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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
8:04 a.m.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: This hearing will come to order, Tuesday, July 28, 2015, at 8:04 a.m. I note counsel are present, and we're ready to proceed. I see you have your first witness up here.

Could you please raise your right hand.

Whereupon,

## LENNY WELLS

having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós.

MR. QUIR S: Yes.
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Would you please state your name.

A Lenny Wells.
Q And would you please spell it, please.

A L-E-N-N-Y, W-E-L-L-S.

Q And Mr. -- Dr. Wells, where do you live?

A I live here in Tifton, Georgia.

Q And, Dr. Wells, what do you do in Tifton, Georgia?

A I'm an associate professor at the University of Georgia in the department of horticulture with responsibilities for pecans.

Q Thank you. I understand that we have -- that you have provided us with your CV.

A Yes.
Q And -- which has been marked Exhibit 66.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 66.)

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Would you just briefly tell us something about your educational background and your involvement in pecans.

A Yes. I grew up in Cordele, Georgia, and graduated from Crisp Academy in 1989. I
received a B.S. in biology from Valdosta State University in 1994, a master's degree in biology from Georgia Southern University in 1996, and a Ph.D. in entomology from the University of Georgia in 1999.

Q And what is entomology, Dr. Wells?
A Study of insects.
Q Thank you.
A And I then worked as post-doctoral associate at the University of Georgia, Tifton campus, in the department of plant pathology until 2002, when I took a position as county extension agent with the University of Georgia Cooperative Extension in Albany, Georgia, and this is where $I$ first became experienced with pecans.

$$
\text { In 2004, my job was changed to } 50
$$ percent county extension agent and 50 percent pecan specialist. In 2006, I was hired in a tenure track position as assistant professor in the University of Georgia, department of horticulture, with statewide responsibilities for

pecan extension and research, and was promoted to associate professor in 2012.

In addition to this, I farm about 115 acres of pecans on my family's farm in Cordele, Georgia.

Q Thank You, Dr. Wells. I understand that you've prepared for us today a document called, The Biology of Pecan, which has been marked Exhibit 67.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 67.)

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you have also prepared for us a document called, The Cost of Pecan Production, which has been marked Exhibit 68 .
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 68.)

BY MR. QUIR S:

having been previously marked for
identification as Exhibit Number 66,
was received in evidence.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Regarding Exhibit 67, does USDA have any objection?

MR. HILL: No.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And does anyone in the audience have an objection?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Hearing no
objection, 67 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred
to,
having been previously marked for identification as Exhibit Number 67, was received in evidence.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: 68, does USDA have any objection?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Anyone in the audience have an objection?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection to 68.
68 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred
to,
having been previously marked for identification as Exhibit Number 68, was received in evidence.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós. MR. QUIR S: Yes.

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Dr. Wells, I'd like to turn your attention to the first page of what has been marked Exhibit 67 and entered in this hearing, The Biology of Pecan. Before we start, can you tell us a little bit about what that picture represents.

A This photo just shows a pecan nut at maturity on the tree. The nut grows inside of a shell, which is inside of a shuck, and the picture, the shuck of this one pecan is opening, and you can see the nut inside the shell inside of it.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: For the record, this picture is Exhibit 67, first page. Is that correct?

MR. QUIR S: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Dr. Wells, why don't you continue with your presentation.

A The pecan is a member of the walnut family. It's a hardwood deciduous tree, native to North America, and it's very closely related to hickory. Yes. Next page.

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q Dr. Wells, if you'll just tell me when to change, $I$ put it on.

A Okay. The flowering of pecan, the male and female flowers are born on the same tree, and they are -- this crop is crosspollinated by wind. You need more than one variety in an orchard for good pollination. The male flowers are called catkins. There are many of these on the tree, produced from a single bud. Because the pollen is distributed at random in the wind, it generates an overabundance of pollen, to ensure that the other
trees do get pollinated. And they're very similar to people. It takes to make a seed, so you have a male parent and a female parent. And just as in people, all the offspring of that tree are different.

You can take a thousand nuts from a single tree, plant each one. Each one of those nuts would develop into a tree that then would bear fruit, none of which most likely would look alike, and it would have varying characteristics. Okay. Go ahead.

Pecan is a very adaptable species. This is why we see it grown over such a wide area. There's a lot of generic variation within pecan. It has some requirements for growth. It needs over 180 frost-free days for nut production. If you look at the native range of pecans, it runs from Iowa or Illinois down the Mississippi River Valley to the Gulf of Mexico, and then also down into Texas and Mexico.

But really most of the production that we see is somewhere from around Oklahoma
southward, and the reason for that is that it does take 180 frost-free days to produce the nut.

The tree will continue to grow fine north of that, but some years or many years, the nuts don't produce as well if they don't have that many frost-free days.

Q Dr. Wells, can we stay there for just one second. The pecan, it looks like its Latin name indicates it's from Illinois. Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And that's where it was first identified?

A That's the specimens that were first identified of pecan were from Illinois.

Q But because of the frost-free days, they're not able to be commercially produced in Illinois. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Isn't that sort of an oddity of science?

A It is somewhat.

Q Okay. As far as you know, the north end of the commercial pecan production range would be Kansas, Missouri?

A Yes. That's correct.
Q Okay. Thank you. Please continue.
A Pecan does prefer neutral to slightly acidic soils, high in organic matter. There's sort of a love/hate relationship with pecans and water. They require 50 to 60 inches of rainfall per year in the wild. We do have some that survive in semi-arid locations due to periodic flooding.

While pecans do like plenty of water and soil moisture, they don't like to be in soil that does not drain well, so in their natural habitat in these river bottoms, they occur on ridges, and as the river bottoms are flooded, they get plenty of soil moisture, but the soil they are on on those ridges drains very well.

This is why we see most commercial pecan orchards need irrigation, but they don't need to get what we call wet feet, where they --
you would have potential to drown the roots. Okay.

> With pecans or pecan production, you have what we call natives or seedlings and improved cultivars. Natives or seedlings basically are trees or nuts that would develop -or nuts that would develop from a tree that has grown from a seed.
In the native range -- and here in an
area like this that would not be the native range but where they do survive and grow well and reproduce, most of these seedlings are dispersed by animals, squirrels, birds, and you may see them growing randomly. But because we are in an environment in which they do produce fruit, they continue to propagate themselves.

For an improved cultivar, you're looking at a nut or a tree that has been chosen or selected for its particular characteristics. For pecan production, what we look for is nuts of a particular size or quality, percent kernel, disease resistance, the time of maturity and
various other characteristics that may make it beneficial for the industry.

What you do when you propagate an improved variety, as I mentioned, you -- when you take a certain number of seed from a particular tree and plant those, they all come up as different trees or nuts. If you want to get uniformity and have the same variety, you have to take a twig or a branch from this variety or tree that you like, that produces the nuts that you like, and you have to attach that to the root -what we call the rootstock, which is the tree that sprouts from the seed, so you have to attach that piece of wood from the tree that you want to that rootstock and allow it to grow and produce seeds, so this is how we -- the improved varieties are propagated. Many improved varieties that we have were originally seedlings that someone found and identified that it did have beneficial characteristics, and then so they would start propagating it.

Q Can I stop you there?
A Uh-huh.

Q When you graft an improved pecan tree, does it -- the grafted tree, does it always produce the same characteristics of the scion that was grafted onto the rootstock?

A Yes. That's correct.

Q So those nuts will continually be the same as -- they won't be different nuts all over the tree; they'll be the same nut.

A Right. They will all be the same nut on the tree.

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells.
A So with seedling trees, you may have a lot of diverse nut size. In a native orchard, for instance, it's composed of many native or seedling trees, so each one of those is going to be a little different, so there would not be as much uniformity in that orchard as there would be in an orchard of improved varieties. Okay.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Can I ask a question, Doctor? Do all the nuts on one tree,
are they identical?
THE WITNESS: They are.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Appear identical.
THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. They have the same appearance.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Yes. Okay.
THE WITNESS: The development of pecan
varieties as we know it now, we still have seedling trees or native trees that may or have good characteristics that would pop up occasionally that are selected and propagated. But most of the active pecan breeding involves a pretty long, complex process that takes many years.

We use -- we have a pecan breeder at the University of Georgia, Dr. Patrick Conner, and most of the techniques that he employs in developing new varieties are what we call classical breeding, and I'll explain this a little more in just a minute.

You know, you hear a lot about genetically modified organisms with many crops,
and we don't have anything like that with pecans. Pecans are really -- if you look at the research that we have on pecans, you know, pecans have only been a crop or a commodity for around a hundred years or so, and so there hasn't been a lot of time to develop many new techniques. As a result of that and of the small size of the industry and the lack of funding that has been there over the years, we're really sort of still in the Dark Ages with regard to scientific research on pecans and what we know about pecans, if you compare us with other crops and other commodities. All right.
I'm going to go through a few slides
here that $I$ borrowed from my colleague, Dr.
Patrick Conner, that kind of outlines his process of breeding pecans. Basically he starts off with seed production, and in doing this, as I'll show you in just a minute, he has to manually, by hand, take pollen from one tree and place it on the female flowers of another tree, and then has to grow those nuts out, collect those, plant
them.
At that point, because in his breeding program one of the main focuses is to select scab-resistant varieties or disease-resistant varieties, he puts them through what he calls a scab screening, where he places them in a shadehouse and generates disease pressure on them.

At that point, he'll take them out of that, plant them in the orchard, grow them there for a while, and then graft them into a yield trial here at our research station in Tifton and then also after that, it will go on to be trialed in growers' orchards. And I'll give a little more specifics on this as we move forward.

But you can see there's often a bottleneck there. There's only so much he can do, because of the limited manpower and the limited money or field space that's there to grow many of these new varieties or new selections at one time. Okay.

So to begin with, this gives an
example of one particular variety that he was developing, where he took 150 clusters of a improved variety called Gloria Grande, bagged those and pollinated them with the pollen from a variety called Barton. Out of those pollinations, he collected 141 seed. Okay.

He then plants these in pots and puts them in the shadehouse and has overhead irrigation in that shadehouse, because with pecan scab, which is our major disease that we would battle, it thrives in humidity, and so he wants to keep that moist and wet and relatively high temperatures to allow scab to thrive if it's on a particular tree that is susceptible to the disease. Any trees that make it through this screening without developing scab are deemed resistant enough to go forward in the program. Okay.

At this point, he would take the potted trees to the orchard or to the field, plant them. This presentation was given in 2014, I believe, and that year, he planted 2,000 what
he calls progenies. It would be the selections from that. They spend two years in the nursery, and then they're planted into the field. Okay. Next.

And then they have to grow there for seven to nine years. Some may be a little earlier than that, but it takes them quite a while to begin bearing fruit, and normally this is seven to nine years, has occurred in six years in this trial. It occurs a little earlier in an improved orchard, and the reason for that is with these, these are seedlings that are planted. And basically these seedlings take longer than grafted trees to produce fruit, because they have to go through a juvenile period, just like people, before they're able to reproduce. Okay.

So when they get to this point, if
they continue to go through the process and develop fruit that is beneficial or looks like it has beneficial characteristics for the industry, he will take these, and this is -- these that make it this far would then be called a
selection, because they do have characteristics beneficial for the industry. He would take these and begin doing what he calls top working, which would just be grafting onto another tree or a seedling rootstock. Okay. You can go forward. At this point, he begins trialing the selection, and what this means is that it is put under commercial production practices and evaluated for its characteristics, one of the most important, of course, being yield. And you can see for this particular selection, which is called 00775 at this point, he's collected yield on three trees there for about six years, and you can see the yield starts to slowly progress upward.

The big question here is how much yield data is needed before we really know what that tree is going to do as a mature tree. If you just do it for two or three years, you really don't get a good picture of what that tree does as a mature tree with regard to its yield and its quality, often its scab-resistance even. So it
has to be done for several years before there's enough confidence in that data to move forward to the next step. Okay.

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Dr. Wells, we've heard a fair amount about alternate bearing with regard to crops.

A Uh-huh.

Q How long before you would know whether a tree had a characteristic of alternate bearing?

A For most trees, the yield, as it starts to produce, the yield will continue to rise for a number of years as that tree just grows and gets bigger. But at some point as it becomes a mature tree -- and this is usually somewhere around 12 to 15 years, maybe a little older in some cases, that characteristic or trait of alternate bearing may start to kick in, and you would see that then.

After he trials these selections on the research station, they are then moved to various orchards throughout the state to be trialed under grower conditions, and this will
continue for a number of years until they're ready to release that as a cultivar. What you see here is the list of recommended cultivars that the University of Georgia now recommends for planting.

There are other varieties that may not be on this list that are still productive varieties to have in the orchard, but we may not recommend planting them because we have potentially better ones coming along. And then this list here, it's -- we have it broken down for low input, medium input, high input, conditional and trial.

What low input means is that basically these are varieties that have very good scab and disease resistance and don't need a lot of spraying to produce the crop. Medium input would be those that are somewhat scab-resistant, but under the right conditions, they can develop scab, so they would need to be under a scab spray program.
here, Desirable and Pawnee, are very productive cultivars. They're very commercially attractive, but they're also very high input and require a lot of spraying to produce those nuts in many years when the rainfall is enough to generate the pressure required for disease.

We have conditional varieties that
have certain characteristics that growers just need to be aware of as they plant those, because they may have certain things that they need to do to those varieties in order to make them productive.

And then, of course, the trial
cultivars are the newer cultivars that have been recently developed that we don't necessarily know as much about as we know with these other varieties, but we do feel that they have characteristics that could be beneficial to the industry, and we want to begin getting them out there to the growers. Okay.

And this slide just simply shows the growing season of pecans. You start out with
budbreak in April when the foliage begins to develop and the flowers begin to develop.

Pollination occurs in May. The nuts will then develop from those female flowers.

In about this time of year, late July, early August, there's something that takes place in the tree that's very important for production called female flower induction. And basically what that is is just that the tree is sending out signals, basically it to itself, to the cells, to tell them whether to develop next year's crop more as vegetative or reproductive. So it's very important at this time --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: What do you mean by vegetative and reproductive?

THE WITNESS: Vegetative would be where it develops more -- it would be developing more leaves and foliage, rather than nuts, and then reproductive would be developing a large nut crop.

So it's very important at this time of year to keep the tree as stress-free as possible.

Any additional stresses on the tree would limit that flower induction and thereby limit the crop for the next year, so that often when we're growing pecans, we're not necessarily only worried about this year's crop; we have to think about next year's crop as well.

The nut then continues to develop, and it gets into what we call the water stage, where the kernel inside that shell is basically just a sac filled with water at this point. As it continues to develop, it will go into what we call the dough stage -- or I'm sorry -- the gel stage, where that liquid material inside there will start to solidify and gel, and then it moves into the dough stage, where it continues to solidify and then mature.

Shuck split occurs in October. Many times defoliation will not occur until we have a freeze, usually late November or December before that happens. Then it continues on. You know, at that time, October through December is the primary part of the year where the nuts are being
harvested, and then they go in -- the trees go into a dormancy period throughout the winter, and the buds begin to swell again in March before they develop in -- before the foliage and flowers develop in April. Okay.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we have a few questions of Dr . Wells.

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Thank you, Dr. Wells, for your presentation. The first question I have is a little bit -- is a question about scab. We use that term often, but could you describe that scientifically.

A Yes. Pecan scab is a fungus that will grow on the foliage, on the leaves, and on the nuts as they are developing. And as I mentioned earlier, it thrives in humid -- warm, humid conditions, such as we have here in the Southeast.

Q And when you say it develops on the nuts, do you mean on the shuck?

A Yes. It develops on the shuck, on the
outside of the nut.
Q And from a commercial standpoint, is the end result that it lowers the volume of nuts and the qualify of the nut?

A Yes. Depending on the susceptibility of a particular variety, the disease may completely kill those developing nuts. It could damage the foliage to the point that the foliage on the tree basically drops off the tree, which would limit its ability to produce, and then, of course, if you lose the nuts, you're losing yield. But even if you have a little -- some scab on the nut, you could still -- the nut may develop, but you would have losses in quality.

Q Thank you. You described Dr. Conner's breeding program in Exhibit 67, beginning on basic pecan breeding, and you had several pages on that. How long does it take, from start to finish, to get a -- going through those trials, to get a pecan to the point that it's available for propagation and for planting commercially by growers?

A It depends a lot on the breeder, but many times, you know, a breeder can spend his whole career and only have a handful of varieties released. It may take 20 years to develop a variety.

Q And then additional time for acceptance by the grower community?

A Yes.

Q Thank you. Have you read and received what has been marked as Exhibit 1 to these hearings? There's a copy, I think, on your desk, Dr. Wells, which was entitled, Notice, and it includes the proposed federal marketing order for pecans.

A Yes.

Q Would you please turn to Section 986.28. It would be on page 38024 of the Federal Register.

A Okay.

Q And if you would take a minute just to read that definition of pecans, and I'd like to ask you a few questions about that.

A Okay. Read it out loud?

Q No, sir. Read it silently to yourself, and then I'll ask you a few questions.

A (Perusing document.) Okay.

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells. Dr. Wells, in Section 986.28(a), do you agree as a scientist that that is a good definition of pecans?

A Yes.

Q And specifically in Section
986.28(a)(1), is that a sufficient description of native or seedling pecans?

A Yes.

Q And if you would turn to, please, to Section 986.28(a)(2), improved pecans, is that a fair description of improved pecans?

A Yes.

Q Let's take a moment in that section to take a look at the nonexclusive listing of cultivars that are listed there. Take a moment to read those.

A (Perusing document.) Okay.

Q Is that an accurate list of the major
cultivars that commercial growers use?
A Yes.

Q Thank you. Please take a look at Section 986.28(a), subsection (3), substandard pecans. Look at that definition, please.

A (Perusing document.) Okay.
Q Is that a fair and accurate description of substandard pecans?

A Yes.

Q Thank you.

MR. QUIR S: No more questions from the Proponents' counsel. I would announce to the audience and to the USDA that this will be our last scientific witness, so to the extent that there are any questions with regard to the science of a pecan, this will be our last opportunity to ask someone of Dr. Wells's qualifications. After he finishes with questions, we'll go on to cost of production, which was more of an economic discussion.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So you're giving the USDA an opportunity now on Exhibit 67. You
intend to go to 68 a little bit later.
MR. QUIR S: Yes, sir.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. Does the USDA have any questions?

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. VARELA:

Q Dr. Wells, thank you so much for being here with us this morning, and opening yourself up to all of our questions. I wanted to get a little more of your perspective on some of the research of developing new cultivars. I know you've already testified that it can take upwards of 20 years to get something in the system, and I believe you're familiar with Exhibit 1, which outlines the proposed order.

A Yes.
Q Have you had a chance to look at some of the language that refers to the authority for research and promotion?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So given your experience in
developing and doing some of that research, do you see that language there, which gives kind of a broad authority, as sufficient for creating a program that would help support some of the research already going on in the industry?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And given your understanding of some of the proposals, is it your opinion that this type of program could provide some stability to the research that's going on?

A Yes.
Q Are you concerned at all that some of that -- some of those long-term projects may otherwise not being secured funding? We've heard a couple other witnesses talk about research centers losing funds. Is that something --

A Yes.

Q -- you've seen in your experience as well?

```
A Yes.
Q And is that stable source of funding
``` something that you think is particular to this
industry in terms of having to have long-term research and developing trees as opposed to a row crop that might be able to go through many iterations at kind of a quicker pace?

A Yes. I think just the long-term aspect of the process for growing pecans and developing new varieties does require more longterm funding.

Q And to your knowledge, are there any promising cultivars in the system now that might be on the market in the next few years, or do you think --

A Yes.
Q -- the industry is ten, 15 years away from something new that, say, might be scabresistant?

A No. There are some coming along that will probably be released in the next, say, two to five years, and then, of course, there are always new -- you know, more in the pipeline.

MS. VARELA: Okay. Those are all my questions for now. Thank you.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Good morning.

A Good morning.

Q Thank You, Mr. Wells, for -- or Dr. Wells, for your testimony. Could you turn to the page in Exhibit 67 that is entitled UGA recommended pecan cultivars. I'll show you the table.

A How far --

MR. QUIR S: Way in.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q It's the page before last in the exhibit. So I want to ask you about some specific cultivars that are listed on this. Under the low input column, there's a cultivar named Amling.

A Yes.

Q Is that currently in production?
A It is available. We don't have many commercial growers that are growing Amling,
because that particular variety is very diseaseresistant, very pest-resistant, but its yield potential is very low. So it really doesn't have the qualities that we look for for commercial production, but it would be good for someone who, say, wants to grow a few pecans in their yard or something.

Q Okay. And then under the column with the title, Trial --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- there are three cultivars that I wanted to ask you about. The first is Ellis.

A Uh-huh.
Q Is that currently in production?
A It's in nursery production now. There
are a few mature trees up near Dooly County,
Georgia, in a grower's orchard that are producing. It seems to be a variety that has very good characteristics, and it is in production in the nurseries now, so it's available for planting.

Q Uh-huh. What about Huffman and

Treadwell? Those are also cultivars under the trial.

A That's correct. These are cultivars that were developed by retired UGA professor Dr. Darrell Sparks, and they are now in nursery production. There are some in commercial orchards at this point. They're still young trees, probably the -- there are some in Albany in orchards that are probably ten years old or so, eight to ten years old maybe at the oldest.

Q Okay. Thank you. Now, if you could keep that table up there on the screen, but then if you, Dr. Wells, could look at the definition of "pecan" in Exhibit 1, and it's definition 986.28.

A Okay.
Q If you look at paragraph (a) (2) --

A All right.
Q Towards the end of that paragraph, there's a list, and I realize it says, "including but not limited to." But there's a list of names here.

A Uh-huh.
Q And I've compared this list of names to the chart in your Exhibit 67.

A Uh-huh.
Q The names that I selected, Amling, Ellis, Huffman and Treadwell, do not appear in the list in the definition of pecans. And I'm wondering: In your opinion, would it be helpful and would it add clarity, ease of reference, to include these four cultivars that UGA is recommending in this list that is in the definition?

A Potentially, but I feel that, you know, if -- there are so many others out there. There's over a thousand different varieties, improved varieties, so you could potentially add them. I don't know that it would benefit anything if the language is in there, you know, that says, including but not limited to.

Q Uh-huh.
A It's difficult to say at this point or this stage how -- what the acceptance of those
varieties will be among the growers, to know how, you know, widespread they'll be planted.

Q Uh-huh. So then following up on that statement, the other cultivars that are listed under, Trial, Byrd, Zinner, Lakota, Mandan, Morrill and Cunard, even though they're under the trial column, do you think they are -- their chances for commercial production are higher than Ellis, Huffman and Treadwell?

A Probably so, because they're a little further along in the process.

Q Okay. All right. Thank you for that clarification. You also -- you discussed the issue of scab disease, and I'm just curious. Is that fungus, is it airborne or soil? Where does it exist in the environment?

A It tends to overwinter on the branches of the tree as it's dormant, and then the spores would be released in the spring under the right environmental conditions, and then it's dispersed by wind, splashing rain, you know, physical dispersal.

Q Okay. Thank you. You also mentioned that pecans are related to walnuts.

A Uh-huh.

Q So in the future, would it be possible to cross a pecan and a walnut?

A No. I don't think that would work. It's a little too distantly related to the walnut. It's in the same family. Hickories, pecans are in the same family with walnuts. But they're a little too distantly related to be crossed.

Q Okay. So, for example, using a walnut to develop a disease-resistant strain is not something that you --

A No. That's --
Q -- see a possibility.
A No.

Q Okay. My last question is related to your experience working with the extension service, and if you turn your attention to Exhibit 1, the definition of grower, which is 986.16 --

A Okay.
Q So in working for the extension service, what area did you cover geographically? Was it just Georgia, or did you go beyond that at all?

A Just Georgia. My responsibilities are just for Georgia.

Q Okay. So what we're trying to understand is whether or not the definition which places sort of this threshold of 30 pecan acres or 50,000 pounds to demark the separation between commercial producers and hobby producers, so based on your experience out in the field as an extension service agent and working with many different types of farmers, how does this definition apply to your experience?

A I think it's a suitable definition, because if you've got 30 acres, that's enough that you would need mechanized equipment to do the management and harvesting of the crop, and that would -- then you've got all the expenses involved in it, and so \(I\) think 30 acres is a fair
line to draw.

Q Thank you. There's a term in this definition, "pecan acres," and it's attributed to the FSA, Farm Service Agency. Are you familiar with that term?

A Yes.
Q Can you describe how that term works.
A The FSA office would keep records of FSA for a particular farm of the acreage, of different crops that are grown, so the pecan acreage would be the certain acreage of that farm that is basically in a pecan orchard and producing pecans, and that's reported to the office by the grower.

Q And so are you familiar with the use of "pecan acres" and the FSA definition in areas where native production is prevalent?

A Not so much natives. No.
Q Okay. Thank you. And then if you were turn your attention to a definition of "region," 986.32 --

A Okay.

Q And if you could take a moment to read paragraph (a) (1), (2) and (3) --

A (Perusing document.) Okay.

Q So again, based on your experience, under 986.32(a)(1), it defines the Eastern Region as Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Does that region make sense to you in terms of similarities in production, environment, and other factors that may contribute to the pecan industry in those states?

A Yes, it does.
Q Okay.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you. I have no further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós?
MR. QUIR S: No further questions about this exhibit with this witness.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Do you want to go on to the next exhibit?

MR. QUIR S: Yes, Your Honor.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Dr. Wells, you have what has been marked and entered as Exhibit 68, the cost of pecan production. Would you please walk us through that exhibit.

A Yes. Now, I'll start with somewhat of a disclaimer on this, that I'm a horticulturist and not an economist, but I do, in my job and in my role, I do have to answer many of the questions that involve the topic that I'll be discussing. Because we have -- as has been discussed prior to this testimony, we've had many new pecan acres going in to production. Much of this is by people who are already growing pecans, but there's a fair amount of it that's people getting into the pecan business that are new to it, and of course, the first question is, how much does it cost. And so I've become very familiar with these numbers, and hopefully it will be sufficient to answer your questions.

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells.
A What you see here is a slide that represents the supply --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Right here, this is the second page of Exhibit 68.

THE WITNESS: Yes. This represents the supply, consumption and price of pecans. And the black, the darker bars that you see there, is the supply, and you can see that for many years -- and this runs from 1989 to 2013.

You can see for many years there, the supply is relatively stable. It's not changing a lot. You have individual years that may be up and down a little, but that's due to the alternative bearing tendency of pecan. But when we reach somewhere around 2007, we start to see a little uptick in the production.

Consumption, as well, is pretty table for this same period, and then we see a little bit of an uptick, not much, but there is a little bit of an uptick around 2007 or ' 8 , and along with that, we see the price during that time
begin to go up, and in 2010, it takes a pretty big jump and then continues at somewhat of a higher level. Okay.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: What does JR MAM HVS mean, price of --

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. Price Of JR MAM HVS, that's junior mammoth halves, and that would be the large pecans that are most desirable for consumers.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Dr. Wells, is that a USDA designation, the junior mammoth halves?

A Yes.

Q Is that the one from 1969 that
we're --

A I believe so. Yes.

Q Thank you.

A Moving to a series of slides here covering western pecan production, these slides were developed by Dr. Richard Heerema, who's my counterpart at New Mexico State University. He and I work closely together on many things, and
we've given this presentation on the cost of production together before. Okay.

Looking at labor for pecan production, in the West, farm labor is becoming increasingly scarce due to tighter immigration laws, oil fields. Many pecans are competing in many cases with oil fields for labor, so there's an issue there. And growers often have to make do with fewer workers. Wages continue to increase. This slide was generated late in 2014, and at that time, wages were 10 to \(\$ 11\) per hour, and then you'd have the 25 to 30 percent overhead there. Pecan operations in the West are highly mechanized, but they still require about 20 to 30 hours of labor per acre annually, because you have to have someone operating those machines. When it gets time for harvest, you know you need people to move the limbs and sticks out of the way, because when you shake a tree for harvest, a lot of times, you have a lot of sticks and debris and limbs that come down and get in the way as well. Okay.
Diesel fuel, of course, is an expense in pecan operations, like it is with any farming operation. It powers most orchard equipment out there. About 25 to 35 gallons per acre annually is what's estimated to be used. At about 3.75 per gallon, the total cost would be about \(\$ 90\) an acre. Okay.

Irrigation is one of the biggest issues, of course, facing western growers. Pecans need about five to six acre feet of water, and that's about 1.6 to 2 million gallons per acre. In the West, the availability of that water is broken up by irrigation districts, and usually these irrigation districts may limit that water to two to three acre feet.

In recent years, that amount had been coming down to as little as six inches or less in some areas, and it used to be cheap, but it's becoming increasingly expensive to irrigate orchards in the west because of the cost of that water. And now it's about \(\$ 150\) per acre foot. Okay.

Q Dr. Wells, we had testimony in Las Cruces from one farmer, David Salopek, that he estimated it cost at least \$200 an acre to purchase water from his district, and he had fairly superior land rights. Does that surprise you at all?

A No, it doesn't. Each irrigation district separately come to those conclusions as to the --

Q So it could be more or less but --

A Could be more or less.
Q -- it's an expense that --

A Uh-huh.

Q -- they -- thank you.

A Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós, is that \$2 an acre or \$2 an acre foot?

MR. QUIR S: \$200 an acre.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: An acre or an acre
foot?
MR. QUIR S: An acre and in some places more, but he was estimating on his farm.

My co-counsel has a very sharp memory, and he thinks it may have been acre foot, so Your Honor may be correct. It might be more. I think his total testimony was about \(\$ 2,500\) for variable costs an acre. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: And in the West, the source of that water for irrigation, there is also some groundwater that's used. It's coming out of aquifers that supply some of the irrigation water on these farms, on some of these farms and then all the irrigation water on many farms. They have to go very deep in some cases for this water, as much as 3,000 feet, which is very expensive to drill a well that deep and to pump it from that far down.

So the fuel costs for pumping account for more than half the irrigation expense for many of these growers. It's about \(\$ 4.16\) per acre inch to pump that water, so the total water costs would be about 250 to \(\$ 300\) per acre for pumping, and then if they're using surface water, about \$75 per acre. Okay.

There are a number of nutrients that are required for pecan production, nitrogen being one of the most important. It's critical for maintaining nut quality and yields, and the health of the tree. In the West, they use rates of 150 to 300 units of nitrogen per acre each year. Cost of that is 60 to 70 cents per unit, so about a hundred to \(\$ 200\) per acre.

Phosphorous, many growers in the west apply phosphorous at rates of 50 to 150 units per acre. It's about 60 cents per unit, 30 to \(\$ 90\) per acre. Potassium is applied in some cases, up to 250 pounds per acre, and that would get it up to about \(\$ 50\) per acre. So put all those together, and it can be quite expensive, even over \(\$ 300\) pe acre in just the fertilizer cost in some cases.

