

United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

**HOW TO USE THE  
CUMULATIVE SUM**

Agricultural  
Marketing  
Service

**SAMPLING PLAN (CUSUM)  
(ATTRIBUTES STANDARDS  
ONLY**

Fruit and  
Vegetable  
Division

Processed  
Products  
Branch

**GRADING MANUAL**

File Code 120 A-6  
August 1978

This manual is designed for Processed Products Branch personnel of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Its purpose is to give background information and guidelines to assist in the uniform application and interpretation of U.S. grade standards, other similar specifications and special procedures.

The citation of any data, criteria, techniques, illustrations, copyrighted material, or pictorial representation accredited to private authorship is used with the permission of the individuals or sources cited. Unless a specific reference is cited, the information in this manual has been compiled or developed from sources available to the public as well as from technical knowledge of personnel in the Department.

Following the suggested guidelines in this manual does not excuse failure to comply with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or any other applicable Federal or State laws or regulations.

Except for official USDA inspection aids or devices and color guides (or standards) produced under license of the Department, the mention of any supplier, patented device, product, brand name or equipment does not imply endorsement by the Department over any other similar, or equally effective material.

Address inquiries to:

Chief  
Processed Products Branch  
Fruit and Vegetable Division  
Agricultural Marketing Service  
Room 0709 South Building  
Washington, D.C. 20250

I N D E X

Page Number

Statistical Explanation of On-line Sampling Procedures -----	3-8
How to Choose the Appropriate Sampling Plans -----	9-12
How to Determine the Difference Between Defects and Defectives-----	13
How to Use the Probability of Acceptance (Pa) -----	14
How to Compute CuSum Values -----	15-20
How Frequently to Draw the Standard Sample Unit Size -----	21
How to Evaluate a Sample Unit -----	21
How to Inspect Production:	
Step 1 - Meets Designated Grade -----	22-23
Step 2 - Fails Designated Grade -----	24-26
Step 3 - Two Sample Units in a Row Fail -----	27-31
Step 4 - Redesignation of Production Grade -----	32-34
Step 5 - Assigning a Grade to Production -----	35-37
Appendix:	
1. Recovery of Production (See Step 3) -----	38-40
2. Tote Bin Inspection -----	41-42
3. Reinspection of Failed Production -----	43
4. Modification of Sampling Frequency -----	43
5. Mold Counting (135-A-8) -----	44-45
6. How to Convert to Tighter AQL's -----	46-52
7. How to Reevaluate a Defect Tally Sheet -----	53-54
8. How to Use the Verification Sampling Plans -----	55-60
Verification Plans, Tables I - V -----	61-65

## STATISTICAL EXPLANATION OF ON-LINE SAMPLING PROCEDURES

A "lot" is never formed under an on-line inspection situation. Instead, production is divided into separate portions (e.g., tote bins, period codes, subcodes, etc.). Each portion is inspected and assigned a grade as it is produced. The grade is final. This procedure frees the producer to ship directly off the line. The Cumulative Sum (CuSum) Sampling Plan was developed to handle on-line inspection.

CuSum is designed to maintain a constant good quality, rather than to screen out poor quality after it has been produced. Therefore, the producer is requested to establish the desired quality level for production. CuSum will tell only whether the production meets or fails the intended grade. It is not structured to discern between different quality levels.

The producer is provided with step-by-step reporting as each sample unit is graded. This information may be used to help the producer control the process. Positive CuSum values (in excess of zero (0)) provide evidence of worsening quality. This signals the need for improvement. The ability to abuse the CuSum sampling plan by intentionally producing poorer quality, after establishing a history of good quality, is limited.

1. CuSum sampling plans. A CuSum sampling plan is defined by three numbers, denoted by the letters "T," "L," and "S." These values are chosen for each AQL and sample unit size to pass 95 percent of the production produced at the AQL. Individual portions of production will have, at most, a 98 percent to 99.5 percent chance of passing when the CuSum value is zero (0).
2. Sample unit tolerance ("T"). The "T" value is the sample unit tolerance. A portion of production represented by a sample unit having "T" or less defects will always pass the intended grade. Only the number of defects that exceed "T" are counted and accumulated by the CuSum plan. If the number of defects is less than "T," the CuSum accumulation will be decreased.

STATISTICAL EXPLANATION OF ON-LINE SAMPLING PROCEDURES (continuation)

3. Acceptance limit ("L"). Some sample units may have more than "T" defects, even though the producer has good quality. This will occur due to sample variation. However, when the CuSum value exceeds "L," the production quality is probably below the intended grade. Only those portions of production represented by sample units whose CuSum value exceeds "T" are rejected for the designated grade.

There may be portions of production with good quality that fail the designated grade. This risk will be present with any sampling plan because of sampling variation. Also, poor production may be passed for the intended grade because our sample information does not provide sufficient proof that the quality is poor. This is also due to sampling variation.

4. Starting value ("S"). At the start of a CuSum value, no prior information is available about the production quality. To give production approximately a 95 percent chance of passing at the start of each plan, the CuSum value is set equal to "S." Starting the CuSum value at any value other than "S," would make the sampling plan either too tight or too loose for the first portions of production produced at the AQL.

CuSum sampling plans are designed to be continuous sampling plans with infrequent restarts. There is no need to restart a CuSum sampling plan unless there is a distinct break in production or a redesignation of the grade. A CuSum sampling plan does not necessarily need to be restarted at the beginning of each shift or each day's production.

5. Resetting to zero (0). Whenever the CuSum value is less than zero, it is reset equal to zero. This rule prevents the build up of too much good history, which would permit the acceptance of poorer quality later in a production run. If negative CuSum values were maintained, the number of defects that would be permitted in subsequent sample units, would be large. There is no specific absolute limit (AL) given for any individual sample unit by the CuSum sampling plan. By always resetting to zero (0), when the CuSum is negative, the maximum allowance for any sample unit becomes "T" plus "L."

STATISTICAL EXPLANATION OF ON-LINE SAMPLING PROCEDURES (continuation)

6. Resetting to "L." The CuSum value is reset to "L," when it exceeds "L." This requires the next sample unit to meet the tolerance ("T" or less defects) for production to pass the designated grade. By resetting to "L," the producer is also assured that all portions of production will pass the designated grade if the sample unit has "T" or less defects.
7. Production which fails the designated grade. When a portion of production fails the designated grade, it is necessary to assign a lower grade. A single sampling plan is used to determine the lower grade. The same sample unit results are used without recalculations. The sample size is the sample unit size for the CuSum sampling plan. The acceptance number is the sum, "T" plus "L," for the next lower grade. If the number of defects in the sample unit does not exceed "T" plus "L," the production is assigned that lower grade. Usually, when production fails the designated grade, it will pass the next lower grade.
8. Two (2) consecutive sample unit failure rule. Small sample sizes are used to make grading decisions for on-line inspection, due to time and costs of inspection. Thus, the CuSum sampling plan may allow some poorer quality to pass for the designated grade. The single sampling plan is even looser than the CuSum sampling plan. It permits the maximum number ("T" plus "L") of defects in each sample unit. This creates a situation where production is designated grade A, but quality is actually grade B or worse. The single sampling plan gives production even a greater chance of passing. In this situation a producer could take advantage of the CuSum sampling plan for the designated grade, and the single sampling plan for the lower grades.

To improve the accuracy of the on-line sampling procedure, and to discourage possible intentional abuse, a two (2) consecutive failure rule has been added to the on-line plan. When two successive portions of production have failed the designated grade, the quality level has probably dropped below the designated grade. If production is at the AQL, the probability of two consecutive failures is less than 1.0 percent. This rule will seldom be used unless production quality has actually slipped below the designated grade.


STATISTICAL EXPLANATION OF ON-LINE SAMPLING PROCEDURES (continuation)


8. (continuation) Inspection for the designated grade may resume when three consecutive sample units meet the sample tolerance, "T," for each class of defects in the designated grade and the CuSum value is zero "O" in the lower grade. This requirement is similar to the CuSum sampling plan, where the unit following a failure must meet the tolerance, for the production to designated grade. In most situations, the production represented by consecutive sample units within the tolerance may be recovered for grade (see the appendix of this manual).

The effect of the two consecutive failure rule on the CuSum sampling plan being used for the designated grade, is illustrated in the OC curves shown in figures I and 2 (see the next two pages of this manual). The OC curves show comparison of the CuSum sampling plan with the two consecutive failure rule for a small AQL (1 defect per 100 units) and a larger AQL (20 defects per 100 units). This rule tightens the CuSum sampling plan on quality levels which exceed the AQL. The chance of passing at the AQL has only a small decrease. The two consecutive failure rule reduces the chance of accepting poor quality for the designated grade with a minimal chance at the AQL.

9. Assigning a grade to production. In a true on-line inspection situation, each portion of production which is represented by a sample unit, is separately identifiable. The on-line sampling procedures assign a grade to each portion of production. However, in our on-line inspection, each portion of production will often not be separately identified due to the costs and time loss in changing codes. All production with the same code must be assigned the same grade, since the portions that are graded differently can not be identified. All production that is similarly coded must receive the lowest grade assigned to any portion of production using that code. This is to protect the buyer or consumer.

