:6,12\ 256:20\\ 259:7\ 262:5,16\\ 264:2,10\ 266:6,7\\ 268:22\ 272:6\\ 274:5\ 276:1,7\\ 280:7,20\ 282:18\\ 285:12,15,16,19\\ 287:14,15,19,22\\ 290:13,15\ 291:18\\ 292:6\ 296:4\ 297:9\\ 297:10,15\ 301:8\\ 302:9\ 304:12,13\\ 308:18\ 309:1,2,5\\ 310:6\ 312:6\ 314:3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 415:6,13,20\;418:7\\ 418:9\;419:4,4,6,9\\ 419:11\;423:9,10\\ 423:14,15\;426:22\\ 427:1,2\;428:1,10\\ 428:10\;432:15,17\\ 433:2,5\;436:6,11\\ 436:15\;437:20,21\\ 441:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;442:17\\ 443:12\;445:6,7,11\\ 448:4,22\;449:1\\ 451:21\;456:5,7,11\\ 460:2,3\;462:15,17\\ 463:3,11\;467:20\\ 471:13\;472:3\\ 474:21\;475:3,8\\ 477:2,11\;480:5,17\\ 481:2\;485:9,13\\ 486:14,17\;489:4,5\\ 489:10\;496:4,6\\ \end{array}$	Theur 361:20 the-Union 127:17 thing 17:20 23:20 25:1 30:4 39:2,9 41:11 66:5 67:3,7 67:10 71:21 87:18 95:21 120:2 123:1 125:11 168:8 174:17 202:9 230:7,8 233:6 235:22 258:15 262:1 268:16 276:19 279:7 323:16 340:18 358:13,14 368:14 373:9 377:10 391:8 394:6 414:19 426:9 431:16 449:8 451:14 463:19 469:21 492:17	$\begin{array}{c} 24:21\ 26:16\ 27:6\\ 32:11\ 33:5\ 38:16\\ 39:9\ 40:17\ 41:10\\ 47:1\ 48:3\ 52:21\\ 53:2,4,5\ 54:12\\ 55:10,11,14,15\\ 56:6,10,13\ 70:7\\ 71:17\ 76:13,16\\ 82:17\ 92:6\ 94:13\\ 95:18\ 96:4\ 102:12\\ 103:18\ 114:17\\ 116:16,19\ 118:9\\ 119:9\ 120:8\\ 124:10\ 129:19\\ 130:22\ 131:2\\ 132:5,22\ 133:7,13\\ 136:9\ 137:17\\ 142:22\ 143:1\\ 151:5,13\ 168:18\\ 171:16,20\ 173:14\\ \end{array}$

194:18 203:16	third 12:7 160:16	285:1 286:11	104:10 108:14	504:14 506:22
210:16 215:4,17	168:1 225:17	307:20 318:11	110:5 114:9 125:7	516:22 522:12,22
221:9,20 223:22	236:20 295:20	323:1 331:16	125:17 128:2	523:3,17 528:13
224:3,15,20	321:9 324:10	338:1 339:12	144:7 145:8 147:5	537:20 538:4
232:19 234:17	444:6	347:3 357:3 361:6	147:21 150:4	539:2 540:18
235:19 237:2	third-generation	365:1 368:6,11	155:5 164:13	541:2
241:13 242:3,5,22	389:13	386:1,2,4,8,11	165:1 167:10	timeframe 418:3
245:16 257:1	third-party 44:1,17	440:8 451:2 453:1	168:22 169:15	<b>timeline</b> 522:12
258:4,7,14 259:1	213:2 393:8 395:4	453:19 470:18	170:21 177:14	times 22:13 28:20
264:18,19 265:5	534:3	475:20 487:19	186:13 194:3,10	42:22 66:15 95:8
268:9,11,19	thorough 16:1	535:19 537:5	200:3,13 208:12	139:2 173:11
273:15,17 274:15	113:17 315:22	three-minute 101:6	211:17 215:2	200:8,12 227:9
274:22 275:2,17	317:17 357:13	three-person	224:7 225:2,17	242:14 364:14
278:19 280:14,22	420:21	133:14	227:9 236:20	451:2 463:17
281:9 285:7,8	thought 26:7 68:16	threshold 116:19	237:13 242:20	468:3
290:18 299:3,5,13	116:6 134:7 174:2	117:12 118:8	243:7 246:1 248:1	time-limited 153:7
300:2,10,13,18,19	280:4 305:8	thresholds 113:7	249:7 252:7 255:4	timing 27:19 198:1
301:5 303:9,15	313:19 351:20	118:2,4,6	257:8 259:6 265:9	198:2 483:18,19
319:16 320:14	420:19 442:1,4	<b>thrown</b> 62:10	283:22 284:2	Tina 1:17 138:19
338:22 347:7,10	521:3	THURSDAY 1:7	287:14 288:1,14	142:20 157:11
352:7 358:14	thoughts 208:9	thwart 317:9	293:10 298:1,20	158:1 202:2
359:8,11 360:6	thousand 129:5	322:19	311:5 313:11,19	228:14 229:19
363:3,6 368:15	141:9 144:7	ticket 376:15	316:16,20 318:8	243:4 265:15
371:17 375:18	237:22 247:3	<b>tie</b> 300:9	318:13,15 319:19	319:8 460:4
376:4,9,18 377:10	285:8,9 330:19	tied 16:11	320:19 321:15	tiny 65:17 66:4
377:13 384:14	thousands 99:7	<b>tiers</b> 146:3	338:8 340:12	70:11
385:2 388:16	140:14 237:21	ties 200:13 202:6	341:20 345:12	tired 449:5
389:2 395:7	408:1 431:8	324:4 417:16	348:4 367:8 371:3	<b>tissue</b> 497:5
399:16,21 400:15	437:12 483:12	tight 186:6 285:7	371:12,15 372:12	titled 78:18 97:22
401:18,19 406:16	527:6	386:3	372:16,22 373:3	tocopherols 271:21
408:17 409:6	threat 112:14	tighten 260:1	373:11,22 374:6	272:1
410:2,5 413:22	159:5,8 186:8	tilapia 366:15	374:10 378:20	today 11:16 12:16
415:2 424:20	333:5,18 334:2	<b>tilth</b> 6:5 9:24 184:4	384:17 385:16,20	29:17 43:21 61:12
426:3 427:10,18	491:12	184:8,12 185:19	386:3,19 399:11	72:13 88:15
436:17,21 463:15	threaten 112:18	269:5 429:1 431:2	415:4 416:17	101:14 102:14
466:9,10,16 467:9	366:14	432:2,13 521:13	417:11 418:4,21	125:8,10 126:15
471:5,11 475:19	threatens 518:20	522:9 524:11	419:2,20 421:5	127:4 140:2 141:5
476:20 477:4	threats 161:3,4,6	525:19	422:11 426:1	158:12,16,19
478:19,20 479:7	161:16,22	<b>Tilth's</b> 521:22	431:13 433:20	160:9 164:14,19
487:16 488:15	three 11:6 22:21	<b>time</b> 13:20 14:16	443:12 446:3	175:5 197:5
490:5 492:18,22	28:13 61:8 89:15	18:17 20:9,14	447:13 448:10	205:12 210:16
494:7,19 495:19	94:14 99:5 107:22	22:16 24:8 25:20	451:18 459:17	220:11 226:2
496:11 506:7,10	128:11 129:4	37:18 47:17 50:22	461:5 464:3 466:2	237:18 248:16
530:4 537:11	136:5,8 146:3,11	59:20 62:22 66:12	467:18 470:12	251:18 259:6
thinkers 317:18	148:18 159:12	67:12 68:13 86:4	472:6 476:22	261:6 288:6,12
thinking 56:18	200:8,11 237:6	86:10,22 88:13	488:13,21 493:9	325:10,16 333:3
314:18 409:20	242:14 259:12	101:20 102:6	493:12 497:8	354:12 355:21
	<u> </u>			

