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JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: The hearing will come to order, please. Back on the record.

I note that counsel are present. Mr . Quirós, Mr. Davis, are you ready to proceed?

MR. DAVIS: We are ready to proceed, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.
MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we'd like to call our first witness, Jeff Worn.

Whereupon,

## JEFF WORN

having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Proceed.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you, Your Honor. Just one moment, let me distribute copies. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Jeff, tell us what you did to
yourself. We all want to know.
A I broke my leg in high school playing football, that's about the extent of it.

Q Did you just get out of high school?
A No. This is the fifth surgery I've had on my leg, the second one this year, and I think this is the last one I'll have to have. I had an ankle fusion in June. I get my cast off this afternoon; you guys are lucky I'm here.

Q Well, Jeff, thank you for making the effort. Didn't realize it was going to be such an effort for you, but appreciate you coming out.

A No problem.
Q Jeff, before we start, have you had an opportunity to receive what we've marked Exhibit 1 which is labeled Hearing Notice in this proceeding, and it was from the USDA and in it includes the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans.

A I have.
Q And have you received what has been marked Exhibit 23 in this hearing which is an

```
economic analysis of the implementation of a
Federal Marketing Order for pecans, the executive
summary prepared by Dr. Marco Palma and Dr.
Daniel Chavez?
A I have.
Q Thank you. I understand you have testimony for us.
```

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you please read it into the record?

A Sure. My name is Jeff Worn. I live in Valdosta, Georgia. I'm an owner of South Georgia Pecan Company.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Did you spell your
name yet?
MR. QUIR S: Excuse me, Jeff. Do you need to swear him in, Your Honor?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I did that.
BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Could you spell your name?
A It's J-E-F-F is my first name, and my last name is $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}$.

Q Take your time, Mr. Worn.
A I'm an owner of South Georgia Pecan in Valdosta, Georgia. Our business was started in 1913, and I grew up in the business. I was born in 1985. My father actually bought the business in 1983. I worked there through middles school until the Labor Department got on my dad for letting me work in the plant being that young, and I went on through high school working at the plant, buying pecans, doing whatever I could, staying involved in the business. When I graduated college in 2008, I became I guess what you would call a full-time employee. A copy of my resume has been given to counsel here.

Q And it's attached to this testimony. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Thank you.
A And we're one of the largest suppliers of pecan meats in the world, selling to chain restaurants, confectionaries, ingredient users, large retailers and a host of other customers.

We're a large sheller/handler under the Small
Business Administration definition, meaning retail sales from shelling of over $\$ 7$ million.

The pecan industry is changing
rapidly. It is a challenging environment characterized by fluctuating prices, fluctuating supply and a lack of generic pecan promotion, in my opinion. There is much we could do to improve these conditions through the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans. We currently participate in the State of Georgia's one cent checkoff program. We are glad to do so, and the costs and indirect costs of record keeping, remittance and audit are not a great burden to us. The benefits of that program we are sure will outweigh any costs to us.

We reviewed the assessments in the
proposed Federal Marketing Order the same way.
The anticipated benefits will outweigh the costs.

I discussed the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans numerous times with Mike Adams and Bruce Caris, both board members of the American

Pecan Board. I feel that the American Pecan Board has kept me informed about the progress of the proposed marketing order through their website, calls and in-person meetings with

American Pecan Board members, and that I have had an adequate opportunity to provide input into the process. I have reviewed the proposed Federal Marketing Order and fully support its adoption. I've also reviewed the summary of economic analysis proposed by Dr. Marco Palma. I agree that handlers will economically benefit from the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans. I am aware that the proposed order may impose some direct costs on my operation, such as the 1 to 3 cent per pound assessment. I'm also aware that there may be some indirect costs, such as the obligation to keep records of the amount of product we handle and the calculation and remittance of assessments to the American Pecan Council. I believe these costs are reasonable and are not unduly burdensome.

Furthermore, I am aware of the
benefits that will flow from the increased marketing and promotion efforts, improved research and more accurate market data that will result from the order and believe that these benefits will great outweigh the costs to my business. In the future the proposed handling authorities for grade, sizes, quality, packaging, transport, et cetera could be helpful for our operation and the industry. Overall, the benefits of the Federal Marketing Order outweigh the costs.

I understand that only shellers that handle more than one million pounds of inshell pecans per year will be eligible to nominate and to be elected to the sheller seats of the Council. I believe this is a fair threshold. First, I'm not aware of any sheller in my area which handles less than one million inshell pounds per year that is in the commercial shelling business. Therefore, if there is such a sheller, I do not believe it would be commercially viable because such a small
operation could not invest in the required equipment and turn a profit on such a small production. I also agree that the handling of 12-1/2 million inshell pounds of pecans is appropriate discretion between large shellers and small or medium size shellers.

In conclusion, $I$ strongly support the proposed marketing order and encourage the Secretary to adopt and implement it. I'll be glad to answer any questions. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós. MR. QUIR S: Yes. BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Mr. Worn, are you a member of the National Pecan Shellers Association?

A No, sir, I'm not.

Q So there may be shellers that are not members of the National Pecan Shellers Association that shell more than a million pounds?

A Yes, sir.

MR. QUIR S: Okay. Thank You.

Your Honor, we have no further
questions and we tender this document as Exhibit 76 in these proceedings.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from USDA?
MS. CHILUKURI: No objection.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from anyone in the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Hearing no
objection, Exhibit 76 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 76 and was received in evidence.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós, no more questions?

MR. QUIR S: No further questions.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Good morning, Mr. Worn.

A Good morning, Melissa.
Q I apologize. I think I've caught a cold, so hopefully you'll be able to understand me.

So just to be clear, you're not a grower in any way, you're just a sheller.

A No, ma'am, not a grower right now, just a sheller.

Q Okay. Thank you. You mentioned that you supply to restaurants, confectionaries and ingredient users. Could you describe for us a little bit that part of the industry? We've just had very few witnesses who have given us testimony about that specific part of the industry.

A So there's really two separate markets within the meat market for larger shellers, and one of those would be retail and one of those would be more ingredient type users, and when you
go to like Walmart or whatever store, you've got pies and I think I saw Turtles sitting right there in front of you and those are my pecans in those Turtles. You wouldn't have known those came from me just eating that Turtle, so that's what that business is. There's obviously retail, like you see in CVS or Sam's Club or Costo or wherever you go to shop, and then there's bulk as well, and bulk would traditionally be shipped in a 30-pound box on a dry semi truck van or either a refrigerated semi truck van probably this time of the year, and they go to processing facilities that are further making something with the product.

Q Thank you.
A Did that answer your question?
Q I think so, yes. So in your
experience working in this specific sector of the market, has fluctuation of not only prices and supply but quality issues, has that had an impact on your business relations?

A Sure. So probably one of the biggest
challenges the shelling industry has faced over the past three years has been the gap in halves and pieces. When I've got over a dollar gap in what I can sell halves for and what I can sell pieces for, it makes it a struggle buying inshell pecans. So say that the market on inshell pecans today is $\$ 5$ per point, or $\$ 4.80$-- don't quote me on that, guys -- but just for round numbers say \$5 a point, and say the halves market is $\$ 6$ per pound and say that I've got a dollar overhead in that. Okay? So when I buy inshell pecans, if I've got six bucks in them, after I process them, that's halves and pieces, I've got to take into account that pieces are selling for 80 cents less or a dollar less, I've got to take that into account on what $I$ can sell my halves for and make a profit. So essentially -- this isn't the market today, this is just for this example -you would have to sell the halves for almost seven bucks a pound for that to make sense.

So what it ends up doing, as I would think everybody would agree, that if you go to
the store to buy kernel pecans to snack on, you're going to buy halves, you're not going to buy pieces to sit there and eat, and so it really hurts consumption, I think, whenever we shoot our halves price up there, and I don't believe it's a true reflection of the market sometimes, I think that it's something that could be helped and I think that this program would help that effort. I think that if we could close that gap with further marketing of pecans and let people be educated on the benefits of pecans and the sort of thing you guys are talking about that it would definitely help that and help the overall return, not only to the grower but to the sheller as well.

Q Thank you. So we've heard quite a bit of testimony about misinformation on supply in the market and how that impacts buying and selling and pricing decisions, but I'm wondering has that ever impacted your ability to either retain a customer or secure a new customer, and specifically, is the inconsistent supply or the
reliability in a stable price has that impacted your ability to get new customers or retain customers?

A I've got a very good example, and this should be all in the forefront of our minds, but you had a tri-state estimate of 260- or 270 million pounds, somewhere in there -- I think it was 273- or 268-, something like that -- and the next estimate that comes out is 320 million pounds, that's 50 million pounds difference. Where did that come from? And I think that if we could have a non-objective point of view on what our supply looks like coming into the year, when I'm reporting to some of the biggest users of pecans in the world, I'd have a little bit better of a story going into it.

And that's just not the case with the
retailers. You know, you've got ingredient users, you've got a walnut market today that's below four bucks a pound and that's always been traditionally our biggest competitor going into R\&D departments, and everybody from your bakeries
to the Turtles that are sitting in front of you, if they're going to make $X$ amount of Turtles. I know this isn't the greatest name out in the market today, but Blue Bell Ice Cream, if you look at what Blue Bell Ice Cream was making for butter pecan ice cream five years ago to what they were making two years ago before their incident, you'd see a dramatic decrease in what they were making and it's to price fluctuation. So it's not just a customer of mine, it's an industry customer, and I think that that price fluctuation is not just a buyer's way to get a market down, it's truly something that they have to plan for, and when you see pecans go from $\$ 6.50$ to a pound down to $\$ 4.75$ a pound and now back up to around six bucks a pound, it's hard to plan for that. And I think that in a lot of people's minds in this industry that a consistent price that's good to the grower and good to the sheller seems impossible, but I think that something like this could help that.

Q Thank you. And are most of your
customers in the domestic market?

A They are, yes, ma'am.
Q Okay. Thank you. I've also taken a moment to read your resume, and it states that you have quite a bit of experience working with organizations looking at accounting and financing strategies, implementation of business functions and management. So with that context in mind, have you had a chance to read over the proposed program in Exhibit 1?

A I have.
Q And there are elements that are described in Exhibit 1, the proposal, that have to do with things that would be required of the program staff to conduct, and I just wanted to get your overall impression on these elements, and do they reflect, in your opinion, standard yet necessary and effective business management practices.

A Could you give me a little more detail?

Q Sure, sure, absolutely. So if you
turn to Section 986.54 , it's a section entitled Duties.

A Yes, ma'am.
Q And I'll just read through some of the highlights here so we have it on the record. Under Duties it talks about keeping minutes, books and records which clearly reflect all the acts of the administrative council, transactions, to furnish complete reports of all meetings, the authority to appoint employees, determine salaries, define duties. It speaks to auditing, it speaks to the authority to investigate shipping and marketing conditions and assemble data. It also speaks to compliance, and then bylaws and rules and regulations for administering the program.

So given your extensive background in working with businesses, specifically in the context of change, what do you think of this list of duties?

A I think it's good.
Q Are they, in your opinion, necessary?

A I do think they're necessary for sure.
Q Why are they necessary?
A Well, I think we have to hold ourselves accountable and I think that having this in the bylaws -- I guess this would be considered the bylaws -- or in the Federal Register, $I$ think that it takes, for lack of a better word, the guesswork out of it for people in the future. If we're not the ones sitting here making decisions 10 years from now, 15 years from now, it was what was written and it's what we want this Council to be held accountable to.

Q Thank you. And so sort of switching back to your role as a sheller/handler in the industry, knowing that these requirements are in this program, does that give you some confidence in terms of the legitimacy of the program and how it will be run?

A Yes, ma'am.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you. I have no further questions.

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA. BY MS. VARELA:

Q Thanks so much for being with us this morning, Mr. Worn. I want to go back to a statement you made earlier about some of those ingredient markets, and you mentioned that they look at other nuts like walnuts. And we've heard quite a bit of testimony about how pecans need to catch up and get in the game with other tree nuts, and something I think we haven't talked about yet is when you're dealing with an ingredient buyer, do you feel that there is definitely more available information for other tree nuts right now in terms of pricing or production? Are they gathering data more efficiently than this industry is right now?

A One hundred percent.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: This industry
meaning?
BY MS. VARELA:

Q The pecan industry.
A One hundred percent. Would you like me to give examples?

Q Absolutely. Tell me all you know about it.

A Okay. So do you know anything about the almond projections and how that affects the market and the shipping reports?

Q Right. We've heard some testimony to that, but I'm interested to know how much of that is even filtering to you as somebody who's not even in almonds.

A Well, actually, I am in almonds, I handle over 2 million pounds a year. That's been one of the things that $I$ guess has really not been a burden but it's one of those things when I'm trying to report back to some of the largest retailers in the world and I'm doing reports on almonds, walnuts and pecans, I've got all this information on almonds to basically firm up a report on the market, and then I get to pecans and we have a 50 million pound difference in what our reporting was from a crop standpoint. So you've got shipping reports through the year on almonds and you've got things in place on almonds
and walnuts, for that matter, that really hold true to the market through the year.

You know, there might be subtle corrections, and granted, when you're dealing with a 1.8 billion pound crop and you're dealing with a 300 million pound crop, you could have a bigger difference and it wouldn't look as big on 1.8 billion as it would on 300 million, but at the same time, the adjustments they make really impact the market.

I was in meetings yesterday with some really, really large retailers, on Tuesday night and yesterday all day, and one of the things that we were having a discussion about was this year's planning and that sort of thing. And it just makes it difficult when $I$ don't necessarily believe the numbers that have gotten reported sometimes. And it's just not with that, it's with cold storage as well. Our market should be a reflection of what the true inventory numbers are, and when you have the double dip that's happened for so long, coming in from Mexico,
what's counted, what goes back to Mexico, is that the real number. A lot of that is something that all of us have questions with in our mind, and I'm not just speaking for me, I'm speaking for other shellers and growers as well.

Does that answer your question?
Q Yes. That's been very helpful. Thank you.

A Yes, ma'am.
MS. VARELA: I have no further
questions.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

MS. CHILUKURI: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós?

MR. QUIR S: No further questions of this witness at this time.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions for you, so you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent Group offers as its next witness Mr. Thomas Stevenson.

Whereupon,

## THOMAS STEVENSON

having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good morning, Mr. Stevenson. First, thank you for coming in this morning. We appreciate you taking time out of your busy schedule to come in and testify.

