

Ethylene

Crops

Identification of Petitioned Substance

Chemical Names:

ethylene

CAS Numbers:

74-85-1

Other Name:

Ethane, elayl, olefiant gas

Other Codes:

DOT #: UN 1962/UN 1938

Trade Names:

N/A

Supplemental Information

Ethylene Gas for the Induction of Flowering in Pineapple

Background:

Ethylene gas is included in the National List (7 CFR 205.601(k)) as a plant growth regulator, for the induction of pineapple flowering. In support of the sunset review of ethylene, the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) crops committee has requested the following information:

1. Is there a continuing need for this material?
2. What is the current use pattern for this substance, and is it limited to a certain scale of production or are different size operations using it?
3. Do any new alternatives exist for this use for different scales of production?
4. Does continued allowance favor large scale production schemes and provide a disincentive for cooperative smaller scale production entities?

Responses to the Questions:**1. Is there a continuing need for this material?**

The market for organic pineapple has reportedly increased over the past decade in both the US and EU. Some have attributed this growth in large part to the approval of the use of ethylene gas to induce flowering in organic pineapple production, which occurred in 2002 in the US and 2005 in the EU (Pay, 2009; Kleemann and Effenberger, 2010). Controlled flower induction allows for the best possible management of plantations and results in better production (Soler et al., 2006; PIP, 2006; Van de Poel et al., 2009b; Maruthasalam et al., 2010). Natural methods of "forcing" the pineapple to flower are known, however a review of the available literature suggests that those methods are not commonly used. Recent research on pineapple flower induction has been mainly focused on how to optimize the effectiveness of available agents, including ethylene, and how to make ethylene use affordable and practical for small organic producers. Therefore, it can be concluded that the use of ethylene gas remains important to organic pineapple production.

2. What is the current use pattern for this substance, and is it limited to a certain scale of production or are different size operations using it?

No specific usage data could be found for ethylene gas in organic pineapple production. Therefore, the current use pattern is not specifically known. However, the literature is clear that some type of forcing agent is used by most pineapple producers (conventional and organic), and the most frequently used

50 commercial induction agents are ethephon (2-chloro-ethyl phosphonic acid) and ethylene gas (Van de Poel
51 et al., 2009a). In general, ethylene gas is considered to be the most effective forcing agent available, but is
52 not always used by small producers because of high cost and difficulty of application (Van de Poel et al.,
53 2009a; Maruthasalam et al., 2010; da Cunha, 2005; PIP, 2007). Large producers of organic pineapples are
54 likely using ethylene gas injected into water under pressure and applied with a boom sprayer over the
55 plants (Van de Poel et al., 2009a). This process is usually initiated 7 to 15 months after planting, and
56 sometimes application is repeated 2 to 3 days after the initial application. Many small organic producers
57 likely cannot afford the expensive equipment needed to apply ethylene gas in this manner. It is unclear if
58 small producers in the U.S. are using ethylene gas. However, there is evidence in the literature that small
59 organic producers in East Africa are successfully applying ethylene gas using handheld small boom
60 sprayers or applying activated charcoal enriched with ethylene directly into the center of the plants (Soler
61 et al., 2006). The research on these techniques will be described in more detail in the next section.

62

63 3. Do any new alternatives exist for this use for different scales of production?

64

65 The commercially available treatments for floral induction of the pineapple worldwide have not changed
66 since the 1999 TAP review for ethylene gas. These include ethephon, ethylene gas, acetylene, and
67 acetylene-releasing calcium carbide. In conventional pineapple production, large producers tend to use
68 ethylene gas or ethephon applied by boom sprayers over the whole plants, while small producers tend to
69 use calcium carbide tablets applied to the center of each plant by hand (Bartholomew et al., 2003).