> Pecans are unique in that they have a high requirement for zinc, and it's very critical for yields and the health of the tree. In the West, they tend to apply this mainly by foliar sprays. They spray it directly onto the tree
about five times each spring. Ten to 25 pounds of zinc sulfate is the total amount used per acre.

You have other micronutrients as well that may or may not be applied, depending on the orchard situation, but manganese, iron, copper, and nickel are also included there. Total micronutrient cost would be 25 to a hundred dollars per acre for the material, and then 10 to \$15 per acre for the application.

There are a number of insect pests that affect pecan. In the West, pecan aphids are a big issue. Cost of these -- control of these insects has been going up. One reason for that is that pecan aphids develop resistance to insecticides very quickly, because they reproduce so quickly. They would have many, many generations a year, which provides opportunity to evolve, to overcome the effect of these insecticides.

And that drives up the cost of
controlling them, because they have to
continually develop new insecticides to manage them with. So 75 to a hundred dollars per acre in the cost of controlling aphids. There's a caterpillar called pecan nut casebearer that feeds on the nuts. \(\$ 50\) per acre is the amount to control those, so total pest management costs are about \(\$ 150\) per acre, 10 to \(\$ 15\) per acre for the application.

Weed management is important to keep the trees from competition for water and nutrients. Historically, many growers relied on glyphosate or what's commonly known as Roundup, and they would use about five applications for that, a hundred dollars per acre. Many weeds have developed resistance to that herbicide.

And so they're having to use other materials \(\$ 70\) per acre for Prowl, which is a preemergent material which would prevent weeds from developing, and then have other materials that are used post-emergent, which would be after the weed has come up, so then they're basically killing the weed with that material, about \$110
per acre, so the cost of weed control is going up, as well as these weeds develop resistance.

And then harvest and cleaning of the nut, about \(\$ 420\) per acre for harvest. Cleaning is about \(\$ 160\) per acre, so the total harvest and cleaning costs there would be about \(\$ 580\) per acre in the West.

It's very important in a pecan orchard operation to provide the trees with sufficient sunlight. The two most important environmental things for pecans is sunlight and water, and as the trees grow and age, they start to shade each other out, and so in the West, there's been a process called hedging that's been done for a number of years now, where they would severely prune these trees periodically.

The cost of that is about \(\$ 140\) per acre, and then after you do this severe pruning, you have all these limbs and debris on the ground. You have to get rid of that in some manner, so they've developed a way to shred that material in place in the orchard, leaving it on
the orchard floor, chopped up very fine, and the cost of this is about \(\$ 150\) per acre, so pruning and brush removal together, about \(\$ 290\) per acre. So the estimate for total cost to grow pecans in the West is about \(\$ 2,000\) per acre variable costs. If you add -- he's got total costs here, including variable and fixed costs. I think he's referring mainly here to the cost of the harvest, which would be around -- close to \(\$ 600\), so around \(\$ 2,600\) per acre total variable and fixed costs for growing pecans in the West. BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Dr. Wells, just to interrupt you, with regard to location, if we look at the map of the production area in the United States, this would apply from the middle of Texas to California. Is that fair?

A Yes. The western side of Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, and California.

Q Thank you.
A If you look at -- we're going to move now in the cost of pecan production in the

Southeast.
Q And, Dr. Wells, when you say,
Southeast, do you mean from the -- in the production area from the Carolinas, North Carolina, South Carolina, through East Texas?

A Yes. Many of the conditions would be --

Q Eastern Oklahoma as well.
A -- the same for --

Q Thank you.

A This just shows basically trends in the Georgia pecan industry over the last few years, and it mainly regards the new acreage being planted. The solid line that you see there is the new acres planted. From 2010 to 2014, there's a gap there in 2011. This is -- these are numbers that were generated from a survey that we conducted, and we did it each of these years. 2011, we did not do the survey.

But you can see the number of acres went up very sharply from 2010 to 2012, and then continued at a higher -- you know, at that high
level through 2014. And if you look at the previous year pecan price, which is that -- the wide dashes there, you can see that they track pretty well. And that's mainly for the improved varieties.

Another line that's hard to see there, there's a finely dotted line that's the previous year's pecan price for all variety or all pecans, including improved and seedling and natives, and you can see that tracks very closely as well. Okay.

If you --
Q Dr. Wells, do you think this is similar in other pecan production areas --

A Yes.
Q -- in the Southeast?
A Yes.

Q Thank you.
A This shows the percentage of trees planted to new orchards. You can see 2010. This is the percentage of nursery trees planted into the orchard that were actually going into
new acreage and not just being interplanted in existing orchards. That's the solid line that you see. The finely dashed line is the average size of those orchards being planted. That's going up as well, Producers are planting larger acreage, larger orchards. And then the heavier dashed line there is tree density, and that's basically the number of trees per acre, and the numbers of trees per acre being planted is going up as well. Okay.

And we've talked some already about scab, but just to kind of give a little overview here of disease management, pecan scab is the most important pest to consider in the Southeast. It thrives in warm, moist conditions. Most of the commercial varieties that we have here in the Southeast must be sprayed preventively with fungicides, and these fungicides have to be rotated and/or tank-mixed in order to prevent the development of resistance, because just like we see with the insects and the weeds, if you use the same chemistry over and over to try to
control that disease, the disease will evolve to overcome the mode of action that that fungicide kills the fungus.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: What does tank-mixed
mean?

THE WITNESS: Tank-mixed would be basically combining to fungicide chemistries in the same spray.

And then, of course, management here in the Southeast, just as disease thrives in warm, humid conditions, insects thrive in warm, humid conditions, so we have a few more insects that we have to battle here than they have out West. I listed several here. Most of the time, the heaviest insect pressure occurs from July through September. The number of applications made varies by year, depending on the pressure from different insects, but overall the cost of insecticides is rising, and this can affect the quality and supply of nuts.

Irrigation is one of the most critical inputs we have for pecans, even here in the

Southeast, where we do get rainfall. Most years we get enough rainfall to account for about half of what we need for pecans. An additional problem with that is that it does not always come when the trees need it the most, so irrigation we consider a necessity for pecan production, no matter where they're grown.

And you can see here, this just kind of shows the value of irrigation in a pecan operation, based on a price of \(\$ 2\) per pound for that crop. And if they're getting the amount of water they need, then that value is around \(\$ 1,400\) per acre that they get back from irrigating that crop. Okay.

And -- I'm sorry. Can you put that back for me, please. You can see that the picture on the bottom right shows the difference in nut size basically between trees that are irrigated and those that are not. Those on the left that are larger, of course, are irrigated, and those on the right are smaller. That's from nonirrigated trees, and you can see that's a
pretty striking difference, and that makes a big difference in the marketplace.

The cost -- here in the Southeast, we use lot of drip irrigation, which would be underground tubing that you basically have a certain number of emitters at each tree that are just slowly dripping water to that tree. It's a very efficient method of irrigating, because you're getting it right to those tree roots, and you don't lose a lot to evaporation.

We also use another form called microsprinklers which is very similar to drip, except it puts that water above ground, but it's sort of like a mist type spray. Again, it's very efficient, because it's putting it close to the roots and it's all at low pressure, so it's much more efficient.

There are some older orchards that still have what we call solid-set irrigation sprinklers, where you would have basically overhead sprinklers that are up above ground and spraying large volumes of water in the orchard.

You can apply a lot of water quickly with these, so some growers prefer those, especially for older trees, because if you get behind in your irrigation, you can catch up very quickly, but it's not as efficient as the drip and microsprinkler. So most of our new orchards or younger orchards have drip or micro-sprinklers. Most of the irrigation here in the Southeast is from well water. We don't tend to have the quality issues with our water that they may have out West. A lot of the groundwater out West, they may have some salinity issues, where the sodium level of that water is high. Here in the Southeast, we're blessed with a very good source of high quality water.

The system parts and installation for drip irrigation is \(\$ 800\) per acre. Again, this slide was generated last year sometime, and of course, those prices may change. It just -- I would say 800 to a thousand dollars per acre would probably be accurate. And this is, of course, subject to depreciation only after the
trees begin to bear a crop, so the grower has to bear quite a burden there for a number of years and the cost of that in a newly planted orchard.
\[
\text { In a small orchard, say, } 25 \text { to } 30
\]
acres or less, you could use a four-inch well with a 5-horsepower pump. That would be about \(\$ 7,800\) per acre. You may have to split that into multiple zones to irrigate it with that, but it would be possible. For a little bit larger orchard, say, 30 to a hundred acres or so, a sixinch pump with a 30-horsepower pump -- or sixinch well with a 30-horsepower pump would run about 34,000.

If you go up on the acreage beyond that, you're looking at very large well with a very powerful pump, and that's going to get up over a hundred thousand dollars in many cases. The operation costs on this may be from 35 to \$60 per acre, depending on the source of the power.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { BY MR. QUIR S: } \\
\text { Q } & \text { Dr. Wells, is the water free? }
\end{array}
\]

A It depends on how you look at that. We don't have to pay the Government for the water. There is a permitting process here in Georgia for wells that pump a hundred gallons per minute or more, so essentially the water is free, but getting the water to the trees is not free. Q Thank you.

A I'm going to give you an idea here of equipment costs, and the equipment that \(I\) have listed here is what \(I\) would consider that a grower of 30 acres or more would need to produce pecans. You can see we've got herbicide sprayer at \(\$ 6,000\), and these numbers are real numbers that I recently obtained from various equipment dealers around the state.

So a herbicide sprayer would be about \$6,000. And air-blast sprayer that's used to throw the fungicide and insecticide, some of the foliar nutrients, you know, that's used to get those up into the tree, that's over a hundred thousand dollars.

A rotary mower to mow the grass in the
orchard is about \(\$ 16,000\). A dump wagon that's used to, you know, dump the nuts out the harvester into the wagon so they can be taken to a cleaning plant is about 24,000. I'm sorry. The dump wagon dumps -- the nuts go from the harvester into the dump wagon, and then the dump wagon dumps them into the harvest wagon, and then that's taken to the cleaning lab. But the harvest wagon would be about \(\$ 4,000\).

Most growers would have at least one tractor of about a hundred horsepower. That's about 95,000. A lighter-duty tractor is usually used as well for other practices, lighter practices on the farm. That would be about \$25,000. A truck for working on the farm, about 30,000. We have a blower that basically, as you're harvesting or before you harvest, you come through with a sweeper and a blower.

The sweeper is basically mounted on the front of the tractor that sweeps the nuts out of the way, sweeping them to one side. The blower is behind the tractor, and it blows the
nuts to the opposite side. And so basically growers create a wind row of nuts, and then the harvester comes over that and picks them up, so the blower is about \(\$ 7,000\); the sweeper, 15,000 ; the harvester itself, 60,000; the shaker that's used to grab the tree and shake the nuts off of it is about 130,000.

So the total costs before interest and insurance is 513,000. You add interest and insurance to that, and it gets up a little more, so over half a million, just in equipment costs.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Dr. Wells, at what point would -- you said this is for a grower of 30 or more acres. At what point would they have to start duplicating equipment? How many acres approximately?

THE WITNESS: There's a --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And I imagine it
would be --

THE WITNESS: There's a lot of variation in that, but I would say if you had 200 acres or more, you could probably justify more
than one harvester, say. The shakers, the sprayers -- the sprayers get to be a problem, the more acres you have, because if you only have one sprayer, you can only -- say, for instance, for scab control, we have to spray fungicides roughly every two weeks, from April to mid-August.

And if a grower only has one sprayer
and he can't cover that entire acreage in that amount of time, then he can't effectively control that scab, so he would need multiple sprayers. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: At what -- like
every --
THE WITNESS: I would say -- let's say, for instance, maybe two for every hundred acres, something like that. So there's a lot of variation in that, depending on the variety they're growing as well.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Basically, though, the more acreage, the more equipment?

THE WITNESS: Yes. More acreage, more equipment.

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q And, Dr. Wells, if you have multiple sprayers and multiple harvesters, you're going to need multiple tractors, are you not?

A That's true. Yes. All right. This is the cost of establishing an orchard. There are certain things that you have to do to that orchard, of course, to get it up and running. This covers planting of the trees and managing those trees through the first year that they're in the orchard, so basically you have lime that's applied to adjust the pH of the soil. You have some fertilizer.

The zinc sulfate is sprayed on to the tree and also applied on the ground. Herbicide is sprayed to control weeds, because one of the most important aspects of getting the trees to grow well is to eliminate the weed competition.

The cost of the trees is continually rising. I would say, you know, getting close to \(\$ 20\) per acre -- or I'm sorry -- \$20 per tree. Labor for the practice of planting the trees and managing them, the fuel, repairs and maintenance,
the irrigation system itself, operation of the irrigation. All that together, you know, with interest is going to be somewhere around \$2,277 per acre to plant an orchard and manage it that first year.

And now we get into the variable costs of Southeastern pecan production. And "variable" is a very good word to use on this, because it does vary quite a bit, depending on the location the orchard is planted, the varieties they're using. One of the biggest issues is the number of fungicide sprays.

You can see if you look at fungicides there, that's one of the more expensive costs on here. Looking at this particular table shows ten fungicide sprays, and ten would be probably a fairly dry year, where we don't have to spray quite that much, and you're looking at \(\$ 160\) per acre just in fungicide costs there. But if you add all these costs up, it's almost \(\$ 1,500\) per acre, \(\$ 1,485\) per acre.

If you go to the next slide, in a wet
year, we may have to spray 16 times. I know some growers that have had to spray 20 times in a growing season. But if you go up to 16 sprays per season in a wet year, the cost of that fungicide goes up by about 60 percent, so then you're looking at \(\$ 256\) per acre just in the cost of the material. That alone gets the cost of production up to \(\$ 1,628\) per acre, which is about 9-1/2 percent higher.

I mentioned the process of hedging that's done in the West. They've been utilizing that practice there for quite a while now. It's just starting to catch on here in the East. One of the issues we have in the East, where they are limited more so by water, our pecan production here in the Southeast is more limited by sunlight, and if you looked at the number of cloudy days here in the Southeast versus what you would have, say, in El Paso or Las Cruces, we have much more cloud weather.

And managing sunlight in the orchard
has been a big issue for us for many years.

Historically it's always been done removing trees from the orchard to open up more sunlight for the remaining trees. Recently, we begin to look at hedging. It's a little bit different process here in the East, probably a little longer rotation on the hedging. The average cost of that is about \(\$ 200\) per acre. Most of the hedging in the Southeast is on a four- to five-year cycle.

Many growers may hedge every fourth row in the orchard, so that it would take them four years to hedge the entire orchard, so you're only doing about 25 percent or so of that orchard in one year.

Q Dr. Wells, why would you only hedge a quarter of your orchard a year?

A You wouldn't want to hedge much more than that, because you would be cutting down on your production, because when you hedge, of course, you're taking off limbs that would be producing fruit. After one year, they will -those shoots that are generated or the foliage
that's generated from that -- after that pruning will begin bearing again.

Some varieties will fruit on the inside of the tree, so they may not be hedged off, and would continue to fruit even with hedging. But in general, you're going to lose some production when you initially start a hedging program. That's why you would only do a small percentage of it and kind of gradually ease into it.

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Do I correctly infer from this photo in Exhibit 68 that it's generally done in the wintertime?

THE WITNESS: Yes. This practice is generally done in the wintertime here in the Southeast. In the West, there is some summer hedging that's done as well.

This looks at net returns per acre, and it doesn't show up as well in black and white, but across that horizontal axis there is the yield, and then on the vertical access there
is the price. And where those two points meet across that table tells you the net return per acre.

And if you would look, say, at a thousand pounds per acre, which would be, you know, kind of a --what we would -- a decent, average yield, maybe a little bit on the low side, even -- you would be looking about a \$1.50 per pound, between \(\$ 1.40\) and \(\$ 1.50\) per pound, before you would start to make money or break even. Okay.

That's at \(\$ 1,485\) per acre, so that would be with the ten fungicide sprays.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So the -- to clarify the exhibit a little bit, the horizontal axis is yield per acre in pounds?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And the vertical is price per pound in --

THE WITNESS: Yes. That's the inshell price per pound in dollars.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: And then the next slide shows, if you have to go up -- if you have a -you're going to have to go up to 16 sprays and how that changes the net returns. If you look at the same yield, say, a thousand pounds per acre, you would be around \(\$ 1.60\) or \(\$ 1.70\) before you would start to break even, so the cost of production would certainly change the net return. Okay.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And the \(x\) and \(y\) axis are the same as --

THE WITNESS: Yes. The axes are the same. If you look here, this would give an idea of the difference in the cost of production between natives and improved varieties. This -these numbers were generated by an economist at Oklahoma State University. He shared these numbers with me, and the operating cost is about \$592 per acre. Add fixed cost to that, the total cost would be about \(\$ 611\) per acre to produce those natives.

The reason for that much lower cost is
often these natives, you don't have all the inputs that you have with these improved varieties. And as you can see, the production is somewhat lower as well for them.

Basically if you look across the pecan industry, the average estimate of an inshell commercial pecan harvest per acre over this 15state production area would probably be somewhere around between 16- and 1,700 pounds per acre, so 1,666 pounds per acre would be a good average to use for that when calculating any kind of economic analysis there.

Again, these are estimates based on what we know at this point, you know, between the different states where pecans are grown. As has been mentioned before in these hearings, the data that we have available to us is not the best out there, but it's what we had to work with at this point, and I think if we had better data, more verifiable data for a lot of this, as far as the yields, storage, things like that, we would -- it would benefit the industry, and we would be able
to come up with a more exact number if we had the funding to do that.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Dr. Wells, did you say this \(1,666.67\) is pounds?

THE WITNESS: No. That's the cost --

MR. QUIR S: The inshell --
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes. That's
the inshell pounds, commercial harvested inshell pounds.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we have a few questions of Dr. Wells.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Certainly.
BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Dr. Wells, I want to make some generalizations, because we're talking about a production region of 15 states. Is that okay with you?

A Yes.
Q Across the production area and all three regions, Eastern, Central and Western Regions, there are many similar inputs and input costs. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q There are some differences. Right?

A There are quite a few.

Q And mainly depending on the atmosphere and the weather patterns in those places.

A Yes.

Q But the pecans are able to survive and be commercially produced across the 15-state production area.

A Yes.

Q And so some of those costs are
similar. Is that right?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. The -- I want to just do a generalization, but help me here. The -- in the West, could I generalize that there are more trees per acre in most of the commercial plantings?

A Yes, because of the high sunlight, they can plant more trees per acre.

Q And they can also plant more trees per acre because of the lack of disease pressure from
scab and other fungicides. Is that correct?
A That's correct.

Q But their average yields, because they have greater density of trees, is averaging, I think, on your slides and Dr. Heerema's slides, 2,000 pounds per commercial acre. Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q But from an input cost standpoint, they -- so there are really more profits, if you will, from the -- more pounds, relatively the same quality production nut should be a higher gross revenues per acre. Is that right?

A Yes.

Q But the production costs appear to be higher as well between 2,000 and \(\$ 2,600\)--

A Yes.
Q -- we've heard, per acre because of the water costs and some things that are unique to the West. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q So for the East, could I generalize
that there are fewer trees per commercial acre planted?

A Yes.

Q And that's because of disease
pressure?

A Yes. And low sunlight.
Q Low sunlight. Lack of hedging, which is the sunlight issue. Correct?

A Uh-huh. Yes.

Q And past cultural practices, just the way they were planted 80 years ago.

A Yes.

Q Okay. So my average -- if you were to give an average in terms of pounds per acre in the eastern part of the production area, would you -- this is for commercial orchards only.

Would you say that's 1,100 to 1,400 pounds per acre?

A Yes.

Q Thank you. So less money in terms of gross revenues in those acres, but the input costs, the annual variable costs would be less.

A Yes.

Q Again, gross generalization, but across the enter production area in all three regions, the range of actually profits per acre would start to equalize in that case.

A That's correct.

Q Is that right?

A Uh-huh.

Q Thank you. Just sort of as we're looking into the future, which is a lot of what we're doing with this proposed federal marketing order for pecans, you've demonstrated that new acres are being planted across the entire production area.

A Uh-huh.

Q Are those new acres being planted with greater density, tree density per acre?

A Yes. Yes. One of the slides I showed demonstrated that the density of trees being planted is going up.

Q Okay. And that's because of better cultural and management practices?

A Yes. That's correct.
Q Better disease control?

A Yes.

Q And do you see in the future that the average yield per acre may be increasing in both the West, the Central and the East?

A Yes. I think there's high potential for that.

Q Great. Thank you, Dr. Wells.
MR. QUIR S: We have no further questions of Dr. Wells at this time. I would like to announce to Your Honor and to the USDA and the audience that this will be our last witness that will be prepared to discuss the economics of the pecan tree industry across the production area, and I just wanted to give that as a note before we open it up to general questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Does USDA have any questions?

MR. HINMAN: Don Hinman, USDA. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HINMAN:

Q Dr. Wells, thank you for your very thorough testimony here, very insightful. We appreciate it.

A Thank you.
Q Just as a general question, you gave one presentation on the biology of pecans, mentioning a lot about disease issues and things related to that, and then a very detailed sort of cost studies here. Given all of that, to the extent that the market order, future market order would fund future research, could you explain how you think that future research, possibly funded by the market order would benefit both in terms of the biological aspects and then the financial conditions of farmers that you have elucidated in your study.

A Yes. As I mentioned briefly and we talked about some, the funding for research is -has been declining for a while. There's not a lot out there right now for pecan production, and if we had access to more funding, we could
certainly do much more work that would hopefully help to get us the technological advancement of our industry, our production practices, hopefully we could improve upon that.

And that, of course, would
significantly affect, you know, the growers' bottom line, because the work that we do is basically designed to do just that. We want to help pecan growers grow their crop more efficiently from an economic standpoint and also from a more environmentally sustainable point as well.

So certainly if we had more money to do that kind of work, we could develop better cultural management practices that would help them hopefully to cut their costs as well.

Q You specifically mentioned the technological advancements. Do you have some examples of what those could be?

A Well, for instance, with irrigation, if you look at irrigation -- say, here in the Southeast, we have had for -- before I came here,
we had a -- what we called a ballpark irrigation schedule, and that irrigation schedule was not really based on any scientific data. It was simply what, you know, looked like a good idea to try to grow pecans, and that's what was used for many years.

In the last couple of years, I've done some work to try to help determine exactly how much water pecans do need to grow that crop, and we were able to show that we could -- we came up with a way to do it with about 40 percent less water. That saves on the cost and also the effect environmentally of growing pecans. But in my opinion, we'd still have a long way to go with that, to increasing the efficiency of that. If you compare that with what they're facing, say, in California, say, on almonds, they have to be extremely efficient with their water, and right now, with pecans, a lot of the work that's done for irrigation is -- to really do what we need to do is fairly expensive, and right now we just don't have the funding to do that
```

kind of work, and while we have an abundant
supply of water here, there's increasing
competition for it, with more agriculture
developing and also the population increasing.
So I think we have to increase the
efficiency with which we irrigate, and that's
just one example, and there would be many others
for every aspect of growing pecans.
Q Did you mention that you'd already --
past research and adoption of practices has
already resulted in -- what was it? -- a 40
percent decrease in water usage?
A Yes.
Q Or -- okay.
A And we think there's still room for
improvement there.
Q Considerable improvement in that exact
area.
A Yes.
Q Thank you. Can we look at one of your slides here in the cost section here.
MR. DAVIS: In the West or the East?

```

MR. HINMAN: In the -- well, let me see.

MR. QUIR S: Are you referring to Exhibit 68?

MR. HINMAN: It's the one that says, Disease management, so --

MR. QUIR S: Is this in 68, Mr.
Hinman?

MR. HINMAN: Let's see. Yes. It's
after the graph, Trends in the pecan industry, and then there's one on disease management, after the two graphs.

BY MR. HINMAN:
Q The fourth point down there talks about, "Fungicides must be rotated and/or tankmixed to prevent development of resistance." By that, do you mean that the fungicides must be different ones?

A Yes.

Q Is that an example of useful future research, is the development of those alternative fungicides?

A Yes. Development of alternative fungicides and how we use them.

Q Can you give me an idea? I mean, is there a very short shelf life? I mean, not -shelf life isn't the right word. For many of the fungicides, they can only be used a few years in a row before they begin problems, so it is a constant renewal.

A Yes. And it depends somewhat on how they're used as to how long they continue to work, but the fewer, you know, materials you have to use, the more often you have to use them. And that would affect that.

Q Right. Okay. Thank you. I do want to go through some of the slides here and I have some clarifying questions on the slides.

A Okay.
Q Starting with the second slide,
Consistent Supply, the graph --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Again, this is
Exhibit 68?

MR. HINMAN: Yes. Exhibit 58. Thank
you, Your Honor. 68. Excuse me. Yes.
BY MR. HINMAN:

Q The question I have, you mentioned in the lower left corner there, prices are approximately the January contract prices, and again, those are the prices for the mammoth halves. Right?

A Yes.

Q Who reports these January contract prices?

A I believe that's USDA numbers.

Q USDA? Okay. All right. Thank you. And then moving ahead several slides to the one entitled, Irrigation --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: This is irrigation in the West.

MR. HINMAN: Irrigation in the West, right.

BY MR. HINMAN:

Q We're still in the initial part of the study here in the West. And you're talking here about annual water needs of five to six acre
feet, and that a very typical condition is an irrigation district which limits growers to well under half that. Right?

A Yes.

Q And sometimes a tenth of that.

\section*{Correct?}

A Uh-huh.

Q So what is -- to the extent of your knowledge of the West, what is the consequence of that severe water restriction?

A Well, certainly that would impact production. You would have an effect on not only the yield of the trees, but also on the size of the nuts, the quality of those nuts. All of that is going to affect production. In addition to that, it's going to affect the ability of that tree to produce the following year as well.

Q Do -- does this have as many years -is this considered a sort of a crisis condition?

A Yes. And, you know, that could change somewhat if they continue to get, you know, snowfall or rain that would eventually generate
more water availability, but certainly water is tight in the West.

Q All right. Can research help that condition as well?

A Yes.

Q Research on water efficiency?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you. On the next page, entitled, Irrigation, still in the Western part of your study here, in the -- under Pumps, you have pumping fuel costs, and you -- the unit you've moved from is from acre foot, \(I\) guess, in terms of fuel costs. You talk about an acre inch.

A Uh-huh.

Q Is 12 times that an acre foot?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So that would be -- \$4 would be, you know, close to \$50.

A Right.

Q And how does that \(\$ 50\) compare to then the summary figure you have of total water costs?

Is it 50 out of the 250 to 300 ?
A Yes.

Q Okay. And what's most of the remaining pumping costs?

A I would have to ask Dr. Heerema on that.

Q Okay. Thank you. And then moving ahead to -- I realize these pages are not numbered. It is 14, and it is the one called, Total costs to grow pecans in the West in 2014. It's the graph of cost of production. I just want to make sure \(I\) understand this. This single-line graph here is the combined total and variable/fixed costs. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And the first line, you know, the explanatory information above the graph, you have total variable costs of 2,600 , and then the 2,000 line above that in the graph refers to the same thing, so out of the \(2,600,2,000\) of that is the variable cost. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. And so 600 is an estimate of the fixed cost then.

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you. And then two more pages later -- sorry. I won't go into that. Several pages later, trends in the pecan industry, the second graph, trends in the Georgia pecan industry, could you explain -- I'm not sure what the -- on the axis what the unit is.

A I'm sorry. I guess that's not a very scientific graph, because it's actually representing two different things there.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: For the record, since there are two pages, consecutive pages, trends in the Georgia pecan industry, in Exhibit 68, this is the second of those two pages. I just want to clarify --

MR. HINMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- that for the transcript.

MR. HINMAN: Thank you. That's a helpful clarification. Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. HINMAN:

Q So the second page, the unit --
A Yes. It's actually each of those lines represents -- is going to be different units. The heavily dashed line there that's tree density is going to be -- that's number of trees per acre.

Q Okay.
A That would be the bottom line there.

Q Right.

A The middle line that's the lighter dashed line is average orchard size planted, so that would be just over 60 acres.

Q Okay.
A And then the top line is just the percentage of trees planted to new orchards, so a little over 90 percent.

Q Okay. Thank you.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So the \(y\) axis is zero to 100 percent.

THE WITNESS: Well, it would depend on which of the lines you were looking at there.

It's -- on that axis, there's not actually a unit designated. It depends on which of those three lines you're looking at.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Right. Okay.
BY MR. HINMAN:

Q So in the tree -- is this showing looking at the tree, the average orchard size -of the three lines, the one that is the dotted line is the orchard size. Right?

A Yes.

Q Correct?
A The one in the middle. Yes.

Q The one in the middle there. Great.
And that is -- appears to have a somewhat significant increase, starting around 2012.

A Uh-huh.

Q Would you say that that is a -- part of that is a response to the higher prices that occurred in those years? Is that much of the reason for that increase in orchard planting?

A Very much so.
Q And that the orchard -- the tree
density seems to be on a steady trend, so that did not change much. The response to the prices was --

A Right.

Q -- to plant more acres. Correct?

A Yes.

Q Thank you.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And the average
orchard size planted then is number of acres.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: For the --

THE WITNESS: On the \(y\) axis.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: On the \(y\) axis.

Okay. Thank you.

MR. HINMAN: Thank you.

BY MR. HINMAN:

Q Then moving ahead about four pages to the table labeled, Value of irrigation, the Stuart reference there at the bottom left is what?

A That's a pecan variety.

Q That's the variety. Thank you. Then
moving ahead to the graphs of the Southeast of costs and variable costs, and again the -- after the graph -- the picture on hedging, you have net returns per acre.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And this is variable
costs of Southeast --

BY MR. HINMAN:

Q Net returns per acre. Excuse me.
This was the graph -- the table that appears after the hedging picture.

A Uh-huh.
Q And, again, \(I\) just want to make sure.

The --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I'm sorry. Let
me -- as someone who's read transcripts and other things for years, just making sure that somebody reading the transcripts knows exactly which page you're talking about, it's very helpful to give the full title of the page, I think.

MR. HINMAN: Yes. The full title of the -- it is a table, Net returns per acre assumes \(\$ 1,485\) per acre cost.

BY MR. HINMAN:

Q And, again, to make sure the -- across the horizontal -- the top is yields. Correct?

A That's correct.

Q And then the -- and the vertical axis is --

A That's the inshell --

Q -- price?

A -- price.
Q Inshell. And so every time you get -you switch from -- in the 800, you go from \(\$ 1.80\)-- 800 pounds per acre, you go from \(\$ 1.80\) to \(\$ 1.90\), and right in there is the break-even cost.

A Yes.

Q In terms of variable cost only.
A That's correct.

Q And that does not account for fixed costs.

A That's --
Q That would be --

A That's correct.

Q -- many hundreds of dollars more.
A Yes. Probably 5- or \(\$ 600\) more.
Q 5- or 600. In your previous example, you had \(\$ 600\) per acre in the West. That fixed cost would be similar here. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Thank you. Again, thank you for that.