The producer would obtain the greatest benefit from the CuSum sampling plan by separately identifying each portion of production. If the code is not changed for each portion of production, the risks of failing the designated grade are increased. Each producer must weigh these increased risks against the costs to determine how frequently codes should be changed.





HOW TO CHOOSE THE APPROPRIATE CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS.

1. Use the U.S. standards or other applicable specifications for the product.

Example: "U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Clingstone Peaches"

or

"\_\_\_\_\_ Foods, Inc., Product Specifications for Canned Clingstone Peach Halves, effective \_\_\_\_\_."

2. Use the applicable AQLs for the designated grade.

Example:

U.S. GRADE B				
AQL <sup>2/</sup>	TOTAL	MAJOR	SEVERE	CRITICAL
	20.0	12.5	5.0	1.0

or

"\_\_\_\_\_ Foods, First Label Canned Clingstone Peach Halves shall conform to the effective U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Clingstone Peaches with the following modifications:"

AQL <sup>2/</sup>	TOTAL	MAJOR	SEVERE	CRITICAL
	15.0	10.0	5.0	1.0

<sup>2/</sup> AQLs expressed as defects per 100 units.

HOW TO CHOOSE THE APPROPRIATE CUSUM SAMPLING PLAN (continuation).

2. Use the applicable AQLs (continuation).

Example:

	Defect Action Level					
	5	10	12	15	20	25
	Positive Fields					
S	0	1	0	1	0	1
T	1	2	3	3	5	6
L	1	3	2	3	2	3
AQL <u>1/</u>	2.5	6.5	8.5	10.0	15.0	20.0

3. Use the applicable standard sample unit size.

Example: "2852.2564 Recommended Sample Unit Sizes.

(a) \* \* \*

(1) Halves; Quarters -- 25 units"

or

"\_\_\_\_\_ Foods, Inc., Specification.

SAMPLE UNIT SIZE:

Draw 25 halves at 30 minute intervals."

1/ AQLs expressed as percent defective.

HOW TO CHOOSE THE APPROPRIATE CUSUM SAMPLING PLAN (continuation).

4. Select the CuSum values ("S," "T," "L") from the "Regulations" (File Code 109, Section 2852.38b) or other procedures that match the AQL and the standard sample unit size. 1/

Example:

TABLE VII  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS

STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25

AQL	S	T	L	Quality Levels	
				Pa=50%	Pa=10%

QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS OR PERCENT DEFECTIVE

* * *					
-----	Critical				
-- 1.0	0	0.5	0.5	4.0	10.0
* * *					
-----	Severe				
-- 5.0	1.5	1.5	3	9.1	16.3

QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS ONLY

* * *					
-----	Major				
-- 12.5 1	4	3	21.0	32.4	
* * *					
-----	Total				
-- 20.0 1	6	4	29.7	42.7	
* * *					

1/ CuSum values will usually be preprinted on the defect tally sheets.

HOW TO CHOOSE THE APPROPRIATE CUSUM SAMPLING PLAN (continuation).

5. If the AQL specified in the U.S. standards or other specification is not available in the "Regulations," do the following:
  - a. Use the next AQL in the "Regulations" that is on the restrictive side of the designated grade (or designated AQL).

Example:

	U.S. GRADE B			
	TOTAL	MAJOR	SEVERE	CRITICAL
AQL <u>1</u> / <sub>100</sub>	26.0	11.0	3.0	0.75

adjust to the following AQLs |


	U.S. GRADE B			
	TOTAL	MAJOR	SEVERE	CRITICAL
AQL <u>1</u> / <sub>100</sub>	25.0	10.0	2.5	0.65

- b. Accept or reject based on the adjusted AQLs.

1/<sub>100</sub> AQLs expressed as defects per 100 units.

**HOW TO DETERMINE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS  
AND PERCENT DEFECTIVE.**

Example: (Each "X" represents one defect)



10 units have 12 defects  
(or 12 defects per 10 units)

or  $\frac{12}{10} \times 10 = \frac{120}{100}$  120 defects per 100 units

However, only 8 units are defective  
(80% defective)

Defects per 100 units may exceed the  
number of units that you're grading

but

Percent defective cannot exceed the  
number of units of product


HOW TO USE THE PROBABILITY OF ACCEPTANCE ("Pa").

The CuSum sampling plans in the "Regulations" give the probability of acceptance (Pa) at the 50/50 level and at the 10/90 level in defects per 100 units (or percent defective) for each indexed AQL. The probability of acceptance will change if the standard sample unit size is increased or decreased. The larger the sample unit size, the better the protection against poorer quality production. However, the larger sample unit sizes increase the time and costs of inspection. The U.S. standards will recommend which sample unit size to use.

Example: (Relationship of Pa to the OC Curve)

AQL	S	T	L	Pa=50%	Pa=10%
10.0	3	22	9	12.2	14.8

AQL	S	T	L	Pa=50%	Pa=10%
(10.0)	1	1.8	2.8	(18.8)	(32.0)



## HOW TO COMPUTE CUSUM VALUES.

At the beginning of the basic inspection period, set the CuSum value equal to the Starting value ("S") for each class of defects ("critical," "severe," "major," and "total all classes") of the designated grade. Compute the CuSum value for each sample unit as follows:

1. Add the number of defects (or defectives) in the sample unit that you're working with to the CuSum value (carry over) from the previous sample unit.
2. Subtract the Sample Unit Tolerance ("T").

Reset the CuSum value in the following situations:

1. When the CuSum value is less than zero (0), reset the CuSum value to zero (0).
2. When the CuSum value exceeds the Acceptance Limit ("L"), reset the CuSum value to ("L").

HOW TO COMPUTE CUSUM VALUES (continuation).

Example 1: (showing only major defects)

M A J O R		S	T	L					
	COLOR				1	2		4	2
	BLEMISHED				1	2		2	
	EDIBLE FIBER				1			2	
	TOTAL MAJOR				3	4	0	8	2
	CUSUM	A	1	4	3	0	0	0	3

	Start	1	0	0	0	3
	plus	plus	plus	plus	plus	plus
	3	4	0	8	2	
	minus	minus	minus	minus	minus	minus
	4	4	4	4	4	4
	equals	equals	equals	equals	equals	equals
	0	0	4	4	1	
			Reset to	0		

Reset to "L" (3)

FORMULA: Carry Over (Old CuSum) + Defects - Tolerance = New CuSum

HOW TO COMPUTE CUSUM VALUES (continuation).

Example 2: (showing only mold count record)

DEFECT ACTION LEVEL: 12%		PRODUCT: Canned Tomatoes																									
CODE:																											
TIME:																											
DATE:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAMPLE:		-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
RATE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
TOTAL POS. FIELDS		3					3					2					6					3					
S 0	T 3	0					0					0					2					2					
MEETS/FAILS		MEETS					MEETS					MEETS					FAILS					MEETS					
COUNTER:																											

Start	0	0	0	0	2
	plus	plus	plus	plus	plus
	3	3	2	6	3
	minus	minus	minus	minus	minus
	3	3	3	3	3
	equals	equals	equals	equals	equals
	0	0	1	3	2

Reset to 0

Reset to "L" (2)

FORMULA: Carry Over (Old CuSum) + Positive Fields - Tolerance = New CuSum

HOW TO COMPUTE CUSUM VALUES (continuation).

Example 3: (decimal fractions showing only severe defects)

S E V E R E		S	T	L					
	EVM								1
	Tough Fiber								1
	Total Severe				0	0	0	0	2
	CUSUM	A	0.3	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.1	0	0

Start	0.3		0.2		0.1		0.0		0.0
	plus		plus		plus		plus		plus
	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		2.0
	minus		minus		minus		minus		minus
	0.1		0.1		0.1		0.1		0.1
	equals		equals		equals		equals		equals
	0.2		0.1		0.0		0.1		1.9
					Reset to		0		
							Reset to "L"		(0.9)

FORMULA: Carry Over (Old CuSum) + Defects - Tolerance = New CuSum

HOW TO COMPUTE CUSUM VALUES (continuation).

Example 4: (decimal compound fractions  
showing only major defects

M A J O R		S	T	L					
	Blemished					1	1	1	1
	Off-suture							1	1
	Gouge					1		1	1
	TOTAL MAJOR				0	2	1	3	3
	CUSUM	A	0.5	1.5	2.0	0	0.5	0	1.5

Start	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.5
	plus	plus	plus	plus	plus
	0.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	3.0
	minus	minus	minus	minus	minus
	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
	equals	equals	equals	equals	equals
	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.5	3.0
Reset to	0				
			Reset to "L"	(2.0)	

FORMULA: Carry Over (Old CuSum) + Defects - Tolerance = New CuSum

HOW TO COMPUTE CUSUM VALUES (continuation).