70 Treatments that are less common but have been explored in the past include a-naphthalene acetic acid
71 (NAA), b-naphthalene acetic acid (BNA), indole butyric acid (IBA), 2,4 dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D),
72 succinic acid, hydroxyethylhydrazine (HOH) and b-hydroxyethylhydrazine (BOH) (da Cunha, 2005;
73 Bartholomew et al., 2003). Alternative natural methods to induce pineapple flowering include cold stress,
74 smoke, exposure to ripe fruits, and selective tilling of the weeds and cutting back of trees in agroforestry
75 systems. In regards to the latter method, the influx of light caused by trimming back vegetation near the
76 pineapple plant is believed to be the causative agent in flower induction (UNCTAD, 2003, pg. 123). With
77 the exception of cold stress, no current information could be found on the effectiveness or prevalence of
78 any of these alternative natural methods.

79

80 No new alternatives for ethylene gas for different scales of production have been identified. However,
81 there have been recent developments in alternative techniques for small producers to use ethylene gas and
82 cold stress for the induction of pineapple flowering. This research is described below:

83

84 New Methods to Apply Ethylene

85

86 Small device handled by a single operator: Researchers at the French Agricultural Research Centre for
87 International Development (CIRAD) developed a technique for small pineapple producers in West Africa
88 that enables the application of gaseous ethylene with only minimal equipment (Soler et al., 2006). This
89 technique is a scaled down version of the technique used by large producers. The system involves the use
90 of a double hose mounted on a tractor attached to a double nozzle applicator that can be held by a single
91 operator. This allows for the treatment of a double pineapple row while the tractor remains outside of the
92 treatment plot. The necessary equipment includes: tractor, small boom sprayer (600 to 1000 L), access to
93 bottled ethylene, the ethylene injector, and activated charcoal. The pumping system should allow constant
94 recirculation of the water/activated charcoal solution toward the tank and must have an appropriate
95 pressure regulator. An ethylene injection device is required to allow gas to be injected into the water in
96 very small bubbles. The current pattern of use for this system in organic pineapple production is
97 unknown.

98

99 Dry activated charcoal enriched with ethylene: Researchers at CIRAD/France and the Gembloux
100 Agricultural University (FUSAGx/Belgium) developed another technique for small pineapple producers in
101 West Africa based on the fact that ethylene is extremely efficient for floral induction when it is placed
102 directly in the center of the plant and that activated charcoal has the capability to fix gases (Soler et al.,
103 2006; PIP, 2006; PIP, 2007). The technique involves the injection of ethylene into activated charcoal which is
104 then applied to the plants. In order to enrich the activated charcoal, it is placed in a plastic bag or other

105 container under vacuum pressure. Pure ethylene is then injected into the bag and stays in contact with the
106 activated charcoal for 3 minutes under atmospheric pressure. The cycle is repeated. This enrichment
107 process requires: a vacuum pump, a bottle of ethylene with a regulator, an adapted airtight container, a
108 gauge and tubes, valves, fittings, and filters. The enriched activated charcoal is applied directly to the
109 center of the plants dry or suspended in water. Dry treatment with granules or powder is used when
110 enough water is already present in the heart of the plants whereas wet application is used when no water is
111 present. Several trials [funded by the European Pesticides Initiative Programme (PIP)] have studied this
112 technique on plantations in Cameroon, Togo, and Ghana since 2005. Results from those trials have shown
113 that this technique makes it possible to induce flowering in approximately 90% of treated pineapple plants
114 6 to 8 weeks following a single treatment (PIP, 2006). In the case of using wet application, a second
115 application three days later tended to increase the chance of success to close to 100%. Results for two
116 specific trials reported by Soler et al. (2006) support these conclusions. In one trial conducted on a
117 plantation in Cameroon, a range between 80 and 90% of plants flowered following a single application of
118 activated charcoal containing 6% ethylene. The results were similar for both dry powder application and
119 mixed with water. In another experiment conducted on a plantation in Martinique, forcing with one
120 application of a water suspension containing activated charcoal enriched with 1 or 2% ethylene resulted in
121 between 90 and 100% of plants flowered in all groups with the exception of one group having only 76%.
122 Comparison was made to the standard ethephon treatment (Ethrel + urea in water) which resulted in 97%
123 of plants flowered. Soler et al. (2006) concluded that further studies are needed to develop a commercial
124 product (activated charcoal enriched with ethylene) that is stable and can easily be used by small
125 producers. A different ethylene-releasing agent was mentioned by Soler et al. (2006) as being very efficient
126 in forcing pineapple to flower: Ethylene Clathrate by Air Liquide. However, Soler et al. (2006) state that
127 this product could not be developed commercially because its only use was for pineapple forcing. As of
128 2007, the PIP was working to establish enrichment workshops in Cameroon, Ghana, and Togo in order to
129 supply small producers with ethylene-enriched activated charcoal (PIP, 2007).