Any additional thoughts again on -- if you have any other examples of useful research and advancements that could occur from possible funding from a future market order?

A There could be many. It would be hard for me to sit here and keep coming up with more, but there would be many examples.

Q All right. Thank you.
MR. HINMAN: No further questions,
Your Honor.
MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.
BY MS. VARELA:
Q Thank you again, Dr. Wells, for having
a lot of comprehensive information, some of which we haven't seen before. I have a few questions,
just again to clarify some of the things in the presentation, to make sure we're using the right data.

A Uh-huh.

Q In looking at this second page of your presentation, labeled -- or titled, Consistent supply --

A Uh-huh.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is that Exhibit 68
again?
MS. VARELA: Yes. Exhibit 68.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.

BY MS. VARELA:
Q I just wanted to clarify whether this total supply is just domestic supply.

A Yes. That would be domestic supply.
Q And you might not have the answer to this, but to your knowledge, is that production that was just in that year, or does it have one of those rough estimates of carryover?

A I think based on the numbers, it probably includes some carryover --

Q Okay.
A -- in there as well.

Q Thank you. Okay. And then my next question is regarding the page, in that same exhibit, titled, Pruning and brush removal. I believe this was still in the Western section. Here we go. And it's a more general question about -- you described the shredding that goes on of the material that comes down, and that was one of the first times I've heard of that.

A Uh-huh.

Q Is there a potential benefit to leaving that on the ground in terms of having organic matter available?

A Yes. It does help improve the organic matter and helps to provide sort of a mulch to preserve the soil moisture to some extent, too.

Q And is that an added efficiency to using --

A Yes.
Q -- hedging as a practice?
A Uh-huh.

Q So if that wasn't done, would a grower have to put in some of his own organic matter?

A Well, pecan orchards generally accumulate a fair amount of organic matter anyway, because you have the leaves that continually come off each year. You have a lot of smaller sticks and shucks and things that get left in the orchard that contribute to organic matter, but certainly, the grinding up the sticks and leaving them out there is a benefit.

Q Thank you very much. My next question regards, in the same exhibit, the first trends in the pecan industry. I think we're moving into Georgia now. That's it. Thank you. And, again, I have kind of a general question about this. We've heard a few different witnesses refer to a lot of production that's going to be coming online in current years.

A Uh-huh.

Q And we see these increased plantings.
We also have evidence of increased plantings in the West, even though I know this chart refers to
the East. In your opinion, could you give kind of a rough estimate of how you think overall production is going to increase in the next five, ten years. I mean, are we looking at 10 percent higher, or are we looking at doubling of what's on the market?

A Well, let's look at Georgia for an example. We've had roughly, say, 20,000 new acres planted over the last five years. If you look at, you know, those trees by year, ten should be producing somewhere between 800 and a thousand pounds per acre.

Let's say a thousand just for round numbers, you know, so 20 times a thousand, that would give you -- or 20,000 times a thousand would give you a good estimate of how those numbers would change, just in Georgia. And then, of course, that would be replicated in the -throughout the country.

Q Okay. Thank you. That's very
helpful. And then the last page I wanted to look at is titled, Equipment costs, which again is
very helpful, because we've done a lot of talking about that 30-acre threshold, and this helps fill in some of our knowledge about what the real costs are.

A Uh-huh.

Q First of all, would you say that a lot of -- that the costs that are on this list in particular are pretty much applicable across all the growing regions, or is this Georgia-specific?

A Yes. I think much of the hedging that's done out West is going to -- some of that's going to be on a contract basis, so they would not -- not every grower would have that equipment cost in there. So, yes. These, I would say, would be pretty --

Q Pretty standard?
A -- pretty good for the country.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Varela, were you asking the costs for the items?

MS. VARELA: Yes. The cost for these items.

I think was the import of the question.
THE WITNESS: For the items? Yes.
you have a limited number of dealers that handle pecan equipment, and all their prices are usually pretty close.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And that's across the country?

THE WITNESS: Across the country, yes.
MS. VARELA: Thank you for that clarification.

BY MS. VARELA:
Q And in looking at this list --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Or rather across the production area.

THE WITNESS: Right.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I think that would be more --

MS. VARELA: Again, thank you for that clarification. You're catching on.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.
BY MS. VARELA:

Q In looking at this list and again
looking at these particular costs as the main barriers to being a commercial entity, we've heard a lot of smaller growers talk about how they might have to have somebody else come in to do custom work for them, because they can't afford these costs.

A Uh-huh.

Q In looking at this particular list, are there certain items of equipment that a grower's going to -- that are particular to this that a grower will have to have that he can't necessarily hire from someone else. You know, is everybody going to need a tractor, or can you pretty much always hire somebody to do everything that you need a tractor to do?

A Most of what you could contract to do would regard the harvesting part, so the blower, the sweeper, the harvester, the shaker, those type things, you can still find people to come and do for you. Often it may not be as advantageous because your pecans may not get on the market as quickly as you would like, because
you have to wait on them, you know, to get to you.

In addition, if you look at the
spraying with the air-blast sprayer,
theoretically a grower could hire that done, but we're getting to where there are fewer and fewer people that do that commercially, and again, with spraying particularly for -- well, for disease or insects, it really needs to be done on a very timely basis, and if you have to hire that out, often you can't rely on them to get there at the appropriate time.

Q So if I understand it correctly, if you were very small, it might be difficult to have somebody come, especially at a price that you can afford, to come spray your limited field anywhere between ten and 15 times. That kind of becomes a barrier --

A Yes. That's --

Q -- in and of itself.
A That's correct.

Q Okay. Those are all my questions.

Thank you very much.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Hinman?

MR. HINMAN: Don Hinman, USDA. Some good questions, more good questions.

BY MR. HINMAN:

Q On that equipment costs page that we were just on there, I recall that you had mentioned, you know, this was representing 30 acres and above, so a commercial operation.

A Uh-huh.

Q But there were certain thresholds at which this would change to needing more equipment.

A That's correct.

Q And I believe at different times, I heard you say 100 acres and then 200 acres. So could you explain a bit more about those thresholds, and also, I think you said it was sprayers, so what equipment would increase -would need to increase in terms of more and at what acreage would that occur?

A Well, with the sprayer, for instance,
```

you have, say, most of these sprayers, one tank
will spray about ten acres. They're thousand-
gallon sprayers, and that will cover about ten
acres. That would take you roughly hour or so to
get that tank out maybe, so if you're spraying
one tank per hour for eight hours, you know,
you're covering -- you can cover 80 acres with
one sprayer in a day potentially. And that would
give you an idea.
So, you know, maybe it would be more
like 300 acres or something before you would
really need to start looking closely at adding an
additional sprayer, to get over in the amount of
time you need to.
Q And since the first two items on that
table are the herbicide sprayer and the air-blast
sprayer, is that both of those or one of those?
A Mainly the air-blast sprayer is what
I'm talking --
Q So what's that?
A I'm mainly referring to the air-blast
sprayer.

```

Q Air-blast sprayer. Okay. And when you add a sprayer, you would need to add a tractor. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you.

MR. HINMAN: No further questions.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And I think you have a table someplace in here on labor costs. When you --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- increase your amount of equipment, you're going to increase your labor costs. But that cost would be reflected in your labor cost table.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, this is all
very exciting, but -- and I know we have additional questions, but our witness has been on for a couple of hours, and we have too. Would you mind if we take a five-, ten-minute break.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I have no objection to that. Come back at 10:20.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: The hearing will
come to order.

Despite the fact that I forgot to mention that Ms. Wray was here to take folks who sign up -- I forgot to mention that this morning -- we apparently have had one person at least sign up, Mr. Garrett Ganas. Are you in here? We're going to finish Dr. Wells, and then take one other witness who has some time constraints also, and then we'll get to you, hopefully before lunch. I understand you have a time constraint yourself. Okay.

We had just taken a break, and are there more USDA questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Dr. Wells, I just have one question. That's it. Only one, I promise. I think I'm correct in saying that you didn't do a cost of
production per pound calculation or comparison between the East and the West.

A That's correct.

Q Okay. And I think there's enough information in your presentation for me to figure that out, but what \(I\) need is maybe a value or a range of yield per acre for the West Region --

A Okay.

Q -- and then the Central Region, and I'm sure that we've had that figure at some point in the last few days.

A Uh-huh.

Q But just for ease of reading through the transcript, do you have some numbers you could give to me?

A I would say for the West, the yield per acre is going to be somewhere 2,000 to 2,500 pounds per acre, you know. In the East, a thousand to 1,500 pounds per acre.

Q And would the Central Region be any different?

A Central would probably be fairly
similar to the East.
    Q Okay.
                    MR. DAVIS: For improved varieties,
but --

THE WITNESS: FOr improved, and then --

MR. DAVIS: -- in the Central we've
got the --

THE WITNESS: Natives, you've got -you know, you're looking at maybe 700 pounds per acre.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank You. I have no further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any more USDA questions?

MR. HINMAN: NO.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós.

MR. QUIR S: Yes.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Dr. Wells, in Georgia, if you were to roll back the clock to 2008 and if you were to
compare the entire Georgia tree plantings, specifically Georgia, to a machine, how would you describe that machine?

A Pretty worn out. We've had a lot of old orchards for many years, so it -- you know, it would be a worn-out -- I hate to use the term, piece of junk, but on the decline for sure.

Q And this was because of pricing?
A Yes. The pricing just wasn't there.
It wasn't profitable enough to revitalize the orchard, you know, plant new varieties, put irrigation in, and provide the inputs needed to increase production.

Q If you were to estimate the age of an average Georgia orchard in 2008, how old would you say?

A Probably somewhere in the range of 60 years old.

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells. So this new planting, how -- again, using our machine analogy, how would you describe all of the new planting that's gone on in Georgia since 2008?

A Yes. If you look at a machine, it would be basically -- it would be like replacing the parts in that machine, because when a -- in an old kind of, to revitalize that orchard, you know, if you put your -- if you get your irrigation going like you want, the next step is to begin replacing some of those poor varieties of some of those worn-out trees, the trees in decline, even interplanting between some of the older existing trees to increase production in that orchard.

Q Let's stay with interplanting.

Interplanting is the practice of planting young, maybe different cultivar trees in between older trees in an orchard?

A That's correct.

Q And why would a pecan grower do that?

A To increase production in the short term. Also in the long term, they could revitalize that orchard by removing those older trees as the younger trees begin to produce enough crop to justify removing the older.

Q So the farmers would continue the production from the old trees, while they were letting the younger trees mature.

A That's correct.

Q Thank you. Dr. Wells, this is a follow-up question to Dr. Hinman's comments about research. We heard testimony in Dallas with regard to the USDA breeding station at Texas A\&M. But we also talked about Dr. Conner's station here. Is Dr. Conner's breeding station the only one functioning at this time with a recognized pecan breeding scientist?

A Yes. To my knowledge, Dr. Conner's breeding program here in Georgia, at the moment, is the only one up and running.

Q Tell us what's going on at USDA breeding station in College Station, Texas.

A USDA has had a pecan breeding program for, I think, probably since the '60s or something in there, and they developed a number of varieties, but recently, \(I\) would say, maybe within the last five years, their main breeder,

Tommy Thompson, who was responsible for that breeding program, retired, and in the interim, there's still personnel there that is carrying on the evaluation of the selections that he had made.

But I don't think there was any new -development of new varieties going on. To my understanding, they have hired a person for that position, but we don't really know what direction that program's going to take at this point.

Q Let's talk a little bit about scientists and research throughout the production area. Are you familiar with the scientists that are focusing on pecans throughout the production area?

A Yes.

Q Are those colleagues of yours?

A Yes.
Q Are there any states that have lost their research capabilities over the last ten years that you're aware of?

A Yes. Mississippi certainly has lost
personnel. Florida has lost personnel. And both of these two, when I say lost personnel, I mean to the point that there's not really an active program focused on pecans. Alabama has lost personnel. They still have somewhat of a pecan program going, but it has taken a hit recently, and really the numbers, in all the states producing pecans, the number of people working on pecans has declined over the last ten to 15 years.

Q Funding reasons?
A Funding primarily. When people retire, those positions aren't rehired due to funding.

Q So the proposed federal marketing order and the American Pecan Council, if one of its authorities was research, that would be beneficial to the entire industry?

A I think it would be a great benefit. Yes.

Q Across all regions.
A Yes.

Q Entire production area.
A Yes. The entire area.

Q Would it be a help if the American Pecan Council just helped coordinate the research and post the research amongst the various states that were doing it, so that everyone could see?

A I think so. It would help to prevent duplication of any projects that may be ongoing.

Q Okay. Thank you. We talked a little bit about the practice in the West of shredding the limbs. Is that a practice that's common from the Carolinas to East Texas?

A No. Not at this point. You know, we mentioned that hedging is a fairly new practice for the Southeast in general, but one of the concerns that \(I\) would have with the shredding of the debris in the orchard here in the Southeast would be -- we mentioned that the scab organism, the fungus overwinters on the branches of the trees, and I would have some concern that this would allow the inoculant to stay in the orchard and develop more disease problems.

Q So it's a more common practice in the East to --

A To burn the -- usually that's -- that material is burned.

Q And that's to both eliminate the material and also to eliminate the inoculant or scab?

A Yes.

Q Thank you. Dr. Wells, we lost a witness in Oklahoma, Bob Knight, who was the president of the Oklahoma Pecan Growers Association. He wished to give testimony, but he cancelled because he said he had to spray his Pawnees all night for scab. Is that typical?

A Yes, yes.
Q That was a discussion about being able to contract sprayers and spray material to come into your orchard.

A Uh-huh.

Q Is the timely spraying for scab, is that one of the critical elements?

A Yes. That's probably the critical

> thing in managing scab. It has to be done a timely basis.

Q So you need it when you need it.

A Right.
Q Okay. Contract harvesting the same way?

A You'd probably have a little more leeway there, but certainly with the way the pecan market runs, if you don't get your crop to harvest in a suitable amount of time, you could potentially lose profit as the price changes.

Q What happens to a pecan nut when it stays on the ground in the conditions of approximately half of the production area?

A The longer that nut stays on the ground, it's exposed to the elements, temperature, humidity, wetness in the orchard, animal predation, all these things are going to affect quality and volume.

Q Thank you.
MR. QUIR S: No further questions of this witness as a scientist. We would like to
take his testimony as a grower.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any USDA questions right now on --

MS. VARELA: No further questions
right now. Thank you.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I do have a couple of science questions, and it might not be relevant to all this. I just need to ask, though. Did you say that the -- at the very beginning that the male flowers are called catkins?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there a term for the female flower?

THE WITNESS: The pistillate flowers.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Pistillate flowers.

And can the pistillate be pollinated by a catkin from the same tree, or does that have to come from another tree?

THE WITNESS: The pollen from the tree can pollinate the flower on that same tree, but usually when that happens, the success of that
pollination is not as great. There's a greater potential for that nut to drop from the tree, because the embryo is not fertilized as it should. And also the quality, if that nut makes it to maturity, there's potential for the quality of that nut to not be as good, mainly just from inbreeding type characteristics.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But there's -- if it's pollinated by the wind, there's really no control over that.

THE WITNESS: Right.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But it's just -- you just -- hence, the need, though, for planting trees close to each other.

THE WITNESS: Right. Planting multiple varieties. Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And Mr. Quirós just asked about the nuts sitting on the ground.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: When the nut -- is that the nut that's been ejected from the shuck? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. It's --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And except in a stick-tight situation, it's only the nut sitting on the ground and the shuck stays on the tree?

THE WITNESS: Right. The shuck may come out of the tree, but it's not the shell. The nut in the shell is not in the shuck.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Except for the stick-tights.

THE WITNESS: Right.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. Thank you.
That's all.

MR. QUIR S: Maybe a quick follow-up, unless USDA --

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q We heard testimony about Type I and Type II cultivars. Do you want to explain that a little bit, since we were on the pistil receptivity issue.

A Yes. The Type I and Type II refers to the -- basically the flowering characteristics of a particular tree or variety. With Type I,
basically the -- I think it's the female flowers are produced first, and then the male flowers release pollen. Type II would be the opposite.

Q So you always want to have pollen released while a flower is open.

A That's correct.

Q And so in the self-pollination
scenario, that's not always --

A Yes. That's correct.

Q Let me take the simplest example of this. In the West, all we hear about are Western Schleys and Wichitas.

A Uh-huh.

Q Are they planted together because of that relationship of Type \(I\) and Type II?

A Well, they would be compatible for pollination. Yes.

Q Thank you. One thing that in the economics that we've touched on, but I'd like to turn to one more time, which was the cost of planting an orchard. You had that in Exhibit 68. I'm going to try to find that, anticipating a
question that we might get from the audience. Orchard Establishment is the heading of that page.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I'm sorry. Which exhibit and which page?

MR. QUIR S: Yes, sir. That would be Exhibit 68, about two-thirds of the way through. It's Orchard Establishment.

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Take a glance at that. Dr. Wells, I think that shows that the cost of orchard establishment for one acre is approximately \$2,277. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And how long before that orchard will be in production?

A Roughly six to eight years, depending on the variety and management practices.

Q And when will there be a break-even point? Isn't that in that six to eight years as well?

A Probably on the -- closer to the
eight-year end of it.
Q Thank you. So if you were to -- I
know there are different management practices and different cultivars and different locations, but if you were to estimate the cost during those interim years, between, let's say, year of establishment and break-even, what would that average cost per acre of inputs be, not including any fixed costs, just the inputs cost?

A I would say somewhere around \(\$ 6,000\) per acre.

MR. QUIR S: Thank you, Your Honor.

No more questions at this time of this witness on the cost of --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: From that same --

MR. QUIR S: -- pecan production.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- exhibit, that
\$2,277.29 per acre, is that a yearly cost, or is that the total cost for that establishment period?

THE WITNESS: Just that establishment -- during the first year.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Just the first year.

THE WITNESS: For planting the orchard and managing and maintaining the trees during the first year.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: That's the 2,277.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yes.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does that cost
decrease for the subsequent years then?

THE WITNESS: It would decrease a little for -- it's going to be less than that, you know, pretty well every year after that, but it decreases considerably, you know, down to, say, the next two or three years, maybe 6- to \(\$ 800\) an acre, but then as you get to the point where those trees start to develop nuts, the inputs start to go back up again.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And that's the years six to eight --

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- when it goes back
up.

MR. QUIR S: Maybe I'll ask the
question another way, Your Honor, which is:

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q If you look at your cost of
establishment plus the inputs cost until you get to break-even, that could be approximated on this table as \$8,277.29?

A Yes. I mean, again, there's going to be a lot of variation, so --

Q But almost \(\$ 9,000\) per acre before you get to break-even.

A Very easily could be.
MR. QUIR S: No further questions at this time.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any further questions from USDA? You're going to go into sort of a different subject area, so why don't I ask at this point if the audience has any questions on his testimony on this more scientific area. So are there any questions from the audience on Dr. Wells' testimony so far?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I see no, so
proceed, Mr. Quirós.

\section*{FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells. You mentioned at the beginning of your testimony that you're a grower. Could you describe your pecan orchard for us.

A Yes. I have about 115 acres of pecans. This is on my family farm in Cordele, Georgia. We have an old orchard on our farm that might great-grandfather had planted. I began managing that orchard in 2005. Prior to that, the person who leased the row crop land just sort of picked up the pecans when they were there.

So I started managing that orchard in 2005, and also that same year planted another 20 to 25 acres. Since that time, I've added additional acreage planting, and then also I have leased another orchard nearby to accumulate the acreage that I have now.

Q And what varieties do you have on your orchard?

A The older orchards are mainly Stuart and Schley, which would be common for old orchards in this area. The younger orchards have Cape Fear, Elliott, Desirable, and Pawnee.

Q Thank you, Dr. Wells. Have you received a copy of the Executive Summary of Economic Analysis of the Implementation of a Federal Marketing Order for Pecans, prepared by Dr. Marco Palma, which has now been marked Exhibit 23 in these hearings?

A Yes.
Q I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about that, as well as about Exhibit 1, which is the -- contains the proposed federal marketing order for pecans. Could you describe some of the challenges you have as a grower that the proposed federal marketing order for pecans attempts to address.

A One of the biggest issues would probably be related to price. Someone who's a smaller grower, such as myself, often, when you go to the marketplace, because the volume
produced is not as great as, say, someone with, say, 2,000 acres or a thousand acres, you often don't have the leverage to get an ideal price in some cases. Contracting would become an issue, because you don't necessarily know you're going to have the volume, because most of the time, if you contract, it's going to be for a larger volume of nuts, so that makes it an issue, so you often are at the mercy of the -- what the pecan market is doing. So that would be one of the biggest issues.

You know, with research, especially for a smaller grower, the input costs are very -a smaller grower really has to watch the input costs very closely, because a large grower may be able to afford to, in some cases, overdo some things, because the volume is there to generate the finances to pay for that. But for a smaller grower, they really have to watch what they do, when they do it, and what is actually needed versus what may be considered luxury treatment of the trees.

So additional research to support developing more efficient production practices would be very helpful as well.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Dr. Wells, when
you're using the terms "larger grower" and
"smaller grower" here, in your testimony just then, were you meaning that to be consistent with the distinction in 986.45 --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- of 176 acres?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You're below 176?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm at 115.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.
BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Why don't we stay on that topic that
Your Honor has raised. The Small Business Administration guidelines also suggest that the distinction between a large grower and a small grower of pecans is a larger grower has more than \(\$ 750,000\) of gross revenue from the production of pecans. Would you be considered a small grower
under that definition?

A Definitely.
Q Thank you. There are two distinctions about small growers, and the Judge was raising that issue. Let me take you back again to the challenges that a grower faces that are attempted to be addressed in the proposed federal marketing order for pecans. You've talked about price stability. You've talked about research. How about generic promotion?

A Yes. I think one of the keys to growing the domestic demand for pecans is promotion. Of course, our Georgia Pecan Commodity Commission has a promotion program at this point, and they do a fine job with that. But in reality, they are not able to generate the funds necessary to really do a job that would benefit the industry as a whole. Certainly no fault of theirs, it's just the reality of the economics of the situation.

But, you know, one issue we had with pecans is that there are areas you can go to
within our country who don't even know what a pecan is. They don't know about the health benefits of pecans. They don't know it has more antioxidants than any other nut. And the more we can get that message out, I think we would see domestic consumption rise, which, of course, would help us on the pricing end.

Q Do you think it would help if we all pronounced the word the same way?

A Possibly, but that's part of the mystique of the culture of pecans.

Q I would like an official pronunciation. How about data? When you look as a scientist and as a grower, what's your view of the quality of the data that's available to the pecan industry?

A Well, most of the crop estimates that we have, we do the best job we can with it, but it's still only an estimate, based on the opinion of many people who kind of pool together to create these estimates. But if there were some way to better quantify that, I think it would be
a benefit, and we can only do that, you know, with better research and then better data to have that.

And then with regard to the storage, you know, volume, what's in cold storage, that, as has been mentioned previously in these hearings, has been an issue for many years.

There's no way to verify exactly what that cold storage is. So if we were able to do that, I think it would be of benefit to the growers and the shellers, the industry as a whole, to allow everyone to have better confidence in those numbers, and also with each other.

Q Are yearly prices set off of those numbers?

A Often. They fluctuate throughout the year, but the -- certainly the volume of nuts that are out there in the current year's crop and the carryover in the cold storage volume play a large part in the pricing.
```

            Q Dr. Wells, I'd like to ask you to turn
    to Section 968 -- I'm sorry -- 986.69 in Exhibit

```

1, please. Take a moment to review that. It's entitled, Authorities regulating handling.

A Uh-huh. (Perusing document.) Okay.

Q Dr. Wells, do you think the industry would benefit if the American Pecan Council was able to develop and establish handling requirements for minimum tolerances for particular grade sizes or qualities?

A Yes.

Q How about for packaging? Would that be of help?

A I believe very much so.

Q Let me ask it a different way. How about for you as a farmer? Do you think that would be a benefit to you?

A I definitely think it would be. You know, if a grower knows what size and quality he needs, that's going to affect the inputs that he does and what he does in growing those trees, and he would know that that's going to pay off in the end.

Q Thank you. Dr. Wells, I'd like you to
now turn your attention to Exhibit 23, which is the executive summary of the economic analysis. Your earlier testimony was that you had had an opportunity to review this. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And do you agree with Dr. Marco's findings with regard to generic promotion?

A I do.

Q And do you think it's reasonable that with improved varieties, that the cost is 2-1/2 cents per inshell pound, that there may be a benefit of 6.3 cents for inshell pound? Is that reasonable?

A Yes.

Q Thank you. I want you to think about the balance of the burdens between the cost of 21/2 cents and the benefits. Which outweighs which?

A The benefits of the order versus the --

Q Of promotion.
A -- assessment? I think by far the
benefits of this marketing order would certainly outweigh the costs. If you compare us with other industries, there's no question that the benefits outweigh the costs, and I don't see why we would not have the same result.

Q Thank You. Dr. Wells, you've given some testimony on this as a scientist, but \(I\) want your testimony as a grower. if you'd please turn to the definition of grower in Section 986.16. Take a moment to review that definition.

A (Perusing document.)

Q Specifically I'm asking you to look at the portion of that definition after the word "provided," about halfway down, where there's a limitation with regard to what we consider commercial growers.

A Yes.

Q Does that seem reasonable to you?
A It does.

Q In light of your experience?
A Yes.

Q Thank you. Have you had an
opportunity to hear presentations on the proposed federal marketing order for pecans by the American Pecan Board?

A Yes.

Q Have you had an opportunity to talk with board members of the American pecan Board?

A Yes. Many times.

Q Are you satisfied that you've had an opportunity to give them all of your input with regard to the proposed federal marketing order for pecans?

A Very much so.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, I have no further questions of this witness at this time as a grower. Thank you, Dr. Wells.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any questions from USDA?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

\section*{FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Dr. Wells, you mentioned a term
"contracting." Can you -- and that's, I believe, in the context of a grower contracting with a handler.

A That's correct.

Q Can you describe for us, based on your knowledge, how contracting works in the pecan industry and how prevalent it is?

A the contracting basically would be prior to harvest, a grower would contract with a handler for a certain volume of nuts. Normally it's usually as a container or load, somewhere around 40- to 45,000 pounds. And it would be based on a price agreed to by both parties. That price would be good for a certain amount of time, agreed to by both parties.

The last couple of years, we've seen more of that than we have in the past, but still, it fluctuates from year to year as regarding how much contracting takes place, and that depends on a lot of times the volume of the crop that's out there. A grower may -- if we know the crop is a small or short crop, the grower may decide that
he would be better off waiting and seeing what the market price is going to do. If it's a large crop, he may want to hedge his bets and take a price that he knows he can get and plan accordingly.

Q So in the pecan industry, is the contract then a short-term relationship or is it a long-term relationship?

A Usually a short-term relationship.
Q As opposed to some industries where a grower and handler can contract for years and years and years.

A Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: No further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more questions from USDA?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? Mr. Quirós?

MR. QUIR S: No further questions of this witness, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any
questions from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. So, Dr. Wells, you're excused.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent group would call as its next witness Ms. Janice Dees.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. And let me reiterate. Ms. Wray, back there from the USDA, please raise your hand. If anybody else wants to testify, they should contact her.
(Pause.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: While they're
handling that, could you please raise your right hand.

Whereupon,

\section*{JANICE DEES}
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Proceed when you're ready.

MR. DAVIS: The witness has been
sworn, Your Honor?
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Yes.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good morning, Ms. Dees.
A Good morning.
Q Thank you so much for coming. Could I ask you to say your full name and spell it for the record, please.

A My name is Janice Dees. That's J-A-N-I-C-E, D-E-E-S.

Q And I understand that you have prepared a written statement you'd like to deliver.

A I do.
Q Could you deliver that, please, ma'am.

A Sure. My name is Janice Dees. I live in Ty Ty, Georgia. I am the executive director of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association and a
chief operating officer of the U.S. Pecan Growers Council. Attached you will find my resume.

The goal of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association is to keep growers informed of pecan industry issues. We do this by publishing a pecan grower magazine called The Pecan Grower, and by organizing grower meetings and various grower events. We also help growers pursue state grants for pecans.

The U.S. Pecan Growers Council is focused on the marketing of pecans internationally. We handle and account for monies received from individuals, state grower associations, and commodity commissions and federal marketing funds, controlled by the Foreign Agricultural Service of the U.S.

Department of Agriculture.
The proposed marketing order of pecans in no way interferes or competes with the work and the missions of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association and/or the U.S. Pecan Growers

Council. I am full-time professionally devoted
to the pecan industry. I see the problems of pecan growers every day: price volatility, inadequate supply, lack of reliable industry data, and opportunities that cannot be seized because of inadequate industry funding.

The proposed federal marketing order for pecans is the right move for the industry now. We cannot be a real player in the tree nut industry if we don't get this done and get it done now. I fully support the proposed federal marketing order for pecans and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. I will be glad to answer any questions that anyone may have. MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent group tenders Exhibit 69.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 69.) JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any objection?

MR. HILL: No objection, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have an objection?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Hearing none, I do note -- I'm not sure whether the home telephone number and the cellular telephone number might be PII.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Your home number, you don't want too many telemarketers to get that, do you?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: That may be redacted from the exhibit. With no objection, Exhibit 69 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to,
having been previously marked for
identification as Exhibit Number 69,
was received in evidence.)
MR. DAVIS: They post our exhibits online, and the USDA and the Proponent group has
group we're going to redact out any personal information like that.

THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. Thank
you.
BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Thanks. Just to follow up a couple small items, Ms. Dees. Have you attended Georgia pecan growers meetings where representatives of the American Pecan Board were present to talk about this proposed order?

A Yes, I have.
Q Did you hear some of those presentation or --

A I did.

Q Were the Georgia pecan growers given an opportunity to voice their opinions or give their input into this proposed order?

A Yes, they were.
Q Were there any articles about this proposed federal marketing order put into the magazine, The Pecan Growers?

A Yes, we have. Several.

Q And was notice about this meeting published in that publication?

A Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. I think I have no further questions at this time, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any questions from USDA?

MS. VARELA: Yes, Your Honor. Jen Varela, USDA.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. VARELA:

Q Thank you so much for being with us, Ms. Dees.

A Uh-huh.

Q From your resume and your testimony, we see that you have a lot of experience with most of the major organizations, at least in this part of the country.

A Right.

Q And you mentioned that there is insufficient funding, for one, for promotion. I wonder if you could speak to the efficiency of
the existing programs in securing their funding. Is it your opinion that sometimes it's difficult to collect those funds, even when programs already exist?

A Are you requesting from the Georgia standpoint?

Q Just in all of the different
associations you've been involved in, \(I\) know there are a couple different avenues for those funds to come together. What are some of the challenges they've had in using them?