				Page 000
358:22 378:6	514:6	520:15	166:15 289:9	trial 510:20
397:9 416:9	<b>topic</b> 108:21 131:6	traceable 451:11	333:16 334:21	trials 65:14 161:18
419:12 424:9	200:19 203:4	traced 383:15	343:8,17 345:2	tricks 369:13
438:8 449:9	281:7 288:9 303:7	tracks 326:15	transparent 132:9	tried 52:1 63:21
453:15,16 457:1	326:5 371:5	tractor 190:7	transport 15:12	64:3 137:7 226:17
461:9 462:14,20	458:18 505:9	<b>Tracy</b> 1:12,14 3:2	44:13 79:2 121:16	303:15 304:10
463:14 464:8	507:2	181:6 384:11	217:4 345:12	461:11,17,21
477:16 481:9	topical 225:18	trade 7:7 97:10	373:4 439:19	462:2,3
486:22 487:4	topics 11:13 72:13	127:16 152:16	transportation	triggers 465:8
503:5 521:13	197:7 354:14	153:3 155:1	102:12	trimmed 242:17,20
522:4,5 524:13	420:15,19	195:13 196:1	transporters	trimming 439:13
526:7 531:21	topographical	205:5 354:8	371:12	439:17
today's 30:3	474:7	482:14 539:21	transposed 218:21	trip 483:3
<b>Todd</b> 3:13 35:8,12	torch 351:10	tradeoffs 392:18	transposons 278:15	trips 201:8
told 69:3 128:3,5	torn 496:19	tradition 378:12	trap 202:14	trouble 240:7
150:2 199:17	total 31:8 71:3,4	traditional 271:16	travel 259:17	242:14 347:21
201:19 236:6	154:3 156:3 168:1	traffic 190:8	<b>Treasury</b> 526:1,4	517:21
286:15 448:8,19	381:15 458:15	trailer 373:5 374:9	treated 75:13	troubling 444:11
tolerance 90:19,22	486:2 491:14,21	train 133:5 517:15	170:14 400:12	truck 371:15,22
91:4,12,18 93:4,5	500:22 510:1	trained 44:22	401:16 411:22	372:4
93:6,17 116:12,14	totality 417:8	62:11	446:4	<b>Trudy</b> 6:11 285:18
116:18 195:14	totally 63:8 226:10	training 312:14	treating 507:19	287:20 290:15,17
292:17	230:15 351:20	340:18 495:4	treatment 124:7	299:6
tolerances 280:6	420:22 429:2	traits 217:7	377:9 440:14	true 43:7 51:8
tolerated 246:14	459:4	transcribed 533:17	462:2 491:13	110:6 114:18
tomorrow 11:15	touch 102:11	Transcriber	540:10	128:4 146:17
12:17 38:15 39:15	touched 255:1	516:10	treatments 365:22	176:8 368:21
40:9 41:9 103:16	496:12	transcripts 256:4	458:21 461:22	414:22 451:13
167:20 262:14	touchy 47:2	257:6 316:20	tree 170:16,21	492:18
541:19	tough 76:14 89:15	transduction	186:8,11,12,14	truly 110:15
tons 199:14 413:18	331:3 371:1	278:15	199:14 200:6	343:10 381:5
443:2	393:13 426:5	transfer 79:13	321:4 323:4 469:8	491:11
<b>Tony</b> 5:21 225:12	tougher 330:13	163:10 278:9,15	494:17 497:4	trunk 320:16 323:9
236:17	toughest 330:21	319:1 321:10,15	503:1 505:12,14	trunks 323:4
tool 117:11 119:15	tour 108:18	321:22 322:7	505:17 506:3,9,14	trust 52:14 146:21
195:6 196:12	town 144:4	transferred 338:9	<b>trees</b> 170:8,9,10,13	152:18,19 153:3
199:22 203:8	towns 30:15	transformation	443:11 450:19	265:1 289:5 290:4
295:21 349:22	toxic 161:13 199:13	190:12 278:9	470:20 490:17,18	335:22 412:15
503:3 511:20	520:11	transit 64:21	491:6 493:11	trusting 328:15
526:15,22 527:10	toxicants 199:5	transition 148:15	520:16	trustworthy 207:6
toolbox 466:5	504:17	153:10 154:7	trellising 148:2	truth 55:15 115:19
518:6	toxicity 159:16	407:20 417:11	tremendous 202:1	127:5 430:9
tools 86:21 209:22	160:16 199:12	transitioning 406:8	383:4 541:8	453:17
368:7 422:7 466:5	254:9 487:20	translates 490:20	trend 171:9 517:22	truths 27:3
466:6 495:13	toxin 160:18	translocate 294:17	trespass 216:2	try 52:18 67:18
520:3	toxins 143:20	transmission 79:20	407:2	101:5 137:10
top 193:19 444:9	trace 180:3,3	transparency	trespassed 407:4	168:8 216:17
		<b>I I I I I I I I I I</b>	T. T	
L	1	1	1	1