Example 5: (showing all classes of defects)

		S	T	L							
C R I T I C A L	Pit Material						1	1		2	
	Total Critical				0	0	1	1	0	2	
	CUSUM	A	0.5	0.5	1.5	0	0	0.5	1	0.5	1.5
S E V E R E	Color					1	1				
	Blemished						1		1		
	Total Severe				0	1	2	0	1	0	
	CUSUM	A	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
M A J O R	Character				2			2	1	4	
	Gouge				1		2	1	1	4	
	Total Major				3	0	2	3	2	8	
	CUSUM	A	1	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
M I N O R  T O T A L	Off-suture				3	1	2	6	1		
	Short Stem				2	3	4	4	4		
	Total Minor				5	4	6	10	5	0	
	Total All Classes				8	5	11	14	8	10	
	CUSUM	A	1	9	4	0	0	2	4	3	4
Reset to "L"-----											

FORMULA: Carry Over (Old CuSum) + Defects - Tolerance = New CuSum

## HOW FREQUENTLY TO DRAW THE STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE FOR INSPECTION.

Use the time sampling plan outlined in File Code 120. The sample unit should be drawn so that only the inspector can predict the actual time of sampling. Do not fall into a predictable time-frame sampling.

Acceptable sampling frequencies are: high volume, medium volume, low volume, optional rate, pallet by pallet and tote by tote inspection. See also the appendix of this manual for "modification of sampling frequency" and "tote by tote inspection."

## HOW TO EVALUATE A SAMPLE UNIT.

1. Prerequisite quality factors. Evaluate and record each prerequisite quality factor which is applicable for the product (overall appearance, flavor and odor, etc.). Prerequisites are recorded as either "A," "B," "C" or Substandard. 1/
  2. Classified defects.
    - a. Determine and record the number of defects of each kind and class in the appropriate boxes indicated for the defects;
    - b. Count the total number of classified defects for each class (minor, major, severe, and critical);
    - c. Record the number of defects in each class in the appropriate boxes opposite "Total Critical," "Total Severe," "Total Major," and "Total minor";
    - d. Add the total number of classified defects found in all classes in the sample unit and record this number opposite "Total All Classes."
  3. Other defects, if any. Some U.S. standards may have defects that are not classified as minor, major, severe or critical. Count and record these defects as instructed by the grading manual for each product.
- 1\ Consider prerequisites independently of the classified defects. Therefore, record the prerequisites as the actual grade that they are assigned and not the designated grade. This is important if tally sheets are later reevaluated to determine if production has met a special specification, such as a buyer's spec.

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION.

STEP 1 - MEETS DESIGNATED GRADE.

If the CuSum value is less than or equal to the Acceptance Limit ("L") for all classes of defects, the portion of production represented by that sample unit meets the requirements of the designated grade.

Example 1: (Designated grade A showing only critical defects)

		S	T	L						
C R I T I C A L	Pit Material					1				
	Total Critical				0	1	0	0	0	
	CUSUM	A	0.2	0.2	0.8	0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2
		B	0.5	0.5	1.5					

-----Meets-----

Example 2: (Designated grade B showing only total defects)

		S	T	L						
T O T A L	Total All Classes				10	14	0	16	10	
	CUSUM	A	1	8	3					
		B	2	12	5	0	2	0	4	2

-----Meets-----

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

Step 1 - MEETS DESIGNATED GRADE (continuation)

Example 3: (Designated grade B showing all classes of defects)

		S	T	L							
C R I T I C A L	EVM				1			3		1	
	Total Critical				1	0	0	3	0	1	
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1						
		B	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
		C	1	3	3						
S E V E R E	Tough Fiber					1	2			1	
	Blemished					1	1	1	1		
	Total Severe				0	2	3	1	1	1	
	CUSUM	A	0.5	1.5	2						
		B	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
C		1	5	3							
M A J O R	Edible Fiber				1	2	2		2	1	
	Blemished					2	2	1	2	1	
	Total Major				1	4	4	1	4	2	
	CUSUM	A	1	3	3						
		B	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
C		1	6	4							
M I N O R	Damaged				2	4	4	3	1	1	
	Blemished				2	4	2	2	1	1	
	Total Minor				4	8	6	5	2	2	
	Total All Classes				6	14	13	10	7	6	
	T O T A L	CUSUM	A	1	6	4					
B			2	12	5	0	2	3	1	0	0
C			2	17	7						
					-----	----	-----	Meets	-----	-----	

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 2 - FAILS DESIGNATED GRADE.

If the CuSum value exceeds the Acceptance Limit ("L") for one of more classes of defects, the portion of production represented by that sample unit fails requirements of the designated grade.

1. Determine if the sample units meets or fails lower grades:
  - a. Do not recalculate CuSum; and
  - b. Use the sum of T+L, applicable to the lower grade, as the acceptance number for the failed sample unit (maximum number of defects permitted).

Example 1: (Designated grade A showing only major defects)

M A J O R		S	T	L	Fails -----Meets ----- Fails					
	Color				5	2	1	1	12	
	Gouge				3	1	1			
	Total Major				8	3	2	1	12	
	CUSUM	A	1	3	3	3	3	2	0	3
		B	1	6	4	B				
		C	1	9	4					C

Meets grade B (T+L=10)

Meets grade C (T+L=13)

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

Step 2 - FAILS DESIGNATED GRADE (continuation).

Example 2: (Designated grade A showing only severe defects)

M A J O R		S	T	L	----- Meets ----- Fails					
	EVM				1				3	
	Uncolored						1		2	
	Total Severe				1	0	1	0	5	
	CUSUM	A	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0.5
		B	0.4	0.8	1.6					
		C	1.5	1.5	1.5	3				

SSTD

Fails grade B (T+L=2.4)

Fails grade C (T+L=4.5)

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).  
STEP 2 - FAILS DESIGNATED GRADE (continuation).  
Example 3: (Designated grade B showing all classes of defects)

		S	T	L							
C R I T I C A L	EVM				2	2	1	1	5	1	
	Total Critical				2	2	1	1	5	1	
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1						
		B	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	1
		C	1	3	3						
S E V E R E	Tough Fiber				1	4	1	1	1	1	
	Blemished					3	1	1	1		
	Total Severe				1	7	2	2	2	1	
	CUSUM	A	0.5	1.5	2.0						
		B	1	3	3	0	3	2	1	0	0
C		1	5	3		C					
M A J O R	Edible Fiber				2	3	2	3	3	2	
	Blemished				2	2	2	2	2	2	
	Total Major				4	5	4	5	5	4	
	CUSUM	A	1	3	3						
		B	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
C		1	6	4							
M I N O R  T O T A L	Damaged				1	1		4	4	2	
	Blemished				1	1	1		4	2	
	Total Minor				2	2	1	4	8	4	
	Total All Classes				9	16	8	12	20	10	
	CUSUM	A	1	6	4						
B		2	12	5	0	4	0	0	5	3	
C		2	17	7							
					Meets	Fails	-- Meets	----	Fails	Meets	

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 3 - TWO (2) SAMPLE UNITS IN A ROW FAIL THE DESIGNATED GRADE.

If the CuSum value for 2 sample units in a row exceeds a specified Acceptance Limit ("L") of the designated grade for any class of defects:

1. Inspect subsequent production at the lowest grade of the two consecutive failing sample units;
  - a. Start CuSum equal to "S" of the lower grade for all classes of defects;
  - b. Calculate CuSum at the lower grade for all classes of defects;
2. Resume inspection for the designated grade only when three (3) consecutive sample units; 1/
  - a. Are zero (0) CuSum value in the lower grade;
  - b. And the number of defects in each sample unit is less than or equal to the tolerance ("T") of the designated grade for all classes of defects;
3. Restart CuSum equal to "S" of the designated grade for any production which follows the three consecutive sample units that meet.

EXCEPTION: Step 3 does not apply to prerequisites.

Example 1: (does not count as 2 in a row)

Sample Unit Number----- 1 2 3 4 5 6

Prerequisites:

Overall appearance----- A B A A B A  
Flavor and odor----- A A A A A B

Classified defects:

(After computing the  
CuSum value and  
assigning a grade)----- A A B A A A

Sample Unit Grade----- A B B A B B

1/ See also page 38 of this manual (recovery of step 3 failure).

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 3 - TWO (2) SAMPLE UNITS IN A ROW FAIL THE DESIGNATED GRADE (continuation).

Example 2: (Designated grade A showing only severe defects)

S E V E R E		S	T	L							
	Total Severe				2	2	0	0	0	0	
	CUSUM	A	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				0
		B	0.4	0.8	1.6	B	B	0	0	0	
		C	1.5	1.5	3.0						

2 in a row fail grade A

Start CuSum at "S" of grade B

3 in a row meet (0) CuSum  
 at the lower grade and  
 defects do not exceed "T"  
 of the designated grade

Start CuSum at "S" of the designated  
 grade and resume inspection at grade A  
 for all following production

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 3 - TWO (2) SAMPLE UNITS IN A ROW FAIL THE DESIGNATED GRADE (continuation).