I think you'll see to your left a copy of Exhibit 23 and Exhibit 1 to these proceedings, Exhibit 1 being the proposed Federal Marketing Order and notice thereof, and then Exhibit 23 being the executive summary of the economic analysis of Dr. Marco Palma. Have you received those documents before?

A Yes.

Q And have you reviewed them?
A Yes.

Q Thank you. It's my understanding that you have some prepared testimony that you'd like to give.

A Yes.

Q Please proceed.
A My name is Thomas Stevenson, spelled T-H-O-M-A-S, S-T-E-V-E-N-S-O-N. I live in

Albany, Georgia. I've been in the pecan business in a variety of capacities for 45 years, including farm owner, farm management and mail order business. I am currently an investor, an officer and the director of farm operations of a new business venture named National Pecan Company which has currently 4,000 acres of producing trees and intentions to expand to around 20,000 acres in the next two to three years. We have acquired also a shelling business which we will begin to operate Monday morning.

My resume is attached. We would be classified as
a large pecan grower under the Small Business Administration definition, with more than $\$ 750,000$ in gross revenues from pecans.

I have reviewed the proposed federal marketing order for pecans, as published in the Federal Register. I think it is very well done and really have no large concerns about it. I think the American Pecan Board showed a lot of foresight not to propose supply restrictions or crop reserves in the proposed order. There is much to like about the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans but I think 986.65, Marketing Policy is especially important.

The pecan industry, as we have heard, desperately needs credible information to operate its business, whether it's cold storage and carrying inventory or crop estimates, we have operated without reliable market information for too long. We need to make marketing and business decisions with a greater degree of confidence in the industry's data. The 986.65 marketing policy states that: By the end of each fiscal year the

Council shall make a report and a recommendation to the Secretary on the Council's proposed marketing policy for the next fiscal year. Each year such a report and recommendation shall be adopted by the affirmative vote of at least twothirds of the members of the Council and shall include the following, and where applicable, on an inshell basis:

Number one, estimate of the grower clean production and handler clean production in the area of production for the fiscal year; estimate of disappearance, estimate of improved native and substandard pecans, estimate of the handler inventory as of August 31 of inshell and shelled pecans; estimates of unassessed inventory; estimate of the trade supply taking into consideration trade inventory, imports and other factors; preferable handler inventory of inshell and shelled pecans on August 31 of the following year; projected prices in the new fiscal year; competing nut supplies; and lastly, any other relevant factors.

The ability to bring together the entire industry across 15 states for product marketing, research, future handling regulations and future food safety responses will be important to every stakeholder in the future. For growers and handlers, we can help the industry to develop best food safety practices in order to build consumer confidence in the U.S. domestic market. All of this is available to us if we're able to move forward as an industry through the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans.

> In recent years I've seen wide variations in prices we have received for our pecan crop. Such wide variation in pricing makes it extremely difficult to plan for the future operation of our business. While prices for pecans go up and down dramatically from year to year, our costs of production have steadily increased. I think our farms and the industry in general would also benefit in the future from grade, size, quality, packaging, shipping
protocols and other handling requirements as we compete with other tree nuts for shelf space and consumer attention.

I also understand that under the proposed order only growers with more than 30 acres of pecans or 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years will be allowed to vote on the proposed order. In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable because a grower that does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower. Any grower that is smaller than the proposed threshold could not justify the costs inherent with such a small acreage.

However, if the marketing order is successful, as I feel it will be, these small growers will reap the same market benefits as the larger growers. I am not aware of a single farmer in my area who has a commercial farm that is smaller than 30 acres or produces less than 50,000 average pounds per year.

I have also reviewed the economic analysis summary prepared by Dr. Marco Palma,
specifically the projected average price increase from promotion of 6.3 cents per inshell pound for improved varieties versus the average $2-1 / 2$ cent per pound inshell cost. I think promotion works for agricultural commodities and I agree that we are likely to get increased stability and prices through generic pecan promotion.

Overall, I'm aware of the costs that
a Federal Marketing Order may impose on our farms and other businesses and I do not believe these costs are unduly burdensome. Further, I believe that a Federal Marketing Order for pecans will produce a net positive cost benefit situation for our businesses.

The American Pecan Board has kept our organization informed about its efforts to propose a Federal Marketing Order.

Representatives of the American Pecan Board have attended a number of grower meetings that $I$ have also attended. I also know many of the board members of the American Pecan Board.

In conclusion, I fully support the
proposed marketing order for pecans and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. I will be happy to answer any questions.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you very much, Mr . Stevenson.

I guess first let me get on here that we tender Exhibit 77, and would note that we did catch the personal information on there and that I made a pen correction to that. We're blanking out birth dates and things like that. So I tender that exhibit as annotated.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from USDA?

MS. CHILUKURI: No objection, Your
Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from the audience?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: With no objection,
Exhibit 77 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked
for identification as Exhibit 77 and
was received in evidence.)
BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Mr. Stevenson, just off of your prepared testimony, we heard yesterday about a researcher with the last name Stevenson that is doing a research project on scab. Would you happen to have any familial involvement with that project?

A I'm not directly involved, but that's my wife. My wife is a plant pathologist with the University of Georgia and a professor there almost 20 years.

Q And she's working on a scab research project?

A She works a lot with scabs, she works a lot with other crops besides pecans, and I believe this is a project that's been funded by the Georgia Commodity Commission.

Q That's what we heard, yes. Thank you.
Where will your sheller be located, the one that goes into operation on Monday?

A Sylvester, Georgia.
Q And for the benefit of the record,
that's in southwestern Georgia?
A Yes. It's about two-thirds of the way from here to Albany.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you. No further questions at ths time, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Good morning, Mr. Stevenson.
A Good morning.
Q Thank you for your testimony. In your discussion of the marketing policy section of the proposed order, you used a term "disappearance" and we've received testimony on disappearance in the past but I'm wondering if you could give us your interpretation of what disappearance means.

A Disappearance to me would be sales into the marketplace. There's always a little loss in the processing and handling of pecans which reduces the number, typically about 3 percent, in a shelling plant maybe 4. But disappearance to me is how much is sold or otherwise removed from the supply chain and available to the end user or customer, so that if we start out the marketing year with 300 million pounds and there are sales and other uses and you get to the end of the marketing year and you've got 150 million pounds in inventory across the United States, the difference is what I call the disappearance.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay. No further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more questions from USDA?

MS. VARELA: Yes. Jen Varela, USDA. BY MS. VARELA:

Q Thanks so much for giving us your time this morning, Mr. Stevenson. I'm interested in
some of your testimony here where you talked about wanting to vertically integrate to include a shelling operation. Can you give us a little bit of background of some of the factors that went into that decision?

A The decision was made to be able to control the process from production to the supermarket shelf from a quality control standpoint, and we believe there is a little profit margin at each of those steps to be acquired, the farming level, the processing and sales level, be it into retail or be it into ingredient users, and at the final marketing level also.

Q Thank you. And just to go a little further into that, we've heard a lot of testimony about production that's expected to come online in the next few years of increased planting. When you're looking ten years forward in the pecan industry, what do you see happening, what are your expectations, and how did that play into some of that decision-making?

A Well, with no formal census of plantings or disappearance, parking lots and what-have-you of old orchards in the United States, there's a little bit of guesstimate in this, but I'm pretty confident that Georgia itself has planted 6- or 7,000 acres in the last five years that will begin to come into production over the next five years. And there's more being planted in other places. South Africa has increased their plantings quite a bit which becomes a part of a worldwide product. I've been involved in Argentina for several years. While it's not nearly as far along as South Africa, it is trying to expand its production down there.

So domestically and internationally we're faced with increased supply over the next five to ten years, and I really believe we need the funds from this program to help us create more market and open more market, be it domestic or wherever. There's still a large domestic market that's untapped. If you get north of the Mason-Dixon line there's not too many people know
what a good pecan is.
So I think that, combined with our
other responsibilities in food safety and things along that line that the funds generated by this will help us tackle all those issues.

Q Thank you. And you just mentioned that you were involved with business in Argentina. Is that a direct export or is not in pecans?

A No. That was really a personal thing. I have some friends down there that are trying to grow some pecans, and I went down there on an individual basis and worked with them for several years.

Q Interesting. Let me try to get back to something. You piqued my interest but I'm going to have to ask you a different question later. Looking back at this section here that you referred to with marketing policy -- and we thank you for taking such a good look at it and reading it specifically, earlier on we've had some testimony on different pieces of it -- but I
wanted to draw your attention to two parts there. First, in paragraph (a) there's an estimate of production for the fiscal year, and then a little further down in (h) there, there's projected prices for the new fiscal year, and I just want to make sure $I$ have a clear understanding of whether those are the same fiscal year or if in your understanding of reading this if we're looking at two different pieces of that market puzzle.

A I think that the way I read it, it's the same fiscal year.

Q Okay. All right. Thank you very much for that. I also wanted to know if you could give me a little more detail about how you think the proposed order could help the industry in terms of food safety. That's an issue that's very important in your industry, I'm sure, as well as in our department. What are some of the tools that you see in this proposed order that could help you in the future in dealing with food safety?

A Well, food safety -- and as we all know, the FDA is coming out with some final rules here in the next few weeks, hopefully -- tree nuts have been classified along with produce in terms of food safety which is the highest priority food safety category in the whole FDA thing. Some might disagree with tree nuts being with fresh produce but that's the way it's written right now.

I was born in California, $I$ know what California agriculture is, and there's an old saying in farming: So goes California, there goes the rest of the country eventually. They're much stronger in their state rules and regulations versus sanitation, field sanitation, handling, et cetera, et cetera. The tree nut industry, outside of pecans, is basically concentrated in one valley in California. You have a like culture, like-minded people and a very small geographic area, so what one does there tends to get done everywhere across those industries.

We're spread across 15 states with very large and sophisticated growers and other growers that it was good enough for my grandpappy and that's the way I'm going to do it.

Education, we need to be very strong in going to the growers and helping the processors with research, with trying to get people to sign on to GAP qualifications and educate them in food safety that's required and help them to attain the proper level of food safety.

Q So is it fair to say that you see a big part of that role as educational?

A I think so, yes. Educational, mock inspections, classes, and I think a portion of those funds that are collected need to go in that area. I don't know exactly how much but some of it has to be earmarked for that, in my opinion.

Q That's a very interesting and helpful perspective. I think those are all the questions for USDA. Thank you.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: ExCuse me. Just for the record, this is Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q You used a term "GAP." Could you define that for the record, please?

A GAP, depending on who you talk to, but it basically is good agricultural processes, and it is a program, the State of Georgia has one, in which you do certain things to become GAP certified which means you have proper field sanitation, your product is handled cleanly and safely and shipped, and et cetera. The Georgia Department of Agriculture, in combination with the Georgia Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Association, have a program. There's also a global GAP which gives you global GAP certification if you're exporting into Europe or some of the other countries that require it, and it's basically a set of guidelines to use on the farm or in the cleaning plant or even in a shelling plant. It's similar to a HACCP plan in a shelling plant, so not quite as detailed but that's basically what it is.

Q So for clarification on the record,

GAP is capital $G$, capital A, capital P.

A Yes.

Q And then you just used another term. I'm sorry but we have to have a clear record. HACCP.

A I'm not sure $I$ remember exactly all the words. Hazard Analysis Critical Control Program.

Q Okay. Thank you. No further questions.

A I know what the acronym means, I couldn't remember.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

MS. CHILUKURI: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Mr. Stevenson, let me put up on the overhead, and $I$ believe you have it in front of
you, from Exhibit 1, Section 986.12,
Disappearance, and this is the definition of disappearance, and if you would take a second to refresh your memory with this.

A Well, I think I may not have said it exactly that way, but that's basically the way in interpret it.

Q The definition in this proposed marketing order is consistent with your understanding of that term. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And is it consistent with the way that that term would generally be understood and used in the pecan industry?

A Yes.
Q Well, then as a slight followup, if we go back to Section 986.65, and we noted under subparagraph (b) that the Council will do a report to the Secretary on the estimate of disappearance. Why in particular do you think they singled that out as a report that would have to be given?

A Well, because we, to effectively market, make plans for a new crop year, need to know how much is left over from the old crop year, and if it's a large supply, then that's going to burden the pricing capability of the industry. If it's a small supply, that increases the ability to perhaps see the prices raise some. That's the primary reason: to basically know what you've got in this hand left from last year and what you think you're going to have in your hand from the new year.

Q And both for the perspective of a grower and soon to be sheller, would such information be valuable to the businesses in making their future business decisions and pricing decisions?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: We have nothing further,
Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any more questions?

MS. CHILUKURI: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I have one question.
Mr. Stevenson, were you always particularly
delighted to get the $C$-ration box with the pecan roll in it?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember pecan rolls. I remember being delighted to get toilet paper and cigarettes.
(General laughter.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions for Mr. Stevenson? No? No more questions. You're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I don't
believe we've had to ask for this indulgence in any of these proceedings, but we do have a slight logistical issue with a witness and the testimony, and so it is a bit earlier but could we take our morning break? I think we'll be ready to go in about ten minutes. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection? We seem to be racing through things today. Is everybody in a hurry?

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, in this part of the world we say the horse can see the barn.
(General laughter.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So 9:01?

MR. DAVIS: That would be great, Your

Honor. Thank you.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: We'll recess until

9:01.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: We'll come to order, please. Back on the record.

Whereupon,

## JACLYN DIXON FORD

having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Thank you, Ms. Ford. Before we get into your testimony I want to ask you a few questions. Have you had an opportunity to receive and review what has been marked Exhibit 1
in these hearings which was the notice from the USDA that included the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans?

A I have.

Q And have you received and had a chance to review what's been marked in these hearings Exhibit 23 which is an executive summary of the economic analysis of the implementation of a Federal Marketing Order for pecans, prepared by Dr. Marco Palma?

A I have.

Q Thank you. A couple of preliminary questions. Do you live in Barrien County?

A I do.

Q And is Nashville the county seat of Barrien County?

A It is.

Q And is Barrien County the home of Harvey's Grocery Store and Hogzilla?

A It is.

Q Thank you. Would it surprise you to know that once 33 years ago a young lawyer
arrived in court in Nashville and identified Alapaha as Ala-paha?

A Doesn't surprise me. Do you know what it means?

Q Tell me.

A It means Laughing Waters, an Indian name.