240:20 250:13	82:13 84:19,20	200:20 208:3	underprivileged	417:22
302:16 315:17	85:10 89:20 91:14	200.20 208.3	482:12	unfathomable
349:2 372:20	98:15 106:19	330:16 344:16,20	underproduction	99:11
392:6 438:9 465:9	112:12,19 115:18	344:22 345:17	484:1	<b>unfiltered</b> 365:17
trying 45:16 81:20	120:9 141:12	346:20 368:11	underscore 254:19	unfortunately
194:8 221:13	149:19 183:17	434:17 487:5,12	422:9	217:8 390:4
240:16 242:1,4	187:2 188:15	495:9 538:3	understand 32:16	522:13
274:20 275:5	197:7 198:12,16	<b>typical</b> 65:21 214:2	34:15 48:8 50:6	<b>Unger</b> 4:9 97:4
291:9 310:8 312:1	200:8 210:16	514:11	95:16 101:11,18	100:21 101:1,2
331:2 347:8 362:8	220:14 230:1,2	<b>typically</b> 490:10	155:16 169:17	104:8 105:12
384:15,16 449:15	235:11 237:5	496:20	178:6 205:21	106:9 107:2
454:13 455:21	252:22 254:3		206:7,14 213:8	unhappy 400:7
495:2 509:11	257:15 259:13	U	215:19 233:2	unified 495:9
531:9	261:13 262:11	<b>UC</b> 31:2	235:20 241:10	<b>uniform</b> 306:14
<b>tsunami</b> 391:4	264:5 284:16	ultimately 211:12	300:21 329:13	uniformity 484:7
425:14	285:9 286:16	211:15 413:14	330:12 331:11	uniformly 154:6
<b>TTB</b> 57:16 129:21	287:12 289:19	517:22	350:15 351:3	unilaterally 41:10
453:21	303:16 311:16	<b>unable</b> 474:3 481:9	352:13 353:15,16	unimportant
Tuesday 41:4	319:10 324:14	517:2	356:18 379:7	403:21
254:21 298:5	331:16 344:6,16	unacceptable 93:7	404:3 436:17	uninformed 527:15
345:4 534:9	345:5,7 375:22	unachievable 440:7	445:22 446:13	unintended 219:14
<b>tuna</b> 364:8 365:2	376:16 379:4	unannounced	450:14,15 485:15	241:10 472:22
<b>tune</b> 401:12	380:22 382:6	208:20 209:2,8,13	509:11 513:19	510:14
<b>turf</b> 115:7	385:4 388:6,19	209:19 210:9,13	530:11	unintentional
turkey 390:12	389:3 390:9	211:2,9,10 212:9	understanding	425:5
turkeys 390:13	391:10 398:12	212:13,22 213:22	96:17 182:18	<b>Union</b> 166:5 471:3
<b>turn</b> 190:17 488:3	416:11,13 424:19	214:5,13,19 215:1	189:9 194:13,16	500:15
488:9 489:9	432:4,6,8,8	215:5,11 216:3,7	224:8 244:11	<b>unique</b> 146:2
538:16	434:16 442:22	unavoidable 90:10	257:9 371:14	256:15 440:1
turned 184:18	447:2 449:17	unaware 329:4	422:3 540:3	United 1:1 74:17
<b>turning</b> 65:8	461:15 470:18	unbalanced 45:2	understands 84:20	107:9 141:22
393:13	472:12 474:11	uncertainty 37:20	359:16	145:10 162:8
<b>turns</b> 170:11	476:2,9 492:10	250:19	understood 203:9	197:9 205:15
280:16,16 429:13	493:13 495:9,9	unchecked 265:21	253:3,10 352:5	263:11 286:4
<b>twelve</b> 34:20	498:13 506:22	<b>unclear</b> 323:20	485:20 486:1	379:17 390:10
345:15 384:1	515:21 518:12	324:7,20 325:1	492:21 520:14	407:18 414:9
twenty-three	529:19 536:4	522:3	undertaken 114:4	447:5 460:19
149:18	541:8	uncomplicated	undertaking	466:20 485:22
<b>twice</b> 272:8	two-and-a-half	412:14	140:17 306:7	487:8 498:7
<b>twigs</b> 320:18	385:4	underestimated	underused 209:11	517:11
twist 324:4	<b>two-inch</b> 80:5	415:2	undoubtedly	University 9:13
<b>two</b> 16:4,10 18:15	two-thirds 88:12	undergoes 504:7	525:13	128:6 389:20
21:4 32:6 33:19	tying 300:3 302:7	<b>underlying</b> 408:6	unduly 531:1	450:11 489:18
34:2,17 36:12,14	<b>Tyler</b> 298:4 303:11	511:9	<b>unevenly</b> 197:21	unknowledgeable
42:20 54:4 61:6	<b>type</b> 70:8 344:1	undermined 115:11	483:16	368:16
63:6,12 71:5,12	346:14	<b>undermines</b> 114:22	Unexpected 160:19	<b>unknown</b> 160:5
71:14,14 72:13	<b>types</b> 74:12 88:16	undernings 114.22	<b>unfair</b> 162:2	496:1