Example 3: (Designated grade B showing total all classes of defects)

S E V E R E		S	T	L										
	Total All Classes				17	24	20	18	16	17	15	16	17	
	CUSUM	A	2	12	5									
		B	2	17	7	2	7	7						
		C	3	22	9		C	C	0	0	0	0		

2 in a row fail grade B

Start CuSum at "S" of grade C

3 in a row meet (0) CuSum  
 at the lower grade and  
 defects do not exceed "T"  
 of the designated grade

Start CuSum at "S" of the designated  
 grade and resume inspection at grade B  
 for all following production

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 3 - TWO (2) SAMPLE UNITS IN A ROW FAIL THE DESIGNATED GRADE  
 (continuation).

Example 4: (Designated grade A showing only major defects)

M A J O R		S	T	L										
	Total Major				9	8	14	28	10	8	8	6	9	
	CUSUM	A	1	8	4	2	2	4	4					2
		B	2	12	5			B						
		C	2	17	7									

SSTD

2 in a row fail grade A

Inspect at the lowest grade of  
 the 2 sample unit failures

Ignore computations for SSTD

3 in a row do not exceed "T"  
 of the designated grade

Start CuSum at "S" of the designated  
 grade and resume inspection at grade A  
 for all following production

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 3 - TWO (2) SAMPLE UNITS IN A ROW FAIL THE DESIGNATED GRADE  
(continuation).

Example 5: (Designated grade A showing all classes of defects)

Production Code														
P R E	Overall Color		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		
	Flavor and Odor		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		
	Peel (Area)		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		
C R I T I C A L			S	T	L									
	Pit Material								0					
	Total Critical					0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	CUSUM	A	0.3	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.1	0				0.2	0.1	
		B	0	0.5	0.5				0.5	0	0	0		
C		0	1	1										
S E V E R E	EVM					1	1							
	Off-suture											1		
	Total Severe					1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	CUSUM	A	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0				0.5	0	
		B	0	1	1		B		0	0	0	0		
C		0.5	1.5	2.0										
M A J O R	Color					1	1	2			1			
	Gouge					1		2				1		
	Total Major					2	1	4	0	0	1	0	1	0
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1	1	1	1					0	0
		B	1.5	1.5	3.0			B	0	0	0	0		
C		0	3	2										
M I N O R  T O T A L	Short Stems								1					
	Blemished					1			1	1	1		1	
	Total Minor					1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1
	Total All Classes					4	2	4	3	1	2	0	2	1
	CUSUM	A	1	2	3	3	3	3					1	0
B		1	3	3			B	1	0	0	0			
C		1	5	3										
Sample Unit Grade			---			--Fails			----			-----		
Production Grade														

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 4 - REDESIGNATION OF PRODUCTION GRADE.

If the grade of production is redesignated:

1. To a higher grade;
  - a. Begin CuSum for all classes of defects at the "S" value of the higher grade;
2. To a lower grade;
  - a. Begin CuSum for all classes of defects at the "S" value of the lower grade.

Redesignation of the production grade does not remove the restrictions placed on production by step 3. (See also page 40 of this manual).

Example 1: (Redesignated grade B showing total all classes of defects).

Redesignated grade B

T O T A L		S	T	L										
	Total All Classes				10	18	25	20	12	10	14	13	12	
	CUSUM	A	2	10	5	2	5	5						
		B	2	14	7		B						1	0
C		3	22	9			C	1	0	0	0			

Begin CuSum for all classes of defects equal to "S" of the redesignated grade.

Production must comply with step 3 of this manual before checking for compliance with the redesignated grade B (e.g., zero (0) CuSum at grade C & defects no greater than "T" of grade B).

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCT (continuation).

STEP 4 - REDESIGNATION OF PRODUCTION GRADE (continuation).

Example 2: (Redesignated grade A showing only major defects)

Redesignated grade A

M A J O R		S	T	L										
	Total Major				20	10	22	20	20	0	25	20	22	
	CUSUM	A	3	22	9				1	0	0	3	1	1
		B	4	43	12	0	0	0						
C		6	84	18										

Begin CuSum for all classes of defects equal to "S" of the redesignated grade.

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 4 - REDESIGNATION OF PRODUCTION GRADE (continuation).

Example 3: (Redesignation of grade showing all classes of defects)

Redesignated grade A

Redesignated Grade C

Production Code														
P R E	Similar Varietal Characteristics				A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
	Overall Color				A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
	Flavor and Odor				A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
C R I T I C A L		S	T	L										
	EVM					1		1			2	4	5	
	Total Critical				0	1	0	1	0	0	2	4	5	
	CUSUM	A	1	1	2			0	0	0	0	1		
		B	1.0	2.5	3.0	0	0		0.5	0	0	0		
C		1	4	3								1	2	
S E V E R E	Tough Fiber				1		1	1			1	1	1	
	EVM					1	1	1			1	1	1	
	Total Severe				1	1	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	
	CUSUM	A	1.0	2.5	3.0			0.5	0	0	0	0		
		B	1	4	3	0	0							
C		1	6	4								0	0	
M A J O R	Edible Fiber				1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
	Blemished				1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	
	Total Major				2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	
	CUSUM	A	1	6	4			0	0	0	0	0		
		B	1	10	4	0	0							
C		2	12	5								0	0	
M I N O R  T O T A L	Blemished				1	2	1	2	1		1	2	10	
	Damaged				1	1	1	2	1		1	2	10	
	Total Minor				2	3	2	4	2	0	2	4	20	
	Total All Classes				5	7	6	9	4	0	8	12	20	
	CUSUM	A	2	15	6			0	0	0	0	0		
		B	3	22	9	0	0							
C		4	27	10								0	2	
Sample Unit Grade														
Production Grade														

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 5 - ASSIGNING A GRADE TO PRODUCTION.

When similarly coded production is represented by more than one sample unit, the grade for that production is determined as follows:

1. If each portion of production (period code, subcode, tote bin, pallet, label, drum, etc.) is acceptable for the designated grade, all of the production is accepted for the designated grade.
2. If any portion of production is not acceptable for the designated grade, all of that portion of production fails the designated grade.
3. A portion of production may be accepted by one sample unit grade.

Example 1: (Designated grade A showing only code segregation policy)

Time: 0800 0830 0900 0930 1000 1030 1100 1130 1200

Production Code:

CFGB/71228 \_\_\_\_\_

Period Code: A \_\_\_\_\_ B \_\_\_\_\_ C \_\_\_\_\_ D \_\_\_\_\_ E \_\_\_\_\_

Sample Unit Grade: A B A A A A B A A

Production Grade: B \_\_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_ B \_\_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_

All production up to 0900 fails the designated grade

All production from 0900 up to 1100 meets the designated grade

All production from 1100 up to 1200 fails the designated grade

HOW TO INSPECT PRODUCTION (continuation).

STEP 5 - ASSIGNING A GRADE TO PRODUCTION (continuation).

Example 2: (Designated grade A showing all classes of defects)

Production Code				A	--	---	B	--	--	C	----	----		
P R E	Overall Color			A	A	A	A	A	B	A	A	A		
	Flavor and Odor			A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		
	Peel (Area)			A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		
C R I T I C A L				S	T	L								
	Pit Material													
	Total Critical			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
	CUSUM	A	0.3	0.1	0.9	0.2	0.1	0	0	0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
		B	0	0.5	0.5									
C		0	1	1										
S E V E R E	EVM													
	Off-suture													
	Total Severe			0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		
	CUSUM	A	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0	0
		B	0	1	1									
C		0.5	1.5	2										
M A J O R	Color													
	Gouge													
	Total Major			0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0		
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		B	1.5	1.5	3									
C		0	3	2										
M I N O R  - T O T A L	Short Stems			1			1					1		
	Blemished			1	1	1	2	1	1	0	1	1		
	Total Minor			2	1	1	3	1	1	0	1	2		
	Total All Classes			2	2	4	3	2	2	1	1	2		
	CUSUM	A	1	2	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	1
B		1	3	3				B						
C		1	5	3										
Sample Unit Grade				A	A	A	B	A	B	A	A	A		
Production Grade				A	---	---	B	---	---	A	---	---		

STEP 5 - ASSIGNING A GRADE TO PRODUCTION (continuation).

Example 3: (Designated grade B showing all classes of defects)

Redesignated grade A

Redesignated Grade C

Production Code				X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	
P R E	Similar Varietal Characteristics			A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
	Overall Color			A	A	A	A	A	B	A	A	A	
	Flavor and Odor			A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
C R I T I C A L		S	T	L									
	EVM			1		1		1		1		6	
	Total Critical			1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	6	
	CUSUM	A	1	1	2		1	0	0	0	0	0	
		B	1.0	2.5	3	0	0						
C		1	6	4								2	
S E V E R E	Tough Fiber			1						1	1	2	
	EVM			1		1	1			1		5	
	Total Severe			2	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	7	
	CUSUM	A	1.0	2.5	3		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		B	1	4	3	0	0						
C		1	6	4								2	
M A J O R	Edible Fiber			1		1	1			1	2		
	Blemished			1		1	1			4	2	2	
	Total Major			2	0	2	2	0	0	5	4	2	
	CUSUM	A	1	6	4		0	0	0	0	0	0	
		B	1	10	4	0	0						
C		2	12	5								0	
M I N O R	Blemished			3		1	2	2	2	1	1	1	
	Damaged			2		1	2	2	2	1	1	1	
	Total Minor			5	0	2	4	4	4	2	2	2	
	Total All Classes			10	0	6	7	5	4	10	7	17	
	T O T A L	CUSUM	A	2	15	6		0	0	0	0	0	
B			4	27	10	0	0						
C			4	27	10							0	
Sample Unit Grade				B	B	A	A	A	B	A	A	C	
Production Grade				B	---	A	---	---	B	A	---	C	

APPENDIX.