Q Would it surprise you that everyone in that court laughed and mocked that young lawyer?

A No.

Q Would it undermine your confidence in your counsel to know that that young lawyer was me?

A No.

Q Well, I was helped out in that situation and $I$ wanted to make sure and help you out as well, so if you would, please read the testimony that you've prepared for us.

A I hope you won't mind if I say pea-can instead of pecan.

Q We've had controversy on that issue.

A Okay. That's how I grew up saying it.

Q Hilton Segler reminded us that people that say pea-can are the ones that grow them.

A That's right.

Q Thank you.
A My name is Jaclyn Dixon Ford. It is spelled

J-A-C-L-Y-N, D-I-X-O-N, F-O-R-D. I live in
Alapaha, Georgia. I'm co-owner of Dixon Farms, LLC, Dixon Gin Company, Dixon Farm Supply, JCQ Farms and Jake Ford Farms. We are a diversified family farming operation consisting of corn, cotton, pecans and peanuts. One of the primary sources of our income is a pecan farm in Alapaha. We have over 200 acres of irrigated pecans currently in production. Last year we produced over 224,000 pounds of pecans, over the last ten years we have interplanted, and are currently in the process of planting and irrigating over 50 acres of new pecan trees that will not be in production for seven years. On our farm we grow primarily Stewarts and Desirable pecans but are diversifying with newer varieties in the new
plantings. Attached is my resume. Our farm has less than $\$ 750,000$ in annual gross revenue from pecans, and therefore, under the Small Business Administration guidelines we are classified as a small business. I've also reviewed the economic analysis summary prepared by Dr. Marco Palma, specifically the projected average price increase from promotion of 6.3 cents per inshell pound versus the average 2-1/2 per inshell pound cost. Overall, I'm aware of the costs that the proposed Federal Marketing Order my impose on my farm and I do not believe those costs are unduly burdensome. Further, I believe that the benefits of the Federal Marketing Order to my farm will greatly outweigh any costs associated with it.

Through managing our cotton gin, I've been very involved in the checkoff program for cotton over the past 15 years and have certainly witnessed the success in that program. We hope the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans will be as successful.

In recent years I've seen wide variation in the prices I've received for my pecan crop. WE have sold Desirable pecans of the same quality for under $\$ 1.60$ per pound and over \$3 in the last five years. Such wide variation in pricing makes it extremely difficult to plan for the future operation of my farm. While prices for pecans go up and down dramatically from year to year, my costs of production have steadily increased. Cost of fertilizer, pesticides, irrigation and equipment have all increased in recent years regardless of the price I receive for my crop.

The lack of accurate market
information on the anticipated size of the pecan crop in any given year also makes it difficult for me to negotiate a fair price for my crop and to make reasonable business decisions about investments in my farm. Increased price stability and more accurate market information would greatly benefit my small family farm. I think my farm and the industry would also benefit
in the future from grade, size, quality, packing, shipping protocols and other handling requirements as we compete with other tree nuts for shelf space and consumer attention.

I also understand that under the proposed order only growers with more than 30 acres of pecans or more than 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years will be allowed to vote on the proposed order. In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable because a grower that does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower. Any grower that is smaller than the proposed threshold could not justify the costs inherent in such a small production.
I'm testifying in my individual
capacity in support of the Federal Marketing
Order for pecans. My husband and I are actively involved in both the Georgia Pecan Growers Association, as well as the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association. The American Pecan Board has kept our organization informed about its
efforts to propose a Federal Marketing Order. Representatives of the American Pecan Board attended a meeting of Georgia pecan growers in March and provided us with information and solicited our input.

In conclusion, I fully support the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. I would be glad to answer any questions anyone may have at this time.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we tender this as Exhibit 78 at this time.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection from USDA?

MS. CHILUKURI: No objection.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from
the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Hearing no
objection, Exhibit 78 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 78 and was received in evidence.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós?

MR. QUIR S: Yes.

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Ms. Ford, tell us about other crops that are grown on your farm.

A We grow peanuts, corn and cotton.

Q And are any of those subject to a checkoff program or Federal Marketing Order?

A Yes. All of them are.

Q And those are checkoff programs for peanuts, corn and cotton?

A Uh-huh.

Q And are those checkoff programs for promotion?

A Yes.

Q And how does that work?

A I mean, it works very well. I work in cotton specifically and we're competing with other synthetic fibers all the time for market
share, just like pecans are with other nut trees and nut crops.

Q So you've seen promotion work with regard to agricultural products on your farm?

A Yes.

Q And you think that will work for pecans as well?

A Yes.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you. No further questions at this time, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any questions from USDA?

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. VAREIA:

Q Thank you for being with us this morning, Ms. Ford.

I want to go back to a portion of your testimony where you kind of generously let us know what some of your actual price were, and $I$ know a lot of people don't like to give that particular information, but this is helpful to me
because I'm looking at this swing you describe when you say you sold pecans of the same quality for under $\$ 1.60$ per pound and over $\$ 3$ in the last five years. Can you talk about, first of all, do you anticipate that that type of swing is going to continue in the future if there are no changes in the industry? Actually, just answer that first.

A Well, from what $I$ understand, the reason our prices have increased so much has been the Chinese coming into our market, and I know that -- my husband and I were talking -- 95 percent of what we sell which is a larger fuller nut because it's mostly irrigated, does go to the Chinese market, so if the Chinese were to withdraw from our market and decide to be uninvolved, it would really hurt us, and there's no way of us knowing what, I guess, acreage and stuff that we have overall as far as what our market should be in this country.

And another thing is that because we're so reliant on the Chinese markets that it's
made other buyers of nuts in this country, they've gone to other tree nuts and have kind of left the pecan market because the prices were so high.

Q Okay. And just kind of as a point of clarification for me, is your farm selling directly into China or are you selling to someone?

A We sell to shellers.
Q Okay. Just wanted to clarify that.
And if you did see that prices were still
fluctuating this much, how difficult does that make your future planning decisions? You said that you're expanding, you've planted some more trees. Can you keep making decisions like that? If you're not sure if the price is going to be one thing or half of that, how does that impact your deciding whether to stay in the industry or whether to expand your farm?

A Yes, it makes it very difficult just knowing how much input cost you can spend, how many times can you spray, can you afford to put
irrigation on new trees, can you afford to put in new trees when the price is varying that much.
$Q$ So in your opinion in looking at this proposal overall, does the structure of this proposed program make you confident that there will be more stability there that will help you have better information to make your decisions. Is that what you're basing your support on?

A Yes, definitely.
Q And kind of a side question since we talked about some of the other crops that you grow and some of the other programs that impact them, my office actually oversees some peanut standards and those have remained unchanged for a little while, but given that you're also functioning in that industry, do you see that having some of those minimums there is also helpful in terms of building consumer confidence in peanuts as something that's consumed in the country? Do you think that's important?

A Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By minimums, do you
mean minimum standards?

BY MS. VARELA:

Q Minimum standards.

A I definitely do, especially when you're dealing with something that people are eating, they want to know that there are guidelines there to maintain quality and safety.

Q And so you think that pecan standards, if put in place, would have a similar effect?

A Yes.

MS. VARELA: Thank you very much for your testimony. I have no further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more questions from USDA?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós?

MR. QUIR S: No further questions,

Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any questions from the audience?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No more questions.

You're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent

Group calls as its next witness Mr. Fred

Beshears.

Whereupon,

FRED H. BESHEARS
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good morning, Mr. Beshears. Would you state your name and spell it for the record, please?

A My name is Fred Beshears, F-R-E-D, B-E-S-H-E-A-R-S.

Q And do you have before you Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 23 in these proceedings?

A Yes, sir.

Q And have you had an opportunity to
review those exhibits?

A Yes, I have.

Q Okay. Thank you. It's my
understanding you have some prepared testimony you'd like to give.

A Yes, sir.
$Q$ Would you please do that?
A My name is Fred Beshears from

Monticello, Florida, and I'm president and owner of Simpson Nurseries, LAA, which was founded in 1902, and I've been there since 1970. Today I have 20 acres of pecan nut production and 100 acres of pecan nursery production, plus 800 acres of other tree production. Last year we produced approximately 10,000 pounds and on our farm we grow mostly old varieties. And at one time I had over 300 acres of producing orchard and over 300 acres of young groves. Simpson Nurseries has over $\$ 750,000$ in annual gross revenue from pecans and pecan tree sales, therefore, under the Small Business Administration guidelines we are classified as a large business.

Q Can I make this notation on the
record? Did you make a pen-and-ink correction to the statement that you have before you and changing small to large?

A Yes, I did.
Q And are those your initials next to that change?

A Yes, they are.
Q Okay. Thank you.
A I feel like I've been informed about the process of securing a Federal Marketing Order and I've been given an opportunity to participate in this process. Thus, I am very familiar with this process and the purpose and the program as I led the Pecan Promotion Act of 1990 when $I$ was president of the Federated Pecan Growers of the United States, which is today called the U.S.

Pecan Growers Council, and the current president is here today, Randy Hudson.

I've also reviewed the economic analysis prepared by Dr. Marco Palma, specifically, the projected average price increase from promotion from 6.3 cents per
inshell pound versus an average of 2.5 cents costs. Overall, I'm aware of these costs that the proposed Federal Marketing Order may impose on my farm and the industry and I do not believe these costs are overly burdensome. Further, I believe that the benefits of the Federal Marketing Order to my farm will greatly outweigh any costs associated with it.

In recent years I've seen wide
variation in the prices of pecan nuts, as we also have a small gift pack nut business. Such wide variation in pricing makes it extremely difficult for us to plan for future operations in our industry. While prices go up and down dramatically from year to year, cost of production has steadily increased. Cost of fertilizer, insecticides, equipment, et cetera in recent years have really gone up.

Further, the lack of accurate market information on anticipated size of the pecan crop in any given year makes it difficult for me to anticipate prices of the coming crop which
interferes with our market planning of tree production and gift sales, while at the same the lack of price stability affects the entire industry for all sizes of growers. I think my farm and the industry would benefit in the future market promotion of grade, size, quality, packing, shipping protocols and other handling requirements as we compete with other tree nuts for shelf space and the consumer's attention.

I also understand that the proposed order only growers of more than 30 acres of pecans or more than 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years should be allowed to vote on the proposed order which is a much better system than was in the 1990 pecan promotion plan. In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable because a grower who does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower, and I can make a very strong case that 30 acres is too low. Any grower that is smaller than the proposed threshold could not justify the cost inherent in such a small production is most
probably a seller of pecans for older trees that happened beyond his or her property, a hobby farmer or someone that does have a plan for all commercial necessary inputs. The American Pecan Board has kept our industry informed about these efforts and the proposed marketing order.

In conclusion, I fully support the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

And I'd like to digress just for one second. I'm fully aware of the work the American Pecan Council has done because, as I said, I've been down that road in 1990. There were three of us primarily when we started in 1987 and we got it done in 1992. They've gotten it done in two years, and it's a very good program, and as one of the previous witnesses said about 15 states, it's a tremendously hard process to educate people in 15 states, as I'm sure you've heard in different testimony, different people's needs and
wants.

And like I said, 30 acres, I think, is basically too small and that was our downfall the last time and the growers voted it out because at that time it was one tree, if you had one tree you could vote, which was a poor system, in my opinion. And again, I really commend the board for doing this and I know how hard it is and they've volunteered a lot of time and lot of personal effort. A lot of personal funds have been spent on this effort and it's something our industry can really enjoy and benefit from and I really feel it's necessary.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you so much, Mr

Beshears.

Your Honor, the Proponent Group
tenders Exhibit 79

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from USDA?

MS. CHILUKURI: No objection.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection.
Exhibit 79 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 79 and was received in evidence.)

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Let me ask just a couple of questions, if I may, Your Honor.

First, in your written statement and your testimony here you said that currently you grow mostly old varieties. I don't think anybody has used that term yet. Why don't you tell the tribunal here what you mean by old varieties?

A As anything in agriculture and anything in the world today, technology and genetics have gotten better, cars have gotten faster and pecans have gotten faster producing. We grow old varieties that have been around for 50-60-70 years. It's an old orchard and we don't take very good care of it and run cows under it mostly. But anyway, we have Moneymakers, Moores,
and we have some Elliotts. Moneymakers and Elliotts were really a mainstay of the industry for many years, but today I'm in the nursery business, as I said, we haven't grown a Moneymaker in 30 years. They're just not as productive as the new varieties. You're talking about a thousand pounds per acre where today we can get 2,000 pounds per acre with better genetics.

Q Thank you. Let's talk a little bit more about the earlier effort to get a proposed Federal Marketing Order. You were directly involved in that process. Is that correct?

A I was the president. Yes.

Q And ultimately a marketing order was proposed but it was not accepted by the growers. Is that correct?

A We didn't have a marketing order, we had a marketing promotion plan. It's a big difference there, as I found out. And we got it passed through the Congress, I testified in a similar hearing before the U.S. Senate and the
U.S. House and it was actually passed through the Senate, public law number so-and-so, 96 to one, in the House we got 420 votes and one no. So what our plan was it ran for two years or three years, I think, 2-1/2 years, and then the growers got to vote, and back then we had one tree, one grower, one vote, and my personal opinion is I think there was some fraud in the votes and there were a lot of people against the program -- I won't get into it but reporting was an issue. It wasn't that our plan didn't work, it was very successful, and I think it was the genesis of what we're doing today, and we were big on exports and trying to find new markets. The previous person testified about China. We started the Chinese program, the European program in 1992 and '93, and the director of the thing was actually from the USDA, Joanne Smith, she was undersecretary of Agriculture, and she led the effort.

Anyway, since there was a lot of
votes, some one county in Georgia over here and
two or three counties in Alabama and a couple of places in Texas, and they put out misinformation, half truths, and so nobody argued about the effects of the plan but they didn't like the reporting requirement. That was our undoing. Yes, it was narrowly voted out. But I'm happy to say that the Georgia Commission soon thereafter started their own plan and the Texas group came on with another plan. So nobody argued about the effects of it but it was just how we managed the collection of the funds.

Q Thank you. That's very helpful.
There's been some allusions to it but would this be a fair summary to say there was perhaps a similar plan but it was not identical in that it did not follow -- it was not done under the 1937 Price Stabilization Act?

A No, it was not. And I do not remember the reason why we didn't do that, but we were advised not to do that, and we came with a marketing promotion plan.