130
131 An online search shows one company that claims to sell enriched activated charcoal for flower induction
132 treatment. TIFBio is a company based in Brussels, Belgium with the following claim on their website:
133 "Our mission statement is to offer to pineapple producers full access to the new flower Induction technique
134 developed by CIRAD and FUSAGx researchers for the Pesticide Initiative Program (PIP) of the
135 COLEACP." (TIFBio). This company claims to sell enriched activated charcoal or the equipment needed
136 for producers to perform the enrichment process themselves. They also offer training on this technique.
137 The products are not for sale directly on their website, but an email address is provided to request further
138 information. The current pattern of use for this system in organic pineapple production is unknown.

139
140 Zeothene (zeolite containing ethylene gas) and ethylene dissolved in water applied to the central cup of the
141 plant: Researchers at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (Catholic University Leuven) in Belgium
142 developed a novel pineapple flower induction agent called zeothene (also known as "ethylene pills") (Van
143 de Poel, 2009a). This agent was mainly developed for small producers as it requires application by hand.
144 Zeothenes are zeolite pearls which contain ethylene gas which is released upon contact with water. The
145 weight of each zeothene pill is approximately 13.5 mg and it contains about 0.7 mL of pure ethylene gas.
146 The pills (3-4) are dropped into the central cup of each plant where they come in contact with standing
147 water. A trial was conducted at a commercial pineapple plantation in Ecuador that compared the
148 efficiency of flower induction in the MD-2 hybrid pineapple cultivar with three different concentrations of
149 zeothenes, ethephon, ethylene gas field application, and three different concentrations of ethylene gas
150 dissolved in water (with and without activated charcoal). Each of the treatments was applied directly to
151 the central cup of the plants, with the exception of the commercial ethylene gas field application in which
152 the entire plants were sprayed with a mixture containing activated charcoal. Each treatment was applied
153 8-9 months after planting to 100 plants and was repeated three times. The percentage of plants in the same
154 flowering stage 73 days after induction was measured for each treatment. The results showed that
155 zeothene and ethylene dissolved in water (applied to the central cup of the plant) were more effective at
156 inducing homogenous flowering than both ethephon and ethylene gas field application. The percentage of
157 plants in the same flowering stage (3rd flower) was 92.7% for zeothene treatments and ranged from 83.0-
158 95.0% for ethylene gas dissolved in water. Surprisingly, the percentage was highest for the lowest
159 concentration of ethylene dissolved in water (0.195 g/L), and the presence of activated charcoal did not

160 significantly affect the results at that dose level. At 73 days after induction, plants treated with ethephon
161 were still in the 2nd flower stage and the homogeneity percentage was only 82.6%. The commercial field
162 application with ethylene gas resulted in a homogeneity percentage of only 78.4% (3rd flower stage) which
163 was significantly less ($p < 0.05$) than the results for both zeothene and the lowest dose of ethylene dissolved
164 in water. As an additional experiment, several different concentrations of activated charcoal were tested in
165 flasks to determine the optimal concentration to stimulate ethylene gas absorption. The results showed
166 that only very high concentrations (5%) were effective at stimulating ethylene gas absorption. In typical
167 commercial field applications of ethylene gas, activated charcoal is included in the carrier water solution at
168 a concentration of only 0.286%. The results from this study suggest that concentration provides no
169 advantage over plain water in the induction of pineapple flowering. Furthermore, this study demonstrates
170 the higher flower induction efficiency of central cup applications over whole plant treatments. The current
171 pattern of use for central cup applications of ethylene dissolved in water in organic pineapple production is
172 unknown. No products have been identified with the name or description of “zeothene,” “ethylene pills,”
173 or “zeolite containing ethylene.”