> A Well, the biggest thing for us in marketing is, you know, obviously you can do things collectively when you have more funds gathered together than doing solely, so trying to collect funds from the Georgia side, you know, we have an assessment in Georgia. Georgia Pecan Growers solicits funds through a couple of different grants that we do, that we do marketing from, developing websites, doing grower meetings. We do one with risk that tries to give risk options and tells growers what they need to do as
far as, you know, growing, insuring their crop.
From the international side, from the U.S. pecan point, we do solicit funds currently from the different states, and that is hard, because each state currently has their own projects they're doing. So it is a challenge to get those funds to do what we need to do sometimes.

Q Okay. And also just to follow up on a statement you made here that kind of intrigued me a little, you mentioned being a real player in the tree nut industry.

A Right.
Q Can you expand on that a little and describe to us, first of all, what you see happening in the tree nut industry that isn't happening with pecans, and how you think you could get there through the marketing order.

Q Okay. Well, obviously if you watch TV at all or pick up any newspaper at all, you continually see the little green guy from the pistachios. You continually see things with
almond milk. There's so much more funding available to them than we currently as being in 15 states.

So to be a player, to be able to get on the international and the domestic front from this marketing order, from the domestic side, we need funding to be able to do the same things they're doing. Currently that's not an option for us.

Q And so when you say, not an option, you mean that right now, there's not --

A There's not funding.

Q -- a program in place that works at that level.

A Exactly.

Q Even though there are some smaller programs.

A Right. We're restricted. I mean, you really can't play on that field unless you have proper funding.

Q And given your experience with some of those marketing efforts, can you describe to us
if you see a complementarity between the existing programs and a potential federal program.

A Can you clarify that just a little bit?

Q Well, there are already some projects going on, and we assume that you don't want those to go away.

A Are you talking about the international projects?

Q Either the international project or the state-level projects.

A All right. Right. And I do not want those to go away. Yes. Currently Georgia, I guess, is one of the two states who has an assessment that we do some marketing. But, again, we're restricted to what we can do, because of funding is limited. I mean, we don't have enough funds to really play on the big field, and we are restricted.

Q And do you see a role, let's say, for the Georgia marketing in particular, to still be the voice for local marketing effort?

A Oh, I absolutely. I mean, we would want to continue our own program. I mean, there's a lot of things we can do outside the federal side for just our state, so absolutely we want to continue our own marketing for our own state.

Q Thank you so much. And then to flip over to your work with the U.S. Pecan Council, you know, how could having a domestic program work in concert with the international marketing that you're currently involved with?

A Well, currently, I guess from my standpoint, I've been doing it for about five years, and I see the marketing efforts that the U.S. pecan team has put in and what the ability for us has happened internationally, and that's not been a focus of for us domestically. We can't do that domestically because of funding.

So, you know, just as Dr. Wells mentioned earlier, there are many people in the northern part of the U.S. that have no idea the nutritionist points of a pecan. They don't even
know what a pecan is.

So, you know, obviously doing
marketing outside the U.S. has grown the industry. I've seen what that's done for the industry in the last five years, and there really is no reason for us not to have the same ability to do that in our own country. And we fall short of that right now.

Q Great. Thank you very much.
MS. VARELA: No further questions from me.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more questions from USDA?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Your Honor. I'll
just follow up very quickly on Ms. Varela's comment and to make sure it's clear what we're talking about here.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q The Georgia Pecan Commodity Commission has a one-cent-per-pound assessment on Georgia pecans. Is that correct?

A That's correct, for anyone having more than 30 acres.

Q You anticipated my next question.
A I thought so.

Q It only applies to farmers that have more than 30 acres. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Do you have any idea how they arrived at that threshold of 30 acres?

A That was before my time. It's in the Commission rules. I don't know what made up that decision.

Q But it really only applies to farmers that have more than 30 acres.

A Exactly.
Q All right.
MR. DAVIS: I don't think I have anything further, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Anything else from

USDA?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? Ms. Dees, you're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Ganas, I think it's your turn.
(Pause.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Ganas, you made your own sign.

MR. GANAS: No. She made it for me in the back, compliments of the USDA.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Could you raise your right hand, please.

Whereupon,

\section*{GARRETT GANAS}
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as
follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Could you please state again your name and spell it.

THE WITNESS: Garrett Ganas, G-A-R-R-E-T-T, G-A-N-A-S.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And what's your address?

THE WITNESS:

Waycross, Georgia
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And what is your occupation?

THE WITNESS: A farmer of pecans.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Of pecans.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Pecans, whatever.
All right. And what would you like to tell us?
DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE WITNESS: I'm also as a
representative of Georgia Farm Bureau, as the chairman of pecan advisory committee, and here to say that Farm Bureau supports the right of the producers to vote in a national promotion
program, and that we do recognize the many benefits that can come from such a program, the multiple benefits.

And as a producer, as a small
producer, I grow 150 acres, and we do some custom work for some other growers. As a young producer, this is the future of our industry, and I believe that as a unified industry, we'd better gain funding for research, for the information that as Dr. Wells said, we are in the infancy pretty much of what we know about pecans and where we're going, where we can go with the knowledge of pecans.

\section*{I am probably considered one of the} younger producers around. I am 35 years old, and I have children. This is something that I would like to be able to hand down to the children and better -- I just feel like that through national promotion, through better research, better price support, we just stand a better chance of competing in a national market against other tree nuts.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is that what you
want to tell us?

THE WITNESS: That's pretty much it, and if they have any questions on being a smaller grower, I'm open to any questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis, Mr.

Quirós?

MR. DAVIS: I think no questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Thank you for your testimony, Mr. -is it Ganas?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q -- Ganas. Thank you. So if I understood you correctly, are you representing
the Georgia Farm Bureau today?
A Yes, ma'am.

Q So you're speaking on behalf of that organization?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q Okay. And you started out by stating that the Georgia Farm Bureau supports the right of a grower to vote.

A Correct.

Q Okay. So when you say that, are you talking about all growers, or growers as defined in Exhibit 1?

A The growers that the council deems to be able to vote. All growers as in Georgia, once you drop under 30 acres, you're probably entering into more of a hobby type acre.

Q Uh-huh. Okay. Great. So -JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So by the Council, you meant the proposal that was published in the Federal Register, as defined there?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Exhibit 1. BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q So then, would it be correct to draw the conclusion that the Georgia Farm Bureau supports the delineation between a commercial grower at 30 acres or 50,000 pounds, that the difference between a commercial grower and a hobby farmer, for example, is that definition of 30 acres or 50,000 pounds is appropriate as far as the Georgia Farm Bureau's opinion is concerned?

A For voting purposes, yes.
Q Thank you.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: I have no further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions? They all get a shot.

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.

THE WITNESS: That's fine. That's fine.

BY MS. VARELA:

Q Thank you for being with us, Mr.

Ganas. I just have a few questions about the
committee that you have at the Farm Bureau dealing with pecans in general.

A Yes, ma'am.

Q About how many members are part of that committee?

A It's a ten-member committee.
Q And do you have any meetings of your own, or do you typically just gather when other events are going on? For example, did the American Pecan Board speak directly to your committee at any time?

A No, they did not. We are simply an advisory committee for policy production and where Farm Bureau should stand on their policy, and to advise them of happenings in the industry, and to keep them abreast of what's going on.

Q So would you say most of your committee members were aware of this proposal being developed?

A Yes, they were.
Q And even if they didn't come to your committee meeting, the board was probably
communicating with a number of the members of your committee.

A Yes, they were.

Q Great. Thank you. And just overall again, about how many members -- about how many pecan growers would you estimate are members of the Georgia Farm Bureau?

A That would be a hard one for me to guess.

Q Is that hard to do?

A Yes.

Q That's fine. You don't have to know. Is there any limitation to being a Farm Bureau member? Do you have to have a certain acreage, or do you represent anyone who wants to be involved?

A Farm Bureau is an open membership, and you can have any -- anybody can be a member of it. We have different classifications, one of them being a farmer member, which is just one of several small classifications.

Q All right. Thank you very much.

A Yes, ma'am.

MS. VARELA: Those are all the
questions from me.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more
USDA questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No?

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, we'd just
thank Mr. Ganas for driving up from Waycross, and we appreciate your time.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions for Mr . Ganas?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? You're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Wray, is there anyone else who has signed up?

MS. WRAY: No, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay.
MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent
group calls Mr. Thomas Mason.
(Pause.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: While they're
handling that, if you could raise your right hand, please.

Whereupon,

THOMAS L. MASON
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good morning, Mr. Mason. Thank you so much for being here. Again, for the record, please state your name and spell it for the record.

A Thomas L. Mason, T-H-O-M-A-S, L, M-A-S-O-N.

Q And, Mr. Mason, do you have before you Exhibits 1 and 23 to these proceedings?

A Yes, sir.

Q And have you -- Exhibit 1 being the
proposed -- the notice and the proposed marketing order, and Exhibit 23, being the executive summary of the economic analysis of Dr. Palma. Have you had an opinion to review those?

A Yes, I have.

Q Okay. Thank you. I may ask some things about that. I understand you have some prepared testimony you'd like to give.

A Yes, sir.
Q Please proceed.

A My name's Thomas Mason, and I live in Kathleen, Georgia. My family and I farm 2,600 acres of improved pecans in Middle Georgia. I was in the construction business for over 30 years, but I've been in the pecan business since the late 1990s, became a full-time pecan grower business on July 4, 2007.

We would be classified as a large
pecan grower under the Small Business
Administration definition of more than \(\mathbf{\$ 7 5 0 , 0 0 0}\) in gross pecan revenues. I've read the proposed marketing order for pecans as published in the

Federal Register, and I agree with the goals and the direction of the proposed American Pecan Council.

We need a ship and need to set sail. It will be up to us, a pecan industry, where we go from here. In Georgia, we assess one cents a pound on all inshell pecans grown by farmers with more than 30 acres. This assessment was voted on by Georgia growers and is collected by the Georgia Department of Agriculture, and the amounts collected are used as determined by the Georgia Commodity Commission for Pecans.

I'm currently chairman of the Georgia Commodity Commission for Pecans, and I've served on the Commission since 2009. Our focus is research, promotion and development. We've historically collected between 400,000 and \(\$ 700,000\) per year, and we use the money to support organizations that try to sell pecans internationally and domestically.
The bulk of our budget recently has
been spent on trying to get pecans tested for
users' ingredients or other processed products. An example of these are pecan butter, like peanut butter; pecan milk, like soy or almond milk; candy bars or cereals, et cetera. It takes years for manufacturers to commit to using pecans as part of an ingredient recipe or the base for a stand-alone project. Of course, besides the receipts of consumer acceptance factors, pecan prices and pecan supplies are also issues for food groups, but overall our budget is just too small to solve our problems. The proposed American Pecan Council would have some authorities that are similar or the same to those of the Georgia Commodity Commission for Pecans.

I do not view this as a conflict for several reasons. First, from my vantage point, I absolutely welcome the new revenue for promotion from the American Pecan Council. We really do not have enough for the Georgia Commodity Commission for Pecans to make a significant marketing impression on domestic consumers, but
the money from the American Pecan Council might.
Second, with American Pecan Council in place, what \(I\) would like to see is the Georgia Commodity Commission for Pecans redirect its efforts and funds towards more Georgia-specific research. Finally, it will be up to the Georgia growers whether they want to continue the onecent assessment after the American Pecan Council is in place.

I say, let the Georgia growers decide what they want to do, but overall, I say this as chairman of the Commission. It is more important get the American Pecan Council up and running and focused on pecan promotion. In recent years, I've seen wide variations in prices that we have received on our crop, our pecan crop. Such wide variation in pricing market is extremely difficult to plan for the future operations of our farms.

While prices for pecans go up and down dramatically from year to year, our cost of production has steadily increased. Further, the
lack of accurate market information on the anticipated size of the pecan crop in any given year also makes it difficult for me to negotiate a fair price for our crop and to make reasonable business decisions about investments and our farms.

Increased price stability and more accurate market information would greatly benefit our farms. I think our farm and industry would also benefit in the future from grade, size, quality, packaging, shipping, protocols, and other handling requirements, as we compete with other tree nuts for consumer attention.

I also understand that under the proposed order, only growers with more than 30 acres of pecans or more than 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years will be allowed to vote on the proposed order. In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable, because a grower that does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower. Any grower that is smaller than the proposed
threshold could not justify the cost inherent in such a small production.

> I am not aware of a single pecan
farmer in my area who has a commercial farm that is smaller than 30 acres or produces less than 50,000 average pounds per year. As I testified earlier, we use 30 acres in Georgia as the dividing line between hobby and yard farmers and commercial pecan growers, so I'm comfortable with these metrics.

I reviewed the economic analysis, the summary prepared by Dr. Marco Palma, specifically the projected average price increase from promotion of 6.3 cents per inshell pound versus average of 2.5 cents per inshell pound cost. I agree that promotion will increase prices.

Overall I'm aware of these costs that a federal marketing order may impose on my farm, and I do not believe that these costs are unduly burdensome.

Further, I believe that the benefits of the federal marketing order to my farm will
greatly outweigh the costs associated with it. The American Pecan Board has kept our organization informed about these efforts to propose federal marketing orders.

Representatives of the American Pecan Board regularly attend our growers meetings to listen to us, to answer our questions. I also know personally several members of the board of the American Pecan Board.

In conclusion, I fully support the proposed federal marketing order for pecans, and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. And I'd be glad to answer any questions or --

Q Let me ask one question before we tender. Attached to your written statement, do you have -- is there a letter from the Georgia Agricultural Commodity Commission for Pecans to the Secretary of Agriculture?

A There is.
MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent group tenders Exhibit 70.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 70.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from USDA?
MS. CHILUKURI: No objection, Your

Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from anyone in the audience?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection.
Exhibit 70 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred
to,
having been previously marked for
identification as Exhibit Number 70, was received in evidence.)

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q Mr. Mason, let me refer you to the letter that you just referred to in Exhibit 70, and you'll notice \(I\) put it on the overhead here. Could you read the salutation and the body of
your letter into the record, please.
A Yes, sir. "Dear Secretary Vilsack:
The Georgia Agriculture Commodity Commission for Pecans is writing you in support of the federal marketing order for pecans currently being promulgated by the American Pecan Board.
"Our industry has and continues to face issues of price and supply, instability in the market. This situation inhibits our effort to provide a constant quality supply of pecans to domestic consumers at a price that supports a profitable return to producers and processors.
"We believe the federal marketing order will contribute to a more stable market environment that is favorable to growers, buyers, shellers and consumers. The Georgia Agriculture Commodity Commission for Pecans goes on record in favor of the order and greatly appreciates your support."

Q Thank you, Mr. Mason. Let me go back to just one thing that you said in your statement, and that is that, referring to the
assessment in Georgia on farmers, and I believe you noted that only farmers that have more than 30 acres in production are assessed under that program. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And you heard -- you may have heard me ask Ms. Dees if she knew how that number was arrived at, and she said that might have been a little before her time. Do you have any idea how that --

A Well, it -- this board was started several years before \(I\) went on, so it was before my time, too. But my understanding of it is that it was basically a mutually agreed upon standard. I mean, that was about the break point of what would be considered less than that's kind of hobby, hard nut farming, it's kind of referred to, a lot of times the way it is, versus commercial farming.

And, you know, why it was 30 instead of 25 or 35 , 1 think they just had to settle on something, and there it got playing on words, but

30 acres just turned out to be the standard that was agreed upon back at that point in time when the original commodity commission was being voted on.

Q So approximately how long has that standard been in place, as far as you know?

A Twenty years, 30 years, 25 or 30 years.

Q During the time that you've been involved with the commission, has there been any controversy about that? Has that been a source of irritation or trouble to anybody?

A I think it made the 30 acres or less happy, and the 30 acres or more happy. I mean, it -- we were glad to pay the one cent, because we knew we needed some promotion, and the people picking up hard nuts didn't really feel like they needed promotion.

MR. DAVIS: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. Thank you. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Thank you, Mr. Mason, for your testimony. Thank you for joining us today. So in your testimony you talked about pecans and the potential for pecans to be used in new products, and I think you referred to testing of other products. Can you tell us about, I guess, that process?

A For so many years, pecans have been looked at as -- in the South as a chef's ingredient or something that we do here in the South, and they're not really -- hadn't really been noted or promoted as a United States-wide product or even a domestic product, and recently the commodity commission has changed the direction in our marketing with limited funds. And we've gone out to a company out of Atlanta called Object 9, and they gave a presentation to us, and showed 75 to 85 percent
of the market out there was in ingredients, and then there's some in snack foods and grocery. So we've changed our direction, and again, as our funds are so limited, but you have to get on these --

And I can't use really names that we're working with right with companies, but you can't get on an R\&D board, which is a research and development, so they do a lot of testing of how a pecan's going to fit in a certain type candy or a mix or a trail mix, or how the milk may turn out or, you know, you make butter out of pecans.

And so how is it going to -- is it going to be able to merge with a Reese's Cup, which is -- you know, I mean, and replace peanut butter with pecans. So all of his is an innovation, and it requires testing, but it requires testing by the companies that want to use it, so we've got to reach out, and we sent enormous samples with the limited money we have, fish batter, bread batter, beer, you know.

And all this has to get on their testing tables, and, you know, I just mentioned, we got a 400- to \(\$ 700,000\) a year. We're also charged to do research. We've got to do promotion, you know, and it just doesn't go that far.

And this order could really put this particular track -- I feel like that Georgia's kind of been on the front run of and take it countrywide, and have the money to do what really needs to be done, that we're just trying to do here in Georgia.

Q So taking what you just said and then -- and linking that to your statement about pecan prices and supplies impacting perhaps those groups' decisions to use pecan as an ingredient, can you talk about how that works.

A Well, you know, when we first changed our direction three years ago, the issue at the time was that domestic consumption was actually dropping. All the records and indicators showed that domestic consumption of pecans was dropping,
and we had an overburden of pieces, and everybody wanted the mammoth half that Dr. Wells was talking about. I mean, they're easy to sell.

But every mammoth half you start shelling and getting, you start getting pieces, and these pieces accumulate, and someone -- and the pieces was just stockpiling, and they stayed on the market, so we started having -- trying to find -- and the pieces were driving the prices down. I mean, you know, there were so many pieces there, when my pieces come on this year, I'm competing with pieces from last year, because a pecan will keep two years at zero degrees.

And so you're competing with pecans that were maybe in a zero-degree temperature for two years are still fine to eat, but the color may be not as pretty. But I got new ones that I want \(X\) for, and someone's selling old ones, and they're going to chop them up and put them in candy or some product. So we had to get these off of the shelves.

And consumption has picked, I'd like
to say is from this program that we're on. I will say it's from this program we're on. But we do think it has helped. We've reached -- I think we've reached 300-and-something individual companies in three years, and all of those companies, I think, are taking product that I would safely say that I think about 30 to 40 percent of them are working on some sort of ingredient to use up this type of the market, the nuts that are there. And taking that with more money, you know, what could we do?

Q Thank you. That's very helpful. I know you mentioned here in your testimony the lack of accurate market information, and that part of your testimony made me recall a meeting that I attended in Alabama, and I believe you were present. Do you remember that meeting?
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A Yes, ma'am. } \\
& \text { Q And the topic was trying to } \\
& \text { forecast -- not even forecast -- trying to figure } \\
& \text { out what production was. Can you -- do you } \\
& \text { recall that conversation and the approach that }
\end{aligned}
\]
was used to try to figure out what that number was?

A Well, you know, you've got professionals that can tell you what crops are on the trees. I can ride my orchard right now and tell you I've got a good crop, but I can't tell you if it's 2 million pounds or 3 million pounds or a million-five. Or I can tell you I got 4 million pounds out there, but I can't tell you how many I'm going to get in the cleaning plant and get sold.

So there's got to be a more accurate way to have money to do this -- you know, someone go out and see more farms. I mean, I don't know how many farms they see before they make a determination of what the average crop's going to be. It seems like they do a -- somehow do a pretty good job, but it's still to me a little bit like we're shooting from the hip.

I know people will come out, and they'll want to contract nuts from us, and, you know, you want a -- and you'll get a price that
you think's a good price and you can make money at, but the thing is, if you contract them, you've got to have them. And if you don't have them and the market changes, you're going to go buy them off the open market, and then that helps drive the price up, not to your advantage.

So having an accurate understanding of what's on the market and what's out there would be very beneficial to all of us growers.

Q Would you agree that the current
method for predicting production is less -- is a less than accurate science?

A I would say the science may be less than accurate, but I'm not smart enough to tell you what science would be accurate. You know, it's easy to criticize something when somebody else is doing it, but their averages seem to be -- I mean, last year we thought we had 110 million and we had 70 million, and the science changed pretty quick.

So early on we're telling you, you
know, if \(I\) had some nuts in the freezer, you
know, that I needed to go ahead and be selling them. But yet as the crop disappeared, I should have been saving them, because this crop's not going to be as long as I might could have got more.

So I think the science is subject to change as the nuts grow more. You can see the size of them. You can get the people in Texas together with the people in Georgia. Now, you're going to have them on a council, where they're sitting in the same room with each other, and our representatives will be there. Their representatives will be there.

And 17 or 18 people will be able to sit around the room, and they could have talked to everybody in their area and said, Well, these farms right now have this and this, and I think that could help the science more so than saying the science is just wrong. I think it could enhance it.

Q Okay. Thank you. I want to shift
gears and talk about your experience as the

Chairman of the Georgia Commodity Commission for Pecans. So a couple of questions. I'm not real familiar with how the state commission operates, so I'm trying to ask some questions to figure that part out.

So you mentioned that -- it's on the first page of your statement. You said that the state assessment is voted on by Georgia growers, so when you -- can you tell me about that process. Like how do you go about identifying the assessment rate and then --

A Well, the assessment rate was a half a cent a pound when it first came in, and every four years, the growers of 30 acres or more vote on the re-up of the assessment, so the assessment could be voted out at any time, or it could be continued on.

Well, we in the Georgia growers, we did a promotion, and the Georgia growers consented to -- on their last vote was we voted on the half a cent, but then we voted separately to increase it half a cent, because we didn't
want to shoot ourselves in the leg, if one of them gets voted out, votes the other one out. So we voted for half a cent increase is the way it was worded.

And the growers vote on that, and I guess at any time if the growers become disgruntled with the direction they think the money's going, they still have complete control of this, because they can vote it out, and then, you know, I guess if we wanted to put it up for vote, we could ask for more money, but, you know, we just -- we try to get what we can to keep the research development promotion at least out there.
We've tried to -- you know, we've
helped fund money for domestic. We've helped fund money for American Pecan Council. You know, we're trying to do research promotion, and so it's -- us being there has helped all the way around, but again, we're just limited -- you know, you take \(\$ 700,000\) and go spreading it where all I've just said, and you just don't have the
money you need.
Q Right. Thank you. I'm also wanting to focus on the process. So if I understood, based on what you just said, the way the commission operates is that there's a vote every four years --

A Yes, ma'am, I believe that's correct.

Q -- for the assessment. Right? And that's to continue the existing level.

A Continue or do away with if --

Q Or do away with. Okay. Or you also said that there was a second issue that was voted on, and that was an increase in the assessment.

A Well, we voted for -- we originally had one cent, and the last assessment, the last vote, we increased it a half a cent, voted for half a cent increase, and so that made it the one cent that we have now.

Q Okay. And under the commission guidelines, can a vote occur less than four years?

A No. It's set up as a state -- it's
all a set-up formality, just like the vote is here. I don't remember what it goes back to the growers, but it goes back to the growers for a vote, and where they can take it in, take it out, or -- and it's the same type situation.

The first handler pays the fee, you know, or I could deduct a fee. It's audited by the State. The State does a state audit of mainly the first handlers and the growers that take their own fee out. And some of the growers are selling direct, so that, therefore, makes them the first handler. And then the state auditors go around, and they'll audit, and see, you know, where everybody's at, and that also helps to the records of what was, you know, produced that year.

Q Uh-huh. So if I'm understanding, again, what you just said, the State has an active role in terms of overseeing how that program is run.

A No. The board -- you know, the State has guidelines, just like this program has
guidelines. And the commodity commission -- it's almost a -- I mean, to it's a complementary thing of what we're doing right now. I mean, this is a federal marketing order. It's got American Pecan Board. This is Georgia pecan -- so the -- the State, and it's got the Georgia Commodity Commission.

And we're made up of five growers, Farm Bureau, and a representative from the commissioner's office. Normally since I've been there, \(I\) don't ever remember seeing the Commissioner's representative or the Farm Bureau representative really going out of their way to cast a vote or influence anything. I can
honestly say since 2009 that I've been there, that it's been a grower board that makes the decisions on where all the funds are spent, what'd one, and, you know --

But we're under state laws of what it takes to apply for the money to be paid, things like that, you know, so we fall under state criteria for things like that. The checkbook's
out of our hands. The vote is, of how we spend it is, but the checkbook's not.

Q Thank you. And I believe you said that you understand that this program would operate similarly, so that's a state program, but this is a federal program.

A I think they'd certainly complement each other. I think there's going to be some things that we've done that we could relax on, and one of the biggest things facing us right now is scab disease. Well, that's not a problem out West. It's a Georgia problem, so they could let us start taking our funds and start concentrating on some Georgia problems.

I mean, really it'd almost be like a little relief. I mean, it gives us -- you know, we can say, Okay, you all kind of got this, and then we can come back in and kind of do what the Georgia growers are wanting to do. But this -you know, we've had to support everything that we could up to now, but I think they would enhance each other.

Q And also in your opinion, it would be very important for the Georgia commission to continue that -- to focus on Georgia issues.

A Well, I think it'd be important for us to focus on Georgia issues, and it'd be up to the voters, the Georgia voters, to see if they wanted to continue, I think was part of your question, but, I mean, I think that certainly everybody -Georgia's been real progressive right now and the farmers have been real progressive. I couldn't imagine us not having the commodity commission, to go ahead and start doing more Georgia-related items.

Q Okay. Thank you.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: I have no further questions.

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.

BY MS. VARELA:

Q Mr. Mason, I also -- oops, I just lost my name tag. I also wanted to ask you a few questions from the perspective of serving on the commission, and specifically in the way that some
of those funds are used. So, first, could I have you look at Section 986.57 from Exhibit 1.

That's the proposal that went out.

A 9867 --
Q .57. It's titled, Funds and other property.

A Yes, ma'am.

Q And, again, I'm not exactly familiar with the way the funds are held in the commission. I believe you said that the checkbook's not in your hands.

A The State collects the funds and holds the funds.

Q They hold that. Okay. In looking at paragraph (a) here, is it your understanding, in looking at that language there, that those funds are going to go directly to the council?

A (Perusing document.) Yes. The way it's worded there, it looks like to me it's going straight -- going to the council.

Q Okay. Thank you very much. And then as a follow-up to that, I'd like you to move down
just a little to Section 986.64. And I know that's kind of a long section. I'm just going to look at paragraph (a) (1) -- I'm sorry -- (1) and (2).

A (Perusing document.)
Q And I bring this up, because I know you mentioned that sometimes there's a range in the assessments that you've seen coming in in your experience on the commission, and the language here describes some of the possibilities that could happen if there are too many assessments.

And looking specifically at number (2) there, I'm looking especially at the proviso that says, "Funds already in reserve" -- or sorry. I should read the whole thing. "The council, with the approval of the Secretary, may carry over excess funds into subsequent fiscal periods as reserves, provided that funds already in reserves do not equal approximately three fiscal years' expenses."
In your experience as someone who's
handled funds that are coming from growers that are there for a specific purpose, does it seem reasonable to you that the council might need to carry over some of those reserves? And if so, is this, in your opinion, a reasonable amount to be able to build up?

A Yes, because our previous boards, when pecans, you know, weren't doing so good, the promotion and all wasn't, you know, needed as much as it is now. I mean, prices were low, it seemed like, and we may even actually needed it more. But they built up a reserve, and, you know, as one of the board members that's been responsible on trying to get this done, we've gone into those reserves and just about used them up.

And if those reserves would have not been there and been in place, we would have probably had a year or two that we wouldn't have had any funds to meet anything, any requests.

Even if with a half-cent assessment increase this year, we didn't collect enough off the low crop
to carry this year's budget, so part of this year's budget was right at the line of going into the reserves.

And so, yes. I think that the reserve is needed, and I think that a there-year reserve limit would give you something you know you had to fall back on.

Q Okay.
A Some of your obligations, you know, like where our budget is from year to year, but some of your obligations -- I'll give you an example, like on scab. I mean, you know, the program's a three-year program that Dr. Katherine Stevenson is doing, and to get students in, she needs a three-year commitment, and, you know, we can't give a three-year commitment, but we can give a vote we're going to fund the first year with a hard look at the second year.

And, now, does that obligate us or is that necessarily -- we need the scab improvement. We need the scab studies, a place that the farmers can go, and what it is is determined that
the more you use a chemical, the more resistant that disease becomes to that chemical. It gets used to it, and we have nothing out there to replace the sprays that we have out there for that now.

And so Dr. Stevenson is trying to figure out if we cut back -- if people have cut back too much, not used the full rate and allowed this, and right now in Georgia, I would say that's one of the number one things we have. And so you're going to have commitments that you're going to have to fulfill that you need to keep going down the road.

Q And does the fact that the pecan tree itself and farming pecans is such a kind of longterm process that -- I think we've had a lot of testimony that an application one year or some sort of disease one year can have a multi-year impact. Is that part of why these projects have to be multiple years long?

A Well, you know, the studies that are being done -- I mean, I know Dr. Wells mentioned
the scab, how it carries over into bark, and, you know, you can have this clarified with the next witness because he does the spraying and all, but -- takes care of all of that, but if I'm not mistaken, we came out there one year and just sprayed dead wood, trying to kill scab before it got on any leaves and anything popped out there, you know, so I think there is carryover, you know, to -- for problems, and then I also think the farmers are trying different things to eliminate their problems.

Q Okay. And when you said that you could only commit -- you could only usually commit to one year of funding a project, why is that?

A Well, we're on a physical year, and it's hard to spend money next year that you haven't got yet. The commissioner frowns on that.

Q Is there a state requirement that makes you do that?

A I would think so --

Q Or is it just a practical --
A -- yes. I mean, I just think it's just good business, I mean, if nothing else, but --

Q Understood.

A -- I'm sure it's a requirement. Yes.
Q Understood. And if you don't mind, I'd like to circle back to some of your experience with providing pecans for research and development. Ms. Dees testified earlier that some of your promotion efforts have done well in promoting Georgia pecans specifically. In your discussions with some of these ingredient developers, would you say that it's not as important to them to have a product that's tied to a particular state or region? Are they looking for something that is a little more generic?

> A I don't really, you know, think that what's driving it is where -- what state it's from. It's the price and availability of the region. And, you know, so, you know, if -- I
mean, it's just too easy to ship pecans and move them around. You know, the only thing that gets into specific is your domestic market. That gets into a little bit more specific.
I mean, I'm not going to buy a

Desirable half and chop it up and put it in a candy bar. I'm going to coat it in chocolate or send it in a gift pack or -- so you're going to -- the nuts that are out there that we're trying to move right now are kind of byproducts left over, and it doesn't matter which part of the region it comes out of.