Q All right. Let's focus for a second
again to get us in the moment here. You currently have only 20 acres of pecans in production. Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q But if I understood your testimony, you still understand that this proposed marketing orders says as a threshold in order to be able to vote as a grower you have to have 30 acres, and you think that that's a fair and reasonable level. Is that correct?

A Actually, I think, yes, it is, it's fair and reasonable. As I said earlier, though, I don't know that you can make it on 30 acres. You might can afford it but you don't have enough acres to spread your overhead out, but 30 is certainly better than one tree, one vote.

Q So I was going to ask you believe that, one, a threshold is needed.

A Absolutely.
Q And that's in part because of your experience in the 1990 s with that other program.

A Yes.

Q And then second, you would agree with some other witnesses that have testified here that if you have less than 30 acres you certainly are not really a true commercial grower, you're not making your living off of pecan.

A There's no way. You're what $I$ call a hobby farmer. And the reason I'm doing my 20 acres is because I love the pecan industry. I've been here since 1970, I've been very involved and not been that involved in the last few years. My wife was executive director of the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association for many years, and so I love the pecan industry and I'm a hobby farmer. I lose money on my 10 acres, 20 acres. At one time, though, I did have several hundred acres of old orchard and 300-some acres of new orchard, but I traded that in another deal so $I$ don't have that anymore.

MR. DAVIS: We have no further questions at this time, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Good morning, Mr. Beshears. Thank you for your testimony and thank you for providing us with some of that history about the previous attempt at establishing a program.

The first thing I want to do is maybe clear up some information here on the record. So in your statement you indicate that you are a large business as defined under the Small

Business Administration guidelines, but typically when we are discussing with witnesses, we try to classify them as a grower or as a non-grower business, and in doing that we separate out the revenue from those different business operations. So if you were to separate your nursery from your pecan growing operations and look at yourself strictly as a pecan grower, would you say that you still classify as a large business?

A My pecan nut production does not
exceed \$750,000.

Q Okay. So then for the purpose of your representing yourself as a grower, you would be a small grower.

A Yes, ma'am.

Q But in terms of your nursery business, you're a large business, according to the SBA definitions?

A My counsel and I discussed that, and so yes.

Q Okay. Thank you. And then I just want to be sure that I am understanding you correctly. With 20 acres and 10,000 pounds of pecan production, you fall underneath the 30 acre, 50,000 pound production threshold. Do you understand that?

A Yes, I do. I understand I will not be able to vote, but if I could vote, I would vote for it.

Q All right. Thank you. You also mentioned on page 2, I think you're indicating here that you have gift sales?

A Yes, ma'am.
Q Is that gift sales of pecans?
A Yes. We started that when we were in the pecan business and so now one of my daughters-in-law tends to that, we don't really push it. We have that residual business and so she sends out several thousand boxes just at Christmastime.

Q And are those your own pecans that you're selling

A Generally not, no. Because we grow, as I said, old varieties, and there's much better quality pecans on the market available today than what we grow. We use what few nuts we have generally for seeds and just other assorted things.

Q So your pecan production from your orchard, does it enter into the stream of commerce at all?

A Very little.
Q Very little. Are you familiar with the exemption language in the proposed order?

A I have read the proposed order. Yes, ma'am.
$Q$ So 986.86, Exemptions. And the reason I'm bringing this up is that based on my understanding of previous testimony, when a grower puts his or her product into the stream of commerce, that causes them to be considered a handler under the terms of this order.

A Uh-uh.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: That's a yes?

THE WITNESS: All right. Please, again. Excuse me.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q I said based on my understanding of the proposed order, if a grower places his or her pecans into the stream of commerce, that activity causes them to be a handler, however, in 986.86 under Exemptions, it exempts handlers that handle very, very small quantities of pecans. I'm wondering if you fall below or above the exemption threshold stated in paragraph (a) which is 1,000 pounds of inshell, or in (b) which is

500 pounds of shelled.
A Some years I might, yes, ma'am. I would go over a thousand pounds, yes, ma'am.

Q But then you also indicated that you have mail order sales?

A Yes.
Q So if you look at paragraph (c) it says mail order sales are not exempt.

A But $I$ am buying those nuts already shelled.

Q Okay.
A I don't shell any myself anymore.
Q So you're purchasing pecans and then you mail them.

A Package them and mail them.
Q Okay. So your understanding is that the assessment would have already been paid on those pecans?

A Yes, ma'am.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay. Great. Thank you. I have no further questions.

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.

BY MS. VARELA:

Q Mr. Beshears, I see from your resume that you hail from the Great State of Florida.

A Yes, ma'am.
Q And since I believe you're our only witness so far from Florida, I wanted to ask you just a few questions to complete our background understanding of the growing area because, as you see, Florida is included in the production area. And I saw that for a while you were president of the Florida Pecan Growers. Is that an organization that still exists?

A Yes, ma'am.
Q And about how many members would you say that that organization has?

A I called yesterday. We have 36 paid members. At one time we had more but as of yesterday it was 36.

Q And in your estimation or to your knowledge of the industry, would most growers in the state belong to that organization?

A You need to know that in the State of

Florida there's probably -- or you need to understand in Florida there's very few commercial growers. Most of ours are sideline things, as described somewhere in there, they've got 20-3040 acres. Most of the people are smaller growers and retired people trying to grown pecans.

There's very few what $I$ would call real commercial orchards in Florida.

Q Thank you for that clarification. So in your opinion -- and I know that you have testified so far that you are okay with this 30 acre threshold but you personally might consider commercial different threshold. When you say that there are very few commercial growers in the state, are you using that 30 acre definition that we're using here?

A Yes, ma'am.

MS. VARELA: Okay. Thank you very
much. Those are all my questions for you.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Schmaedick
MS. SCHMAEDICK: My apologies.
Melissa Schmaedick, USDA. I do want to just
clarify for the record. I believe there was a reference to the 1937 Price Stabilization Act, and $I$ would like to make sure that we are referring to the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1937.

MR. DAVIS: That was my intention, yes. Thank you.

MS . SCHMAEDICK: Thank You.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more

USDA questions?

MS. CHILUKURI: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q I'll pick up on one of Ms. Varela's questions. You own a rather large nursery. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you are selling pecan trees.

Correct?

A Correct.

Q Are most of your customers, the

```
customers buying those located and planning on
planting them somewhere other than Florida?
```

A Absolutely.

Q Without giving too much specificity,
where would you say the majority of your
purchasers come from, or where do they plan to plant those trees?

A I'd say our largest state would be Georgia, and we sell Alabama and we sell South Carolina. Alabama, Georgia and South Carolina probably do 80 percent of our business, with Georgia doing 60 percent or 70 percent of that.

MR. DAVIS: All right. Thank you.

That's all I have.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any more questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have a question?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions. Mr.

Beshears, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You have two more witnesses. I hate to do this to you but I would like to take about a ten-minute break.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, we always agree with Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Back on the record, please.

Whereupon,
JERRY DOWDY
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós.
MR. QUIR S: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Mr. Dowdy, before we begin your
testimony, I have a couple of preliminary things.
The first is have you received Exhibit 1 which
was a notice published in the Federal Register by the USDA that includes the proposed Federal Marketing Order for pecans?

A I have.
Q And have you received what is Exhibit 23 in these hearings which is an executive summary of the economic analysis of the implementation of a Federal Marketing Order for pecans that was prepared by Dr. Marco Palma?

A I have.

Q Thank you. I understand you have prepared testimony for us this morning. If you'd please read it, we would appreciate it.

A I'd like to preface my remarks by saying if I appear to be nervous it's only because I am.

My name is Jerry Dowdy. That's
spelled
J-E-R-R-Y, D-O-W-D-Y. I'm the president of
Atwell Pecan Company, a pecan shelling facility located in Wrens, Georgia, which is about 30 miles south of Augusta, Georgia. Atwell Pecan

Company started in 1935 as US Highway 1 began to be the main north-south route for travel along the eastern seaboard. At that time there was an opportunity to sell pecans to the traveling public on a small scale. Eventually the Atwell business model shifted to focus on fund-raising which is selling pecan kernels to churches and other groups for resale.

Family ties brought me into the pecan business. After graduating from the University of Georgia with a degree in forest resources, I found job prospects were limited in the forestry field. I went to work for Mascot Pecan Company, a small shelling operation at that time, located in Glennville, Georgia. It was owned and operated by my wife's late father, Hugh Oliver, and his brother-in-law, Mills Tarver.

In 1971, Mr. Tarver, Mr. Oliver and I purchased At well Pecan Company and continued to focus primarily on the fund-raising business, selling to various clubs and organizations around the country. IN 1986, Mr. Oliver and my wife

Susan bought a pecan growing operation in Washington County, Georgia, located between the community of Davisboro, Georgia and the town of Sandersville, Georgia. We operated this orchard until late 2011 when it was sold to the present owner.

Today my wife Susan and I own Atwell pecan Company which is now a pecan shelling and candy production business only, handling approximately two million inshell pounds a year. The majority of these are high quality nuts of varieties such as Desirable or Pawnee. With annual gross revenue less than $\$ 7$ million, Atwell Pecan qualifies as a small business under the Small Business Administration guidelines.

I've reviewed the proposed Federal
Marketing Order and I support its adoption. I've also reviewed the summary economic analysis prepared by Dr. Marco Palma. I'm aware that the proposed order may impose some direct costs on my operation, such as the 1 to 3 cents per pound assessment. It's also my understanding that
there may be some additional indirect costs in the form of assessments that include the obligation to keep records of the amount of product I handle and the calculation and remission of assessments to the American Pecan Council. I believe these costs are reasonable and are not unduly onerous.

Perhaps more importantly, I'm aware of the benefits that will flow from the increased marketing, promotion and research efforts, including more accurate market data. I'm convinced that these benefits outweigh the cost to my business. In the future, the proposed handling authorities for grades, sizes, quality, packaging, transportation, while burdensome in the short term, should prove helpful for my business and the industry as a whole in the long term.

I also understand that only shellers that handle more than one million pounds of inshell pecans per year will be eligible to nominate and to be elected to the sheller seats
on the Council. I believe this is a fair
threshold. First, I'm not aware of any sheller in my area who handles less than one million pounds inshell per year that is in the commercial shelling business. Further, if there is such a sheller, I don't believe it would be commercially viable because of the investment that would be required. Cost of equipment alone would make it difficult for such a small production to turn a profit.

As an individual pecan sheller with a deep interest in a strong and thriving pecan industry, I support the proposed marketing order and encourage Secretary Vilsack to adopt and implement it.

I'm also a longstanding member of the
National Pecan Shellers Association, a member of the NPSA board of directors, and I currently serve as its chairman. The National Pecan Shellers Association is a trade association of 25 active shelling members and 66 affiliate members that include growers, brokers, accumulators,
equipment and other suppliers to the shelling industry. Of the 25 active or shelling members, 18, or 72 percent, qualify as small businesses under the guidelines of the Small Business Administration.

As the name suggests, our organization focuses on issues that directly impact the shelling and processing of pecans, regulatory compliance, nutrition research and promotion of pecans. NPSA members, including myself, have attended a number of informative meetings over the last several years. Indeed, I actively participated in NPSA board decisions to support the formation of a U.S. Pecan Council, now calling itself the American Pecan Board. Pecan shellers have been given ample opportunity to express concerns and offer suggestions as the Federal Marketing Order for pecans began to take shape. These concerns and suggestions have been voiced in these proceedings.

Several members of the American Pecan

Board are also members of the National Pecan

Shellers Association. They, along with Mike Adams, have been instrumental in helping shellers, large and small, understand the challenges and the ultimate impact this Federal Marketing Order would have on us as individual shellers and as an industry.

In addition to my personal support as a pecan sheller, I've been authorized by the board of the National Pecan Shellers Association to testify on its behalf in support of a Federal Marketing Order for pecans. Furthermore, a letter of support from the National Pecan Shellers Association has been written and is submitted by attachment to today's testimony to Secretary of Agriculture Tom Vilsack.

I'll be glad to answer any questions. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós?

MR. QUIR S: Yes, Your Honor. We would like to tender this as Exhibit 80 at this time.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any objection?

MS. CHILUKURI: No objection.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have an objection?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: With no objection, Exhibit 80 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 80 and was received in evidence.)

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Mr. Dowdy, I have a few questions for you. The first is the attachment to the letter that you referred to, to Secretary Vilsack from the National Pecan Shellers Association. Would you please read that into the record from the salutation through the signature line?

A "Dear Secretary Vilsack, The National Pecan Shellers Association wishes to express our support of the Federal Marketing Order for pecans proposed by the American Pecan Board. Our industry has and continues to face issues of price and supply instability in the market. This
situation inhibits our efforts to provide a consistent quality supply of pecans to domestic consumers and makes it difficult for producers and processors to earn a fair profit on their operations. We believe that a Federal Marketing Order will contribute to a more stable market environment that is favorable to growers, buyers, shellers and consumers. The National Pecan Shellers Association goes on record in favor of the order and would greatly appreciate your support. Respectfully submitted, Jerry Dowdy, Chairman."

Q Thank you, Mr. Dowdy. And you did testify that this letter was approved and the vote was taken by the board of directors that allows you to be here and to write this letter to Secretary Vilsack. Is that correct?

A It is correct, yes.
Q Thank you. We heard some testimony this morning from Jeff Worn who said that he was not a member of the National Pecan Shellers Association. Do you believe that the National

Pecan Shellers Association represents most shellers in this country of more than a million pounds of inshell pecans?

A Yes. I believe we represent the majority.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you. No further questions at this time of this witness, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Good morning, Mr. Dowdy. Thank you for your testimony.

So in your testimony you state that you were part of several meetings during the process of developing this proposed program, and in your capacity as representing the National Pecan Sellers Association, can you talk to us about any concerns that were specific to the
sheller community about the proposed program?
A There was a concern early on about equal representation between the growing community and the shelling community, but that was ironed out and everybody is on the same page now.

Q Thank you. Yes, we've had previous testimony to that.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By being on the same page, what do you mean?

THE WITNESS: Everybody is in agreement.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.
BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q And was there any discussion of the proposed assessment rates, the range of assessment rates and I guess any concern over the fact that those assessments would be collected at the handler and potentially the sheller level?

A There was discussion about that, but again, we all came to an agreement that the 1 to 3 cent proposal was fair, was reasonable, and
that the first handler, the way that was set up was acceptable.