1. Recovery of production which follows a step 3 failure.

When production fails the designated grade for 2 sample units in a row, inspection cannot be resumed for the designated grade until 3 consecutive sample units are zero (0) CuSum value at the lower grade and defects do not exceed "T" of the designated grade (see step 3 of this manual, page 27).

Production represented by the 3 consecutive sample units that meet may be recovered and assigned the designated grade, provided, that (1) the code (period code, subcode, tote, pallet, label, drum, etc.) is changed often enough to separate passing production from failing production; (2) the production in question is capable of being recovered and is not buried in the warehouse or freezer storage (e.g., frozen peas stored in silos probably cannot be recovered); (3) the tally sheet is recorded in a manner which will verify that the production may be recovered; and (4) the production meets step 3 of this manual, page 27.

Example 1: (Designated grade A showing only total defects)

Production Code				A	B	---	---	C	---	---	D	---			
T O T A L				S	T	L									
	Total All Classes						12	16	14	14	12	10	10	11	12
	CUSUM	A	2	12	5	2	5	5						1	1
		B	2	17	7		B	B	0	0	0	0			
C		3	22	9											
Sample Unit Grade				B	B	A	A	A	B	A	A	C			
Production Grade				B	---	A	---	---	B	A	---	C			

2 in a row fail

3 in a row meet  
 and may be recovered  
 for the designated  
 grade A

APPENDIX.

1. Recovery of production which follows a step 3 failure (continuation).

Example 2: (Designated grade B showing only major defects)

Production Code				X1	X2	X3	X4	X5	X6	X7	X8	X9	
M A J O R		S	T	L									
	Total Major				1	4	3	2	2	1	1	1	1
	CUSUM	A	1.0	0.5	2								
		B	1.5	1.5	3	1	3	3					1
C		1	3	3		C	C	0	0	0	0	0	
Sample Unit Grade				B	C	C	---	UN	CON	FIR M	ED	B	
Production Grade				B	C	---	---	---	B	---	---	---	

2 in a row fail

Recovered

Example 3: (Designated grade A showing only total defects)

Redesignated grade B

Production stopped

Production Code				M1	M2	M3		M4	M5	M6	M7	
T O T A L		S	T	L								
	Total All Classes				8	10	18		14	13	10	9
	CUSUM	A	1	6	4	3	4	4				
		B	2	12	5			B				
C		3	18	9			C	0	0	0	0	
Sample Unit Grade				A	B	C	---	UN	CON	FIR M	ED	
Production Grade				A	B	C	---	---	---	---	---	---

Line shut down 15 minutes & cleaned  
Not recovered

APPENDIX.

1. Recovery of production which follows a step 3 failure (continuation).

Example 4: (Redesignated grade B following 2 failures in a row).

Redesignated grade B

Production Code					C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	
T O T A L			S	T	L									
	Total All Classes					10	18	25	20	12	10	14	13	12
	CUSUM	A	2	10	5	2	5	5						
		B	2	14	7		B						1	0
C		3	22	9			C	1	0	0	0			
Sample Unit Grade					A	B	C	---	UN	CON	FIR M	ED	B	
Production Grade					A	B	C	---	B	---	---	---	---	

Recovered

Example 5: (Recovery of Substandard)

Production Code					GB1	GB2	GB3	GB4	GB5	GB6	GB7	GB8	GB9	
M A J O R			S	T	L									
	Total Major					9	8	14	28	10	8	8	6	9
	CUSUM	A	2	12	5	2	2	4	4					2
		B	2	12	5			B						
C		2	17	7										
Sample Unit Grade					A	A	B	SST D	UN	CON	FIR M	ED	A	
Production Grade					A		B	SST D	---	A	---	---	---	

Recovered

APPENDIX.

2. Tote bin inspection (or other large units such as drums).

For tote bin inspection or drum inspection, use the procedure as described in this manual (steps 1 through 5, and appendix). Totes and drums may be inspected on a unit-by-unit basis, if the applicant desires.

The standard sample unit size (200 green beans, 50 peas, 100 strawberries, etc.) may be drawn at random from the container or a composite may be drawn from each unit selected for sampling.

1	1/3 of sample unit from top tier	1
tote	1/3 of sample unit from mid-tier	sample
or drum	1/3 of sample unit from bottom tier	unit

Tote-by-tote or drum-by-drum inspection does not change the requirements for production as outlined under step 3 of this manual. If two totes in a row fail the designated grade, 3 units in a row must comply with step 3 before production may be returned to the designated grade.

APPENDIX.

2. Tote bin inspection (continuation).

Example 2: (Designated grade A showing only total defects)

Tote Bin or Drum No.					BK1	BK2	BK3	BK4	BK5	BK6	BK7	BK8	BK9		
T O T A L				S	T	L									
	Total All Classes						10	10	5	8	25	5	8	7	35
	CUSUM	A	1	8	4	3	4	1	1	4	1	1	0	4	
		B	2	14	7			B							
C		3	22	9			C								
Sample Unit Grade					A	B	A	---	C	A	---	---	SSTD		
Production Grade					A	B	A	---	C	A	---	---	SSTD		

Example 3: (Designated grade A showing only total defects)

Tote Bin or Drum No.					C5	C10	C15	C20	C25	C30	C35	C40	C45		
T O T A L				S	T	L									
	Total All Classes						6	12	12	6	5	0	6	4	5
	CUSUM	A	1	6	4	1	4	4				1	0	0	
		B	2	12	5		B	B	0	0	0				
C		3	18	9											
Sample Unit Grade					A	B	---	UN	CON	FIR M	ED	---	---		
Production Grade					A	B	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		

Sample unit drawn every 5th container. Thus, 10 thru 19 are grade B.

APPENDIX.

3. Reinspection of failed production.

Failed production or any portion of production may be accepted as a lot on its own or with other production of the same grade (quality level) and is considered the grade assigned at the time of production. Any request for reinspection of production failed under the CuSum plan must be accomplished as an appeal lot inspection. However, the product may be reexamined at any time to update certification or verify for condition.

4. Modification of sampling frequency.

The time sampling frequency may be adjusted, if it is necessary, following a production failure to meet the designated grade. This is important if production is being sampled at a low or medium frequency. Otherwise, a severe penalty would be imposed on the producer by just a small pocket of lower quality production. But, this flexibility of sampling frequency shall not be abused. (Only you can determine if the sampling frequency is being abused).

Example 1: (Designated grade A showing only total defects)

Time	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
	8	8	9	0	0	0	1	1	1
	0	4	3	1	3	4	0	1	3
	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0

Production Code				FS1	FS2	FS3	FS4	FS5	FS6	FS7	FS8	FS9		
T O T A L	S			T	L									
	Total All Classes			3			3	3	6	6	3	2	3	2
	CUSUM			A	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	3		0
				B	1	5	3			B	B	0	0	0
			C	1	6	4								
Sample Unit Grade				A	---	---	B	UN	CON	FIRM	ED	A		
Production Grade				A	---	---	B	---	A	---	---	---		

Medium frequency

Changed to optional frequency



APPENDIX.

5. Mold counting example using 135-A-8 (continuation).

DEFECT ACTION LEVEL: 40% PRODUCT: Tomato Paste																										
CODE:			DRUM #8					DRUM #11					Howard Method													
TIME:																										
DATE:									-	-	-	+			-											
SAMPLE RATE:									-	+	-	-			+											
									-	-	-	+			-											
(See page 44)									-	+	-	-			+											
									+	-	+	-	-													
TOTAL POS. FIELDS			14					8																		
S	T	L																								
1	10	4	1+14-10=5					4+8-10=2																		
MEETS/FAILS			Fails					Meets					ACC REJ													
COUNTER:																										
Optional method:															Drum #9					+	-	-	+	-		
																				+	-	+	-	-		
																				+	-	+	-	+		
																				-	+	-	-	+		
																				+	-	+	+	-		
																				+	+	-	-	+		
																				-	-	+	+	-		
																				+	-	-	+	-		
																				+	+	+	-	+		
																				-	+	-	+	-		
															DRUM #10					+	-	-	+	-		
																				-	+	-	-	+		
																				-	-	+	-	-		
																				+	-	-	-	-		
																				-	-	-	+	-		
																				+	-	+	-	-		
																				+	-	-	+	-		
																				-	-	+	-	+		
																				-	-	+	-	-		
																				+	-	-	-	-		
X																										
X																										

APPENDIX.