Q And you made an interesting distinction in saying that if there was national research going on, that would, in essence, free up the Georgia funds through the commission to do Georgia-based research. Is it going -- I'm going to try to connect some dots here. Is it going too far to say if you could on a national scope provide enough nuts to get the ingredient market supplied, that that would, in a similar way, free up your promotion money to still work on Georgia-
specific promotion?
A Well, you know, I'm not sure I really understand what you're asking.

Q Let me --
A If you'll try it one more time, and I'll --

Q -- try to straighten that out a little. If the ingredient buyers or the raw product buyers don't have an interest in where those pecans are produced, but you still see that there's value in promoting a Georgia-specific product, you still see -- or at least Ms. Dees said --

A Yes. Definitely, I mean, you know -yes.

Q So if getting ingredient makers to want to buy pecans overall, regardless of where they're from, could be done by somebody else with this generic funding, because it's a generic product, does that make it easier for your Georgia marketing efforts to focus on things that are specific to you, rather than having to kind
of lose a little bit of that branding, of being a Georgia pecan, and going to somebody who's not going to identify it as a Georgia pecan?

A Well, what I see it could do for us is it could pull us out of the marketing side that we're charged with and let us go over to research and -- pull us out of promotion, and let us move over to research. I mean, the Byron [phonetic] Station is needing funding, is talking about closing down.

We had some \(\$ 300,000\) worth of requests from different doctors around the state this year, and I think four of them, we were able to grant them sort of money, so it could certainly let us refocus our direction of the other items we're charged for in our guidelines.

Q That's very helpful. Thank you very much for all of your testimony.

MS. VARELA: I have no further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

MR. HILI: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, if I could
elaborate just on one or two items --

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q You -- Mr. Mason, thank you so much for your testimony. The -- let's talk about that 2014 crop estimate. You alluded to that, and I believe you were talking about the Georgia crop estimate. Is that correct?

A Well, the -- yes.

Q The one where you said it was 110 million.

A That dropped. It was a hundred, and it dropped to 70 or 80. Yes.

Q And, again, for everyone's benefit here, at what time of the year were there publications estimating that the Georgia crop in 2014 was going to be about 110 million pounds?

A Well, this year -- I'm trying to think, relate this year to that year. I think
we've already got two reports out from Texas and Georgia, with reports, you know, estimating this year's crop. So I would say, you know, June maybe was the first one; maybe July, something like that, is the second one.

Q Around this time, as late as --

A Yes.

Q -- July of 2014, the estimate for the Georgia crop was about 110 million pounds. Correct?

A Oh, for the first one was probably up, and then it was down, and, you know, \(I\) want to say maybe we settled out at about 85 million, something like that.

Q And then at one point there was an estimate that it was going to be 70 million. Is that --

A There was one time we were thinking 65 million.

Q And then the actual crop turned out to be around \(80 \mathrm{million} .\mathrm{Is} \mathrm{that} \mathrm{--}\)

A 85 or so. Yes.

Q 85 million. Okay.
A What's hard to tell is you've still got some in cold storage. We don't have the records to track cold storage, so that's another problem in itself that needs, you know, to track what we got in cold storage. I mean, so when you go to try to add that all up, I'm trying to get this report out and got these numbers in. I mean, where are all the numbers at, so it's just hard to get an accurate count.

Q Well, you actually raise -- you raise an interesting issue. If there were reports that the crop was going to be 110 million pounds, that would lead a farmer to believe that the price was going to be kind of low, wouldn't it?

A Certainly.
Q So that might encourage a farmer to put more in cold storage. Correct?

A Correct.

Q And then that, of course, then led to finally the conclusion that only about 80 million pounds were for sale.

A Well, you wouldn't have the chance to put them in cold storage until after the crop came in, you know, so you could amend your thoughts, but you may have missed a contract price, because -- or you may have taken a contract price because it was low. I mean, you thought it was going to be high, but then it turned out being low when the crop dropped, because certainly a lesser crop means a higher price.

Q Uh-huh. Okay. And, again, I think you touched on this, but \(I\) wanted to make clear, the Georgia pecan commodity -- or the Georgia Commission for Commodities for Pecans has five farmers on it. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And one representative from the Commissioner's office. Correct?

A Correct.

Q And one representative from the Georgia Farm Bureau.

A That's correct. We're appointed by
the growers, so we're, you know, in tune from the growers. I mean, the growers board votes on us. They nominate us to go up, and then the Commissioner selects. For every place that comes open, there's two names sent in for every vacancy, and like mine's a three-year term.

All of them are three-year terms, and so then maybe three of us come up one year and then two another year, and so on the three vacancies, there'll be six names sent up from the growers.

Q Thanks. And then you weren't able to visit with us in Texas, but for those of us that were there, I believe there was some erroneous testimony put in the record that Texas pecans are superior to Georgia pecans. Would you like to correct the record?

A Oh, I'd have to counter that. You know, Georgia's got the finest, you know. That was both my hats, the farmer and the commodities commission chairman.

Q All right. Thank you for clarifying
that for us.

MR. DAVIS: No further questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any more USDA questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any
questions from the audience?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Mr.

Mason, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I see we're right at the lunch hour, and our witnesses are available after lunch, so it's okay with USDA.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Well, it's 12:01
right now. Shall we come back at 1:00? Is that along enough to --

MR. DAVIS: That's fine with us. Yes, Your Honor. That's fine.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. We're in recess.
(Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m., the hearing
in the above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at 1:00 p.m., this same day, Tuesday, July 28, 2015.)

(1:00 p.m.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You are our next
witness, \(I\) guess, so if you would raise your right hand, please.

Whereupon,

\section*{TRENT MASON}
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good afternoon, Mr. Mason. Thanks for coming in today. Would you please state your name and spell it for the record.

A My name is Trent Mason. It's spelled T-R-E-N-T, M-A-S-O-N.

Q And do you have before you Exhibit 1, the proposed order, proposed marketing order?

A Yes.

Q And Exhibit, I think in this record,

23, the executive summary of the economic analysis? Look under that -- I think it's right there.

A This was -- yes, I do.
Q You do have. We may refer to those. Is it my understanding that you've prepared some written testimony you'd like to deliver?

A I have.
Q Why don't you do that.
A My name is Trent Mason. I live in

Kathleen, Georgia. My family started buying orchards in the late 1990s. In 2003, when I returned home from college, we had 400 acres in production, and today we have 2,600 acres of improved pecans in various stages of growth and production. A copy of my resume is attached.

We would be considered a large pecan grower under the Small Business Administration. In recent years, I have seen wide variation in the prices we have received from our pecan crop. Such wide variation in pricing makes it extremely difficult to plan for the future operation of our
farm. While prices for pecans go up and down dramatically from year to year, our cost of production has steadily increased.

Today and historically, the entire pecan industry guesses at production numbers and prices. We have to do better at this. The lack of accurate market information on the anticipated size of the pecan crop in any given year makes it difficult for us to negotiate a fair price for our crop and to make reasonable business decisions about investments in our farm.

Increased price stability and more accurate market information would greatly benefit my farm. I am very hopeful that the implementation of the federal marketing order for pecans will provide price stability through better market information for the industry. I think my farm and the industry would also benefit in the future from grade, size, quality, packaging, shipping protocols, and other handling requirements as we compete with other tree nuts for shelf space and consumer attention.

I also understand that under the proposed order, only growers with more than 30 acres of pecans or more than 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years will be allowed to vote on the proposed order. In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable, because a grower that does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower. Any grower that is smaller than the proposed threshold could not justify the cost inherent in such a small production.

I am not aware of a single pecan farmer in my area who has a commercial farm that is smaller than 30 acres or produces less than 50,000 average pounds per year. I have reviewed the economic analysis summary prepared by Dr. Marco Palma, specifically the projected average price increase from promotion of 6.3 cents per inshell pound versus the average 2-1/2 cent inshell pound cost.

Overall I am aware of the cost that a federal marketing order may impose on my farm,
and I do not believe these costs are unduly burdensome.

Further, \(I\) believe that the benefits of the federal marketing order to my farm will greatly outweigh the costs associated with it. We support the Georgia one-cent-per-pound assessment but this by itself will not produce enough money to effectively market the entire pecan crop, along with other research objectives and goals for this same money.

Better marketing and more marketing is key. When you look at what other tree nut groups spend on marketing, we are not in the game, and it's time that we get in the game.

Also, we do not have an organization that listens to, responds and leads on pecan issues. The American Pecan Council will be that organization. We really need the unity of one organization that addresses all pecan industry issues, not simply regional grower and sheller organizations.

The American Pecan Board has kept our
organization informed about its efforts to propose a federal marketing order. They have attended our grower meetings, and I know several board members of the American Pecan Board. I am vice president of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association, and I am deeply committed to the success of our farms and the whole industry.

I fully support the proposed federal marketing order for pecans, and I encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. I'll be glad to answer any questions at this time that anyone may have.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent group tenders Exhibit 71 , the testimony of Mr . Trent Mason.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 71.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any objection?

MS. CHILUKURI: No objection.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the
audience have an objection?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection.
Exhibit 71 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred
to,
having been previously marked for
identification as Exhibit Number 71,
was received in evidence.)
MR. DAVIS: And we will reserve any
questions, Your Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Does
USDA have any questions?
MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Thank you, Mr. Mason, for your
testimony. I kind of have a hypothetical question for you. We've heard quite a bit of testimony about why the proposed program is important and the anticipated benefits of the
program, but I'm wondering if you could tell us: What do you think would be the experience of the industry if the program were not implemented?

A I can gladly answer that. I'm 32, and I've been on the Georgia grower board since \(I\) was 22. When I walked in, they said, If we wanted youth, then we got it. And the whole time, our fear -- and it's becoming more and more constant -- is what will happen if we don't start marketing our product.
If you look at any other industry --
to be honest with you, I don't know how we've survived as long as we have. In any other industry, you spend money on marketing. I think our industry currently doesn't even spend onetenth of 1 percent as a whole on marketing, so how we've survived for as many years as we have must be just the Good Lord looking out after us, because going forward, we've increased --
We've seen an increase in price, so
we've planted so many more trees. We've seen diesel fuel rise to all-time highs. We've seen
fertilizer -- all our prices have gone constant, so on our farm, for instance, if we didn't have the order and saw this new production come in, it scares me. Like I hear a lot of growers talk that you could see prices go back to where they were in the '60s or '70s.

And for me personally, like I get to live my dream every day. Somehow when I came home in my early twenties and started farming, I fell in love with it. It's been a passion. It comes easy for me. The thought that keeps me up at night, though, is what are we going to do with all these pecans if we don't have a market for them in the future.

And it's really shameful. Like a year ago, I flew to Cleveland, and the guy at the airport security asked me, he goes, What do you do for a living. And I told him I farmed for pecans. And he goes, Well, what are pecans. My friends might think that's funny that you explain -- but to me it's really embarrassing.

And like we have a great story to
tell. We're very prideful of our industry. We have a great group, but we really just have to get that message out domestically, and so I hope I've touched on your topic, but it just -without it \(I\) don't see how we can go forward, because our costs are rising every day.

And now that we've experienced a
little bit of higher prices and all these extra nuts been planted, then if it goes back, our prices aren't coming down on fertilizer and diesel fuel, and all these constant inputs, so I don't know where our industry would be in the future, but it really frightens me to know where we will be without this order.

Q Thank you. And that kind of brings me to another hypothetical question. So you're -from what \(I\) can tell, you're a pretty large grower. You have 2,600 acres of improved pecans, according to your testimony. So in the proposed order, which is in Exhibit 1, a grower is identified as someone having a minimum of 30 acres --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- or 50,000 pounds of production. If you're concerned about potentially having to go out of business with 2,600 acres, I'm wondering how much more significant that potential for being put out of business due to low prices would be for the much smaller farmers.

A I would think it would -- we have 1,700 acres in production, and our thoughts were, as we planted more, wasn't necessarily to get a higher price. It's that if I had more volume, I might could hang on at a lower price, just like you hit on. So if you're a smaller grower or on that size, then like Dr. Wells touched on earlier, your inputs and you have to watch everything a lot closer. It would be detrimental, because you're counting almost pennies. I mean, the difference between one or two cents a pound can really make or break you, so I think it would be detrimental to the smaller grower as well.

Q Thank you. And we've talked a lot
about cost benefit analysis and whether the cost of the program outweigh the benefits. So I'm wondering if you can tell me whether or not the benefits might, in your opinion, be even more proportionately significant for those tiny producers versus a grower of your size in terms of the impact that it could potentially have on just the ability to stay on the farm.

A I definitely believe for the smaller growers, it could help increase their capital, which could increase them expanding, and actually the opportunity for them to expand their operations would probably be more, say, for them than it would be for a larger grower. So, yes. I definitely feel like the marketing order and the increase of the price that they would get would definitely help their operations.

Q Thank you. And then let's just explore the idea of the hobby farmer, the farmer that has less than 30 acres. Would they benefit as well?

A I would think they would see a benefit
as well, because their prices on the yard crop, as I call it, would probably have more of a value, so on the front side, I would think they may be against it, but -- even though they don't have a vote, but in the end result, they would definitely get more money for their yard crop.

But also the hobby farmer doesn't have the inputs that a smaller grower or grower of -we've very large input, and without significant prices on a high-input farm, which anything 30 acres and under is just not experiencing the stress of a high input, so it's -- but to answer your question, \(I\) do believe it would benefit them as well.

Q Would it be appropriate to conclude from your statement that the marketing order may, in fact, help the smallest farms stay in business in the event that this large production were to flood the market with a supply of pecans?

A Most definitely, because they probably don't have the volume that a larger grower would, so, yes, definitely it would help them as much as
anyone.
Q Okay. Thank you.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: I have no further questions.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.
BY MS. VARELA:
Q Mr. Mason, I have a couple different things I'd like to get your input on.

A Okay.
Q First, I noticed and we heard in earlier testimony that you are involved day to day in a lot of applying of those inputs to your farm. You're having to make decisions on spraying, fertilizing. And Dr. Wells gave us a good range of how often some of those things have to do.

And two of the examples we looked at were having to -- costs having to spray ten times versus having to spray, I believe it was, 16 times. In your experience, do you tend towards the upper part of that range, or what is the
range for you over the last few years? How many times are you having to do that?

A Four years ago, we were probably only spraying ten times a year. In the past two years, not counting this year, I probably sprayed 40 times, 38 times in two seasons. We were spraying every ten days. I can remember having an airplane and sprayers in the field at the same time, and the crews asked me, had I gone crazy on the schedule, and I said, No; scab's just that bad; we'll be fine.

But, yes. It definitely depends on the weather. And typically we have to cover -you have more scabbing to varieties which are like Desirable. We're predominantly Desirable on our farm. On a minimum, it's every 14 days, and when it's raining, seven to ten, so you figure you start in April, and all the way to the end of August, so every seven to ten days, it definitely adds up to 20 -- 18 to 20 sprays.

And the thing is if you miss one, though -- I think it was Paul that said earlier,
the guy I had to miss testifying, one spray missing may not seem like a lot, but if you miss that one spray, what you've spent the money on the previous three or four months in growing can be pretty much gone, once you get the infectious disease.

Q So even though it's a variable cost, in a sense, once you've started, you can't really stop, or you're risking --

A Especially on Desirable, too. I'm sure Lenny would agree with that, that you can't really -- even if it's dry, you can't really -and especially a lot of acres. Like we just ordered our fifth sprayer, so I might can cover 400 to 500 acres a day, but I can't --

Once I get to 14 days out, I can't really afford to take the chance that it's going to be dry for another seven days, because at best case, it takes me five or six days to get back over everything, and if it starts raining, then I'm behind.

So we pretty much stick to a 14-day
spray interval. So our sprays pretty much are constantly, always -- if I can spray 12 to 13 times, I consider that minimal, and we spray up to 20.

Q Thank you. That's really informative. And kind of along those same lines, we've heard a good amount of testimony about a lot of research being done into those fungicides and into the most efficient uses. Do you feel that some of the research this council might propose could help get some of that information out to both large and small growers?

> A Most definitely. There's not really enough money to attract new interest in the pecan, so we're using the same chemicals that was said earlier, and they're really becoming immune to those chemistries that we have, so three or four years from now, if we don't have new technology and new research from a large -From a commercial standpoint, I don't know what we're going to do as an industry, because, like I said, the chemistries, like with
what Dr. Katherine Stevenson's doing and stuff, the stuff now is just not working, and it's becoming immune to it, so it's really a -- just a scary time for our industry.

The pretty weather bailed us out this year, but next year, if it's a rainy season, then I couldn't tell you what boat we'll be in.

Q Thank you so much for that. I want to switch track a little now, and I'm going back to a section in your testimony where you were talking about leading on pecan issues. And it's on page 3 here, if you have it in front of you. You mentioned the need of one organization to address all industry issues. Can you tell me a little bit more about what you think those issues might be and what you think the role of this one voice would be, how it would be effective.

A Sure. I think it's multiple things, like from crop estimate, typically -- like I've told you, I've been involved in it for -- we go in a room in April, and it's the board members, and we take a guess on our farms what the crop
is, so we never a accurate understanding of the crop size, and we would have people in the same rooms from the Central Region and the Western Region, all working together to know what's on each individual farm.

I don't know what the issues --
they're facing the drought in the Western Region. We have the scab issues here, so just pulling all the resources together and being able to be one unity versus individual states or individual

Southern Region and the Western Conference, just as an industry coming together, all as one, would be very helpful from a growing and from the industry standpoint as a whole.

Q You said something that just caught my attention there, that you might not know -- in dealing with a crop size, you might -- not only is there not a good of your region, but you might not know what's happening, say, in the Central Region.

A Most definitely.
Q Do you -- in your experience, are you
competing with those regions as well? I mean, do those -- does the --

A Most definitely.
Q -- overall production number impact your price?

A The overall production number does affect our price, but do \(I\) view it as competition as my neighbor? No, I don't. I consider -- I just want to move pecans, and \(I\) want us all to move pecans together as one. And so --

But, yes, the overall number does affect that I'm paid, the production numbers, and we need accurate production numbers. Like what comes out -- and it's good for the shellers and the growers to have accurate numbers, because it's quite embarrassing.

Like last year, if we turn in -- and I was a part of that. We turn in early, thinking it's going to be a hundred, 110 million, and it turns out to be 75 or 80 . It's just very hard to predict what's on a tree, much less in the state, in April or May, and then we just need more
accurate accounting.
Q And just to follow up on what \(I\) was kind of getting in earlier, in describing somebody as your competition. I appreciate that you want everyone to succeed. That's great, and that's definitely something we've seen in this industry. But is it possible that a sheller, whether it's in the East or in Central, might be buying pecans from multiple states?

A Yes. They could buy pecans from multiple states.

Q So do you consider yourself as selling into a national market, not just a Georgiaspecific market?

A Yes. I take pride in selling it to a national market. We try to do the best -- grow the best product that we can do, and we take pride in that, but we take pride in being a unified industry. And we have -- this industry's very unique, because everybody wants to help others. It's not very individualized. It's teamwork.

Q Thank you very much for giving us that additional information.

MS. VARELA: I have no further
questions.
BY MR. HINMAN:
Q Good afternoon. Don Hinman, USDA.
A Good afternoon.

Q Thank you for your testimony. I want to -- referring to your resume here, I see that you were grower of the year, so I congratulate you for that.

A My family was. It actually came in the year when there was a lot of scab, and I broke my femur wakeboarding, so it was a very special year. I did it early in spray season, so you can imagine, but a family operation, we definitely came together and worked it out, so thank you.

Q That's great. In your -- in the category where you have work experience, you mentioned, "Implement and maintains compliance with H2A Program." Could you explain what that
is, please.
A I could probably talk for two days about that program if you wanted me to, but I'll keep it -- that is where we have a shortage of workers in our area. We get our workers under temporary visa. It's a government program. We open up our books and our records to the Homeland Security and the Government. We provide housing for these men.

We have a job order open for 20
workers. We hire any local domestic worker that's willing and wants to work. We keep our job open to them. We just can't ever fine bodies that want to come work and do this type of work, so most of our men come from Mexico. That's where we recruit them from, and we house them, and they just do all the work on the farm. It's a lot of recordkeeping, but it's a really good program.

And I hope -- I know there's been a lot of criticism about going forward about the program, and I know that doesn't really have a
lot to do with here, but it's an amazing group of men that do a lot for not just us but for the agriculture industry as a whole, and they're a very humble group of employees and individuals.

Q Thank you. And further down in your work experience, you talk about, \(I\) guess, on behalf of your Mason Pecans, you interact with brokers and sellers. Could you shed some light on what that process is like.

A Yes. From contracts and just once we see the size of the crop, I deal with mostly one family's accumulator, and we contract the crop or we're in contact each day. We sell like off of loads, so if we have something that comes up in the field, it's like on a lot number, so it might be lot number 1 through 200. We have a sheet, and we just go through that lot number. We touch daily, and if we want to sell, it's up to us to sell, and if we don't, we want to hold the nuts, we hold the nuts. But mostly the past few years, we've done a lot of contracting.

Q In a typical year, would you have the
contracts, you know, in advance of the harvest for a large portion of the crop, or were you going to save a certain portion of it to sell into the open market?

A Generally as a rule of thumb, it's tough looking at a tree and telling what you have, so I'd always contract less than what I think I have, just to be on the safe side, but we generally contract 60 to 65 percent of our crop, and then at that point, we can either hold or sell the crop.

Q Okay. Thank you. And then I want to focus on one of the benefits that you mentioned. You mentioned your support for the marketing order and several benefits, and in particular, on the page 2, you mention the benefit, top of page 2, the first full paragraph, the benefits from future garde, size, quality, packaging, shipping protocols. So could you comment -- and that refers to -- does that refer to -- it's 986.69, Exhibit 1, authorities regulating handling.

A 986. --

Q 69. And in that -- in the middle column there, if you could just look at paragraph 1 there, it says, you know, "establishing handling requirements and minimum tolerances, particularly grades, sizes or qualities." And it goes on from there. Could you mention examples of how you think establishing uniform grade, sizes, and qualities would help your farming operation.

A I mean, I guess you're getting a certain amount of \(B\) grades and cracks, and there just needs to be uniformity within the cleaning process there. Also, sometimes when you see like --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: What do you mean by B grades?

THE WITNESS: B grades are what we
call like blowouts. When you're running them through the cleaning plants, you get a certain amount of \(B\) grades.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I don't recall
having heard that expression before, so --

MR. QUIR S: Philip Arnold testified to As and Bs, Philip Arnold in Las Cruces. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But there's just a lack of uniformity. That was a week ago.

THE WITNESS: Your percent kernel on a B grade might be somewhere 28 to 35 percent, versus on the improved varieties, they're 45 to 60 percent, so it's just the light meat that once the sheller or whoever gets the meat doesn't want the -- it's not very proportional. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Also personally, like I feel like the grades would be beneficial. We don't want -- the last thing we want in the grocery store or on the market is inferior quality, so just the -- I hadn't read completely over the paragraph here. I don't know if there was -- which particular one you're talking about, \((1),(2),(3),(4)\) or -BY MR. HINMAN:

Q No. That's fine. Your answer is
helpful, and I do appreciate that.

A Yes.

Q And since you underwent such a large expansion starting in 2003, what were some of the general factors you looked at, you expected in the future that initiated your expansion?

A From my family, our close friends has been the Evans, and I would consider them probably one of the largest growers in the world, who's been an extreme mentor to me, and every time I've turned around, he's been planting more trees. But I'm very passionate about what we do. I love it. I don't feel like I've reached my maximum work capacity as our family, so we've been blessed and fortunate to be able to expand.

I take just as much pride off
harvesting a four- or five-year-old acre block than I do the older, mature ones. I enjoy seeing something start from seed to come into maturity. It's a special feeling when you're the one that are handling that. But -- and also just worried about the future. Like in my mind, I always thought that if pecans went from \(\$ 2\) to a dollar,
if I had more volume, then I could make a living.

So for me, it's not been about how much more money I could make. It's just about what I got to do to stay in business, so I needed more volume to work maximum my equipment and the people, so that's had a lot to do with our expansion.

Q Thank you for your response and for those insights.

MR. HINMAN: No further questions.

Thank you.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: None? Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Just a couple follow-ups.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIS:
Q One thing to expand on, it may particularly help some folks here that did not live through this time. But when you were talking about the increase in the number of
sprayings, would you say it would probably be fair to say that in around 2010, '11, and '12, the Georgia pecan belt was undergoing a drought? It was a pretty dry period. Right?

A That would be fair to say.
Q And did those conditions change in and around in 2013?

A They did. I --
Q Does that year stick out in your mind for anything?

A The year we -- 2012 or '13 -- I can't remember -- we had 69 inches, I think it was, just -- and that was halfway -- and generally for the year, Georgia only gets 52 inches, so that was through midsummer, we had already received 69 inches, so almost -- a year and a half's worth of rainfall in a six-, seven-month period.

Q So, again, to tie these points
together, scab thrives in humid weather.
Correct?
A It does. Crowded, humid orchards -or crowded orchards with severe humidity and
rainfall and cloudy weather is a disaster for scab, and that was our weather pretty much for that two years straight.

Q Right. And then although we had that extremely rainy year in 2013, did that extreme condition seem to also adversely affect the crops in 2014?

A It did, and like Lenny was saying, whatever happens this year or now is affecting what's going to take place next year. So if I have a scabby year this year, then the scab goes into the wood, and when I start out early spring, if you mix that with a rainy spring, then it's a very serious concern, because the scab is there. The spores are there. A little bit of rain inoculates it, and, boom, it's spread all over the leaves and the nuts.

Q So those conditions are what led to this dramatic increase in the number of times that you had to --

A Most definitely.
Q And then, of course, the more of those
inputs, that eats into your profit margin on what you're making on that crop.

A Yes. Our chemical bill almost doubled, which was very significant.

Q You've talked about you were a thoughtful young person working on your farm and how you realized you had to invest in that to maintain your business. Do you have some of those similar thoughts about the workers and the employees that you have on your farm, and how it's important that we maintain the industry for those workers?

A Yes. For -- I realize that I couldn't be where I'm at if it wasn't for our employees or our men, so I'm very passionate about the guys that work for us. I stand up for them. I want them to be happy with their job. We don't try to have a lot of turnover, and it scares me, not only what it would do to us, but the families that it would affect, not just on our farm, but all the other industries in the pecan industry that if we didn't have this order, what will
happen if prices get cheap, because if prices get cheap, I can't keep as many employees.

And I know most of their families and have a special relationship. I try to be a friend and a boss. And so, yes, it definitely scares me going forward what would happen to the amount of workers that we have on our farm and what would happen in return with their families.

MR. DAVIS: I have nothing further,
Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any more questions
from USDA?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? Does anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? Mr. Mason, you're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, all.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Wray, has anyone else signed up?

MS. WRAY: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you. Next
witness?

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we call Homer
Henson.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: While he's getting that, if you could take a seat and raise your right hand, I'd appreciate it.

Whereupon,

HOMER HENSON
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: If you could get about, oh, four inches from the microphone, I understand the sound man wants us --

THE WITNESS: All right.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós, is this your witness?

MR. QUIR S: Yes, it is, Your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Mr. Henson, thank you for driving from Alabama today.

A You're welcome.

Q Before we begin your testimony, I have a couple of questions, just as a basis. Have you had an opportunity to read and receive what's been marked Exhibit 1, which is a notice from the USDA, which has, in part, the proposed federal marketing order for pecans in it?

A Yes.

Q Thank you. And if you received Exhibit 23, which is the executive summary of the economic analysis of the implementation of a federal marketing order for pecans, prepared by Dr. Marco Palma.

A Yes.

Q Thank you. I understand that you have a -- some testimony that you prepared for this hearing, if you'd like to deliver it now. Thank you.

A Okay. My name is Homer Henson. It is spelled \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}\), \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}\). I live in

Louisville, Alabama, a small town in southeast Alabama. I, along with my wife Rhonda, own Louisville Pecan Company, Incorporated, and I am also the president of the company. Attached is my resume.

Louisville Pecan Company has an annual
gross revenue of less than \(\$ 7\) million, and therefore, under the Small Business Administration guidelines, it is considered a small business. Louisville Pecan Company shells approximately 1,750,000 pounds of pecans each year. We are a sheller as defined in Section 986.35. We shell pecans six to seven months of the year, but buy and sell pecans 12 months of the year.

I am also a partner in Hurst Pecan
Company, also located in Louisville, Alabama. My
family and I own 50 percent of this business.
Hurst Pecan Company is an accumulator, as defined in Section 986.1.

I have been on the American Pecan

Board since May of 2014. I have had input into
the federal marketing order draft since that time and fully support its adoption. I have reviewed the summary economic analysis prepared by Dr. Marco. I am aware that the proposed order may impose some direct costs on my operations, such as the one to three cents per pound assessment. I'm also aware that there may be some indirect costs, such as the obligation to keep records of the amount of the product I handle and the remission of assessments. Also there will be the added work and inconvenience of having keep records and books available for inspection. I believe these costs and time obligations will not be unduly burdensome.

Furthermore, I am aware of the
benefits that will flow from the increased marketing and promotion efforts: improved research and more accurate more market data that will result from the order and believe that these benefits will greatly outweigh the cost to my business.
I fully agree with Dr. Palma's
analysis and believe that the pecan industry as a whole will benefit from more accurate and timely data concerning crop sizes and availability. This data helps the business owner make better financial decisions. A small sheller does not have the means or the expertise to develop detailed data. Overall, the benefits of the federal marketing order outweigh the costs.

In conclusion, I strongly support the proposed marketing order and encourage the Secretary to adopt and implement the order. I am currently a member of the American Pecan Board and am testifying in its behalf in support of the federal marketing order. I am also testify in my individual capacity as a small sheller in support of the federal marketing order. I will be glad to answer questions.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we tender this document as Exhibit 72.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number
72.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from USDA?
MS. CHILUKURI: No objection, Your

Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection.

Exhibit 72 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred
to,
having been previously marked for
identification as Exhibit Number 72,
was received in evidence.)

MR. QUIR S: Reserve questions at this time, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Thank you, Mr. Henson, for your
testimony. Thank you for joining us today. You
mentioned that you are a sheller but also an
accumulator. Can you tell us about those two
operations and, I guess, how they differ
specifically, but then also spend some time
talking about accumulators and the role of
accumulators in your state and in your part of
the industry.

A All right. I'd be glad to. I guess I'm first a sheller. My father started the business in 1945, and I grew up in it, and I became a partner in '71. And we bought our pecans from dealers and other people, and up until about ' 81 , ' 82 , my father had the idea of forming a partnership with a cousin of mine. And he was already an accumulator, so that's where the 50-50 split of my accumulator business came in.