Q And does your group understand the proposed concept of the inter-handler transfer and how that would work?

A Yes, I believe we do.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: All right. No
further questions. Thank you.
BY MS. VARELA:
Q Thank you so much for your time today, Mr. Dowdy. We really appreciate your perspective and that of the shellers.

I was interested in something you said on page 4 of your testimony, as it's written in front of me. You talked about the focuses of the shellers association looking at issues that directly impact your industry, and one of the specifics you had here was regulatory compliance. Could you tell me a little bit about regulations that shellers currently have to deal with?

A Well, there are some new rules coming out which I think everybody is aware of the FSMA
rules that will be out shortly. The shelling industry has always had to put out a product that was basically free from E. coli and salmonella, and two basic methods there of sanitation were either chlorine or hot water bath, and so from that point on, once we receive the nuts they go through the rest of the process in a very sanitary fashion, free of contamination or areas where you could incur contamination. We also send product, we as a company, to a private lab and have it analyzed on a periodic basis. Some of the larger companies, $I$ think, have an inhouse lab where they do this in-house. So we've gone to great lengths to ensure that our product goes out to the consumer in a safe manner and free from these harmful items.

Also -- well, I'll skip that.
Q Well, that's actually very
informative.

A Well, we're interested also in getting
a cleaner product out of the field, and I think there's some work being done on this, and we
also, we as the association, the NPSA, has funded a program that has done some extensive testing by Dr. Danyluk from the University of Florida for salmonella or E. coli coming in from the orchard, and her studies I think have been finished, I'm not sure they're published yet, but we now have an understanding of the degree of contamination, and it's been very low, coming in out of the orchards and into our processing plants.

Q That's actually very helpful. I think you might be the first we have who has been able to speak to the sheller's side of already having to comply with some types of regulation, even if they're not the same types that we're talking about here, and it makes me wonder if -- and absolutely correct me if I'm wrong here -- some of those steps that you have to take, just as a course of being in business and providing a safe product, are some of those additional steps you take part of what makes being a sheller so capital intensive and might make it difficult for someone to get into that?

A It's certainly a factor, yes.
Q So would you say that those costs are part of what makes that threshold for being considered a sheller who can have a seat on the proposed Council, are those all part of what goes into why you need to shell a million pounds to really be able to stay in business?

A Yes, absolutely. A smaller sheller, less than a million pounds, you just can't afford all the equipment and the tests, certainly can't afford an in-house lab to do all the testing to introduce this stuff into consumer channels. So a larger sheller or above two million pounds, you have to either send it to a private lab or do it in-house.

Q So from what you've described here today, it sounds to me like there's a lot more involved in shelling than just cracking.

A You bet there is.

Q And that's something that I think we need to have clear on the record. I mean, I think we've all made some assumptions along the
way but you've really been helpful in describing the water bath and having to do some of those preparatory steps. I think sometimes we don't realize that all of that is there.

A I think many people think you take them, you run them through a cracker, you take a little bit of shell off, and then they're presented for sale to the consumer. It's not like that.

Q And since we kind of got onto the topic of cracking, we've had a few different witnesses talk about how part of the difference in the value of the different classifications of pecans is that the process to actually get to that nut can be a little different. Can you talk about some of those challenges and how the possibility of proposing standards might come into play there? How that would maybe help your business to have -- or if you think it would be helpful to your business to have some common definitions that all shellers would be operating in concert with.

A Well, it would seem to me if you had a certain level of quality that could be brought to your plant by those people who grow the nuts, but the problem there is we have a lot of what I call casual or yard tree production, and frequently those nuts are inferior. But from a shelling company standpoint, if you see enough edible product in what comes into your plant that you think it would be cost-effective to try to recover it, then you go ahead and process it. If you don't, then you discard it and discard comes from what we call an inshell blower which sucks off the lighter weight pecans that have basically nothing in them. Some of the heavier stuff moves on into the flow.

I don't know if I've answered your question clearly.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: When you say
discard, would that be something within the definition of disappearance?

THE WITNESS: Well, from the initial weigh-in, yes, it would be disappearance.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.
BY MS. VARELA:

Q Thank you for that. Also looking at that same page of your testimony, you mentioned that the shellers association was involved in forming the group that eventually became what we know as the American Pecan Board, and I was hoping that just kind of historically you could shed a little insight on what the conditions in your industry were that motivated everyone to want to create an additional organization. What were those core problems that you thought needed some extra help?

A That really has been stated this morning by such wide fluctuations in prices, and in production you just don't know from one year to the next what the carry-in is, what's available in cold storage, and then what the coming crop is, and then how do you mesh the two together to get a reasonable price to go to your customer or to form a market.

MS. VARELA: Thank you very much for
all of your testimony.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Hinman.
MR. HINMAN: Don Hinman, USDA.
BY MR. HINMAN:
Q Good morning. I just wanted to follow up on Ms. Varela's question a bit more on quality centered. You've mentioned the importance of high quality, and if you could just explain in a bit more detail the current quality standards that you use in the buying process. Can you explain how well those current standards work or if some of the standards that you currently use may be inadequate?

A The standards that we have currently work in the shelling of pecans. When you buy a lot of pecans -- lot meaning pounds, whether it's a tractor-trailer load, 44,000 pounds or a pickup load which may be 2,000 pounds -- and you run a yield test, you generally separate that yield. And by yield I mean you weigh out $X$ amount, we usually use a pound ourselves, and we shell those very carefully by hand so that we can extract all
of the pecan meat that's in there. And then we take the brightest kernel which is called fancy, and the brown kernel which may be slightly brown, we call that a choice, and then a third grade which is darker but still edible we call an amber or a standard. And these are the basic three grades that the industry has, and I really don't see a way to change that.

When you're trying to assess a lot of pecans, then those are basically the three grades. Now, a better quality pecan, again I'll refer to Desirable and Pawnee often have no inferior grade like a choice or a standard, it's all fancy. You hope it is, anyway, when you buy it. But if you get that sample and you run it, you analyze it, and there is a percent of, say, choice meat or standard meat in there, then you negotiate with whoever sold it to you, whether it was an accumulator or a grower, to have a price adjustment if you've agreed on a price previously.

Does that make sense?

Q That's fine. In terms of choice of fancy, could you foresee that if the market order went into effect you would participate in an effort to redefine, improve, make more clear those standards, such as choice?

A I think what we have works now.

MR. HINMAN: Thank you. No further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Quirós?

MR. QUIR S: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any
questions from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions. Mr.

Dowdy, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Wray, any sign-
ups?
MS. WRAY: No sign-ups, Your Honor. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: One witness left, it looks like.

MR. QUIR S: Yes, Your Honor. And just maybe a moment of brief comments before we call our last witness. Your Honor, even though Mr. Davis and I have served as pro bono counsel for the American Pecan Board, we have tried to present fully the colorful mosaic of the pecan industry in all three regions during this testimony and be advocates for our Proponent Group. We could have been accused, and it might be so, of taking our job too seriously, and that's true because we know how meaningful it will be to this industry if this proposed Federal Marketing Order is approved.

We have described our testimony in the last eight days as a jigsaw puzzle, and so we want to call our final witness, who has testified before, Mr. Mike Adams, who can kind of settle all those things. Each witness we felt like was important to describe the industry. Even as Ms. Varela pointed out, our last witness added
information that no other witness had, so we believe our record is now complete.

But we would be remiss if we called Mr. Adams without, as lawyers, publicly thanking the USDA for all their help and guidance that we've received over the last five months of working with them. We especially want to thank Ms. Melissa Schmaedick and Ms. Jen Varela. Thank you both and thank you all for all of your help.

And now we'd like to call our last witness, Mr. Mike Adams.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Adams. Whereupon,

## MIKE ADAMS

having been previously duly sworn, was recalled as a witness herein and was examined and testified further as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good morning, Mr. Adams.
A Good morning.

Q I thought I would be saying good
afternoon to you, so I'm glad to say good morning to you. Yesterday afternoon did you meet and discuss with us some items that had come to your attention during the course of these proceedings, as the president of the Proponent Group, that you thought it might help clarify the intent of the board in the actual language that's incorporated in the proposed marketing order?

A I did, yes.
MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I would now like to tender and hand out what has been marked Exhibit 81. I'm sorry. I'm not tendering it, I'm going to let Mr. Adams identify it, but I will hand out what has been tentatively marked as Exhibit 81.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 81.)

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q You now have before you a copy of what has been tentatively marked as Exhibit 81 to these proceedings.

A I do, yes.

Q And if you would take a second and glance through that, is this, in fact, a version of Exhibit 1 with the pen and ink corrections that you would like to discuss on the record here today?

A Yes, I would.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time
I tender Exhibit 81.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection from USDA?

MR. HILL: I have no objection, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: With no objection,

Exhibit 81 is admitted as part of the record.
(The document referred to, having been
previously marked for identification
as Exhibit 81, was received in
evidence.)

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q Now let's walk through this document and discuss the specific ministerial or clarification changes you'd like to make. On the page that has the page number from the Federal Register 38024, would you get that before you, and if you would draw your attention down to the pen and ink changes that are on that, particularly Section 986.9, Crack or Cracks. What are the proposed clarifications that you would like to make on that paragraph?

A Well, previous testimony indicated that those really were inclusive of two different definitions in one, and so I think for clarity we'd like to separate cracks and crack in the definitions.

Q So you would merely just take the two definitions that currently appear in Section 986.9 and break those into separate definitions, be that as a new number section point something or other or an (a) and (b) under Cracks.

A Whatever is convenient, but I think
separating the two would, again, lend itself to clarity because they're used in different contexts.

MR. DAVIS: Do you want me to give the contexts, Your Honor?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Well, actually I wasn't sure whether you were through with asking about this particular definition.

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But I think, as we did going through the testimony with Dr.

Hudson --

MR. DAVIS: Exactly. I'll stop after every one.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Every one.
BY MR. DAVIS:

Q And again, to put context to that, there was testimony that in the industry the word "crack" may well be, and in fact is used as a part of the processing of pecans, for example, done by a sheller, they would crack the hard shell and take the meat out, and that is
distinguished from cracks with an $S$, and that's just something where a product is damaged in the collection in harvesting or handling. Is that correct?

A Yes, that's stated correctly.

MR. DAVIS: Those are the last of my comments on that section, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions on crack and cracks and the proposal to divide into two definitions?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Maybe we ought to do the audience also. We do have some, I guess, experts in pecans out there who might have relevant comments.

So Mr. Adams has been testifying about dividing what was in the original proposal as 986.9, Crack or Cracks into two definitions, and with the audience now having copies of that, does anyone in the audience have any questions for Mr.

Adams about that proposal?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Apparently no questions, so proceed.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Let's move on to the next change we'd like to discuss, 986.28, subsection (a) (2) which I believe appears on page 38025 in this Exhibit 81, and you'll see there under that subheading . 28 (a) (2) a non-exclusive list of improved varieties of pecans and you see that we're proposing to add Gracross and Gratex, spelled G-R-A-C-R-O-S-S and C-R-A-T-E-X to that nonexclusive list -- G-R-A-T-E-X, excuse me -- and was it your memory that in an earlier draft that had been submitted for publication those two improved varieties had been included and were somehow inadvertently dropped?

A Yes. Those two varieties were in the original draft that came out of the very first version. At some point they got dropped and we're not sure why but there were a number of
iterations, so adding those two would be appropriate.

Q So again, in keeping with our last comments, do you believe it was the intent of the board that those two improved varieties be added to this non-exclusive list?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions on Section .28?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: No questions $n$ that section

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions for Mr . Adams on Section . 28?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions. Mr.
Davis, proceed.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Let's move along. Staying on that same page under Section 986.38, Trade Supply, do
you recall that during the testimony that we have heard it has been pointed out that trade supply is defined as a quantity of merchantable inshell or shelled pecans, and I'll just stop right there. And then if we refer back to Section 986.26, the definition of merchantable pecans -and again, I'm paraphrasing -- means those pecans that meet the standards that have been put in place by the Council, which has yet been seated, and the hypothetical was posed: Well, how would you define trade supply if there were no regulations in place? And did you believe that that was an ambiguity that needed to be addressed?

A I did, yes.
Q Would you read into the record what you would proposed to add to the current definition of trade supply in Section 986.38 in order to clarify that?

A Picking up at the very end of he current definition, these words would be added: or in the absence of handler regulations, Section
986.69, setting for the minimum grade regulations for merchantable pecans, the sum of handler cleaned production and grower cleaned production.

Q Now let's go back for just a second. My reading of that would be, "or in the absence of handler regulations, Section 986.69 , setting forth the minimum grade regulations..." Is that your reading of that?

A That's correct, yes. That's my reading now.

Q And do you believe that that would clarify what we would mean by trade supply in the event that there are not regulations in place at any given time?

A Yes, I do.
MR. DAVIS: No further questions then,
Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does the USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA. Your Honor, we may have questions after the presentation of all the corrections, but at
this point we have no questions on this specific definition.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Does anyone in the audience have any questions on 986. 38?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Davis.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q And again staying on that same page, I draw your attention to Section 986.45, it appears that one, two, three, four, five lines down in that paragraph the line beginning "nominated and selected" in the same you would propose to delete the word "way" and insert "nomination process."

A Yes.

Q And do you recall what prompted that question to come up?

A Again for clarity, rather than using the word "way" specifically insert what "way" means.

Q And again, just paraphrasing, it means
that the alternate member will be nominated and selected using the same nomination process that is described for the member.

A That's correct.

Q And then skip on down to the very end of that -- excuse me, it's not the end, but under Section $986.45(\mathrm{~b})(1)$, Grower Seat, where it's talking about Seat 3 allocated to a grower whose acreage -- it appears that you've deleted "does not exceed 175" and have inserted "is less than 176." Did I read that change correctly?

A Yes.

Q And what is the purpose of that change?

A Just again, clarity to take care of that one-acre gap.

Q I believe it was pointed out by someone that if large is described as 176 and above and small is defined as 175, we could have that pesky farmer with 175.5 acres and we would not know what to do with him.

A Yes

Q But now we know.
A We know.

Q We know what we were proposing. All
right. I think we move on --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any questions on
.45? Does USDA have any questions on 986.45? MR. HILL: We'll continue to wait until the end, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions about 986.45? (No response.) JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Davis. BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Let's move on. The paragraph actually begins on the same page but continues on, the correction is on the next page, of Section 986.46, Council Nominations and Voting, and on the top of the next page under subsection (a), counting down one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight lines, it appears that the sentence "eligible to cast" you've inserted the word "votes on nomination forms." Do you see that?