6. How to convert to tighter AQLs.

Some persons (processors, private label buyers, quality assurance personnel, etc.) have in the past required that production be better than a minimum grade limit as defined in the U.S. standards (such as bottom grade A). These persons developed their own specifications.

With the CuSum plan, a limit may be placed on production so that a minimum grade level is clearly unacceptable. The easiest way to do this is to tighten the specified AQL. The CuSum plan offers this flexibility and also indicates the chances of passing a level of defects worse than the AQL. Also, the selection of the appropriate plan (13, 25, 50, 100 or 200) may reduce the chances of accepting bad quality.

With the CuSum plan, the larger the standard sample unit size, the better the protection against poorer quality. However, there is a "trade-off." Large sample unit sizes increase the time and costs of inspection. The desired protection should be practical.

- a. Use as a base of operation, the AQLs that are applicable for the designated grade in the U.S. standards.

Example:

U.S. GRADE B				
	Total	Major	Severe	Critical
AQL <sup>1/</sup>	20.0	10.0	2.5	0.65

<sup>1/</sup> AQL expressed as defects per 100 units.

APPENDIX.

6. How to convert to tighter AQLs (continuation).
- b. Use the standard sample unit size applicable for the product. If the sample unit size is changed, the protection against poorer quality would change. However, the sample unit size may be changed, if desired.

Example:

"Section 2852.2564 Recommended Sample Unit Sizes.

\* \* \*

Halves; Quarters -- 25 Units"

- c. Refer to the appropriate CuSum table that you're using.

Example:

TABLE VII  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS

STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25

AQL	S	T	L	QUALITY LEVELS	
				Pa=50%	Pa=10%
QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS OR PERCENT DEFECTIVE					
* * *					
QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS ONLY					
* * *					
20.0	1	6	4	29.7	42.7
* * *					

APPENDIX.

6. How to convert to tighter AQLs (continuation).
- d. Tolerance ("T") method: Use the tolerance ("T") as the number of defects that you're willing to accept.

Example:

TABLE VII  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS

STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25

AQL	S	T	L	QUALITY LEVELS Pa=50% Pa=10%	
QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS OR PERCENT DEFECTIVE					
*** 10.0	1	3	3	16.7	27.1
QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS ONLY					
12.5	1	4	3	21.0	32.4
15.0	1	5	3	25.2	37.6
20.0	1	6	3	29.7	42.7
***					
			Start with the bottom of the grade  Read up vertically  If you're willing to accept 5 defects, specify the 15.0 AQL (total).  If you're willing to accept 4 defects, specify the 12.5 AQL (total).  If you're willing to accept 3 defects, specify the 10.0 AQL (total).		

APPENDIX.

- 6. How to convert to tighter AQLs (continuation).
  - e. AQL method: Use the AQL as the number of defects that you're willing to accept in 100 units.

Example:

TABLE VII  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS

STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25

AQL	S	T	L	QUALITY LEVELS	
				Pa=50%	Pa=10%
QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS OR PERCENT DEFECTIVE					
***					
5.0	1.5	1.5	3	9.1	16.3
6.5	1	2	3	12.2	21.5
8.5	0	3	2	16.4	27.1
10.0	1	3	3	16.7	27.1
***					
<p style="text-align: right;">Start with the bottom of the grade</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Read up vertically</p> <p style="text-align: right;">If you're willing to accept 8.5 defects per 100 units, specify the 8.5 AQL (major).</p> <p style="text-align: right;">If you're willing to accept 6.5 defects per 100 units, specify the 6.5 AQL (major).</p>					

APPENDIX.

6. How to convert to tighter AQLs (continuation)
  - f. Limiting quality method ( $P_a=10\%$ ): Use the  $P_a=10\%$  as a guide to the worst quality that you're willing to accept.

Example:

TABLE VII  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS

STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25

AQL	S	T	L	Quality Levels	
				P <sub>a</sub> =50%	P <sub>a</sub> =10%

QUALITY LEVELS EXPRESSED AS DEFECTS PER HUNDRED UNITS OR PERCENT DEFECTIVE


1.0	0	0.5	0.5	4.0	10.0
1.5	1	0.5	2	7.5	10.0
2.5	0	1	1	9.0	15.7

Start with the bottom of the grade

Read up vertically


If you're not willing to accept 15.7 defects/100 units, try the next most restrictive AQL (severe).

If you're willing to accept 10.0 defects/100 units, specify the 1.5 AQL (severe).



APPENDIX.

- 6. How to convert to tighter AQLs (continuation).
  - g. From a practical standpoint, AQLs for the more serious defects (major, severe and critical) should be tightened less than the AQLs for the less serious defects (total all classes).



APPENDIX.

6. How to convert to tighter AQLs (continuation).
- h. If you change the standard sample unit size, the protection against acceptance of poorer quality would also change.

Example:

TABLE VII  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS


STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25

AQL	S	T	L	P <sub>α</sub> =50%	P <sub>α</sub> =10%
1.5	1	0.5	2	4.3	10.0

TABLE VI  
CUSUM SAMPLING PLANS

STANDARD SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 13

AQL	S	T	L	P <sub>α</sub> =50%	P <sub>α</sub> =10%
1.5	0	0.5	0.5	7.7	19.2



APPENDIX.

7. How to reevaluate a defect tally sheet.

It is possible to reevaluate a previously filled in defect tally sheet to determine if past production would meet a specification not used at the time of the original grading. This situation could occur if production was sold several months after packing.

The defect tally sheet is a history of production. It contains the order of production, the number of units examined, the number of classified defects, and the record of prerequisite quality factors.

Normally, a buyer's specification would be expected to place a limitation on specific defects other than what the product was originally graded against.

The defect tally sheet may be reevaluated several different ways -- transpose to a new tally sheet or superimpose on the old tally sheet. If there is any information on the old tally sheet which would reveal another buyer's position, a new tally sheet should be completed.

There is a "trap" in reevaluating a defect tally sheet -- that is failed production. It must be considered because the order of production would influence acceptance or rejection of portions of production. In reevaluating a defect tally sheet, don't bypass failed production even though that production may have been removed from the lot.

The example on the next page of this manual shows the reevaluation of a defect tally sheet by superimposing a buyer's AQLs on the old tally sheet.

APPENDIX.

7. How to reevaluate a defect tally sheet (continuation).

Example: (Designated grade B showing all classes of defects)

Foods, Top B Label:

Meets -----Meets-----

Production Code				CY C1			CYC2		CY C3		CYC4			
P R E	Overall Color				A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
	Flavor and Odor				A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
	Peel (Area)				A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
C R I T I C A L				S	T	L	25 + 25 + 25 + 25 + 25 + 25 + 25 + 25 + 25							
	Pit Material										1			
	Total Critical							0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	CUSUM	A	0.3	0.1	0.9									
		B	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0
C		0	1	1										
S E V E R E	EVM													
	Off-suture							1			1	1		
	Total Severe							1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	CUSUM	A	0	0.5	0.5									
		B	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
C		0.5	1.5	2										
M A J O R	Color													
	Gouge							1		1	1	1	1	1
	Total Major							1	0	1	1	1	1	1
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1									
		B	1.5	1.5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
C		0	3	3										
M I N O R  T O T A L	Short Stems													
	Blemished							1	1	1	4	1	1	1
	Total Minor							1	1	1	4	1	1	1
	Total All Classes							3	1	2	7	3	2	2
	CUSUM	A	1	2	3									
B		1	3	3	1	0	0	3	3	2	1	0		
C		1	5	3										
Sample Unit Grade				B	---	---	C	B	---	---	---	---		
Production Grade				B	---	---	C		B	---	---	---		

\* NOTE: Two-in-row rule, step 3, does not apply to reevaluated tally sheet.

APPENDIX.

8. How to use the verification sampling plans.

It is possible to evaluate a small sample to indicate the reliability of the original evaluation of a larger sample; or, to indicate if there has been a change in quality since the original evaluation; or, to audit another grader.

The advantage of the verification sampling plan is to reduce the time required for regrading -- a small sample could be graded faster than a large sample. Then, if the verification sample indicates the original evaluation is unreliable, other methods could be used to reevaluate the quality of the lot (such as regrading at the full sample size).

As with all sampling plans, the verification sampling plan is subject to sampling risks (or sampling variation).

The purpose of this instruction is to show how to use the verification sampling plan. This instruction does not give guidelines for the circumstances under which the plan may be used.

To use the verification sampling plan, the procedure is as follows:

- a. Obtain the original grading record (tally sheet).

Example:

"TALLY SHEET FOR CANNED \_\_\_\_\_"

APPENDIX.

8. How to use the verification sampling plan (continuation).
- b. Determine the standard sample unit size that was used for the original inspection.