		1		
<b>unlimited</b> 37:12,14	57:1,11,22 58:7	404:13 418:19	vaccination 27:10	vast 51:17 418:16
unpredictable	73:4 110:9 121:22	424:10,22 429:6	27:18 62:13	500:21
162:4	122:6,17 127:1	435:14 441:4,9	141:12 285:1	vector 79:20
unpredictably	142:9 146:4 147:3	444:7,9 451:2	339:5,7,9	423:22
160:17	148:3 150:9 162:8	457:5,19 458:5,13	vaccinations	vectors 82:22
Unquote 79:9	187:18 237:18	459:17 460:8,16	339:10	vegetable 154:3
unrealistic 266:3	247:10 277:6	464:18 465:14,15	vaccine 23:14	172:9 526:5
unregulated	283:14 293:2	465:17,19 466:10	332:10 381:14	vegetables 3:14
115:12	349:14 391:2,12	466:15 470:22	vaccines 24:9	35:14,21 36:2
unrelated 278:14	398:1,3,6 403:4	483:8 485:4,8	332:16	192:7 321:1
unreviewed 440:21	406:9 412:17	495:17 510:22	valid 321:13	411:14 443:10
unspoiled 110:16	429:9 440:12	513:6 514:16,21	valley 3:10 22:2	482:2
<b>unsure</b> 88:18	<b>USDA's</b> 424:21	526:16 527:5	29:8 383:13 447:7	vegetarian 328:4
unsustainable	use 15:16 27:17,18	533:2,9 537:6	valuable 38:1	364:4 366:14
364:21 365:12	28:20 29:11,14,17	useful 502:18	150:5 194:19	376:3
<b>untested</b> 268:12	29:19 30:7,8,14	users 532:14	209:9 379:11	vegetated 446:8
unusually 491:9	30:21 36:10 37:4	uses 73:20 197:17	526:15,22	ventilation 222:3
unwanted 30:2	37:12,14,17,22	294:1 309:21	value 376:20 440:3	331:1
unworkable 371:19	46:7 48:19 57:21	365:9 483:5	444:2	<b>venture</b> 238:13
<b>update</b> 10:16 49:9	58:7 73:15,18	<b>USGS</b> 414:7	values 152:12,14	397:6
535:16	74:4,21 76:10	usual 290:8	441:21	verification 270:15
<b>uphold</b> 89:4 152:22	86:20 94:22,22	usually 172:7	Van 9:20 509:3	440:18
<b>upper</b> 65:5 331:5	107:15 108:8,11	204:20 233:9	512:9,12,13 516:7	<b>verified</b> 307:12
<b>upset</b> 390:7,20	108:14 109:19	235:11 489:14	516:7	<b>verify</b> 26:22 41:4
upsetting 390:22	113:7 125:13	497:4	Vanilla 252:9	44:3 172:16
uptake 191:13	126:17,20 154:15	<b>utilize</b> 70:8 332:13	variability 193:18	269:22 270:18
204:21	154:20 161:1,4,8	332:16 338:18	variable 492:6	verifying 15:20
up-to-date 518:6	161:13 172:2	utilizing 16:14	variables 217:14	16:6
<b>up-to-speed</b> 105:21	174:4 185:21	163:13 196:5	varied 392:21	vermicompost
<b>ureic</b> 190:12	188:5 189:13,14	331:18 338:12	varieties 153:14,19	526:17
<b>urge</b> 89:3 122:14	191:14 192:6	421:13	154:2 155:19	Vermont 8:14
153:6 182:8	196:10 198:14,18	<b>U.S</b> 50:21 56:4	156:1,6,14 157:8	438:6 439:22
186:17 198:11	199:4,13 202:4	65:18 66:1,2 79:5	157:14,14 206:1,4	441:8
200:18 216:15	206:18 214:6	107:11 123:2,6,7	228:16 466:22	<b>versa</b> 131:18
398:9 399:7	225:15 226:1	192:6 195:17,19	470:16,18,21	version 408:5
405:14 527:20	233:9,13,18,19	207:9 208:2 237:9	471:9 490:19	<b>versus</b> 13:19 18:6
<b>urges</b> 198:20	235:12 236:1	238:12 242:8	variety 27:17 33:21	20:2,4 71:10
355:13	248:4,19 251:11	247:10 248:19	130:5 133:1 210:1	120:6 136:18
<b>urging</b> 87:21	258:6 272:3	453:1,2 454:14	213:15 503:10	217:17 219:6,12
<b>urine</b> 462:3	275:20 277:15	458:7 459:8	<b>various</b> 65:3	244:4,10 268:8
<b>USA</b> 482:5	286:10 287:4,5	460:10,21 484:14	135:17 136:12	306:12
<b>usage</b> 269:20 350:3	292:11 296:22	V	155:9 163:6	<b>vested</b> 65:6 288:19
444:3 467:14	299:17,20 318:17	vaccinate 141:15	185:22 279:17	<b>vet</b> 289:10
534:18 USDA 22:2 51:0 16	319:3 323:12	331:9	341:17 392:19	veteran 186:4
<b>USDA</b> 33:2 51:9,16	324:2,18 325:22	vaccinated 25:20	393:5 499:17 534:15	veterinarian
52:6,14 53:11 54:13 55:8 56:20	327:20 378:22 401:14 403:11,17	141:18	534:15	332:18 veterinarians
54.15 55:8 50:20	401.14 405:11,17	111.10	varying 113:11	vetermarians
	l		l	I

210.10 221.0	407:3	<b>volume</b> 206:5	120.2 120.17	478:4 486:1 488:8
219:10 221:9	407:3 violators 399:2	<b>volume</b> 206:5 <b>volumes</b> 452:19	120:3 130:17	478:4 480:1 488:8 488:9 522:5 532:5
286:15 332:14			134:8 135:3 140:3	
<b>Veterinary</b> 389:20	<b>viral</b> 98:20	voluntarily 289:4	140:7 141:19	536:12
499:15	Virginia 391:5	voluntary 120:2	148:13 157:12	wanting 56:7 174:6
vets 286:16	411:13 413:6	381:4	165:2,5 166:15	wants 37:14 144:6
vetted 20:1 288:21	<b>virtually</b> 165:4	volunteers 285:21	168:7 169:11	196:10,11 385:10
<b>viability</b> 474:10	372:12	Von 3:8 13:15,17	175:20 177:10,18	402:6 454:1
523:19 524:3	<b>virtue</b> 372:8	13:17 18:13 20:15	177:21 206:11	Ward 5:18 207:15
viable 17:6 81:6	<b>virus</b> 467:6	20:17,22 21:4,15	213:10 216:15	216:12,19,20
109:17 154:22	<b>viruses</b> 141:17	21:19 66:13	230:6 233:2	220:20 221:15
170:19 200:3	visions 69:6	<b>vote</b> 39:22 40:2,18	240:21 259:8,18	222:16,20 224:4
331:4 357:15	visit 214:21 400:5	40:19 88:18	265:17 272:21	225:7
462:11 474:16	visited 22:16	258:16,21 259:6	276:19 279:7	warm 249:6 491:9
518:18	383:16	309:11 356:11,13	280:22 293:7,14	493:5
vice 131:18 197:1	visiting 67:16	356:20 523:4	301:14 316:21	warming 414:6
252:5 419:14	visitors 392:1	526:8	333:12 349:20	warning 126:22
481:7	<b>visits</b> 482:16	voted 36:18 39:19	350:16,17,20	521:4
<b>victim</b> 411:9	visual 328:9	257:21 264:15	363:2 370:21	warnings 80:2
<b>Victor</b> 143:19	vital 283:19 484:14	<b>votes</b> 36:14 88:10	386:15 388:13,21	warrant 219:4
<b>view</b> 237:8 254:18	484:20 487:20	88:12 258:9,13	389:1 393:16	wash 247:1,1,4
264:7 276:21	vitamin 87:12	420:9	394:11 396:11	311:13
352:7 459:15	179:17 292:2,3	voting 37:6 38:15	397:9,12 400:11	washed 246:18
472:8 476:7	329:11	39:15 326:21	400:12 404:21	washing 308:5
533:21	<b>vitamins</b> 87:10	422:2 522:18	405:5 406:16,21	311:12
viewed 218:18	103:11 179:4,6	541:19	407:3 408:9,10	Washington 1:12
viewpoint 284:1	180:3,3,20 181:22	vulnerable 519:16	409:15,16,19	4:6 8:11 9:10
viewpoints 326:12	182:19 270:7,8,10		421:15 427:16	95:15 98:15
<b>views</b> 525:20	272:14 273:11	<u> </u>	431:17 438:18,21	121:12 146:1
<b>vigor</b> 465:6 497:4	289:19 291:1,4,20	wait 58:20 234:20	448:2 453:10	148:22 149:1
village 144:5,9	292:1 299:2,12	235:4 340:19	459:14 464:7	153:17,22 156:5
Vilsack 336:2	300:4 301:11	371:15 374:6	467:1,8,11 471:3	156:10 158:6,13
409:10 410:1	326:1,22 356:12	waiting 133:2	477:13 478:21	168:3 171:8
423:19 427:8,19	356:14 403:8,11	371:12 373:12	486:11 491:18	183:19 184:5,11
vineyard 4:22	403:22 507:4,16	wake 253:19	503:6 508:14	186:11,12,14
145:22 148:16,22	viticulture 125:4	Walker 1:22 20:19	513:8 517:4	188:9 330:6 396:9
vineyards 4:17	<b>VOF</b> 439:15	21:2 89:14 93:22	519:18 525:10	413:8,20 428:16
53:15 107:11	441:16	94:6 96:16,21	526:7 529:22	429:9 431:21
124:19 148:2	<b>voice</b> 217:2 390:6	266:10	540:5 541:13	443:4 464:1
Vintages 3:17	417:6 426:19	walking 206:9	wanted 22:22 23:20	466:21 486:21
50:19	voiced 348:10	walks 376:16	24:10 48:21 74:14	488:10 493:2
violate 364:2	voices 443:13,16	want 14:19 15:3	78:10 96:8 104:22	534:19,21
violated 407:22	<b>void</b> 192:11	22:14 26:15,22	132:4,21 163:3	wasn't 30:18 95:21
violates 364:19	Vojkovich 7:22	27:4 34:15 51:14	187:16 220:6	136:7 179:19
365:12	389:10 396:1,3,4	51:15 52:5,16,18	262:14 274:7	231:15 353:17
violating 366:4	399:13,21 401:1,4	60:4 61:21 66:13	281:21 322:14	490:22 515:18
violation 223:2	401:8,17	70:12 84:19 100:1	410:15 423:18	523:12 535:17
372:11 374:11	volatilize 324:9	101:7 102:11	464:21 470:12	waste 59:13 365:17