And it's worked out real well for us, and it's worked out good for the Hurst Pecan Company, too. The accumulator, we -- in my
situation, we buy pecans from mainly our area there in Alabama, and we'll have small dealers that come in, and we'll buy them up. We'll gather up trailer loads, and we'll sell to large shellers, and then, of course, \(I\) buy all of my pecans through the Hurst Pecan, too. So that's a ready market for him.

And so -- but then the shelling plant,
we -- it's completely separate, of course. It's two different people own it, and we shell -- we buy the pecans, shell them. I do not grow them. I have no trees, more except just what personal small amount of trees that \(I\) have. And we do -we shell -- usually start in October. We have a fundraising list that we sell on, and then we do a lot of wholesale. We have a lot of rebaggers, and we stay real busy up till Christmas, and we're buying and selling.

And then we do most of our wholesale after Christmas. We shell on until about March. The accumulator will start buying whenever the pecans come in, and they don't operate year round
like we do, but they'll -- we'll reach out and buy pecans wherever we can. The last few years have been short, real short, so hasn't been near as busy in the accumulator side. But they'll usually close down around February and March. Just depends on how big the crop is and when it stops.

Q Thank you. And I'm confused. Did you say you as an accumulator buy pecans from other smaller accumulators?

A That's correct.

Q Okay.

A We also buy from growers, too, but we buy from growers and smaller dealers.

Q So given your work with the American Pecan Board, can you talk about the concept of inter-handler transfer and why an inter-handler transfer is important, specifically in the context of the small accumulator going to the big accumulator going to the sheller and so on and so on.

A I think the inter-handler transfer is
a great idea. I have always pushed it on the board. What it does is there's a lot of pickup buyers in our area especially that will go -they will go out or either they'll have a little feed store or something, and they'll buy a pickup load of pecans, and then they'll bring them to us, and we'll buy them and pay them, and they'll take that money and go back and do it again.

And a lot of their transactions are probably cash, and -- but I think that it gives those type people an opportunity not to have to be tied down with recordkeeping. I think it also will help the compliance of the American Pecan Council, make it easier. They won't have to reach out to the real smallest dealers.

There's all sizes of pecan dealers out there, and so the really small ones like that would be -- it would take a big burden off of them to be able to do that.

Q So if I understand you correctly, the inter-handler transfer would relieve the burden on the smaller businesses, but it would also
facilitate or perhaps enhance the compliance efforts under the program.

A Yes. I believe it'll make it easier, and the compliance -- there's going to be paperwork that goes along with that, and so some people may think that, well, it gives people an opportunity to get by and not pay the assessment, but still that paperwork will be there anyway, and they won't have to.

Q Thank you. You used a couple of terms. The pickup buyers, I think you did explain that that's literally a person with a pickup that buys pecans.

A That was my first introduction to the pecan business. I went with my granddaddy over to Georgia. We were 30 miles from Georgia, and we would ride around in a pickup, and we had a scale in the back of the truck. And I'd have to hold the bar while he weighed the pecans, and he went around and bought and sold to his brother-in-law, who had the dealership at that time.

Q Okay.

A But, yes. They just -- some do. Now, that's not as big a item as it used to be now, but --

Q Okay. Thank you. You mentioned that in your business -- and correct me if I'm wrong, but I think you said that you start your selling season out with fundraising and rebagging.

A Yes.
Q Can you talk about those activities?
A Sure. My fundraising business is -we have a price list that we send out, and it goes to clubs, you know, Lions Clubs or whatever, and churches and that type thing. And we take preorders. They'll order before the season even starts, because mine is done all on new crop.

Now, there's -- we have done some old crop fundraising, too, but all of my customers that have been with us for so long are used to new-crop pecans. So we have to buy the pecans as early as we can, because they -- we're in a time crunch, because they like -- the fundraiser likes to get their pecans by Thanksgiving or before

Thanksgiving, the peak time to sell, resell them. So we start as quick as we can with new-crop pecans.

And the first two or three weeks of that, of our shelling, is devoted to that. And then the rebaggers are people -- at least what I'm calling rebaggers are people that will buy my shelled pecans, and then they'll put them in their bags and sell them, or they'll use them as corporate gifts and that type of thing.

But they use a high-quality pecan. Our fundraising is also a high-quality pecan, so, you know, you have to get it early, so there's a lot of competition for the price. Trent was talking about contracting. We -- you know, we've been on that end, and we've been on the end of buying, you know, of contracting some pecans. We don't do it that often, but we do it when we feel like the market dictates us to do it.

Q And just to be clear, when you say, fundraising, if I understand you correctly, your company provides pecans to clubs, like a Lions

Club, I think you mentioned, and that Lions Club then sells those pecans as a fundraising effort for that club.

A That school, club, whatever. Yes.
That's correct.

Q Okay. So you're not fundraising yourself.

A No, no. I'm sorry. I'm so used to using that term, I can see where it would confuse you. But, no. I'm not trying to raise funds for myself. I'm selling them the pecans, and they're, in turn, reselling them.

Q Okay. Thank you. And then you also used the terms "new crop" and "old crop." And we've touched on that in previous testimony, but in your mind, can you tell us, what do you mean when you say "new crop" or "old crop"?

A The new crop of pecans would be the pecans that are raised -- in America it starts in October, September or October, and so we call them new crop up until Christmas, and then -well, really they're new crop all the way around
to the following year. But you can take pecans that you buy in November or December of one year. You can put them in the freezer and, you know, store them, and then you pull them out and shell them when you want to, or you shell them and put them in the freezer, and then they're -- they would be old crop.

You know, and you can -- you know, those are perfectly good pecans, and people sell them all the time. But it's the fresher taste. You know, it's just who -- what market you're going after, you know. We've just kind of done it so long that we're tied in to doing it now. Q Thank you. And so now sort of shifting back to your work with the American Pecan Board, do you recall discussing what has previously been referred to as the August 31 rule?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So can you talk about how that
August 31 date fits into this concept of old crop/new crop, and how it helps the market by
having that designation. So for the record, it's 986.61, paragraphs (h) and (I). And I'm not necessarily asking you to go over a detailed analysis of the language. What I'm more interested in is the board's philosophy on why this August 31 date was important, and why the differentiation is important in the market.

A All right. I'm not a -- you know, I'm not a scholar on this part, but \(I\) do remember a discussion all on this. I believe they're wanting to know -- they're wanting to know if there's any pecans left, and then they want to know how many there is at that point, and therefore, that would be the -- like the 2014, 2015 crop, and on August 31, whatever --

And, of course, the reason they do it is to get the assessment on it at that point, and they -- it's kind of a cut-off point to where it would be. I may have to call on counsel to help me there, explain that a little bit.
\[
Q \text { Well, let me ask you one more }
\]
question.

A Okay.
Q So I'm assuming that there are -- will probably be some reporting attached to it. Okay?

A Right. Yes.
Q Right. And so for you, as a buyer --
A Uh-huh.
Q -- because you say you don't grow any pecans; you just buy pecans. So for you as a buyer, would it be helpful to have more accurate information about what is left that is old crop and what is coming in as new crop?

A Sure. It would definitely
Q And would that help you make purchases maybe with a little bit more information or confidence in the data that you're getting?

A Yes. No doubt. Like a lot of the other talkers -- I mean, witnesses that talked about the better data we have, the better off we'll be. And it's always good to have good data, and so at that point -- I think the main thing was the -- to clear up the inter-handler transfer, too.

Q Uh-huh.

A That was the main part of that August 31, that date, that it would clear that up, and you wouldn't have to worry about doing that anymore after that point.

Q Thank you. And the reason I'm asking you a slightly different twist on this particular section is that I believe you are our first witness who has mentioned this issue of fundraising and the importance of having new crop available to purchase for that purpose.

A Uh-huh.

Q So it's interesting to learn about your position in the market and how you make your decisions on what you want to buy when, and for which purposes. So thank you for that.

A Yes, ma'am.
Q If you could look at Section 986.69, and I'll just read it aloud for you, so that I can follow up with a question. But 986.69 says, "Authorities regulating handling," and paragraph (c) says, "The authority to regulate as put
forward in this section shall not in any way constitute authority for the council to recommend volume regulation such as reserve pools, producer allotments or handler withholding requirements which limit the flow of product to market for the purpose of reducing market supply."

So can you talk about the significance of this paragraph in terms of your interaction with your industry counterparts and why you felt it was necessary.

A Sure. Yes. I had -- being a sheller, of course, \(I\) do a lot of talking with other shellers, and back in -- when I came on the board, I started having people mentioning to me, Well, now, we're not going to not be able to buy pecans when we want to buy pecans are we. And, you know, I said, No, I don't think so. You know, I'll definitely check into that. So I did in some of the meetings. I brought this idea up, and so this paragraph pretty well nails it down. I know -- I don't know all about the almonds and all, but \(I\) know in other marketing
orders, they do have set-asides or volume control, and so I guess it's the key issue -it's a key issue to both, as far as growers and shellers. There's no grower that wants not to be able to sell its pecans, so it's across the board. It's an issue that's definitely across the board. But the other shellers were mentioning that to me.

Now, we want to be able to buy pecans.
You know, we don't want to get told we can't buy pecans. And so I'm -- that's the reason I'm glad to see this authority in there.

Q Thank you. And so when you were able to answer those questions from other shellers and reassure them that volume regulation was not a component of what the American Pecan Board was drafting, did that offer them additional assurance and confidence in terms of the program, that they wouldn't be surprised with something that they didn't want?

A Yes. Definitely. They were glad to
hear it was in there, and they, you know,
mentioned that to me anyway.

Q Thank you. And just for me, I'd like to say that you also picked up on another issue \(I\) was going to bring up, that you as a sheller are concerned about having as much supply available as possible, but you also recognize that the grower has that concern as well. So really the entire industry is unified in terms of not wanting to limit supply.

A Right, right. Yes. I remember Mike bringing that up early on in some of our sheller and grower meetings, you know, that it was an idea everybody thought needed to be in there.

Q Okay. Thank you.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: I have no further questions. Thank you.

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.

BY MS. VAREIA:

Q Thanks for giving us your perspective today, Mr. Henson.

A Thank you.

Q We really -- I especially appreciate
hearing from a small sheller in this area, and one of the things you said in here in page 2 of your testimony -- I'll read it back to you. You said, "A small sheller doesn't have the means or expertise to develop detailed data." And we've heard a lot of people say that they could benefit from having a lot of this data that the potential council wants to put out there.

Do you feel -- which leads me to ask
you: Do you feel that right now, with the limited information that's out there, is a small sheller at a real disadvantage?

> A Oh, I think so. I mean, and, you
know, not that the whole industry is not
disadvantaged. I think the whole industry is,
but the small sheller's especially in trouble with this idea, because, you know, we don't even have -- we don't have like the pecan buyers to put out on the road to go look and test the -you know, to try to estimate the crop.

To me, one of the most important
things is having that number of last year's crop
that will, you know, be pretty well nailed down now, and, you know, then, of course, the other numbers that they'll be able to get, you know, that the council will be able to get, too, about the cold storage reports and all that, you know, are really going to help.

But, you know, I go to the national pecan sheller meetings, and, you know, we'll have the larger shellers up giving the numbers, you know, of our other nuts and the consumption figures and all that, and, you know, they've got a good feel for it. You can tell. They know what they're talking about, and, of course, they're dealing with millions and millions of dollars, so they have to.

But it just gives us a good listen point inside into that and the American Pecan Council, you know, will amplify that, and then make it even a better idea. I think what it does, it takes a lot of the risk out. That seems to be our -- the other comment I hear from other shellers a lot, is that they -- you know, we got
to do something; we got to do something.
And we have so much risk involved, just naturally, of course, but because of these estimates, and like Trent and the others have talked about, being here, there and the other, you know, how do you plan. We're already at a disadvantage. We have to buy a whole year's supply of pecans, you know, in three or four months, and then we've got to sell it the rest of the year.

Q Uh-huh.
A You know, so when we get these numbers back, I mean, I think it's going to make more difference than a lot of people realize right now.

Q And something you just said led me to this next question. As a smaller sheller, I believe you just said -- you were talking about the time period in which you have to actually buy the pecans, that it's kind of short there. Given that you're one of the smaller shellers, is that window even shorter for you, because you're --

As other witnesses have described the season beginning in the East and kind of running later in the West --

A Yes. Well, being --
Q Is it your -- do you have kind of a narrower window to buy?

A Well, I have a real narrow window on the fundraising part that we were talking about before. And I guess that I might have said that a little wrong. I mean, you can buy pecans year round, but when they're coming off the tree, when gathering them and all, then you have, of course, a lot better opportunity to do it. And then they get in, you know, what you call strong hands after about January or February, you know, unless there's a really super big crop or something.

Q Uh-huh.
A So you don't have the opportunity to buy them as much.

Q Thank you for that. And, again, kind of circling back to the importance of the fundraising business and wanting to have a new
crop on the market, \(I\) think -- I don't think you're the first one to mention that getting those pecans earlier helps you have something on the market with a fresher taste.

A Correct. Yes.

Q Is it your impression that most people don't know what that fresh taste is?

A Probably not, because everybody doesn't buy my pecans. But we can send some out if we need to. No. You're right. The majority of the people, even in the United States, you know, doesn't know what a fresh pecan is, you know, and -- because they -- you know, I keep hearing it, and I hear stories like somebody said, you know, Trent maybe about the guy in Texas not even knowing what a pecan was. You know, you hear stories like that all the time.

But that fresh taste -- you know, we get comments a lot, and I'm not the only one that does this new crop fundraising. But we get comments a lot, you know, that they say, Oh, your pecans are just so much better than everybody
else's, you know. But it's just because they're used to the ones that's been on the store -- you know, in the store for six or eight months, and they've never got them.

Q And in your opinion, would making those pecans available and educating people on what a fresh pecan really could taste like, is that a major goal for some of your -- some of the marketing efforts? Do you see that that's something that this council could work towards?

A Oh, yes. Sure. You know, that, and then, of course, the research, learning how to keep them tasting fresh, too. But, yes. That's a good point for the council to work on.

Q And if you could get people across this country to see that or to sample some of that, do you think that would make a big change in the number of pecans that you all are selling each year?

A I think the demand would go sky high.
Q All right. Thank you very much for that information.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

MR. HILL: No further questions.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós?
MR. QUIR S: No further questions of this witness, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I have a question, if I may. When you're operating as an accumulator, you deal with, I assume a relatively larger number of accumulators who come to you to sell the product. Is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We have
accumulators that come to us to buy the pecans. Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And each of those accumulators may be dealing accumulators or growers.

THE WITNESS: Well, it's possible. I mean, you know, you don't just get them lined up in a -- you can only go so far, you know. There's only so much profit, you know, in the pecan.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But still it could be the pecans procured by a number of accumulators that eventually come to you as, say, an ultimate accumulator.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And then do you
shell all those pecans in your own plant?

THE WITNESS: Oh, no. No. That's --
no. I buy -- what Hurst Pecan buys, we resell, and I buy a good part of that, but that's the way it works, is that he gets the benefit of my business, you know, and they get a commission off of that. But then I get the benefit of his extra business that he sells, up and beyond what I buy. They buy a lot more pecans than what I shell.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But then with -- if the order were to go into effect under 986.62, it would really be -- you as an accumulator would be the first handler of the pecans originally procured by a number of accumulators.

THE WITNESS: Well, yes. They may be the second one. You know, they may buy some
other than that, but --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And so you with first handler status would be the one person responsible for the assessment, and relieving those accumulators from having to do the assessment --

THE WITNESS: Right. The ones that wanted to apply for the inter-handler transfer.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Yes.
THE WITNESS: We will buy pecans from dealers that have already paid it, too, I'm sure. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And then all whose first handler status, you assume they also would not have to deal with the American Pecan Council and the USDA.

THE WITNESS: The ones that chose to do the inter-handler wouldn't have to.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Thank you.

MR. QUIR S: Maybe just to clear that up, Your Honor --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Yes.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. QUIR \(S:\)
\(Q \quad\) If you buy from a farmer, you're the
first handler. Is that correct?
A That's correct.
\(Q \quad\) And if you buy from another
accumulator, even a -- you called it a pickup
handler -- \(\quad\)
A \(\quad\) Pickup buyer. Yes.
\(Q \quad\)-- pickup buyer -- thank you -- you
would then be the second handler. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q They would be the first handler from buying it from a grower, and you'd be the second.

A Right. If they're the first handler and they pay the assessment, then we would be the second handler, and we would not pay the assessment.

Q That's right. Unless they did not pay the assessment, and --

A Then we would be --
```

    Q -- you all signed an inter-handler
    transfer.

```

A Right. We would become the first handler.

Q And pay the assessment and file the recording with them.

A Right.
Q Thank you. Appreciate it.

MR. QUIR S: No further questions,
Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any more USDA
questions?
(No audible response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Mr.

Henson, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent Calls as its next witness Mr. Brad Ellis.
(Pause.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And while they're dealing with that paperwork, Mr. Ellis, if you could raise your right hand.

Whereupon,

\section*{BRAD ELLIS}
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Davis.
MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Good afternoon, Mr. Ellis. Thanks so much for coming in today. Do you have -- I think for your convenience, there may be some questions. Do you have Exhibit 1, the proposed order in front of you, and then also Exhibit 23, which is the executive summary of Dr. Palma's economic analysis.

A Yes.

Q You have those before you. I also understand that you have a written statement
you'd like to give.
A Yes, sir.
Q Could you go ahead and do that.

A My name is Brad Ellis. It is spelled B-R-A-D, E-L-L-I-S. I live in Cordele, Georgia. I am an owner, manager and CFO of Ellis Brothers Pecans and co-owner of Ellis Farms. I'm a third generation owner-manager and have been working with my family business in every aspect since 1979. Attached is my resume.

Ellis Brothers Pecans and Ellis Farms are located in Vienna, Georgia. Ellis Brothers Pecans is a retail, wholesale, and mail order business. Ellis Farms is in the production side, supplying the retail, wholesale, and mail order part of our business.

I have over 1,200 acres of pecans currently in production. Last year we produced over a million pounds of pecans. Since 2007, we have planted around 1,300 acres of new pecan trees, which are coming into production each year. The most recent planting will be in
production about 2018. On our farm, we grow mostly improved varieties of pecans. We shell approximately 25 percent of our crop.

Ellis Brothers Pecans, a handler under the proposed federal marketing order, is a large business, and Ellis Farms is a large you know under the Small Business Administration guidelines. Over the past two years, the American Pecan Board representatives have attended the Georgia Pecan Growers Association meetings and have solicited our input. I feel like I have been informed about the process of securing a federal marketing order and have been given an opportunity to participate in this process.

I have reviewed the economic analysis summary prepared by Dr. Marco Palma.

Specifically the projected average price increased from promotion of 6.3 cents per shell pound versus the average 2-1/2 cents per shell pound cost. Overall, I'm aware of the costs the federal marketing order may impose on my farm,
and I do not believe the cost is unduly burdensome.

Further, \(I\) believe that the benefits of the federal marketing order to my farm will greatly outweigh the costs associated with it. In recent years, I have been -- I have seen wide variations in the prices \(I\) have received from my pecan crop. Before any marketing efforts, we were on a pricing system below cost and were considering cutting back on our production acres. Since the marketing efforts of the Georgia Pecan Commission and the U.S. Pecan Council or National Pecan, as it was, we have seen an increase in the price, and we have doubled our production acres. This has allowed my family to bring in to our business three new employees, representing the fourth generation of our family.

Such wide variation in price makes it extremely difficult to plan for the future operation of my farm. While the prices for pecans go up and down dramatically from year to
year, my cost of production has steadily increased. The cost of fertilizer, insecticide, equipment have all increased in recent years, regardless of the price I receive for my crop.

Further, the lack of accurate market information on the anticipated size of the pecan crop in any given year also makes it difficult for me to negotiate a fair price for my crop and to make reasonable business decisions about investments in my farm.

Increased price stability and more accurate market information would greatly benefit my farm. I think my farm and the industry would also benefit in the future from grade, size, quality, packaging, shipping protocols, and other handling requirements as we compete with other tree nuts for shelf space and consumer attention.

I understand that under the proposed order, only growers with more than 30 acres of pecans or more than 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years will be allowed to vote on the proposed order.

In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable, because a grower that does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower. Any grower that is smaller than proposed threshold will not qualify -- or will not justify the cost inherent in such a small production.

I am currently the president of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association. I have been authorized by the board of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association to testify on its behalf in support of the federal marketing order for pecans, and I have a written letter on behalf of my organization, the Georgia Pecan Growers Association to the Secretary of Agriculture. Attached is that letter of support. I'm also testifying in my individual capacity in support of the federal marketing order for pecans. The Georgia Pecan Growers Association mission is to encourage research, education, health benefits, promotion of all phases of production in the market in the interest of our pecan growers and increasing
global market.

Recognizing the contribution to the pecan industry made by other pecan organizations, the Georgia Pecan Growers Association shall aid these organizations in every way possible. Georgia Pecan Growers has about 456 members. A number of our members are classified as small businesses under the guidelines provided by the Small Business Administration.

The American Pecan Board has kept our organization informed about its efforts to propose a federal marketing order. Representatives of the American Pecan Board attended a meeting of the Georgia Pecan Growers Association back in March, and we passed it on June 16 to support it, and provide us for the information soliciting our input. Randy Hudson and Larry Wilson, members of our organization, are on the board of the American Pecan Board.

In conclusion, I fully support the proposed federal marketing order for pecans and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as
proposed by the American Pecan Board, and I'll try to answer any questions. I make no promises.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponents tender Exhibit 73.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number 73.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
objection from USDA?
MS. CHILUKURI: No objection, Your

Honor.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection.

Exhibit 73 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred
to,
having been previously marked for identification as Exhibit Number 73, was received in evidence.)

MR. DAVIS: Let me just ask one or two
follow-ups, Your Honor.
BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Mr. Ellis, you mentioned that the

Georgia Pecan Growers Association has written a letter in support of the proposed marketing order. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And it's attached to Exhibit 73?
A I think so.

Q I'm going to put that up on the overhead, and for the benefit of our audience, would you read that letter into the record, please, sir.

A "To Whom It May Concern: It is my privilege to inform you that the board of directors of the Georgia Pecan Growers

Association has unanimously voted to support the efforts toward the federal marketing order for pecans. Georgia Pecan Growers Association members are excited about the opportunities this assessment to the marketing order will afford the trade, promotions and activities for the
prevention, modification and removal of trade barriers which restrict the normal flow of the agricultural commodities.
"We're also excited about the ability to present and promote facts that will assist in negotiating the state, federal and foreign government agencies on matters which affect the marketing of pecans. The market order is a testimony to the pecan industries working together for a common goal. Respectfully, Brad Ellis"

Q Thank you, Mr. Ellis.
MR. DAVIS: We'll reserve further questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any questions from USDA?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Thank you, Mr. Ellis, for your testimony. I was hoping to talk to you about the
operations of Ellis Brothers Pecans. You mentioned that it has retail, wholesale and mail order sales.

A That's correct.

Q Is that correct? My first question
is: Does the mail order business, does that include fundraising, in your opinion, or -- I'm trying to figure out where fundraising fits in.

A We do fundraisings also.
Q Uh-huh. So is that -- would that be a wholesale activity or a mail order business activity?

A We can -- we list it under our wholesale part of our business.

Q Okay. Thank you. So I'm trying to figure out how to ask this question. Well, first of all, can you describe in general the mail order business? Maybe not necessarily specifically yours, but the whole concept of the mail order business, like who are the customers, how do you get the information product to the customers, and what's the typical size or amount
or volume that is sent through the mail?
A We're probably on the smaller side of mail order, because we're diversified. We're in all aspects of the industry, but not big in any part of the industry. Our mail order has been pretty much built on customers who have stopped in our store, signed our lists, and we send out a brochure once a year, and they order from us or they have ordered from us in the past.

Now, we are on the, you know, the web now, and that has become a lot more of our mail order business. We probably mail order 25 percent of our gross -- I mean, our retail gross sales at this point.

Q And so in terms of -- what's a fairly common amount of -- or volume of pecans that is sent through the mail? Is it a pound or five pounds or --

A Anywhere from a pound to a pallet.
Q To a pack?
A To a pallet.
Q Oh, okay. To a pallet. Okay.

A I mean, sometimes we load the whole UPS truck in the fall, but -- and they send another, you know, U-Haul, but this time of year, it's, you know, slow.

Q To one person? You send a whole pallet to one person?

A Well, some of our fundraiser type things, you know, is a pallet, but one person, you know, usually two or three 30-pound boxes would be, you know, max.

Q Okay. Thank you. And is it your understanding --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Did you say two or three 30-pound boxes?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: That's a yes?
THE WITNESS: That's correct.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Is it your understanding that under the proposed order, pecans that are sent through the mail order business, would they also be assessed?

A They will have already been assessed long before they get to that part.

Q Okay. But it is your understanding that they're part of the --

A Uh-huh.

Q -- collection that is subject to assessment .

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: I have no further questions. Thanks.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

BY MS. VARELA:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Ellis. I'm
interested, because you're involved in so many aspects of the industry. We've heard from a few witnesses who are excited about the possibility of more market information because they just don't interact in all of those places.

As a business that has kind of an inside eye on the growing and the shelling and the retailing, can you give us your perspective
on why you -- even you know, knowing all these different pieces, how it's going to help you to have better information, better data?

A Well, seeing both sides of the fence, from the grower and the sheller, and them not getting along in the past but, you know, coming together now, it's a -- I just see it as a benefit of getting the pecan crop on to market, and having the information, a lot of the -- I mean, not being in the clique with the big shellers, we don't get that information, so we're like the last guys, you know. Accurate information is good.

I attribute it to like the gas prices.
Every time the refinery catches on fire, the price goes up, so just rumors in the market affect the market, and getting those rumors out of the market, I think, helps everybody.

Q Uh-huh. Great. Thank you. And now I want to ask you a little bit kind of more in your role as the president of the growers association, as opposed to Mr. Ellis, the person
business man. We understand from your testimony that you did have a lot of communication with the American Pecan Board, and they made a number of presentations.

Can you tell me a little bit of how the members of your association may have initially looked at the process. Was there a change in the way that they viewed it, or -- I saw your letter of support where they clearly are in favor of it now, but was that more of a growing process?

A Well, it was more of not knowing how it was going to be set up, and it's a structure and issues, but those were all addressed and worked out, and I think that really helped it along. I mean, some growers don't want anybody looking at their books, and they don't want to pay any extra money, but I think everybody in the industry's seeing the benefit this way.

Q Understandable. That's understandable. So there was plenty of opportunity to ask questions.

A Oh, yes.

Q And it sounds like they got a lot of answers to their questions as well.

A That's true.

Q So you would -- would you characterize them as having made a pretty informed decision when they decided to vote in favor of official supporting?

A Yes, I was.

Q Okay. And you also gave us a little information about your membership. I thought I underlined the number. Oh, there we go. There are over 450 members of your association.

Correct?

A That's correct.

Q And you noted that most of them are small businesses under the Small Business Administration guidelines. But do you think of them would fall the small category as defined by the order language, the threshold we had of 176 acres?

A I think there's only about 15 percent
```

that fall under the small, somewhere in that
range.
Q So would you think -- would they characterize themselves as mostly midsize growers?

```

A Pretty much. I mean, everybody's in that thousand acres range, one side or the other. Q Thousand acre range? Okay. That's very helpful. Thank you very much for your testimony and for being here today. I have no further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any more questions
from USDA?

MR. HILL: No, Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: Nothing further from the Proponent, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. Mr. Ellis, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, before we call our next witness, should we take a fiveminute break?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I see you have two more witnesses scheduled for today.

MR. QUIR S: Yes.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are they going to take about equal lengths of time as these first two gentlemen?

MR. QUIR S: I think that Mr. Easterlin may take a little longer.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. So take a break now then. Okay.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Back on the record.

Whose turn is it? Mr. Quirós.
MR. QUIR S: Thank you, Your Honor.

Has Mr. Easterlin been sworn, Your Honor?
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Not yet.

MR. QUIR S: Okay. So --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Easterlin, could you please raise your right hand.

Whereupon,
J.B. EASTERLIN
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Quirós.
MR. QUIR S: Thank you, Your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Mr. Easterlin, before we begin, I'd
like to set a bit of a foundation for your testimony. Have you received what's been marked as Exhibit 1? It was a notice from the USDA which was published in the Federal Register that contains as a portion of it the proposed federal marketing order for pecans?

A I have.

Q And have you also received Exhibit 23, which has been marked -- which is entitled the Executive Summary of Economic Analysis of the Implementation of Federal Marketing Order for Pecans, prepared by Dr. Marco Palma?

A I have.

Q Thank you. I understand you have prepared testimony for us today.

A Correct.

Q Would you please read it into the record.

A My name is J.B. Easterlin. It's spelled J, B, E-A-S-T-E-R-L-I-N. I live in Macon, Georgia. I'm the president of Easterlin Pecan Company, and a copy of my resume is attached.

My grandfather started this business in 1942. He was a farmer and a produce broker. The business became more focused on pecan accumulation and brokerage in the early 1970s, and four generations of Easterlins have worked in this business. We're now one of the largest accumulators in the East Region under the proposed federal marketing order for pecans.

Our business as an accumulator is buying pecans directly from growers or small accumulators and selling them to shellers,
processors, and for export around the world. There are many small accumulators throughout the pecan production area, and most small towns where pecans are grown, someone will put up a sign during the fall or winter, We buy pecans.

This is often a hardware store, auto repair center or other business that may accumulate pecans from people in their area that bring them in garbage sacks or in the bed of a truck. It is a part-time, seasonal business for these accumulators. Some collect as little as 25,000 pounds of inshell pecans and up to 30 to 40 tractor-trailer loads. But very often, they will call on us to grade them for size and quality and to buy them.

We deal with a lot of small
accumulators on one end, large accumulators and growers on the other. I've read the proposed federal marketing order for pecans as published in the Federal Register, and I especially focused my attention on issues related to accumulators, handlers, the meaning of "to handle," the
portions of the proposed order on assessments and inter-handler transfers.

Before I discuss the proposed federal marketing order specifically, I should mention that Georgia has a one-cent per pound inshell check-off program, the accumulated money, approximately 500- to \(\$ 650,000\) last year spent by the Georgia Commodity Commission on pecan industry promotion and research.

This program is similar to the proposed federal marketing order for pecans. As part of this state program, we track the nuts we buy into the purchases into our computer system, set aside the check-off amounts and pay those amounts once a month. Georgia Department of Agriculture sends out an auditor annually to review our books and go through each purchase ticket.

I think having this audit process is important for all handlers, including growers that are handlers. It preserves the integrity of the process , and the audit or threat of audit
keeps every handler in compliance. I think the Georgia program works well, and we're glad to participate in it, because it benefits the pecan industry of which we're a part.

I think the proposed federal marketing order for pecans assessment, recordkeeping and payment system will be very similar compliance program for us, and again, we're glad to participate in it because it benefits the pecan industry.