Example 1:

"TALLY SHEET FOR CANNED \_\_\_\_\_ - SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25 HALVES"

Example 2:

"TALLY SHEET FOR FROZEN \_\_\_\_\_ - SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 1000 g"

- c. Determine the defects per 100 units for each class of defects (total, major, severe and critical) that were found in the original inspection.

Example 1: (defects by count)

\*\*\*

M A J O R	Color			25 +	25 +	25 +	25 +	25 +	25 +	25 +	25 +	25 + = 200	
	Blemished			1		1	1	1		1	1		
	Total Major			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 = 8		
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1								
		B	1.5	1.5	3	1	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	
		C	0	3	2								

8 sample units X 25 units =

.04  
200 / 8.00 X 100 = 4 defects/100 units.

APPENDIX.

8. How to use the verification sampling plan (continuation).
  - c. Determine the defects per 100 units for each class of defects (total, major, severe and critical) that were found in the original inspection (continuation).

Example 2: (defects by weight/40 g increments)

\* \* \*

M A J O R	Color					1				1		
	Blemished					1	1	1	1		1	1
	Total Major					1	1	1	1	1	1	1 = 8
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1							
		B	1.5	1.5	3	1	0.5	0	0	0	0	0
		C	0	3	2							

8 sample units X 1000 g =

$$\frac{8000}{40} \times \frac{.04}{8.00} \times 100 = 4 \text{ defects/100 units.}$$

Each 40 g increment equals 1 defect

Example 3: (defects by weight/8 g increments)

8 sample units X 200 g =

$$\frac{1600}{8} \times \frac{.04}{8.00} \times 100 = 4 \text{ defects/100 units.}$$

Each 8g increment equals 1 defect

APPENDIX.

8. How to use the verification sampling plan (continuation).
  - d. Select the verification sampling plan that matches the appropriate standard sample unit size used for the original inspection. There are 5 tables of verification plans.

Example:

" TABLE I  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLANS  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 13  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 13 "

" TABLE II  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLANS  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 13 "

" TABLE III  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLANS  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 50  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 25 "

" TABLE IV  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLANS  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 100  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 50 "

" TABLE V  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLANS  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 200  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 100 "

APPENDIX.

8. How to use the verification sampling plan (continuation).
- e. Perform the inspection of the verification sample that you've drawn. Evaluate all prerequisite quality factors and all classified quality factors (total, major, severe and critical).
  - f. Check the defects per 100 units that were found in the original inspection against the number of defects you've found in the verification sample for all classes of defects (total, major, severe and critical).

Example:

Original inspection:

M A J O R			25 +		25 +		25 +		25 +		25 +		25 +		25	
	Color						1						1			
	Blemished				1				1		1		1		1	
	Total Major				1		1		1		1		1		1 = 4/100	
	CUSUM		A	0	1	1										
			B	1.5	1.5	3	1	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			C	0	3	2										

Verification inspection:

M A J O R			13 +		13 +		13 +		13 +		13 +		13	
	Color						1		1					
	Blemished				1		1		1					
	Total Major				1		1		1		1		0 = 5	
	CUSUM		A	0	1	1								
			B	1.5	1.5	3							0 0	
			C	0	3	2								

APPENDIX.

8. How to use the verification sampling plan (continuation).
- g. Accept or reject the verification sample based on the results of the check between the original inspection and the verification sample.

Example:

Original inspection:

M A J O R	Color						1				1		
	Blemished					1		1	1	1		1	1
	Total Major					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 = 4/100 *
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1								
		B	1.5	1.5	3	1	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
		C	0	3	2								

TABLE II  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLANS  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 13

DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE
0.00 - 0.2	1
0.21 - 0.7	2
0.71 - 1.2	3
1.21 - 1.9	4
1.91 - 2.7	5
2.71 - 3.6	6
3.61 - 4.4 *	7 ** ----- (meets)

Verification inspection:

M A J O R	Color							1		1			
	Blemished					1	1		1				
	Total Major					1	1	1	1	1	0		= 5 **
	CUSUM	A	0	1	1								
		B	1.5	1.5	3								
		C	0	3	2								

TABLE I  
 VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLAN  
 ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 13  
 VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 13

DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE	DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE
0.00 - 0.5	2	75.01 - 77.0	76
0.51 - 0.9	3	77.01 - 80.0	78
0.91 - 1.7	4	80.01 - 83.0	81
1.71 - 2.4	5	83.01 - 87.0	84
2.41 - 3.1	6	87.01 - 90.0	87
3.11 - 3.8	7	90.01 - 94.0	90
3.81 - 4.8	8	94.01 - 97.0	93
4.81 - 5.6	9	97.01 - 100.0	96
5.61 - 6.5	10	100.01 - 104.0	99
6.51 - 7.4	11	104.01 - 107.0	102
7.41 - 8.2	12	107.01 - 111.0	105
8.21 - 9.2	13	111.01 - 114.0	108
9.21 - 10.0	14	114.01 - 118.0	111
10.01 - 11.0	15	118.01 - 121.0	114
11.01 - 12.0	16	121.01 - 125.0	117
12.01 - 13.0	17	125.01 - 128.0	120
13.01 - 14.0	18	128.01 - 132.5	123
14.01 - 15.0	19	132.51 - 137.0	127
15.01 - 16.0	20	137.01 - 141.5	131
16.01 - 17.0	21	141.51 - 146.5	135
17.01 - 18.0	22	146.51 - 151.0	139
18.01 - 19.0	23	151.01 - 156.0	143
19.01 - 20.0	24	156.01 - 160.0	147
20.01 - 21.0	25	160.01 - 165.0	151
21.01 - 22.0	26	165.01 - 170.0	155
22.01 - 23.0	27	170.01 - 174.0	159
23.01 - 24.0	28	174.01 - 179.0	163
24.01 - 25.0	29	179.01 - 184.0	167
25.01 - 26.0	30	184.01 - 188.0	171
26.01 - 27.0	31	188.01 - 193.5	175
27.01 - 28.0	32	193.51 - 198.0	179
28.01 - 29.0	33	198.01 - 203.0	183
29.01 - 31.0	34	203.01 - 207.0	187
31.01 - 33.0	36	207.01 - 213.0	191
33.01 - 35.0	38	213.01 - 217.5	195
35.01 - 37.0	40	217.51 - 222.0	199
37.01 - 39.2	42	222.01 - 226.1	203
39.21 - 42.0	44	226.11 - 232.0	207
42.01 - 43.0	46	232.01 - 237.0	212
43.01 - 45.7	48	237.01 - 242.0	216
45.71 - 48.0	50	242.01 - 247.0	220
48.01 - 50.0	52	247.01 - 251.0	224
50.01 - 52.5	54	251.01 - 257.0	228
52.51 - 55.0	56	257.01 - 263.0	233
55.01 - 57.0	58	263.01 - 268.0	238
57.01 - 59.0	60	268.01 - 274.9	243
59.01 - 61.0	62	274.91 - 281.0	248
61.01 - 64.0	64	281.01 - 288.0	253
64.01 - 66.0	66	288.01 - 293.5	259
66.01 - 68.0	68	293.51 - 300.0	264
68.01 - 70.0	70	300.01 - 306.0	269
70.01 - 73.0	72	-	
73.01 - 75.0	74		

TABLE II  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLAN  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 25  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 13

DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE	DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE
0.00 - 0.2	1	55.01 - 58.0	57
0.21 - 0.7	2	58.01 - 61.0	60
0.71 - 1.2	3	61.01 - 64.9	63
1.21 - 1.9	4	64.91 - 68.0	66
1.91 - 2.7	5	68.01 - 71.2	69
2.71 - 3.6	6	71.21 - 75.0	72
3.61 - 4.4	7	75.01 - 78.0	75
4.41 - 5.3	8	78.01 - 81.9	78
5.31 - 6.1	9	81.91 - 85.0	81
6.11 - 7.1	10	85.01 - 88.9	84
7.11 - 7.9	11	88.91 - 92.0	87
7.91 - 8.9	12	92.01 - 96.0	90
8.91 - 9.9	13	96.01 - 99.0	93
9.91 - 10.8	14	99.01 - 103.0	96
10.81 - 11.5	15	103.01 - 106.0	99
11.51 - 12.8	16	106.01 - 110.0	102
12.81 - 13.5	17	110.01 - 113.0	105
13.51 - 15.0	18	113.01 - 117.0	108
15.01 - 15.5	19	117.01 - 122.0	112
15.51 - 17.0	20	122.01 - 126.0	116
17.01 - 17.5	21	126.01 - 131.0	120
17.51 - 19.0	22	-	
19.01 - 20.0	23		
20.01 - 21.0	24		
21.01 - 22.0	25		
22.01 - 23.0	26		
23.01 - 24.0	27		
24.01 - 25.0	28		
25.01 - 26.0	29		
26.01 - 27.0	30		
27.01 - 28.5	31		
28.51 - 29.0	32		
29.01 - 30.9	33		
30.91 - 33.0	35		
33.01 - 35.0	37		
35.01 - 37.5	39		
37.51 - 39.5	41		
39.81 - 42.0	43		
42.01 - 44.0	45		
44.01 - 46.0	47		
46.01 - 48.8	49		
48.81 - 50.2	51		
50.21 - 53.0	53		
53.01 - 55.0	55		

VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLAN  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 50  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 25

DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE	DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE
0.00 - 0.1	1	40.81 - 42.4	78
0.11 - 0.35	2	42.41 - 44.5	81
0.36 - 0.65	3	44.51 - 46.0	84
0.66 - 1.05	4	46.01 - 48.0	87
1.06 - 1.45	5	48.01 - 49.8	90
1.46 - 1.9	6	49.81 - 52.0	93
1.91 - 2.3	7	52.01 - 54.0	97
2.31 - 2.7	8	54.01 - 56.6	101
2.71 - 3.2	9	56.61 - 59.0	105
3.21 - 3.7	10	59.01 - 61.5	109
3.71 - 4.1	11	61.51 - 64.0	113
4.11 - 4.7	12	64.01 - 66.0	117
4.71 - 5.1	13	66.01 - 69.0	121
5.11 - 5.7	14	69.01 - 71.0	125
5.71 - 6.1	15	71.01 - 73.5	129
6.11 - 6.7	16	73.51 - 76.0	133
6.71 - 7.2	17	76.01 - 79.0	137
7.21 - 7.7	18	79.01 - 82.0	142
7.71 - 8.3	19	82.01 - 85.0	147
8.31 - 8.8	20	85.01 - 88.0	152
8.81 - 9.3	21	88.01 - 91.0	157
9.31 - 9.9	22	91.01 - 94.0	162
9.91 - 10.3	23	94.01 - 98.0	167
10.31 - 11.0	24	98.01 - 101.0	173
11.01 - 11.5	25	101.01 - 105.0	179
11.51 - 12.0	26		
12.01 - 12.5	27		
12.51 - 13.0	28		
13.01 - 13.5	29		
13.51 - 14.4	30		
14.41 - 15.5	32		
15.51 - 16.5	34		
16.51 - 17.8	36		
17.81 - 18.9	38		
18.91 - 20.0	40		
20.01 - 21.1	42		
21.11 - 22.4	44		
22.41 - 23.5	46		
23.51 - 24.5	48		
24.51 - 26.0	50		
26.01 - 27.0	52		
27.01 - 28.0	54		
28.01 - 29.0	56		
29.01 - 30.6	58		
30.61 - 32.0	60		
32.01 - 33.7	63		
33.71 - 35.4	66		
35.41 - 37.0	69		
37.01 - 39.0	72		
39.01 - 40.8	75		

DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE	DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE
0.00 - 0.05	1	21.21 - 22.2	81
0.06 - 0.17	2	22.21 - 23.0	84
0.18 - 0.33	3	23.01 - 24.0	87
0.34 - 0.5	4	24.01 - 24.8	90
0.51 - 0.7	5	24.81 - 25.7	93
0.71 - 0.95	6	25.71 - 26.8	96
0.96 - 1.15	7	26.81 - 28.0	100
1.16 - 1.35	8	28.01 - 29.2	104
1.36 - 1.6	9	29.21 - 30.4	108
1.61 - 1.8	10	30.41 - 31.7	112
1.81 - 2.1	11	31.71 - 32.8	116
2.11 - 2.3	12	32.81 - 34.0	120
2.31 - 2.6	13	34.01 - 35.5	124
2.61 - 2.8	14	35.51 - 36.8	128
2.81 - 3.1	15	36.81 - 38.2	133
3.11 - 3.3	16	38.21 - 39.7	138
3.31 - 3.6	17	39.71 - 41.0	143
3.61 - 3.9	18	41.01 - 42.5	147
3.91 - 4.1	19	42.51 - 43.8	151
4.11 - 4.4	20	43.81 - 45.0	156
4.41 - 4.7	21	45.01 - 46.6	160
4.71 - 4.9	22	46.61 - 48.0	165
4.91 - 5.2	23	48.01 - 49.5	170
5.21 - 5.5	24	49.51 - 51.2	175
5.51 - 5.7	25	51.21 - 52.7	180
5.71 - 6.0	26	52.71 - 54.0	185
6.01 - 6.3	27	54.01 - 55.8	190
6.31 - 6.6	28	55.81 - 57.3	195
6.61 - 6.8	29	57.31 - 59.0	200
6.81 - 7.1	30	59.01 - 60.4	205
7.11 - 7.4	31	60.41 - 62.0	210
7.41 - 7.7	32	62.01 - 63.8	215
7.71 - 7.9	33	63.81 - 65.2	220
7.91 - 8.3	34	65.21 - 66.8	225
8.31 - 8.9	36	-	
8.91 - 9.4	38		
9.41 - 10.0	40		
10.01 - 10.6	42		
10.61 - 11.2	44		
11.21 - 11.7	46		
11.71 - 12.5	48		
12.51 - 13.3	51		
13.31 - 14.0	54		
14.01 - 14.5	56		
14.51 - 15.3	58		
15.31 - 16.0	60		
16.01 - 16.8	63		
16.81 - 17.7	66		
17.71 - 18.5	69		
18.51 - 19.5	72		
19.51 - 20.3	75		
20.31 - 21.2	78		

TABLE V  
VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLAN  
ON-LINE SAMPLE UNIT SIZE = 200  
VERIFICATION SAMPLE SIZE = 6 X 100

DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE	DEFECTS/100 UNITS IN ON-LINE SAMPLE	MAXIMUM NO. OF DEFECTS IN VERIFICATION SAMPLE
0.00 - 0.025	1	14.01 - 14.6	104

0.026 - 0.09	2	14.61 - 15.2	108
0.091 - 0.165	3	15.21 - 15.8	112
0.166 - 0.255	4	15.81 - 16.4	116
0.256 - 0.36	5	16.41 - 17.0	120
0.361 - 0.45	6	17.01 - 17.7	124
0.46 - 0.55	7	17.71 - 18.3	128
0.56 - 0.7	8	18.31 - 19.0	133
0.71 - 0.8	9	19.01 - 19.7	138
0.81 - 0.9	10	19.71 - 20.5	143
0.91 - 1.05	11	20.51 - 21.2	147
1.06 - 1.15	12	21.21 - 21.9	151
1.16 - 1.3	13	21.91 - 22.5	156
1.31 - 1.4	14	22.51 - 23.3	160
1.41 - 1.5	15	23.31 - 24.0	165
1.51 - 1.7	16	24.01 - 24.8	170
1.71 - 1.8	17	24.81 - 25.6	175
1.81 - 1.9	18	25.61 - 26.4	180
1.91 - 2.1	19	26.41 - 27.0	185
2.11 - 2.2	20	27.01 - 27.9	190
2.21 - 2.3	21	27.91 - 28.6	195
2.31 - 2.45	22	28.61 - 29.4	200
2.46 - 2.6	23	29.41 - 30.2	205
2.61 - 2.7	24	30.21 - 31.0	210
2.71 - 2.9	25	31.01 - 31.8	215
2.91 - 3.0	26	31.81 - 32.5	220
3.01 - 3.1	27	32.51 - 33.4	225
3.11 - 3.3	28	33.41 - 34.2	231
3.31 - 3.4	29	34.21 - 35.2	236
3.41 - 3.6	30	35.21 - 36.0	241
3.61 - 3.7	31	36.01 - 36.6	246
3.71 - 3.8	32	36.61 - 37.4	251
3.81 - 4.0	33	37.41 - 38.3	256
4.01 - 4.3	35	38.31 - 39.0	262
4.31 - 4.6	37	39.01 - 39.9	267
4.61 - 4.9	39	39.91 - 40.7	272
4.91 - 5.2	41	40.71 - 41.6	277
5.21 - 5.4	43	41.61 - 42.2	282
5.41 - 5.8	45	42.21 - 43.0	287
5.81 - 6.0	47	43.01 - 44.0	292
6.01 - 6.3	49	44.01 - 44.7	298
6.31 - 6.6	51	44.71 - 45.8	304
6.61 - 6.9	53	45.81 - 47.0	311
6.91 - 7.2	55	47.01 - 48.2	318
7.21 - 7.5	57	48.21 - 49.0	325
7.51 - 7.8	59	49.01 - 50.3	332
7.81 - 8.1	61	50.31 - 51.4	339
8.11 - 8.6	64	51.41 - 52.5	346
8.61 - 9.0	67	52.51 - 53.6	354
9.01 - 9.4	70	53.61 - 54.9	361
9.41 - 9.9	73	54.91 - 56.2	368
9.91 - 10.3	76	56.21 - 57.3	376
10.31 - 10.8	79	57.31 - 58.8	384
10.81 - 11.2	82	58.81 - 60.0	393
11.21 - 11.7	85	60.01 - 61.4	401
11.71 - 12.2	88	61.41 - 63.0	410
12.21 - 12.6	91	63.01 - 64.0	420
12.61 - 13.1	94	64.01 - 65.0	428
13.11 - 13.5	97	65.01 - 66.0	436
13.51 - 14.0	100	-	