		1	1	
487:7,7 502:21	350:8,10 373:21	331:10,15,16	394:2 397:15	whimsically 451:8
503:11,16	384:22 386:10	332:5,6 339:7,8	398:2,4,10 399:8	white 433:14
Watch 6:15 7:5	387:11 393:1	340:9 341:7,8,11	438:12 439:18	Whitely 98:21
297:20,21 300:12	406:19 412:21	384:1 416:2 439:4	443:20 445:21	wholeheartedly
302:12 349:5,10	414:10 418:17	449:17 493:13	446:16 447:15	454:19 524:6
watching 527:16	422:8 424:10	weigh 175:21	448:1 472:5	wholesale 450:5
531:8	429:12 431:15,15	weighed 360:7	526:11 528:10	Wholesalers
water 6:15 29:14	462:1 469:13	weight 265:19	530:15,16,20	205:17
33:10 60:17 64:3	476:14 478:7	338:7,20 364:22	Welfare-Approved	wholesome 333:13
64:7 142:2 161:13	505:5 519:6	weights 338:6	121:19,21 124:2	wholly 237:12
201:20,20 227:22	530:22 531:3	weigh-in 507:4,20	well-being 334:18	<b>wide</b> 503:9
270:1 279:16	534:2	Weisman 5:25	well-developed	widely-understood
287:5,6 297:20,21	ways 223:7 256:11	10:20 249:3	284:21	300:16
300:12 302:12	259:4 279:17	251:16,22 252:1	well-recognized	widespread 382:16
307:5 311:13	312:16 337:20	255:7,14,21	17:10	527:3
366:12 411:19	348:16 382:6	257:18 258:1,17	well-respected	wide-ranging
413:17 444:3	384:21 399:22	536:6,20,21	359:13	504:11
473:8 476:6	412:9 422:1	welcome 17:11	well-rounded	<b>wife</b> 22:4
482:18 503:20	489:14	58:22 85:21 128:9	539:16	Wilcox 6:21 325:19
513:16	weaken 31:19	177:10 188:20	Wendy 1:18 46:2	330:2,4,5 332:1,5
waterfowl 392:4	162:13 164:1	224:16	143:10 144:13	332:12
waters 98:19	443:19,22 455:21	welcomes 42:6	219:21 222:8	wild 79:16 97:12
413:16 428:21	wealth 209:21	welfare 4:15 14:6	224:18 248:6	99:10,12,14,19
430:3 431:21	weapons 335:19	14:18 15:11 16:8	264:3 332:2 340:4	140:16,21 141:7
484:19	<b>weather</b> 286:9	16:9 19:14 42:5,7	went 25:9 88:14	303:20 304:7
watersheds 474:8	330:20 340:10	42:13,18 43:4	121:4 256:16	338:13 364:9,14
Watkinsville	451:5 491:9	44:1,4,9,17 45:1,4	260:7 370:6	365:20 366:1,14
142:10	<b>web</b> 248:16 445:3	45:6,10 64:20	480:19 534:11	412:1
waving 479:21	533:18,21 534:3	70:4,20 71:2,22	541:22	wildlife 161:20
way 23:4 39:13	websites 31:13	78:12 85:2 105:2	weren't 240:18	wild-caught 364:18
46:4 53:9 56:14	weed 162:1 295:15	105:8 106:6	491:4	Willapa 411:10
69:18 100:2	weeds 161:12 293:5	121:12,14 122:4,6	west 63:4 149:19	413:21
118:11 128:20	295:17	122:8 123:22	149:22 287:4	Williams 6:4 269:2
129:12 132:16	weed-control 293:9	124:6 130:18	wet 271:17 282:12	269:4,5 272:9,17
138:3 148:22	weed-free 295:16	140:13,15 164:20	359:10 491:9	273:7,12 274:4,8
153:9 167:2 178:9	week 154:11	217:4 222:17	we'll 134:18 297:17	274:18 275:4,10
178:17 180:15	340:13,15 378:12	249:6 263:16	we're 121:6 370:8	275:13,16
189:14 191:22	396:13,15 443:7	266:4 298:9,16	402:7 431:10,11	<b>willing</b> 138:14
200:6,19 223:14	483:3 521:19	339:15,16,19	431:20 480:21	165:7 319:9 380:7
235:9,10 240:19	524:1 527:16	342:14,17 343:5	516:6	522:16 535:21
241:22 252:21	539:11	343:11,14,15,16	<b>we've</b> 492:10	willingness 521:17
253:22 256:11	weekly 397:3	343:19 344:9,10	whack 527:18	<b>win</b> 426:4
267:12,21 270:22	weeks 16:22 23:1,3	344:13,15,18	whatnot 470:14	wind 423:21
272:19 276:3	23:7 24:4 25:10	346:3,11 371:8	whatsoever 429:6	winded 245:14
280:15 283:11	25:13,15 26:20	373:21 376:5	wheat 59:11 510:4	window 367:18
333:11 334:15	62:13 285:1,2	391:22 392:12,16	510:6,7	winds 413:10,11,12
335:6 349:11	289:19 298:22	392:19,20 393:12	wheels 182:10	413:14 491:10