A I do.

Q And again, what's the purpose of that change?

A Clarity.
Q Moving on in that section into the next column under what appears to be paragraph (b) (3) (ii), the second line, the word "nomination" has been deleted and the words "vote for the nominee candidates" has been inserted. Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And again, what is the purpose of that change?

A Again, just to make it more clear.

Q Let's go on down then to the next subsection which would be Section 986.46(b) (3) (iii) and counting down one, two, three, four, five lines the line beginning "Volume of production" it appears that you've added "(pounds of inshell pecans). Do you see that?

A I do.

Q And again, what was the purpose of that change?

A Again, the vote is going to be in inshell pounds and that was just to kind of restate and differentiate inshell from shelled.

Q And continuing on down that same column to $986.46(\mathrm{~b})(3)(\mathrm{v})$, the first line you have deleted "175 or fewer" and inserted "less than 176." Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And again, what is the purpose of that change?

A Same argument as the other correction.
MR. DAVIS: I'll stop at this time,
Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any
questions on this section?

MR. HILL: We're going to continue to hold off, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Does anyone in the audience have any questions on Section 986.46?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Davis. BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Next, Mr. Adams, I'm sure you and the audience will be glad to know we're moving on over to Section 986.55 which appears at page 38028, and if you will follow with me down through 986.55 subparagraph (c) subparagraph (1), you'll see four lines down in that subparagraph you have inserted a colon and ten deleted the words following that newly inserted colon, and I quote "and must be approved at an in-person meeting." Do you see that deletion?

A Yes.

Q Explain why you think this change
should be made.

A Well, this came up in testimony, actually in questioning, and I think a very appropriate question from USDA was that this may inhibit the functioning of the Council, and so in discussions with the board, we felt like this was an appropriate deletion.

MR. DAVIS: We have nothing further on that paragraph, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions on 986.55?

MR. HILL: Not at this time, Your

Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions on 986.55?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions. Mr.

Davis.
BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Mr. Adams, move on with me, if you will, to 986.62, Inter-handler Transfers, and that appears on page 38029. Do you see that it appears that on the third line of that paragraph you have struck the sub-parenthesis (I) and inserted (h). Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And was that just a scrivener's error that's being corrected?

A Yes, just a correction.

Q Moving on --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No further questions on that one?

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. No further questions.

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor, no questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions from USDA. Does anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No questions. Mr. Davis.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q I would move then to Section 986.65 and go down to subparagraph (f), and you'll notice that we've just put in brackets the phrase "trade inventory" with a question mark there as to whether that should be taken out. Is that quite simply because there just doesn't seem to be a defined term for "trade inventory"? And perhaps a definition could be current inventory
or something else, but right now since that's not a defined term, it's not really clear, is it?

A That's correct.

Q So you would welcome some clarification of that?

A Yes.
MR. DAVIS: Nothing further at this time, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have
questions?

MR. HILL: Not at this time, Your
Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have a question?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Let's move on then on that same page to Section 986.69, subparagraph (b) subparagraph (1), and it appears that in the second line of that subparagraph you have inserted "and approval by the Secretary." Do you see that insert?

A I do, yes.

Q And was that just to make that
consistent with other paragraphs where
recommendations of the Council, it is made clear that it must later be approved by the Secretary?

A Exactly, yes.
MR. DAVIS: No further questions or comments on that, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have
questions on 986.69?

MR. HILL: Not at this time, Your
Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Anyone in the audience have a question on 986.69?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Davis.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Your Honor, let's move on a page or two to 986.94, Termination, subparagraph (d) where you have deleted, counting down 14 lines, you have deleted "a representative" and have inserted "an appropriate" then you have left the
word "period" and then you have inserted "of time" after that. Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And again, why was that change made?
A Well, representative period is
actually defined and we thought it would suit this paragraph better to insert "an appropriate period of time" rather than "representative period" because there is a specific definition for that.

Q And that definition would not be appropriate at this point.

A That's correct.
MR. DAVIS: And Your Honor, I believe that those are all the proposed changes that we would make.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Was it USDA's intention now to cover all these proposed changes to the proposal?

MR. HILL: Yes, we're going to ask some questions but we'd like to have about ten minutes so we could confer with each other. It
would helpful and it would probably go faster. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection? MR. DAVIS: No. I enthusiastically support that.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: We recessed a few minutes ago for USDA to go over Exhibit 81. Everybody is back, and so USDA, what questions, if any, do you have about Exhibit 81?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. Thank you.
So I'd like to ask the question would
you prefer -- USDA has some questions about sections that have not been addressed yet in this Exhibit 81. Would you prefer that I ask questions on all the sections identified in 81 and then shift to USDA questions, or would you like me to go through the exhibit one section at a time.

THE WITNESS: I'd prefer the first way but if you prefer otherwise.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are you saying just
start with Section 1 and go through 90 whatever it is, or first address the changes that Mr .

Adams has already testified about?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Correct. And so I understand it's your preference to do your proposed changes first?

THE WITNESS: I'm really indifferent.
MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. I understood the witness to say he would prefer that you just go in numerical order.

THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. DAVIS: It would just be easier to follow.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: That might be easier for somebody reading the transcript to follow, and that's always my perspective or one of my perspectives.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay. All right. In that case, starting with 986.26, Merchantable Pecans.
MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. Did you just
say paragraph 26?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: 986.26, Merchantable Pecans.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Let me interrupt just for one thing. Has this been admitted yet?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. It was tendered and admitted.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Exhibit 81, do you have that as being admitted?

MR. DAVIS: I would not have asked him questions until it was admitted.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. I didn't annotate mine. Sorry.

THE WITNESS: Are we going by number or by -- I guess I'm unclear how we're taking it. And the reason $I$ ask is you're going to . 26 and we had a correction on . 9

MS. SCHMAEDICK: We have no comment on the correction on . 9.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: So 1 through 25 you don't have any comments.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Correct.

THE WITNESS: I'm with you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q So the question in 986.26 is -- well, it's a little bit complicated so let me see if I can work up to it. Based on the proposed modification of 986.38, Trade Supply -- and I'll read that proposed change into the record. You're recommending inserting language that states "or in the absence of handler regulations, 986.69, setting forth minimum grade regulations for merchantable pecans, the sum of handlercleaned production and grower-cleaned production would define trade supply." So what we're trying to grasp and make sure is explained clearly in the record is that in the absence of handling regulations -- and perhaps I'm restating the obvious -- but in the absence of handling regulations merchantable pecans would be the sum of grower and handler cleaned production, and therefore, disappearance would be zero.

A It is a complicated -- I mean, you
stated the question but to put my mind around it.
Q So let me put that into perspective.
So right now if we were turn disappearance into a mathematical equation, you have the sum of grower-cleaned and handler-cleaned production.

A Right.
Q And then you're subtracting from that sum the available supply of merchantable pecans, but if there are no merchantable pecans because there are no handling regulations in effect, the difference becomes zero.

A I see that.

Q Okay. The reason we're asking is that disappearance has been used in many different ways throughout witness testimony, and we want to be sure that the way it is defined here is consistent in terms of how it's used throughout the proposed document.

A And I hear what you're saying.
Q And perhaps this is something that you want to think about and then respond to later.

MR. DAVIS: I can't ask the USDA a
question, but perhaps if I could ask a question to the witness, that could prompt a question from USDA, Your Honor

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Certainly.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q Mr. Adams, we made a proposed change to Section 986.38 to make clear that we were going to use the definition of merchantable pecans but in the absence of regulation then it was going to be those two sums. Take a look if we were to insert the phrase "or in the absence of regulations pursuant to 986.69 , then the sum of handler-cleaned production and grower-cleaned production" to the definition of inshell merchantable pecans and shelled merchantable pecans, paragraph . 26 , do you think that that would clarify that later and make the definition of disappearance more meaningful?

A I'm unsure about it. I mean, I've got to spend more time thinking about that than obviously both of you have. I don't want to
testify to something I haven't thought about for a while

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Certainly, and given the importance of this testimony and clarity in the record, we understand that.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Can we anticipate that it might be appropriate to take another break after USDA asks its questions on other sections of 986 , then reconvene so we can anticipate another break here? That would give Mr. Adams an opportunity to consult with counsel. MR. HILL: If counsel wants that, we would definitely be fine with that. Yes. MR. DAVIS: Thank you. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Thanks. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHMAEDICK: Q So shifting to 986.45, American Pecan Council -- oh, I'm sorry -- shifting to 986.41, Warehousing, so we've heard testimony that warehousing of both assessed and unassessed pecans can occur at the handler level.

A Yes.

Q And so we are wondering if there might be two clarifications that would work. One would be to modify the definition of warehousing to say warehousing means to hold inventory in cold storage or to hold inventory into the next fiscal year, or something along those lines.

A Cold storage wouldn't work in every situation.

Q At any rate, to modify that particular definition so that it is not limited to unassessed inventory, but then also include a corresponding clarifying change to 986.61, paragraph (h) which states: "On August 31 of each year, every handler warehousing inshell pecans" so we would clarify that to state:
"Every handler warehousing unassessed inshell pecans."

A Again, going back to the way it works, you will have a handler that's warehousing both assessed and unassessed, and the August 31 count rule, which purpose is to separate old crop and
new crop, would trigger the assessment of the unassessed inventory. So that's the purpose and the way it was intended to work.

Q Okay. Thank you. And now moving to 986.45, American Pecan Council, and the Proponent Group is recommending a modifying change that would remove the word "way" and insert "nomination process." So just for clarification, is it the intent to have a ballot that is specific to an alternate member?

A No.
Q So for clarification, we are wondering if simply the removal of "and selected in the same way" would be clearer given that the actual nomination voting process is further described in 986.46.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So how would it end up reading?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: The new sentence would read: "The American Pecan Council is hereby established, consisting of 17 members selected by the Secretary, each of whom shall
have an alternate member nominated with the same qualifications as the member."

MR. DAVIS: Let's consider that.

THE WITNESS: We'll consider that.

Yes.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q And again, the purpose is to avoid confusion that there are two processes.

A I understand.

Q Moving on to 986.55, Procedure, Proponents have recommended -- I apologize. Before getting to Procedure, let's go to --

A See, I don't even know what you're apologizing for. That's between you and Ms. Sharrow.

Q 986.32(b). Currently it says: "With the approval of the Secretary, the boundaries of any district may be changed pursuant to 986.58." Given that your proposed programs doesn't have districts, it has regions, would it be appropriate to clarify that that means regions?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you. Back to 986.55, the Proponent Group is recommending removing the language under (c) (1) that states that "a concurring vote of Council members must be approved in an in-person meeting." And given the abundance of testimony to the importance --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: They're just proposing removing "and must be approved by an in-person meeting." Is that what you said? I thought you started earlier than that.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: I believe that's what I intended to say.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So they would insert a colon after members and delete the next.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Delete the words "and must be approved at an in-person meeting."

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q So given the abundance of testimony with regard to the importance of these issues, and given the witness testimony that clearly indicates that the preference would be a face-to-
face meeting but that there might be also a preference to the types of alternatives sought, we're wondering if you could look at 986.55 paragraph (c) (2) there's a proviso that reads -and this is pertinent directly to securing a bank loan -- but it reads that "in the event of an emergency that warrants immediate attention sooner than a face-to-face meeting is possible, a vote for financing may be taken. In such event, the Council's first preference is a video conference and second preference is a phone conference, both followed by written confirmation of the members attending the meeting."

So my question is would it be appropriate to create a proviso using this similar language that was discussed with Ms. Helen Watts as being an appropriate alternative?

A Yes. And if I might, that's a board decision and I'm expressing to you the sense of the board with this change, but Your Honor, can I ask questions of the audience? I've got two board members back there.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You're the one who's testifying.

MR. DAVIS: Let's do that at the conference.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You can do that at the conference.

THE WITNESS: I think the answer is going to be yes, but again, I don't want to take full authority for that without conferring with some board members.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q So just to clarify, the intent that we've heard on the record is that there's flexibility.

A Yes.

Q But we've also heard that these are important issues.

A Correct.
Q So our concern is that that is adequately addressed.

A That's correct. Yes.

Q 986.62, Inter-handler Transfer. The

Proponent Group has recommended changing the reference to $986.61(I)$ to $986.61(h)$, and we're wondering if it might be clearer to say both (h) and (I).

A Yes.

MR. QUIR S: I think (I) --

MR. DAVIS: Let's just add that to the conference list.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Again, as I
anticipate what we're doing now, we're getting certain areas in which the proponents are going to need to consult and then come back, and this appears to be one of them.

MR. HILI: I think that's reasonable,

Your Honor.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Just to clarify, in 986.62, paragraph
(I) -- .61, paragraph (I), the first sentence reads: "On August 31 of each year, all inventories warehoused by growers from the current fiscal year shall cease to be eligible for inter-handler transfer treatment." In your
opinion, is it clearly stated that this would be an exception to 986.62, Inter-handler Transfer?

A Are you reading (I) or (h)?
$Q \quad(I)$.

A I would really like to be able to conference on this.

Q Okay. Certainly. No problem. For my next question I'd like to start by having you look at the proposed definition of variety which is 986.40, and I'll read it into the record for you. 986.40 reads: "Varieties mean and include all cultivars, classifications or subdivisions of pecans." Do you see that?

A I do, yes.

Q And then if we turn to 986.28 which is the definition of pecans, that first paragraph (a) reads: "Pecans means and includes any and all varieties or sub-varieties of genus Carya, species illinoensis, expressed also as Carya illinoensis..."

A We understand. Before this hearing I practiced that word and $I$ still can't say it.

Q "...including all varieties thereof, excluding hicans, that are produced in the production area and are classified as:" So if you then turn your attention to 986.69, Authorities regulating handling -JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: If I may interject at this point, Ms. Schmaedick, are you referring to the word "varieties" in . $28(a)(1)$, that that word is used in that definition, but varieties, as used in 986.40 , does not seem to include the native pecans?

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q What I'm trying to understand is if the intent of the board is that in the definition of varieties where it states "includes all cultivars, classifications or subdivisions" and then by using the term "classified" under the definition of pecans, is that the common thread that allows under $986.69(a)(1)$, would that common thread allow for handling regulations specific to native and seedlings to be established?

A Yes.