I have a couple of specific comments on the proposed federal marketing order for pecans. First, the definitions of "accumulator," 986.1; "blowouts," 986.4; "crack" or "cracks," 986.9; "handler," 986.18; "to handle," 986.19;
"handler inventory," 986.20; "handler clean production," 986.21; and "inter-handler transfers," 986.25," and "stick-tights," 986.37, all are either defined in the way that they are commonly used in the industry or are defined in a way that makes them understandable to an accumulator or other handler.

Second, 986.61, assessments, makes sense to us as an accumulator, given the relative value of improved, native/seedling, and/or substandard pecans.

Third, inter-handler transfers in 986.62 properly reflects the industry practice between accumulators, and we agree that it will be useful in tracking nuts and the collecting assessments. As I mentioned earlier, many of the small accumulators are part-time seasonal business, and it will be important to them to pass the recordkeeping and collection responsibilities to larger accumulators who do this all the time.

Finally, we're very pleased that the council has agreed to keep individual handler information confidential, in 986.81. We would not be comfortable for our company's information or our customers' information to be shared within the industry or to be made public.

We certainly agree that all handler information should be aggregated and presented to
the council, the USDA and the industry, just not in such a way that individual handler's company records or customer information be open for review within the industry or to the public.

We agree with the structure of the council with nine grower seats, six shellers, one accumulator, and one public person, plus alternators. There are many issues that the council may face, and this seems like a good representation of the pecan interests on the council. Let me say specifically we're very pleased that the proposed federal marketing order for pecans has an at-large accumulator member and alternator seats as part of the council's composition in 986.45.

Accumulators serve growers, but we are handlers that have a slightly different view of the industry that would be different from most shellers or growers on the council. We agree that to maintain the integrity of the marketing order, it is very important that a two-thirds vote on the board be required for any major
changes to the federal marketing order.
I have reviewed the economic analysis summary by Dr. Marco Palma, specifically his projected average price increase from promotion of 6.3 cents per inshell pound of improved varieties and 3.6 per shell pound of native seedlings versus the average 2.5 and 1.5 per inshell pound cost.

I'm also aware of Dr. Palma's
evaluation of the financial impact on handlers.

Additionally I'm aware of the costs that the federal marketing order may impose on our business and the indirect costs of recordkeeping, remittance, and auditing, and I do not believe these costs are unduly burdensome.

Further, I believe that the benefits of the federal marketing order to our business will greatly outweigh any costs associated with it. In recent years, we have seen wide variation in prices received for pecans. Such wide variation in prices makes it extremely difficult for growers and handlers to plan for the future
and make financial commitments.
While prices for pecans go up and down dramatically from year to year, our costs have steadily increased. We could use more stability in pecan prices. A lack of accurate market information on anticipated size of pecan crop in any given year also makes it difficult for us to negotiate to buy and sell pecans, and to make reasonable business decisions about future investments in pecans. More accurate market information would greatly benefit our business and the industry.

I also understand that the proposed order, only growers with more than 30 acres of pecans or more than 50,000 pounds of average production per year over the last four years would be allowed to vote under the proposed order. In my opinion, this threshold is reasonable, because a grower that does not meet this threshold is not a commercial grower. Any grower that is smaller than the proposed threshold could not justify the costs inherent in
such a small production.
The American Pecan Board has kept our company informed about its efforts to propose a federal marketing order. Representatives of the American Pecan Board attended a Southeastern Pecan Growers Association and Georgia Pecan Growers Association meetings the last two years, and have provided us with information and solicited our input.

In conclusion, we fully support the proposed federal marketing order for pecans and encourage the Secretary to implement the order as proposed by the American Pecan Board. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

Q Thank you, Mr. Easterlin.

MR. QUIR S: We would like to tender what has been marked Exhibit Number 74 at this time.
```

                    (The document referred to was marked
                    for identification as Exhibit Number
                    74.)
                    JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any
    ```
objection from USDA?
MS. CHILUKURI: There's no objection. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No objection.
Exhibit 74 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to,
having been previously marked for
identification as Exhibit Number 74, was received in evidence.)

BY MR. QUIR S:
Q Mr. Easterlin, we know that you're one of the larger accumulators, as you said, in the Eastern Region under the proposed federal marketing order for pecans. Why don't you maybe give us a little bit more detail about your business, how it's run, cash needs, cycle of the year, other things that might be helpful to the audience.

A We, you know, basically buy pecans
from accumulators and growers, and the word "accumulator" is a pretty accurate description of our business. We take the pecans we buy, and we accumulate them and make truckload lots for resale. And what we try to do is to take the poorer quality, the better quality, the medium quality, the bigger, the smaller, and allocate them into different size -- not different size but different tractor-trailer loads of resale.

And so the person who wants, you know, extremely good quality, high-yielding large pecans, we can, you know, provide that for the sheller or processor, and -- which also allows us to pay market price to the grower for each different kind of pecan he might have, whether it be a good one, a small one, a big one, large one, different quality.

And we buy basically from all the growers in the state of Georgia. We have what we would call is a road man who would go to a cleaning plant throughout the state of Georgia, pull a sample, bring it back to our warehouse.

We run the sample, look at it and decide, you know, what we want to offer price per pound, and, you know, have that and represent that to the buyer as what the size and quality is.

And, you know, through the years have built up a reputation where the sheller or processor can depend on what we say the product will be, as there is no really strong guidelines for that information.

We do a lot of export, which has been a big thrust of the pecan industry in the last ten years, 12 years. And, again, the biggest thrust of that has been to the Chinese market, and basically do the same thing. We can accumulate a volume of a particular kind of nut that a customer might want, and sell it to them at market price, get the grower a market price for all his different grades of pecans. That's, you know --

Q Tell us a little bit about the cycle in your year, cash needs, et cetera. How does that work?

A You know, we basically start in late September, early October, and we will buy on until generally the first of March. It is basically a cash business. We, you know -- when we buy the pecans from the grower, he can pick up a check that day or the next day, you know, if he so desires. We do buy some pecans, if a grower happens to put some on cold storage, throughout the summer.

You know, that's become a little bit more useful since the Chinese market -- since the Chinese do use pecans year-round now and beginning to do that on a more regular basis. So there is a demand -- more of a demand throughout the year than there has been in the past.

Q That portion of time between when you buy the pecans for cash and they're sold, what kind of gap period is that, and what kind of risk do you take?

> A When we buy the pecans, we actually take title to them. It's very different than, say, an almond or walnut brokerage, or really any
other type of brokerage business. In the pecan business, when you buy them, you own them, and so we do try to turn around and sell them as quickly as possible, which, you know, sometimes may be in the next five minutes, sometimes may be a day, sometimes may be a week. But we try to turn them over as fast as we can.

Q Thank you, Mr. Easterlin.

MR. QUIR S: No further questions at this time of this witness.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Thank you, Mr. Easterlin, for your testimony. You just stated that an accumulator is different from a broker, because you take title. Do you want to expand on that any more?

A We actually, when we buy the pecan from the grower -- then if you are a broker, the
grower still has the responsibility, if there are any problems with the load of almonds or walnuts or, you know, whatever. In the case of taking title to them, and we buy the pecans, and if there's an issue, then I'm responsible for the issue and making it right with the buyer.

Q Thank you. On page 4 of your testimony, you state that it's important to have an accumulator on the proposed council, because accumulators have a different view than other handlers. And you've gotten a little bit into that, but can you maybe further explain what exactly is your different view.

A Well, it's -- I guess the different view is that we're actually, you know, accumulating pecans and putting them in truckload lots, and while a sheller is buying for a specific need, we are buying to try to get the grower a market price, and we're also trying to sell to the sheller at market price, so the grower gets a fair price and the sheller buys at a fair price.

So if you are a grower, you want the highest price, and if you're a sheller, you want to buy them as cheap as you can. And so it's just a little bit different perspective, and, you know, it's more of a service to both sides, and I think that's just one of the things that is a little bit different about the pecan industry than other produce items in the industry.

Q So you take title of the pecans, but you also view yourself as offering a service.

A Correct.
Q Okay. That's interesting. Thank you. you also just said that you try to give growers the highest price possible, and then you try to give the shellers the best -- lowest price possible. Seems like there's not a lot of room between the highest price and the lowest price, so --

A Well, that's what's called my job, to make everybody satisfied. And, again, we are, you know, I hope, viewed as treating everybody fairly. And, you know, the grower, in dealing
with us, I hope, feels that he gets treated fairly and he gets a fair price, and the sheller feels like he's getting treated fairly, where he's not over-paying, and it's just a little bit unique to the pecan business, and that's kind of where we fit in the scheme of things.

Q And so why would a sheller decide to buy from an accumulator rather than buy from a grower directly?

A Well, a grower generally will have some very large, good high quality pecans. He'll have some small pecans. He'll have some poor quality. He'll have some blowouts. He'll have some of everything, and we try to take everything the grower has and get him, again, a market price for his, you know, blowouts, for his large ones, big ones, small ones, medium, because we are familiar, been dealing with the customers long enough, we know the people who like the small ones; we know the people who like the cracked ones.

And a sheller, if he's in a bid
situation from a grower, the grower has five different kinds. He puts them up for bid. He wants to buy the pecans. He will end up and have to pay a very top price for all of those pecans, and he's also buying some pecans that he doesn't particularly want. And if he is a smaller sheller, he's not set up to handle blowouts, or he's not set up to handle medium size. He doesn't have the market for that product.

So we can be of service to the grower and pay him a market price for all five different kinds of pecans he has, and then the sheller on the other hand can buy just what he wants. He can buy the big ones. He doesn't have to buy the blowouts. He doesn't have to buy the medium. He doesn't have to buy the small.
So, again, we're trying to -- we may
not get the grower the very top, top price for all five kinds, but when you put them all together and add them all up, he's going to get a better price or as good a price in dealing with us if we handle it all.

Q Thank you. That makes sense. So maybe another way to describe your service is you're kind of a clearinghouse. You receive, you know, the bulk package from the grower, and then you sort it out, and then you sort of channel by size or whatever character is --

A Right.
Q -- demanded by your customer.
A That's correct.

Q Uh-huh. Okay. Thank you. You stated that you're one of the largest, if not the largest, one of the largest in the Eastern Region. I'm just curious. We've heard a lot about accumulators and how they can be anything from a hardware store or a pickup truck, all the way up to someone who's fairly sophisticated like yourself. And we've also heard that some of them are only in business for a little bit, and others are in business year round.

If you had to describe just the accumulator community inside the larger community of handlers, how many -- and I apologize for
putting you on the spot here, but in your opinion how many accumulators kind of exist as a permanent, year-round business, across the production area?

A You know, number-wise, I'm not that familiar with states like Texas. I mean, Texas is a huge state and a huge number of accumulators. You know, probably in the state of Georgia, not very many, you know, maybe a handful. You know, and again, you have people like Homer Henson who is a sheller and involved with an accumulator, and so, you know, whether you classify him as being year-round, you know, just in how you want to count that.

But it is a seasonal business, and it does go up and down with the -- you know, weather has a big effect on it, and it can limit the number of pounds that, unless you are a larger grower, you may not have -- you know, the last two years, accumulators have had -- smaller accumulators have done, you know, very little. So it'd be very difficult for them to stay in
business year-round. But they'll be back this year. They'll pop back up.

Q Uh-huh. Thank you. On page 2 of your testimony, you're making a comparison between the Georgia Commodity Commission and the federal marketing order for pecans, and you state, "This program is similar" -- this program, meaning the Georgia Commission -- "is similar to the federal marketing order for pecans." And then you go on to describe how the Georgia Department of Agriculture is involved in terms of auditing, periodically auditing, sort of overseeing some of the activities.

So -- and then you conclude by saying,
"I think the Georgia program works well, and we are glad to participate in it, because it benefits the pecan industry of which we are a part." So I just want to be sure that I'm interpreting this correctly. So I'm assuming you've had a chance to read Exhibit 1, which is the proposed marketing order.

A I have.

Q So in these statements, are you saying that you understand the program as it is intended to operate, with all of the different functions involved, the decision-making processes, the auditing and compliance issues, the assessment mechanism, and that you're comfortable with these types of systems because you're comfortable with the Georgia Commission?

A You know, I think that's correct. And I certainly haven't studied it in depth, but I've certainly read it more than one time, and I think I'm familiar with it, and it basically does work very similar to the Georgia program. The Georgia program probably does not have the flexibility that a federal marketing order has, but as far as it working, internal workings of it, the money is collected basically the same, you know, and compiled and sent in, and, you know, all of that is very similar in my estimation. So --
Q Uh-huh. And so if the federal
marketing order were to be implemented, then you would be comfortable with the way it's proposed
to function.

A We would. Yes.

Q Okay. And you would anticipate that it would be a positive thing.

A Sure.

Q Okay. Thank you. Just out of curiosity, do you have any experience interacting with the organic pecan sector?

A There's actually a grower in Fort Valley that has some organic pecans or markets what he calls an organic pecan, so, you know, I'm a little bit familiar with that, but you would have to have a variety that would not require any -- I'm going to say, spray, and I'm certainly not a grower, so I may stand corrected.

Q Uh-huh.
A There are a few varieties that would meet that criteria, but not very minute at this point in time. We may have more of that in the future, but --

Q So I'm sorry. I didn't catch where that person is located.

A In Fort Valley.

Q Fort Valley?

A Right.

Q That's here in Georgia?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So when you receive the organic pecans, do you keep them separate?

A I don't handle his pecans.

Q Oh, you don't.

A He has a small shelling plant, and he shells a small quantity of pecans that he markets as organic pecans.

Q Okay.
A So I'm not involved with that at all.

Q Okay. I misunderstood you.

Q Right.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Would they be mostly native pecans? I think --

THE WITNESS: He has a variety called an Elliott pecan, which is a small nut, and it does not require any spray, and that's what he uses and markets as an organic. And I think he's
certified as being --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And I see the

Elliott is one of those listed in \(986.28(a)(2)\) as an improved pecan.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Thank you. I have no further questions.

BY MS. VARELA:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Easterlin. Thank
you so much for giving us so much information about your particular and unique role. We've certainly learned a lot about accumulators in the last few days, and you're helping us fill in some of these gaps and questions that we have.

I was really interested in your explanation of how you might have multiple types of pecans coming in, and that role that you play in helping differentiate that part of the market.

In a previous hearing, one of the things we were trying to get to the bottom of was how different types of varieties, which might look the same, would be separated, and how easy
it would be to track those pecans as they went through the system, given that we have this assessment structure that is also a little bit unique in the proposed order.

Do you feel that in your role, in particular, you can speak to how easy or difficult it is to know the difference between improved or native pecans as they're moving through the market system?

A In our particular case, I mean, I think it's fairly easy to determine that, and there may be some native pecans that end up in an improved lot of pecans, and there may be some improved pecans that end up in a native lot of pecans.

And if they come in mixed, then, you know, you basically -- when there are small quantities and then there are these small handlers, they're buying the pecan, you know, and determining the variety or where it may fall by eyesight. And -- you know, so that's, you know, to be determined and when they come to me, you
know, I basically can look at them, and I can tell the difference in what's a native and what's not a native, you know.

And I would think that there would probably be some crossover, but it would be pretty much a wash as to which -- you know, which way that might fall.

Q Thank you. And if I understand you correctly, it sounds like that determination is made pretty early on. I mean, you're doing some sorting, some grading at the grower level.

A Right. Sampling.
Q Sampling.
A Right.
Q Thank you for that clarification. I'll try to remember that one. And on the flip side, the shellers that you're dealing with, would you say that they have confidence that they're getting exactly what they're looking for when they know that something --

A I hope so.
Q -- has already been sampled?

A They might not agree with you all the time, but I hope so. Yes, they do.

Q For the most part?

A Right.
Q And there's been, you know, a good deal of discussion about how the U.S. standards are not necessarily the most useful or up-todate. If the board -- or if the proposed council did recommend some new standards, is that something that would make your day-to-day operations easier?

A You know, we pretty much, you know, look at it and represent it for what it is, and, you know, as far as making it easier, it might give you some guidelines, and from an export standpoint, sometimes you're selling to somebody who is not that familiar with pecans. You know, they may be a little bit more at ease with it.

Q So but would you characterize that as maybe just a -- it could be a frame of reference there --

A I would. Yes.

Q -- that might be useful? And then I also wanted to go to back to some of your comments and the testimony about the structure of the council. And I have to thank you for really reading through things, because you did note a number of definitions that we wanted to make sure somebody in your position could understand, so thank you for making your comments there. I wonder -- I know that there was probably some discussion in the industry in terms of how that seat would be determined, and in the proposed order, the council once seated of growers and shellers will nominate an accumulator at large. In your opinion, is that the most effective or most representative way to choose someone for that seat?

A I would think it would be the most knowledgeable way to do that. I mean, I feel like you need somebody that is knowledgeable of the accumulator process and how it works, you know, in that seat, and they would be familiar with that, I think.

Q So in -- and I know you can't speak for all other accumulators, but you yourself, you would be comfortable in letting a smaller group of people make that decision versus trying to have a nationwide election for an accumulator.

A Yes. I mean, that --
Q Would that be really difficult to identify all of the people?

A You know, probably not difficult, but, I mean, it --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By all the people, you mean all of the accumulators who might --

BY MS. VARELA:
Q Oh, all of the qualified accumulators.

A Right, right. You know, again, it might be fine. I don't want to, you know -- I mean, you could put a poundage on it or, you know, just like you do with the sheller deal. I mean, it could be structured in a way that -where you had a qualified accumulator in that position, I think.

Q Okay. Thank you very much. That was
informative. We appreciate your testimony.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more questions from USDA?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. Melissa
Schmaedick, USDA.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Mr. Easterlin, \(I\) just started thinking about your operation and how you receive pecans that come from native trees or improved cultivars. It's a mix. Right? And you talked with Ms. Varela about how you go through the process of sorting the different pecans, and I believe you said that the way -- one of the primary ways of identifying a native pecan versus an improved pecan is by the size, as well as some other visual attributes. Is that correct?

A That's one of the ways. I mean, some years, if we have an extreme drought, you may have a Stuart pecan, which is normally a 60-count pecan, be a 90-count pecan, if it's in somebody's yard that doesn't irrigate their yard.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By 60-count and 90-
count, do you mean 60 pecans per pound or 90 pecans per pound?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. Right.
Most of the time, an experienced accumulator can look at the pecan itself, and say, this is a seedling; this is a Stuart. The Southeast, we call our -- and they're, you know -- we call them seedlings. Out West, they call them natives. And they are a little bit different in that they have -- state of Texas may make -let's say, Oklahoma has a crop size of 30 million pounds. Probably 20 to 25 million pounds of those pecans will be natives. And they're a pecan that counts 110 to 150 to the pound. And, you know, so they're buying just straight natives, nothing else mixed in.

And in the Southeast, we are buying pecans out of people's yards that may have five seedling trees and two Stuart trees, and the person picking up pecans may not know the difference when they put them all together, and when they come to my warehouse, if the majority
of them are seedlings, we put them with the seedlings. If the majority of them are Stuarts, we might put them with the Stuarts.

And so, I mean, it is kind of done by eyesight, but, you know, as I said, I feel like that, you know, is going to wash, and I don't anticipate -- and I don't know if your question is an assessment related with the difference between a seedling and an improved variety or not, but \(I\) don't think that that small amount of money over a few -- you know, I don't think that's an issue, I guess I'm trying to say.

And I'm not sure that's the way your question was --

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Thank you for that response. And, no.
My --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Could I clarify
something on the counts --

MS . SCHMAEDICK: Sure.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- that occurred to me. You used the term, 60-count and 90-count,
meaning number of pecans per pound, and another part of the hearing, I think we had some testimony about measuring in sixteenths of an inch, like there's an 8, a 12 might be native, and then 13 to 16 would be improved. How does the 60-count relate to that other way of measuring size?

THE WITNESS: Well, a 60-count
pecan -- and, again, this is in general terms -would probably be 90 percent 14 and up. A 90count pecan would probably be 90 percent \(12 s\) and smaller. So just, you know, being in the business and, you know, you look at enough of them, and you can -- 90-count versus 60 -count, they're easy to distinguish where they might fall, \(I\) guess.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So it's just two
ways of measuring essentially the size of an individual pecan.

THE WITNESS: Well, when you're buying, you know, 25 pounds from somebody, a more sophisticated grower runs his pecans through a
sizer, and so he eliminates all the small seedings and has just the big pecans. Somebody picking them up in the yard, they may be mixed a little bit, and really, you don't make enough money per pound to do anything but look at them and say, These are seedlings and these are Stuarts, and, you know, the customers usually are aware of that, and they're satisfied.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So it might be that when you're dealing in a smaller -- when a handler or somebody has a smaller number of pecans, you're doing it by weight, but if you're a large enough operation, that you can size them. I think we heard testimony about electronic measurements and everything, and I guess they must go whizzing by at a pretty high rate. There they could do the eight to 12 or 14 or 16 -THE WITNESS: Right. That's correct. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- in that situation.

THE WITNESS: You're doing them by eyesight, not by weight, but by eyesight. But,
yes. I mean, that's -- big growers have the sophisticated equipment.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. Thank you.

Sorry.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: That's okay.
BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q So you asked if I was asking that question because \(I\) was concerned about how the assessment was applied?

A Right.

Q So that's not exactly where I'm trying to go, and I realize I'm probably going to ask you some technical questions, and at the end of my questions, I'll tie it all together --

A Okay.
Q -- and try to -- so that you know what I'm getting to. But \(I\) also want to say that, you know, I think given your position and your role in the industry, you will help me understand the answers to my questions, but I also want to get the question out there, sort of on the record at this point, so that if it's a question that needs
further thought and needs to be addressed later, that we have the opportunity to do that.

So let me try to make this work.

Okay. So under the proposed program, there is authority to create handling regulation, and as it's proposed and as I understand it, based on the testimony, that would be authority to create grade or size regulation or some other type of quality regulation. Right?

A (No audible response.)

Q So my first --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You're nodding yes.

Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. That's correct. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: The nod doesn't pick up on the transcript. BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q So my first question is: There's been a lot of discussion about creating handling regulation that I'm understanding would be applied to the improved varieties. In your opinion, would handling -- or could handling
regulations be put into effect for native and seedling pecans as well?

A And you're just -- these are just general regulations and just the --

Q Right. Do you see a situation in the future where you say there could be a regulation that says, Native pecans can't be smaller than \(X\) size, or they can't have a certain color or whatever?

A All right.

Q But that that rule or that regulation would be specific to native and seedlings, because that regulation may not apply to the improved varieties.

A I'm not saying that it couldn't
happen. I think it would be most unusual if that were to take place. You know, I would think that whatever is good for one is going to be good for the other. I don't know if that -- you know, I don't know why you would do --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Could you get a little closer to the microphone.

THE WITNESS: I don't know why you would do natives in one way and improveds in another way. I can't think of an instance where that would --

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q So based on my understanding, natives are typically smaller than your improved varieties, so if you had a minimum size regulation, it may not be appropriate to subject the native and seedlings to the same size regulation, because you might be, you know, placing an extra burden or disenfranchising that particular classification of nut.

Let me give you another hypothetical situation. Let's say, 15 years from now, native pecans, you know, everybody's talking about native pecans, Dr. Oz, Rachael Ray, whoever. It's on Top Chef. You know, the world loves native pecans. And you want to say, Okay, well, to avoid having poor quality native pecans on the market, we're going to make sure that native pecan meats that are black can't go out. I mean,
it's totally made up.
A Right.
Q But -- so my question is -- and I
know -- I apologize for putting you in this situation, but could you foresee future customers wanting to have specific grades -- grade regulation in place for natives and different grade regulations in place for improved?

A I do not. I think that issue is solved at the shelling level. Any pecans, when they go into shelling plant, the first thing they do is they size the pecans from 7, 8, 9, 10, all the way up to size 16 , and separate them into different bags, so --

Q Uh-huh.
A And they run them through the plant, and they eliminate the -- you know, all the brown ones or, you know, whatever rejects or whatever that is. You know, \(I\) think all of that is done at a level -- you know, not at the accumulator level, I guess is what I'm trying to say. I think that's being done now. I mean, you know,
that's not to say that -- I think there was a paragraph that you all referenced earlier when Mr. Henson was here about changing -- I'm not sure what -- Paul, do you know what paragraph that was?

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Changing what, Mr.
Easterlin?

THE WITNESS: They was -- Homer was referring to about grading standards or whatever it is.

MR. QUIR S: Right. That's in 69.
And he was referring to, I think, subsection (c), which was the volume regulation. But it is the same section, I guess, Ms. Schmaedick is referring to, the authority regulating handling. Is that correct, Ms. Schmaedick?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. That's correct.

MR. QUIR S: If you'd like to take a look at that, we'll be glad to put it on the screen.

THE WITNESS: Okay. What's the --
MR. QUIR S: Paragraph 69, 986.69.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Specifically, I'm working up to asking a question on 986.69 in paragraphs (a) (1), (2), and then tying that to language in paragraph (a)(4). 986.69(a)(1), (2) and (4).

MR. QUIR S: Take your time to read those, Mr. Easterlin.

THE WITNESS: (Perusing document.)
And tell me the question again.
BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Well, let me ask you another question.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Schmaedick, do you want to take a moment to think about that, because I think I can play off your question about the specific standards for native pecans with one of our exhibits from yesterday, if I could -- if you -- would you mind if I did that? MS. SCHMAEDICK: Oh, you have another -- a different question?

> JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Well, relating to that one, but sort of putting it in context of one of our exhibits from yesterday, and this is

Exhibit 64. It was the testimony of Jeb Barrow. And, Mr. Easterlin, in his testimony, he stated -- and I'm quoting him -- "Can you imagine a promotional campaign where native pecans are described as what they are?" And then an internal quote: "Wild pecans growing naturally in the river bottoms of the American heartland, prized by American Indians for thousands of years, naturally nutritious, and packed with antioxidants." End of quote.

And I think if I understood your question correctly, you were wondering whether that would -- might require regulations under (a) (1) that would -- under \(986.69(a)(1)\), specifically addressing those kind of pecans.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. Thank you.
That's good --

THE WITNESS: You know, I mean, I think that would be entirely possible to have some type of handling requirements, you know. I don't know what that might be, but, you know, possibly, yes.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Right. Okay. Thank you. So hold that thought.

A Okay.

Q And now, I want to go to the definition of pecans. Definition of pecans is 986.28.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: And, Mr. Davis, if you could leave the handling reg section on the overhead, that would be helpful.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Remind me again.

MR. QUIR S: 69.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: 69(a)(1).

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Okay. Now, Mr. Easterlin, again, I apologize for getting technical on you here, but if you look at the definition of pecans, I'll read this into the record so we have it out there. 986.28 , paragraph (a) (1) simply says:
"Native or seedling pecans harvested from nongrafted or naturally propagated tree varieties." Okay?

So native and pecan seedlings, according to the testimony we've heard and his definition, is defined not necessarily by variety but whether or not it was grafted. Is that your understanding?

A That's correct. Yes.
Q Okay. And is that common in the industry? You don't refer to native trees by variety. They're just natives.

A Either natives or seedlings. Yes.

Q Okay. So here's my concern. Well, let me just make one more step. So if you go to paragraph 2, it says, "Improved pecans," and then it says, "grafted from tree varieties," and it gives out a whole bunch of varieties. Do you see that?
```