r				Page 01.
wine 8:22 29:6,9	147:11,17,22	words 50:5 88:9	317:15 328:14	writer 182:12
30:10 31:8,10	150:8,21,22	180:14 254:20	342:13 347:4	writing 354:12
32:8,22 33:19	452:11,11,12,14	422:11 425:2,9	354:9 355:6	written 23:2 43:6
34:5,19 50:21	453:18 454:2	426:15 517:13	364:13 433:13	97:16 112:11
		work 20:5 21:5		
52:15 54:9,22	winning 53:12		435:22 440:11	132:15 134:15
56:19 57:1,21	winter 59:11 83:17	22:1 42:9,19 70:4	503:1 506:9	135:3,7 136:6
58:6,6 107:10,13	244:16 267:18	80:21 82:13,16	works 27:22 238:2	187:2 188:4 256:5
107:17 108:1,8	winters 98:20	85:4 87:6 103:16	368:11 450:16	267:13 268:5
109:2,3,15 110:3	wintertime 240:2	106:15 118:19	454:18	276:15 305:18
110:4,6 111:10,11	wire 392:8	121:13,15 133:10	work-in-progress	318:5,9 353:3
124:21 125:1,9	Wisconsin 378:6	145:21 168:7	237:16	363:22 378:19
126:8,8,18,21	wish 102:22 390:5	175:14,17,19	world 30:3 83:22	415:22 417:1
127:12,20,21	wished 477:22	180:9 182:6,8	98:11 100:6 146:2	424:18 433:10
128:20,22 129:7	507:3 533:11	183:3,4 204:14,19	179:22 183:22	521:22 523:13
146:2,8,15 148:10	witch 65:13	206:8 208:13,22	195:19 301:2	534:11 540:7
148:19,21 149:1	withdraw 251:5	210:17 219:10	304:4,5 310:15	wrong 129:20
150:3 151:7,10,11	withdrawn 81:11	221:10 253:9	376:15 424:9	168:10 272:7
151:15 452:9,17	264:15 426:20	254:4 266:14	437:13 452:13,16	329:11 488:22
452:19,21 453:1,2	withy 292:8	285:20 297:19	453:3 454:6	497:8
453:4,17,18,20	with-organic-gra	301:7 308:20	517:11 519:17	wrote 97:18 509:18
454:9,12,17,22	150:16	317:14 326:2	worldwide 327:18	<b>WSDA</b> 434:18
455:2,3,7,9,12,14	witnessed 254:3	327:4 342:20	458:21	<b>WSU</b> 465:18
455:15	Wittenberg 8:7	349:8 351:6	world's 365:10	Wyard 7:6 10:18
winemaker 4:12	415:15 419:8,10	368:15 369:1	world-class 53:8	354:1,4,6 358:3,5
28:7 107:7 108:9	419:13 423:12,15	423:6 433:6	worms 199:21	358:12 360:13
126:17	woefully 63:13	443:14 471:19	286:14	361:16 362:10,15
winemakers 29:17	379:15	474:15 475:1,14	worried 339:21	536:5,18,19
53:6,10 55:17,20	Wolf 9:22 517:1,9	479:2,3 494:2,4	worry 440:14	538:16 541:1
56:4 57:18 150:9	<b>women</b> 404:6	495:15 521:17	worse 66:19 200:12	
winemaking 28:15	women's 65:15	540:2,12,14 541:8	worst 67:1 100:4	X
31:3,7 32:13	won 28:11 289:4	workable 223:17	worth 300:8	<b>X</b> 270:20,21
110:14 454:16	wonder 18:9	worked 24:7 91:17	wouldn't 174:6,16	<b></b>
wineries 31:12,15	110:10 281:4	97:9 125:7,21	196:7,10 258:7	<u> </u>
51:7 454:13	352:21 401:13	146:9 221:17	273:14 395:2	<b>Y</b> 270:20,21
winery 3:12 4:23	429:7	225:1 283:8,13,14	422:8 463:18	<b>Yahoo</b> 368:20
28:7,7 108:12,15	wonderful 43:8	403:2 450:10	496:14 510:15	<b>yard</b> 375:14 387:19
145:22 146:9,18	477:11	463:22 525:16	511:15	388:8 503:16
147:2 151:6	wondering 193:14	worker 484:8	Woulfin 10:13	yardstick 76:11
452:16,20	194:5 206:21	workers 161:15	525:7 531:18,19	<b>yeah</b> 531:4
wines 28:8,11 29:4	223:14 231:19	working 42:15	532:2 535:4	year 11:19 51:3
31:11 34:7,10,10	266:16 280:10	45:18 49:17 82:2	wounds 497:12	101:22 127:13,14
34:11,22 35:1,2	314:16 360:19	82:3 86:7 88:6	wrap 49:12 162:6	156:11 175:8,19
51:5,8,14,18,22	441:17 505:11	91:16 126:13	206:16 282:16	197:10 199:15
52:6,11,18 53:7,8	wonk 525:18	129:5 133:1	wreaking 446:10	209:15,16 212:13
53:11,16 55:18,22	word 165:2,4	138:21 175:6	wrestles 250:11	252:5 267:9
56:5,13 109:9	220:21 335:16	181:5,18 184:10	write 134:12 310:1	312:14 325:12
110:1,8,10 147:4	wording 292:15	208:12 313:1	394:20 540:18	341:12,20 348:3
110.1,0,10 17/.7	" VI unig 272.13	200.12 313.1	571.20 570.10	396:7 482:6
	I	I	I	I