174

175 **Refined Methods for Using Cold Treatments**

176

177 Researchers at the National Chung Hsing University in Taiwan conducted an experiment to determine the
178 effectiveness of ice and ice water treatments on the production of ethylene in the lab as well as flowering in
179 the field using the ‘Tainon 17’ pineapple cultivar (highly sensitive to natural flowering during cool winter
180 months) (Maruthasalam, 2010). This method was of interest to the researchers as an alternative to ethylene
181 gas for small organic producers. The authors mention that although anecdotal comments indicate that cold
182 water has been used by organic pineapple producers to induce flowering, no recent published studies on
183 the topic were found. In the laboratory experiment, the researchers placed 500 g of ice crystals on the
184 rosettes of 12-month old greenhouse pineapple plants and then measured the ethylene gas production by
185 excised tissues of the shoot apex or basal white portion of a “D” leaf (the excised tissues were exposed to
186 cycloheximide in order to prevent the interference of wound-induced ethylene production). Results
187 showed that ethylene production was greater in the apical tissue than in the “D” leaf bases, and production
188 from ice-treated apical tissue was twice that of control apical tissue only 4 hours after excision. This
189 prompted the researchers to study the effects of ice treatments in the field.

190

191 The field experiments were conducted in Taiwan during the 2006–2007 and 2007–2008 growing seasons on
192 11-month old plants. Treatments were completed in late October. In the first growing year, two different
193 cold treatments were compared with control (25°C water) and calcium carbide treatments. For the ice
194 treatment, 500 g of ice crystals in nylon mesh were placed in the center leaf rosette of plants once or twice
195 (at 24 hr intervals). For the cold water treatment, about 500 mL of ice water was poured directly on the
196 rosettes once or twice (at 24 hr intervals). The results from this first experiment showed that 1–2 ice
197 treatments neither promoted nor inhibited induction of flowering, but appeared to increase the plants’
198 sensitivity to natural flowering in the coming winter months. The plants treated with calcium carbide were
199 100% flowered by mid-December, while those treated with ice or ice water did not begin flowering until
200 the end of February with 100% flowering achieved in April. Based on these results, the cold treatments
201 were increased for the second year. Plants were treated 3 or 4 times with 500 g to 2 kg of ice crystals or 500
202 mL of ice water at 24 hr intervals starting in mid-October. Calcium carbide, ethephon and control water
203 (25 °C) treatments were also conducted. The results showed that four applications of ice or ice water were
204 comparable in efficacy to calcium carbide and ethephon treatments, although flowering was delayed by 3–
205 4 weeks. A possible explanation for the delay is that bud development following cold stress is a
206 cumulative effect within the plant while application of ethylene or acetylene (via ethephon or calcium
207 carbide) results in a direct effect. Surprisingly, four applications of ice water (500 mL) were more efficient
208 at inducing flowering than four applications of 500 g of ice. Furthermore, the results for four treatments
209 with ice water were about equal to the results for four treatments with 2 kg of ice. The current use pattern
210 for cold treatments to induce flowering in organic pineapple production is unknown.

211

212 **4. Does continued allowance favor large scale production schemes and provide a disincentive for**
213 **cooperative smaller scale production entities?**
214

215 As mentioned in the response to Question 2, small scale organic pineapple production entities likely cannot
216 afford the expensive equipment needed for whole plant application of ethylene gas in large fields (da
217 Cunha, 2005; PIP, 2007). However, no direct evidence has been found in the available information that
218 allowance of ethylene gas for use in organic farming is placing small-scale producers at a disadvantage.
219 There is evidence that small organic producers outside of the U.S. have adapted modified techniques to
220 apply ethylene gas, such as small handheld boom sprayers or manual application of activated charcoal
221 enriched with ethylene or ethylene dissolved in water. It is unknown if small organic pineapple producers
222 in the U.S. are employing similar techniques. For small organic pineapple producers that cannot use
223 ethylene at all, repeated treatments with ice or ice water have been shown to be effective at flower
224 induction in sensitive cultivars. Therefore, it can be assumed that the allowance of ethylene gas does not
225 provide a significant disincentive for cooperative smaller scale production entities. However, due to a lack
226 of specific data on how many small organic producers are able to survive in the current market, this cannot
227 be known for certain.