Q And let me just further add that if that is the intent, might it not be an appropriate clarification to modify paragraph 986.69(a)(1) to state: Establishing handling requirements or minimum tolerances for particular grades, sizes or qualities, or any combination thereof, of any or all varieties or classifications of pecans during any period?

A Yes.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Schmaedick, I think you raised my horse from the dead.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Mine too.
(General laughter.)
BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q And then similarly, would a clarification in 986.69(a)(2) to read: Establish different handling requirements or minimum tolerances for particular grades, sizes or qualities, or any combination thereof, for different varieties or classifications, for different containers, for different portions of
the production area, or any combination of the foregoing during any period?

A And basically the intent is it include the native classification. I think that's appropriate.

Q Okay. Thank you. I just again want to be sure that the intent of the American Pecan Board is carried forward.

A When we said in (a) (1) and (a) (2), when we were saying varieties of pecans, that was inclusive of pecans.

Q Inclusive of native?

A All pecans, going back to the definition of pecans.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay. Thank you.
We have no further questions, Your
Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Does anyone from the audience have any questions before we take another recess?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. If I followed

> correctly, I think there's going to be consultation on the USDA's on $986.26, .41, .45$, .55, and .62. Did I get them all?
> MR. QUIR $S: I$ have one other, Your

Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And then I don't know whether you want to talk any more about the varieties issue which there have been several sections come up, just to make sure that that's clear.

MR. DAVIS: Wasn't the definition of disappearance also implicated?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Was that one also?
MR. DAVIS: It was .12, . 26 and . 12 .

We're going to try to limit our discussion to only those areas that we'd like to clarify.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Some of those affected more than one, like . 26 also related to the change in . 38.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. And can we retrieve the tendered Exhibit 81 so that what would be on the official record would have all
the pen and ink changes to which we've agreed?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any problem with that, or would it be better to handle that all at once after your consultation? MR. DAVIS: It would be after, I would think it would be after.

MR. HILI: I have no objection, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.

MR. DAVIS: We'll retrieve it when we finish.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And you want to take a recess?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And do you have a time estimate?

MR. DAVIS: I believe this should be short, ten minutes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Fifteen.

Ms. Wray, has there been anyone sign up?

MS . WRAY: NO.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. We'll
take a recess.

> (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
> JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Back on the record.

We've been on a break where there's been consultation among the Proponent Group.

MR. DAVIS: And again for the record, with permission of counsel from USDA and the Court, I have retrieved the tendered Exhibit 81 and I'm going to annotate it in accordance with Mr. Adams's testimony, so it being our intent that Exhibit 81 will have all of the consented ministerial changes that Mr . Adams would like to make to Exhibit 1. That is our intent.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And there is no objection from USDA on that?

MR. HILL: No objection.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q All right. First I think Mr. Adams would like to thank USDA for pointing out what could possibly be considered an ambiguity between
the current definitions of . 38, . 26 and . 12 concerning the disappearance and merchantable pecans. Unfortunately, with the given definitions, we could not come up with a proposed fix and so we would just recognize that for a relatively, hopefully, limited period of time when there are not definitions of merchantable pecans in place, handling of merchantable pecans, that it would appear the definition of disappearance will result in zero, but we think that that will be for just a limited time, and therefore, we recognize that that's the result, it's not a long-term result, it will be shortterm, and then all the definitions will work together. Is that correct, Mr. Adams?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q All right. Now moving on to more positive territory, Mr. Adams, is it true that you believe that it was the intent of the board to, in fact, in paragraph . 32, subparagraph (b) that the word "district" should be "region"?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: And so, Your Honor, the Proponent Group is making that change to Exhibit 81.

## JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Move to paragraph .41. Mr. Adams, is it your testimony that the board of the Proponent Group intended that warehousing would mean to hold assessed or unassessed inventory?

A Yes.

Q And do you propose that definition?
A Yes.

Q And I'm making that change, inserting the words "assessed or" so that paragraph . 41 will now read: "Warehousing means to hold assessed or unassessed inventory." Is that correct, Mr. Adams?

A Yes.
Q Moving on to paragraph .45, do you agree that it was the intent of the board to in paragraph (4) to have the first sentence now merely read: "The American Pecan Council is
hereby established, consistent of 17 members selected by the Secretary, each of whom shall have an alternate member nominated with the same qualifications as the member." Is that your intent?

A Yes.
Q So we propose to delete "and selected in the same way and." Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q I will now make that change to Exhibit 81.

Let's focus on the comments that were made on paragraph .55, if you would, please, . $55(\mathrm{c})$, I believe. And there was some suggestion that perhaps .55(c)(1) should be modified in some way to suggest that there is a preference of inhouse meetings but you could provide for alternatives. Do you believe that with the change we had proposed previously, read in conjunction with subparagraph (b) that it would be clear that in-house meetings, of course, could be held but in the alternative you could have
telephone or video conferences?
A Yes. We think that . 55 (b) will
address the deletion and cover the preference of the board.

Q And in fact, although there has been testimony about how important the issues are in (c) that with modern technology, in fact, if it is a very important issue, more people could participate by using video conferences and telephone conferences and the groups could be better represented with this technology rather than just insisting on an in-person meeting. Is that correct?

A We do, yes.
MR. DAVIS: So we are not agreeing to any change to the change that we already have in Exhibit 81. All right, Your Honor? JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Run that by me again.

MR. DAVIS: We had made a proposed change in Exhibit 81 and that proposed change stands.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You mean in
. 55 (c) (1)?
MR. DAVIS: Yes. We're just going to take out "and must be approved in an in-person meeting." We'll leave the colon and delete that next clause.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. I would point out that the proviso in (2) refers specifically to a vote for financing.

MR. DAVIS: Correct.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So that proviso would not necessarily by its terms be applicable in (1).

MR. DAVIS: We understand that, but we are referring to paragraph (b) which, of course, would apply to all the proceedings. You see under paragraph (b) it says: "The Council may provide for meetings by telephone or other means of communication and any vote cast at such meeting will be confirmed." So we just don't think there's anything else needed. It would be cumbersome and perhaps more confusing if we made
any change to (c) (1).

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And (2) would remain
with the proviso?

MR. DAVIS: Yes. We're not going to change that.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I haven't sort of parsed through it myself. Okay.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q Going on to . 62, Mr. Adams, our proposed amendment was (h). A friendly suggestion was pointed out that perhaps that should be (h) and (i). Do you agree with that change?

A Yes.

Q So I'm making that annotation on Exhibit 81, paragraph . 62.

And then finally, it just simply wasn't addressed by the USDA but we did want to make clear that we would propose $.65(f)$ that the words "trade inventory" simply be deleted. Is that your testimony, Mr. Adams?

A Yes.

Q I'm making that annotation.

And we believe that those are all of the paragraphs that needed to be addressed. And with that, $I$ will return Exhibit 81.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Your Honor, there was also the question of 986.69 , paragraphs (a) (1) and (a) (2), potentially adding classification to varieties.

MR. DAVIS: I thought he had agreed to that, but we do agree to that.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: Let me make those changes then. That's paragraph?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: 986.69, paragraphs (a) (1) and (a) (2).

MR. DAVIS: Where would I insert that word, Ms. Schmaedick?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: After "any or all varieties or classifications." Do you want me to read the new sentence into the record?

MR. DAVIS: I'm just looking for the spot, and I think I've found it in (1) and then
in (2) it's the same add after varieties.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Varieties.

MR. DAVIS: For different varieties or
classifications?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Correct.

MR. DAVIS: All right. I think that those are all the changes now.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Anything else, Mr.

Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, but let me tender

Exhibit 81 back to the court reporter.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: As amended.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q First, Mr. Adams, at your request did your attorneys reach out to Mr. Timothy Haithcock, who is the president of the North Carolina Shellers Association, and ask him for an affidavit authenticating that his organization had sent in a letter of support for the proposed marketing order to the Secretary of Agriculture?

A Yes.

Q And is this the affidavit that we
received?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: The Proponent Group tenders Exhibit 82, and Mr. Hill has not had an opportunity to review this so let me give it to him.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And you're moving for the admission?

MR. DAVIS: Let me give Mr. Hill an opportunity to review it.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Hill, have you had an opportunity to review 82?

MR. HILI: Yes, I have.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent

Group tenders Exhibit 82.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from

USDA?

MR. HILI: I will not object.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from
the audience?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And as it may
already be clear to you, it's an affidavit stating that the attached letter, how it was prepared and that it is authentic. So no objection from the audience, 82 is admitted as part of the record.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 82 and was received in evidence.)

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Mr. Adams, at your request did counsel reach out to Mr . Robert Knight, the president of the Oklahoma Pecan Growers Association, who was unable to attend because of a farming emergency the hearings in Dallas, and ask him if he could submit an affidavit authenticating a letter from the Oklahoma Pecan Growers Association to Secretary of Agriculture Tom Vilsack in support of the proposed marketing order for pecans?
A Yes.
$Q \quad$ And let me show what has been
tentatively marked s Exhibit 83. Is that that affidavit that we received?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent Group tenders Exhibit 83, the affidavit of Robert B. Knight and the attached letter.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any objection?

MR. HILL: One moment, Your Honor. I won't object.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection from USDA. Does anyone in the audience have an objection?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection,
Exhibit 83 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked
for identification as Exhibit 83 and
was received in evidence.)

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor, for admitting 83.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q Mr. Adams, there's been a number of tributes to you for your hard work on behalf of
the American Pecan Growers and Shellers and I will not add to those, but do you believe that you have -- well, tell us your current state of mind about the support for the proposed marketing order, both in the sheller community and the grower community in the production area.

A Notwithstanding the fact that I'm ready to get back to Texas, my current state of mind is there is strong support, and I think we've heard from witnesses, not the least of which was Jerry Dowdy this morning, representing National Pecan Shellers Association, and some more growers and shellers today, I think it's positive.

Q And your state of mind is that based in part upon the testimony you've heard in these proceedings?

A No question. Yes.
Q Is it in part because of the many visits and hours that you spent meeting with pecan growers and shellers across the production area in all regions of the production area?

A All regions. Yes.
Q And is your state of mind concerning that state of support in any way influenced by the number of letters and correspondence that have been delivered to Secretary Vilsack voicing their support?

A Correct. In other words, people willing to put their signature on a piece of paper saying they support it.

Q And you were copied on a number of such correspondence. Is that correct?

A I was, yes.
Q So you have personally received such correspondence. Is that right?

A I have.
Q Did you ask your counsel to accumulate all of the letters of support that you have personally received from any organization or any entity that was forwarded to Secretary Vilsack in support of this proposal?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I'm going to
give to counsel for USDA a packet and give them an opportunity to view it, but it would be proposed Exhibit 84, before I publish it. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit 84.) BY MR. DAVIS:

Q So let me ask a couple of foundational
questions. You now have what has been
tentatively marked as Exhibit 84. It has not been admitted yet so I'm not going to publish it, but is the first page of Exhibit 84 a complete and accurate list of all letters of support that have been forwarded to Secretary Vilsack, of which you were copied and which you have firsthand knowledge of such delivery, is that a full and complete list?

A You bet.
Q And then attached, the remaining pages of Exhibit 84 are, in fact, copies of all such correspondence?

A Yes.

Q Is it true and correct copies of all such correspondence?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent Group tenders Exhibit 84.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I'm going to have to object again, Your Honor. This witness can testify to his own knowledge of who did so, but I can't accept the actual letters.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are any of these in 84, a couple of them look familiar.

MR. DAVIS: The vast majority of them, and there are two, in fact, to be full disclosure -- three, I think I've just been told -- United State Senate letters from United States senators which are the first two pages, and then the second is letters from United States congressman, and then the very last one, Western Pecan Growers Association letter, have not previously been tendered. But I believe I've laid a foundation and would ask that Your Honor rule.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And USDA objects on what grounds? Hearsay grounds?

MR. HILL: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: As we talked about before. Let me preface it by saying, as we discussed the other day, this does come in as -it remains with the transcript but I think that Mr. Hill is correct insofar as the letters have not been authenticated through other testimony by their authors, would be hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but as we talked about the other day, the Proponent Group can brief that issue with the Secretary and he might disagree. I can't imagine.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I think we've made our point, but let me say thank you for your ruling. I assume the objection has been sustained?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: It has been, yes.
MR. DAVIS: I have an alternative
position for Your Honor that $I$ would propose to the USDA. Based on Mr. Adams's testimony of his
personal knowledge of what he received, if I just submitted page 1 of proposed Exhibit 4, would you object? My proposal would be I'd just admit page 1 based on his personal knowledge of what he has received, adding to his state of mind of why he knows there's widespread support. We're not going to attach the letters, we're just going to say he compiled this list of letters that are in his possession.

## JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: USDA?

MR. HILL: This witness can always
testify as to what he believes he received.

MR. DAVIS: And this exhibit would just summarize that.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So you would propose separating the letters?

MR. DAVIS: Page 1 of Exhibit 84. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I suppose you could do that, but that would then remove the letters, I suppose, from consideration from an argument to the Secretary that they should be considered, they should come in, and have you considered
maybe making just the cover page a separate Exhibit 85 so they would all remain with the transcript?

MR. DAVIS: All right. I'll accept that as a friendly amendment, and I've got a new Exhibit 85 then, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: It would just be the cover page.

MR. DAVIS: Just the cover page.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And if you could show that to the witness.
(The document referred to was marked
for identification as Exhibit 85.)

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Is what has now been marked as Exhibit 85 a complete list of all the letters of support that you have received from industry organizations and other entities in favor of the proposed marketing order for pecans?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, we now tender Exhibit 85.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from USDA?

MR. HILL: No objection.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection. So 85
is just that one page without the attached letters?

```
                    MR. DAVIS: Correct.
                    (The document referred to, having been
                    previously marked for identification
                as Exhibit 85, was received in
                    evidence.)
                    BY MR. DAVIS:
                        Q Mr. Adams, if you now would read
    A "The Honorable Tom Vilsack, Secretary
Of Agriculture, Letters of support for the Pecan
```

Exhibit 85 into the record.
Federal Marketing Order:

1. United States Senate
2. United States Congress
3. Georgia Agricultural Commodity

Commission for Pecans
4. Georgia Pecan Growers Association, Inc.
5. Louisiana Pecan Growers

Association
6. Mississippi Pecan Growers

Association
7. National Pecan Growers Council
8. National Pecan Shellers

Association
9. New Mexico Pecan Growers
10. North Carolina Pecan Growers

Association
11. Oklahoma Pecan Growers Association
12. Southeastern Pecan Growers

Association

> 13. Texas Pecan Board.
> 14. Texas Pecan Growers Association
> 15. Western Pecan Growers Association"
> MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.
> At this time that concludes the
questions concerning this exhibit, Your Honor.
BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Mr. Adams, do you have any remarks you'd like to make in closing?