٦

402 12 407 0	214 14 274 2	1 000 00 0 1 42 20	110 470 11	18550
493:12 497:8	314:14 374:2	<b>1,000</b> 80:8 143:20	<b>118.4</b> 79:11	<b>175</b> 5:8
522:12 528:13	398:12 422:15	<b>1.2</b> 63:9,11	<b>12</b> 16:22 23:1,4,7	<b>18</b> 25:11 29:8 247:2
years 23:13 28:9,12	523:2	<b>1.5</b> 31:10 66:4	24:4 28:9 60:1,21	256:16,17 340:8
29:10 30:12 34:10	<b>yesterday's</b> 131:7	123:17	127:20 176:10	491:2
35:2 51:20 65:1	131:20 264:16	<b>1:00</b> 251:19 260:5	183:9 254:5 331:9	<b>18th</b> 539:12
69:1 83:6 87:21	326:20	<b>1:13</b> 260:8 261:2	396:8 439:4	<b>18-inch</b> 80:8
98:18 112:18	<b>yield</b> 190:17 372:17	<b>10</b> 30:12 35:2 54:19	539:11	<b>180.950</b> 294:11
122:4 124:20	<b>Yipes</b> 368:12	60:13 61:15 126:6	<b>12,000</b> 31:8	<b>183</b> 5:10
126:6,13 127:11	<b>Yoder</b> 452:2	126:12 194:7,18	<b>12:10</b> 251:19	<b>1865</b> 30:18
139:22 140:18	yolks 513:15	195:3,10 196:6	<b>12:14</b> 260:7	<b>189</b> 5:12
143:17,21 144:8	<b>yonder</b> 367:17	205:16 260:5	<b>120-day</b> 104:7	<b>19</b> 464:9
144:10 146:10	York 50:22 51:1	341:7,8 386:5	<b>121</b> 4:14	<b>1920s</b> 65:14
147:1,9 148:1	170:17 389:14	447:17 464:16,17	<b>124</b> 4:16	<b>196</b> 5:14
169:2 177:13	498:7	464:18 480:17	<b>12866</b> 249:11,16	<b>1960</b> 30:20
180:10 184:1,8	<b>young</b> 333:7	<b>10,000</b> 59:18 65:22	250:8	<b>1970s</b> 30:17
189:8 210:12	400:10 496:17	66:2 140:14	<b>13</b> 3:7,8 28:12	<b>1980</b> 184:4
225:1 237:1,21,22	497:11	<b>10-member</b> 361:4	34:10 60:2,9,21	<b>1982</b> 481:13
242:9 251:4 252:4	<b>younger</b> 399:17	<b>10-minute</b> 370:2	285:1	<b>1983-84</b> 391:3
276:14 327:14	Z	10-parts-per-mill	<b>130</b> 4:18	<b>1986</b> 42:17 148:14
342:15 368:3	$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z} 270:20,21}$	150:13	<b>133</b> 126:8	<b>1989</b> 184:6
383:14 385:22	<b>Zareb</b> 7:24 396:1	<b>10-week</b> 341:7	<b>139</b> 4:19	<b>1990</b> 78:16 125:12
387:17 389:15	402:16,22	<b>10:04</b> 121:5	<b>14</b> 86:9 331:9 339:7	<b>1993</b> 315:20 526:3
396:9 402:11	<b>Zea</b> 6:18 203:3,11	<b>100</b> 22:10 25:5	466:22 470:16	<b>1994</b> 315:15
423:1 431:6,8	304:16,17 315:10	28:11 34:10 40:10	515:11	<b>1995</b> 103:21 179:7
432:1 450:8,8,9	315:13 319:8	54:22 55:6 56:21	<b>145</b> 4:21	179:20 326:7
450:11 451:1	<b>Zealand</b> 154:11	125:18 184:2	<b>1450</b> 29:21 30:17	355:15,19 360:1
454:21 455:20	<b>zero</b> 29:15 195:14	200:2 209:16	<b>15</b> 127:22 189:8	419:19
462:1 464:9 468:3	518:16	212:13 270:4	327:14 365:3	<b>1996</b> 227:20 315:15
468:7 470:8	<b>Zuck</b> 5:6 164:8	335:2 364:20	450:8 464:11,12	525:16
488:20 509:9	167:11,13,13	401:1 425:18	491:3 528:17	<b>1997</b> 22:11 107:8
511:21 517:12	171:16 172:3,7,21	452:12 453:10,11	<b>15,433</b> 197:10	284:8
528:18	171:10 172:3,7,21	465:13 486:10	<b>15-minute</b> 120:22	<b>1999</b> 458:22
year-round 35:21	175.2,21	509:18	<b>15-year-old</b> 34:4	2
38:6 341:3	\$	<b>100,000</b> 65:18	<b>150</b> 145:10 401:4 450:1 511:21	$\overline{240:2273:6380:13}$
yeast 110:11	<b>\$3</b> 120:11	380:19	<b>1500</b> 66:14	458:14
yelling 375:10 yesterday 19:22	<b>\$42</b> 490:20	<b>101</b> 4:9 <b>104.2</b> 300:4 301:13	<b>1500</b> 00:14 <b>1514</b> 1:11	<b>2nd</b> 233:5
24:11 34:6 36:15		<b>104.2</b> 300.4 301.13 <b>1040</b> 528:15	<b>1514</b> 1:11 <b>152</b> 4:24	<b>2,000</b> 59:19 60:11
67:13 88:4,11	0	<b>1040</b> 528.15 <b>107</b> 4:11 301:14	<b>152</b> 4.24 <b>158</b> 5:2	381:15
103:13 130:16	<b>0019</b> 320:3	<b>107 4</b> .11 301.14 <b>107.100</b> 103:20	<b>16</b> 364:14 375:2	<b>2.2</b> 153:13
137:18 139:10	<b>01</b> 150:1	300:9 301:14	429:19	<b>2.5</b> 450:2
168:5 173:22		<b>109.1</b> 103:19	<b>1600</b> 65:2	<b>2:56</b> 370:6
189:5 218:11	1	<b>109.1</b> 103.19 <b>11</b> 3:2,4 227:9	<b>1600</b> 65:2 <b>164</b> 5:4	<b>20</b> 22:7 59:19 62:6
220:5 231:4	<b>1</b> 12:10 39:22 65:18	<b>11</b> 5:2,4 227:9 <b>11-12</b> 391:13	<b>164</b> 5:4 <b>166</b> 149:16	147:22 173:12
261:11 262:1	65:22 66:2 123:18	<b>11-12</b> 391:13 <b>112</b> 4:13 126:17	<b>160</b> 149:16 <b>167</b> 5:6	189:13 194:6,9,12
264:6,13 273:13	286:13 453:2	<b>112</b> 4.13 120.17 <b>115</b> 209:16	<b>17</b> 247:2 368:21	194:17 195:3
305:10,20 306:21	459:12,12 460:16	<b>113</b> 209.10 <b>118</b> 126:17	<b>17</b> 247.2 508.21 <b>17th</b> 538:14	242:9 331:15
505.10,20 500.21	<b>1st</b> 44:14 51:3	110120.17	<b>1701</b> JJ0.14	
			I	

