DRAFT

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED TO THE NATIONAL LIST

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance Proteinated Chelates

Overtice	Vac	Ma	N/A ¹	Decumentation
Question	Yes	No	N/A	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1 A (1				(TAT, pention, regulatory agency, other)
1. Are there adverse effects on			v	
environment from manufacture,			X	
use, or disposal?				
[\$205.600 b.2]				
2. Is there environmental		37		
contamination during		X		
manufacture, use, misuse, or				
disposal? [§6518 m.3]		37		
3. Is the substance harmful to the		X		The amounts are used are very small, thus any impact
environment?				would be small, Supplemental TAP pg 1
[§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]				
4. Does the substance contain List				
1, 2, or 3 inerts?			X	
[§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]				
5. Is there potential for				Mineral chelation is used to increase the bioavailability of
detrimental chemical interaction		X		minerals in animal food. Only livestock would have access
with other materials used?				to the chelated minerals.
[§6518 m.1]				
6. Are there adverse biological				No interactions are expected; Supplemental TAP pg 2
and chemical interactions in agro-		X		
ecosystem? [§6518 m.5]				
7. Are there detrimental				The quantities of minerals (e.g., copper and zinc) in
physiological effects on soil		X		livestock feed, along with the protein chelate, is so small
organisms, crops, or livestock?				that any interaction with soil, soil organisms, or crops is
[§6518 m.5]				unlikely.
8. Is there a toxic or other adverse				There can be if concentration is high; there may be negative
action of the material or its	X			impacts on soil and soil organisms. Zinc and copper are
breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]				only slowly removed from soil. Supplemental TAP pg 3
9. Is there undesirable persistence				If the amounts used in livestock feed were excessive
or concentration of the material or	X			(unlikely due to cost) then some of the minerals, e.g., zinc
breakdown products in				and copper are only slowly removed from the soil.
environment?[§6518 m.2]				Supplemental TAP pg 3
10. Is there any harmful effect on				
human health?		X		
[§6517 c (1)(A)(i); 6517 c(2)(A)i;				
§6518 m.4]				
11. Is there an adverse effect on				
human health as defined by			X	
applicable Federal regulations?				
[205.600 b.3]				
12. Is the substance GRAS when				
used according to FDA's good			X	
manufacturing practices?				
[§205.600 b.5]				
13. Does the substance contain				
residues of heavy metals or other			X	
contaminants in excess of FDA				
tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]				

¹If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

DRAFT

Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production? Substance Proteinated Chelates

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
2. Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]			X	
3. Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]			X	
4. Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]		X		Non-chelated minerals but this would require more of the mineral to be added to feed, Supplemental TAP pg 1
5. Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]			X	
6. Is there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	X			Non-chelated minerals but this would require more of the mineral to be added to feed, Supplemental TAP pg 1
7. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]		X		Well-balanced nutritious soil would be a substitute but this is not available for all animals at all times (e.g., during a health crisis).

 $^{^{1}}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b)are N/A—not applicable.

DRAFT

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices?

Substance Proteinated Chelates

Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1. Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [§205.600 b.2]			X	
2. Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]	X			Proteinated chelates do not have a sufficient quantity of protein to act as a supplement; they only increase bioavailability of mineral, Supplemental TAP pgs 1, 2
3. Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]	X			Preventative management is enhanced as the livestock will obtain minerals added to feed more readily not only improving animal health but decreasing the risk of contamination from inorganic mineral supplements; The use of proteinated mineral complexes will have a positive influence on animal health and welfare; the economic viability of organic farm operations will be promoted
4. Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [\$205.600 b.3]			X	
5. Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]			X	
6. Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]			X	
7. Is the substance used in production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories: a. copper and sulfur compounds;	X			Chelated minerals include copper, TAP and Supplemental TAP
b. toxins derived from bacteria;		X	L	
c. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals?		X		
d. livestock parasiticides and medicines?		X		
e. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?		X		

 $^{^{1}}$ If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION

Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: May		Substance: <u>Chelates Minerals</u>								
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached)										
				Criteria Satisf	fied?					
Impact on human	ns and environmen	nt			ÿ (see B below)					
Availability criteri				-	ÿ (see B below)					
3. Compatibility & consistency Yes $\ddot{\boldsymbol{y}}$ No $\ddot{\boldsymbol{y}}$ (see B below)										
	(notation:								
B. Substance fails criteria?										
Criteria category:	_	Basis for annota	tion:							
Comments:	-	To meet criteria	above:	_ Criteria: _						
	(Other regulatory	criteria:	_ Citation:_						
D. Final Board Action & Vo	ote: Motion by:		Sec	ond:						
		1		ır —						
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural	Nonagricul		Crops	X					
Yes:	Synthetic	Not synthe		Livestock	^					
No:	Allowed ¹	Prohibited ²	-	Handling						
Abstain:	No restriction	Deferred4		Rejected ³						
Austain										
	1—substance vote	d to be added a	s "allowed" o	n National List	t					
Annotation:										
	2—substance to be	a added to "prob	ihited" parag	ranh of Nation						
Describe why a prohibited										
(3—substance was	rejected by vote	for amendin	g National List	t					
Describe why material was	rejected:									
	4-substance was re			no conducts fo	ollow-					
Describe why deferred; if any follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who conducts follow-up										
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP:										
De la MOOD OLIVE										
Dave Carter, NOSB Chair Date										
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List: 👸										
Return to NOSB 👸 Reason:										
Dishard II Mathawa Darawa Marawa										
Richard H. Mathews, Program Manager Date										

NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: April 2004 Substance: Chelates Minerals								
Committee: Crops ÿ	Livestock X	На	ndling ÿ					
A. Evaluation Criteria (Documentation attached; committee recommendation attached) Criteria Satisfied? 1. Impact on humans and environment Yes \(\bar{y} \) No \(\bar{x} \) (see B below) 2. Availability criteria Yes \(\bar{x} \) No \(\bar{y} \) (see B below)								
3. Compatibility & consistency Yes $f X$ No $f y$ (see B below)								
C. Proposed Annotation: B. Substance fails criteria? Criteria category:1 Comments: concern of copper and zinc in soil/on soil organisms C. Proposed Annotation: Basis for annotation: To meet criteria above: Criteria:								
		Oth	ner regulatory	criteria:	_ Citation	n:		
D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote: Motion by: George Simeon Seconded: Mike Lacy Per recommendation from May 2002 NOSB meeting we reviewed chelated minerals. We recommend continued use of chelated minerals.								
<u>Vote</u> :	Agricultural		Nonagricult	tural X	Crops			
Yes: <u>4</u>	Synthetic	X	Not synthe	tic	Livestock	(Х	
No: _0_	Allowed ¹	X	Prohibited ²		Handling			
<u></u>	No restriction Deferred4 Rejected ³							
Abstain:0 1—substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List Annotation: 2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List Describe why a prohibited substance:								
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List Describe why material was rejected:								
4-substance was recommended to be deferred Describe why deferred; if follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who will follow up								
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:								
Committee Chair Date								