A Yes.

Q Please.
A On this our eighth day, I said I count everything, I should have counted the days, but I do want to express personally, and on behalf of the American Pecan Board, the effort that everyone involved has exerted.

Thank you, Your Honor. I think you know more about pecans than you did seven or eight days ago, as evidenced by your more astute questions as we go along. You know the difference between native and you seem to have caught on to that native idea. But thank you, we appreciate it.

And to the USDA staff, I thank you. You have persevered and I want to thank you not only for the last eight days but the USDA staff that was assigned to us that literally held our
hand for a year and a half, and so thank you, and I hope those of you know who I'm talking about, and we appreciate it.

I would be remiss in not recognizing the American Pecan Board. The American Pecan Board has literally worked tirelessly for a couple of years, and we've got some gentlemen here, Randy Hudson, Larry Wilson, from the board. And if I get emotional in this thing, I apologize in advance. I won't get the ovation that Louie Salopek got.

But anyway, it's been an effort, and going back to Helen Watts, we all heard her testimony. Helen has been in this business 30 years and she's a expert in the shelling industry. And then Bruce Caris, you heard his. If there's one man in the United States that can tell you more about the market and like that we don't have very good data, it would be Bruce Caris, and Bruce's testimony was indicative of that.

Those of you that know Louie, he's a special guy and made a special board member, and he makes a unique contribution that $I$ don't think anybody else in the country can make. I wish Louie were here to thank him from New Mexico.

And then Dan York. Dan, I think was one of the first witnesses to talk about the natives, and Dan has a real visceral feeling for the native community, not only from a grower but a sheller.

And then Scott Landgraf from Oklahoma, he's right in the middle of the native country, and so we called Scott the conscience of the board because he never says anything but when he finally does you'd better listen.

And then Homer Hinson, whom you heard testimony from yesterday, he has been the conscience of the small sheller. He's brought that to the board.

And those perspectives in those board meetings were invaluable in designing this thing. And then I've mentioned Larry and Randy, you know
the contribution they've made, time, effort, intelligence, wisdom. So they're here, I'm going to thank Randy and Larry. We appreciate it. If I didn't thank these two guys, I might as well leave. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By these two guys you're referring to Mr. Quirós and Mr. Davis? THE WITNESS: Yes. I know we can't write down a point, but my finger is headed in the direction of Mr. Quirós and Mr. Davis, who have contributed pro bono, literally we're probably into the thousands of hours, I know we're well into the hundreds of hours of work, telephone conversations, conference calls, and so for the record, thank you. Appreciate it. So it's been a collaborative effort going back to that November day in 2013 when this thing started -- Ms. Sharrow, you were there -and we have fully intended that it be a collaborative effort, and that's the way we've taken it from the get-go. Mr. Durando, even though we've not seen her since, Laura May, she
sat in for Mr. Durando that day. And so we appreciate everybody that was in that meeting.

But that began a process, coming up to July 20 when these hearings started, and what we wanted to do as an industry and as a board, representing the pecan industry in these 15 states is attempted to give you a face of the pecan industry so as you took that year and a half tour with us and you began to see what this industry was about.

We wanted to inform you about pecans and the goodness of pecans and the goodness of the people and to show you our people. We wanted to tell our story, understanding the whole time you were holding our hands regarding the Act, because we had read the Act but we were really relying on you for guidance, and we felt that that was a good partnership. But I think that our story was really crystallized in these last eight days.

You probably don't even remember Bud Brunner in Las Cruces. If you can think back --
and that seems like a long time ago but it was about a week and a half -- there was an elderly gentleman sitting on the front row in Las Cruces. I never met him but in the break, the morning break, Bud Brunner came up from Arizona and told me he'd driven 500 miles to get five questions answered, and I answered his questions, the firsts one being: Mr. Adams, am I going to be able to plant trees after this? And I said, There is no volume control in this order. And we went on through is other four questions, handwritten on a pad, and I answered them for him and he left. He not only left the state, he left the auditorium and drove 500 miles back. It's the story of Bud Brunner.

It's the story of Dave Salopek, talking about his mom and dad and the year that they finally had a good crop in their orchard in Las Cruces, and I relate to Dave. And then the hail came and wiped out their best crop ever, and he said that it left a few acres that weren't destroyed and his dad felt like it would have
been better if those had been destroyed because he wouldn't have known what he missed.

Tom Chavez had spent his life in concrete, finally got enough working in concrete to buy a little orchard. John Turner, I wish I had a video of John Turner's testimony. I do. I mean, I've got his quotes, I've written them down. But there was a wisdom there that it communicated to me.

There's another young grower, I'll
tell you, I carried this particular story with me for a long time. It didn't happen at the
hearings, it happened at a pecan meeting when $I$ was speaking in Perry, Georgia and I left -- I think we had a meal, Randy, that night there in Perry, and I walked out and I was talking to Louie Salopek and this young guy walks up, and I wish I could call his name but I don't remember it, and he was just passionate. He said, Mr. Adams, you've got to help us. And he related how he'd just gotten in the business and he couldn't make a profit. He said, You've got to help us.

He was almost pleading with me, I recall that, and those are the kind of folks that I've done what I've done for.

And then $I$ want to mention our large growers because sometime because you think that they're large and successful that they didn't struggle, but every one of our large growers didn't wake up one night and walk out the back door to a thousand acres of trees. They all started somewhere. All of our large growers were at one time a small grower, and so $I$ don't discount at all Lalo Medina, Thomas Mason, R.G. Lamar, Jeb Barrow -- did I get your attention, Jeb? But those guys, and you've heard stories, sometimes it was two or three generations ago. Homer talked about he used to hold the scale in the back of his dad's pickup, now he's a successful small sheller, but he started holding the scale in the back of his dad's pickup.
So I could tell you my story, I didn't
start out a large grower. So we've got a bunch of 30 and 40 year overnight successes that you've
heard from, and that's part of the face of our industry too, whether it's the guys I've mentioned or numerous others that you've heard testify. But when we started the listening tour to see what the industry wanted, that's exactly what it was. We knew that a Federal Marketing Order had to be constructed, compiled, composed by the stakeholders in the industry. That's the only way it would work. You heard this morning Fred talk about some of the earlier mistakes.

But the American Pecan Board knew that if we didn't get a sense of the industry, we didn't have a chance of getting anything done.

And so we go out and the one message that we were communicating was that we thought we were recommending a -- because it was going to be pecan money that was spent by pecan people for the benefit of the pecan industry. Even though it was overseen by our government, it was still pecan program, it was a self-help program. And so we heard loud and clear what the industry was telling us.

Let me enumerate. One thing they told us right out of the box: No volume control. We heard that and so we took that out of the toolbox. We heard the fact that I just stated that it be administered by pecan folks, the stakeholders. We heard that they wanted us to spend their money wisely, and being in our Texas Pecan Board which I've been involved with, our board has been sensitive from the get-go that that money was spent wisely. I mean, that money is hard earned, and so when it comes to another entity, particularly a pecan industry, being sensitive to where that money comes from and how that money is spent it's critical. You've got to watch it. It doesn't fall from the sky, it's hard earned by those folks that you've heard from.

We heard that they wanted to move domestic demand. Our MAP program and now the U.S. Pecan Growers Council has really taken the ball and run with it on our international, but the domestic demand -- which would particularly
speak to the shelling industry, Vicky -- is important. To have a healthy domestic shelling industry is critical. They can shell them better, healthier, whatever. And so applying these funds to increase domestic demand was an important component.

Accurate data, you are tired of hearing that, but that's because it's so important that we have accurate data. Supporting research, you heard our scientists, you heard Lenny talk about how the pecan industry is kind of still in the dark ages in terms of
horticultural research and how this could move us forward in that arena. Product research, and not to minimize the last one, but a workable, sensible standards, grading, that was another tool that we wanted to put in. Dan York was a champion of that -- Dan has been at every board meeting, you guys know -- because he saw what that could do for the industry.

But we heard loud and clear those
aspects, and basically the industry was telling
us that if you'll listen to us and if you'll compose an order that comports with what we're telling you, we'll embrace it. I mean, that was the message: we'll embrace it. And so that's what we began to listen and interact with. And I still remember the testimony of Joe Massey. Joe was in Dallas and Joe talked about not only the early skeptics but the early opponents and how once you sit down with people in the industry you can turn an opponent and a skeptic into a supporter. And I think that's what we've done, Randy. That has been a process, that has been a process, and quite honestly, it's unified this industry to a point. I was skeptical sometimes that we could get there because of our history.

So the American Pecan Board set out to compose a Federal Marketing Order that got it right, and I think it's not perfect but it's pretty close to getting it right. And so we think that we've delivered to the USDA an order that reflects the wishes of the industry and meets the needs of the industry within the law.

It took a lot of people to get here and some of those people are sitting in this room, and we're indebted as an industry to those of you that $I$ hope you know that I'm talking about.

I think that part of the task for us as representatives of the industry was to get the industry, the stakeholders to catch the vision of what we can be. We're healthy, we're a truly American nut, we've seen what our sister tree nuts have done, and if we can catch that vision -- and I think we have -- of what we can be, then that's going to take the pecan industry to new heights. That's my hope.

I'll tell you a story, this is a true story that happened in Rusk County, Texas that's kind of where I'm from. And there was a young doctor that came to town and he's a good guy, I don't want to disparage him even though he -- he was a doctor and he'd made some money early and so he goes out and buys him 100 acres of land, and he was adjoining a gentleman that had been in the county for a good while, one of those 40 year
overnight successes that $I$ was telling you about, that had quite a bit of land. And the older farmer was out one day working on his fence and the young doctor drives up in his new tractor and he wanted to meet his new neighbor. And he walks up to the older gentleman and he begins to brag on -- he was proud of his 100 acres, began to brag on his 100 acres, and the old farmer
listened to it a while and finally the young doctor said, Mr. Jones, I've got this 100 acres but I understand you own a lot more land across this fence than I do. And the old farmer looked at him and said, Son, I don't own any land, he said, $I$ just get to take care of some for a while.

Over the past week and a half you have met the caretakers of the pecan industry. We'll continue to take care of it for a while. We intend to be good stewards, regardless. At times I think we're rather presumptuous to think that we're the ultimate authority. I catch myself in that situation sometimes. But we can't make the
sun shine, we can't make it rain, we have nothing to do with photosynthesis, but all of those things assist us in this process. And so we're simply asking our government to the extent that you have the authority to assist us in this stewardship process.

Thank you, Your Honor.
(Applause.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis, do you
have any more questions?

MR. DAVIS: No further questions, Your
Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MR. HILL: No further questions, Your

Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions for Mr . Adams?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Adams,
before you go, in response to your words about us, I've been an employee of the United States

Government for 34 years, two of it in military and 32 civilian, and I see my coming down here as a government employee doing his job, and I think contrary to some claims you might hear, I think if not all, almost all of us, and if not all the time, almost all the time, are doing our jobs. I think that's very much exemplified by particularly the seven USDA persons who have done the questioning, including Ms. Luft, but not only them but the behind-the-scenes persons who have been here, such as Ms. Wray, and not only them but other employees up in Washington and I understand Winter Haven, Florida has folks, I guess there are more in Utah where Ms. Schmaedick is from, and so on behalf of all for them, I thank you for your kind words.

THE WITNESS: I never doubted that. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent Group has no further evidence, so we use those words: The Proponents rest. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.

Is there anything else from USDA at this point?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Oh, Ms. Wray, has anybody signed up to testify?

MS. WRAY: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I think before we close we'd need to do that too.

Ms. Schmaedick?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Your Honor, I think the only issue left to address is the briefing period and the ability to file briefs.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Right. Well, we addressed that a little bit at the beginning. We sort of tentatively set an August 31 date. Is that inappropriate? I mean, you USDA folks are more --

MR. HILL: August 31 would be the date.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. All briefs would be due by August 31.

MR. DAVIS: I thought it was tied to
a transcript date or something.

MR. HILL: The transcript is scheduled to be here within five days.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Five business days from the close.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By here you mean where?

MR. HILL: Available within five business days.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: And also, just to further clarify, all the exhibits that we have received have been continuously posted on the AMS website, along with the exhibits that we have received today, those will also be posted, and once we have received the transcript, the transcript will be posted. And the briefing period is open for corrections as well as briefs to be filed.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And in the event it should happen, is there any mechanism for someone asking to have that period extended?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: I believe that if the
briefing period needs to be extended that they can file a request for that extension.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Would that come to
me?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Brian, does the
request for extension go to the judge? I believe it does.

MR. HILL: It may come through the Administrator.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: The Administrator,

AMS?
MR. HILL: AMS. Correct.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I believe Mr.
Quirós would like to hand out the extra copies of Exhibit 84. I thought I gave those out.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I think I made some notes on that of my own.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, is that the last of the administrative?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Not quite. The hearing was scheduled for July 27 through July 29 in Tifton, Georgia, and it goes on to say All
hearing sessions are scheduled to begin at 8:00 a.m. and will conclude at 5:00 p.m, and it goes on to say or at any other time as determined by th presiding administrative law judge. So it is now 1:06, well before 5:00, but given the fact that there have been no witnesses who have signed up to testify and not been able to testify, it would seem appropriate at this point to recess.

But I would like to hear the USDA's input on that.

MR. HILL: I just want to make a
correction. It would be filed with the hearing clerk but it could be extended by the Judge or by the Administrator.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So a request for extension of the briefing session would be filed with the hearing clerk and would either go to the Administrator or perhaps to me.

MR. HILL: Right.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. Since USDA
would then have to pay my salary for signing that, they'd probably have the Administrator do
it.

So is there anything else from USDA or the Proponent Group?

MR. DAVIS: Nothing further, Your
Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Or from the
audience?
(No response.)

MR. DAVIS: There is a grand tradition
in Georgia courts -- and I know this is not a court proceeding -- where adversaries in the court shake hands.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I'm not sure there have been any adversaries here.

With that then, this hearing is adjourned.
(Whereupon, at 1:08 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.)
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