332:6 365:3 368:2	<b>216</b> 5:18	<b>31</b> 60:1,9,10	<b>432</b> 8:12	<b>541</b> 10:22
447:14 450:8	<b>22</b> 62:13 515:12	<b>315</b> 6:18	<b>437</b> 8:14	55 77:14
465:12 470:8	<b>225</b> 5:19	<b>325</b> 6:19	<b>442</b> 8:16	<b>58</b> 3:18
534:20	<b>23rd</b> 51:2	<b>327</b> 430:7	<b>445</b> 8:18	<b>580</b> 438:7
<b>20th</b> 13:10	<b>236</b> 5:21	<b>329</b> 6:21	<b>448</b> 8:20	
<b>20,000</b> 248:12	<b>24</b> 294:16 332:5	<b>332</b> 6:23	<b>45</b> 212:12	6
396:6	<b>2400</b> 208:2	<b>337</b> 6:25	<b>450,000</b> 153:18	<b>6</b> 144:10
<b>200</b> 287:5 327:15	<b>249</b> 5:23	<b>342</b> 7:2	<b>451</b> 8:21	<b>6:06</b> 541:21
396:5,7 442:14	<b>25</b> 74:5 78:8 99:16	<b>348</b> 7:4	<b>456</b> 8:23	<b>60</b> 36:4 141:8 191:9
<b>2000</b> 86:3 419:19	331:15 332:6	<b>35</b> 3:13 51:7 139:22	<b>46,000</b> 288:4	330:6
490:15	364:17 447:11	383:11,17 450:7	<b>463</b> 9:2	<b>60,000</b> 286:6
<b>2002</b> 250:21 251:9	<b>250</b> 147:8 425:18	<b>353</b> 7:6	<b>47</b> 153:21	<b>60-day</b> 13:9
286:10 460:20,22	<b>2500</b> 99:18	<b>363</b> 7:8	<b>471</b> 9:4	<b>600</b> 184:9
<b>2003</b> 80:16 452:10	<b>251</b> 5:25	<b>366</b> 7:11	<b>480</b> 9:6	<b>601</b> 312:20
<b>2005</b> 86:4 252:3	<b>26</b> 276:14	<b>37</b> 73:11 237:1	<b>483</b> 80:2	<b>601(j)(9)</b> 503:21
261:19 330:9	<b>262</b> 6:2	<b>370</b> 7:13	<b>486</b> 9:8	<b>605</b> 312:21
355:3	<b>268</b> 6:4	<b>374</b> 7:14	<b>489</b> 9:11	<b>605</b> ( <b>a</b> ) 305:15,16
<b>2006</b> 294:11 355:4	<b>27</b> 286:4	<b>377</b> 7:17	<b>49</b> 153:15 155:17	<b>605(b)</b> 507:5
<b>2007</b> 138:22	<b>273</b> 533:15	<b>38</b> 124:20	156:9	<b>606</b> 256:2,8
2008 98:4 175:12	<b>276</b> 6:6	<b>381</b> 15:22	<b>497</b> 9:14	<b>613,000</b> 98:18
176:10 257:22	<b>28</b> 1:8 3:12 77:8	<b>384,000</b> 452:17		<b>64</b> 3:20
303:16 429:13,18	97:6 124:21	<b>386</b> 7:18	5	<b>65</b> 29:5 99:16 287:3
2009 78:21 235:17	<b>282</b> 6:8	<b>389</b> 7:21	<b>5</b> 12:1 90:14,17,18	<b>65,000</b> 482:6
305:5 355:6	<b>285</b> 6:9	<b>395</b> 7:22	92:3 99:1 144:7	<b>650</b> 19:3
2010 80:1 127:13	<b>287</b> 6:11	<b>399</b> 7:24	209:14 371:10	<b>68,000</b> 286:7
153:12 252:3	<b>29</b> 77:8		396:21	
433:13 452:16	<b>291</b> 6:13	4	<b>5,000</b> 248:13 482:2	7
482:7 538:11,14	<b>297</b> 6:14	<b>4</b> 31:9 124:2 127:18	<b>5-foot</b> 80:8	<b>7,000</b> 476:18
<b>2011</b> 1:8 11:7 44:14		144:7 307:5	<b>5:11</b> 480:20	<b>70</b> 368:20
252:12 258:3	3	323:14 324:7	<b>50</b> 3:17 29:10 31:9	<b>72</b> 3:22
524:18 539:12	<b>3</b> 154:3 287:3	504:20	83:18 140:18	<b>75</b> 443:2
2012 154:9,21	323:16 324:21	<b>4's</b> 324:22	191:11 227:10	<b>77</b> 127:12
452:17	504:20	<b>4,000</b> 378:7	442:15 465:12	<b>78</b> 3:23 405:1
<b>2014</b> 153:8 155:4	<b>3,000</b> 82:6 208:3	<b>4:57</b> 480:19	467:15	0
319:16	<b>3:13</b> 370:7	<b>40</b> 287:4 294:11	<b>50,000</b> 82:8	8
205.204 308:11	<b>30</b> 28:9 35:20 69:1	510:6 517:12	<b>50-hour</b> 229:14	<b>8,000-year</b> 28:14
<b>205.601</b> 294:7	104:7 124:20	533:16	<b>500</b> 396:22 460:16	28:21 31:7
296:19 307:17	184:1,8 194:7	<b>400,000</b> 490:17	534:10	8:00 1:10 11:2
205.601(k) 460:1	195:2,4 452:18	<b>405</b> 8:2	<b>501</b> 9:15	541:18
<b>205.605(a)</b> 537:16	531:11	<b>410</b> 8:4	<b>508</b> 9:17	80 365:11
538:19	<b>30,000</b> 147:9	<b>415</b> 8:5	<b>51</b> 156:1,13	<b>800</b> 476:12
<b>205.605(b)</b> 403:7	390:13 501:1	<b>419</b> 8:7	<b>512</b> 9:20	<b>82</b> 460:20 486:9
<b>207</b> 5:16	<b>30-year</b> 32:13	<b>42</b> 3:15	<b>516</b> 9:22	<b>85</b> 4:2 331:1,5
<b>21</b> 3:10 79:11	186:4	<b>423</b> 8:9	<b>521</b> 9:24	<b>87</b> 389:15
515:12	300 28:11 34:9	<b>428</b> 8:10	<b>525</b> 10:9	9
<b>21st</b> 154:21	482:1	<b>43</b> 77:9 447:4 476:8	<b>531</b> 10:13	
<b>213</b> (1) <b>4</b> .21 <b>2120</b> (f) 78:17	<b>304</b> 6:16	501:11	<b>536</b> 10:16	9 15:22
				<b>9:42</b> 121:4
	I	I	I	I

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

## Page 615

<b>9:56</b> 121:1,2 <b>90</b> 25:5 73:6 331:1 337:2 510:4 <b>914</b> 501:2 <b>93</b> 128:20 <b>95</b> 4:6 54:14 211:17 501:12 <b>97</b> 4:8 <b>98</b> 501:13		

#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: National Organic Standards Board

Before: USDA

Date: 04-28-11

Place: Seattle, WA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near A ans f

Court Reporter

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433