228
229 References Cited:

- 230
231 Bartholomew, D.P., Malezieux, E., Sanewski, G.M. and E. Sinclair. 2003. Inflorescence, and fruit
232 development and yield. In: Bartholomew, D.P., Paull, R. and K.G. Rohrbach (eds). The pineapple: botany,
233 production and uses. CABI Publishing: Wallingford, UK, pp. 167-202.
234
235 Da Cunha, G.A.P. 2005. Applied aspect of pineapple flowering. *Bragantia*, Campinas 64(4): 499-516.
236
237 Kleemann, L. and A. Effenberger. 2010. Price Transmission in the Pineapple Market - What Role for
238 Organic Fruit? Kiel Working Papers No. 1626, Kiel Institute for the World Economy, Kiel, Germany.
239 Retrieved January 24, 2011 from [http://www.ifw-members.ifw-kiel.de/publications/price-transmission-](http://www.ifw-members.ifw-kiel.de/publications/price-transmission-in-the-pineapple-market-2013-what-role-for-organic-fruit-1/kap-1626)
240 [in-the-pineapple-market-2013-what-role-for-organic-fruit-1/kap-1626](http://www.ifw-members.ifw-kiel.de/publications/price-transmission-in-the-pineapple-market-2013-what-role-for-organic-fruit-1/kap-1626). Also available at www.ifw-kiel.de.
241
242 Maruthasalam, S., Shiu, L.Y., Loganathan, M., Lien, W.C., Liu, Y.L., Sun, C.M., Yu, C.W., Hung, S.H., Ko, Y.
243 and C.H. Lin. 2010. Forced flowering of pineapple (*Ananas comosus* cv. Tainon 17) in response to cold
244 stress, ethephon and calcium carbide with or without activated charcoal. *Plant Growth Regul* 60: 83-90.
245
246 Pay, E. 2009. The market for organic and fair-trade mangoes and pineapples. Food and Agriculture
247 Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2009. Retrieved January 18, 2011 from <http://www.fao.org>.
248
249 PIP (Pesticides Initiative Programme). 2006. Organic pineapple: flower induction technique available to
250 small producers. In: InfoPIP Newsletter no. 36, October 2006. Retrieved January 18, 2011 from
251 <http://pip.coleacp.org/en/infopip>.
252
253 PIP (Pesticides Initiative Programme). 2007. Ethylene flower induction in pineapple: the active carbon
254 enrichment technique is expanding. In: InfoPIP Newsletter no. 40, August 2007. Retrieved January 18, 2011
255 from <http://pip.coleacp.org/en/infopip>.
256
257 Soler, A., Teisson, C., Dole, B. and P.A. Marie Alphonsine. 2006. Forcing in pineapple: what is new? In:
258 Bartholomew, D.P. (ed.). *Pineapple News* 13: 27-30. Retrieved January 18, 2011 from [http://www.ishs-](http://www.ishs-horticulture.org/workinggroups/pineapple)
259 [horticulture.org/workinggroups/pineapple](http://www.ishs-horticulture.org/workinggroups/pineapple).
260
261 TifBIO (website) <http://www.tifbio.com>. Accessed on January 18, 2011.
262
263 UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development). 2003. Organic fruit and vegetables
264 from the tropics. United Nations publication UNCTAD/DITC/COM/2003/2, New York and Geneva,
265 2003, pp. 119-128. Retrieved January 18, 2011 from <http://www.unctad.org>.
266

- 267 Van de Poel, B., Ceusters, J. and M.P. De Proft. 2009a. Determination of pineapple (*Ananas comosus*, MD-2
268 hybrid cultivar) plant maturity, the efficiency of flowering induction agents and the use of activated
269 carbon. *Scientia Horticulturae* 120: 58-63.
270
- 271 Van de Poel, B., Ceusters, J. and M.P. De Proft. 2009b. MD-2 Pineapple plant maturity and flowering. In:
272 Bartholomew, D.P. (ed.). *Pineapple News* 16: 10-11. Retrieved January 18, 2011 from [http://www.ishs-
horticulture.org/workinggroups/pineapple](http://www.ishs-
273 horticulture.org/workinggroups/pineapple).