A Uh-huh.
Q Okay.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: That's yes?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:
Q Okay. So my concern is, if you look

```
at 986.69 -- it's up there on the screen behind you.

> A Okay.
> Q So paragraph \(986.69(a)(1)\) says,
"Establishing handling requirements or minimum tolerances for particular grades, sizes or qualities or any combination thereof, or any" -that should be "for any or all varieties of pecans during any period."

So the authority to set, if I understand things correctly, the authority to set minimum tolerances for grade, size, quality is only tied to varieties. So my question is: If it's important to be able to set minimum tolerances for natives and seedlings, then maybe this language should be cleared up a little bit.

And so my question to you is: Is there a potential in the future to need to have minimum grade standards for natives and seedlings? That's my question.

A Is there a need for it?

Q Potential, potential need in the
future.

A My answer would be no. I mean, I think that there's a need and a use for all pecans, and, you know, I mean, I don't see a reason to have a standard for one without the other.

Q Okay. Well, I apologize for taking you through that long exercise, but --

A That's quite all right.
Q -- I did think it was important, given the context of talking about separating improved and native, and trying to meet consumer demand, so thank you for your patience.

A You're welcome.

MS. SCHMAEDICK: No further questions.
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Schmaedick, you did say -- make a comment partway through that, that the word after "thereof," where it says "of any" -- did you mean -- say that that should be "for any"?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Let me reread that.

\footnotetext{
"Establish handling" --
}

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I'm sorry. After
"qualities," I believe.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: (Perusing document.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: It says, "Establish
handling requirements or minimum tolerances for particular grades, sizes, or qualities" -- and I thought you said that next "or" should be "for." MS. SCHMAEDICK: No. I think it's correct. I just confused myself when I was reading aloud. it is correct.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: It is correct as
"or."

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Yes. Thank you. My apologies. No further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

MS. VARELA: Yes. Jen Varela, USDA. BY MS. VARELA:

Q Mr. Easterlin, \(I\) want to go back a few steps to some of your descriptions of how different groupings of pecans may be sold. And in earlier testimony when we were talking about
the different types or different tiers of assessments, we've had a few different witnesses talk about substandard pecans.

And I was just curious to hear a little bit from you, as someone who probably deals a lot with trying to find a market for those. I know in some industries, your bottom tier that you take out of whatever crop might just be not useful. But so far we've heard a few people say that there is some value to even the pecans that might otherwise be called rejects. Can you speak a little to your experience with those.

A Generally, through the cleaning process of improved varieties, a pecan grower would run pecans through his cleaning plant, and he would blow them very hard to try to get the top quality nuts to be able to get the top price. And then he may take -- he might blow them extremely hard, and he may be blowing out some good pecans or they may not be top quality pecans, but they would certainly be sellable
pecans, while doing that process.
So they catch those pecans, and then at a given time, he would rerun them through his cleaning plant, to come up with what would be called a reject or \(B\) grade or substandard, or whatever you want to name it. And there is a need, you know, or a place or a demand for that pecan.

It is usually sold at a, you know, discounted price, because the yield of the pecan that you run through your plant, say, it yields -- good pecan yields 50 percent; a poor pecan yields 35 percent. So when you run it through your plant, you're going to get a lot less pounds of finished product on the back end of the 35 percent nut versus 50 percent nut. So, therefore, it is bought at a cheaper price.

And there are not, you know -- the whole industry doesn't like to shell that, so there's kind of limited market for that, so -but it is sellable, and it is -- you know, does add to the bottom line, and, you know, it does
reach a point, if it were to yield 20 percent, then it would not have a value, and, you know, that does happen from time to time, but --

Q So just to wrap that up, in your experience, are a lot of those substandards kind of being -- are they not as desirable, more because of the limited yield, the amount of work that goes into getting that relatively small amount of meat versus quality as we would look at it in terms of maybe color or some of those other things? is It really more of just the cost of processing it?

A Well, the cost would be the main thing, but it, you know, is not probably as good a pecan as -- probably the color may not be as good or, you know, it's a thinner meat or, you know, it's just not as good, and it costs more to run it, so you're just limited on the number of people who can use something like that.

Q Okay. Thank you very much for clearing that up for me.

MS. VARELA: I have no further
questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

MR. HILI: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Was this Mr. Quirós? MR. QUIR S: Yes.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I forget who was
doing the --

MR. QUIR S: I know. We're getting confused. We just look alike.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Getting more and more so every day.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. QUIR S:

Q Mr. Easterlin, are most of the pecans that are run through cleaners mechanically sized?

A Yes.

Q And so do you actually have a cleaning plant or access to a cleaning plant for the pecans that you take in?

A I would have access to one, but \(I\) do not have one.

Q Okay. And so those are sized oftentimes then at the grower level, and then at the sheller level or the processor level.

A Correct.
Q Some accumulators might or you might from time to time.

A Might.

Q Any question in your mind that it is a correct statement that there are no mixed or blend loads recognized in the proposed federal marketing order for pecans?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q That's right. And isn't it correct that handlers are going to be held responsible for paying assessments by improved, native, seedling, and substandard?

A Correct.

Q Okay. So with regard to a load that may have a mix of some seedlings that just happen to get mixed in, you don't want to pay for those -- you're not going to pay improved prices for those seedlings, are you?

A Not knowingly. No.

Q Yes, sir. And so you have every incentive not to mix those loads, do you?

A Correct.

Q But it's absolutely the handler's responsibility to make sure they're paying the assessments properly, even as they're buying properly. Is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Thank you. There was a question about 20 percent nut. Could that be used for oil stock or is there -- for feed or for anything else that you know of?

A Possibly for feed. I'm not familiar with oil stock.

Q Right. Crushed to make oil.
A Yes. I mean, I know what oil stock is, but I'm not familiar with that process.

Q Right, right. I just did not know if you knew. You think that nut may have such a small density of meat that it would not be useful in oil stock. Thank you.

MR. QUIR S: We have no further questions of this witness at this time, Your Honor. Thank you, Mr. Easterlin.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: At the risk of beating a dead horse, given the definition of native or seedling pecan and \(986.28(a)(1)\) does not include the word "variety" in it, whereas the definition of improved pecans in \(986.28(a)(2)\) does include the word "variety" in it, is it -do you think that the word "varieties" used in 986.69(a)(1) on establishing handling requirements would prevent the council from establishing requirements for those wild pecans growing naturally in the river bottoms of American heartland, as I read earlier from Mr. Barrow's testimony, Exhibit 64 --

THE WITNESS: So you're --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- page 3.
THE WITNESS: The question is, if I understand it correctly, is in one place it says, native or seedling variety, and in another place it says --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Well, no. The word
"variety" is not -- oh, I'm sorry. It does say "varieties" in -- I'm sorry. So I withdraw my question. I'm sorry. So I have no further questions. Does anyone in the audience have any questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? So, Mr.
Easterlin, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent group calls as its next and hopefully last witness of the day Mr . Lamar Jenkins.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: All right. Why
don't you have a seat there, and while they're handling those documents, if you could raise your right hand, please.

Whereupon,

\section*{LAMAR JENKINS}
having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Thank you.
MR. DAVIS: I think we'll make up a sign for you.

THE WITNESS: I don't mind being incognito.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: The regulation do not permit that. But we'll have your name.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q And in that regard, so we make sure we get your name right, would you please introduce yourself and spell your name, please.

A My name is Lamar Jenkins, L-A-M-A-R, J-E-N-K-I-N-S.

Q And, Mr. Jenkins, do you have before you Exhibit 1, the notice and proposed -- of these hearings and the proposed marketing order?

A Yes, I do.
Q And Exhibit 23?

A That's correct.
Q And that's the economic -- a summary of the economic analysis by Dr. Palma. Have you
had an opportunity to review those two --

A Yes, I have.

Q -- documents? And I understand that you may have a written statement you'd like to deliver.

A Yes, I do.

Q Would you please do that, Mr. Jenkins.

A I've already introduced myself. I
live in Albany, Georgia. I'm currently the president of the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association which is a regional growers association. I've been authorized by the board of the Southeastern Pecan Growers to testify on its behalf in support of the federal marketing order for pecans, and \(I\) have written a letter on behalf of the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association to the Secretary of Agriculture. Attached is the letter.

I am also testifying in my individual
capacity as a grower in support of the federal marketing order for pecans.

Since 1935, the Southeastern Pecan

Growers has had an annual convention. The organization is comprised of seven states. It would be Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina and Louisiana. The association has experienced considerable growth in its hundred years of existence.

The objectives include the promotion of interest in the pecan industry, discussions involving problems of production, management and marketing of pecans. Scientists and growers from the Southeastern states, as well as visitors from other areas present papers and statistics on a wide variety of topics at meetings.

Membership is composed of pecan growers or other persons or firms who are interested in and will lend assistance to the promotion of the objectives and purposes of the association. The association has over 150 members, the vast majority of those members being classified as small businesses under the guidelines provided by the Small Business Administration.

The American Pecan Board has kept our organization informed about its efforts to propose a federal marketing order.

Representatives of the American Pecan Board attended a meeting of the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association in 2015, February of 2015, and the previous year before that, and provided us with information and solicited our input. Members of the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association are on the board of the American Pecan Board.

I have reviewed the economic analysis of the proposed order by Dr. Marco Palma, and the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association is fully aware of the anticipated costs that will be incurred by its members if the federal marketing order for pecans is implemented, and we do not believe that these costs will be unduly burdensome on our members, regardless of their size.

Further, we believe that the benefits of such an order will result in increased
marketing, more accurate data on crop production and increased domestic demand for pecans, and will greatly outweigh any burdens that would be placed on our members.

The Southeastern Pecan Growers

Association does not believe that the American Pecan Council will be -- does not believe that the American Pecan Council that will be formed as a part of the federal marketing order will in any way conflict with the goals and purposes of the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association. To the contrary, we believe that the American Pecan Council will complement our efforts.

Finally, we understand that under the proposed federal marketing order, only growers with more than 30 acres or an average of 50,000 pounds of pecans during the last four years will be eligible to vote to approve or disapprove the proposed order. We believe that this is a reasonable and fair classification, because any grower that is smaller than this would not be a commercial entity and would not be economical
viable.

In conclusion, the Southeastern Pecan

Growers Association fully supports the proposed federal marketing order for pecans, and I will encourage our members to vote in favor of it. As a grower myself, I personally endorse the proposed order and encourage the Secretary to implement it as soon as it is approved.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the Proponent grope tenders Exhibit 75 to these proceedings.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Exhibit Number
75.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection from USDA?

MS. CHILUKURI: No objection, Your
Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Is there any objection from the audience?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: With no objection,
Exhibit 75 is admitted into the record. to,
having been previously marked for identification as Exhibit Number 75, was received in evidence.) BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Mr. Jenkins, you have before you

Exhibit 75. Is the final page of that exhibit the letter that you mentioned in your written --

A Yes, there is.

Q I'm going to put that up on the overhead projector, and if you have that letter before you, would you mind reading the greeting and body of that into the record.

A Certainly. "Dear Secretary: The Southeastern Pecan Growers Association is writing you in support of the federal marketing order for pecans currently being promulgated by the American Pecan Board. Our industry has and continues to face issues of price and supply instability in the market.
"This situation inhibits our efforts
to provide a consistent quality supply of pecans to domestic consumers at a price that supports a profitable return to producers and processors. We believe that a federal marketing order will contribute to a more stable market environment that is favorable to growers, buyers, shellers and consumers. The Southeastern Pecan Growers Association goes on record in favor of the order and greatly appreciate your support."

Q Mr. Jenkins, in our conversations before -- outside these proceedings, you told me a little bit about your family's background in pecans and how that -- how you kind of immigrated from Mississippi Delta. Perhaps you could tell us that for the record.

A I'm kind of a man of many countries, I suppose. I can reach back to the Central zone if you'll back to your hearing you just had in Dallas. My farm is -- I do have a farm that is in the northwest corner of Mississippi in the delta, situated about six miles from the Mississippi River.

My granddaddy turned in his cotton allotment in the ' 40 s and said he could make more money on pecans, and I was born into the pecan business as a grower, and also he was an accumulator in that area, probably at that time, he was maybe the accumulator in that area. We're no longer there as an accumulator at all. Those pickup buyers that that man back there mentioned kind of took that away as the acreage in Mississippi shrank because of Mother Nature.

And I have been a pecan producer and grower up until this last year, and a lot of my acreage has been put into WRP because of drainage problems and because I couldn't count on the prices that \(I\) could get. Hopefully this will fix this for the next person.

Q Let me interrupt you just for the record. WRP, that's the --

A It's the Wildlife Protection Act that takes a lot of that marginal ground that my granddaddy took in there on the end as kind of marginal, maybe it shouldn't be cleared, maybe it
should be, and his time frame with hand labor and all, he could see it, and now I can't. And that's why I have chosen to sort of sit mine in federal -- and it will be there forever. It probably should have never been cleared.

But had I had prices that were -- that I could count on, I might would have gone in there and replanted some varieties that I could have counted on, to be able to get up in a timely manner and be able to market, and I might could have stayed in business. However, that was already in the works by the time the price increases happened in 2010, somewhere along in there.

I was already in the process of moving on out, and I had some talents that are -- that were needed over here, or I felt like they were needed, and I could make a decent living over here in the propagation of the pecan trees that these growers that are putting out these extra acres are going to need.

Just so you'll know, a pecan when it's
planted does not come up that variety. It has to be propagated in some form individually, and that's where you get your varieties. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Would WRP be Wildlife Refuse Protection?

MR. DAVIS: Program.
THE WITNESS: That's right. It's
mostly for waterfowl. It's -- their big emphasis is duck hunting. There's plenty of people that will give me more money to duck hunt on my place than I got out of pecans over the year. However, I'm hoping that that's going to change for other people, and I'm in the role of encouraging those people that are still --

And I have a small acreage now still
there, but I was at 3-, 400 acres, 250 to 400 acres at that time, and I couldn't sustain it where \(I\) was under the conditions \(I\) was in. And that's caused me to take some of the other talents that God gave me that's needed now, and I came on over here to Georgia, and I have -- I'm engaged in mostly the nursery end of it, growing
trees for the boom.

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q That was helpful. Your business migrated from Mississippi where you were growing pecan trees. You're not growing them there now, and you're in the nursery business now in Georgia.

A Yes. I still have some -- I still have a little bitty small acreage left, and I still retain ownership of my land and hunting rights and all that, but none of my trees were in a row, so you can see how that would be kind of hard to implement some of the cultural practices you've heard over here.

I, however, had some advantages. I didn't have the scab problems they have over here now, to the extent that they do. And the insects were lighter. But then when it came time to harvest, these guys get a two-inch rain today. Tomorrow, they're back out there harvesting, and I might not get back in there for ten days, and it might rain three more inches until then, see.

So it's a whole different ball game, and most people that are in that area over there are not going to qualify to vote for this, but there will be some that will, and I think it will benefit even the ones that can't vote.

And I know personally for me, if the grower here or the grower there doesn't make any money, he sure can't buy any trees, so I'm going to be unemployed once more. So I'm in favor of it any way you go. If you ask me as a grower, yes, I'm in favor of it, because \(I\) think it'll benefit everybody.

If you ask me in an industry support, I'm still in favor of it, because I can't be there if the grower's not. And if the sheller doesn't stay, then the grower can't be. Everybody's kind of intermingled.

MR. DAVIS: We have no further questions then, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Does the USDA have any questions?

MS. SCHMAEDICK: Melissa Schmaedick, USDA.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Thank you, Mr. Jenkins, for your testimony. So let me just clarify what I think I understood you said. So you are a grower here in Georgia.

A No, ma'am. I don't have any acreage in Georgia.

Q You have acreage in Mississippi.
A All the acreage \(I\) have is in

Mississippi. I just came over here -- you know, it's kind of interesting. Willie Sutton from the '30s, he was a bank robber. he said -- they asked him why he robbed banks, and he said, Because that's where the money is. I came to Georgia, because that's where the trees are for me to work on, and that's what I'm doing for a living now.

Q Okay. So your testimony says that you're a grower, but you're a grower in

Mississippi.

A That's correct.

Q But you live in --

A Albany, Georgia.
Q Right. Okay. All right. I'm getting there. And in Albany, Georgia, you're a nurseryman. You propagate trees.

A That's my main -- seems to be my main benefit for being on the earth. Yes.

Q Okay. So --

A Did I complicate it for you?
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: So your pecans that you grow are in the Central Region, but you're working here in the Eastern Region.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. And my income, I have to hasten to say, my income comes from this area over here, and I am -JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: This area over here, meaning --

THE WITNESS: Meaning the Eastern.
But Mississippi's still in the southeastern part.

I mean, we still recognize Mississippi as a

Southeastern state in our organization.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And your
organization, meaning your private -- the
Southeastern Pecan Growers Association.

THE WITNESS: The Southeastern Pecan Growers. That's right.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: But under the proposal, they would be in the Central Region -THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yes. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: -- not the Eastern Region.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q So do you currently still have more than 30 acres in Mississippi?

A No. That's going to be the irony of it.

Q Okay.
A My grandfather was involved in most all of the marketing order efforts up until this time, and there's ben a few through the years. I could even remember some of them. And by now, we might have one that might pass, and I won't be
eligible to vote. But that's okay. I can spread the word to the ones that can, and tell them why I think they should.

Q Okay. Thank you. I think you said that in your opinion -- and this now clearly does apply to you -- that even though you don't qualify to vote, you feel like you personally will benefit, and --

A Certainly.
Q And then -- so in your conversations with others that are in that same situation, have they had that same sentiment? Have they shared that same sentiment with you?

A Some of them have. I think over here in the Georgia area, I think this marketing order has been more understood from the get-go. Over in my part of the world where I came from, I think that was less so. I think they're kind of getting on board now, and they're understanding, thanks to Mike Adams and some other people that have been there to explain it to them at every turn, because you don't always get the same group
at every meeting. I mean, there's always somebody different that's saying, What are you talking about.

But I think that you're going to see the unity there this time, just simply because they understand they have to be in a group now. They can't be every little doggie for theirself anymore like it was.

Q You mentioned that applying the cultural practices that exist today would be difficult on your farm, because your trees aren't in nice little rows. I believe you said something to that effect. Does that mean that you have a native orchard?

A That's odd, because I've been sitting back there, listening to this back and forth.

Q Uh-huh.

A A lot of the seedling -- a lot of the -- and I'm going to complicate this a little minute, but \(I\) don't mean to. Okay?

Q Okay.
A A lot of these varieties you see here
were selected seedlings originally. Somebody saw them, and they said, I believe I could sell that pecan. So they got wood off that tree, that particular tree, that one tree, and spread it around. That's how you got some of these varieties that's listed here.

A true seedling would be one that's not repeated anywhere in nature, and it doesn't matter whether it's grown in the West or the East. It's like a fingerprint. There's not another one like it, and some of them's big; some of them's small; some of them's in between. But there you go on how you tell the difference. You can tell the difference between the variety that somebody will name, and that's what he was talking about doing it by eye.

Q Thank you for that, and I think your testimony does fall in line with what we've heard from other individuals. I'm going to try to formulate this in a question.

A But my acreage did have improved varieties on it, in that some of those selected
seedlings were part of it, but they were grafted or budded. And the reason mine are not in line, not in rows that \(I\) would love to have is because that ground was cleared, and then we just budded them, propagated them where they came up, so you may have ten trees on this acre and 40 trees on that acre.

And they might all be just exactly whatever granddaddy decided put there, and that was whatever they came back from a meeting, saying that was the greatest thing today, so you just don't know what it might have been. And I have a wide variety of varieties over there. But that's why they're not in rows, to explain to you.

Q Okay.
A But had I had this, I might would have gone in there and started making me some more acres of Pawnee that would come off early.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: This meaning the

FMO.

THE WITNESS: The marketing order.

Yes. Had this been in place and I would have been more able to count on prices, then maybe I could have seen my way to go in there and completely reconstruct mine, and it would have been a good place to do it. But it didn't happen in time, so it just didn't. BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Based on your testimony and other testimony, it appears that clearly capturing the complicated family network of pecans and what's a native and what's an improved, that's a difficult thing to do. Would you agree?

A Not really. I mean, a lot of the new varieties that we have now -- and you can tell them by their Indian name -- they were all handcrossed at Brownwood, so you know those are improved already, so that takes a lot of those out. Most of the ones that you're going to look at that's still a recognized variety, and Desirable being one of them, which is the standard now over here, started out as a selected seedling, but it is a recognized variety now, and

I don't think you could hardly ever call that one a seedling.

The only thing that I think you're going to be able to call a seedling is something that's taken in due to size, or it has not even been seen before ever, classified as a seedling. And I don't think you should complicate that too much.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: What is Brownwood?

THE WITNESS: Brownwood -- I'm sorry.

Brownwood is the place in American that we have that's -- it's at the University of Texas in

College Station, Texas, and they --
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Texas A\&M

University?
THE WITNESS: Texas A\&M. Right. I'm
sorry. I got the wrong one. I know that sounds bad, but I did. They do -- their sole existence, that one department over there, their sole existence is to find new varieties for us, and they actually take two parents and cross them. And they plant that, and then they get a variety
from that.

And that's where all of your Indian name varieties are going to come from. Creek, Kiowa, any of them. Those are not selected seedlings. Those are hand-crossed, if that makes sense.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q Yes. I -- thank you for that explanation. I think I'm -- okay. Let's look at it this way. You said fingerprints. Right?

A Correct.

Q Okay. So natives and seedlings, they
all have their own fingerprint.
A That's correct.

Q Okay. So if you go to an improved variety --

A The only way you can get that is to go to that tree.

Q Right.
A Even if it's one somebody saw
somewhere that's a selected seedling, and they take wood off that tree, and they put it on some
more trees.

Q Uh-huh.
A That's the only way you can have that variety tree. So a native would be exclusive in itself --

Q Right.
A -- because it's -- there's nobody else
out there with that fingerprint. There's no
other tree out there that makes that nut exactly.
But on the rivers there in that part of the country that the pecan is native to, you're going to have literally hundreds of thousands of those.

Q Right.
A And they might be -- hard to count.
I mean, they could be -- I've seen them as little as 2-, 300 count, you know, to make a pound, or you could have a native that could be that long, or it could be, you know, a large nut.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: By, that long, you
mean like two inches --
THE WITNESS: About two or three
inches long. I mean, I've seen them that long.

BY MS. SCHMAEDICK:

Q So does Pawnee have its own
fingerprint?

A Well, Pawnee is a hand-cross.

Q Right.

A It had two parents that they crossed manually, by hand.

Q And so the very first Pawnee then became the great, great, great, great, great, great, great-grandpa --

A That's correct.

Q -- of - -

A And then somebody like me took that
wood off --

Q -- all other -- right. And they all have the same fingerprint.

A Those do, yes. That Pawnee does. Yes.

Q Okay. I think I got it.

A Okay.

Q Thank you.

A But you have to go -- you have to do
each tree that way individually, because if you plant a seed, it doesn't make any difference what kind of seed it is, they're all going to come back up different, with their own fingerprint -Q Uh-huh.

A -- to make them a variety, such as Pawnee. You must propagate it in some fashion or form to get it to Pawnee.

Q Okay. Thank you. That is helpful.
In the states that the Southeastern Pecan

Association represents, are you familiar with the work of the Farm Service Agency and the term, pecan acre? Is that familiar to you at all?

A I don't -- maybe not.

Q Okay. Thank you.
MS. SCHMAEDICK: I have no further
questions. Thank you.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more
questions from USDA?

MS. VARELA: Jen Varela, USDA.
BY MS. VARELA:

Q Mr. Jenkins, I have two trains of
thought I'd like some of your input on, if that's okay. First is, in your role at the Southeastern Pecan Growers Association, it seems to me from your testimony that that association in particular, a lot of your activities are centered around the convention that you have and putting already existing research out there for people to learn about.

A That's correct.

Q Is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q But as an organization, you don't go so far as to fund or direct any particular research?

A We have in the past --
Q You have some?
A -- done a good bit of that. At one time, we had a chemical company that kind of gave us rebates back that the grower bought, and then we, as the Southeastern board and organization took that money and funded as much research as we could. I don't know -- I don't really know what
happened to that money, but it discontinued coming .

Q Okay.

A Now the only money that we would have as an association would be what we collect as membership dues, and what little we would make in excess at our conventions, and our sole purpose is to present a format, a convention, a place that people can come and meet and discuss their ideas of what they want to do and what they would like to do and what everybody -- and listen to what other people do.

Sometimes we would have some excess money, although with the prices getting the way they are on conventions, that's getting to be less and less, but we do try to give money to the American Pecan Board. We do try to give money to the shellers that are funding some of these promotional ideas, and we try to be a help and try to be part of that as members of that.

So we wouldn't have a lot of money to disburse, nothing like the Commodity Commission
or even the Georgia people. They've got a lot more members and got a lot bigger association than we do. We're more of a regional -- and our members overlap with them a lot, you know. I would say probably three-quarters of the members in the Southeastern are Georgia growers. They're the biggest contributor of members.

But we do have the other side of that,
you know, growers from Alabama and Mississippi and Louisiana and Florida and the Carolinas that are smaller, and a lot of those guys are -- you know, that's maybe one of the only places they can come and hear -- or they can come to the Georgia meeting, but -- and it's kind of a repeat. You hear a lot the same at the Southeastern you do the Georgia, but you have a different crowd every one.

Every one of those meetings has a different crowd, and one that don't make this one, that does make that one, and usually you have a good exposure across the board.

Q Okay. Thank you for that. My other
line of thinking is that as someone who's working in the nursery, trying to propagate new trees, and I think your testimony lines up with a lot of other people, saying that there are a lot of new plantings, there have been a lot in the last few years.
I'm kind of also crossing this idea or
this question with some of my experience in Florida, where there's a big push to have new varieties because you need disease resistance, and we've heard a lot about scab. So as somebody who's working on propagating trees, do you think there's a need for even more trees because you're trying to fight scab in this area? Is there a need for more research for additional varieties in addition to what you already kind of have out there?

A Absolutely. I'll make a real bold statement. You really can't spray yourself out of scab. I think they're going to have to plant theirself out of it. And I know that's going to be hard for some of them to do, but I think
there's a lot of varieties out there that we can look at. Some of them are going to be keepers, and some of them are not.

The problem with this deal is it takes so long to look at them, to discern which ones are keepers and which ones are not. That's a biggie there. But to give you some idea, year before last, the nursery that \(I\) was connected to then, we propagated 26,000 Desirables. last year, it was about 8,000. So you can see the difference of the thinking in Joe Customer here. Middle Georgia, they can still have a Desirable. They can still spray it. It's still a viable commercial production variety that -- in the Albany area where I'm at now, I am down there, I'm already starting to get calls to change over the Desirable to something else. Q Uh-huh. A So you can see the change in thinking, just because of that one disease. And if we don't get a handle some way to spray it, frankly, it's going to be out, I believe. But there'll be
something there to replace it, but it sure won't be cheap to do.

Q And your answer there brought another question to mind. We've heard a lot about how long it takes to develop something new, and that it's going to take another while longer for it to be accepted commercially.

Just within your part of that process, within that time frame where you're looking at what kind of trees you do want to propagate, how many more years does it add to that process between a research station, releasing a new variety, and you being able to test it out on your own farm and figuring out how easy it is to propagate? How much time and effort --

A You're asking how long we have to look at it?

Q Right. How long do you have to --
A In my opinion --
Q -- look at it before you can sell it to somebody else?

A In my opinion, it's 25 years.

Q Wow.
A Yes. Now, there's a lot of varieties that's coming out there a lot quicker than that. Some of them are going to work out, and some of them are not. At the very first, Desirable in Georgia here didn't scab. It took it a long time to do that. You're seeing evolution taking on here. it takes time for things to evolve, and in the pecan industry, it does it very, very, very slowly.

That's why this is -- this marketing order is paramount in what's going on right now, because to stay in business long enough to make the changes to stay, you got to be able to make it -- you got to be able to go to your banker and explain that to him now.

And if you told them -- well, I can remember when \(I\) was growing, you know, back before prices got better, I mean, if I'd go in there and tell my banker \(I\) was going to get 85 or 90 cents, man, \(I\) was doing good. But then what about that year that it fell to 55 cents right
after Thanksgiving, and I had to go back and explain to him, you know, I lost 30 cents in one day.

This is the kind of thing that I think that this marketing order might stabilize and keep some people in business to where we could have some growers that could benefit from this. Did I answer your question?

Q Yes, you did. Thank you. I appreciate all of your information. I really do.

MS. VARELA: And I have no further questions.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?

THE WITNESS: Come on. This is getting fun now.

MR. DAVIS: We don't want you to have too much fun.

BY MS. CHILUKURI:

Q Mr. Jenkins, I'll ask a question. So in your letter to Secretary Vilsack, you state, "This situation inhibits" -- I think you're
referring to -- when you say, this situation, you're talking about price and supply instability in the market. So, "This situation inhibits our effort to provide a consistent quality supply of pecans to domestic consumers at a price that supports a profitable return to producers and processors."

So you mentioned the quality supply of pecans to domestic consumers, so can you talk more about other benefits that you see that the proposed marketing order would bring to consumers. Does that make -- am I being clear?

A Yes. It makes good sense. I think, heretofore, there've been a lot of quality issues with pecans nationwide to the end user. You have a lot of people in this America that does not know that a pecan's grown on a tree. They think it's grown under the ground like a peanut.

I have had several people tell me that they eat peanuts and other things because the pecans they got weren't very good, and then they get a good pecan, and it's a whole different
product. Education is always necessary in these things, and as long as you're limiting how much that guy can make that's growing or accumulating or shelling, it doesn't matter what place he has in the cycle. As long as you're capping what he can make or capping what he can do, he has to play lowball down here to stay in business.

Then you're going to see problems with education about what people should eat and what they should have out of the grocery store or what they shouldn't have. Now, down here, it's a little better, because, you know, everybody's great-granddaddy always grew a few in the back yard, and they kind of know what a pecan's supposed to look like.

But there's a lot of places in the
nation that -- and a lot of our customers or that should be our customers that don't have any education on that, and I think you're going to see a better swing in education, just from advertising and marketing. And --

But you're going to have to raise some
money to do that, and if you don't, then you're going to have -- my dad always said, If you do like you've always done, you'll have what you've always had. So you've got to move your perspective a little bit to be able to change how you market your pecans.

And in this case, might near every
time you can go back to the money, and if you don't have the money to do that, then you're not going to be able to make that effort, and \(I\) think that just that one thing right there can help us market our pecans domestically particularly better.

Q Thank you, sir.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Are there any more USDA questions?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any follow-up?

MR. DAVIS: Just a follow-up, Your

Honor.

Q Mr. Jenkins, thank you so much for your testimony and your time. I think you gave us some helpful background information maybe on the history of where nuts came from, but let me bring you back kind of to the current market. You'll see here in Section 28, we're defining pecans generally, and then we're going to classify them as natives or seedlings.

And then under paragraph (2),
"Improved pecans harvested from grafted tree varieties, bred or selected for superior traits of nut size, ease of shelling, production characteristics and resistant to certain insects and disease." You see that.

In the current market, you're a
nurseryman. You are selling improved pecans.
Correct?

A Yes.
Q Or pecan trees.
A That would be over there in those -in that list there that would say, you know --

Q Included but not --

A -- it's a variety.

Q Right.
A Yes.

Q And because in the market -- let me just ask for the benefit of the record. You're not selling anybody any native or seedling pecan trees, are you? Nobody wants to buy those from you.

A Very few.
Q Right.

A There are a few that think they can save some money, but that's -- I think they're quickly dispelling that. I can grow them and graft them cheaper than they can.

Q And the reason in the market today people are buying improved pecans, because improved pecan sell for more. Correct?

A That's exactly right. They're what the market wants. And, frankly, with a -- a seedling tree might be worth a couple of dollars, and one of those varieties there, if it's eightfoot tall, it might be worth \(\$ 20\). So without the
propagation part, you just -- you have a mess still.

Q So you would agree in kind of a big picture item in Exhibit 1 where we say, we're going to have a lower assessment on native trees than we have on the improved --

A Oh, absolutely.
Q -- tree. That's fair and reasonable to do, is it not?

A Fair and reasonable.

Q Okay. Thank you.
MR. DAVIS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Any more USDA questions?
(No response.)
JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? Any questions
from the audience?
(No response.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No? Mr. Jenkins, you're excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Ms. Wray, do we have any other sign-ups?

MS. WRAY: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: No. You have no
more witnesses today.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, that's all the witnesses we have for the day, and perhaps we can talk about the schedule for tomorrow off record.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Actually I'd like to handle one thing on record first.

MR. QUIR S: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I see you have six entities scheduled for tomorrow.

MR. QUIR S: Yes, sir.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: And you have no witnesses scheduled for Thursday. Is that correct?

MR. QUIR S: That's correct, Your Honor. That's what we were going to take off record. If you'd like to talk about it on record, that'd be fine.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: I would, because we may end up eliminating that possibility of the extra day, and I'd like to do that on the record. The Federal Register did -- Exhibit 1, first page, scheduled hearings July 27 through July 29, 2015, Tifton, Georgia. It goes on to say, "If an additional hearing session is necessary at this location, the hearing will continue on July 30, 2015." And I'm wondering if we can eliminate that possibility at this time, so some of us might make adjustments to their travel plans.

MR. QUIR S: Your Honor, we do not -we have no intention of calling more witnesses than through midday tomorrow, so unless somebody shows up in the public that wants to extend the hearing, we don't know why it should go past tomorrow midday. But that's --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: You think you can do all six witnesses by midday?

MR. QUIR S: We may choose to do one or two after lunch, depending on the length of the questions, but there's some very interesting
witnesses that have different perspectives from anybody else that's testified that will be on tomorrow. We think they should be heard. But after that, we -- no, sir. I don't think that we'll go much past midday, maybe early afternoon. That would be my -- that's our -- Mr. Davis' and my current thinking, and Ms. Myers'.

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Mr. Hill, you're the
first among equal counsel anyway, I think we established the other day. What are your thoughts as the attorney for USDA, whether at this point we can decide that there will not be a necessity for an overflow day?

MR. HILL: While I would love to knock
out the day right now, I'm a little bit nervous that something happens, and we've already said that we don't have a Thursday, then we're -JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: If anything can go wrong, it will go wrong?

MR. HILL: Anything can go wrong. JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: Okay. So we'll not make that decision at this time then.

MR. HILL: Not that I'm contemplating it. I'm just, you know --

JUDGE GUTHRIDGE: If it can go wrong, it will go wrong. Okay. So given that, then we'll recess till eight o'clock tomorrow morning, and so we're off the record now.
(Whereupon, at 4:30 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at 8:00 a.m., Wednesday July 29, 2015.)
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